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The Department of Health is proud to have been involved in the implementation of the 1998 National Demographic and Health Survey. The survey has provided much needed information that will be used in evaluating DOH programs and in planning future directions. In fact, there are few survey findings that do not have direct relevance to DOH programs. Data from the households interviewed concerning source of drinking water, type of toilet facility, and use of iodized salt are basic indicators of the home health environment. Data collected from individual women such as levels of contraceptive use, fertility and child mortality rates, and the extent of coverage of various reproductive health services will be used to assess progress in the relevant DOH programs. Regional-level indicators will point to the areas where more concentrated efforts are needed. Finally, the special health module that was added to the survey at the request of the DOH provides results of particular interest to DOH concerning health facility utilization, knowledge of the causes of various diseases, knowledge and use of traditional medicines, and health insurance coverage.

I would like to thank the National Statistics Office for taking the lead in implementing the 1998 NDHS. I heartily support such collaborative efforts between government agencies because they result in a better product at lower cost. Perhaps more importantly, collaboration encourages a wider sense of ownership of the data and ensures its broader use. I hope that the future will hold more examples of such fruitful joint efforts.


Manila, Philippines
January 1999

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The 1998 Philippines National Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS), is a nationallyrepresentative survey of 13,983 women age 15-49. The NDHS was designed to provide information on levels and trends of fertility, family planning knowledge and use, infant and child mortality, and maternal and child health. It was implemented by the National Statistics Office in collaboration with the Department of Health (DOH). Macro International Inc. of Calverton, Maryland provided technical assistance to the project, while financial assistance was provided by the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) and the DOH. Fieldwork for the NDHS took place from early March to early May 1998.

Survey data generally confirm patterns observed in the 1993 National Demographic Survey (NDS), showing increasing contraceptive use and declining fertility.

## FERTILITY

Fertility Decline. The NDHS data indicate that fertility continues to decline gradually but steadily. At current levels, women will give birth an average of 3.7 children per woman during their reproductive years, a decline from the level of 4.1 recorded in the 1993 NDS. A total fertility rate of 3.7, however, is still considerably higher than the rates prevailing in neighboring Southeast Asian countries.

Fertility Differentials. Survey data show that the large differential between urban and rural fertility levels is widening even further. While the total fertility rate in urban areas declined by about 15 percent over the last five years (from 3.5 to 3.0 ), the rate among rural women barely declined at all (from 4.8 to 4.7 ). Consequently, rural women give birth to almost two children more than urban women.

Significant differences in fertility levels by region still exist. For example, fertility is more than twice as high in Eastern Visayas and Bicol Regions (with total fertility rates well over 5 births per woman) than in Metro Manila (with a rate of 2.5 births per woman).

Fertility levels are closely related to women's education. Women with no formal education give birth to an average of 5.0 children in their lifetime, compared to 2.9 for women with at least some college education. Women with either elementary or high school education have intermediate fertility rates.

Family Size Norms. One reason that fertility has not fallen more rapidly is that women in the Philippines still want moderately large families. Only one-third of women say they would ideally like to have one or two children, while another third state a desire for three children. The remaining third say they would choose four or more children. Overall, the mean ideal family size among all women is 3.2 children, identical to the mean found in 1993.

Unplanned Fertility. Another reason for the relatively high fertility level is that unplanned pregnancies are still common in the Philippines. Overall, 45 percent of births in the five years prior to the survey were reported to be unplanned; 27 percent were mistimed (wanted later) and 18 percent were unwanted. If unwanted births could be eliminated altogether, the total fertility rate in the Philippines would be 2.7 births per woman instead of the actual level of 3.7.

Age at First Birth. Fertility rates would be even higher if Filipino women did not have a pattern of late childbearing. The median age at first birth is 23 years in the Philippines, considerably higher than in most other countries. Another factor that holds down the overall level of fertility is the fact that about 9 or 10 percent of women never give birth, higher than the level of $3-4$ percent found in most developing countries.

## FAMILY PLANNING

Increasing Use of Contraception. A major cause of declining fertility in the Philippines has been the gradual but fairly steady increase in contraceptive use over the last three decades. The contraceptive prevalence rate has tripled since 1968, from 15 to 47 percent of married women. Although contraceptive use has increased since the 1993 NDS (from 40 to 47 percent of married women), comparison with the series of nationally representative Family Planning Surveys indicates that there has been a levelling-off in family planning use in recent years.

Method Mix. Use of traditional methods of family planning has always accounted for a relatively high proportion of overall use in the Philippines, and data from the 1998 NDHS show the proportion holding steady at about 40 percent. The dominant changes in the "method mix" since 1993 have been an increase in use of injectables and traditional methods such as calendar rhythm and withdrawal and a decline in the proportions using female sterilization. Despite the decline in the latter, female sterilization still is the most widely used method, followed by the pill.

Differentials in Family Planning Use. Differentials in current use of family planning in the 16 administrative regions of the country are large, ranging from 16 percent of married women in ARMM to 55 percent of those in Southern Mindanao and Central Luzon. Contraceptive use varies considerably by education of women. Only 15 percent of married women with no formal education are using a method, compared to half of those with some secondary school. The urban-rural gap in contraceptive use is moderate ( 51 vs. 42 percent, respectively).

Knowledge of Contraception. Knowledge of contraceptive methods and supply sources has been almost universal in the Philippines for some time and the NDHS results indicate that 99 percent of currently married women age 15-49 have heard of at least one method of family planning. More than 9 in 10 married women know the pill, IUD, condom, and female sterilization, while about 8 in 10 have heard of injectables, male sterilization, rhythm, and withdrawal. Knowledge of injectables has increased far more than any other method, from 54 percent of married women in 1993 to 89 percent in 1998.

Unmet Need for Family Planning. Unmet need for family planning services has declined since 1993. Data from the 1993 NDS show that 26 percent of currently married women were in need of services, compared with 20 percent in the 1998 NDHS. A little under half of the unmet need is comprised of women who want to space their next birth, while just over half is for women who do not want any more children (limiters). If all women who say they want to space or limit their children were to use methods, the contraceptive prevalence rate could be increased from 47 percent to 70 percent of married women. Currently, about three-quarters of this "total demand" for family planning is being met.

Discontinuation Rates. One challenge for the family planning program is to reduce the high levels of contraceptive discontinuation. NDHS data indicate that about 40 percent of contraceptive users in the Philippines stop using within 12 months of starting, almost one-third of whom stop because of an unwanted pregnancy (i.e., contraceptive failure). Discontinuation rates vary by method. Not surprisingly, the rates for the condom ( 60 percent), withdrawal ( 46 percent), and the pill ( 44 percent) are considerably higher than for the IUD ( 14 percent). However, discontinuation rates for injectables are relatively high, considering that one dose is usually effective for three months. Fifty-two percent of injection users discontinue within one year of starting, a rate that is higher than for the pill.

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

Childhood Mortality. Survey results show that although the infant mortality rate remains unchanged, overall mortality of children under five has declined somewhat in recent years. Under-five mortality declined from 54 deaths per 1,000 births in 1988-92 to 48 for the period 1993-97. The infant mortality rate remained stable at about 35 per 1,000 births.

Childhood Vaccination Coverage. The 1998 NDHS results show that 73 percent of children 1223 months are fully vaccinated by the date of the interview, almost identical to the level of 72 percent recorded in the 1993 NDS. When the data are restricted to vaccines received before the child's first birthday, however, only 65 percent of children age 12-23 months can be considered to be fully vaccinated.

Childhood Health. The NDHS provides some data on childhood illness and treatment. Approximately one in four children under age five had a fever and 13 percent had respiratory illness in the two weeks before the survey. Of these, 58 percent were taken to a health facility for treatment. Seven percent of children under five were reported to have had diarrhea in the two weeks preceeding the survey. The fact that four-fifths of children with diarrhea received some type of oral rehydration therapy (fluid made from an ORS packet, recommended homemade fluid, or increased fluids) is encouraging.

Breastfeeding Practices. Almost all Filipino babies ( 88 percent) are breastfed for some time, with a median duration of breastfeeding of 13 months. Although breastfeeding has beneficial effects on both the child and the mother, NDHS data indicate that supplementation of breastfeeding with other liquids and foods occurs too early in the Philippines. For example, among newborns less than two months of age, 19 percent were already receiving supplemental foods or liquids other than water.

Maternal Health Care. NDHS data point to several areas regarding maternal health care in which improvements could be made. Although most Filipino mothers ( 86 percent) receive prenatal care from a doctor, nurse, or midwife, tetanus toxoid coverage is far from universal and has been declining somewhat. The proportion of recent births for which the mother reported receiving two or more tetanus toxoid vaccinations during pregnancy declined from 42 in 1993 to 38 percent. Moreover, two-thirds of births in the Philippines are delivered at home; consequently only 56 percent receive asistance at delivery from a doctor, nurse, or midwife and 41 percent are assisted by traditional birth attendants. Proper medical attention during pregnancy and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risk of complications and infections that can cause death or serious illness for either the mother or the newborm. Somewhat more encouraging is the fact that for 75 percent of recent births, mothers reported having received iron tablets during pregnancy and in 57 percent of cases, they received iodine tablets during pregnancy. Maternal mortality has remained low at approximately 200 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births.

## HOUSEHOLD HEALTH ISSUES

Health Care Financing. NDHS data indicate that in 40 percent of households, at least one member of the household belongs to a health care financing scheme or an insurance plan. Over 90 percent of such households belong to Medicare.

Knowledge of Herbal Medicines. The Department of Health has endorsed 10 herbal medicines as being scientifically proven effective for treatment of specific illnesses and conditions. NDHS data show that although awareness of some of these herbs is widespread, knowledge about the specific uses of the herbs is quite limited. For example, although 81 percent of household respondents recognized ampalaya, only one in 20 know that it is useful in treating diabetes and only 6 percent of the 75 percent of respondents who are familiar with sambong correctly said that it is used as a diuretic. However, knowledge of bayabas is high; 97 percent of household respondents had heard of it and 81 percent know that it is used to clean wounds.

Knowledge of Healthy Lifestyle. NDHS data indicate quite high general awareness regarding health issues. For example, most household respondents say that they watch their nutrition or exercise to stay healthy. Similarly, a majority of household respondents are aware that smoking causes lung diseases such as cancer. Over 90 percent of respondents have heard of dengue fever and two-thirds of them say that dengue can be prevented by destroying the breeding sites of mosquitos. However, misconceptions about leprosy and tuberculosis abound, with 21 percent of respondents knowing that leprosy is transmitted by skin and 11 percent by airborne droplets, and only one in six respondents knowing that tuberculosis is caused by a germ or bacteria.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Demographic surveys are the primary source of data used in monitoring the progress and evaluating the impact of the population program of the country. The Philippine Population Program was officially launched in 1970. Since then, it has undergone many changes in its policy and program directions. In the beginning, the program was centered on fertility reduction and contraceptive distribution, using a clinic-based approach. In the 1970s, the family planning program shifted to a family welfare approach, adopting a combined clinic and community-based delivery approach. In the 1980s, the population policy was re-stated, calling for the broadening of population concerns beyond fertility reduction to cover family formation, the status of women, maternal and child health, morbidity and mortality, population distribution and urbanization, internal and international migration and population structure (POPCOM, 1997: p.1). The Philippine Population Management Program (PPMP) was developed in 1993 to supplant the Philippine Population Program (PNGOC, 1998: p 25.).

The PPMP adopts the population, resources and environment (PRE) framework which defines the connection between population and sustainable development. Its overall goal is the improvement of quality of life by creating a favorable environment for achieving rational growth and distribution of population, defined in relation to resources and environment. In the years 1998 to 2003, the program aims at promoting the reproductive health approach in the implementation of population policies and programs. Specifically, the Philippine Family Planning Program (PFPP) will promote family planning within a comprehensive package of reproductive health services (POPCOM, 1997: p.17). The action agenda includes:

1) reducing unmet need for family planning services,
2) reducing incidence of high-risk pregnancies,
3) making available high-quality family planning services,
4) reducing abortion, and
5) increasing the participation and sharing of responsibility of men in the practice of family planning (POPCOM, 1997: p.19).

The Department of Health ( DOH ) is the lead agency for the reproductive health and family planning component of the PPMP. The Commission on Population (POPCOM) is the coordinating body of the PPMP (POPCOM, 1997: p. 5-6).

The 1998 National Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) is the seventh in a series of demographic surveys taken at five-year intervals since 1968. It is a nationwide sample survey designed to collect information on fertility, family planning, and health in the Philippines. The 1998 NDHS was undertaken as part of the worldwide Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) program. It was conducted by the National Statistics Office (NSO), in collaboration with the Department of Health ( DOH ).

Macro International, Inc., which is based in Calverton, Maryland (USA), provided technical assistance to the project. The University of the Philippine Population Institute (UPPI), The Population Commission (POPCOM), the Food and Nutrition Research Institute (FNRI) and the DOH also provided technical inputs during the preparatory phase of the survey. Financial assistance was provided by the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) and the DOH.

This report presents the findings from the 1998 NDHS regarding the principal topics covered in the survey, namely, fertility, family planning, infant and child mortality, infant feeding practices, maternal and child health, as well as general health. The NDHS data can be useful inputs for the implementation of some major program tasks of the government under the Philippine Population Management Program (PPMP). These data can be utilized for research activities aimed at improving program strategies. Together with data from previous demographic surveys, the survey can serve as an instrument to monitor the progress and evaluate the impact of the PPMP.

### 1.2 Objectives of the Survey

The primary objective of the NDHS is to provide up-to-date information on fertility levels; determinants of fertility; fertility preferences; infant and childhood mortality levels; awareness, approval, and use of family planning methods; breastfeeding practices; and maternal and child health. This information is intended to assist policy makers and program managers in evaluating and designing programs and strategies for improving health and family planning services in the country.

### 1.3 Sample Design

The 1998 NDHS aims at providing estimates for each of the sixteen regions of the country with an acceptable precision for socio-demographic characteristics like fertility, family planning use, and health and mortality indicators. The NDHS sample design consisted of selecting some 12,500 households in 755 enumeration areas (EAs) which was expected to produce completed interviews with approximately 15,000 women age $15-49$. The sample was first allocated to each of the regions. Within each region, a self-weighting sampling scheme was adopted; however, due to the non-proportional allocation of the sample to the regions, the NDHS sample is not self-weighting at the national level and weighting factors have been applied to the data.

The 1998 NDHS sample is a sub-sample of the new master sample of the Integrated Survey of Households (ISH) of the NSO. The expanded sample of ISH consists of 3,416 enumeration areas selected from the 1995 census frame with a sophisticated design that allows for regional estimates with periodic rotation of panels. The ISH expanded sample was drawn by first, selecting barangays systematically with probability proportional to size. In barangays that consist of more than one EA, a subsequent step consisted of selecting the sample EA systematically with probability proportional to size. Because the primary sampling units in the ISH were selected with probability proportional to size, the EAs for the NDHS were sub-selected from the ISH with equal probability to make the NDHS selection equivalent to selection with probability proportional to size. A total of 755 primary sampling units were utilized for the NDHS. Fieldwork in three sample EA was not possible, so a total of 752 EAs were covered.

The list of households based on the household listing operation conducted in all the NDHS sample points in November 1997 served as the frame for the selection of the NDHS sample households. A different scheme for selecting sample households was applied to urban and rural areas. A systematic sampling of households was carried out in urban areas in order to spread the NDHS sample throughout the sampled EA, while compact clustering was employed in rural areas in order to facilitate field operations. This was accomplished by taking a specified number of consecutive households starting with a household selected at random. Detailed discussion of the 1998 NDHS sampling design is presented in Appendix A. Sampling errors can be found in Appendix B.

### 1.4 Questionnaires

There were three types of questionnaires used for the 1998 NDHS: the Household Questionnaire (NDHS Form 1), the Individual Questionnaire (NDHS Form 2), and the Health Module (NDHS Form 3). The contents of the first two questionnaires were based on the DHS Model A Questionnaire, which is designed for use in countries with relatively high levels of contraceptive use. These model questionnaires were adapted for use in the Philippines during a series of meetings with representatives from the DOH, UPPI, POPCOM, FNRI, USAID/Philippines, and Macro International Inc. Draft questionnaires were then circulated to other interested groups. These questionnaires were developed in English (see Appendix E) and were translated into six of the most common dialects, namely, Tagalog, Cebuano, Ilocano, Bicol, Hiligaynon, and Waray.

The Household (HH) Questionnaire was used to list all the usual members of the sample household, and visitors who slept in the sample household the night prior to the date of interview and some of their characteristics such as name, age, sex, education, relationship to household head, and usual residence. Information on age and sex from the HH Questionnaire was used to identify eligible women for interview using the Individual Questionnaire. Questions about the dwelling such as the source of drinking water, type of toilet facilities, ownership of various consumer goods and use of iodized were also included in the Household Questionnaire.

The Individual Questionnaire was used to collect information on the following topics: !

- Background characteristics (age, education, religion, etc.)
- Reproductive history and fertility preferences
- Knowledge and use of contraception
- Availability of family planning supplies and services
- Breastfeeding and child heaith
- Maternal mortality

The Health Questionnaire was developed in close collaboration with the DOH in partial substitution for the cancelled National Health Survey. It included questions on health practices of the household, awareness about selected communicable and non-communicable diseases, utilization of and satisfaction with various types of health facilities, knowledge concerning traditional medicines, and health care financing.

### 1.5 Training and Fieldwork

The NDHS questionnaires were pretested in October 1997. Female interviewers were trained at the NSO central office in Manila, after which they conducted interviews in various locations in the field under the observation of staff from NSO central office. Altogether, approximately 160 Household, Woman's and Health Questionnaires were completed. Based on observations in the field and suggestions made by the pretest field teams, revisions were made in the wording and translations of the questionnaires.

Training for the main survey took place in two phases. In the first phase, approximately 35 trainers from NSO, DOH, UPPI, and POPCOM gathered for two weeks in late January at a training center near the NSO central office in Manila. They received thorough training in how to fill and edit the questionnaires, how to supervise fieldwork, and how to train field staff in their respective training sites. These trainers then dispersed to the six training sites (Agoo, Malolos, Lucena City, Cebu City, Iloilo City, and Davao City) where they trained some 261 interviewers, 44 supervisors, and 43 field editors for three weeks (February 9-27, 1998). Initially, training consisted of lectures on how to complete the questionnaires, with mock interviews between participants to gain practice in asking questions. Towards the end of the training course, the participants spent several days in practice interviewing in households near the training sites.

Fieldwork for the NDHS was carried out by 44 interviewing teams. Each team, except that which covered Palawan, Lanao del Sur and Maguindanao, consisted of 1 supervisor, 1 field editor, and 3-7 female interviewers, for a total of 348 field staff. Fieldwork commenced on 3 March 1998 and was completed in the first week of May 1998. Periodic field monitoring of the NDHS operations was done by the NSO regional and provincial officials, NDHS regional supervisors and selected NSO central office staff.

### 1.6 Data Processing

Review and editing of NDHS questionnaires was done by the field editors while they were in the enumeration areas to facilitate the verification of the forms. The editors were expected to review questionnaires of at least 8 households per day. The supervisors of teams with more than four interviewers assisted the editors in reviewing the questionnaires.

Folioing of forms was done by the team supervisors before submission to the Provincial Office. The Provincial Statistics Officers were responsible for the transmittal of these forms to the Central Office.

On March 16, 1998, eighteen hired NDHS data processors started the data processing at the Central Office. Office editing, data entry, key verification ( $100 \%$ ), and machine processing were done simultaneously. There were two stages involved in the machine processing. In the first stage, keyed-in data were checked for completeness and were matched with the verification data. In the second stage, inconsistencies in the data were noted and checked. All the data processing activities were completed on June 30, 1998.

### 1.7 Response Rate

Table 1 shows the response rates for the survey and reasons for non-response. A total of 13,708 households were selected for the sample, of which 12,567 were occupied. Of these households occupied, 99 percent or 12,407 were successfully interviewed. The shortfall is primarily due to dwellings that were vacant or in which the inhabitants had left for an extended period at the time they were visited by the interviewing teams (see Appendix Table A. 1 for details.)

In the households interviewed, 14,390 women were identified as eligible for the individual interview (i.e. age 15-49) and interviews were completed for 13,983 or 97 percent of them. The principal reason for non-response among eligible women was the failure to find them at home despite repeated visits to the household. The refusal rate was low.

Table 1 Sample results
Number of households, number of interviews and response rates, Philippines 1998

| Result | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households selected | 13,708 | 100.0 |
| Households occupied | 12,567 | 91.6 |
| Households absent for extended period | 202 | 1.5 |
| Dwelling vacant/destroyed | 853 | 6.2 |
| Households occupied | 12,567 | 100.0 |
| Households interviewed | 12,407 | 98.7 |
| Households not interviewed | 160 | 1.3 |
| Eligible women | 14,390 | 100.0 |
| Women interviewed | 13,983 | 97.2 |
| Women not interviewed | 407 | 2.8 |

Source: NSO, DOH and MI, 1998 NDHS

## CHAPTER 2

## BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS

Presented in this chapter are the background characteristics of the sample households and the respondents to the survey. Information on the characteristics of the households and respondents is deemed important in the interpretation of the survey results. The behavior of women concerning demographic phenomena is known to be influenced by their characteristics and their environment. Also, analysis of the reported characteristics of the sample households and the respondents can indicate the quality of the information collected and whether or not it is representtive of the population.

The chapter is divided into three parts. The first part deals with the characteristics of the household population in terms of age-sex composition, household size and distribution, and educational background. The second part describes the housing environment in which the respondents live. The characteristics of the individual women respondents to the survey are discussed in the third part of this chapter.

### 2.1 Age-Sex Composition

The household questionnaire used in the 1998 National Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) collected data on the demographic and social characteristics of the members and visitors in each sample household. A household, as defined in the survey, refers to a person or group of persons who usually sleep in the same housing unit and have a common arrangement for the preparation and consumption of food. A visitor, on the other hand, is someone who is not a usual resident of the household but slept in the household the night prior to the interview. In this report, except in Table 2.2 census figures, data are based on the population according to the place where they spent the night before the interview (de facto).

Age reporting in the Philippines is relatively accurate. The present generation of residents, including those living in the rural areas, seems to be conscious of calendar dates, especially those relating to important events in their personal lives such as birthdays. The distribution of the sampled population by single year of age and by sex is presented in Figure 2.1 and in Appendix Table C.1. Examination of the data and the graph reveals only a slight preference for digits ending in 0 and 5 when reporting ages. Another indication of the quality of age reporting is the number of women age 15 and age 49 relative to those age 14 and 50. In some surveys, including the 1993 NDS, there was displacement of women from age 15 to age 14 and from age 49 to 50 , probably done intentionally by the interviewers to reduce their assigned workload. The data in Table C. 1 indicate that this was not a problem in the 1998 NDHS.

The proportion of the population below 15 years is larger in rural than in urban areas, indicating a younger age structure of the rural population (Table 2.1). In urban areas, the proportion is, however, larger for males than for females but it differs little between the sexes in rural areas. On the whole, it can be said that the composition of the Philippine population by age and sex depicts a population pyramid (Figure 2.2) with a wide base and narrow top, a pattern that is typical of high fertility societies.


Table 2.1 Household population by age, residence and sex
Percent distribution of the de facto household population by five-year age groups, according to urban-rural residence and sex, Philippines 1998

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { group } \end{aligned}$ | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 0-4 | 13.1 | 10.8 | 11.9 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 12.3 | 13.2 |
| 5-9 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 13.6 | 13.0 | 13.3 |
| 10-14 | 11.2 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 11.7 | 12.0 |
| 15-19 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 10.5 |
| 20-24 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| 25-29 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.7 |
| 30-34 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| 35-39 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| 40-44 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| 45-49 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 50-54 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| 55-59 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| 60-64 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 65-69 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 70-74 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 75-79 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 80+ | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Missing/ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number ${ }^{1}$ | 14,707 | 15,585 | 30,300 | 15,273 | 14,784 | 30,057 | 29,980 | 30,369 | 60,357 |

[^0]
## Figure 2.2

Distribution of the Household Population by Age and Sex, Philippines 1998
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### 2.2 Population by Age from Selected Sources

In Table 2.2, the percent distribution of the population by broad age groups, according to the 1970, 1980, 1990 and 1995 Census of Population and the 1993 NDS and 1998 NDHS are presented. There appears to be a progressive decline since 1970 in the proportion of the population under 15 and, concomitantly, an increase in the median age. The growing proportion in the $15-64$ group results in a declining dependency ratio, defined as the ratio of persons in the "dependent" ages (under 15 and 65 and over) to those in the "economically active" ages (15-64). This slight aging of the population has taken place in the recent past as a result of a continuous, albeit slow decline in fertility levels. The 1993 NDS and 1998 NDHS data show fairly similar distributions by age, which supports the representativeness of the survey population.

### 2.3 Household Composition

Information on the size and composition of the sample households by urban-rural residence is presented in Table 2.3. Women head about 15 percent of the households. As expected, a higher proportion of female-headed households is noted in urban areas ( 17 percent) than in rural areas ( 12 percent). On average, a household is composed of 5.1 persons. A negligible difference in average household size is observed between urban and rural areas.

## Table 2.2 Median age and dependency ratio

Percent distribution of the household population by broad age groups for various census years and the NDHS, Philippines 1998

|  | 1970 <br> Census | 1980 <br> Census | 1990 <br> Census | 1993 <br> NDS | 1995 <br> Census | 1998 <br> NDHS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 45.7 | 42.0 | 39.5 | 39.3 | 38.4 | 38.5 |
| Less than 15 | 51.4 | 54.6 | 57.1 | 56.8 | 58.1 | 57.3 |
| $15-64$ | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 4.2 |
| $65+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20.1 | 20 | 20.6 |
| Median age | 94.6 | 83.2 | 75.1 | 76.1 | 72.2 | 74.5 |
| Dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 2.3.Household composition

Percent distribution of households by sex of head of household and household size, according to urban-rural residence, Philippines 1998

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Characteristic | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Household headship |  |  |  |
| Male | 83.1 | 87.9 | 85.5 |
| Female | 16.9 | 12.1 | 14.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Number of usual members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.1 |
| 2 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 8.6 |
| 3 | 12.6 | 13.8 | 13.2 |
| 4 | 18.1 | 16.6 | 17.3 |
| 5 | 19.6 | 17.7 | 18.6 |
| 6 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
| 7 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 9.9 |
| 8 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| $9+$ | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean size of household |  |  |  |
|  | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Figure 2.3 Median Years of Schooling by Sex and Region, Philippines 1998
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### 2.4 Education Level of the Household Population

Tables 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 present information on the highest level of education attended by the population according to sex, age, residence, and region. Education is highly valued by Filipino families. The constitution of the country reflects this and states that education, at least up to high school level, is a basic right of all Filipino children. The results of the survey indicate that the vast majority of the population does have some formal education. Among the population age 6 and over, only 4 percent have no formal education, and no more than 3 percent among those between the ages of 10 and 50 never attended school. Of both men and women, around half reached only as far as primary school, more than one in four attended high school, and one in five attended higher education. ${ }^{1}$

No major gender differences are observed as far as education is concerned. However, a significant difference is noted in the educational level between urban and rural areas. The educational system appears to favor residents of urban areas.

The distribution of the population by highest level of education attended differs greatly among the regions of the country (Figure 2.3). Metropolitan Manila has a much better educated population compared with the rest of the country; the median duration of schooling in this region is 9 years, compared with 5 or 6 years for the other regions. Residents of Autonomous Region in Muslim Mindanao have the lowest median duration of schooling.

[^1]
## Table 2.4.1 Educational level of the male household population

Percent distribution of the de facto male household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Level of education |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { males } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Median number of years of schooling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Elementary | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ \text { school } \end{gathered}$ | College or higher | Don't know/ missing |  |  |  |
| Age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 16.3 | 83.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3,247 | 0.1 |
| 10-14 | 1.6 | 84.2 | 13.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3,670 | 3.8 |
| 15-19 | 1.1 | 27.2 | 60.2 | 11.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,197 | 7.7 |
| 20-24 | 1.3 | 23.4 | 38.7 | 36.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,464 | 9.5 |
| 25-29 | 1.3 | 28.8 | 36.2 | 33.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,275 | 9.3 |
| 30-34 | 1.3 | 30.1 | 36.2 | 32.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,076 | 9.3 |
| 35-39 | 1.7 | 33.7 | 34.3 | 30.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,833 | 9.1 |
| 40-44 | 2.0 | 37.7 | 33.4 | 26.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,444 | 8.6 |
| 45-49 | 3.0 | 43.2 | 30.1 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,255 | 7.2 |
| 50-54 | 3.3 | 52.2 | 21.5 | 22.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 957 | 5.8 |
| 55-59 | 4.5 | 57.0 | 19.2 | 18.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 778 | 5.5 |
| 60-64 | 5.2 | 57.1 | 22.7 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 655 | 5.5 |
| $65+$ | 11.6 | 59.8 | 14.5 | 13.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,096 | 4.5 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.3 | 37.4 | 32.9 | 27.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 12,444 | 8.0 |
| Rural | 6.0 | 60.2 | 24.2 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 12,512 | 5.1 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 1.4 | 27.6 | 35.0 | 35.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3,760 | 9.4 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 6.6 | 51.9 | 24.6 | 16.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 436 | 5.3 |
| Ilocos | 4.3 | 44.6 | 34.2 | 16.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,385 | 6.3 |
| Cagayan Valley | 4.8 | 54.2 | 25.9 | 14.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 966 | 5.5 |
| C. Luzon | 2.7 | 45.1 | 35.6 | 16.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,553 | 6.5 |
| S. Tagalog | 2.8 | 47.2 | 30.0 | 19.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3,468 | 6.0 |
| Bicol | 3.0 | 61.2 | 25.2 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,481 | 5.4 |
| W. Visayas | 3.4 | 55.8 | 24.3 | 16.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,013 | 5.3 |
| C. Visayas | 4.0 | 57.3 | 24.0 | 14.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,899 | 5.3 |
| E. Visayas | 6.0 | 65.4 | 19.3 | 9.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,242 | 4.1 |
| W. Mindanao | 9.0 | 54.1 | 21.3 | 15.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 995 | 5.0 |
| N. Mindanao | 3.7 | 56.1 | 26.6 | 13.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 882 | 5.3 |
| S. Mindanao | 4.4 | 50.9 | 30.3 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,662 | 5.6 |
| C. Mindanao | 4.2 | 55.4 | 24.9 | 15.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 854 | 5.4 |
| ARMM | 25.3 | 46.4 | 16.6 | 10.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 707 | 2.8 |
| Caraga | 2.3 | 58.7 | 27.6 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 653 | 5.2 |
| Total | 4.2 | 48.8 | 28.5 | 18.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 24,956 | 5.8 |

${ }^{\text {'Excludes }} 9$ men with missing age.

| Percent distribution of the de facto female household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level of education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | None | Elementary | High school | College or higher | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number of females | Median number of years of schooling |
| Age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 12.8 | 86.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3,128 | 0.3 |
| 10-14 | 1.1 | 81.3 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3,563 | 4.3 |
| 15-19 | 0.9 | 16.7 | 65.9 | 16.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,113 | 8.4 |
| 20-24 | 1.3 | 17.3 | 40.5 | 40.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,440 | 9.7 |
| 25-29 | 2.0 | 21.8 | 40.0 | 36.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,375 | 9.5 |
| 30-34 | 1.6 | 27.3 | 36.5 | 34.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,177 | 9.3 |
| 35-39 | 2.1 | 33.7 | 32.2 | 31.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,933 | 9.1 |
| 40-44 | 2.8 | 37.8 | 30.5 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,568 | 7.9 |
| 45-49 | 3.1 | 45.8 | 24.7 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,240 | 6.5 |
| 50-54 | 5.5 | 55.1 | 17.5 | 21.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,120 | 5.7 |
| 55-59 | 5.4 | 60.0 | 18.9 | 15.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 931 | 5.5 |
| 60-64 | 8.0 | 61.6 | 19.8 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 739 | 5.2 |
| 65+ | 15.9 | 63.4 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,460 | 3.7 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.3 | 37.1 | 32.6 | 27.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 13,541 | 8.0 |
| Rural | 6.5 | 57.1 | 25.1 | 11.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 12,255 | 5.3 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 0.9 | 29.2 | 36.8 | 32.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 4,386 | 9.2 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 9.1 | 48.2 | 25.7 | 16.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 412 | 5.5 |
| Ilocos | 3.8 | 46.4 | 30.7 | 18.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,356 | 6.1 |
| Cagayan Valley | 4.5 | 54.1 | 23.9 | 17.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 926 | 5.6 |
| C. Luzon | 2.3 | 47.1 | 31.4 | 19.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,582 | 6.2 |
| S. Tagalog | 2.7 | 47.5 | 29.0 | 20.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,609 | 6.0 |
| Bicol | 3.5 | 58.6 | 24.8 | 12.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,477 | 5.5 |
| W. Visayas | 3.7 | 50.2 | 26.5 | 19.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,060 | 5.8 |
| C. Visayas | 3.9 | 52.4 | 28.0 | 15.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,050 | 5.6 |
| E. Visayas | 6.7 | 61.7 | 20.6 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,211 | 5.0 |
| W. Mindanao | 9.7 | 49.6 | 24.9 | 15.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 979 | 5.3 |
| N. Mindanao | 3.5 | 51.9 | 28.6 | 15.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 907 | 5.7 |
| S. Mindanao | 4.8 | 46.0 | 30.3 | 18.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,651 | 6.0 |
| C. Mindanao | 6.4 | 45.4 | 29.6 | 18.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 825 | 5.9 |
| ARMM | 30.4 | 41.4 | 16.2 | 10.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 715 | 2.5 |
| Caraga | 2.8 | 56.5 | 26.1 | 14.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 649 | 5.5 |
| $\underline{\text { Total }}$ | 4.3 | 46.6 | 29.0 | 19.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 25,796 | 6.0 |

### 2.5 School Enrollment

Table 2.5 shows the percent distribution of the household population 6-24 years of age enrolled in school by age, sex and urban-rural residence. More than eight out of ten person's age 6 to 10 and age 11 to 15 are attending school. Between the ages of 16 and 20, the proportion of those actually enrolled diminishes significantly. Economic reasons possibly pull youth from the school system into the job market.

The probability of being in school is fairly equal for the male and female populations in urban areas except at ages 21 to 24 , when men are more likely to be enrolled than women. In rural areas, however, the female population seems to get the advantage as far as schooling is concerned. This is possibly due to the fact that male children are needed more to help on the farm.

| Table 2.5 School enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of the de facto household population age $6-24$ years enrolled in school by age group, sex, and urban-rural residence, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |
| Age group | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 6-10 | 86.8 | 76.4 | 81.0 | 90.2 | 80.4 | 84.7 | 88.5 | 78.3 | 82.8 |
| 11-15 | 89.5 | 78.7 | 83.6 | 90.7 | 88.7 | 89.7 | 90.1 | 83.6 | 86.6 |
| 6-15 | 88.1 | 77.5 | 82.2 | 90.5 | 84.2 | 87.0 | 89.3 | 80.8 | 84.6 |
| 16-20 | 57.2 | 41.4 | 49.9 | 55.1 | 49.7 | 52.9 | 56.1 | 45.1 | 51.4 |
| 21-24 | 18.1 | 10.1 | 14.8 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 15.1 | 10.0 | 13.0 |

### 2.6 Housing Conveniences

Table 2.6 and Figure 2.4 show the distribution of households with selected housing conveniences by urban-rural residence. The information on the source of water, type of sanitation facility, type of floor material and number of persons per sleeping room are indicators of the health and socioeconomic condition of households which, in turn, are associated with demographic behavior.

Seven out of ten households have electricity. However, a significant difference was noted between urban and rural areas; 91 percent of urban households have electricity compared with only half ( 51 percent) of rural households (Figure 2.4).

Almost half of the households have piped water ( 48 percent) and most of these have water piped into the residence (piped into dwelling or yard/plot). Again, a significant difference is noted between urban and rural areas. In urban areas, two out of three households have piped water, compared with only one out three households in rural areas. Wells and springs are among the main sources of water in rural areas; far fewer households in urban areas get their water from these sources. The vast majority of urban and rural households live within 15 minutes of a source of water.

Table 2.6 Housing conveniences
Percent distribution of households by housing convenience, according to urban-rural residence, Philippines 1998

| Housing characteristic | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Electricity |  |  |  |
| Yes | 91.1 | 51.9 | 71.3 |
| No | 8.8 | 47.9 | 28.5 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Source of drinking water |  |  |  |
| Piped into dwelling | 46.6 | 14.0 | 30.2 |
| Piped into yard/plot | 7.8 | 5.4 | 6.6 |
| Piped into public yard | 11.4 | 11.7 | 11.6 |
| Protected well | 24.8 | 40.0 | 32.5 |
| Unprotected well | 1.9 | 11.2 | 6.6 |
| Developed/undeveloped spring | 1.9 | 15.0 | 8.5 |
| River/stream/pond/ake/rainwater | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Tanker truck/peddler | 3.3 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| Bottled water | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Time to get to water source |  |  |  |
| Within 15 minutes | 92.7 | 79.8 | 86.2 |
| Median time (minutes) | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| Sanitation facility |  |  |  |
| Own flush toilet | 71.1 | 48.1 | 59.5 |
| Shared flush toilet | 16.3 | 12.1 | 14.2 |
| Closed pit latrine | 4.9 | 9.6 | 7.3 |
| Open pit latrine | 2.4 | 9.7 | 6.1 |
| No facilities/field | 3.6 | 17.0 | 10.3 |
| Drop/overhang | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.1 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Missing | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Flooring |  |  |  |
| Earth/sand | 4.3 | 12.8 | 8.6 |
| Wood planks | 13.2 | 16.4 | 14.8 |
| Palm/bamboo | 8.7 | 29.8 | 19.3 |
| Parquet/polished wood | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Viny//asphalt strips | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| Ceramic tiles | 4.8 | 0.9 | 2.8 |
| Cement | 61.0 | 37.5 | 49.1 |
| Marble | 4.9 | 0.9 | 2.9 |
| Missing | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Persons per sleeping room |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | 57.9 | 48.0 | 52.9 |
| 3-4 | 29.1 | 32.7 | 30.9 |
| 5-6 | 9.6 | 12.9 | 11.3 |
| $7+$ | 3.0 | 6.0 | 4.5 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean persons per room | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Iodized salt |  |  |  |
| Iodized | 15.4 | 6.4 | 10.9 |
| Not iodized | 77.5 | 86.7 | 82.2 |
| Missing | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of households | 6,151 | 6,256 | 12,407 |

The majority of households ( 81 percent) have either a flush toilet or a closed pit latrine. The proportion is much higher in urban ( 92 percent) than in rural areas ( 70 percent). A large proportion ( 17 percent) of rural households have no toilet facility.

As to the type of flooring material, half of the households have cement floors and one in five have palm or bamboo floors. Urban households are more likely to use cement than rural households ( 61 and 38 percent, respectively). About 15 percent of households in both urban and rural areas have wood plank floors.

A question was asked about the number of rooms the household used for sleeping. The purpose was to get a measure of household crowding. On average, there were 3 persons per sleeping room. No significant difference was noted between urban and rural households in this respect.

Lack of a sufficient amount of iodine in the diet can lead to serious nutritional deficiencies such as goiter, nutritional stunting, mental retardation, and cretinism. To prevent these health problems, iodine is routinely added to salt in many countries. In order to evaluate the extent of use of iodized salt in the Philippines, NDHS interviewers tested samples of salt used in the households surveyed. The test involved placing a drop of a special solution on to a small amount of salt supplied by the household respondent. The test indicates the presence of iodine in the salt, but not its quality, which is subject to degradation. Test kits were supplied by UNICEF/Philippines.

Results show that only about 10 percent of households in the Philippines utilize iodized salt. Use of iodized salt is higher among urban than rural households.

Figure 2.4
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### 2.7 Presence of Durable Goods in the Household

The percentage of households owning specific consumer durable goods by urban-rural residence is presented in Table 2.7. Among the durable consumer goods, radio and television are available in 80 percent and 56 percent of households, respectively. Two out of five households own a refrigerator while one out of four report owning a bicycle.

| Table 2.7 Household durable goods |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households possessing specific durable consumer goods, by urban-rural residence, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |
|  | Residence |  |  |
| Durable goods | Urban | Rural | Total |
|  |  |  |  |
| Radio | 86.0 | 73.8 | 79.8 |
| Television | 77.2 | 35.8 | 56.3 |
| Telephone | 28.7 | 3.1 | 15.8 |
| Refrigerator | 56.8 | 19.5 | 38.0 |
| Bicycle | 27.0 | 21.2 | 24.1 |
| Motorcycle | 13.1 | 8.8 | 10.9 |
| Private car | 17.6 | 3.7 | 10.6 |
| Boat | 2.2 | 3.7 | 2.9 |
| Tractor | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| None of the above | 7.3 | 20.2 | 13.8 |
| Number of households | 6,151 | 6,256 | 12,407 |

The proportion of households with such appliances varies greatly between urban and rural areas. Almost nine out of ten urban households report having a radio, compared to three out of four rural households. About 77 percent of urban households report having a television, compared to only 36 percent of rural households. A majority of urban households have a refrigerator, compared to only one out of five rural households. Urban households are more likely than rural households to own some means of transportation (a bicycle, motorcycle, or private car).

There has been an increase since 1993 in the ownership of durable goods. For example, the proportion of households with a television increased from 43 percent in 1993 to 56 percent in 1998. Over the same period, the proportion of households owning a refrigerator increased from 28 to 38 percent (NSO and MI, 1994: 19).

### 2.8 Background Characteristics of Respondents

In the household questionnaire, a total of 14,390 women were identified as eligible for interview with the NDHS individual questionnaire. Of these women, 13,983 or 97 percent were successfully interviewed. In each age group, the proportion of women interviewed was about the same.

Table 2.8.1 shows the distribution of women in the NDHS sample by selected background characteristics. More than half ( 53 percent) of the women interviewed in the survey are under age 30. Married women comprise 53 percent of the total women interviewed, while never-married women
constitute just over one-third. An additional 6 percent of women are living with a man. ${ }^{1}$ Almost all of the women who were interviewed have had some formal education. Almost three out of ten women interviewed are in college or are college graduates, and a large proportion ( 42 percent) have attended high school. Roman Catholicism is the predominant religion ( 82 percent). With respect to ethnicity, Tagalog and Cebuano groups combined comprise more than half of the respondents.

Table 2.8 .2 shows that there are more respondents from urban areas than from rural areas. One out of five respondents ( 20 percent) is from the Metropolitan Manila area, 10 percent were found in the northern provinces (comprising Ilocos, Cagayan Valley, and Cordillera Administrative Region), while the rest of Luzon has about 30 percent of the respondents. Visayas and Mindanao (including ARMM and Caraga) have 19 and 22 percent of the respondents, respectively.

### 2.9 Education Level of Respondents

Table 2.9 presents the percent distribution of the respondents by the highest level of education attended, according to age, urban-rural residence, and region. The data show that younger women have higher educational attainment than older women do. About 80 percent of women age 15 to 24 years have attended at least secondary level of education, compared with less than 60 percent of women age 40 and older.

As expected, women in urban areas are better educated than women in rural areas. Over 80 percent of urban women have attended at least secondary school compared to only 60 percent of rural women. Women in Metropolitan Manila, Ilocos, Central Luzon, Southern Mindanao, Western Visayas, Central Mindanao and Southern Tagalog are better educated than in other regions. More than 70 percent of the women in these regions have secondary or higher education. On the other hand, ARMM and Eastern Visayas have the lowest proportion of women with secondary or higher education at 44 and 53 percent, respectively.

### 2.10 Exposure to Mass Media

Presented in Table 2.10 is the percentage of respondents who were exposed to different types of mass media by age, education level, urban-rural residence and region. The table shows that eight out of ten women listen to the radio every day. A similar number watch television at least once a week, while almost two in three women read newspapers at least once a week. Younger women are more likely to have been exposed to mass media than older women.

A positive relationship is noted between exposure to mass media and educational attainment. Women with higher education are more likely to have been exposed to mass media. Between urban and rural areas, the proportion differs for those who read newspapers and watch television but not for those who listen to the radio.

[^2]
## Table 2.8.1 Background characteristics of respondents

Percent distribution of women by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Weighted percent | Number of women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted number | Unweighted number |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 20.9 | 2,924 | 2,949 |
| 20-24 | 16.4 | 2,299 | 2,241 |
| 25-29 | 15.8 | 2,209 | 2,166 |
| 30-34 | 14.7 | 2,058 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 13.2 | 1,842 | 1,876 |
| 40-44 | 10.6 | 1,480 | 1,478 |
| 45-49 | 8.4 | 1,170 | 1,215 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
| Never married | 36.4 | 5,087 | 4,822 |
| Married | 53.4 | 7,467 | 7,824 |
| Living together | 6.2 | 869 | 810 |
| Widowed | 1.7 | 241 | 242 |
| Divorced | 0.0 | 4 | 8 |
| Separated | 2.3 | 315 | 277 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.5 | 217 | 366 |
| Elementary | 26.2 | 3,664 | 4,010 |
| High school | 42.3 | 5,916 | 5,718 |
| College or higher | 29.9 | 4,186 | 3,889 |
| Religion |  |  |  |
| Catholic | 82.0 | 11,465 | 10,857 |
| Protestant | 6.7 | 942 | 1,023 |
| Iglesia ni Kristo | 3.0 | 413 | 355 |
| Aglipay | 1.3 | 186 | 206 |
| Islam | 4.1 | 572 | 1,073 |
| Other | 2.8 | 391 | 446 |
| None | 0.0 | 5 | 9 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 10 | 14 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Tagalog | 29.8 | 4,164 | 2,596 |
| Cebuano | 24.0 | 3,358 | 4,092 |
| Ilocano | 9.0 | 1,259 | 1,444 |
| Ilonggo | 10.1 | 1,408 | 1,273 |
| Bicolano | 5.8 | 814 | 739 |
| Waray | 3.7 | 513 | 577 |
| Kapampangan | 3.2 | 447 | 297 |
| Other | 14.4 | 2,014 | 2,954 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 6 | 11 |
| Total | 100.0 | 13,983 | 13,983 |

## Table 2.8.2 Background characteristics of respondents: residence and region

Percent distribution of women by residence and region, Philippines 1998

|  |  | Number of women |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Background <br> characteristic | Weighted <br> percent | Weighted <br> number | Unweighted <br> number |
|  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 56.6 | 7,911 | 6,730 |
| Rural | 43.4 | 6,072 | 7,253 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 1.5 | 2,818 | 1,490 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 4.9 | 207 | 589 |
| llocos | 3.4 | 689 | 709 |
| Cagayan Valley | 10.1 | 474 | 717 |
| C. Luzon | 13.7 | 1,414 | 953 |
| S. Tagalog | 5.0 | 703 | 1,181 |
| Bicol | 7.5 | 1,045 | 745 |
| W. Visayas | 7.8 | 1,093 | 882 |
| C. Visayas | 4.0 | 553 | 993 |
| E. Visayas | 3.8 | 530 | 770 |
| W. Mindanao | 3.4 | 482 | 973 |
| N. Mindanao | 6.6 | 925 | 781 |
| S. Mindanao | 3.0 | 425 | 985 |
| C. Mindanao | 2.8 | 385 | 682 |
| ARMM | 2.3 | 323 | 810 |
| Caraga |  |  | 723 |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Figure 2.5
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## Table 2.9 Level of education

Percent distribution of women by the highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Level of education |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No education | Elementary | High school | College or higher | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.5 | 16.1 | 67.1 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 0.8 | 17.4 | 41.2 | 40.6 | 100.0 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 1.9 | 21.9 | 40.5 | 35.8 | 100.0 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 1.4 | 27.4 | 37.5 | 33.8 | 100.0 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 2.0 | 33.9 | 32.8 | 31.3 | 100.0 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 2.7 | 38.5 | 30.6 | 28.2 | 100.0 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 3.0 | 47.2 | 24.4 | 25.4 | 100.0 | 1,170 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.6 | 17.5 | 43.7 | 38.3 | 100.0 | 7,911 |
| Rural | 2.8 | 37.6 | 40.5 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 6,072 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 0.4 | 13.2 | 46.5 | 39.9 | 100.0 | 2,818 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 3.1 | 28.7 | 39.7 | 28.5 | 100.0 | 207 |
| llocos | 0.1 | 22.8 | 46.3 | 30.7 | 100.0 | 689 |
| Cagayan Valley | 1.4 | 33.9 | 36.0 | 28.7 | 100.0 | 474 |
| C. Luzon | 0.2 | 24.6 | 45.0 | 30.2 | 100.0 | 1,414 |
| S. Tagalog | 0.5 | 25.3 | 42.1 | 32.1 | 100.0 | 1,917 |
| Bicol | 0.5 | 36.9 | 40.7 | 21.9 | 100.0 | 703 |
| W. Visayas | 0.3 | 29.4 | 40.7 | 29.6 | 100.0 | 1,045 |
| C. Visayas | 0.8 | 33.2 | 42.0 | 24.0 | 100.0 | 1,093 |
| E. Visayas | 0.8 | 46.0 | 35.1 | 18.2 | 100.0 | 553 |
| W. Mindanao | 5.8 | 33.5 | 36.3 | 24.5 | 100.0 | 530 |
| N. Mindanao | 0.3 | 33.7 | 41.1 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 482 |
| S. Mindanao | 1.8 | 25.1 | 44.2 | 28.9 | 100.0 | 925 |
| C. Mindanao | 2.3 | 22.6 | 45.6 | 29.5 | 100.0 | 425 |
| ARMM | 25.6 | 30.6 | 26.0 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 385 |
| Caraga | 0.4 | 34.6 | 41.4 | 23.7 | 100.0 | 323 |
| Total | 1.5 | 26.2 | 42.3 | 29.9 | 100.0 | 13,983 |

## Table 2.10 Exposure to mass media

Percent distribution of women who usually read a newspaper once a week, watch television once a week, or listen to a radio daily, by background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | No mass media | Read newspaper weekly | Watch television weekly | Listen to radio daily | All three media | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.9 | 70.8 | 85.8 | 83.1 | 57.0 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 3.5 | 69.0 | 82.4 | 81.8 | 54.1 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 4.5 | 63.2 | 78.7 | 80.0 | 47.5 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 6.0 | 58.2 | 78.8 | 76.8 | 43.4 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 6.9 | 57.5 | 75.5 | 77.5 | 43.4 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 6.3 | 58.5 | 76.5 | 76.9 | 45.0 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 6.6 | 53.9 | 74.2 | 76.9 | 40.1 | 1,170 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.9 | 72.9 | 91.6 | 80.3 | 58.9 | 7,911 |
| Rural | 8.9 | 50.1 | 64.3 | 78.5 | 35.0 | 6,072 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 0.7 | 82.1 | 95.8 | 78.9 | 66.0 | 2,818 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 15.4 | 49.9 | 50.1 | 74.0 | 32.8 | 207 |
| Ilocos | 2.8 | 70.7 | 86.5 | 91.8 | 65.0 | 689 |
| Cagayan Valley | 7.4 | 59.1 | 64.3 | 79.4 | 39.3 | 474 |
| C. Luzon | 1.2 | 66.9 | 92.9 | 80.5 | 53.3 | 1,414 |
| S. Tagalog | 3.0 | 67.2 | 84.6 | 80.2 | 52.4 | 1,917 |
| Bicol | 8.1 | 45.0 | 60.1 | 79.5 | 29.0 | 703 |
| W. Visayas | 2.3 | 69.3 | 82.3 | 86.1 | 54.9 | 1,045 |
| C. Visayas | 5.6 | 49.4 | 71.7 | 78.1 | 34.5 | 1,093 |
| E. Visayas | 7.3 | 49.5 | 65.8 | 79.1 | 36.0 | 553 |
| W. Mindanao | 13.8 | 52.2 | 58.1 | 79.7 | 38.2 | 530 |
| N. Mindanao | 10.8 | 43.9 | 67.6 | 73.6 | 31.8 | 482 |
| S. Mindanao | 4.2 | 50.8 | 83.0 | 74.9 | 37.4 | 925 |
| C. Mindanao | 4.0 | 63.8 | 77.7 | 84.0 | 49.7 | 425 |
| ARMM | 31.5 | 34.4 | 28.4 | 60.6 | 18.0 | 385 |
| Caraga | 5.9 | 53.8 | 75.8 | 78.7 | 40.4 | 323 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 42.6 | 2.6 | 20.1 | 53.6 | 1.8 | 217 |
| Elementary | 10.4 | 39.1 | 61.7 | 73.3 | 25.1 | 3,664 |
| High school | 2.9 | 64.9 | 84.3 | 81.2 | 50.5 | 5,916 |
| College or higher | 1.0 | 84.4 | 92.2 | 84.0 | 68.8 | 4,186 |
| Total | 4.9 | 63.0 | 79.8 | 79.6 | 48.5 | 13,983 |

### 2.11 Employment

In the 1998 NDHS, respondents were asked if they worked, aside from doing their housework, regardless of whether they were paid or not. Table 2.11 shows that a majority of women ( 55 percent) were engaged in an economic activity in the last 12 months. Older women, women in urban areas and women with some college education or college degree are more likely to have been employed. For example, the proportion of women 30 years old and older who worked in the last year is about 65 percent, while for women in their 20 s the proportion is 56 percent or lower. The smaller proportion of young women who work, especially those below 20 years, may be related to the practice of letting college-age children study full-time.

By residence, six out of ten women in urban areas were engaged in an economic activity in the last 12 months compared with only one-half of rural women. Across regions, more than 60 percent of women in Western Visayas, Southern Mindanao and Metro Manila were engaged in an economic activity in the 12 months preceding the survey. Only one out of 3 women worked in ARMM.

Table 2.12 shows that three out of five employed women are self-employed. It is interesting to note that self-employed women tend to be younger, live in the urban areas, and have higher educational attainment. In all regions except Ilocos, Eastern Visayas, Northern Mindanao, Central Mindanao, CAR and ARMM, self-employed women comprise a majority of the employed women. Almost one out of three employed women work for others. Ninety-five percent of working women earn cash for their work (data not shown).

### 2.12 Occupation

Table 2.13 presents the percent distribution of currently employed women by occupation, according to selected background characteristics. The majority of the women work in sales and services (53 percent). One out of five women who work are in professional and technical occupations. Only about 11 percent of women work in agriculture.

Sales and service occupations are the most popular among all groups, except those with no education who are more likely to be working in agriculture and those with college, who tend to be employed in professional occupations.

The regional differentials show that in most regions, about half of employed women work in sales and services occupations. In Cagayan Valley, CAR and ARMM, at least one-third of working women are engaged in agricultural occupations. Central Luzon, Southern Tagalog and Central Visayas have more than 20 percent of its employed women in skilled manual occupations.

## Table 2.11 Employment status

Percent distribution of women by employment status in the last 12 months, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Not currently employed |  | Currently employed |  |  | Missing | Total | Numberofwomen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Did not work in last 12 months | Worked in last 12 months | All year | Seasonally | $\begin{gathered} \text { Occasion- } \\ \text { ally } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 69.2 | 7.5 | 12.1 | 9.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 44.3 | 12.1 | 30.6 | 11.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 43.5 | 10.4 | 35.9 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 37.5 | 8.0 | 41.3 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 35.7 | 7.4 | 44.0 | 8.9 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 34.6 | 5.6 | 47.4 | 8.3 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 34.4 | 5.1 | 48.5 | 8.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,170 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 40.4 | 7.3 | 40.7 | 9.0 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7,911 |
| Rural | 51.8 | 9.7 | 25.7 | 9.1 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 6,072 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 36.4 | 6.9 | 43.0 | 11.4 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,818 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 44.5 | 7.3 | 31.9 | 9.7 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 207 |
| Ilocos | 50.5 | 9.4 | 29.1 | 8.0 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 689 |
| Cagayan Valley | 53.7 | 5.7 | 24.4 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 474 |
| C. Luzon | 50.6 | 7.0 | 34.7 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,414 |
| S. Tagalog | 45.6 | 7.0 | 37.2 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,917 |
| Bicol | 58.7 | 10.9 | 19.6 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 703 |
| W. Visayas | 38.8 | 15.1 | 31.4 | 10.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,045 |
| C. Visayas | 42.8 | 7.2 | 38.9 | 9.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,093 |
| E. Visayas | 44.7 | 12.5 | 30.0 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 553 |
| W. Mindanao | 63.4 | 5.9 | 22.7 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 530 |
| N. Mindanao | 44.3 | 8.6 | 33.7 | 10.8 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 482 |
| S. Mindanao | 36.3 | 11.4 | 35.7 | 13.4 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 925 |
| C. Mindanao | 50.6 | 6.9 | 28.5 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 425 |
| ARMM | 66.8 | 3.7 | 22.4 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 385 |
| Caraga | 45.2 | 10.1 | 32.4 | 9.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 323 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.9 | 5.7 | 22.2 | 9.9 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 217 |
| Elementary | 43.0 | 8.3 | 32.1 | 12.1 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,664 |
| High school | 51.7 | 9.1 | 27.2 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5,916 |
| College or higher | 37.9 | 7.6 | 46.3 | 6.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,186 |
| Total | 45.4 | 8.4 | 34.2 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 13,983 |

## Table 2.12 Type of employer

Percent distribution of currently employed women by employer, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Employer |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Selfemployed | Works for others | Works for relative | Missing | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 79.0 | 8.1 | 12.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 679 |
| 20-24 | 77.3 | 14.6 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 999 |
| 25-29 | 62.0 | 29.7 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,016 |
| 30-34 | 52.2 | 39.0 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 52.9 | 39.3 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,045 |
| 40-44 | 48.5 | 43.5 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 882 |
| 45-49 | 42.4 | 49.1 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 708 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 66.2 | 26.2 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4,127 |
| Rural | 46.2 | 43.1 | 10.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,322 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 74.1 | 18.5 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,595 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 42.9 | 47.9 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Ilocos | 47.3 | 41.3 | 11.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 273 |
| Cagayan Valley | 55.5 | 25.5 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 192 |
| C. Luzon | 56.6 | 34.9 | 7.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 595 |
| S. Tagalog | 62.1 | 29.4 | 8.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Bicol | 51.1 | 40.6 | 7.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 214 |
| W. Visayas | 56.5 | 35.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 482 |
| C. Visayas | 61,0 | 34.3 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 545 |
| E. Visayas | 41.8 | 50.3 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 237 |
| W. Mindanao | 54.4 | 38.9 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 162 |
| N. Mindanao | 45.2 | 45.2 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 227 |
| S. Mindanao | 53.5 | 37.9 | 8.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 483 |
| C. Mindanao | 46.0 | 35.0 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 180 |
| ARMM | 22.3 | 59.1 | 18.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Caraga | 50.1 | 43.3 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 144 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 26.9 | 54.6 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 77 |
| Elementary | 49.7 | 40.5 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,786 |
| High school | 57.0 | 34.6 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,314 |
| College or higher | 69.4 | 22.9 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,271 |
| Total | 59.0 | 32.3 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6,448 |

## Table 2.13 Occupation

Percent distribution of currently employed women by occupation and type of agricultural land worked or type of non-agricultural employment, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Agricultural |  |  |  | Non-agricultural |  |  | Don't know | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own land | Family land | Rented land | Other's land | Prof., Tech. | Sales, services | Skilled manual |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 75.4 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 679 |
| 20-24 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 26.7 | 51.7 | 15.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 999 |
| 25-29 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 30.3 | 49.1 | 11.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,016 |
| 30-34 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 6.6 | 23.8 | 50.1 | 13.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 8.5 | 23.8 | 48.2 | 13.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,045 |
| 40-44 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 7.3 | 20.8 | 53.3 | 11.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 882 |
| 45-49 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 10.9 | 17.8 | 50.4 | 11.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 708 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 26.4 | 57.7 | 12.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4,127 |
| Rural | 5.2 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 15.1 | 44.7 | 13.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2,322 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 27.8 | 61.8 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,595 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 10.9 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 14.1 | 13.7 | 38.7 | 13.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Ilocos | 2.1 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 13.2 | 19.2 | 48.0 | 11.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 273 |
| Cagayan Valley | 12.8 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 16.2 | 20.7 | 41.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 192 |
| C. Luzon | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 20.0 | 48.6 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 595 |
| S. Tagalog | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 23.8 | 47.6 | 21.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Bicol | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 17.6 | 54.6 | 16.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 214 |
| W. Visayas | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 11.8 | 18.7 | 53.8 | 6.9 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 482 |
| C. Visayas | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 20.6 | 49.1 | 22.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 545 |
| E. Visayas | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 17.0 | 53.6 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 237 |
| W. Mindanao | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 26.2 | 55.0 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 162 |
| N. Mindanao | 6.8 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 10.1 | 17.4 | 54.8 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 227 |
| S. Mindanao | 1.4 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 10.5 | 21.6 | 54.7 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 483 |
| C. Mindanao | 2.8 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 21.8 | 51.9 | 6.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 180 |
| ARMM | 6.8 | 11.8 | 0.8 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 32.9 | 6.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Caraga | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 12.1 | 19.2 | 58.8 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 144 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.0 | 18.2 | 1.8 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 13.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 77 |
| Elementary | 4.6 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 14.9 | 1.6 | 57.9 | 14.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,786 |
| High school | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 66.6 | 18.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,314 |
| College or higher | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 55.8 | 36.2 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,271 |
| Total | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 22.3 | 53.0 | 12.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 6,448 |

### 2.13 Earnings

Data on who decides how to spend the money earned by employed women is shown in Table 2.14. Two out of three respondents reported that they alone decide how to spend the money they earn. About 14 percent said that their partner decides, while 18 percent jointly decide with their partner.

In all age groups, a large majority of respondents say they alone decide on how to spend their cash earnings. However, among those 35 years old and older, about one out of every four women receiving cash said that the decision to spend their earnings is made jointly with their partner. Two out of three urban residents said that they decide how to spend their money.

Although in most regions, a majority of the respondents said that they decide for themselves how to spend their money, in some regions-notably Cagayan Valley, Caraga, Northern Mindanao, and Western Visayas-one-third or more of working women say they decide with their husband how to spend their earnings.

### 2.14 Child Care While Working

The welfare of children under six years whose mothers are employed is the focus of Tables 2.15.1 and 2.15.2. Overall, one out of three women who works has one or more children under six years old. This proportion varies by background characteristic. Rural women, less educated women, and women working for someone else or in agriculture are more likely to have a child under six. As a reflection of regional differentials in fertility, women in Bicol, Western Visayas, Eastern Visayas, Northern Mindanao, Central Mindanao and CAR are more likely to have one or more children under six years of age.

Among working women, three out of ten take care of their children under six years while they work. Relatives are also common caretakers for children of working women ( 28 percent). In 12 percent of cases, husbands/partners take care of children while their wife works and in 11 percent of cases, female siblings care for the children. The role of female siblings in child care is more pronounced in the rural than in the urban areas. Children whose mothers have attended some college or acquired a college degree are more likely to leave their children with servants/hired help ( 24 percent) or other relatives ( 37 percent). Children whose mothers have no education or are engaged in agricultural occupations are most likely to be cared for by their sisters.

Across regions, mothers and relatives, including female siblings, are the most important caregivers while the mother is working. Hired help or servants are common in Metro Manila. Children in Eastem Visayas and CAR are likely to be cared for by their female siblings while their mother is at work (21 percent and 20 percent, respectively).

Table 2.14 Person who decides on use of earnings
Percent distribution of women receiving cash earnings by person who decides on use of earnings, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Person who decides how earnings are used |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Respondent } \\ \text { only } \end{gathered}$ | Husband/ partner | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jointly with } \\ \text { husband/ } \\ \text { partner } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Someone } \\ \text { else } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jointly } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { someone } \end{aligned}$ | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 80.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 636 |
| 20-24 | 79.6 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 964 |
| 25-29 | 65.6 | 14.6 | 17.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 982 |
| 30-34 | 57.1 | 16.9 | 23.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,064 |
| 35-39 | 54.8 | 18.6 | 24.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,008 |
| 40-44 | 56.1 | 19.3 | 23.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 839 |
| 45-49 | 57.7 | 15.5 | 25.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 664 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 68.6 | 12.0 | 15.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4,049 |
| Rural | 55.1 | 16.8 | 24.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,108 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 74.1 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,579 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 49.1 | 15.0 | 27.4 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 79 |
| llocos | 61.6 | 20.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 248 |
| Cagayan Valley | 43.4 | 11.8 | 39.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 151 |
| C. Luzon | 66.9 | 15.6 | 13.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 579 |
| S. Tagalog | 61.5 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 893 |
| Bicol | 61.4 | 18.1 | 16.3 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 203 |
| W. Visayas | 46.9 | 12.1 | 31.5 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 462 |
| C. Visayas | 73.2 | 14.1 | 8.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 531 |
| E. Visayas | 54.8 | 13.4 | 25.8 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 215 |
| W. Mindanao | 59.9 | 22.6 | 12.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 156 |
| N. Mindanao | 56.3 | 8.1 | 33.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 205 |
| S. Mindanao | 63.8 | 9.6 | 22.6 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 470 |
| C. Mindanao | 62.4 | 19.2 | 15.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 153 |
| ARMM | 50.0 | 15.3 | 28.7 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Caraga | 53.9 | 9.4 | 35.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 138 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 55.5 | 15.4 | 22.8 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 62 |
| Elementary | 62.1 | 13.0 | 20.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,646 |
| High school | 67.2 | 12.4 | 15.2 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,218 |
| College or higher | 62.4 | 15.3 | 19.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,232 |
| Total | 64.0 | 13.7 | 18.3 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 6,157 |

## Table 2.15.1 Child care while working

Percent distribution of employed women by whether they have a child under five years of age and percent distribution of employed mothers who have a child under five by person who cares for child while mother is at work, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Employed women |  | Child's caretaker, among employed mothers who have children <5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No child } \\ <5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | One or more children $<5$ $\qquad$ | Respondent | Husband/ partner | Other relative | $\begin{gathered} \text { Neighbor/ } \\ \text { friend } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hired help | School/inst'l care/other | Other female child | Other male child | Other, <br> Missing |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 72.3 | 27.7 | 27.5 | 10.3 | 32.9 | 1.0 | 15.0 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 4,127 |
| Rural | 56.0 | 44.0 | 34.3 | 12.7 | 22.8 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 15.1 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 2,322 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.6 | 41.4 | 31.3 | 5.1 | 8.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.0 | 7.7 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 77 |
| Elementary | 63.5 | 36.5 | 32.2 | 11.6 | 21.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 22.3 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 1,786 |
| High school | 69.3 | 30.7 | 38.9 | 13.7 | 26.5 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 2,314 |
| College or higher | 66.1 | 33.9 | 21.8 | 9.5 | 36.5 | 0.7 | 23.9 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 2,271 |
| Type of employer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Work for family | 67.7 | 32.3 | 27.4 | 3.4 | 29.9 | 0.9 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 539 |
| Work for someone else | 53.5 | 46.5 | 50.5 | 10.3 | 14.1 | 1.1 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 10.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 2,083 |
| Self-employed | 73.2 | 26.8 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 41.2 | 1.2 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 10.5 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 3,804 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural | 51.1 | 48.9 | 22.5 | 5.8 | 26.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 707 |
| Non-agricultural | 68.3 | 31.7 | 32.0 | 12.6 | 28.7 | 1.2 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 8.1 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 5,697 |
| Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All year, full time | 68.7 | 31.3 | 30.7 | 10.8 | 30.3 | 1.1 | 13.8 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 4,291 |
| All year, part-time | 59.1 | 40.9 | 24.8 | 17.4 | 21.0 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 21.4 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 488 |
| Seasonal | 66.0 | 34.0 | 32.3 | 10.6 | 29.2 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 1,263. |
| Occasional | 53.1 | 46.9 | 33.3 | 12.2 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 5.6 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 404 |
| Total | 66.4 | 33.6 | 30.7 | 11.5 | 28.1 | 1.2 | 9.4 | 0.2 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 6,448 |

## Table 2.15.2 Child care while working: region

Percent distribution of employed women by whether they have a child under five years of age and percent distribution of employed mothers who have a child under five by person who cares for child while mother is at work, according to region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Employed women |  | Child's caretaker among employed mothers who have children <5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No child } \\ <5 \end{gathered}$ | One or more children $<5$ $\qquad$ | Respondent | Husband/ partner | Other relative | $\begin{gathered} \text { Neighbor/ } \\ \text { Friend } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hired help | School/inst'l care/other | Other female child | Other male child | Other, missing | Total | Number of women |
| Metro Manila | 77.9 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 9.7 | 36.6 | 1.1 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1595 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 53.9 | 46.1 | 19.1 | 13.7 | 26.7 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Ilocos | 64.1 | 35.9 | 31.7 | 11.9 | 31.7 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 273 |
| Cagayan Valley | 63.1 | 36.9 | 28.0 | 6.5 | 30.8 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 192 |
| C. Luzon | 67.3 | 32.7 | 36.6 | 9.9 | 32.8 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 595 |
| S. Tagalog | 64.4 | 35.6 | 29.6 | 9.5 | 31.2 | 1.5 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Bicol | 55.1 | 44.9 | 50.0 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 13.7 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 214 |
| W. Visayas | 59.0 | 41.0 | 29.3 | 19.2 | 27.5 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 482 |
| C. Visayas | 69.3 | 30.7 | 33.6 | 16.4 | 24.3 | 0.7 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 545 |
| E. Visayas | 53.6 | 46.4 | 32.7 | 13.1 | 16.3 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 20.9 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 237 |
| W. Mindanao | 63.4 | 36.6 | 29.4 | 8.3 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 13.8 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 162 |
| N. Mindanao | 56.7 | 43.3 | 37.1 | 7.5 | 16.4 | 1.3 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 227 |
| S. Mindanao | 65.4 | 34.6 | 27.5 | 14.0 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 4.5 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 483 |
| C. Mindanao | 54.7 | 45.3 | 27.5 | 11.5 | 27.5 | 2.3 | 8.4 | 2.3 | 16.0 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 180 |
| ARMM | 59.1 | 40.9 | 47.4 | 7.2 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Caraga | 60.7 | 39.3 | 31.5 | 7.9 | 27.6 | 1.6 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 144 |
| Total | 66.4 | 33.6 | 30.7 | 11.5 | 28.1 | 1.2 | 9.4 | 0.2 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 6,448 |

## CHAPTER 3

## FERTILITY

In line with the objective of measuring fertility levels, trends, and differentials, special care was given to administer a set of carefully worded questions to obtain accurate and reliable data on fertility. Data on fertility came from a full pregnancy history asked of all women aged 15-49 at the time of the survey. The sequence of questions was intended to derive information on all pregnancies that resulted in either a live birth or a miscarriage or still birth. For live births, women were asked questions about children still living at home, those living elsewhere, and those who had died. Since pregnancies were listed in order of occurrence, it was possible to probe cases in which the interval between pregnancies seemed too short or too long. For pregnancies not ending in a live birth, women were asked the month and year of the pregnancy termination as well as the duration of the pregnancy. For pregnancies that were lost before full term, women were asked whether a doctor or anyone else did something to end the pregnancy. This approach maximizes recall of all pregnancies and provides a richer data set for fertility analysis than a history of live births only.

The analysis in this chapter revolves around females who were born in a given time period (birth cohort) and on those who married during a given time period (marriage cohort). The fertility measures presented here are derived directly from the pregnancy history. The total fertility rate (TFR) is calculated by summing the age-specific fertility rates, and can be interpreted as the average number of births a hypothetical woman would have at the end of her reproductive life if she were subject to the currently prevailing age-specific rates from age 15 to 49 . The TFR remains the most significant demographic indicator in the analysis of the impact of national population programs, particularly family planning programs, on individual or group reproductive behavior. A three-year TFR was computed to provide the most recent estimates of current levels of fertility. The three-year rate also helps reduce sampling errors and avoid problems of displacement of births reported from 5 to 6 years prior to the survey. ${ }^{1}$

### 3.1 Current Fertility

Fertility levels in the Philippines between 1995 and early 1998, as measured by the TFR, have declined from the levels in 1990-1993. Data in Table 3.1 indicate that for the country as a whole, the TFR is estimated at 3.7 children per woman. This represents a decline from 4.1 children per woman in 1990-1993. The age-specific fertility rates show a pattern that peaks at ages $25-29$ and tapers off at the older ages. Table 3.1 also shows a general fertility rate (GFR) of 126 live births per 1,000 women age 15 44 years and a crude birth rate (CBR) of 28 births per 1,000 population. By all indicators, the current fertility level in the Philippines remains relatively high when compared with its Southeast Asian neighbors (Figure 3.1). Another notable feature of current fertility is the lower fertility of urban women in the country. The TFR for urban area is 3.0 births per woman, 1.7 less than the rural TFR. Lower urban fertility is observed across all age groups (Figure 3.2).

[^3]
## Table 3.1 Current fertility

Age-specific and cumulative fertility rates and the crude birth rate for the three years preceding the survey, by urban-rural residence, Philippines 1998

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age group | Urban | Rural | Total |
| $15-19$ | 30 | 69 | 46 |
| $20-24$ | 137 | 233 | 177 |
| $25-29$ | 182 | 247 | 210 |
| $30-34$ | 133 | 183 | 155 |
| $35-39$ | 84 | 142 | 111 |
| $40-44$ | 32 | 50 | 40 |
| $45-49$ | 4 | 10 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| TFR 15-49 | 3.01 | 4.67 | 3.73 |
| TFR 15-44 | 2.99 | 4.63 | 3.70 |
| GFR | 101 | 159 | 126 |
| CBR | 25.8 | 30.1 | 28.0 |

Note: Rates are for the period 1-36 months preceding the survey. Rates for age group 45-49 may be slightly biased due to truncation.
TFR: Total fertility rate expressed per woman
GFR: General fertility rate (births divided by number of women 15-44), expressed per 1,000 women

Figure 3.1
Total Fertility Rates in Selected Countries in Southeast Asia


[^4]Other; Population Reference Bureau, 1998 World Population Data Sheet

### 3.2 Fertility by Background Characteristics

Variations in fertility are evident from the data on current and cumulative fertility shown in Table 3.2. The mean number of children ever born (CEB) to the oldest women (40-49 years of age) is an indicator of completed fertility for it reflects the fertility performance of older women who are nearing the end of their reproductive years. If fertility has remained stable over time, the two fertility measures, TFR and CEB, would be equal or similar. Although a comparison of completed fertility among women aged $40-49$ years with the total fertility rate provides an indication of fertility change, this approach may be somewhat biased due to omission of births by older women. Nevertheless, consistency in the two measures with respect to urban-rural differentials and educational attainment is observed.

As noted earlier, urban women have fewer children than their rural counterparts. On average, urban women have at least one and a half children less than rural women have. This may be interpreted as arising from differences in levels of development between urban and rural areas (Figure 3.3).

Such differences are also substantiated by regional variations in fertility. Metropolitan Manila, the most developed region, exhibits the lowest TFR ( 2.5 children per woman) and the lowest mean number of children ever born ( 3 children per woman). In contrast, Eastern Visayas, one of the least developed regions in the country, shows the highest TFR (5.9) and a mean CEB of 6.2 children per woman. The difference in fertility between the two regions is more than 3 children.

The widely accepted negative effect of education on fertility is clearly borne out by the data. The depressing effect of education on fertility is best indicated by the difference in fertility rates between women with no education ( 5.0 children per woman) and those with higher education ( 2.9 children per woman). Thus, a Filipino woman without education can be expected to have almost twice as many children as a highly educated woman. The data suggest that a good mechanism for fertility reduction is to improve education of women. This would free women to spend more time on economic and other pursuits rather than childbearing which in turn would aid the family as a whole.

Figure 3.2
Age-Specific Fertility Rates by Residence


Figure 3.3
Total Fertility Rate among Women Age 15-49 by Residence and Education
Total Fertility Rate


### 3.3 Fertility Trends

To validate the data obtained from the 1998 NDHS, age-specific fertility rates can be compared with corresponding rates from periodic national demographic surveys from 1973 to 1993. Discrepancies will reflect a combination of real change, of differences in geographic coverage, of change in data collection procedures, and of estimation techniques in one or in all surveys. Nonetheless, they serve the purpose of reflecting recent change in fertility trends in the Philippines.

Table 3.3 and Figure 3.4 shows fertility rates for the 25 -year period preceding the survey. The rates reflect a five-year average centered on mid-period years for the 1973, 1978 and 1983 surveys and a three-year rate for the 1986, 1993 and 1998 surveys. In general, the TFR declines from 6.0 children per woman in 1970 to 3.7 in 1996, or a decrease of 2.3 births per woman during the 25 -year period. The pace of decline in fertility over time varies. During roughly the period 1970-1975, the TFR declined by 2.4 percent annually. This was followed by a smaller decline of 0.6 percent during the succeeding five-year period. The largest decline was during the first half of the 1980s and was estimated at 3.2 percent annually. The latter half of the 1980s once again revealed a slide back in the progress of fertility reduction with just 1.1 percent annual decline during the period 1984-1991. Between 1991 and 1996, the TFR decreased annually by 1.8 percent.

Through most of the 25 years, the mean age marriage for women has remained high and relatively stable, at about 22 or 23 years. The observed decline in fertility can be attributed to changes in family planning practices.

Retrospective data from a single survey can also be used to establish fertility trends over time. Using the 1998 NDHS age-specific fertility rates (ASFR) for the last 20 years are presented in Table 3.4 by five-year periods. The ASFRs are progressively truncated with increasing number of years from the time of the survey. Due to truncation, changes over the past 20 years are observed only for women up to age 29.

In terms of internal consistency, the data substantiate a fertility decline, as the ASFRs are higher in the distant past than in the more recent past. The minimal decline of fertility among women age 20-29 shown for periods $5-9$ and $10-14$ years before the survey is similar to the trend observed in previous surveys and, therefore, does not necessarily suggest recall problems or omission of births by older women.

Overall, fertility decline during the past 20 years has been moderate. For women aged 15-29, the TFR declined from 2.7 (15-19 years before the survey) to 2.2 ( $0-4$ years before the survey). A closer look at more recent fertility change by comparing ASFRs between $0-4$ years and $5-9$ years prior to the survey reveals that the relative reduction is largest for women under 20 years and those above age 35 .

### 3.4 Fertility by Marital Duration

Table 3.5 presents fertility rates for ever-married women by duration since first marriage for fiveyear periods preceding the survey. These rates are similar to the ones presented in Table 3.4 and are subject to similar problems of truncation. Declines are observed in all marital durations. For all five-year periods, marital fertility is higher in the more distant past than in the recent past.

## Table 3.3 Fertility trends

Age-specific and total fertility rates from various surveys, Philippines 1973-1998

|  | 1973 <br> NDS <br> $(1970)$ | 1978 <br> RPFS <br> $(1975)$ | 1983 <br> NDS <br> $(1980)$ | 1986 <br> CPS <br> $(1984)$ | 1993 <br> NDS <br> $(1991)$ | NDHS <br> $(1996)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | 56 | 50 | 55 | 48 | 50 | 46 |
| $20-24$ | 228 | 212 | 220 | 192 | 190 | 177 |
| $25-29$ | 302 | 251 | 258 | 229 | 217 | 210 |
| $30-34$ | 268 | 240 | 221 | 198 | 181 | 155 |
| $35-39$ | 212 | 179 | 165 | 140 | 120 | 111 |
| $40-44$ | 100 | 89 | 78 | 62 | 51 | 40 |
| $45-49$ | 28 | 27 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TFR | 5.97 | 5.24 | 5.08 | 4.42 | 4.09 | 3.73 |

Note: Rates for 1970 to 1980 are five-year averages and 1984 to 1996, three-year averages centered on the year in parentheses.

Sources: 1970 to 1984 - Concepcion, 1991, Table 4.10 1991 - NSO and Macro International Inc, 1994, Table 3.3


| Table 3.4 Age-specific fertility rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age-specific fertility rates for five-year periods preceding the survey, by mother's age at the time of the birth, Philippines$1998$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at time of birth | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |
| 15-19 | 50 | 57 | 64 | 63 |
| 20-24 | 177 | 199 | 207 | 223 |
| 25-29 | 210 | 224 | 226 | 251 |
| 30-34 | 161 | 181 | 189 | [221] |
| 35-39 | 106 | 124 | [138] | - |
| 40-44 | 43 | [69] | - |  |
| 45-49 | [8] | - | - | - |
| Note: Age-specific fertility rates are pe Estimates enclosed in brackets are truncated. |  |  |  |  |

### 3.5 Children Ever Born and Living

A basic measure of fertility is the number of children ever born (CEB) or current parity. This measure is based on a cross-sectional view at the time of survey and does not refer directly to the timing of fertility of individual respondents but is a measure of completed fertility. The number of CEB by age of women for all women and currently married women and the corresponding mean CEB as well as mean number of living children is presented in Table 3.6. Among all women, two out of five do not have any children. By contrast, only 6 percent of married women do not have any children.

Table 3.5 Fertility by marital duration
Fertility rates for ever-married women by duration (years) since first marriage, for five-year periods preceding the survey, Philippines 1998

| Marriage <br> duration <br> at birth | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ |
| $0-4$ | 370 | 393 | 404 | 397 |
| $5-9$ | 221 | 249 | 247 | 289 |
| $10-14$ | 143 | 164 | 205 | 252 |
| $15-19$ | 93 | 132 | 158 | $[219]$ |
| $20-24$ | 62 | 117 | $[153]$ | - |
| $25-29$ | 27 | $[39]$ | - | - |

Note: Fertility rates are per 1,000 women. Estimates enclosed in brackets are truncated.

Table 3.6 shows marked differences between married women and all women in the proportion without any children at younger ages. This is due to the fact that many women remain unmarried in their late teens and early twenties. Assuming that voluntary childlessness within marriage is rare, this figure may also be used to estimate primary sterility.

On the other hand, 3 percent of married women age $45-49$ are childless. The corresponding proportion without children among all women $45-49$ is higher, at 9 percent. The differences at older ages reflect the combined impact of marital dissolution, infertility and celibacy. It should be noted that while 1 out of 11 Filipino women $45-49$ remains childless, about 8 percent have 10 or more births.

Table 3.6 also shows that while the proportion of women with no children decreases with age, the proportion of women at higher parities increases with age, reflecting the process of family formation over time. Also, the mean CEB for all women and currently married women increases with increasing age indicating that the data are free from gross recall bias (Figure 3.5). The mean CEB for the whole sample of women is 2.2 children, while for married women, it is 3.4 children. It is interesting to note that for women completing their childbearing (age 45-49), the mean CEB is 4.7 children among all women and 5.1 children among married women.

## Table 3.6 Children ever born and living

Percent distribution of all women and of currently married women by number of children ever born (CEB) and mean number of children ever born and living, according to five-year age groups, Philippines 1998

| Age group | Number of children ever born (CEB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women | Mean number of CEB | Mean number of living children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 94.5 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,924 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 20-24 | 61.5 | 19.4 | 11.9 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,299 | 0.68 | 0.65 |
| 25-29 | 29.8 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 16.6 | 9.1 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,209 | 1.75 | 1.67 |
| 30-34 | 15.5 | 11.0 | 18.1 | 20.8 | 14.4 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 2.86 | 2.69 |
| 35-39 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 14.7 | 18.2 | 16.1 | 12.4 | 8.1 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1,842 | 3.75 | 3.47 |
| 40-44 | 9.7 | 7.4 | 10.1 | 17.7 | 15.5 | 12.9 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 1,480 | 4.16 | 3.81 |
| 45-49 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 10.5 | 12.7 | 13.8 | 9.8 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 1,170 | 4.74 | 4.28 |
| Total | 39.9 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 13,983 | 2.16 | 2.00 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 40.5 | 41.2 | 16.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 224 | 0.79 | 0.74 |
| 20-24 | 14.3 | 41.7 | 27.1 | 11.6 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 967 | 1.53 | 1.49 |
| 25-29 | 7.5 | 24.0 | 25.8 | 22.7 | 12.5 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,585 | 2.35 | 2.24 |
| 30-34 | 5.0 | 11.1 | 20.5 | 24.1 | 16.2 | 10.5 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,730 | 3.25 | 3.06 |
| 35-39 | 2.3 | 7.9 | 15.3 | 19.9 | 17.4 | 13.6 | 8.8 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1,602 | 4.11 | 3.81 |
| 40-44 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 11.1 | 18.8 | 16.9 | 14.1 | 9.4 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 1,243 | 4.58 | 4.18 |
| 45-49 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 10.8 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 8.4 | 100.0 | 965 | 5.14 | 4.65 |
| Total | 6.4 | 16.0 | 18.7 | 18.9 | 13.7 | 9.2 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 8,336 | 3.39 | 3.15 |

Besides describing average family size, information on the number of living children at the time of interview (reported by the respondent) or the current family size (derived from the pregnancy history) can give some indication of infant and child mortality. The data show that on the average, all women have a mean of 2 children still living and currently married women have a mean of 3.2 living children. It
is notable that the mean number of CEB and of children still living are not substantially different. On the average, both groups of women had a loss of 7 percent of all live births.


### 3.6 Birth Intervals

The timing of births has significant influence on both fertility and mortality. There is evidence that women with closely spaced births have higher fertility than women with longer birth intervals. Similarly, studies in diverse settings consistently show that shorter birth intervals increase the incidence of infant and child deaths.

Table 3.7 shows the percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by the length of the interval since the previous birth, classified by selected demographic and background characteristics of women. In general, the median length of the birth interval is slightly over 2 years, or 28 months. About 1 out of 5 births occurs four or more years after a previous birth. More than one-third of births occur within two years of a previous birth. This finding is cause for concern as it has implications for maternal and child health and survival. It has been shown that short birth intervals, particularly those less than two years in length, elevate the risk of death for mother and child (see Chapter 7).

Data on median birth interval by demographic and background characteristics reveal interesting differentials. Younger women who are just beginning their reproductive years exhibit shorter median birth intervals. These are estimated at 19 and 25 months for women under 20 and those 20-29, respectively. By contrast, women who are 40 or older report a median birth interval of 39 months, an interval more than twice as long as that of women aged 15-19 (Figure 3.6).

Median birth interval exhibits a generally curvilinear relationship with birth order, increasing from 27 months for second to third births, to a peak of 30 months for fourth through the sixth births, then declining to 29 months for seventh or higher births.

The data in Table 3.7 show that the birth interval does not vary by sex of the previous child. The survival status of the previous birth influences the timing of the next birth. For women whose previous birth is still living, the next birth occurs after 29 months. For those whose previous birth did not survive, the corresponding birth interval is shorter by 3.8 months. This finding raises interesting questions on the mechanism where by infant and child mortality influences birth intervals and fertility, particularly the question of whether mothers seek to replace deceased children as soon as possible.

Urban-rural residence and mother's education seem to exert some effect on the length of birth intervals. For women who live in urban areas, the median birth interval is 29.3 months, 1.5 months longer than for women who live in rural areas. As expected, better educated women space births more widely than uneducated women. Women with college education or higher have a median birth interval 4 months longer than women without schooling.

Figure 3.6
Median Number of Months Since Previous Birth by Age of Mother and Birth Order
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## Table 3.7 Birth intervals

Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by number of months since previous birth, according to demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Characteristic | Number of months since previous birth |  |  |  |  | Total | Median number of months since previous birth | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7-17 | 18-23 | 24-35 | 36.47 | $48+$ |  |  |  |
| Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 47.9 | 20.3 | 31.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 18.7 | 49 |
| 20-29 | 21.7 | 25.1 | 33.2 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 24.7 | 2,182 |
| 30-39 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 29.5 | 14.5 | 26.2 | 100.0 | 31.0 | 2,815 |
| $40+$ | 8.7 | 11.0 | 24.0 | 17.9 | 38.4 | 100.0 | 39.1 | 605 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 | 20.0 | 20.2 | 28.0 | 11.7 | 20.2 | 100.0 | 26.7 | 2,855 |
| 4-6 | 13.3 | 17.2 | 31.7 | 14.7 | 23.1 | 100.0 | 30.2 | 1,993 |
| $7+$ | 14.4 | 17.7 | 35.3 | 15.1 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 803 |
| Sex of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 16.5 | 17.8 | 30.8 | 13.8 | 21.0 | 100.0 | 28.7 | 2,917 |
| Female | 17.2 | 19.8 | 29.8 | 12.6 | 20.6 | 100.0 | 27.8 | 2,734 |
| Survival of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living | 15.8 | 19.0 | 30.8 | 13.4 | 21.0 | 100.0 | 28.5 | 5,327 |
| Dead | 33.8 | 15.5 | 23.6 | 9.6 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 24.7 | 324 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.2 | 16.7 | 27.1 | 13.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 | 29.3 | 2,439 |
| Rural | 15.8 | 20.4 | 32.8 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 27.8 | 3,212 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 17.9 | 13.4 | 28.3 | 13.4 | 27.0 | 100.0 | 30.3 | 707 |
| Cordillera Admin, | 16.7 | 21.6 | 33.0 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 100.0 | 28.0 | 122 |
| llocos | 14.1 | 20.0 | 36.1 | 11.8 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 248 |
| Cagayan Valley | 13.8 | 16.4 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 24.2 | 100.0 | 31.4 | 178 |
| C. Luzon | 17.5 | 19.6 | 21.6 | 11.4 | 29.8 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 507 |
| S. Tagalog | 17.7 | 19.3 | 28.9 | 13.4 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 28.9 | 826 |
| Bicol | 17.0 | 20.1 | 35.9 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 27.1 | 423 |
| W. Visayas | 17.4 | 19.3 | 30.4 | 13.8 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 27.6 | 429 |
| C. Visayas | 13.6 | 18.2 | 38.5 | 11.5 | 18.2 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 429 |
| E. Visayas | 17.6 | 20.2 | 31.0 | 14.0 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 27.7 | 370 |
| W. Mindanao | 15.5 | 18.1 | 29.9 | 16.2 | 20.2 | 100.0 | 28.7 | 231 |
| N. Mindanao | 15.8 | 21.5 | 31.5 | 14.1 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 26.7 | 259 |
| S. Mindanao | 17.0 | 21.4 | 24.4 | 13.2 | 24.1 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 343 |
| C. Mindanao | 18.4 | 17.5 | 31.3 | 13.6 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 207 |
| ARMM | 18.1 | 21.4 | 32.2 | 13.1 | 15.3 | 100.0 | 26.5 | 218 |
| Caraga | 17.5 | 19.3 | 33.9 | 10.5 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 153 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.9 | 21.8 | 27.7 | 14.7 | 16.9 | 100.0 | 26.2 | 151 |
| Elementary | 14.3 | 19.9 | 35.0 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 28.2 | 2,191 |
| High school | 17.6 | 19.5 | 29.9 | 11.9 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 27.9 | 2,092 |
| College or higher | 19.9 | 15.3 | 23.1 | 14.6 | 27.1 | 100.0 | 30.2 | 1,216 |
| Total | 16.8 | 18.8 | 30.3 | 13.2 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 5,651 |

Note: First-order births are excluded. The interval for multiple births is the number of months since the preceding pregnancy that ended in a live birth.

### 3.7 Age at First Birth

Onset of childbearing is an important fertility indicator. Postponing the first birth and lengthening the interval between subsequent births can contribute to fertility reductions. As can be seen in Table 3.8, early childbearing in the Philippines is not commonplace. Among women age 45-49, only 1 percent had their first birth at less than 15 years of age. This proportion diminishes among younger women. The reduction in the proportion giving birth in their early teens is associated with the rise in age at first marriage, which has been sustained during the past 25 years.

Even if age at first birth as reported by older women is subject to omission or misdating of early births, information gathered among women age 45-49 still gives some indication of the timing of first births and the tempo of fertility. Four out of 10 women in the oldest age group had their first birth during their early twenties ( 38 percent) and three out of ten ( 29 percent) after age 25 . A similar pattern is found for younger women, with a larger proportion of women 30-34 having their first birth at age 20-24 than after age 25 ( 37 and 23 percent, respectively). Clearly, most Filipino women have their first birth during their early twenties rather than in their teens. The median age at first birth shows a slight increase from 23 years among older women to 24 years among women age 25-29.

Differentials in age at first birth by selected background characteristics are presented in Table 3.9. The median age at first birth among women age $25-49$ is 23.3 years.

Filipino women in urban areas are about two years older than their rural counterparts when they first enter motherhood. Regional variations are less distinct but median age at first birth exceeds that of the country as a whole in two regions-Western Visayas and Central Visayas. Sharp education differentials, however, are observed. Women with higher education exhibit a median age at first birth higher than that of the unschooled women. The direct correlation between median age at first birth and education indicates the postponement of marriage and eventual first birth among women who stay longer in school.

Table 3.8 Age at first birth
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 years by age at first birth, according to current age, Philippines 1998

| Current age | Women with no births | Age at first birth |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Median age at first birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<15$ | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-24 | 25+ |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 94.5 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | 100.0 | 2,924 | a |
| 20-24 | 61.5 | 0.5 | 6.6 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 6.5 | NA | 100.0 | 2,299 | a |
| 25-29 | 29.8 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 20.6 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 2,209 | 23.9 |
| 30-34 | 15.5 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 21.5 | 23.2 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 23.4 |
| 35-39 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 8.7 | 13.3 | 18.2 | 21.4 | 28.5 | 100.0 | 1,842 | 23.1 |
| 40-44 | 9.7 | 0.9 | 9.7 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 21.6 | 26.3 | 100.0 | 1,480 | 22.9 |
| 45-49 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 8.8 | 14.3 | 16.6 | 21.4 | 29.1 | 100.0 | 1,170 | 23.1 |

NA = Not applicable
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ had a birth by age $x$

### 3.8 Teenage Fertility

As noted earlier, early childbearing, particularly births occurring before age 20, affects only a small segment of the population. However, this does not mean that the level of teenage childbearing and motherhood does not pose social and health threats to society. Table 3.10 presents data on fertility among women age 15-19 (teenagers) at the time of the survey. Of the women in this age group, 7percent have begun childbearing ( 6 percent are already mothers and 2 percent are pregnant with their first child).

Differences between subgroups of these women are evident. As expected, the proportion of women who have begun childbearing increases linearly with age, from less than one percent among those age 15 years to 21 percent among those age 19 years. Rural teenagers are almost twice as likely ( 11 percent) to experience teenage pregnancy as their urban counterparts ( 5 percent) (see Figure 3.7).

Consistent with urban-rural and regional differentials, the less urbanized regions of ARMM, Western Mindanao, Eastern Visayas, and Cagayan Valley all share higher proportions of teenage fertility relative to other regions of the country (Figure 3.8). This is particularly true for ARMM, a predominantly Muslim region, where cultural factors impinging on women's roles and status may partially explain the high proportion of teenagers who begun childbearing early ( 13 percent). In comparison, Metropolitan Manila, the premier region of the country, has the lowest proportion of teenagers who have begun childbearing ( 4 percent).

It may be inferred from the data that teenagers in urban areas where educational facilities are concentrated, particularly in Metropolitan Manila, have other alternatives in life than early childbearing. Another interpretation is that teenagers in urban areas and more urbanized regions and those in school have greater access to information and may therefore, be more knowledgeable on matters of safe sex.

The preventive effect of education on early childbearing is borne out by the data. There is a gradual decrease in the proportion who having begun childbearing from 17 percent among teens who had never been to school to 5 percent among those with higher education.

## Table 3.9 Median age at first birth

Median age at first birth among women age 25-49 years, by current age and selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & 25-49 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.3 | 24.3 | 23.9 | 23.7 | 24.1 | 24.3 |
| Rural | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 22.2 | 22.2 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | a | 25.7 | 24.7 | 24.3 | 25.1 | a |
| Cordillera Admin. | 21.7 | 22.5 | 21.9 | 20.7 | 22.3 | 21.8 |
| Ilocos | 24.3 | 23.3 | 22.6 | 24.5 | 21.6 | 23.2 |
| Cagayan Valley | 21.6 | 21.2 | 21.7 | 22.2 | 22.0 | 21.7 |
| C. Luzon | 23.5 | 23.3 | 23.7 | 22.9 | 23.3 | 23.3 |
| S. Tagalog | 23.4 | 22.9 | 23.6 | 23.1 | 23.0 | 23.2 |
| Bicol | 22.7 | 22.7 | 23.1 | 22.2 | 22.3 | 22.6 |
| W. Visayas | 24.8 | 23.8 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 24.8 | 24.0 |
| C. Visayas | 24.0 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 22.7 | 24.0 | 23.5 |
| E. Visayas | 22.9 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 21.9 | 21.6 |
| W. Mindanao | 22.8 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 21.3 | 22.8 | 22.3 |
| N. Mindanao | 23.5 | 22.9 | 22.4 | 21.0 | 21.9 | 22.6 |
| S . Mindanao | 23.3 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 22.7 |
| C. Mindanao | 22.0 | 23.1 | 23.8 | 21.6 | 21.7 | 22.7 |
| ARMM | 21.7 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 22.3 | 23.6 | 21.8 |
| Caraga | 23.4 | 23.2 | 21.6 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 22.3 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 19.9 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 21.8 | 20.5 |
| Elementary | 20.9 | 20.8 | 21.2 | 21.0 | 21.4 | 21.1 |
| High school | 23.0 | 22.7 | 22.6 | 22.4 | 22.9 | 22.7 |
| College or higher | a | 26.0 | 26.6 | 26.3 | 27.1 | a |
| Total | 23.9 | 23.4 | 23.1 | 22.9 | 23.1 | 23.3 |

Note: The medians for the $15-19$ cohort and for the 20-24 cohort could not be determined because half the women have not yet had a birth
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Medians were not calculated for these cohorts because less than 50 percent of women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ have had a birth by age $x$.

Table 3.10 Teenage pregnancy and motherhood
Percentage of teenagers age 15-19 years who are mothers or pregnant with their first child, by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Percentage who are: |  | Percentage who have begun child. bearing | Number of teenagers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mothers | Pregnant with first child |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 624 |
| 16 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 613 |
| 17 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 589 |
| 18 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 10.5 | 602 |
| 19 | 17.0 | 4.2 | 21.3 | 497 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.4 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 1,701 |
| Rural | 8.3 | 2.4 | 10.8 | 1,223 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 2.8 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 605 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 46 |
| llocos | 3.3 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 147 |
| Cagayan Valley | 5.0 | 5.6 | 10.6 | 106 |
| C. Luzon | 5.1 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 289 |
| S. Tagalog | 7.2 | 2.7 | 9.9 | 360 |
| Bicol | 8.4 | 1.4 | 9.8 | 135 |
| W. Visayas | 4.6 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 233 |
| C. Visayas | 4.3 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 228 |
| E. Visayas | 8.5 | 3.5 | 12.0 | 102 |
| W. Mindanao | 8.3 | 4.4 | 12.6 | 112 |
| N. Mindanao | 7.0 | 1.2 | 8.2 | 106 |
| S. Mindanao | 6.4 | 2.3 | 8.7 | 206 |
| C. Mindanao | 5.7 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 98 |
| ARMM | 11.4 | 1.7 | 13.1 | 83 |
| Caraga | 7.8 | 1.3 | 9.2 | 68 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 17.3 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 15 |
| Elementary | 11.5 | 4.4 | 15.9 | 471 |
| High school | 4.5 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 1,962 |
| College or higher | 3.0 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 476 |
| Total | 5.5 | 1.8 | 7.2 | 2,924 |

Figure 3.7
Percentage of Women Age 15-19 (Teenagers) Who Have Begun Childbearing by Residence and Education
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Figure 3.8
Percentage of Women Age 15-19 (Teenagers) Who Have Begun Childbearing by Region


## CHAPTER 4

## FAMILY PLANNING

### 4.1 Knowledge of Family Planning Methods and Their Sources

Lack of knowledge of family planning methods and their sources is a major obstacle to the use of contraception. As in other DHS surveys, information about knowledge of family planning methods and of the places where they can be obtained was generated by asking the respondent to name the ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy. If the respondent did not spontaneously mention a particular method, the interviewer described that method and asked the respondent if she recognized it. Thirteen methods (pill, IUD, injection, condom, ligation or female sterilization, vasectomy or male sterilization, calendar/rhythm method/periodic abstinence, mucus/Billings/ovulation, basal body temperature, symptothermal, lactational amenorthea method or LAM, breastfeeding, and withdrawal) were described in the questionnaire. Other methods not provided in the questionnaire but mentioned spontaneously by the respondent were also recorded. For all methods mentioned or recognized, the respondent was asked if she had ever used the method and if she knew where a person could obtain the method or, in the case of natural family planning, advice on how to use natural family planning. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Among currently married women as well as all women, virtually all respondents know of one or more family planning methods ( 99 percent and 98 percent, respectively) or a modern method ( 98 percent and 97 percent, respectively) (Figure 4.1 and Table 4.1). This high level of general contraceptive awareness has been observed in previous surveys, including the 1983, 1988, and 1993 National Demographic Surveys and the 1986 Contraceptive Prevalence Survey (Concepcion, 1991). Knowledge of traditional methods is only slightly less common than knowledge of modern methods.

The more widely known modern methods are the pill, condom, female sterilization, IUD, and injection. The least known modern methods include lactational amenorrhea method (LAM) and symptothermal method. Slightly less than 90 percent of married women are aware of the calendar/rhythm method and withdrawal.

Not all who claim to know a family planning method know where they can obtain it; however, the gap between knowledge of contraceptive methods and knowledge of their sources among married women is very small. Ninety-five percent know a source for the pill, 91 percent for condom, 87 percent for female sterilization, 86 percent for the IUD, 83 percent for injections, and 72 percent for male sterilization. It is not surprising that a smaller percentage of currently married women know a place where one can obtain advice on how to use the mucus/Billings/ovulation ( 22 percent), basal body temperature ( 20 percent), LAM (13 percent), and symptothermal methods ( 9 percent), which are not well known contraceptive methods.

[^5]Table 4.1 Knowledge of contraceptive methods and a source for methods
Percentage of all women and currently married women who know specific contraceptive methods and who know a source (for information or services), by specific methods, Philippines 1998

| Contraceptive method | Know method |  | Know a source |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All women | Currently married women | All women | Currently married women |
| Any method | 97.6 | 98.6 | 93.8 | 96.4 |
| Modern method | 97.0 | 98.0 | 93.7 | 96.4 |
| Pill | 95.9 | 97.3 | 90.8 | 94.6 |
| IUD | 86.7 | 91.8 | 78.4 | 85.5 |
| Injection | 82.8 | 89.1 | 75.8 | 83.3 |
| Condom | 93.4 | 95.4 | 86.7 | 90.7 |
| Female sterilization | 87.8 | 92.2 | 81.4 | 87.2 |
| Male sterilization | 69.4 | 78.4 | 63.2 | 71.8 |
| Mucus, Billings, ovulation | 20.8 | 23.8 | 18.6 | 21.6 |
| Basal body temperature | 20.7 | 22.6 | 18.2 | 20.0 |
| Symptothermal | 9.5 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 9.3 |
| Lactational amenorrhea | 13.4 | 15.0 | 11.9 | 13.4 |
| Traditional method | 88.2 | 94.1 | 71.0 | 80.1 |
| Calendar, rhythm | 78.6 | 87.1 | 71.0 | 80.1 |
| Breastfeeding | 54.9 | 62.2 | NA | NA |
| Withdrawal | 75.9 | 87.3 | NA | NA |
| Other traditional methods | 6.5 | 8.0 | NA | NA |
| Total | 13,983 | 8,336 | 13,983 | 8,336 |

$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable


Except for women in the age group 15-19, the level of knowledge of any method, modern methods, and sources for modern methods does not vary greatly by age. The age group 15-19 shows the lowest proportion having knowledge of methods and their sources (Table 4.2). There is also little difference in levels of knowledge by urban-rural residence, where the urban level is higher by only about two percentage points than the rural level.

Table 4.2 Knowledge of modern contraceptive methods and source for methods
Percentage of currently married women who know at least one modern contraceptive method and who know a source (for information or services), by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Know any method | Know a modern method ${ }^{1}$ | Know a source for modern method | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 96.5 | 96.0 | 90.6 | 244 |
| 20-24 | 98.7 | 98.2 | 96.2 | 967 |
| 25-29 | 98.7 | 98.0 | 96.7 | 1,585 |
| 30-34 | 99.0 | 98.3 | 96.7 | 1,730 |
| 35-39 | 98.8 | 98.0 | 96.9 | 1,602 |
| 40-44 | 98.5 | 98.1 | 96.7 | 1,243 |
| 45-49 | 97.9 | 97.3 | 95.6 | 965 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.3 | 99.0 | 97.9 | 4,222 |
| Rural | 97.8 | 96.8 | 94.8 | 4,114 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 99.7 | 99.6 | 98.1 | 1,298 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 99.2 | 99.0 | 95.1 | 136 |
| Hlocos | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 414 |
| Cagayan Valley | 99.0 | 98.4 | 96.5 | 322 |
| C. Luzon | 99.3 | 99.3 | 98.3 | 883 |
| S. Tagalog | 99.7 | 99.6 | 97.1 | 1,219 |
| Bicol | 99.6 | 99.4 | 97.8 | 481 |
| W. Visayas | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 627 |
| C. Visayas | 99.6 | 99.6 | 98.8 | 620 |
| E. Visayas | 99.5 | 99.1 | 96.7 | 395 |
| W. Mindanao | 91.0 | 90.3 | 89.5 | 343 |
| N. Mindanao | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 295 |
| S. Mindanao | 99.3 | 99.2 | 98.4 | 572 |
| C. Mindanao | 98.4 | 98.4 | 96.3 | 273 |
| ARMM | 77.9 | 61.9 | 57.5 | 252 |
| Caraga | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.1 | 208 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 64.9 | 51.1 | 44.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 98.6 | 97.7 | 95.0 | 2,756 |
| High school | 99.5 | 99.3 | 98.3 | 3,050 |
| College or higher | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.2 | 2,361 |
| Total | 98.6 | 98.0 | 96.4 | 8,336 |

[^6] basal body temperature, symptothermal method and lactational amenorrhea method (LAM).

When it comes to regional variations, only ARMM deviates from the practically universal knowledge of any method and any modern method. It is in the level of knowledge of a place to obtain a modern method that larger regional differentials exist. Women in Ilocos Region, Central Visayas, Northern Mindanao and Caraga have the highest levels of knowledge of a source for a modern method (at least 99 percent). ARMM shows the lowest level ( 58 percent).

Excluding women with no education, there are no substantial differentials in the proportion knowing at least one contraceptive method and a source for a modern method by level of education. Women with no education are much less likely to know about methods or their sources.

### 4.2 Ever Use of Family Planning Methods

For each method mentioned spontaneously or recognized after probing, the respondent was asked if she had ever used it. While the information is available for all women and married women, the analysis primarily focuses on married women who are at the greatest risk of pregnancy (Table 4.3). Seven in 10 married women have used a family planning method at some time; 53 percent have used a modern method and 44 percent have used a traditional method. The most popular modern method is the pill ( 36 percent) followed by the condom ( 14 percent), female sterilization ( 10 percent), and the IUD ( 9 percent). The remaining modern methods have small proportions of ever users. Among the traditional methods, withdrawal is the most popular ( 33 percent), followed by the calendar/rhythm method ( 24 percent).

In general, the level of ever use increases with age up to age 35-39, and then declines. However, the pattern varies for specific modern methods; ever use of the pill peaks at age 30-34, IUD use at age 3539, and female sterilization use at age 40-44.

## Table 4.3 Ever use of contraception

Among all women and currently married women, the percentage who have ever used a contraceptive method, by specific method and age, Philippines 1998

| Age |  |  | Modern methods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional methods |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | Injection | Condom | Female sterilization | Male sterilization | Mucus, Billings, ovulation | Basal body temperature | Symptothermal | Lactational amenorrhea | Any <br> tradi- <br> tional method | Calendar, rhythm | Withdrawal | Other methods |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 25.3 | 17.8 | 13.4 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 14.3 | 5.7 | 11.4 | 0.9 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 52.1 | 37.9 | 28.1 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 32.4 | 14.7 | 25.3 | 1.5 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 66.2 | 52.0 | 36.5 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 13.8 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 42.6 | 23.4 | 32.6 | 2.8 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 69.9 | 54.1 | 35.9 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 44.2 | 28.1 | 30.2 | 3.4 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 63.8 | 49.3 | 30.6 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 40.6 | 26.2 | 28.8 | 3.2 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 56.8 | 42.4 | 25.3 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 15.7 | 15.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 36.5 | 22.7 | 26.0 | 3.8 | 1,170 |
| Total | 43.4 | 32.8 | 22.4 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 27.2 | 15.2 | 20.1 | 1.9 | 13,983 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 28.6 | 19.5 | 14.5 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 16.3 | 4.4 | 14.1 | 0.6 | 244 |
| 20-24 | 57.7 | 40.6 | 30.9 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 32.5 | 12.9 | 25.9 | 1.6 | 967 |
| 25-29 | 70.2 | 50.9 | 37.6 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 44.4 | 20.1 | 34.9 | 2.1 | 1,585 |
| 30-34 | 75.7 | 59.7 | 41.5 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 15.9 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 49.2 | 27.1 | 37.5 | 3.2 | 1,730 |
| 35-39 | 76.6 | 59.6 | 39.8 | 10.3 | 6.4 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 48.8 | 30.7 | 33.2 | 3.8 | 1,602 |
| 40-44 | 71.2 | 54.4 | 33.9 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 18.7 | 19.9 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 46.3 | 29.8 | 33.1 | 3.8 | 1,243 |
| 45-49 | 64.0 | 48.3 | 28.4 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 41.2 | 25.9 | 29.6 | 4.2 | 965 |
| Total | 69.4 | 52.5 | 35.8 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 14.2 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 44.0 | 24.4 | 32.6 | 3.0 | 8,336 |

### 4.3 Current Use of Family Planming Methods

The level of current use of contraception is the most widely used and valuable measure of the success of the Philippine Family Planning Program (PFPP). As with ever use, the information on current use is available for all women and currently married women but the analysis focuses on the latter (Table 4.4). Thus, contraceptive prevalence is defined as the proportion of married women age 15-49 years who were using some method of family planning at the survey date.

An inverted U-shaped pattern of prevalence by age is observed, which is typical of most countries. Among currently married women, a peak in use occurs at age 35-39 for any method or any traditional method and at age $30-34$ years for any modern method (Table 4.4). However, as with the data on ever use, the peak occurs in different age groups for specific modern methods. As expected, permanent methods such as female sterilization are popular among older women who are more likely to have completed their families and want to stop childbearing altogether. In contrast, the use of pill is popular among the younger women who are still in their early stages of family building, peaking at age 20-29 years. Current use of calendar/rhythm/periodic abstinence is highest among married women age 35-39 years, while withdrawal is highest among those age 25-29 years.

The contraceptive prevalence rate is 47 percent; 28 percent are using modern methods and 18 percent traditional methods. Female sterilization and the pill are the most preferred methods ( 10 percent each). Withdrawal and calendar/rhythm rank next ( 9 percent each), IUD fifth ( 4 percent), followed by injection and condom ( 2 percent each). The remaining methods have fewer users, each being used by one percent or less of married women (see Figure 4.2).


## Table 4.4 Current use of contraception by age

Percent distribution of all women and currently married women by contraceptive method currently used, according to age, Philippines 1998

| Age | Any method | Any modern method | Modern methods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Any traditional method | Traditional methods |  |  |  | Not <br> cur- <br> rently <br> using | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pill | IUD | Injection | Condom | Female sterilization | Male sterilization | Mucus, Billings, ovulation | Lactational amenorrhea |  | Calen dar, rhythm | Breastfeeding ${ }^{1}$ | Withdrawal | Other methods |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 16.2 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 100.0 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 35.1 | 21.2 | 11.3 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 13.9 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 8.4 | 0.2 | 64.9 | 100.0 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 44.1 | 27.6 | 11.8 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 16.5 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 55.9 | 100.0 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 48.1 | 28.3 | 7.3 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 10.9 | 0.5 | 7.9 | 0.4 | 51.9 | 100.0 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 41.4 | 24.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 10.2 | 0.1 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 58.6 | 100.0 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 29.1 | 18.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 15.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 70.9 | 100.0 | 1,170 |
| Total | 28.2 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -- | 11.0 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 71.8 | 100.0 | 13,983 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 18.3 | 11.4 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 81.7 | 100.0 | 244 |
| 20-24 | 37.4 | 23.5 | 15.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 10.0 | 0.1 | 62.6 | 100.0 | 967 |
| 25-29 | 48.6 | 29.3 | 15.7 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 19.3 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 11.7 | 0.2 | 51.4 | 100.0 | 1,585 |
| 30-34 | 52.1 | 32.5 | 13.9 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 19.6 | 9.6 | 0.6 | 8.9 | 0.4 | 47.9 | 100.0 | 1,730 |
| 35-39 | 54.1 | 31.6 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 14.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 12.4 | 0.6 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 45.9 | 100.0 | I,602 |
| 40-44 | 48.6 | 28.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 19.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 12.1 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 51.4 | 100.0 | 1,243 |
| 45-49 | 34.3 | 21.5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 17.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 65.7 | 100.0 | 965 |
| Total | 46.5 | 28.2 | 9.9 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -- | 18.3 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 53.5 | 100.0 | 8,336 |

A review of survey results over the past three decades reveals that the percentage using contraceptives at the time of the interview among women of childbearing age increased from 15 percent in 1968 to 48 percent in 1996. In 1997, it declined slightly to 47 percent and remained at this level until 1998 (see Table 4.5 and Figure 4.3). The use of modern contraception increased steadily from 3 percent in 1968 to 22 percent in 1988, and further to 31 percent in 1997, before declining slightly to 28 percent in 1998. The increase between 1968 and 1988 is mainly due to a significant rise in the percentage of sterilized women from less than 1 percent in 1968 to 11 percent in 1988. On the other hand, the use of traditional methods increased from 7 percent in 1973 to its peak at 21 percent in 1978. It subsequently declined to 15 percent in 1993, and then rose to a level of 18 percent in 1998.

Table 4.5 Trends in contraceptive use
Percentage of currently married women $15-44$ using modern contraceptive methods and traditional methods, Philippines, 1968-1998


Sources: World Bank, 1991; NSO, 1996; NSO, 1997; NSO and Macro International, 1994
${ }^{1}$ Based on currently married women 15-49

Figure 4.3
Trends in Contraceptive Use Philippines 1968-1998


The level of current contraceptive use is higher in urban areas ( 51 percent) than in rural areas (42 percent). Among urban women, female sterilization ( 13 percent) is the most popular method, followed by the pill (11 percent), whereas among rural women, the most commonly used methods are the pill (9 percent), withdrawal (8 percent), and calendar/rhythm/periodic abstinence (8 percent) (Table 4.6).

Differentials in current contraceptive use among the 16 administrative regions of the country are large. The use of any contraceptive method is highest in Central Luzon ( 55 percent), Southern Mindanao ( 55 percent) and Northern Mindanao ( 54 percent), while Autonomous Region of Muslim Mindanao (ARMM) manifests the lowest use ( 16 percent). However, when current use of any modern method is examined, Cagayan Valley ranks first ( 39 percent), followed by Southern Mindanao ( 36 percent) and Central Luzon ( 35 percent). ARMM registers the lowest level of current use of modern methods ( 9 percent). The ranking changes with the current use of any traditional method with Central Visayas showing the highest level ( 23 percent) and ARMM the lowest ( 7 percent). The top ranking regions when pill use is considered are Cagayan Valley and Western Mindanao ( 16 percent each), and for female sterilization they are Central Luzon (19 percent) and Cordillera Administrative Region (15 percent).

| Table 4.6 Current use of contraception by background characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Modern methods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional methods |  |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number of women |
| Background characteristic | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | Injection | Condom | Female sterilization | Male <br> steri- <br> liza- <br> tion | Mucus, Billings, ovulation | Lactational amen-ormea | Any tradi-tionalmethod | Calendar hythm | Breastfeeding ${ }^{1}$ | Withdrawal | Othermethods |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.7 | 31.3 | 10.7 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 19.4 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 9.3 | 0.2 | 49.3 | 100.0 | 4,222 |
| Rural | 42.2 | 25.0 | 9.1 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 8.0 | 0.4 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 57.8 | 100.0 | 4,114 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 49.4 | 28.7 | 10.6 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 20.7 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 50.6 | 100.0 | 1,298 |
| Cordillera Adrnin. | 42.0 | 30.6 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 14.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 58.0 | 100.0 | 136 |
| locos | 43.2 | 28.6 | 10.1 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 13.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 56.8 | 100.0 | 414 |
| Cagayan Valley | 48.3 | 38.8 | 16.4 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 9.4 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 100.0 | 322 |
| C. Luzon | 54.8 | 35.1 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 45.2 | 100.0 | 883 |
| S. Tagalog | 45.0 | 26.6 | 7.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 1,219 |
| Bicol | 36.3 | 19.3 | 8.1 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 63.7 | 100.0 | 481 |
| W. Visayas | 45.0 | 25.5 | 8.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 19.5 | 11.7 | 0.6 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 627 |
| C. Visayas | 51.5 | 28.1 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 14.9 | 0.7 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 48.5 | 100.0 | 620 |
| E. Visayas | 37.5 | 16.7 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.5 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 395 |
| W. Mindanao | 43.8 | 30.0 | 15.7 | 6.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 9.7 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 56.2 | 100.0 | 343 |
| N. Mindanao | 54.0 | 33.9 | 13.8 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 100.0 | 295 |
| S. Mindanao | 55.2 | 35.8 | 14.4 | 9.4 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 44.8 | 100.0 | 572 |
| C. Mindanao | 45.2 | 28.5 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 54.8 | 100.0 | 273 |
| ARMM | 15.8 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 84.2 | 100.0 | 252 |
| Caraga | 48.8 | 28.7 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 51.2 | 100.0 | 208 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.3 | 9.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 84.7 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 41.1 | 25.4 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 58.9 | 100.0 | 2,756 |
| High school | 50.2 | 30.5 | 11.7 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 9.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 19.7 | 8.4 | 0.6 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 49.8 | 100.0 | 3,050 |
| College or higher | 50.3 | 29.9 | 9.8 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 11.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 20.4 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 49.7 | 100.0 | 2,361 |
| Number of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 96.9 | 100.0 | 560 |
| 1 | 35.5 | 18.2 | 11.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 64.5 | 100.0 | 1,406 |
| 2 | 52.1 | 30.3 | 14.1 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 10.0 | 0.3 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 47.9 | 100.0 | 1,652 |
| 3 | 59.0 | 40.1 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 18.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 18.8 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 41.0 | 100.0 | 1,666 |
| 4+ | 49.8 | 30.2 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 14.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 19.6 | 10.1 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 50.2 | 100.0 | 3,052 |
| Total | 46.5 | 28.2 | 9.9 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 53.5 | 100.0 | 8,336 |

Current contraceptive use differs by level of education. Only 15 percent of married women with no formal education are currently using a method, compared with 41 percent of women with at most some elementary education, and 50 percent of those with at least some secondary education or higher. Among women with no education or only some elementary schooling, female sterilization is the most widely used method, followed by withdrawal. Among women with some secondary schooling, the pill is the most widely used method, followed by withdrawal. It is interesting to note that among women who have at least some college education or higher, calendar/rhythm is the most commonly used method, followed by female sterilization.

The level of contraceptive use is also strongly related to the number of children a woman has. Only 3 percent of married women with no children are currently using a family planning method. After the first child, contraceptive use increases sharply with the number of living children, peaking at 59 percent among women with 3 children, after which it declines.

### 4.4 Number of Children at First Use of Family Planning

In many cultures, family planning is used only when couples have already had as many children as they want. However, as the concept of family planning gains acceptance, the motivation to use family planning may either be to space births or to limit family size. Table 4.7 shows the percent distribution of ever-married women by the number of living children at the time of first use, by the respondent's age at the time of the interview. The results indicate that Filipino women are adopting family planning fairly early in the family building process although only 4 percent of ever-users began using immediately after marriage or before the first birth. Overall, about one in three women began using family planning after the first child and about one in six started using after two children.

Table 4.7 Number of children at first use of contraception
Percent distribution of ever-married women by number of living children at the time of first use of contraception, according to current age, Philippines 1998

| Current age | Never used contraception | Number of living children at time of first use of contraceptive |  |  |  |  | Missing | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 68.7 | 6.5 | 21.0 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 248 |
| 20-24 | 40.1 | 7.0 | 39.5 | 9.8 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,004 |
| 25-29 | 28.2 | 4.7 | 38.5 | 17.1 | 7.2 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,657 |
| 30-34 | 23.4 | 3.2 | 35.2 | 15.9 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,820 |
| 35-39 | 22.3 | 2.8 | 31.5 | 17.4 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,703 |
| 40-44 | 29.4 | 2.6 | 25.4 | 15.4 | 12.1 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,371 |
| 45-49 | 35.5 | 1.7 | 21.1 | 15.1 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,093 |
| Total | 29.7 | 3.6 | 32.0 | 15.2 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,896 |

The timing of first contraceptive use in terms of the number of living children varies among the different age cohorts of women. A higher percentage of younger women compared with older women started using contraception after having one child. For instance, two out of five women aged 20-24 years first used contraception after having one child, compared with one out of five women aged 45-49 years. The two age cohorts are worth noting as the 45-49 cohort has a slightly higher percentage of ever-users of contraception compared to the $20-24$ cohort ( 64 percent versus 60 percent).

### 4.5 Problems with Current Method

Identifying problems with the use of specific methods has practical implications for future educational and publicity campaigns. Therefore, the 1998 NDHS included a question for all current users as to whether they had experienced any problems with the method they were using and if so, what the main problem was. The results are shown in Table 4.8. Ninety percent or more of current users of the condom, female sterilization, withdrawal, and the IUD reported having no problems with the method they were currently using. A slightly lower percentage of current users of the pill (86 percent) reported the same. Of those who have had problems with their methods, side effects were generally cited as the most common problem. Health concerns were reported by a little more than one percent of the current users of the pill, IUD, female sterilization and withdrawal. It should be mentioned that this question, which asks about problems with current method, may not have elicited a full reporting of problems. Women who had serious problems are likely to have discontinued the method.

## Table 4.8 Problems with current method of contraception

Percent distribution of contraceptive users by the main problem with current method, according to specific methods, Philippines 1998

|  | Female <br> sterili- <br> zation |  |  |  | With- <br> drawal |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Main problem | Pill | IUD | Condom |  |  |
| No problem | 85.9 | 89.4 | 95.3 | 89.9 | 89.8 |
| Husband disapproves | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Side effects | 12.1 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| Health concerns | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Access/availability | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cost | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Inconvenient to use | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 831 | 310 | 138 | 898 | 742 |

### 4.6 Knowledge of Fertile Period

An elementary knowledge of reproductive physiology is useful for successful practice of coitusassociated methods such as withdrawal, condom and vaginal methods. Such knowledge is particularly critical in the practice of calendar/rhythm method and natural family planning methods such as mucus/Billings/ovulation, basal body temperature, and symptothermal method. In the 1998 NDHS, women were asked during which days of a woman's menstrual cycle a woman has the greatest chance of becoming pregnant. A fifth of all women interviewed said they did not know when they are fertile during their ovulatory cycle (Table 4.9). In contrast, only a small proportion of those who have used calendar/rhythm ( 7 percent) did not know when a woman is most likely to get pregnant. However, only 14 percent of all women and 26 percent of ever-users of calendar/rhythm gave the "correct" response, that is, a woman's fertile period occurs in the middle of her cycle. About 33 percent of all women and 35 percent of ever-users of calendar/rhythm method said that a woman is most likely to conceive right after her period has ended. Smaller proportions reported that the least safe period is just before a woman's period begins. One-fifth of all women and 16 percent of users of calendar/rhythm reported that there is no particular time when women are at greater risk of conceiving. The findings indicate a need to further educate potential and actual users of natural family planning on the ovulatory process.

Table 4.9 Knowledge of fertile period
Percent distribution of all women and of women who have ever used calendar/rhythm by knowledge of the fertile period during the ovulatory cycle, Philippines 1998

| Perceived <br> fertile period | Ever-users of <br> calendar/ <br> rhythm | All <br> women |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| During menstrual period | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Right after period has ended | 34.5 | 33.2 |
| In the middle of the cycle | 25.7 | 13.8 |
| Just before period begins | 3.8 | 5.6 |
| Other | 13.1 | 6.8 |
| No particular time | 15.7 | 19.6 |
| Don't know | 6.5 | 20.1 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 728 | 13,983 |

### 4.7 Timing of Sterilization

In the Philippines where female sterilization is one of the most widely used methods of contraception, information about the trend in age at adoption of sterilization is very useful. To minimize problems of censoring, the median age at the time of the operation is calculated for women sterilized at less than 40 years of age. Data shown in Table 4.10 indicate that 73 percent of sterilized women had their operation at age $25-34$, and 12 percent were sterilized before age 25 . There is evidence that, over time, women are having the operation at older ages; the median age at sterilization is 29 for women sterilized $8-9$ years ago, and 32 for women who had the operation less than 2 years ago. Overall, the median age is 30 years.

| Table 4.10 Timing of sterilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of sterilized women by age at the time of sterilization, according to the number of years since the operation, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age at time of sterilization |  |  |  |  |  | TotalNumber <br> of <br> women |  | Median age |
| operation | <25 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |  |
| <2 | 4.1 | 30.8 | 33.6 | 24.0 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 103 | 31.9 |
| 2-3 | 4.6 | 41.1 | 29.4 | 16.8 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 85 | 30.1 |
| 4-5 | 6.7 | 23.8 | 49.8 | 11.8 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 88 | 31.5 |
| 6-7 | 7.3 | 33.9 | 32.3 | 24.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 121 | 31.2 |
| 8-9 | 11.8 | 44.2 | 29.8 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 84 | 28.9 |
| 10+ | 18.1 | 45.6 | 31.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 417 | b |
| Total | 12.1 | 39.6 | 33.3 | 12.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 898 | 29.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Median age was calculated only for women less than 40 years of age to avoid problems of censoring.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Not calculated due to censoring

### 4.8 Willingness to Pay for Contraceptive Method Used

Slightly more than one-third ( 35 percent) of current users of pills obtain these free of charge (Table 4.11). Three out of ten purchase a packet of pills at less than 10 pesos, 15 percent, at 10 to 24 pesos and another 14 percent at 25 to 99 pesos. When asked how much they are willing to pay for the pills, more than half ( 55 percent) of pill users expressed willingness to pay between 10 and 50 pesos per packet. Around one-fifth would still purchase it at more than 50 pesos but not to exceed 99 pesos.

Of the users of injection, one-third obtain it for free, while around three-fifths pay less than 100 pesos per injection. Less than 5 percent pay 100 pesos or more. However, almost three out of ten are willing to pay 100 pesos or more per injection, one-quarter from 50 to 99 pesos, and 48 percent would rather pay less than 50 pesos.

Almost three out of ten IUD users do not pay for the method, while more than half ( 53 percent) pay less than 100 pesos. Surprisingly, four out of ten IUD users expressed willingness to pay for the method at 100 pesos or more. Almost half of condom users are willing to pay less than 20 pesos for a packet of condoms. This is to be expected since 28 percent obtain the method for free, while another 39 percent pay less than 10 pesos per packet.

| Table 4.11 Willingness to pay for contraceptive method used |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of current users of pill, injection, IUD; and condom, by cost of method and by amount willing to pay for method, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pill users |  |  | Injection users |  |  | IUD users |  |  | Condom users |  |  |
| Cost | Current cost | Amount willing to pay | Cost | Current cost | Amount willing to pay | Cost | Current cost | Amount willing to pay | Cost | Current cost | Amount willing to pay |
| Free | 35.4 | - | Free | 33.2 | - | Free | 27.1 | - | Free | 28.3 | - |
| <10 pesos | 30.2 | 7.4 | $<10$ pesos | 13.4 | 3.2 | < 10 pesos | 6.1 | 0.6 | $<10$ pesos | 38.6 | 15.5 |
| 10-24 pesos | 14.7 | 29.5 | 10-19 pesos | 17.5 | 13.0 | 10-19 pesos | 8.3 | 7.6 | 10-19 pesos | 18.1 | 32.3 |
| 25-49 pesos | 3.8 | 25.1 | 20-29 pesos | 10.2 | 17.4 | 20-29 pesos | 12.1 | 10.7 | 20-29 pesos | 2.7 | 20.4 |
| 50-74 pesos | 5.0 | 11.5 | 30-49 pesos | 7.5 | 14.1 | 30-49 pesos | 6.5 | 9.4 | 30-49 pesos | 2.2 | 12.8 |
| 75-99 pesos | 5.4 | 9.8 | 50-99 pesos | 13.8 | 23.2 | 50-99 pesos | 19.9 | 29.1 | 50-99 pesos | 1.5 | 6.9 |
| 100-149 pesos | 4.2 | 8.3 | 100-249 pesos | 2.5 | 14.3 | 100-249 pesos | 12.4 | 18.7 | 100-249 pesos | 0.8 | 8.4 |
| 150-199 pesos | 0.0 | 1.7 | 250-499 pesos | 1.3 | 11.1 | 250-499 pesos | 4.3 | 13.3 | 250-499 pesos | 1.4 | 3.7 |
| 200-299 pesos | 0.4 | 1.2 | 500-749 pesos | 0.2 | 2.5 | 500-749 pesos | 1.1 | 4.9 |  |  |  |
| 300 pesos | 0.1 | 2.3 | 750-999 pesos | 0.5 | 0.7 | 750-999 pesos | 1.1 | 1.3 |  |  |  |
| $>300$ pesos | 0.4 | 3.0 | 1,000 pesos | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1,000 pesos | 0.0 | 3.9 |  |  |  |
| Don't know/ missing | 0.5 | 0.2 | Don't know/ missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | Don't know/ missing | 1.2 | 0.6 | Don't know/ missing | 6.4 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean | 19.6 | 51.5 | Mean | 28.0 | 85.1 | Mean | 65.8 | 146.1 | Mean | 13.0 | 32.3 |
| No. of users | 831 | 831 | No. of users | 198 | 198 | No. of users | 310 | 310 | No. of users | 138 | 138 |

### 4.9 Source of Supply of Modern Contraceptive Methods Currently Used

Information on sources of modern contraceptives currently used is useful for family planning program managers and implementors. The public sector (Table 4.12) emerges as the main source for a large majority of current users ( 72 percent) of modern contraceptives. In fact, about nine in 10 users of injection, four in five users of IUD, and three in four users of the pill obtained their methods from government sources. While government hospitals are the main source of female sterilization, barangay health stations and urban/rural health centers are the main sources for the pill, IUD, and injection. Pharmacies are an important source of pills and condoms.

Table 4.12 Source of supply for modern contraceptive methods
Percent distribution of current users of modern contraceptive methods by most recent source of supply, according to specific methods, Philippines 1998

| Source of supply | Pill | IUD | Injection | Condom | Female <br> sterilization | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector | 76.4 | 82.4 | 92.0 | 41.4 | 65.6 | 72.0 |
| Government hospital | 1.8 | 10.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 54.1 | 2.7 |
| Rural/urban health station | 31.3 | 41.4 | 33.7 | 17.4 | 7.1 | 22.7 |
| Barangay health station | 38.6 | 28.3 | 53.0 | 21.9 | 3.3 | 23.9 |
| Barangay supply office | 4.3 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.9 |
| Other public | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical private | 22.7 | 15.8 | 7.5 | 54.1 | 32.7 | 26.3 |
| Private hospital/clinic | 3.2 | 13.4 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 30.8 | 15.4 |
| Private doctor | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Private nurse/midwife | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Pharmacy | 15.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 8.1 |
| Store | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| NGO | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Industry based clinic | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Other private |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puericulture center | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Church | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Friends/relatives | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Other | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Number of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Total includes 12 users of male sterilization, 13 users of mucus/Billings/ovulation method and 4 LAM users.

Aside from the type of source, information on the length of time needed to reach the source from home was obtained for women who are currently using a modern contraceptive method. Table 4.13 shows the relevant information by urban-rural residence for current users of modern contraceptives. Among current users of modern contraceptives, the median time to reach a source is 30 minutes. The same length of time holds true for rural users, while urban users need about 20 minutes, on average.

| Table 4.13 Time to source of supply for modern contraceptive methods |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women who are currently using a modern contraceptive method by time (minutes) to reach a source of supply, according to urban-rural residence, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |
| Time (minutes) | Women who are currently using a modern method |  |  |
| to source | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 0-14 | 37.4 | 26.4 | 32.7 |
| 15-29 | 17.3 | 15.2 | 16.4 |
| 30-59 | 22.9 | 25.1 | 23.8 |
| 60+ | 21.5 | 32.8 | 26.4 |
| Don't know time | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Don't know source | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median time to source | 20.2 | 30.4 | 30.0 |
| Number of women | 1,363 | 1,040 | 2,403 |

### 4.10 Contraceptive Discontinuation Rates

Population program managers are greatly interested in fostering improvements in the quality of contraceptive practice. One means of assessing the quality of contraceptive practice is to look at the contraceptive discontinuation rates which represent the proportion of users who discontinued the use of a method within 12 months after the start of use. (For a technical discussion on the methodology of calculating this measure see Macro International, 1992). Table 4.14 shows the contraceptive discontinuation rates due to various reasons for selected contraceptive methods. The results show that overall, two in five users discontinue during the first year of use. The discontinuation rate is highest for condom ( 60 percent), followed by injection ( 52 percent), withdrawal ( 46 percent), and the pill ( 44 percent). The IUD has the lowest discontinuation rate-14 percent (see Figure 4.4). Withdrawal and calendar/rhythm show higher failure rates than the other methods; 22 percent of withdrawal users and 18 percent of the users of calendar/rhythm become pregnant within a year after starting the method. Side effects/health reasons are cited as the main causes for discontinuing use of injections ( 32 percent) and the pill (18 percent).
"Other reasons" is an important category for condom. These include method-related reasons such as desire for a more effective method, inconvenience and disapproval of the respondent's husband.

Table 4.14 First-year discontinuation rates for contraception
Proportion of contraceptive users who discontinued use of a method by 12 months after beginning the method, due to method failure, desire to become pregnant, or other reason, according to specific methods, Philippines 1998

|  | Reason for discontinuing contraceptive method |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Method <br> failure | Desire <br> to become <br> pregnant | Side <br> effects/ <br> Health <br> concerns | All <br> other <br> reasons | All <br> reasons |
| Method | 5.4 | 4.5 | 17.7 | 16.2 | 43.8 |
| Pill | 1.1 | 0.6 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 14.3 |
| IUD | 1.9 | 2.2 | 31.8 | 15.9 | 51.8 |
| Injection | 8.5 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 43.7 | 60.1 |
| Condom | 18.4 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 11.3 | 35.9 |
| Calendar, rhythm | 18.9 | 4.5 | 13.8 | 45.7 |  |
| Withdrawal | 22.4 | 5.0 | 9.8 | 15.2 | 41.1 |
| All methods | 12.0 | 4.1 |  |  |  |

Note: Figures are based on life-table calculations.

## Figure 4.4

 Contraceptive Discontinuation Rates for First Year of Use

Table 4.15 presents reasons for discontinuation among ever users who have discontinued use of a method Juring the five years preceding the survey. Considering all methods, accidental pregnancy stands out as the most important reason of stopping use of contraception. Side effects ranks second (16 percent) and desire for pregnancy is third ( 15 percent). Manipulable program variables, such as access/availability and cost of methods, are minor reasons for discontinuing use of any method. Looking at specific methods, the most common reason for discontinuing use of the pill, IUD and injection is side effects, while method failure is reported by users of withdrawal and calendar/rhythm. Method failure accounts lay ahout half of the discontinuations of traditional methods. More users of condom discontinue use due +. inconvenience than for any other reason.

Table 4.15 Reasons for discontinuing of contraception
Percent distribution of discontinuations of contraceptive methods in the five years preceding the survey by main reason for discontinuation, according to specific methods, Philippines 1998

| Reason for discontinuation | Modern method discontinued |  |  |  | Traditional method discontinued |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pill | IUD | Injection | Condom | Calendar rhythm | Withdrawal | Other |  |
| Became pregnant | 13.0 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 16.7 | 50.7 | 52.5 | 28.1 | 31.4 |
| To become pregnant | 17.0 | 20.3 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 19.4 | 12.4 | 17.3 | 15.1 |
| Husband disapproved | 0.8 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 9.8 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Side effects | 28.1 | 36.0 | 44.6 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 15.5 |
| Health concerns | 8.6 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 5.6 |
| Access/availability | 1.6 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| More effective method | 2.0 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 5.6 |
| Inconvenient to use | 2.6 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 27.3 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| Infrequent sex | 11.3 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 6.0 |
| Cost | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| Fatalistic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Menopause | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| Marital dissolution | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Other | 8.2 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 14.1 | 5.4 |
| Don't know | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.6 |
| Missing | 5.3 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 6.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,295 | 179 | 275 | 287 | 876 | 1,130 | 110 | 4,152 |

### 4.11 Intentions for Future Family Planning Use among Nonusers

Intention to use contraception in the future provides a forecast of potential demand for services, and acts as a convenient summary indicator of disposition towards contraception among current nonusers. Intention not to use contraception in the future is useful in identifying "hard core" targets for program managers and implementors. Among currently married nonusers, 54 percent do not intend to use a family planning method in the future (Table 4.16). One in three nonusers indicated their intent to use a contraceptive method in the next 12 months, while 8 percent said they will use it later. Among currently married nonusers with no living children, a much lower percentage ( 13 percent) intend to use a method in the next 12 months but 24 percent of them said they will use it later.

| Table 4.16 Future use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women who are not using a contraceptive method by intention to use in the future, according to number of living children, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Total |
| Future intention | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ |  |
| Intends to use in next 12 months | 12.5 | 31.3 | 39.0 | 37.0 | 33.1 | 32.8 |
| Intends to use later | 23.5 | 13.3 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 8.0 |
| Unsure as to timing | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Unsure as to intention | 6.4 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| Does not intend to use | 56.8 | 48.9 | 48.4 | 52.0 | 59.7 | 53.9 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 361 | 877 | 829 | 695 | 1,588 | 4,351 |

One-fifth of married nonusers who say they do not intend to use family planning cited desire for pregnancy as the main reason for not using a method. A slightly lower percentage ( 18 percent) say that they fear side effects (Table 4.17). Other often cited reasons are "menopausal/ had hysterectomy" ( 14 percent) and health concerns ( 14 percent). Small proportions ( 6 percent or less) of nonusers cited "husband opposed" (6 percent), "opposed to family planning" ( 5 percent), religion ( 5 percent) and "older age, difficulty in becoming pregnant, infrequent sex and husband away" ( 5 percent) as reasons for not using contraception. Desire for more children, side effects and health concerns are reported more often by women under 30 than older women as the reason for not using contraception.

Presented in Table 4.18 is the distribution of married nonusers who intend to use in the future by their preferred method. Two out of five nonusers who intend to use family planning in the future prefer to use the pill, 13 percent want to use calendar/rhythm/periodic abstinence, 12 percent want to use injection, 9 percent prefer to use IUD and another 9 percent, female sterilization. A larger percentage of women who intend to use contraception within the next 12 months prefer to use the IUD, injection, and female sterilization than those who want to use contraception after 12 months.

## Table 4.17 Reasons for not using contraception

Percent distribution of currently married women who are not using a contraceptive method and who do not intend to use in the future by main reason for not using, according to age, Philippines 1998

|  | Age |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reason for not using <br> Contraception |  |  |  |
|  | $\langle 30$ |  | $30+$ |
| Wants more children |  |  |  |
| Retal |  |  |  |
| Ruspondent opposed | 26.7 | 18.8 | 20.4 |
| Husband opposed | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| Others opposed | 8.8 | 4.6 | 5.5 |
| Religion | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Knows no method | 6.1 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| Knows no source | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Costs too much | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Side effects | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Lack of access | 25.3 | 15.6 | 17.5 |
| Inconvenient | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Interfere with body | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Health concerns | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Old/difficult to get pregnant/ | 15.3 | 13.4 | 13.8 |
| infrequent sex/husband away | 3.0 | 5.3 | 4.8 |
| Menopausal/had | 0.5 | 17.9 | 14.4 |
| hysterectomy |  |  |  |
| Subfecund, infecund | 0.6 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
| Other | 2.2 | 6.6 | 5.8 |
| Don't know/missing | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 468 | 1,877 | 2,345 |

Table 4.18 Preferred method of contraception for future use
Percent distribution of currently married women who are not using a contraceptive method but who intend to use in the future by preferred method, according to whether they intend to use in the next 12 months or later, Philippines 1998

| Preferred method of contraception | Intend to use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In next 12 months | After 12 months | Total |
| Pill | 39.0 | 44.2 | 40.0 |
| IUD | 10.6 | 5.0 | 9.3 |
| Injection | 12.2 | 8.3 | 11.7 |
| Condom | 2.2 | 6.5 | 3.0 |
| Female sterilization | 9.4 | 5.7 | 8.7 |
| Male sterilization | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Calendar/ rhythm/periodic abstinence | 11.9 | 16.0 | 12.8 |
| Mucus/ Billings/ ovulation | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Basal body temperature | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Symptothermal | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Lactational amenorrhea | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Breastfeeding | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Withdrawal | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.1 |
| Other | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| Unsure | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,426 | 349 | 1,811 |

Note: Total includes 36 women unsure as to timing of intended use.

### 4.12 Family Planning Messages in the Mass Media

In the Philippine Family Planning Program, the Department of Health and Commission on Population are working hand in hand with other government and private agencies in implementing the Information, Education, Communication and Motivation (IECM) component. For example, given the high level of awareness about family planning, the focuses of the Department of Health's efforts in IECM are (Department of Health, 1990):

1. correcting misinformation about the Program emanating from various sources;
2. reiteration and specifying the health benefits of family planning and the link of family planning services with other health services;
3. providing the informational basis for expanded choice; and
4. supporting any selection among legally and medically accepted choices with necessary information (as part of the service to assist that choice).

One of the channels of IECM relates to the mass media, which includes radio, television, newspaper/magazine, posters and leaflets/brochures. During the few months prior to the survey, 67 percent of all women had heard about family planning on the radio, while 71 percent had seen it on television (Table 4.19). Less than half had read about family planning in a newspaper/magazine ( 44 percent), a poster ( 44 percent), or leaflet/brochure ( 34 percent). Women, irrespective of age and residence mainly hear about family planning from a radio or a television. A higher percentage of younger women than older women and of urban women compared with rural women had seen a family planning message on television. Moreover, urban women are more likely to see a message in a newspaper/magazine, poster and leaflet/brochure compared with women in rural areas.

The role of mass media as a channel for communicating family planning to the public is least important in the Autonomous Region of Muslim Mindanao (ARMM). Metropolitan Manila leads all regions in the level of family planning communication through television, newspaper/magazine and leaflet/brochure while Southern Mindanao leads in communications through posters. With radio as the source of family planning messages, Caraga tops the list (79 percent), and Ilocos, Northern Mindanao, Central Mindanao, and Western Mindanao rank second, third, fourth and fifth, respectively.

Generally, more highly educated women are more likely to hear or see family planning messages from the different media of communication. Five in six women with some college education had heard a family planning message on television in the few months before the survey, compared with only one in six women with no formal education.

Table 4.19 Exposure to family planning messages in the mass media
Percentage of women who received a message about family planning through the mass media a few months prior to the survey, by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Exposure to family planning message in the media |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Radio | Television | Newspaper/ magazine | Poster | Leaflet/ brochure |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 65.1 | 72.7 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 28.1 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 67.6 | 73.9 | 48.9 | 46.0 | 36.6 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 68.1 | 71.0 | 44.5 | 45.9 | 35.7 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 68.6 | 71.9 | 44.2 | 45.7 | 34.7 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 70.9 | 69.3 | 46.3 | 45.6 | 36.5 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 66.2 | 67.5 | 44.6 | 46.8 | 39.5 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 65.6 | 64.0 | 43.8 | 42.5 | 33.7 | 1,170 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 67.9 | 81.7 | 52.3 | 49.5 | 39.5 | 7,911 |
| Rural | 66.7 | 56.5 | 34.0 | 37.7 | 27.8 | 6,072 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 67.7 | 89.2 | 56.8 | 51.1 | 44.6 | 2,818 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 57.0 | 42.3 | 43.8 | 44.7 | 36.8 | 207 |
| Ilocos | 75.7 | 77.3 | 47.1 | 39.6 | 27.5 | 689 |
| Cagayan valley | 70.2 | 58.0 | 42.0 | 43.1 | 35.7 | 474 |
| C.Luzon | 65.0 | 77.6 | 47.1 | 40.5 | 27.4 | 1,414 |
| S.Tagalog | 70.5 | 77.4 | 46.6 | 40.1 | 30.0 | 1,917 |
| Bicol | 63.9 | 54.1 | 34.5 | 42.4 | 32.9 | 703 |
| W.Visayas | 66.3 | 64.1 | 43.5 | 51.1 | 39.3 | 1,045 |
| C.Visayas | 70.3 | 64.4 | 38.8 | 46.8 | 37.7 | 1,093 |
| E.Visayas | 66.2 | 52.3 | 33.0 | 36.6 | 25.6 | 553 |
| W.Mindanao | 71.4 | 51.9 | 33.3 | 31.4 | 21.9 | 530 |
| N.Mindanao | 73.2 | 65.8 | 40.8 | 49.9 | 37.6 | 482 |
| S.Mindanao | 63.2 | 70.9 | 41.1 | 54.2 | 37.6 | 925 |
| C.Mindanao | 71.7 | 69.2 | 40.5 | 43.3 | 32.7 | 425 |
| ARMM | 32.1 | 23.7 | 14.7 | 15.8 | 13.8 | 385 |
| Caraga | 79.0 | 71.6 | 44.4 | 47.3 | 39.0 | 323 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 30.9 | 16.1 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 217 |
| Elementary | 64.5 | 53.5 | 26.4 | 27.8 | 19.7 | 3,664 |
| High school | 68.8 | 74.4 | 43.0 | 44.3 | 31.7 | 5,916 |
| College or higher | 69.9 | 83.7 | 64.2 | 61.2 | 52.7 | 4,186 |
| Total | 67.4 | 70.8 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 34.4 | 13,983 |

To determine the level of acceptance for dissemination of family planning information among Filipino women, the NDHS asked women whether they consider it acceptable to provide family planning information over the radio or television. The result shows that most respondents consider it acceptable to air family planning messages over the radio ( 88 percent) or television ( 87 percent). There is little variation by women's age. Urban women are somewhat more likely to accept family planning messages on television than rural women. Family planning messages transmitted through the radio are highly acceptable to women in Caraga, Cagayan Valley, Southern Tagalog, Western Visayas, Northern Mindanao, and Ilocos. On the other hand, family planning messages aired on television are highly acceptable in Caraga, Metropolitan Manila, and Southern Tagalog. Women in ARMM region are the least likely to accept having these messages on either medium (43 percent each). Again, more educated women are more likely to accept family planning messages on radio or television (Table 4.20).

Table 4.21 shows the percentage of women who have seen or heard the slogan of the Philippine Family Planning Program "kung sila'y mahal niyo, magplano" and the distribution of these women according to what they think is the meaning of the slogan. Sixty-six percent of women have heard or seen the slogan. The level of awareness of women about the slogan varies slightly by age. More than 85 percent of women in each age group said that the slogan is about practicing family planning, while 5 percent indicated that it is about using contraception. Seven in ten urban women have heard or seen the slogan compared with about six in ten women in rural areas. For both urban and rural women, the slogan is widely conceived as encouraging people to practice family planning.

More than 70 percent of women in Western Visayas, Caraga, Ilocos Region, Bicol Region, and Metropolitan Manila, have heard or seen the slogan. ARMM shows the lowest level of awareness of the slogan. Again, the majority of women in all regions indicated that the slogan means to practice family planning. Central Visayas shows the highest percentage ( 13 percent) of women indicating that it means using contraception. Three out of ten women in Eastern Visayas provided other interpretations. The level of awareness of the slogan is lowest among women with no education, and it increases with the level of education. Four in five women with college education or higher have heard or seen the slogan. One-third of women who did not go to school reported meanings pertaining neither to family planning or contraception.

Table 4.20 Acceptability of the use of mass media for disseminating family planning message
Percent distribution of women by acceptability of having messages about family planning on radio or television, according to selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Acceptability of radio message |  |  |  | Acceptability of TV message |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not acceptable | Acceptable | Don't know/ missing | Total | Not acceptable | Acceptable | Don't know/ missing | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.0 | 84.9 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 84.1 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 7.2 | 90.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 89.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 7.8 | 89.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 88.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 8.1 | 90.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 88.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 8.0 | 89.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 86.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 10.2 | 87.7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 84.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 12.3 | 84.2 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 14.0 | 82.1 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 1,170 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.3 | 89.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 7.3 | 90.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 7,911 |
| Rural | 10.8 | 86.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 14.4 | 81.3 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 6,072 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 6.2 | 89.4 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 91.8 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 2,818 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 14.4 | 83.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 18.7 | 78.1 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 207 |
| Ilocos | 5.1 | 91.1 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 89.0 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 689 |
| Cagayan Valley | 5.3 | 93.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 11.3 | 86.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 474 |
| C.Luzon | 10.5 | 87.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 87.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,414 |
| S.Tagalog | 6.7 | 91.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 90.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1,917 |
| Bicol | 7.9 | 89.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 9.8 | 87.2 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 703 |
| W.Visayas | 6.5 | 91.8 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 87.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1,045 |
| C.Visayas | 10.0 | 88.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 84.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1,093 |
| E.Visayas | 12.1 | 86.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 80.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 553 |
| W.Mindanao | 14.8 | 81.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 22.8 | 71.6 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 530 |
| N.Mindanao | 7.6 | 91.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 88.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 482 |
| S.Mindanao | 8.7 | 89.8 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 88.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 925 |
| C.Mindanao | 9.8 | 88.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 88.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 425 |
| ARMM | 38.0 | 42.7 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 37.2 | 43.0 | 19.9 | 100.0 | 385 |
| Caraga | 4.8 | 94.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 5.5 | 93.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 323 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 35.9 | 42.3 | 21.8 | 100.0 | 41.7 | 35.8 | 22.5 | 100.0 | 217 |
| Elementary | 11.5 | 84.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 15.9 | 79.1 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 3,664 |
| High school | 7.8 | 89.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 88.7 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 5,916 |
| College or higher | 6.6 | 91.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 92.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 4,186 |
| Total | 8.9 | 88.3 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 10.4 | 86.5 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 13,983 |

## Table 4.21 Exposure to family planning slogan

Percentage of women who have seen or heard the family planning slogan 'kung sila'y mahal niyo, magplano' and percentage who say that it refers to using contraception, according to background characteristics, Philippines, 1998

| Background characteristic | Have seen or heard family planning slogan | Of those who have seen or heard family planning slogan, percentage who say it refers to: |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Practice of family planning | Use of contraception | Other |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 60.5 | 86.2 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 68.3 | 86.0 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 68.9 | 86.7 | 4.6 | 8.7 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 67.8 | 87.2 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 66.8 | 87.4 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 64.3 | 85.8 | 4.5 | 9.6 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 62.7 | 85.9 | 4.3 | 9.8 | 1,170 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 70.1 | 87.8 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 7,911 |
| Rural | 59.8 | 84.5 | 4.3 | 11.2 | 6,072 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 70.3 | 90.0 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 2,818 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 61.6 | 87.3 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 207 |
| Ilocos | 71.8 | 95.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 689 |
| Cagayan Valley | 59.3 | 95.3 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 474 |
| C.Luzon | 61.8 | 89.5 | 2.2 | 8.3 | 1,414 |
| S.Tagalog | 67.6 | 93.1 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 1,917 |
| Bicol | 70.7 | 95.3 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 703 |
| W.Visayas | 74.7 | 83.9 | 2.0 | 14.1 | 1,045 |
| C.Visayas | 66.9 | 73.9 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 1,093 |
| E.Visayas | 56.5 | 64.6 | 5.7 | 29.7 | 553 |
| W.Mindanao | 43.4 | 89.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 530 |
| N.Mindanao | 55.2 | 85.4 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 482 |
| S.Mindanao | 69.9 | 70.2 | 5.5 | 24.2 | 925 |
| C.Mindanao | 69.4 | 83.3 | 4.9 | 11.8 | 425 |
| ARMM | 31.7 | 86.8 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 385 |
| Caraga | 74.4 | 84.9 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 323 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 14.7 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 31.2 | 217 |
| Elementary | 49.1 | 84.5 | 5.5 | 10.0 | 3,664 |
| High school | 66.5 | 86.1 | 5.0 | 8.9 | 5,916 |
| College or higher | 81.4 | 88.2 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 4,186 |
| Total | 65.6 | 86.5 | 4.9 | 8.6 | 13,983 |

## CHAPTER 5

## OTHER PROXIMATE DETERMINANTS OF FERTILITY

Addressed in this chapter are the principal factors, other than contraception, which affect woman's risk of becoming pregnant: nuptiality and sexual intercourse; postpartum amenorthea and abstinence from sexual relations, and menopause. Marriage is a primary indicator of the exposure of women to the risk of pregnancy and, therefore, is important for the understanding of fertility. Populations in which age at marriage is low tend to be populations with early childbearing and high fertility. Trends in the age at which women marry as well as in the proportions remaining single can help explain trends in fertility.

Included also in this chapter is information on more direct measures of the beginning of exposure to pregnancy and the level of exposure: age at first sexual intercourse and the frequency of intercourse. Measures of several other proximate determinants of fertility which, like marriage and sexual intercourse, influence exposure to risk are also presented. These are the duration of postpartum amenorrhea and postpartum abstinence, and menopause.

### 5.1 Current Marital Status

Table 5.1 shows the marital status of women at the time of the survey by age. Overall, 36 percent of women have never married, 53 percent are married, 6 percent are living together with a partner, and 4 percent are not living with a husband or a partner.

## Table 5.1 Current marital status

Percent distribution of women by current marital status, according to age, Philippines 1998

| Age | Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never married | Married | Living together | Widowed | Divorced | Not living together | Total |  |
| 15-19 | 91.5 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,924 |
| 20-24 | 56.3 | 34.5 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2,299 |
| 25-29 | 25.0 | 64.3 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 2,209 |
| 30-34 | 11.5 | 76.9 | 7.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 2,058 |
| 35-39 | 7.5 | 79.7 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 1,842 |
| 40-44 | 7.4 | 77.5 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 1,480 |
| 45-49 | 6.6 | 78.3 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 1,170 |
| Total | 36.4 | 53.4 | 6.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 13,983 |

The proportion never married decreases rapidly from 92 percent among teenagers to 56 percent among women in their early twenties to 25 percent among women in their late twenties. A relatively high proportion of women (about 7 percent) remains single through their 40 s . The proportion in both formal and informal unions starts well below 10 percent among teenagers, increasing rapidly to 42
percent among women 20-24 years of age, and 72 percent among women $25-29$ years. This proportion reaches its peak at 87 percent among women 35-39 years and declines slightly thereafter, more as a result of marital dissolution (primarily through widowhood) than by nonmarriage.

### 5.2 Age at First Marriage

Table 5.2 presents the percentage of women who first married at selected ages and the median age at first marriage, according to current age. The table clearly shows an increase in age at marriage across cohorts. Among women age 30 or older, about 34 percent were married by age 20, while 30 percent of women aged 25-29 and only 28 percent of women aged $20-24$ did so. The median age at first marriage increased by almost a year, from 21.8 years among women $45-49$ years to 22.7 years among women 25-29 years. This reflects a long-standing pattern of late age at marriage among Filipino women, evidenced by the fact that around one in three women $25-49$ was still single at age 25 .

Table 5.2 Age at first marriage
Percentage of women who were first married by exact age $15,18,20,22$ and 25 , and median age at first marriage, according to current age, Philippines 1998

| Current age | Percentage of women who were first married by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who had never married | Number of women | Median age at first marriage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 91.5 | 2,924 | a |
| 20-24 | 2.0 | 14.6 | 27.5 | NA | NA | 56.3 | 2,299 | a |
| 25-29 | 2.4 | 14.4 | 30.2 | 44.7 | 64.6 | 25.0 | 2,209 | 22.7 |
| 30-34 | 3.2 | 18.0 | 34.2 | 48.7 | 68.3 | 11.5 | 2,058 | 22.2 |
| 35-39 | 2.7 | 16.3 | 34.2 | 51.6 | 69.8 | 7.5 | 1,842 | 21.8 |
| 40-44 | 3.3 | 20.2 | 36.8 | 53.3 | 71.7 | 7.4 | 1,480 | 21.6 |
| 45-49 | 4.0 | 18.7 | 34.9 | 52.0 | 70.0 | 6.6 | 1,170 | 21.8 |
| 20-49 | 2.8 | 16.6 | 32.4 | 47.3 | 63.3 | 21.8 | 11,058 | b |
| 25-49 | 3.0 | 17.2 | 33.7 | 49.5 | 68.5 | 12.7 | 8,759 | 22.1 |
| NA = Not applicable <br> a Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ were first married by age $x$ <br> b Not calculated due to censoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.3 Median Age at First Marriage

Urban women tend to marry about two years later than rural women do. The median age at first marriage for urban women is 23 years while that for rural women is 21 years (Table 5.3 and Figure 5.1). As shown in Figure 5.2, sizeable differences in age at marriage exist by region. The median age at first marriage is highest in Metropolitan Manila ( 24 years). There is no distinct pattern in age at first marriage by island groups; however, the less developed regions (Cagayan Valley, Eastern Visayas, Cordillera Administrative Region and Autonomous Region in Muslim Mindanao) show lower medians (ranging from 19.9 to 20.7 years) than other areas. There is a positive relationship between age at first marriage
and level of education. The median for women with no education is 19 years, while those with high school education show a median of 22 years.

## Table 5.3 Median age at first marriage

Median age at first marriage among women age 25-49 years, by current age and selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  | Women age 25-49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.1 | 23.0 | 22.6 | 22.3 | 22.8 | 23.0 |
| Rural | 21.2 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 21.0 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | a | 24.5 | 23.0 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 24.0 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 20.9 | 21.5 | 20.5 | 19.3 | (20.8) | 20.7 |
| Ilocos | 22.8 | 22.0 | 20.9 | 22.9 | 20.9 | 21.9 |
| Cagayan Valley | 20.3 | 19.6 | 20.3 | 21.2 | 20.2 | 20.3 |
| C.Luzon | 22.4 | 22.1 | 22.5 | 21.1 | 22.1 | 22.1 |
| S.Tagalog | 22.3 | 22.0 | 22.4 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 22.1 |
| Bicol | 21.6 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 21.5 |
| W.Visayas | 23.4 | 22.7 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 23.3 | 22.7 |
| C.Visayas | 23.2 | 22.2 | 22.8 | 21.7 | 23.0 | 22.6 |
| E.Visayas | 22.0 | 19.7 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 20.3 | 19.9 |
| W.Mindanao | 21.3 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 19.7 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| N.Mindanao | 21.9 | 21.9 | 21.6 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 21.2 |
| S.Mindanao | 22.4 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 21.1 | 20.9 | 21.8 |
| C.Mindanao | 21.2 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 21.4 |
| ARMM | 20.1 | 19.4 | 19.3 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 20.0 |
| Caraga | 21.7 | 21.9 | 20.5 | 19.8 | 20.8 | 21.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | (19.2) | (18.5) | 19.1 | 18.0 | 18.9 | 18.7 |
| Elementary | 19.7 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 19.8 |
| High school | 21.8 | 21.4 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 21.5 |
| College or higher | a | 24.9 | 25.3 | 24.7 | 25.8 | a |
| Total | 22.7 | 22.2 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 21.8 | 22.1 |

Note: Medians are not shown for women 20-24 because less than 50 percent have married by age 20 in almost all subgroups shown in the table.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group were first married by age 25 .
() Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 cases.


Figure 5.2
Median Age at First Marriage by Region


### 5.4 Age at First Sexual Intercourse

Like age at first marriage, age at first sexual intercourse is an indicator of the beginning of a woman's exposure to the risk of pregnancy. Table 5.4 presents information on age at first sex for all women. Overall, half of women aged $25-49$ became sexually active by 22 years. Only 3 percent of women aged $25-49$ years had had sexual intercourse by age 15 and 35 percent by age 20 . By age 25 , two out of three women have had sexual intercourse. The table shows that the age at which women became sexually active has increased somewhat over time.

## Table 5.4 Age at first sexual intercourse

Percentage of women who had first sexual intercourse by exact age $15,18,20,22$, and 25 , and median age at first intercourse, according to current age, Philippines 1998

| Current age | Percentage of women who had first intercourse by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who never had intercourse | Number of women | Median age at first intercourse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 90.9 | 2,924 | a |
| 20-24 | 1.8 | 14.8 | 28.7 | NA | NA | 54.5 | 2,299 | a |
| 25-29 | 2.1 | 14.3 | 30.7 | 45.1 | 63.4 | 24.0 | 2,209 | 22.8 |
| 30-34 | 3.1 | 18.1 | 34.8 | 48.0 | 66.7 | 10.9 | 2,058 | 22.3 |
| 35-39 | 2.5 | 17.7 | 35.8 | 52.3 | 68.2 | 7.4 | 1,842 | 21.7 |
| 40-44 | 3.0 | 21.1 | 37.0 | 52.9 | 70.1 | 7.2 | 1,480 | 21.6 |
| 45-49 | 3.6 | 19.3 | 37.0 | 51.9 | 69.4 | 6.3 | 1,170 | 21.7 |
| 20-49 | 2.6 | 17.1 | 33.4 | 47.6 | 62.4 | 21.0 | 11,059 | a |
| 25-49 | 2.7 | 17.7 | 34.7 | 49.5 | 67.1 | 12.2 | 8,760 | 22.1 |

Comparing the information in Table 5.4 with the information on age at first marriage in Table 5.3 , it is clear that the majority of Filipino women have first sexual intercourse when they marry. There is no difference between the median age at first intercourse and first marriage among women aged 25-49 ( 22.1 years). Differentials in the median age at first intercourse parallel those observed for the median age at first marriage (see Table 5.5).

## Table 5.5 Median age at first intercourse

Median age at first sexual intercourse among women age 25-49 years, by current age and selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  | Women age$25-49$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.2 | 23.1 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 22.7 | 23.1 |
| Rural | 21.2 | 21.2 | 21.0 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 20.9 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | a | 24.5 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 24.1 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 21.2 | 21.1 | 20.8 | 18.9 | (20.7) | 20.7 |
| Ilocos | 23.4 | 22.1 | 21.2 | 23.1 | 20.6 | 21.9 |
| Cagayan Valley | 20.6 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 20.6 |
| C.Luzon | 22.4 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 22.1 |
| S.Tagalog | 22.3 | 22.1 | 22.4 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 22.1 |
| Bicol | 22.3 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.2 | 20.3 | 21.7 |
| W.Visayas | 23.4 | 22.9 | 21.3 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 22.8 |
| C.Visayas | 22.7 | 21.7 | 22.0 | 21.2 | 22.8 | 22.1 |
| E.Visayas | 21.6 | 19.8 | 19.4 | 18.7 | 20.4 | 19.9 |
| W.Mindanao | 21.6 | 21.7 | 21.0 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 21.0 |
| N.Mindanao | 21.6 | 22.1 | 21.0 | 19.5 | 20.5 | 21.1 |
| S.Mindanao | 22.2 | 21.8 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 20.6 | 21.5 |
| C.Mindanao | 21.5 | 21.5 | 22.5 | 20.7 | 20.0 | 21.5 |
| ARMM | 20.2 | 20.6 | 20.1 | 21.5 | 21.1 | 20.6 |
| Caraga | 21.3 | 21.9 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.6 | 20.8 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 19.3 | 20.4 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 19.4 | 19.3 |
| Elementary | 19.7 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.7 |
| High school | 21.9 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 21.2 | 21.8 | 21.5 |
| College or higher | a | 24.9 | 25.3 | 24.8 | 26.1 | a |
| Total | 22.8 | 22.3 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 21.7 | 22.1 |

Note: Median are not shown for women $20-24$ because less than 50 percent had had intercourse by age 20 in almost all subgroups shown in the table.
a Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in age group $x$ to $x+4$ had had intercourse by age $x$.
() Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

### 5.5 Recent Sexual Activity

In the absence of contraception, the probability of pregnancy is related to participation in sexual intercourse. Thus, information on intercourse is important for refinement of measures of exposure to pregnancy. An indicator of the percentage of women who are abstaining from sex in any given month, due to such factors as a recent birth, spousal separation, illness, etc. the percentage who were not sexual active in the four weeks preceding the survey. There were several questions in the 1998 NDHS on the topic of recent sexual activity. All women were asked how long ago they had last had sexual intercourse, how many times they had sex in the last four weeks, and how many times they usually have sex in a month.

Table 5.6 is based on the question regarding on time since last intercourse and allows an assessment of the overall level of sexual activity according to age, marital duration, and other background characteristics. In general, 47 percent of women were sexually active in the month preceding the survey, 4 percent were postpartum abstaining, and 13 percent were not sexually active for reasons other than a recent birth (e.g., spousal separation, illness) although they had had sex before, while 36 percent had never had sex. The proportion postpartum abstaining declines as age and duration of marriage increase. At the same time, the proportion not sexually active for other reasons increases with increasing age and marriage duration.

The percentage of women who were sexually active in the month preceding the survey declines gradually with increasing level of education. More rural than urban women were sexually active in the four weeks preceding the survey. Women living in Metropolitan Manila showed the lowest proportion sexually active in the month before the survey ( 35 percent). The comparatively large proportion abstaining among Metropolitan Manila women is mainly due to factors other than a recent birth and the large proportion who have never had sex.

The proportion sexually active among contraceptive users is far higher than for nonusers. For example, 95 percent of pill users were sexually active during the month preceding the survey, compared with only 30 percent of nonusers. Among sterilization users, who tend to be older than other women, 17 percent were abstaining for reasons other than a recent birth.

### 5.6 Postpartum Amenorrhea, Abstinence, and Insusceptibility

Among women who are not using contraception, exposure to the risk of pregnancy in the period following a birth is influenced by two factors: breastfeeding and sexual abstinence. Postpartum protection from conception can be prolonged by breastfeeding, which can lengthen the time until the next ovulation, and by delaying the resumption of sexual relations.


## Table 5.7 Postpattum amenorrhea, abstinence and insusceptibility

Percentage of births for which mothers are postpartum amenorrheic, abstaining, and insusceptible, by number of months since birth, and median and mean durations, Philippines 1998

| Months <br> since birth | Amenor- <br> rheic | Abstain- <br> ing | Insus- <br> ceptible | Number <br> of <br> births |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<2$ | 97.2 | 91.1 | 100.0 | 184 |
| $2-3$ | 65.6 | 34.1 | 73.5 | 281 |
| $4-5$ | 49.4 | 21.3 | 57.8 | 279 |
| $6-7$ | 41.9 | 13.2 | 47.3 | 290 |
| $8-9$ | 30.2 | 8.6 | 37.4 | 233 |
| $10-11$ | 22.2 | 5.5 | 25.5 | 249 |
| $12-13$ | 16.2 | 6.6 | 22.1 | 226 |
| $14-15$ | 10.2 | 10.0 | 17.5 | 284 |
| $16-17$ | 6.0 | 4.5 | 9.8 | 280 |
| $18-19$ | 5.3 | 4.3 | 9.3 | 261 |
| $20-21$ | 3.7 | 3.4 | 7.1 | 222 |
| $22-23$ | 3.4 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 239 |
| $24-25$ | 1.7 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 248 |
| $26-27$ | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 248 |
| $28-29$ | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 289 |
| $30-31$ | 1.3 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 237 |
| $32-33$ | 1.3 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 255 |
| $34-35$ | 1.7 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 226 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.4 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 4,530 |
| Median | 4.9 | 2.3 | 6.2 | NA |
| Mean | 7.4 | 4.8 | 9.0 | NA |
| Prevalence/ |  |  |  |  |
| incidence |  |  |  |  |
| mean | 6.9 | 4.1 | 8.5 | NA |
| Note: Means and medians are based on the current status proportion in |  |  |  |  |
| each two-month age interval (smoothed). |  |  |  |  |
| NA Not applicable |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.7 shows the percentage of births for which mothers are postpartum amenorrheic, abstaining and postpartum insusceptible by the number of months since the birth. Women who are insusceptible are defined as those who are either amenorrheic or abstaining following a birth and, thus, are not exposed to the risk of pregnancy. The estimates shown in Table 5.7 are based on current status data, that is, they refer to the proportion of births occurring $x$ months before the survey for which mothers are still amenorrheic or abstaining at the time of the survey. All live births occurring during the three years prior to the survey are included. To reduce fluctuations in the estimates, the births are grouped in two-month intervals. The prevalence/incidence ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{I}$ ) mean is borrowed from epidemiology, and is provided to enable international comparison.

Among births 2 to 3 months prior to interview, 66 percent of the mothers are still amenorrheic. The proportion amenortheic 6 to 7 months after the birth is 42 percent and 12 to 13 months after the birth it has declined to 16 percent. The duration of postpartum abstinence is shorter than the duration of amenorrhea (see Figure 5.3). One out of three mothers is abstaining from sexual relations 2 to 3 months following birth, but only 7 percent are still abstaining after a year. Overall, 47 percent of all mothers are susceptible to the risk of pregnancy 6 months after a birth (not taking into account contraceptive use).

Figure 5.3
Percentage of Births for Which Mothers are Postpartum Amenorrheic, Abstaining and Insusceptible


### 5.7 Median Duration of Postpartum Amenorrhea, Abstinence, and Insusceptibility

Presented in Table 5.8 is the median duration of postpartum amenorrhea, abstinence, and insusceptibility by selected background characteristics. As in Table 5.7, this table is based on current status data on all live births occurring in the three years prior to the survey. On average, women in the Philippines are amenorrheic for 4.9 months following a birth, abstain for 2.3 months, and are insusceptible to the risk of pregnancy for 6.2 months. The duration of postpartum amenorrhea is practically identical among women under 30 years of age and those 30 years or older. Urban women are amenorrheic for less than half the time as rural women, perhaps due to shorter duration of breastfeeding.

As expected, the median duration of amenorrhea is shortest in Metropolitan Manila (3 months), average for the more developed regions (4-5 months) and longest for the less developed regions of the country ( $6-9$ months). Education is inversely related to the duration of postpartum amenorrhea. Women with no education are amenorrheic for twice as long ( 7.6 months) as women with high school or higher education (3.1 months).

Subgroup differences in the duration of abstinence tend to be less pronounced due to the brevity of this practice among Philippine couples.

The combined effect of amenorrhea and abstinence is reflected in the median duration of insusceptibility shown in Table 5.8. Rural women are insusceptible to the risk of pregnancy for twice as long ( 8.2 months) as urban women ( 4.1 months). This difference is due largely to the longer duration of postpartum amenorrhea among rural women. Education is inversely related to the duration of insusceptibility. Regional differences in the duration of insusceptibility generally replicate the differences in the duration of amenorrhea.

Table 5.8 Median duration of postpartum insusceptibility by background characteristics
Median number of months of postpartum amenorrhea, postpartum abstinence, and postpartum insusceptibility, by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Postpartum amenorrhea | Postpartum abstinence | Postpartum insusceptibility | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| <30 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 2,502 |
| $30+$ | 5.2 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 2,027 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.3 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 2,089 |
| Rural | 7.3 | 2.3 | 8.2 | 2,441 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 2.7 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 615 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 7.1 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 86 |
| Ilocos | 7.2 | 2.8 | 7.9 | 204 |
| Cagayan Valley | 8.0 | 3.2 | 9.0 | 139 |
| C.Luzon | 3.1 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 445 |
| S.Tagalog | 4.3 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 643 |
| Bicol | 8.8 | 2.2 | 10.7 | 329 |
| W.Visayas | 4.4 | 2.1 | 6.2 | 338 |
| C.Visayas | 6.7 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 359 |
| E.Visayas | 6.2 | 2.1 | 7.2 | 276 |
| W.Mindanao | 4.4 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 177 |
| N.Mindanao | 6.1 | 2.3 | 7.2 | 199 |
| S.Mindanao | 5.9 | 2.2 | 6.9 | 297 |
| C.Mindanao | 4.1 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 153 |
| ARMM | 6.7 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 150 |
| Caraga | 6.2 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 122 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.6 | 2.1 | 8.0 | 95 |
| Elementary | 7.6 | 2.1 | 8.0 | 1,479 |
| High school | 4.8 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 1,753 |
| College or higher | 3.1 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 1,203 |
| Total | 4.9 | 2.3 | 6.2 | 4,530 |

[^7]
### 5.8 Menopause

The onset of infecundity with increasing age reduces the proportion of women who are exposed to the risk of pregnancy. Table 5.9 shows the percentage of women who are not currently pregnant and not postpartum amenorrheic but whose last menstrual period occurred six or more months prior to the survey. The data show that the percentage rises rapidly with age, particularly after 46 . By age 48,38 percent of women are menopausal.

Another facet of loss of exposure that is not shown in this table is terminal separation, divorce, and widowhood in which a woman does not remarry before the end of her childbearing years.

## Table 5.9 Menopause

Menopause' among women 30-49 years by age, Philippines 1998

| Age | Percent <br> menopausal | Number <br> of <br> women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $30-34$ | 1.2 | 2,058 |
| $35-39$ | 1.4 | 1,842 |
| $40-41$ | 43.6 | 600 |
| $42-43$ | 5.8 | 612 |
| $44-45$ | 9.4 | 513 |
| $46-47$ | 20.7 | 454 |
| $48-49$ | 37.6 | 472 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 6.5 | 6,550 |

'Percentage of all women whose last menstrual period occurred six or more months preceding the survey. Pregnant and postpartum amenorrheic women were considered to be nonmenopausal.

The 1998 NDHS does not provide sufficient information on marriage history to define a reasonably precise indicator of terminal separation, divorce, and widowhood but some indications may be gathered from Table 5.1. A third factor affecting the end of fertility is the lack of exposure due to long-term abstinence among currently married women. Many of these women will probably not resume sexual relations. This information is given in Table 5.6.

## CHAPTER 6

## FERTILITY PREFERENCES

The Philippine Family Planning Program (PFPP) aims to ensure the availability of reliable information and services necessary for families to manage the risk and outcomes of reproduction according to their health needs and fertility aspirations. It is anchored on a basic constitutional provision that recognizes the right of couples to determine for themselves the size of family they would like to raise.

Addressed in this chapter are questions that allow an assessment of the need for contraception, whether for birth spacing or for birth limitation, and the extent of unwanted fertility. The NDHS respondents were first asked if they wanted more children, and if so, how long they would prefer to wait before the next child. They were also asked the hypothetical question, if they could start anew, how many children they would want. Since the general objective of the Philippine Family Planning Program is to reduce the level of unmet need for family planning, particularly among high risk families, it is important for policymakers and program planners to understand the extent of unmet need in the country, whether for spacing or limitation. Two other issues are examined: the extent to which unwanted and mistimed pregnancies occur and the effect of such pregnancies on fertility rates.

Interpretation of data on fertility preferences has always been the subject of controversy. Survey questions on this topic have been criticized on the grounds that (a) answers are misleading because they may reflect unformed, ephemeral views, which are held with weak intensity and little conviction and (b) they do not take into account the effects of social pressure, or the attitudes of other family members, particularly the husband, who may exert a major influence on reproductive decisions.

The first objection has greater force in societies where the use of contraceptives is limited and the idea of conscious reproductive choice may still be unfamiliar or unknown. Thus, preference data from these settings should be interpreted with caution. This objection probably has little relevance in the Philippines where there is almost universal family planning knowledge and a moderate level of family planning use. The second objection is correct in principle. It is only recently that the program has realized the importance of the husband with regard to fertility decisions.

The inclusion of women who are currently pregnant complicates the measurement of views on future childbearing. For these women, the question on the desire for more children is rephrased to refer to desire for another child, after the one that they are expecting. To take into account the way in which the preference variable is defined for pregnant women, the results are slassified by number of living children, including the current pregnancy as equivalent to a living child. In addition, the question on preferred waiting time before the next birth is rephrased for pregnant women to make clear that the information wanted is the preferred waiting time after the birth of the child the respondent is expecting.

Women who have been sterilized for contraceptive purposes also require special analytic treatment. The general strategy presented in this chapter is to classify them as wanting no more children.

### 6.1 Desire for More Children

Table 6.1 shows the percent distribution of married women by desire for children according to number of living children. It allows the examination of the potential need for contraceptive services, for spacing as well as for limiting births. The table indicates that 51 percent of all married women do not want any more children, almost one fifth want to delay their next birth for two or more years, and 10 percent have been sterilized. These figures suggest that 8 out of 10 married women are potentially in need of family planning services. Only 12 percent want another child within two years, while 5 percent are either undecided about their fertility preferences or want another child but are unsure when. (Figure $6.1)$.

The table also shows that among married women, the desire to limit childbearing increases, and the desire to delay the next birth decreases with the number of living children. The proportion of women who want to limit their childbearing increases dramatically after having one child. For example, among women with one living child, 16 percent want no more children and 49 percent want to delay the next child for at least two years; among women with 3 children, 56 percent want no more children, while 13 percent want to have their next child later.

## Table 6.1 Fertility preferences by number of living children

Percent distribution of currently married women by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Philippines 1998

| Desire for children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 77.4 | 23.9 | 11.4 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 12.0 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 11.2 | 49.3 | 26.9 | 12.7 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 18.7 |
| Have another, undecided when | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Undecided | 0.3 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 4.3 |
| Wants no more | 1.4 | 15.9 | 47.2 | 56.3 | 66.1 | 72.0 | 80.3 | 51.4 |
| Sterilized | 0.0 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 18.3 | 18.7 | 15.1 | 8.8 | 10.4 |
| Declared infecund | 7.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 378 | 1,401 | 1,708 | 1,695 | 1,218 | 762 | 1,174 | 8,336 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy.
${ }^{2}$ Wants next birth within 2 years.
${ }^{3}$ Wants to delay next birth for 2 or more years.

Table 6.2 shows the distribution of married women by desire for children, according to age. The table shows that 53 percent of women age 15-19 want to delay having their next child by two or more years. This proportion diminishes with age, and the proportion wanting to limit increases. At age 35-39, only 7 percent of women want to have another child after 2 years, while 3 in 5 do not want any more children. Note that at least 3 out of 10 married women 20-24 years old stated that they do not want any more children.

## Figure 6.1

Fertility Preferences among Currently Married Women Age 15－49


## Pulale a ？fertility preferences by age

1＇wemt distribution of currently married women by desire for more children，according to age，Philippines 1998

| 1）wise for children | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15－19 | 20－24 | 25－29 | 30－34 | 35－39 | 40－44 | 45－49 |  |
| Hatwe mother soon＇ | 20.2 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 12.0 |
| Hacy wother later | 52.9 | 47.4 | 32.9 | 17.2 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 18.7 |
| 13．い心：another．undecided when | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| 1 ＇andecided | 7.2 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 4.3 |
| Sirlta nomore | 17.8 | 31.4 | 44.2 | 52.7 | 61.0 | 58.8 | 64.2 | 51.4 |
| －terilucd | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 14.9 | 20.3 | 18.1 | 10.4 |
| 1ralored infecund | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 1.8 |
| W以く！n！ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| ｜in． 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Vimbter of women | 244 | 967 | 1，585 | 1，730 | 1，602 | 1，243 | 965 | 8，336 |

＂Iants next birth within 2 years．
Wantito delay next birth for 2 or more years．

Table 6.3 shows the percentage of married women who do not want more children according to parity and selected background characteristics. This table provides information about group variation in the potential demand for family planning. It is interesting to note that there is little difference in the desire to limit childbearing between urban and rural women ( 60 percent in urban areas and 64 percent in rural areas) (see Figure 6.2).

Considering the differentials by region of residence, the newly created Caraga Region has the largest proportion of women who want no more children ( 70 percent) while the Autonomous Region in Muslim Mindanao shows the lowest percentage of ( 23 percent). The latter may be related to religious practices. The desire to limit childbearing among married women varies with education. In general, except for the comparatively small number of women with no education, there is an inverse relationship between education level and the proportion of women wanting no more children. This is likely due to the concentration of more highly educated women at lower parities. At parity two and above, the expected positive relationship between education and the desire to limit childbearing is generally observed.

Figure 6.2
Percentage of Currently Married Women Who Want No More Children by Residence and Region


## Table 6.3 Desire to limit (stop) childbearing

Percentage of married women who want no more children, by number of living children and selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.2 | 17.4 | 54.2 | 76.6 | 88.8 | 90.1 | 88.4 | 60.0 |
| Rural | 2.9 | 16.0 | 51.9 | 72.3 | 81.0 | 84.8 | 89.4 | 63.7 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | (0.0) | 14.4 | 50.0 | 74.4 | 88.3 | (88.2) | (85.7) | 54.5 |
| Cordillera Admin. | * | (7.3) | 43.9 | 55.6 | 75.4 | 89.5 | 88.2 | 61.4 |
| Ilocos | * | 13.3 | 49.4 | 65.8 | 82.5 | 86.0 | 83.9 | 57.5 |
| Cagayan Valley | * | 10.9 | 57.1 | 74.1 | 82.1 | (88.9) | 94.4 | 60.6 |
| C.Luzon | (0.0) | 19.3 | 50.0 | 79.9 | 90.1 | (89.8) | (98.0) | 63.4 |
| S.Tagalog | * | 11.9 | 60.9 | 79.8 | 92.5 | 95.8 | 93.3 | 67.4 |
| Bicol | * | 24.3 | 48.3 | 70.2 | 77.8 | 80.4 | 90.8 | 64.6 |
| W.Visayas | * | 16.1 | 65.0 | 78.9 | 88.2 | (93.6) | 92.3 | 66.9 |
| C.Visayas | * | 19.6 | 50.4 | 84.7 | 89.3 | 89.8 | 89.0 | 65.2 |
| E.Visayas | * | 29.4 | 50.6 | 71.4 | 84.3 | 86.2 | 94.0 | 70.4 |
| W.Mindanao | (0.0) | 21.4 | 44.9 | 67.0 | 83.1 | 89.8 | 86.2 | 58.9 |
| N.Mindanao | * | 20.3 | 51.7 | 73.7 | 86.3 | (84.8) | 93.0 | 67.8 |
| S.Mindanao | (5.0) | 24.6 | 51.6 | 79.2 | 90.7 | 96.6 | 93.5 | 63.5 |
| C.Mindanao | * | 16.1 | 66.0 | 75.3 | 79.3 | (78.6) | 76.8 | 62.6 |
| ARMM | (0.0) | 8.3 | 10.1 | 17.4 | 25.3 | 23.8 | 47.2 | 22.6 |
| Caraga | * | 7.7 | 63.3 | 84.7 | 86.1 | 88.7 | 94.6 | 70.2 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | * | * | (10.8) | 35.6 | 34.4 | * | 72.2 | 42.7 |
| Elementary | 1.9 | 24.2 | 54.9 | 77.2 | 81.8 | 86.3 | 90.5 | 72.1 |
| High school | 0.8 | 14.9 | 51.3 | 74.2 | 89.5 | 88.1 | 90.3 | 60.4 |
| College or higher | 1.6 | 15.2 | 55.3 | 75.4 | 87.9 | 88.9 | 82.8 | 53.1 |
| Total | 1.4 | 16.8 | 53.2 | 74.6 | 84.8 | 87.1 | 89.1 | 61.9 |

Note: Women who have been sterilized are considered to want no more children.
' Includes current pregnancy.

* Less than 25 unweighted cases.
() Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.


### 6.2 Demand for Family Planning

Unmet need is defined as the percentage of currently married women who are not using any method of family planning and do not want any more children or want to space their next birth. Specifically, women with an unmet need for spacing include pregnant women whose pregnancy was mistimed, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrheic and who are not using any method of family planning and want to wait two or more years for their next birth. Unmet need for limiting purposes refers to pregnant women whose pregnancy was unwanted, amenorrheic women whose last child was unwanted, and women who are not using any method of family planning but who want no more children. These indicators are used to evaluate the extent to which the family planning programs are meeting the demand for services.

Table 6.4 shows the information on unmet need, met need (current use), and the level of potential demand for family planning among married women. Demand for family planning is defined as the sum of contraceptive prevalence (met need) and unmet need. ${ }^{1}$ Overall, the total demand for family planning is 70 percent; around two-thirds of that demand is for limiting births. The demand for family planning for limiting purposes peaks among women age $35-39$. Total demand varies little by urban-rural residence. By region, it is highest in Central Luzon, Northern Mindanao, Southern Mindanao, Central Mindanao and Caraga. Variation across educational groups is small except for a much lower level of demand among the small number of women with no education.

Since only three quarters of the demand for family planning is satisfied, there is need for the Family Planning Program to intensify efforts to address unmet need and the backlog in the demand for family planning services. Unsatisfied demand or unmet need is 20 percent: 9 percent for spacing births and 11 percent for limiting childbearing. Total unmet need decreases with age. It is higher among rural women, among women who have no education or elementary education, and among women in Bicol, Eastern Visayas, CAR and ARMM than other women.

### 6.3 Ideal Number of Children

This section focuses on the respondent's ideal number of children. In ascertaining the total ideal number of children, the respondent is required to consider abstractly and independent of her actual family size, the number of children she would choose if she could start again. As shown in Table 6.5, the mean ideal number of children is 3.2 among all women and 3.5 among married women. Almost two-thirds of the women in the Philippines expressed a preference to have 3 or less children. It is interesting to note that 11 percent of women want to have 5 or more children, while 29 percent want only two. Only two percent of women gave a non-numeric response such as "it is up to God" or "don't know".

[^8]Percentage of' currently married women with unmet need for family planning, met need for family planning, and the total demand for family planning services, by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Unmet need for family planning ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Met need for family planning (currently using) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Total demand for family planning ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Percentage of demand satisfied | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | For spacing | For limiting | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | For limiting | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 27.4 | 4.6 | 32.1 | 12.4 | 5.9 | 18.3 | 44.2 | 10.5 | 54.7 | 41.4 | 244 |
| 20-24 | 21.2 | 8.2 | 29.4 | 25.9 | 11.4 | 37.4 | 52.4 | 19.9 | 72.3 | 59.3 | 967 |
| 25-29 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 23.9 | 23.1 | 25.5 | 48.6 | 41.4 | 36.7 | 78.1 | 69.4 | 1,585 |
| 30-34 | 7.2 | 11.9 | 19.1 | 15.0 | 37.1 | 52.1 | 25.0 | 50.1 | 75.2 | 74.6 | 1,730 |
| 35-39 | 4.6 | 15.2 | 19.8 | 6.3 | 47.8 | 54.1 | 12.0 | 64.2 | 76.2 | 74.0 | 1,602 |
| 40-44 | 2.3 | 13.5 | 15.8 | 2.5 | 46.1 | 48.6 | 5.1 | 60.1 | 65.2 | 75.7 | 1,243 |
| 45-49 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 33.5 | 34.3 | 0.8 | 39.9 | 40.6 | 84.5 | 965 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.3 | 9.0 | 16.3 | 14.5 | 36.2 | 50.7 | 24.3 | 45.6 | 69.9 | 76.6 | 4,222 |
| Rural | 9.8 | 13.4 | 23.3 | 10.6 | 31.6 | 42.2 | 22.9 | 46.2 | 69.1 | 66.4 | 4,114 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 6.6 | 8.5 | 15.0 | 17.3 | 32.1 | 49.4 | 26.8 | 40.8 | 67.6 | 77.8 | 1,298 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 14.5 | 12.4 | 26.9 | 7.8 | 34.2 | 42.0 | 24.6 | 47.4 | 72.0 | 62.6 | 136 |
| Ilocos | 11.7 | 11.7 | 23.5 | 12.9 | 30.3 | 43.2 | 26.3 | 42.3 | 68.5 | 65.8 | 414 |
| Cagayan Valley | 8.8 | 9.9 | 18.7 | 14.4 | 33.9 | 48.3 | 24.2 | 44.8 | 69.0 | 72.9 | 322 |
| C.Luzon | 7.2 | 7.4 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 42.0 | 54.8 | 23.4 | 49.7 | 73.1 | 80.0 | 883 |
| S.Tagalog | 9.1 | 11.9 | 20.9 | 9.5 | 35.6 | 45.0 | 21.3 | 47.7 | 69.0 | 69.7 | 1,219 |
| Bicol | 7.9 | 17.3 | 25.1 | 11.2 | 25.1 | 36.3 | 22.6 | 43.2 | 65.8 | 61.8 | 481 |
| W.Visayas | 8.1 | 14.6 | 22.7 | 11.7 | 33.3 | 45.0 | 21.2 | 49.7 | 70.9 | 68.0 | 627 |
| C.Visayas | 5.2 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 12.8 | 38.7 | 51.5 | 20.6 | 50.1 | 70.7 | 78.6 | 620 |
| E.Visayas | 8.4 | 19.6 | 28.0 | 8.9 | 28.5 | 37.5 | 20.2 | 49.6 | 69.8 | 59.9 | 395 |
| W.Mindanao | 11.4 | 12.2 | 23.7 | 9.8 | 34.0 | 43.8 | 22.5 | 47.1 | 69.7 | 66.1 | 343 |
| N.Mindanao | 6.7 | 11.7 | 18.4 | 14.9 | 39.1 | 54.0 | 24.9 | 51.3 | 76.2 | 75.8 | 295 |
| S.Mindanao | 7.1 | 8.7 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 38.9 | 55.2 | 25.1 | 48.8 | 73.9 | 78.7 | 572 |
| C.Mindanao | 11.0 | 12.1 | 23.1 | 10.0 | 35.2 | 45.2 | 23.7 | 48.6 | 72.4 | 68.1 | 273 |
| ARMM | 21.9 | 7.5 | 29.4 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 31.7 | 15.1 | 46.8 | 37.1 | 252 |
| Caraga | 9.6 | 11.6 | 21.2 | 10.7 | 38.1 | 48.8 | 21.8 | 50.3 | 72.2 | 70.6 | 208 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 14.0 | 14.5 | 28.4 | 5.9 | 9.4 | 15.3 | 20.8 | 24.3 | 45.1 | 37.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 8.1 | 15.8 | 23.9 | 6.7 | 34.5 | 41.1 | 16.9 | 51.2 | 68.1 | 64.9 | 2,756 |
| High school | 9.1 | 9.6 | 18.7 | 14.3 | 35.8 | 50.2 | 26.3 | 46.3 | 72.5 | 74.2 | 3,050 |
| College or higher | 8.1 | 7.5 | 15.6 | 17.6 | 32.8 | 50.3 | 28.2 | 40.8 | 69.0 | 77.3 | 2,361 |
| Total | 8.6 | 11.2 | 19.8 | 12.6 | 34.0 | 46.5 | 23.6 | 45.9 | 69.5 | 71.6 | 8,336 |

[^9]Table 6.5 Ideal number of children
Percent distribution of all women by ideal number of children and mean ideal number of children for all women and for currently married women, according to number of living children, Philippines 1998

| Ideal number of children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| 0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 1 | 3.9 | 8.5 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 3.1 |
| 2 | 40.4 | 38.8 | 36.6 | 12.3 | 15.0 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 29.3 |
| 3 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 30.9 | 45.5 | 15.8 | 30.8 | 27.5 | 31.8 |
| 4 | 16.2 | 14.6 | 24.1 | 28.5 | 50.6 | 20.1 | 26.5 | 22.9 |
| 5 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 22.6 | 9.9 | 5.3 |
| $6+$ | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 11.5 | 22.1 | 5.4 |
| Non-numeric response | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 1.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,407 | 1,621 | 1,824 | 1,783 | 1,297 | 808 | 1,243 | 13,983 |
| Mean ideal number for: women | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.2 |
| Number of women | 5,305 | 1,605 | 1,815 | 1,761 | 1,276 | 785 | 1,190 | 13,736 |
| CMW | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.5 |
| Number of women | 375 | 1,387 | 1,700 | 1,675 | 1,198 | 741 | 1,124 | 8,199 |

Note: The means exclude women who gave non-numeric responses.
${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy.

There is a correlation between actual and ideal number of children. Women who want larger families tend to achieve larger families. It is also possible that women with larger families have larger ideal sizes because of attitudes that they acquired 20 or 30 years ago. Women may adjust upwards their ideal size of family as the actual number of children increases. For example, the mean ideal number of children of women with one living child is 2.7 , while women who have 6 or more children expressed an ideal number of 4.4. Preference for a three-child family is expressed by 46 percent of women with three living children, and 51 percent of women with four children said four was their ideal number.

Despite the likelihood that some rationalization occurs, it is common to find that respondents state ideal sizes lower than their actual number of living children. This can be taken as an indicator of surplus or unwanted fertility. In fact, among women with five or more children, more than 60 percent say that if they were to start again they would choose to have fewer children.

Presented in Table 6.6 is the mean ideal number of children for all women by age and mother's background characteristics. The table shows that younger and better educated women are more likely to have lower fertility goals than other women. There is only a small difference in the ideal number of children among women in the rural and urban areas. Women in ARMM and CAR have mean ideal family sizes of four or more children compared with less than three children for women in Metropolitan Manila and Southern Mindanao. The mean ideal number of children for other regions ranges from 3 to 3.5 children.

## Table 6.6 Mean ideal number of children by background characteristics

Mean ideal number of children for all women, by age and selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30.34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Rural | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 4.9 | (5.2) | 4.0 |
| Ilocos | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.2 |
| Cagayan Valley | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| C.Luzon | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.3 |
| S.Tagalog | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Bicol | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.2 |
| W.Visayas | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| C.Visayas | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.0 |
| E.Visayas | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.5 |
| W.Mindanao | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3.4 |
| N.Mindanao | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.1 |
| S.Mindanao | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.9 |
| C.Mindanao | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| ARMM | 4.6 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 5.8 |
| Caraga | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.2 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | * | (4.7) | 5.2 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| Elementary | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| High school | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| College or higher | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| Total | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.2 |

[^10]Figure 6.3 Mean Ideal Number of Children for All Women by Region
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### 6.4 Unplanned and Unwanted Fertility

For each child born in the preceding five years and any current pregnancy, women were asked questions to determine whether the particular pregnancy was planned, unplanned but wanted at a later time, or not wanted at all. Answers to these questions form a powerful indicator of the degree to which couples successfully control childbearing. In addition, the data can be used to gauge the effect on period fertility of the prevention of unwanted births.

Table 6.7 shows the distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by fertility planning status, according to birth order and mother's age at birth. Fifty-four percent of all births were wanted at the time of conception, 27 percent were wanted but at a later time, and 18 percent were unwanted. As expected, the proportion of births which were wanted at the time of conception is highest among first births ( 75 percent) and among mothers under age 20 at the time of birth ( 63 percent). Mistimed pregnancies are highest for the second and third child. The proportion of unwanted births increases as mothers get older and have had more children. It is highest among fourth births and above ( 35 percent) and among mothers age 40-44 years ( 52 percent).

Table 6.7 Fertility planning status
Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by fertility planning status, according to birth order and mother's age, Philippines 1998

| Birth order and mother's age | Planning status of birth |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wanted then | Wanted later | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wanted } \\ \text { no } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | Missing |  |  |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 75.4 | 20.5 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,100 |
| 2 | 56.4 | 36.0 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,762 |
| 3 | 52.5 | 30.6 | 16.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,413 |
| $4+$ | 39.3 | 24.5 | 35.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3,100 |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20$ | 62.5 | 29.2 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 704 |
| 20-24 | 58.6 | 33.2 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,173 |
| 25-29 | 54.3 | 29.6 | 15.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,424 |
| 30-34 | 53.0 | 22.4 | 24.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,773 |
| 35-39 | 45.0 | 19.3 | 34.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 971 |
| 40-44 | 39.7 | 7.7 | 51.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 311 |
| 45-49 | (54.0) | (4.6) | (41.4) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 22 |
| Total | 54.2 | 26.9 | 18.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 8,377 |
| Note: Birth order includes current pregnancy. <br> () Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The wanted fertility rate is defined as the level of fertility that theoretically would result if all unwanted births could be prevented. The total wanted fertility rate provides another indicator of fertility aspirations and may be interpreted as the number of wanted births that a woman would bear by age 50 .

Table 6.8 shows the total wanted fertility rates and total fertility rates for the three years preceding the survey by place of residence and level of education. The comparison makes clear that women will bear one child more than they desire if they continue to reproduce at current levels over time. This difference is an indication of the number of births a person needs to avoid over her reproductive life in order to attain her fertility aspirations. Regardless of the place of residence, region of residence, and level of education, the wanted number of births is lower than the actual number of births. Interestingly, women in rural areas have 1.5 children more than their desired number. Among urban women, the difference is less than one child. This indicates that urban women are more successful in achieving their fertility goals.

| Table 6.8 Wanted fertility rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total wanted fertility rates and total fertility rates for the three years preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998 |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Total wanted fertility rate | Total fertility rate |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| Rural | 3.3 | 4.7 |
| Region |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| Ilocos | 2.5 | 3.4 |
| Cagayan Valley | 2.9 | 3.6 |
| C.Luzon | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| S.Tagalog | 2.6 | 3.7 |
| Bicol | 3.6 | 5.5 |
| W.Visayas | 2.9 | 4.0 |
| C.Visayas | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| E.Visayas | 3.8 | 5.9 |
| W.Mindanao | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| N.Mindanao | 3.1 | 4.8 |
| S.Mindanao | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| C.Mindanao | 3.0 | 4.2 |
| ARMM | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| Caraga | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| Education |  |  |
| No education | 3.9 | 5.0 |
| Elementary | 3.3 | 5.0 |
| High school | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| College or higher | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| Total | 2.7 | 3.7 |
| Note: Rates are based on births to women 15-49 in the period $1-36$ months preceding the survey. The total fertility rates are the same as those presented in Table 3.2. |  |  |

Considering the gap between wanted and actual fertility by region, Eastern Visayas and Bicol have the largest differentials about two children. The gap between wanted and actual fertility is lowest for ARMM ( 0.4 births) and Metropolitan Manila ( 0.5 births). College-educated women seem to be most successful in achieving their fertility goals. They have a difference of less than one child between desired and actual fertility. On the other hand, women with elementary education have the largest gap ( 1.7 children).

### 6.5 Family Size Desires of Couples

Discussion of family planning between partners is thought to be instrumental in the decision to take joint action to plan family size. Information about women's perceptions concerning their partner's desired number of children is shown in Table 6.9. Seven out of ten currently married women say that they want the same number of children as their partner.

One in five currently married women believes that her partner wants more children than she does. Women with no education ( 32 percent) and those living in ARMM ( 42 percent) are more likely than other women to say that their partner wants more children than they do.

About 7 percent of currently married women report that they want more children than their partner. The proportion is largest among women in Southern Tagalog (11 percent).

## Table 6.9 Couple's consensus on family size

Percent distribution of currently married women by perceived consensus with husband regarding the number of children desired, according to selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Couple's consensus on desire for children' |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Husband and wife want same number | Husband wants more than wife | Husband wants fewer than wife | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't know/ } \\ \text { missing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 67.5 | 18.7 | 7.8 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 244 |
| 20-24 | 73.0 | 16.9 | 8.2 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 967 |
| 25-29 | 72.3 | 18.8 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1,585 |
| 30-34 | 72.4 | 18.2 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 1,730 |
| $35 \cdot 39$ | 67.9 | 21.6 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 1,602 |
| 40-44 | 65.3 | 22.5 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 1,243 |
| 45-49 | 70.9 | 20.1 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 965 |
| Difference in age between woman and husband/partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-1$ year | 72.5 | 18.3 | 7.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 2,256 |
| $2-3$ years | 70.1 | 19.9 | 7.1 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 2,309 |
| $4-5$ years | 69.4 | 20.6 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1,515 |
| $6+$ years and over | 69.0 | 20.3 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 2,209 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 71.3 | 18.2 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 4,222 |
| Rural | 69.1 | 21.3 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 4,114 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 69.7 | 17.8 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 1,298 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 68.1 | 20.2 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 136 |
| Ilocos | 75.4 | 16.9 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 414 |
| Cagayan Valley | 71.0 | 22.2 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 322 |
| C.Luzon | 74.6 | 16.5 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 883 |
| S.Tagalog | 70.3 | 17.3 | 11.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,219 |
| Bicol | 73.5 | 18.7 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 481 |
| W.Visayas | 74.1 | 15.9 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 627 |
| C.Visayas | 73.9 | 18.5 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 620 |
| E.Visayas | 63.3 | 26.7 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 395 |
| W.Mindanao | 67.9 | 22.4 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 343 |
| N.Mindanao | 67.4 | 23.6 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 295 |
| S.Mindanao | 72.6 | 17.7 | 8.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 572 |
| C.Mindanao | 63.5 | 24.7 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 273 |
| ARMM | 48.1 | 41.7 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 252 |
| Caraga | 63.8 | 27.0 | 7.3 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 208 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 56.0 | 31.5 | 2.7 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 66.3 | 22.5 | 7.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 2,756 |
| High school | 71.8 | 18.2 | 7.3 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 3,050 |
| College or higher | 73.7 | 17.6 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 2,361 |
| Total | 70.2 | 19.7 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 8,336 |

[^11]Figure 6.4
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Figure 6.5
Currently Married Women Whose Desired Number of Children is the Same as That Perceived as Desired by Their Husband


## CHAPTER 7

## INFANT AND CHILD MORTALITY

### 7.1 Background

Reported in this chapter is information on levels, trends, and differentials in neonatal, postneonatal, infant and child mortality. This information is relevant to the demographic assessment of both population and health policies and programs. Estimates of infant and child mortality may be used as inputs into population projections, particularly if the level of adult mortality is known from another source or can be inferred with reasonable confidence. Information on mortality of children also serves the needs of agencies providing health services by identifying sectors of the population which are at high mortality risk.

In this report, infant and child mortality are measured using the following rates:

- Neonatal mortality (NN): the probability of dying within the first month of life;
- Postneonatal mortality (PNN): the probability of dying after the first month of life but before age one year;
- Infant mortality $\left({ }_{1} q_{0}\right)$ : the probability of dying between birth and age one year;
- Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ : the probability of dying between exact age one and age five;
- Under-five mortality ( ${ }_{5} q_{0}$ ): the probability of dying between birth and exact age five.

The mortality rates presented in this chapter are computed from information derived from the questions asked in the pregnancy history section of the 1998 National Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) individual woman's questionnaire. Data collection proceeded as follows: first, each woman was asked about the number of sons and daughters living with her in the same household as well as those who are living elsewhere, and the number who had died. At this point, the respondent was also asked about the number of pregnancies which did not result in a live birth. Next, the respondent was asked to give information on each of the pregnancies she had experienced. For each pregnancy, she was asked whether the pregnancy ended in a live birth or not. The name, sex, date of birth, age at last birthday, whether the birth was an outcome of a single or multiple birth, and survival status were recorded for all live births. If the child had died, the woman was asked the age at death. If the child was still living, information about his/her age at last birthday and whether the child lived with his/her mother was obtained. For pregnancies that did not result in a live birth, the respondent was asked if she or someone else did something to end the pregnancy, and what the duration of the pregnancy was at the time of loss.

The information on living and dead children is used to directly estimate mortality rates. It should be noted here that the reliability of these mortality estimates depends upon full recall about children who have died, the absence of significant differentials in birth dates between living and dead children, and accurate reporting of age at death. It should be said, however, that birth history data provide information that make detailed analyses of mortality possible.

A closer look at the pattern of reporting of age at death (Table C. 6 in Appendix C and Figure 7.1) reveals some evidence of heaping of deaths. For the five years preceding the survey, a significantly high percentage of deaths was reported among infants 12 months of age. However, this heaping on age at death of 12 months is far lower for deaths occurring in the five years preceding the survey than it is for earlier deaths. Thus, reporting of age at death appears to be reasonable, though a more thorough investigation would result in more definitive conclusions.

Figure 7.1
Deaths among Children Under Two Years for Three 5-Year Periods Preceding the Survey
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In order to analyze trends in mortality, direct estimates based on the 1983, 1988 and 1993 NDS, the 1986 Contraceptive Prevalence Survey (CPS), and the Republic of the Philippines Fertility Survey (RPFS) are also presented in this chapter as well as estimates from the Vital Registration System (VRS).

Data from the maternity histories collected in previous surveys cited earlier provided direct estimates of infant mortality at various periods preceding each survey. The estimates from the vital registration system were calculated using the ratio of registered infant deaths to births expressed in terms of 1,000 live births. Comparing the point estimates of infant mortality from various sources during the same periods provides some insights as to the levels and trends of infant mortality.

### 7.2 Levels and Trends in Infant and Child Mortality

Table 7.1 presents various mortality estimates for children under five based on the 1998 NDHS. The infant mortality rate during the five-year period prior to the survey was 35 deaths per 1,000 live births, while the neonatal mortality rate was 18 deaths per 1,000 live births. The probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday was 48 per 1,000 live births. The data indicate that the under-five mortality rate declined from 72 in the period 10 to 14 years prior to the survey to 48 in the most recent five-year period. While the various measures of mortality showed a declining trend, a variable pattern was observed in mortality during the post-neonatal period.

| Table 7.1 Infant and child mortality |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant and child mortality rates by five-year periods preceding the survey, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years preceding survey | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | Infant mortality ( ${ }_{1} q_{0}$ ) | Child mortaiity $\left({ }_{4} q_{1}\right)$ | Under-five Mortality ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{q}$ ) |
| 0-4 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 35.1 | 13.8 | 48.4 |
| 5-9 | 20.7 | 16.1 | 36.8 | 26.2 | 62.1 |
| 10-14 | 26.5 | 19.1 | 45.6 | 27.9 | 72.3 |

Table 7.2 and Figure 7.2 show direct measures of infant mortality from various sources. During the 25 -year period, infant mortality continued to decline though at varying paces. Prior to 1980 , the IMR based on the Vital Registration System (VRS) was generally higher than those directly measured from the four national demographic surveys, with the exception of the 1975 IMR estimate from the 1978 RPFS, which was higher than the VRS estimate. The 1983 and 1988 NDS rounds yielded more or less comparable IMR estimates for 1975 and 1980. The pattern observed with vital registration as a source is reversed for the period since 1980 in which the rates are much lower than those estimated from the national surveys. Point estimates for 1980 appear to be similar from all sources. There is, however, wide divergence in the preceding and succeeding periods.

The estimates from the 1998 NDHS are slightly higher than those from the 1993 NDS for the same time period, but the trends of the IMR estimates from these two surveys are similar. The IMR appears to have dramatically declined between 1980 and 1990.

Table 7.2 Trend in infant mortality rate
Infant mortality rates from various sources, Philippines 1970-1995

| Approximate <br> Midpoint | 1998 <br> NDHS | 1993 <br> NDS | 1988 <br> NDS | 1983 <br> NDS | 1978 <br> RPFS | Vital <br> Registration <br> System |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 35.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1990 | 36.8 | 33.6 | - | - | - | 24.3 |
| 1985 | 45.6 | 43.5 | 52.0 | - | - | 38.0 |
| 1980 | - | 51.3 | 51.0 | 47.0 | - | 45.1 |
| 1975 | - | - | 53.0 | 50.0 | 59.0 | 56.9 |
| 1970 | - | - | - | 50.0 | 56.0 | 62.0 |

Source: Final Report of the Task Force on Infant Mortality Rate, NSCB, December 1992 1993 NDS and 1998 NDHS

Figure 7.2
Trends in Infant Mortality in the Philippines
Various Sources, 1970-1995


### 7.3 Infant and Child Mortality Differentials by Socioeconomic Characteristics

Socioeconomic factors are important determinants of the levels of infant and child mortality. In the 1998 NDHS, several socioeconomic factors have been measured such as place of residence and education of parents. Health beliefs and practices as well as accessibility or availability of health care services have also been collected. This section deals with the relationship between the childhood mortality measures and some of these variables.

Table 7.3 shows mortality measures by selected background characteristics of the mother for the 10 -year period preceding the survey. In general, mortality in urban areas is lower than in rural areas.

## Table 7.3 Infant and child mortality by socioeconomic characteristics

Infant and child mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by selected socioeconomic characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Socioeconomic characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | Infant mortality ( $1 q_{0}$ ) | Child mortality (4 $q_{1}$ ) | Under-five mortality ( ${ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 17.6 | 13.3 | 30.9 | 15.4 | 45.8 |
| Rural | 20.6 | 19.6 | 40.2 | 23.3 | 62.5 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 15.1 | 8.6 | 23.7 | 15.3 | 38.6 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 18.7 | 24.0 | 42.7 | 10.1 | 52.4 |
| Ilocos | 27.7 | 13.9 | 41.5 | 10.2 | 51.3 |
| Cagayan Valley | 15.4 | 21.7 | 37.1 | 16.1 | 52.6 |
| C. Luzon | 20.1 | 8.5 | 28.7 | 11.1 | 39.4 |
| S. Tagalog | 21.1 | 14.2 | 35.3 | 18.5 | 53.2 |
| Bicol | 14.7 | 16.7 | 31.4 | 21.8 | 52.5 |
| W. Visayas | 11.2 | 14.8 | 26.0 | 16.1 | 41.6 |
| C. Visayas | 11.5 | 12.1 | 23.6 | 15.1 | 38.4 |
| E. Visayas | 31.3 | 29.5 | 60.8 | 26.4 | 85.6 |
| W. Mindanao | 19.5 | 25.1 | 44.6 | 31.7 | 74.9 |
| N. Mindanao | 21.1 | 19.9 | 41.0 | 24.8 | 64.8 |
| S. Mindanao | 19.1 | 21.8 | 40.9 | 21.1 | 61.2 |
| C. Mindanao | 27.0 | 21.4 | 48.4 | 29.0 | 75.9 |
| ARMM | 23.6 | 31.5 | 55.1 | 45.0 | 97.6 |
| Caraga | 28.6 | 24.6 | 53.2 | 31.0 | 82.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 35.4 | 43.1 | 78.5 | 62.0 | 135.7 |
| Elementary | 20.4 | 24.7 | 45.1 | 29.2 | 73.0 |
| High school | 19.5 | 11.9 | 31.4 | 14.8 | 45.7 |
| College or higher | 15.3 | 8.1 | 23.4 | 5.0 | 28.3 |
| Medical maternity care |  |  |  |  |  |
| No antenatal/delivery care | 29.2 | 47.6 | 76.8 | 36.6 | 110.6 |
| Either antenatal or delivery | 16.3 | 20.2 | 36.5 | 12.7 | 48.7 |
| Both antenatal \& delivery | 15.4 | 7.2 | 22.5 | 7.6 | 30.0 |
| Total | 19.3 | 16.7 | 36.0 | 19.7 | 54.9 |

Among regions, infant mortality is lowest in Metro Manila and Central Visayas and highest in Eastern Visayas. Child mortality is lowest in Cordillera Administrative Region and Ilocos Region and highest in ARMM.

Table 7.3 also indicates that mortality rates for children of mothers with no education or elementary education are much higher than those with a high school or, especially, college education (see Figure 7.3). This supports the findings from the 1993 NDS and of previous studies which showed that children born to better educated mothers have a higher probability of surviving their early years. In reviewing Philippines studies in this area, using various statistical techniques and mortality indicators, Costelo (n.d.) concluded that there is an inverse relationship between maternal education and infant mortality.

Figure 7.3
Infant Mortality by Background Characteristics


Note: Flgures are for the 10 -year
perlod preceding the survey.

Meanwhile, mortality among infants/children whose mothers had no antenatal care or medical assistance at the time of delivery is much higher than among those whose mothers had either or both antenatal care and medical assistance during delivery. This observation reinforces the findings of local studies which show that accessibility and availability of health services/facilities can be linked empirically to reduced rates of infant mortality. For instance, it was found that access to a midwife, hospital, primary health care center, or pharmacy is inversely associated with neonatal mortality, and that access to a health worker and hospital has a similar impact on postneonatal mortality. Access to modern medical practitioners can be linked to lower infant mortality.

### 7.4 Infant and Child Mortality Differentials by Demographic and Health Characteristics

Table 7.4 shows mortality by selected demographic characteristics which have been shown to influence the survival chances of children. In general, mortality is higher for males than for females. One of the variables known to have an effect on infant mortality is the mother's age at the time of delivery. The level of infant mortality, particularly during the neonatal period, and under-five mortality is higher among children whose mothers were less than age 20 at the time of delivery, decreases among mothers age 20-29, and increases among mothers age 40-49. Child mortality does not show a definite pattern of variation with mother's age.

Table 7.4 Infant and child mortality by demographic characteristics
Infant and child mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by selected demographic characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Demographic characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | Infant mortality ( ${ }_{1} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) | Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality ${ }_{(5} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 20.6 | 18.7 | 39.4 | 20.8 | 59.4 |
| Female | 17.8 | 14.5 | 32.3 | 18.5 | 50.2 |
| Age of mother at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 26.4 | 15.0 | 41.4 | 19.1 | 59.7 |
| 20-29 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 33.3 | 18.1 | 50.8 |
| 30-39 | 21.0 | 16.7 | 37.6 | 23.3 | 60.0 |
| 40-49 | 35.3 | 16.7 | 52.0 | 16.0 | 67.2 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 20.8 | 11.0 | 31.8 | 10.5 | 42.0 |
| $2-3$ | 16.6 | 15.3 | 31.9 | 14.3 | 45.7 |
| $4 \times 6$ | 18.5 | 20.2 | 38.8 | 28.4 | 66.0 |
| $7+$ | 26.9 | 26.2 | 53.1 | 39.7 | 90.7 |
| Previous birth interval |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 20.9 | 25.1 | 46.1 | 28.5 | 73.2 |
| 2-3 yrs | 14.1 | 14.1 | 28.2 | 21.8 | 49.4 |
| $4 \mathrm{yrs}+$ | 22.7 | 14.8 | 37.5 | 11.2 | 48.3 |
| Size at birth ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small or very small | 30.6 | 18.0 | 48.6 | 13.9 | 61.9 |
| Average or larger | 13.8 | 14.8 | 28.6 | 12.6 | 40.8 |

Rates for the 10-year period preceding the survey

The levels of infant and child mortality among children of birth order 1 to 3 are similar but rise thereafter with increasing birth order. Mortality according to the length of the previous birth interval indicates the usual pattern of high mortality among children born less than two years after the previous birth. Mortality rates decline considerably for children born 2-3 years after a prior birth. However, neonatal mortality is markedly higher while the mortality rates after the neonatal period but before the age of 5 years are lower for children born 4 or more years after a previous birth compared to those born 2-3 years after a previous birth.

Children who were judged by their mothers to be "average or larger" at birth generally have lower mortality levels than children judged to be "small or very small" at birth. Within the first month of life, the level of mortality for small or very small infants is about twice that for those whose birth size is average or larger.

### 7.5 High-Risk Fertility Behavior

The distribution of women and children according to categories of increased risk of infant and child mortality as a result of fertility behavior of the mother is shown in Table 7.5. Children at elevated risk include those born to mothers who are too young or too old when they give birth, children of high parity, and children born after a short interval. The table also presents the relative risk of dying for children born in the last five years by comparing the proportion dead in each high-risk category with the proportion dead among children who are not in any high-risk category. This information is useful for designing and monitoring programs both to avoid high-risk behavior and to cope with elevated risks.

Of the children born in the five years preceding the survey, 57 percent are in one or more elevated risk categories. High birth order and short birth interval were the most common high-risk factors. More than one-fifth of all births are subject to multiple risk characteristics. Under the single risk category, 2 percent were born to mothers younger than 18 years, 3 percent were born to mothers older than 34 years, 15 percent were born after an interval of less than 24 months, and 16 percent were of birth order greater than 3. Under the multiple high-risk category, 10 percent were born to mothers over 34 years of age and were of birth order greater than 3 , and 9 percent were born after an interval of less than 24 months and were of birth order greater than 3 .

The risk ratios shown in the second column of Table 7.5 illustrate the relationship between the risk factors and mortality levels. The risk ratios for children in the single high-risk categories are generally lower than risk ratios for children in multiple high-risk categories. Those who fall into only one elevated risk category have a risk ratio of 1.44 , while children who are in the multiple high-risk categories have a risk ratio of 2.14 . The highest risk ratios for those in single high-risk categories are observed for children with mothers who are older than 34 years of age (2.14). As for children who are in the multiple high-risk categories, the highest ratio is observed for those with mothers who are older than 34 years, with birth interval less than 24 months, and with birth order greater than 3 (2.60). High-risk ratios are also observed for children with birth order greater than 3 and with birth interval less than 24 months (2.09).

## Table 7.5 High-risk fertility behavior

Percent distribution of children born in the five years preceding the survey who are at elevated risk of dying and the percent distribution of currently married women at risk of conceiving a child with an elevated risk of dying, by category of increased risk, Philippines 1998

| Risk Category | Births in the 5 years preceding the survey |  | Percentage of currently married women ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of births | Risk ratio |  |
| Not in any high-risk category | 20.7 | 1.00 | $26.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Unavoidable risk: first births | 22.4 | 1.01 | 5.0 |
| Single high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Mother's age < 18 | 2.3 | 1.83 | 0.2 |
| Mother's age > 34 | 2.6 | 2.14 | 9.2 |
| Birth interval < 24 | 14.5 | 1.40 | 8.9 |
| Birth order $>3$ | 15.8 | 1.31 | 11.9 |
| Subtotal | 35.1 | 1.44 | 30.1 |
| Multiple high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Age $<18$ \& birth interval $<24^{\text {c }}$ | 0.3 | (6.13) | 0.1 |
| Age $>34$ \& birth interval $<24$ | 0.4 | (2.24) | 0.7 |
| Age $>34$ \& birth order $>3$ | 9.7 | 1.91 | 26.6 |
| Age $>34 \&$ birth interval<24 \& birth order $>3$ | 2.8 | 2.60 | 3.7 |
| Birth interval $<24$ \& birth order $>3$ | 8.7 | 2.09 | 7.4 |
| Subtotal | 21.9 | 2.14 | 38.6 |
| In any high-risk category | 56.9 | 1.71 | 68.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Number | 7,567 | - | 8,336 |

[^12]The distribution of currently married women according to category of potential risk if they were to conceive at the time of the survey is also presented. The results indicate that 30 percent of married women have the potential for giving birth to a child in a single high-risk category, and 39 percent have the potential for having a child in a multiple high-risk category.

In summary, the aforementioned findings indicate that more than half of the births in the last five years are at an elevated mortality risk. Moreover, two-thirds of married women had the potential for giving birth to a child at an elevated risk at the time of the survey. This implies that a significant reduction in infant and child mortality could be achieved through changes in childbearing patterns.

## CHAPTER 8

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

Presented in this chapter are three areas of importance to maternal and child health: maternal care and delivery assistance, vaccinations, and common childhood diseases and treatment. Combined with information on neonatal and infant mortality, this information is useful in identifying subgroups of women who are in need of maternity care in planning for improvement of services.

### 8.1 Prenatal Care

In the 1998 National Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS), respondents were asked whether they had seen anyone for prenatal care during the pregnancy preceding each live birth in the last five years. The interviewer was instructed to record all responses if more than one source of prenatal care was mentioned for the same pregnancy. However, for the purposes of this tabulation, only the provider with the highest qualifications is considered when there is more than one response. Table 8.1 shows that the overall level of prenatal care among Filipino women is high. Nine out of 10 children born in the five years preceding the survey were to mothers who received prenatal care, the same as the 1993 NDS findings. However, prenatal care by professional health workers (doctors, nurses and midwives) increased by 3 percentage points (from 83 percent of births in 1993 to 86 percent in 1998). Mothers received no prenatal care for one out of 13 births.

Births to mothers age 20-34, lower order births, births to urban mothers, better-educated mothers, and mothers living in Central Luzon and Metro Manila were more likely to receive medical attention during pregnancy than were other births in the five years prior to the survey. While more than half of children in the urban areas are born to mothers who receive prenatal care from a physician, in the rural areas nurses and midwives are more likely to be the prenatal care provider. Disparities in prenatal service provider are also present by region. In Metro Manila and Central Luzon, the most common prenatal care provider is a physician. In all other regions, mothers were as likely or more likely to consult a nurse or midwife, except in ARMM, where birth attendants are the most important source for prenatal care. There is a very strong association between mother's level of education and type of prenatal care received. Mothers who had high school education are eight times more likely to consult a physician for prenatal care than mothers who had no education. For mothers with some college education, the chance of using a doctor for prenatal care is even higher: 16 times higher than the level for mothers who had no formal schooling.

The maternal care program in the Philippines recommends that every pregnant woman have at least 3 prenatal care visits during her pregnancy, 1 visit in each of the three trimesters. Information about the visits made by pregnant women is presented in Table 8.2 and Figure 8.1. The findings indicate that for the majority of the births in the five years prior to the survey, mothers complied with the recommended minimum number of visits. For 77 percent of births, mothers made 3 or more prenatal visits. The median number of visits was 4.4.

Table 8.1 Prenatal care
Percent distribution of live births in the last five years by source of prenatal care during pregnancy, according to maternal and background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Prenatal care provider ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Numberofbirths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ Midwife |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { one } \end{aligned}$ | Missing | Total |  |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 28.9 | 53.4 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 644 |
| 20-34 | 40.3 | 46.7 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5,756 |
| 35+ | 34.9 | 46.0 | 9.2 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,167 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 51.5 | 40.5 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,907 |
| 2-3 | 43.4 | 45.1 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,863 |
| 4-5 | 30.2 | 53.4 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,548 |
| $6+$ | 17.8 | 54.4 | 11.8 | 15.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 56.6 | 35.8 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,465 |
| Rural | 23.2 | 56.7 | 9.2 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4,101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 75.3 | 19.7 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,048 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 40.3 | 44.3 | 0.7 | 14.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Ilocos | 43.2 | 42.9 | 2.8 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Cagayan Valley | 31.1 | 41.5 | 6.6 | 20.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 242 |
| C. Luzon | 53.9 | 38.8 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 711 |
| S. Luzon | 43.7 | 42.5 | 5.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,078 |
| Bicol | 20.0 | 60.8 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 530 |
| W. Visayas | 38.1 | 54.7 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 585 |
| C. Visayas | 24.2 | 69.2 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 586 |
| E. Visayas | 19.8 | 57.6 | 7.7 | 14.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 446 |
| W. Mindanao | 21.9 | 51.8 | 19.2 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 304 |
| N. Mindanao | 21.3 | 61.8 | 5.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 331 |
| S. Mindanao | 31.7 | 57.6 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 489 |
| C. Mindanao | 20.8 | 61.7 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 264 |
| ARMM | 11.6 | 30.7 | 48.2 | 8.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 263 |
| Caraga | 26.3 | 63.0 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.5 | 22.7 | 39.4 | 32.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 15.7 | 59.8 | 10.6 | 13.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,586 |
| High school | 37.6 | 53.7 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,913 |
| College or higher | 74.1 | 22.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,898 |
| Total | 38.5 | 47.2 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 7,566 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period 1-59 months preceding the survey.
${ }^{1}$ If the respondent mentioned more than one provider, only the most qualified provider is considered.

Table 8.2 and Figure 8.1 also present the distribution of births occurring in the past five years according to the timing of the first prenatal visit. For almost half of births, the first prenatal visit was made in the first 3 months of gestation. It should be noted that mothers of one in three births had their first prenatal care in the 4-7th month, while a small percentage was first examined during the last three months of pregnancy.


For carly detection of risks, mothers are advised to have a prenatal checkup as early as the first trimester of pregnancy. The results of the 1998 NDHS shows that the median age of pregnancy when women come for their first prenatal checkup is 3.9 months.

High quality prenatal care includes identifying a high-risk condition among pregnant women and educating them about potential symptoms that are indicative of serious conditions so that early intervention can be instituted. For live births that occurred in the five years before the survey for which mothers received a prenatal checkup, only 33 percent were informed about the dangers related to pregnancy. Younger mothers, those residing in rural areas, those coming from ARMM and those with no education or with low education are less likely to be told about the dangerous symptoms of pregnancy and are therefore more at risk (Table 8.2). Mothers who were more likely to be informed about such dangerous symptoms as vaginal bleeding, headache, dizziness and edema were mothers who were residing in urban areas and who have college education.

Among those women who were informed of the danger signs, about one-fourth said they were told to watch for vaginal bleeding, while another one-fourth said they were told about dizziness, headaches and blurred vision. Twenty-three percent were told that excessive paleness or anemia was a danger sign, while 22 percent were told to watch for swollen face or hands (Figure 8.2).

## Table 8.2 Information about danger signs of pregnancy

Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey for which prenatal care was received by whether the mother was informed about specific symptoms during pregnancy that might be dangerous, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Informed about dangerous symptoms |  |  |  | Births with prenatal care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know/ missing | Total |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 30.2 | 69.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 178 |
| 20-24 | 34.8 | 63.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,221 |
| 25-29 | 32.1 | 66.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2,084 |
| 30-34 | 34.1 | 64.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,745 |
| 35+ | 33.4 | 65.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,731 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 37.4 | 61.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3,301 |
| Rural | 29.6 | 69.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 3,657 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 43.4 | 53.4 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 1,010 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 39.5 | 58.8 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Ilocos | 37.1 | 61.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 306 |
| Cagayan Valley | 41.7 | 56.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 192 |
| C. Luzon | 20.5 | 79.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 674 |
| S. Tagalog | 27.4 | 72.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 984 |
| Bicol | 29.0 | 68.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 482 |
| W. Visayas | 38.9 | 60.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 558 |
| C. Visayas | 31.2 | 68.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 560 |
| E. Visayas | 24.0 | 75.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 380 |
| W. Mindanao | 38.0 | 61.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 282 |
| N. Mindanao | 36.4 | 62.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 291 |
| S. Mindanao | 38.7 | 60.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 449 |
| C. Mindanao | 28.9 | 66.0 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 242 |
| ARMM | 21.0 | 74.9 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 238 |
| Caraga | 54.1 | 45.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 185 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.7 | 78.6 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Elementary | 25.0 | 73.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2,226 |
| High school | 31.8 | 66.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2,762 |
| College | 46.6 | 52.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,859 |
| Total | 33.3 | 65.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 6,959 |



### 8.2 Tetanus Immunization of Pregnant Women

In many countries, neonatal tetanus is a major cause of neonatal mortality. The maternal care program recommends that women receive at least two tetanus injections during the first pregnancy. Booster injections are given 6 months later, and in order to confer lifetime immunity, two more doses are given. Information on the number of tetanus toxoid injections received by pregnant women was collected in the 1998 NDHS and is presented in Table 8.3.

Mothers of 30 percent of live births in the five years prior to the survey did not receive a tetanus injection during that pregnancy, a decline of 5 percentage points from the 1993 NDS. Tetanus toxoid coverage is lower among births to mothers 35 years and above, those of birth order 6 and over, those from ARMM, and those whose mothers have no education.

The proportion of newborns whose mothers received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid has decreased from 42 percent in 1993 to 38 percent in 1998 while those who were given one dose of the vaccine increased from 22 percent in 1993 to 31 percent in 1998.

## Table 8.3 Tetanus toxoid vaccinations

Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by number of tetanus toxoid injections given to the mother during pregnancy, according to maternal and background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Number of tetanus toxoid injections. |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | One dose | Two doses or more | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't know/ } \\ \text { missing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 31.0 | 27.2 | 40.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 644 |
| 20-34 | 28.8 | 31.4 | 38.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 5,756 |
| 35+ | 36.9 | 29.1 | 32.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,167 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 29.5 | 26.2 | 43.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,907 |
| 2-3 | 26.7 | 33.5 | 38.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2,863 |
| 4-5 | 29.1 | 33.7 | 35.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,548 |
| $6+$ | 40.9 | 27.3 | 30.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 30.2 | 31.6 | 37.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 3,465 |
| Rural | 30.3 | 29.9 | 38.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 4,101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 35.2 | 33.8 | 30.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,048 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 32.5 | 32.5 | 33.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Ilocos | 30.8 | 29.7 | 38.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Cagayan Valley | 31.7 | 28.1 | 39.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 242 |
| C. Luzon | 30.1 | 33.8 | 34.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 711 |
| S. Luzon | 32.7 | 28.2 | 38.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,078 |
| Bicol | 29.9 | 29.9 | 38.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 530 |
| W. Visayas | 20.9 | 29.1 | 49.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 585 |
| C. Visayas | 22.2 | 35.3 | 41.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 586 |
| E. Visayas | 31.2 | 34.7 | 33.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 446 |
| W. Mindanao | 31.2 | 21.5 | 44.4 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 304 |
| N. Mindanao | 28.9 | 39.0 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 331 |
| S. Mindanao | 24.4 | 28.8 | 43.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 489 |
| C. Mindanao | 23.4 | 24.1 | 49.9 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 264 |
| ARMM | 57.6 | 18.4 | 20.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 263 |
| Caraga | 26.1 | 33.1 | 40.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 70.6 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 33.9 | 29.5 | 35.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2,586 |
| High school | 23.4 | 33.3 | 42.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2,913 |
| College or higher | 32.2 | 29.9 | 36.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,898 |
| Total | 30.3 | 30.7 | 37.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 7,566 |

### 8.3 Iron and Iodine Supplementation During Pregnancy

Anemia associated with pregnancy is treated by giving iron tablets to pregnant women during antenatal checkups. As shown in Table 8.4 for 75 percent of births during the five years prior to the survey mothers received iron supplements during the pregnancy. Mothers with college education, those who were residing in urban areas, and those who were pregnant for the first time were more likely to receive iron supplements during pregnancy. Regional coverage ranges from 83 percent (Western Visayas) to 38 percent (ARMM).

Iodine is provided to pregnant women to prevent mental retardation in children due to iodine deficiency. Only 57 percent of pregnant women received iodine supplements during the five years prior to the survey. Pregnant women age 20-34, those at lower parity, those who were residing in urban areas, and those with college education were more likely to be given iodine. Southern Mindanao showed the highest coverage of iodine for pregnant women ( 70 percent) while ARMM had the lowest (31 percent) coverage.

### 8.4 Delivery Assistance

Among births in the past five years, 34 percent were born in a health facility, while the remaining 66 percent were delivered either in the respondent's home, someone else's home, or in another place. Table 8.5 indicates that there does not appear to be a strong relationship between mother's age and the place of delivery. Women who have lower birth order babies, live in urban areas, have post secondary or college education, and those who made 4 or more prenatal visits are more likely than other women to have delivered in a health facility. It is interesting to note that the vast majority of births of order six or higher ( 86 percent) were delivered at home. Delivery at a health facility was most common in Metro Manila ( 72 percent), and least common in ARMM ( 6 percent).

Presented in Table 8.6 is information on assistance at delivery. As with prenatal care, the interviewer was instructed to record all responses if more than one person assisted during delivery. However, for purposes of this analysis, only the most highly qualified attendant is considered if there is more than one response. Virtually all of the births in the past five years were delivered with some assistance, more than half by professional health workers. Medical assistance at delivery was more common among women with lower order births, those who live in urban areas, those who have high school or higher educational, and those who had 4 or more prenatal visits. In Metro Manila, 92 percent of births were attended by a professional health worker, while in ARMM the proportion attended by professional health workers is only 16 percent.

It is interesting to note that while a high proportion ( 86 percent) of pregnant women go to professional health workers for prenatal checkups, only 56 percent were assisted by these professional health workers during delivery (Table 8.6). This differential is especially acute among women in ARMM where most births ( 82 percent) are assisted by traditional birth attendants. Pregnant women with low and no education, those residing in rural areas, and those who have had many deliveries are more likely to give birth with assistance from a traditional birth attendant.

Table 8.4 Iron and iodine supplementation during pregnancy
Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by whether the mother received iron tablets and iodine capsules during pregnancy, according to maternal background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Iron tablets |  |  | Iodine capsules |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know/ missing | Yes | No | Don't know/ missing |  |  |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20$ | 69.0 | 30.3 | 0.6 | 48.4 | 50.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 644 |
| 20-34 | 75.9 | 23.3 | 0.8 | 58.5 | 40.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 5,756 |
| $35+$ | 71.1 | 28.4 | 0.5 | 51.9 | 46.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,167 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 80.2 | 19.2 | 0.6 | 58.7 | 40.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,907 |
| 2-3 | 78.2 | 21.0 | 0.8 | 60.9 | 37.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2,863 |
| 4-5 | 69.8 | 29.2 | 1.0 | 54.5 | 44.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,548 |
| $6+$ | 63.6 | 35.8 | 0.6 | 46.2 | 52.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 82.1 | 17.2 | 0.7 | 62.4 | 36.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 3,465 |
| Rural | 68.3 | 31.0 | 0.8 | 51.7 | 47.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4,101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 81.9 | 17.0 | 1.1 | 61.4 | 36.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,048 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 68.4 | 30.2 | 1.4 | 53.5 | 45.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Ilocos | 72.0 | 26.8 | 1.1 | 61.0 | 37.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Cagayan Valley | 63.4 | 35.5 | 1.1 | 50.0 | 48.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 242 |
| C.Luzon | 74.3 | 25.3 | 0.4 | 57.6 | 41.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 711 |
| S. Tagalog | 78.5 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 40.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,078 |
| Bicol | 66.5 | 33.2 | 0.4 | 53.7 | 46.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 530 |
| W.Visayas | 83.2 | 16.6 | 0.2 | 64.4 | 35.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 585 |
| C.Visayas | 78.6 | 20.9 | 0.6 | 52.4 | 46.4 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 586 |
| E.Visayas | 71.1 | 28.3 | 0.6 | 44.2 | 55.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 446 |
| W.Mindanao | 64.3 | 33.3 | 2.0 | 51.3 | 46.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 304 |
| N.Mindanao | 72.8 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 51.3 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 331 |
| S.Mindanao | 82.3 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 69.5 | 29.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 489 |
| C.Mindanao | 71.6 | 26.5 | 1.9 | 55.1 | 42.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 264 |
| ARMM | 38.4 | 57.4 | 4.2 | 30.9 | 65.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 263 |
| Caraga | 81.6 | 17.9 | 0.5 | 61.9 | 37.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 21.7 | 74.6 | 3.7 | 16.0 | 80.4 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 62.6 | 36.8 | 0.6 | 45.1 | 54.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2,586 |
| High school | 79.4 | 19.9 | 0.6 | 61.6 | 37.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2,913 |
| College or higher | 88.1 | 11.1 | 0.8 | 68.4 | 30.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,898 |
| Total | 74.6 | 24.7 | 0.7 | 56.6 | 42.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 7,566 |

## Table 8.5 Place of delivery

Percent distribution of live births in the last five years by place of delivery, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Place of delivery |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { births } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health facility | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't know/ } \\ \text { missing } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 29.0 | 70.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 644 |
| 20-34 | 35.6 | 64.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5,756 |
| 35+ | 30.3 | 69.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,167 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 49.8 | 49.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,907 |
| 2-3 | 37.0 | 62.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,863 |
| 4-5 | 26.5 | 73.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,548 |
| $6+$ | 13.8 | 85.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.1 | 47.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3,465 |
| Rural | 19.2 | 80.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 4,101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 72.4 | 27.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,048 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 33.0 | 66.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Hocos | 26.8 | 72.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Cagayan Valley | 19.7 | 80.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 242 |
| C. Luzon | 49.1 | 50.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 711 |
| S. Luzon | 34.0 | 66.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,078 |
| Bicol | 19.6 | 80.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 530 |
| W. Visayas | 32.0 | 67.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 585 |
| C. Visayas | 26.7 | 72.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 586 |
| E. Visayas | 17.0 | 82.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 446 |
| W. Mindanao | 18.5 | 80.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 304 |
| N. Mindanao | 20.0 | 79.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 331 |
| S. Mindanao | 33.6 | 66.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 489 |
| C. Mindanao | 21.7 | 77.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 264 |
| ARMM | 6.3 | 92.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 263 |
| Caraga | 22.0 | 77.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.7 | 94.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 12.6 | 87.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,586 |
| High school | 33.3 | 66.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,913 |
| College or higher | 67.7 | 32.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,898 |
| Prenatal visits during pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 9.9 | 89.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 589 |
| 1-3 visits | 17.1 | 82.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,277 |
| 4+ visits | 45.9 | 54.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,640 |
| Total | 34.2 | 65.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 7,566 |

## Table 8.6 Assistance during delivery

Percent distribution of live births in the last five years by type of assistance during delivery, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Assistance during delivery |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { births } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ midwife | ```Traditional birth attendant``` | Relative/ other | No one | Don't know/ missing |  |  |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 26.0 | 25.1 | 46.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 644 |
| 20-34 | 31.9 | 26.8 | 39.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5,756 |
| 35+ | 28.5 | 19.4 | 49.2 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,167 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 45.7 | 25.2 | 28.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,907 |
| 2-3 | 33.0 | 28.7 | 36.3 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,863 |
| 4-5 | 24.1 | 24.8 | 48.6 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,548 |
| $6+$ | 11.9 | 19.5 | 63.8 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 47.6 | 30.9 | 20.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3,465 |
| Rural | 16.8 | 20.9 | 58.7 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4,101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 64.1 | 27.4 | 7.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,048 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 28.5 | 19.6 | 16:3 | 33.5 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Ilocos | 25.4 | 41.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Cagayan Valley | 18.6 | 23.5 | 49.2 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 242 |
| C. Luzon | 45.7 | 38.6 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 711 |
| S. Luzon | 33.1 | 26.7 | 39.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,078 |
| Bicol | 14.3 | 29.9 | 55.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 530 |
| W. Visayas | 28.7 | 19.4 | 50.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 585 |
| C. Visayas | 23.7 | 32.0 | 44.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 586 |
| E. Visayas | 16.1 | 11.6 | 70.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 446 |
| W. Mindanao | 15.9 | 23.7 | 55.2 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 304 |
| N. Mindanao | 20.0 | 14.6 | 61.0 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 331 |
| S. Mindanao | 27.6 | 19.4 | 48.8 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 489 |
| C. Mindanao | 20.3 | 22.7 | 55.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 264 |
| ARMM | 3.4 | 12.1 | 81.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 263 |
| Caraga | 21.8 | 18.4 | 58.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.5 | 4.9 | 75.5 | 11.4 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 10.6 | 19.7 | 66.0 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,586 |
| High school | 29.2 | 34.2 | 35.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,913 |
| College or higher | 63.5 | 21.9 | 14.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,898 |
| Prenatal visits during pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 8.6 | 15.0 | 67.8 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 589 |
| 1-3 visits | 14.6 | 24.2 | 57.9 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,277 |
| 4+ visits | 41.9 | 27.7 | 29.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4,640 |
| Total | 30.9 | 25.5 | 41.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 7,566 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period 1-59 months prior to the survey. If the respondent mentioned more than one attendant, only the most qualified attendant is considered.

The 1998 NDHS data shows that of the 7,566 births in the five years preceding the survey, 21 percent were to mothers who had complications during delivery (Figure 8.3). Prolonged labor was the most common complication ( 17 percent) followed by excessive bleeding ( 7 percent), vaginal infection ( 2 percent), and convulsions ( 1 percent). Prevalence of prolonged labor appears to have increased slightly from the 15 percent found in the 1993 Philippine National Safe Motherhood Survey (PNSMS) (NSO and MI, 1994:53). The occurrence of excessive bleeding and convulsions decreased slightly over the same time period.


Table 8.7 shows that about 6 percent of births in the Philippines are born by caesarean section. This constitutes 1 in 6 of all deliveries in a health facility. As expected, caesarean sections are more common among urban than rural births ( 9 vs. 3 percent, respectively). They are also more common in Central Luzon (13 percent) and Metro Manila ( 9 percent) and least common in ARMM (less than 1 percent). Table 8.8 indicates that the most common reason for caesarean section is a big baby followed by prolonged labor and breech delivery.

## Table 8.7 Delivery characteristics

Percent distribution of live births in the last five years by whether the delivery was by caesarean section, birth weight, and the mother's estimate of baby's size at birth, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Delivery by caesarean section | Birth weight |  |  | Size of child at birth |  |  |  | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ 2.5 \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \mathrm{~kg} \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | Don't know/ missing | Very small | Smaller than average | Average or larger | Don't know/ missing |  |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 2.3 | 9.5 | 41.7 | 48.8 | 6.6 | 14.6 | 78.2 | 0.6 | 644 |
| 20-34 | 5.9 | 9.7 | 51.9 | 38.4 | 5.4 | 12.7 | 81.4 | 0.5 | 5,756 |
| 35+ | 6.5 | 9.2 | 43.7 | 47.1 | 7.1 | 12.0 | 80.4 | 0.5 | 1,167 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8.7 | 11.9 | 59.8 | 28.3 | 5.7 | 13.5 | 80.5 | 0.3 | 1,907 |
| 2-3 | 6.7 | 9.5 | 54.3 | 36.3 | 5.1 | 12.6 | 81.8 | 0.5 | 2,863 |
| 4-5 | 2.9 | 8.3 | 44.3 | 47.4 | 6.0 | 12.9 | 80.4 | 0.7 | 1,548 |
| $6+$ | 1.9 | 8.0 | 30.8 | 61.2 | 7.1 | 11.8 | 80.5 | 0.7 | 1,248 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.9 | 11.5 | 66.2 | 22.2 | 5.1 | 12.2 | 82.5 | 0.2 | 3,465 |
| Rural | 2.9 | 8.0 | 35.8 | 56.2 | 6.4 | 13.3 | 79.5 | 0.7 | 4,101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 8.7 | 12.3 | 73.5 | 14.3 | 4.9 | 12.1 | 83.0 | 0.0 | 1,048 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 4.7 | 9.2 | 33.0 | 57.8 | 11.1 | 19.1 | 69.3 | 0.5 | 149 |
| Ilocos | 5.6 | 7.1 | 41.2 | 51.7 | 5.1 | 16.1 | 78.0 | 0.8 | 344 |
| Cagayan Valley | 4.1 | 4.6 | 38.0 | 57.4 | 4.4 | 10.7 | 84.7 | 0.3 | 242 |
| C. Luzon | 12.7 | 9.2 | 62.8 | 28.0 | 4.8 | 9.2 | 85.4 | 0.6 | 711 |
| S. Tagalog | 6.9 | 9.2 | 56.0 | 34.8 | 2.4 | 10.5 | 86.7 | 0.3 | 1,078 |
| Bicol | 2.5 | 10.9 | 34.0 | 55.1 | 8.0 | 11.9 | 79.0 | 1.1 | 530 |
| W. Visayas | 4.9 | 12.1 | 40.9 | 47.0 | 4.7 | 13.0 | 82.2 | 0.2 | 585 |
| C. Visayas | 2.3 | 12.6 | 50.8 | 36.7 | 8.8 | 19.4 | 71.6 | 0.2 | 586 |
| E. Visayas | 2.1 | 8.5 | 33.9 | 57.6 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 77.2 | 0.3 | 446 |
| W. Mindanao | 2.7 | 7.3 | 35.1 | 57.5 | 7.5 | 15.2 | 75.6 | 1.6 | 304 |
| N. Mindanao | 2.4 | 6.0 | 48.1 | 45.9 | 7.6 | 11.9 | 79.5 | 0.9 | 331 |
| S. Mindanao | 7.3 | 10.6 | 56.0 | 33.4 | 7.7 | 15.2 | 76.8 | 0.4 | 489 |
| C. Mindanao | 4.7 | 5.9 | 42.1 | 52.0 | 6.1 | 10.9 | 82.3 | 0.7 | 264 |
| ARMM | 0.7 | 4.5 | 15.0 | 80.5 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 87.0 | 1.3 | 263 |
| Caraga | 2.5 | 11.6 | 51.9 | 36.5 | 3.9 | 13.6 | 82.3 | 0.2 | 197 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.6 | 2.1 | 7.9 | 90.0 | 5.2 | 18.3 | 75.6 | 0.9 | 169 |
| Elementary | 1.7 | 8.1 | 28.9 | 63.0 | 7.1 | 14.2 | 78.2 | 0.6 | 2,586 |
| High school | 4.3 | 11.0 | 54.2 | 34.8 | 5.9 | 13.6 | 80.0 | 0.5 | 2,913 |
| College or higher | 13.5 | 10.1 | 75.1 | 14.8 | 3.9 | 9.0 | 86.7 | 0.3 | 1,898 |
| Total | 5.7 | 9.6 | 49.8 | 40.6 | 5.8 | 12.7 | 81.0 | 0.5 | 7,566 |

Information on the baby's birth weight was also obtained in the 1998 NDHS. Table 8.7 shows that among children born in the five years prior to the survey, 41 percent had weights unknown to mothers. Ten percent of all births, or 16 percent of those who were weighed, were reported to weigh less than what is considered normal birth weight ( 2,500 grams). Mothers were also asked for their own objective assessment of whether the baby's birth size was very large, larger than average, average, smaller than average, or very small. According to mothers' reports, 19 percent of births in the past five years were smaller than average or very small at birth.

| Table 8.8 Reasons for caesarean section |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Percent distribution of caesarean births in the |  |
| five years before the survey by reason for the |  |
| operation, Philippines 1998 |  |
| Reason for caesarean section |  |
| Preeclampsia | Percent |
| Eclampsia | 6.3 |
| Baby too big | 2.7 |
| Breach | 34.3 |
| Fetal distress | 12.3 |
| Prolong labor | 7.1 |
| Excessive discharge | 12.1 |
| Excessive bleeding | 3.7 |
| Other | 2.2 |
| Missing | 14.5 |
| Total | 4.9 |
| Number | 100.0 |
|  | 411 |

### 8.5 Postnatal Care

The delivery of postnatal care services is aimed at preventing the occurrence of maternal and infant morbidity and mortality in the country. The mother's background characteristic and the providers of good quality postnatal care contribute greatly in the success of this goal. The 1998 NDHS results (Table 8.9 and Figure 8.4) show that 3 in 5 postpartum mothers ( 59 percent) receive examinations after delivery. Mothers who have delivered for the first time, those who reside in urban areas and those who have some college education are more likely to consult a doctor for a checkup after delivery. Traditional birth attendants are the major care givers postnatal in the rural areas and among the uneducated mothers. Those mothers who never consult a health worker for a prenatal checkup are least likely to consult health workers for postnatal care services. While in Metro Manila 51 percent of postpartum mothers consult doctors, 59 percent of mothers in ARMM rely on the traditional birth attendants for post delivery care.

## Table 8.9 Postnatal care

Percent distribution of live births in the last five years by source of postnatal care during delivery, according to maternal and background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Postnatal care provider |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numberofbirths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse | Midwife | Traditional birth attendant | Relative/ friend | Other | No one/ missing | Total |  |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 17.3 | 1.1 | 13.9 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.4 | 100.0 | 644 |
| 20-34 | 24.4 | 1.2 | 17.2 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 40.6 | 100.0 | 5,756 |
| 35+ | 22.2 | 0.8 | 15.4 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 42.5 | 100.0 | 1,167 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 33.7 | 1.6 | 14.8 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 100.0 | 1,907 |
| 2-3 | 26.0 | 1.0 | 18.5 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 38.8 | 100.0 | 2,863 |
| 4-5 | 17.5 | 1.4 | 17.3 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 44.0 | 100.0 | 1,548 |
| $6+$ | 9.5 | 0.7 | 14.6 | 23.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 51.1 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 36.8 | 1.8 | 16.9 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 34.1 | 100.0 | 3,465 |
| Rural | 12.2 | 0.7 | 16.5 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 47.6 | 100.0 | 4,101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 50.9 | 3.4 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 100.0 | 1,048 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 17.0 | 2.4 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.3 | 100.0 | 149 |
| llocos | 20.1 | 0.8 | 13.3 | 10.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 55.4 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Cagayan Valley | 15.3 | 0.5 | 22.4 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.6 | 100.0 | 242 |
| C. Luzon | 33.0 | 1.0 | 25.1 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.1 | 100.0 | 711 |
| S. Luzon | 28.0 | 1.2 | 28.2 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.2 | 100.0 | 1,078 |
| Bicol | 11.1 | 0.7 | 18.4 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 43.9 | 100.0 | 530 |
| W. Visayas | 20.4 | 0.2 | 9.3 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 100.0 | 585 |
| C. Visayas | 14.8 | 0.8 | 22.4 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.2 | 100.0 | 586 |
| E. Visayas | 7.4 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.8 | 100.0 | 446 |
| W. Mindanao | 13.1 | 2.3 | 21.5 | 33.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 28.9 | 100.0 | 304 |
| N. Mindanao | 10.6 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 61.0 | 100.0 | 331 |
| S. Mindanao | 25.7 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 36.5 | 100.0 | 489 |
| C. Mindanao | 12.8 | 0.2 | 11.3 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 63.1 | 100.0 | 264 |
| ARMM | 4.7 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 59.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 100.0 | 263 |
| Caraga | 14.5 | 0.7 | 17.2 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.9 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 43.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 51.2 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Elementary | 7.1 | 0.8 | 15.2 | 26.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 2,586 |
| High school | 19.9 | 1.0 | 22.3 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 42.6 | 100.0 | 2,913 |
| College or higher | 53.2 | 1.9 | 11.2 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 100.0 | 1,898 |
| Prenatal visits during pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 3.7 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 78.2 | 100.0 | 589 |
| 1-3 visits | 8.7 | 0.9 | 14.3 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 52.1 | 100.0 | 2,277 |
| 4+ visits | 33.3 | 1.5 | 19.4 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 4,640 |
| Total | 23.5 | 1.2 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 41.4 | 100.0 | 7,566 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period $1-59$ months prior to the survey. If the respondent mentioned more than one attendant, only the most qualified attendant is considered.

Figure 8.4
Distribution of Live Births by Source of Postnatal Care


1988 NDHS

The majority of mothers received postnatal care during the first 2 weeks after delivery, while only 13 percent visited more than 28 days after delivery (Table 8.9a). Details of health services received during the postnatal care are enumerated in Table 8.10. It is interesting to note that services rendered during the postnatal visit are mainly focused on baby care and to a lesser extent on abdominal examination of the mother.

## Table 8.9a Timing of postnatal care

Among live births in the five years preceding the survey for which postnatal care was received, percent distribution by timing of the postnatal care, Philippines, 1998

| Timing of visit | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| $0-6$ days | 42.7 |
| $7-13$ days | 29.0 |
| $14-20$ days | 11.1 |
| $21-27$ days | 3.6 |
| $28-34$ days | 9.8 |
| $35-41$ days | 0.5 |
| $42-48$ days | 0.6 |
| 49 days or more | 2.0 |
| Don't know/missing | 0.7 |
|  |  |
| Total | 100.0 |
| Number of births | 4,435 |

Table 8.10 Postnatal care services
Among live births in the five years preceding the survey for which postnatal care was received, percentage receiving specific services during postnatal checkup, Philippines, 1998

|  | Services received |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know/ <br> missing | Total |
| Type of service |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73.5 | 26.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| Abdominal exam | 41.0 | 58.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 |
| Breast exam | 37.5 | 61.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| Internal exam | 44.5 | 54.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| Family planning advice | 69.3 | 30.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| Breastfeeding advice | 72.9 | 26.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 |
| Baby care advice | 79.2 | 20.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| Checkup of baby |  |  |  |  |

### 8.6 Maternal Mortality

Although the level of maternal mortality is generally considered to be one of the most important indicators of a nations health, reliable data are extremely difficult to obtain. Even in countries with relatively complete vital registration systems, maternal deaths are often underreported or misclassified as non-maternal (WHO and UNICEF, 1997:3). Household surveys offer an alternative data collection mechanism; however, surveys may also suffer from underreporting and misclassification. In addition, they require large sample sizes in order to provide reliable estimates even at the national level. This is because, even where levels of maternal mortality are high, the actual number of maternal deaths is likely to be relatively small (WHO and UNICEF, 1997:4). For these reasons, estimates of maternal mortality rates and ratios must be interpreted cautiously.

Data were collected in the NDHS that allow direct estimation of maternal mortality rates and ratios. The procedure used is the direct method modification of the so-called "A Sisterhood-approach" in which respondents are asked about the survivorship of all live births of their natural mother (siblings). A description of the methodology used is presented in Appendix C along with a discussion of various measures of data quality and results.

The results confirm the finding from the 1993 NDS that the maternal mortality ratio in the Philippines is approximately 200 per 100,000 live births. Because the ratio from the 1998 survey- 172 per 100,000 births for the period approximately 1991-1997-is lower than the level of 209 estimated from the 1993 NDS for the period 1987-1993, it is tempting to conclude that there has been a decline in the maternal mortality in the Philippines in recent years. Such a conclusion is not possible, however, due to the large sampling errors associated with these estimates (Stanton et al., 1997:44). Analysis of sampling errors suggest that the 95 percent confidence limits around these estimates are on the order of 30 percent, meaning that the true estimate of the maternal mortality ratio for 1991-97 lies somewhere between 120 and 224 , which clearly encompasses the former estimate of 209 . The fact that just a few additional maternal deaths reported or omitted can radically change the maternal mortality ratio limits the usefulness of the methodology in measuring change in maternal mortality ratios over short periods of time.

Another indicator of maternal mortality is the proportion of deaths due to maternal causes. In the 1998 NDHS, maternal deaths represent approximately 14 percent of all deaths to women aged 15-49. Another useful indicator is the lifetime risk of dying of maternal causes. Based on the 1998 data, it appears that Filipino women face a 1 in 100 chance of dying of maternal causes in their lifetime.

### 8.7 Immunization of Children

To assist in the evaluation of the Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI), the 1998 NDHS collected information on immunization coverage for all children born in the five years prior to the survey. For each child, the mother was asked if she had a health card for the child, and, if so, the interviewer asked to see it. When a mother was able to show the health card to the interviewer, the dates of vaccinations were copied from the card to the questionnaire. If the child had never received a health card, or the mother was unable to show the card to the interviewer, the mother was asked whether the child had received vaccinations against specific diseases, namely, tuberculosis (BCG), measles, diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus (DPT), and polio.

Shown in Table 8.11 is the overall vaccination coverage for children age $12-23$ months according to the source of the data used for determining the coverage. Data were obtained from health cards for only 41 percent of children vaccinated; for the remaining children the immunization coverage information is based on their mother's report. Overall, 73 percent of children are considered completely immunized, meaning that they received all of the basic vaccines. This is slightly higher than the 72 percent found in the 1993 NDS. The coverage rate is highest for BCG and the first doses of DPT and polio (around 91 percent). The dropout rate ${ }^{1}$ measured by the difference in coverage between the first and third doses is 10 percent for DPT and 11 percent for polio. These are slightly lower than the 1993 NDS dropout rates of 12 and 14 percent, respectively.

[^13][^14]An important aim of the EPI program is that basic vaccines-namely BCG, measles, and 3 doses each of DPT and polio vaccines be given before the child reaches the first birthday. A child is considered fully immunized if given these basic vaccines during this period. Immunization coverage for the first 12 months is also reported in Table 8.11. Based on information obtained from the health cards and from mothers' reports, 65 percent are considered fully immunized. This is 3 percentage points higher than in 1993 (62 percent) (NSO and MI, 1994:100).

Differentials in immunization coverage among children $12-23$ months by background characteristics are presented in Table 8.12 and Figure 8.5. The rates are based on both health cards and mother's report. Coverage varies only slightly by the sex of the child; however, the rates have a strong negative association with birth order, and a very strong positive association with mother's education. Urban children are somewhat more likely than rural children to be fully immunized. ARMM has consistently shown low coverage for all vaccines as well as for the presence of vaccination cards.


## Table 8.12 Vaccinations by background characteristics

Percentage of children $12-23$ months who had received specific vaccines by the time of the survey (according to the vaccination card or the mother's report) and the percentage with a vaccination card by background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage with a card | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG | DPT |  |  | Polio |  |  | Measles | All | None |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | $3+$ | 1 | 2 | 3+ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 89.4 | 89.2 | 85.7 | 79.9 | 90.4 | 86.4 | 80.5 | 78.8 | 71.9 | 9.1 | 41.8 | 762 |
| Female | 92.1 | 91.4 | 88.3 | 81.9 | 93.0 | 89.8 | 83.0 | 79.0 | 73.8 | 6.2 | 40.9 | 712 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 95.5 | 95.2 | 93.0 | 86.2 | 96.3 | 93.1 | 87.5 | 82.9 | 76.4 | 3.2 | 46.4 | 399 |
| 2-3 | 90.8 | 90.9 | 87.7 | 82.3 | 91.8 | 88.1 | 82.3 | 79.8 | 74.2 | 7.8 | 39.7 | 553 |
| 4-5 | 89.4 | 89.8 | 86.0 | 79.3 | 91.4 | 87.3 | 80.5 | 78.2 | 72.2 | 8.6 | 35.8 | 296 |
| 6+ | 84.0 | 80.7 | 75.7 | 69.9 | 83.6 | 79.9 | 71.9 | 70.6 | 63.9 | 14.2 | 43.9 | 227 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 95.0 | 94.8 | 92.0 | 85.5 | 95.8 | 92.2 | 85.5 | 82.2 | 76.1 | 3.8 | 42.1 | 700 |
| Rural | 86.9 | 86.2 | 82.4 | 76.7 | 88.0 | 84.3 | 78.4 | 75.9 | 69.8 | 11.2 | 40.8 | 775 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 95.0 | 95.0 | 93.3 | 84.9 | 96.6 | 94.1 | 84.0 | 81.5 | 73.1 | 3.4 | 37.0 | 225 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 90.4 | 90.4 | 83.1 | 80.7 | 90.4 | 86.7 | 81.9 | 80.7 | 75.9 | 7.2 | 36.1 | 29 |
| Ilocos | 85.9 | 85.9 | 78.9 | 69.0 | 85.9 | 78.9 | 71.8 | 70.4 | 60.6 | 14.1 | 28.2 | 69 |
| C. Valley | 87.0 | 87.0 | 85.5 | 82.6 | 88.4 | 88.4 | 82.6 | 84.1 | 82.6 | 11.6 | 29.0 | 46 |
| C. Luzon | 97.9 | 96.8 | 95.7 | 81.9 | 98.9 | 94.7 | 86.2 | 84.0 | 75.5 | 1.1 | 35.1 | 139 |
| S. Tagalog | 89.8 | 87.6 | 85.4 | 81.0 | 89.8 | 86.1 | 82.5 | 73.0 | 70.8 | 9.5 | 35.8 | 222 |
| Bicol | 87.4 | 88.4 | 80.0 | 74.7 | 90.5 | 80.0 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 68.4 | 9.5 | 48.4 | 90 |
| W. Visayas | 96.7 | 95.6 | 90.0 | 86.7 | 97.8 | 93.3 | 87.8 | 93.3 | 86.7 | 2.2 | 62.2 | 107 |
| C. Visayas | 93.7 | 95.5 | 93.7 | 90.1 | 94.6 | 93.7 | 87.4 | 86.5 | 77.5 | 4.5 | 59.5 | 122 |
| E. Visayas | 82.5 | 83.3 | 77.5 | 71.7 | 85.8 | 81.7 | 75.0 | 71.7 | 62.5 | 12.5 | 46.7 | 86 |
| W. Mindanao | 81.4 | 80.4 | 76.5 | 71.6 | 81.4 | 77.5 | 73.5 | 74.5 | 69.6 | 18.6 | 33.3 | 56 |
| N. Mindanao | 94.2 | 95.2 | 92.3 | 87.5 | 96.2 | 95.2 | 87.5 | 76.9 | 74.0 | 3.8 | 36.5 | 64 |
| S. Mindanao | 96.6 | 96.6 | 95.4 | 92.0 | 96.6 | 95.4 | 92.0 | 83.9 | 82.8 | 3.4 | 57.5 | 82 |
| C. Mindanao | 87.8 | 86.5 | 79.7 | 77.0 | 86.5 | 83.8 | 78.4 | 77.0 | 71.6 | 12.2 | 32.4 | 46 |
| ARMM | 65.1 | 59.6 | 56.9 | 50.5 | 59.6 | 56.9 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 46.8 | 33.9 | 22.0 | 52 |
| Caraga | 93.3 | 93.3 | 91.0 | 85.4 | 98.9 | 92.1 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 78.7 | 1.1 | 43.8 | 40 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 49.0 | 46.8 | 41.4 | 36.4 | 44.6 | 43.0 | 38.0 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 47.3 | 27.6 | 22 |
| Elementary | 84.7 | 82.6 | 78.2 | 70.0 | 86.2 | 80.7 | 72.9 | 70.7 | 62.5 | 12.9 | 42.3 | 470 |
| High school | 93.4 | 93.8 | 91.3 | 85.0 | 94.6 | 92.0 | 85.6 | 81.6 | 76.4 | 5.2 | 43.8 | 581 |
| College or higher | 96.2 | 96.5 | 93.4 | 90.0 | 96.5 | 93.5 | 88.8 | 87.2 | 81.9 | 3.0 | 37.7 | 402 |
| Total | 90.8 | 90.3 | 87.0 | 80.9 | 91.7 | 88.1 | 81.7 | 78.9 | 72.8 | 7.7 | 41.4 | 1,474 |

Among the children who did not receive all the basic immunizations in the first year of life, the reasons for non-immunization are given in Table 8.13 The major obstacles to completing the basic immunization regime appears to be the inconvenience of getting to a health facility or finding the time to take the child to the clinic.

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Table 8.13 Reasons for non-immunization |  |
| Percentage of children 12-23 months who did not receive all basic immunization |  |
| in the first year of life by reasons for non-immunization, Philippines 1998 |  |
|  |  |
| Reason | Percent |
|  |  |
| Unaware of need for immunization | 4.3 |
| Unaware of need to return for second or third dose | 2.2 |
| Fear of side effects, wrong ideas about contraindications | 9.2 |
| Postponed until another time | 6.9 |
| No faith in immunization | 5.5 |
| Rumors | 1.3 |
| Place of immunization too far | 15.5 |
| Time for immunization inconvenient | 2.6 |
| Vaccinator absent | 2.0 |
| Mother too busy | 13.2 |
| Family problem, including illness of mother | 1.3 |
| Child ill - not brought | 9.9 |
| Child ill - brought but not given immunization | 1.8 |
| Long waiting time | 1.0 |
| Other | 10.5 |
| No reason given | 29.7 |
|  |  |
| Number of children | 289 |

### 8.8 Prevalence of Acute Respiratory Infection and Fever

Acute respiratory infection is still the leading cause of death among children under 5. The 1998 NDHS results show that 13 percent of children under 5 had cough with rapid breathing in the two weeks prior to the survey (Table 8.14). Children 12 to 23 months, children of birth order 6 or higher, and children in rural areas are slightly more likely to have been reported to have respiratory problems. High levels of cough with rapid breathing are found in CAR.

Among children who were ill with cough and rapid breathing, 58 percent were taken to a health facility. The percentage of children who were taken to a health facility is higher for younger children, those of lower birth order, those in urban areas, and those whose mothers have more education.

Various infectious diseases are accompanied by fever. In the Philippines, the most common diseases with fever are measles, respiratory infections, typhoid, and dengue. Information about the prevalence of fever among children under five was collected in the survey although the cause was not investigated. Table 8.14 provides information on the presence of fever and cough accompanied by rapid breathing. Overall, one in four children under five had fever in the two weeks prior to the survey. Variations in the percentage of children with fever are generally small across subgroups; the highest levels, one-third or more, are found among children aged 6-23 months. Prevalence of fever ranges from 17 percent of children under five in ARMM to 32 percent of those in CAR.

## Table 8.14 Prevalence of acute respiratory infection

Percentage of children under five years of age who were ill with a cough with rapid breathing (ARI) and the percentage who were ill with fever during the two weeks before the survey, by socioeconomic and demographic characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Children with cough and rapid breathing (ARI) | Children with ARI taken to a health facility | Children with fever | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 months | 10.8 | 62.3 | 20.8 | 736 |
| 6-11 months | 14.2 | 75.2 | 39.7 | 759 |
| 12-23 months | 18.1 | 60.0 | 33.9 | 1,474 |
| $24-35$ months | 14.9 | 51.7 | 24.6 | 1,446 |
| 36-47 months | 11.8 | 52.7 | 20.5 | 1,415 |
| 48-59 months | 8.8 | 53.1 | 19.8 | 1,455 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 13.5 | 60.9 | 26.1 | 3,821 |
| Female | 13.0 | 54.4 | 25.7 | 3,463 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11.7 | 66.5 | 24.2 | 1,851 |
| 2-3 | 12.9 | 61.1 | 25.5 | 2,768 |
| 4-5 | 12.9 | 54.2 | 26.4 | 1,485 |
| $6+$ | 17.1 | 46.4 | 28.9 | 1,181 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 11.7 | 64.5 | 24.9 | 3,359 |
| Rural | 14.6 | 53.3 | 26.7 | 3,926 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 12.4 | 70.1 | 23.2 | 1,020 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 25.6 | 64.4 | 32.4 | 143 |
| Ilocos | 13.2 | 64.4 | 21.9 | 332 |
| Cagayan Valley | 21.9 | 55.8 | 27.4 | 232 |
| C. Luzon | 14.1 | 53.8 | 28.1 | 686 |
| S. Tagalog | 11.5 | 59.5 | 28.8 | 1,044 |
| Bicol | 15.1 | 53.1 | 30.5 | 505 |
| W. Visayas | 13.9 | 46.3 | 26.8 | 571 |
| C. Visayas | 7.5 | 66.7 | 22.8 | 573 |
| E. Visayas | 20.2 | 51.7 | 28.6 | 418 |
| W. Mindanao | 11.7 | 59.7 | 20.9 | 290 |
| N. Mindanao | 10.3 | 67.9 | 20.5 | 319 |
| S. Mindanao | 15.8 | 57.0 | 31.9 | 469 |
| C. Mindanao | 8.6 | 54.3 | 23.9 | 253 |
| ARMM | 5.0 | 38.5 | 16.8 | 246 |
| Caraga | 17.9 | 47.3 | 22.3 | 184 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.6 | 56.9 | 18.6 | 152 |
| Elementary | 15.6 | 46.7 | 28.6 | 2,448 |
| High school | 13.1 | 66.7 | 26.2 | 2,820 |
| College or higher | 10.5 | 63.1 | 22.5 | 1,866 |
| Total | 13.3 | 57.9 | 25.9 | 7,286 |

### 8.9 Diarrheal Diseases

Mothers with children under five years of age were asked if their children had diarrhea at any time in the two weeks prior to the interview and if they had diarrhea in the past 24 hours. Mothers were also asked about any action taken to treat their children. The 1998 NDHS data indicate that 1 in 14 children under five was reported as having diarrhea during the 2 -week period before the survey, and less than 1 percent had bloody diarrhea in that period (Table 8.15). A slight decline in the prevalence of diarrhea is noted since 1993, from 10 to 7 percent.

The prevalence of diarrhea generally varies only slightly by background characteristics. The most significant variation is by age and region. Prevalence is somewhat higher for children 6-23 months than for younger or older children. Considering regional variations, Table 8.15 shows that the prevalence of diarrhea is higher in Eastern Visayas and CAR than in other regions.

### 8.10 Treatment of Diarrhea with Oral Rehydration Therapy

The level of knowledge of ORS (prepackaged oral rehydration salts) to treat diarrhea is shown in Table 8.16. In the 1998 NDHS, a mother is classified as knowing about ORS if she reported using ORS packets to treat one of her children for diarrhea in the two-week period prior to the survey, or if she has ever seen or heard of ORS packets.

A large majority of mothers ( 93 percent) know about ORS packets. There are significant variations in the levels of ORS knowledge by age, region, and education; teenage mothers, mothers with no education, and those in ARMM are the least likely to know about ORS packets. Knowledge is over 30 percentage points higher among educated mothers than mothers with no education.

It is encouraging to note that almost three-quarters of Filipino mothers know that children with diarrhea should be given more to drink than usual. Fourteen percent say such children should be given the same amount to drink, while 13 percent say they should be given less to drink, a practice that could result in increased dehydration of the child. Half of mothers of young children believe that children with diarrhea should receive less to eat than usual, while 28 percent believe children should be given the same amount and 24 percent believe children should be given more to eat.

## Table 8.15 Diarrhea prevalence

Percentage of children under five years of age who had diarrhea and bloody diarrhea in the two weeks preceding the survey, and the percentage with diarrhea in the 24 hours before the survey, by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Diarrhea in the preceding 2 weeks |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All diarrhea ${ }^{1}$ | Diarthea in preceding 24 hours |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |
| Under 6 months | 6.2 | 0.1 | 736 |
| 6-11 months | 13.9 | 1.6 | 759 |
| 12-23 months | 13.4 | 0.4 | 1,474 |
| $24-35$ months | 5.9 | 0.8 | 1,446 |
| 36-47 months | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1,415 |
| 48-59 months | 3.6 | 0.3 | 1,455 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 7.7 | 0.7 | 3,823 |
| Female | 7.1 | 0.3 | 3,463 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 1,851 |
| 2-3 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 2,768 |
| 4-5 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 1,486 |
| $6+$ | 8.5 | 0.7 | 1,181 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 5.6 | 0.6 | 1,020 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 12.0 | 0.7 | 143 |
| Ilocos | 6.7 | 0.3 | 332 |
| Cagayan Valley | 9.1 | 0.6 | 232 |
| C. Luzon | 5.8 | 0.4 | 686 |
| S. Tagalog | 7.0 | 0.5 | 1,044 |
| Bicol | 7.7 | 0.2 | 505 |
| W. Visayas | 9.1 | 0.2 | 571 |
| C. Visayas | 5.8 | 0.2 | 573 |
| E. Visayas | 13.0 | 1.2 | 418 |
| W. Mindanao | 7.1 | 0.8 | 290 |
| N. Mindanao | 5.2 | 0.8 | 319 |
| S. Mindanao | 9.0 | 1.2 | 469 |
| C. Mindanao | 8.6 | 0.5 | 253 |
| ARMM | 6.2 | 0.2 | 246 |
| Caraga | 7.3 | 0.7 | 184 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.5 | 0.0 | 152 |
| Elementary | 8.4 | 0.6 | 2,448 |
| High school | 7.3 | 0.6 | 2,820 |
| College or higher | 6.4 | 0.4 | 1,866 |
| Total | 7.4 | 0.5 | 7,286 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes diarrhea in the preceding 24 hours

## Table 8.16 Knowledge of diarrhea care

Percentage of mothers with births in the last five years who know about ORS packets and appropriate feeding practices during diarrhea, according to demographic and background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Percentage who know about ORS packets | Appropriate feeding practices during diarrhea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fluids |  |  |  | Solid foods |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Reduced fluid intake | Same amount of fluid | Increased fluid intake | Don't know/ missing | Reduced food intake | Same amount of food | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increased } \\ \text { food } \\ \text { intake } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 81.6 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 59.2 | 3.3 | 41.3 | 31.6 | 24.3 | 2.9 | 160 |
| 20-24 | 91.4 | 16.3 | 12.9 | 70.4 | 0.4 | 44.5 | 28.1 | 26.5 | 0.9 | 855 |
| 25-29 | 93.1 | 11.0 | 14.1 | 73.7 | 1.2 | 46.9 | 27.5 | 24.5 | 1.1 | 1,378 |
| 30-34 | 94.6 | 10.6 | 16.0 | 73.0 | 0.4 | 47.5 | 29.4 | 22.9 | 0.2 | 1,211 |
| 35+ | 92.0 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 74.2 | 0.3 | 50.9 | 26.9 | 21.6 | 0.5 | 1,363 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.4 | 9.4 | 13.1 | 76.9 | 0.6 | 49.7 | 27.9 | 21.8 | 0.6 | 2,380 |
| Rural | 91.7 | 15.6 | 15.0 | 68.7 | 0.8 | 45.6 | 28.2 | 25.3 | 0.9 | 2,588 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 92.0 | 4.7 | 14.0 | 80.6 | 0.8 | 55.8 | 23.3 | 20.2 | 0.8 | 732 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 97.3 | 10.5 | 12.8 | 75.9 | 0.8 | 31.1 | 28.8 | 38.9 | 1.2 | 90 |
| Ilocos | 90.4 | 26.8 | 21.5 | 51.3 | 0.4 | 53.9 | 25.0 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 221 |
| C. Valley | 92.5 | 20.5 | 16.4 | 61.2 | 1.9 | 41.8 | 30.6 | 26.1 | 1.5 | 177 |
| C. Luzon | 94.2 | 10.3 | 7.6 | 81.8 | 0.3 | 54.8 | 20.3 | 23.9 | 0.9 | 490 |
| S. Tagalog | 90.5 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 89.8 | 0.5 | 46.1 | 25.9 | 27.8 | 0.2 | 701 |
| Bicol | 95.6 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 66.8 | 1.2 | 49.6 | 25.4 | 25.6 | 1.5 | 324 |
| W. Visayas | 97.3 | 6.9 | 11.7 | 80.8 | 0.6 | 43.1 | 35.5 | 20.8 | 0.6 | 393 |
| C. Visayas | 95.1 | 15.4 | 14.0 | 70.3 | 0.3 | 52.6 | 32.8 | 14.0 | 0.6 | 379 |
| E. Visayas | 96.5 | 16.8 | 14.4 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 31.2 | 29.3 | 0.3 | 265 |
| W. Mindanao | 87.0 | 26.1 | 17.3 | 55.1 | 1.6 | 50.3 | 25.0 | 23.4 | 1.3 | 205 |
| N. Mindanao | 96.6 | 18.2 | 18.8 | 62.0 | 0.9 | 39.2 | 28.6 | 31.8 | 0.3 | 200 |
| S. Mindanao | 90.4 | 16.4 | 18.7 | 64.6 | 0.3 | 43.6 | 36.3 | 19.3 | 0.8 | 332 |
| C. Mindanao | 93.8 | 15.6 | 23.6 | 60.1 | 0.7 | 31.5 | 35.9 | 31.9 | 0.7 | 172 |
| ARMM | 71.6 | 23.7 | 22.8 | 50.9 | 2.7 | 42.5 | 29.9 | 24.6 | 3.0 | 159 |
| Caraga | 93.7 | 17.4 | 20.9 | 61.7 | 0.0 | 46.3 | 30.3 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 128 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 57.9 | 27.1 | 18.8 | 50.9 | 3.2 | 46.2 | 25.4 | 24.7 | 3.7 | 101 |
| Elementary | 91.5 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 67.5 | 0.7 | 47.5 | 29.1 | 22.7 | 0.7 | 1,598 |
| High school | 94.2 | 11.7 | 13.4 | 74.3 | 0.6 | 48.4 | 26.5 | 24.7 | 0.5 | 1,920 |
| College or higher | 93.9 | 9.0 | 12.2 | 78.1 | 0.7 | 46.6 | 29.2 | 23.3 | 0.9 | 1,349 |
| Total | 92.5 | 12.6 | 14.1 | 72.6 | 0.7 | 47.5 | 28.0 | 23.7 | 0.8 | 4,968 |
| ORS = Oral rehydration salts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.17 examines in detail the treatment approaches that were adopted for children who were reported to have experienced a diarrhea episode during the two-week period before the survey. Medical treatment was sought for 44 percent of these children. Children who had diarrhea were either treated with ORS packets (43 percent) or recommended home fluids (RHF) such as sugar-salt-water solution, or rice water (am soup) ( 49 percent). Overall, 65 percent received either ORS or RHF. While 3 in every 5 children who had diarrhea were given increased fluids, it is interesting to note that 18 percent were given neither increased fluids nor ORS. Eighty-four percent of children received home remedies.

The use of oral rehydration therapy appears to have increased. In 1993, only 27 percent of children with diarrhea were treated with solutions made from ORS packets compared to 43 percent in 1998. Similarly, in 1993, only 36 percent of ill children were treated with homemade solutions compared to 49 percent in 1998.

| Table 8.17 Diarrhea treatment |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percentage of children under five who had diarrhea in the past |  |
| two weeks who were taken for treatment to a health facility or |  |
| provider and the forms of treatment given, Philippines 1998 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Diarrhea treatment |  |
| Percentage of children with diarrhea |  |
| taken to a health facility | 43.9 |
| ORS packets | 43.4 |
| Recommended home fluids (RHF) | 49.3 |
| Either ORS or RHF | 64.1 |
| Increased fluids | 58.3 |
| No ORS RHF, increased fluids | 18.4 |
| Injection | 0.6 |
| Home remedy | 83.4 |
| None | 1.9 |
| Missing | 4.0 |
| Number of children with diarrhea | 539 |

Figure 8.6 looks at the extent to which feeding practices were changed for children with diarrhea in the two weeks prior to the survey. With regard to consumption of fluids during children's diarthea, mothers reported that they gave the same amount of fluids to 23 percent of children, and increased fluids for 58 percent. However, nearly one-fifth of children were given less fluids. With regard to consumption of food, half of the mothers with children ill with diarrhea reported giving the children less food than usual, while 21 percent said they increased feeding and 29 percent reported giving the same amount of food as usual.

Figure 8.6
Feeding Practices among Children under Five with Diarrhea
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Mothers with children under five years were asked about their children's intake of supplementary vitamin A and iron during the six months preceding the survey. Results in Table 8.18 reveal that 71 percent of children were given vitamin A capsules. A little over half of these children were provided with iron preparations. Infants who are of birth order 6 and above, rural children and those with uneducated mothers were less likely to be given the above micronutrients. The regional distribution indicates that ARMM has consistently low coverage for the micronutrients for children.

## Table 8.18 Treatment with vitamin $A$ and iron

Percentage of children under five years who received a vitamin A capsule or iron drops/syrup during the six months preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Children under five |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received vitamin A capsule | Received iron drops/ syrup |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |
| 6-11 months | 80.9 | 67.7 | 1,495 |
| 12-23 months | 76.9 | 60.5 | 1,474 |
| 24-35 months | 81.1 | 63.3 | 1,446 |
| 36-47 months | 78.0 | 61.7 | 1,415 |
| 48-59 months | 77.4 | 62.8 | 1,455 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 70.3 | 55.8 | 3,823 |
| Female | 70.9 | 57.0 | 3,463 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |
| 1 | 70.6 | 59.6 | 1,851 |
| 2.3 | 72.6 | 59.0 | 2,768 |
| 4-5 | 71.2 | 55.2 | 1,486 |
| $6+$ | 65.1 | 46.4 | 1,181 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 72.9 | 63.4 | 3,360 |
| Rural | 68.7 | 50.3 | 3,926 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 72.5 | 67.0 | 1,020 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 74.0 | 47.9 | 143 |
| llocos | 68.4 | 64.3 | 332 |
| Cagayan Valley | 68.4 | 45.6 | 232 |
| C. Luzon | 70.3 | 63.2 | 686 |
| S. Tagalog | 70.0 | 59.7 | 1,044 |
| Bicol | 75.3 | 59.6 | 505 |
| W. Visayas | 75.5 | 55.8 | 571 |
| C. Visayas | 73.3 | 53.6 | 573 |
| E. Visayas | 68.6 | 35.2 | 418 |
| W. Mindanao | 68.2 | 58.5 | 290 |
| N. Mindanao | 74.1 | 42.9 | 319 |
| S. Mindanao | 76.4 | 62.9 | 469 |
| C. Mindanao | 71.4 | 57.4 | 253 |
| ARMM | 31.8 | 23.5 | 246 |
| Caraga | 70.0 | 53.3 | 184 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| No education | 29.6 | 17.6 | 152 |
| Elementary | 67.5 | 45.8 | 2,448 |
| High school | 74.7 | 61.9 | 2,820 |
| College or higher | 71.7 | 64.8 | 1,866 |
| Total | 70.6 | 56.3 | 7,286 |

## CHAPTER 9

## INFANT FEEDING AND SUPPLEMENTATION

The importance of proper infant feeding cannot be overemphasized as it affects children's nutritional health and well being. Hence, the Philippines in urgent response to the 1981 International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitute by the World Health Organization (WHO) advocated a strong campaign to encourage breastfeeding among nursing mothers instead of using breast milk substitutes. Breastfeeding with all its healthful and economic advantages is the best form of feeding during the first six months of infancy. Supplementary foods introduced initially at four to six months of infancy greatly contribute to the nutritional needs of the growing child. Thus, proper and adequate infant feeding, starting at birth, is very important for physical and mental development of a child.

### 9.1 Prevalence of Breastfeeding and Supplementation

Breastfeeding is not universal in the Philippines; 12 percent of children born in the five years before the survey were not breastfed at all (see Table 9.1). The extent of breastfeeding does not vary by sex of child, but urban children are less likely to be breastfed than rural children ( 83 percent compared to 92 percent). Observing regional variations, children in Metro Manila are the least likely and children in CAR the most likely to be breastfed. Mother's education has a negative association with their children's chances of being breastfed. While 94 percent of children of mothers who have no education were breastfed, only 81 percent of children whose mothers have been to college ever received breast milk. Children of mothers who received assistance from medical personnel at delivery and children who were born in a health facility are less likely to be breastfed than those who were delivered by a traditional midwife or were born at home.

The first breast milk, or colostrum, is beneficial to infants because it contains a high concentration of antibodies that protect children against certain infectious diseases. However, in some places, cultural norms dictate against giving infants colostrum. Results from the 1998 NDHS show that 41 percent of children born during the five years before the survey were given breast milk during the first hour after birth, and 79 percent were given breast milk during the first 24 hours.

Differentials in the early initiation of breast milk appear to exhibit a pattern similar to that of prevalence; rural infants and infants of mothers with no education are slightly more likely to be given breast milk soon after birth. The percentage of children receiving colostrum on Luzon Island, except in the Cordillera Adminstrative Region and Cagayan Valley, tends to be lower than in other parts of the country. It is interesting to note that children in Metro Manila are the least likely to be given breast milk, but children in Bicol are the least likely to be breastfed immediately after birth.

## Table 9.1 Initial breastfeeding

Percentage of children born in the five years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, and the percentage of last-born children who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, by selected background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Percentage ever breastfed | Percentage who started breastfeeding: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 hour of birth | Within 1 day of birth |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 87.1 | 40.0 | 77.7 | 3,974 |
| Female | 89.0 | 41.1 | 79.6 | 3,592 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 83.1 | 38.8 | 75.4 | 3,465 |
| Rural | 92.2 | 41.8 | 81.0 | 4,101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 79.6 | 33.6 | 71.0 | 1,048 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 95.3 | 73.8 | 91.1 | 149 |
| Ilocos | 85.9 | 37.2 | 72.0 | 344 |
| Cagayan Valley | 90.4 | 51.7 | 69.2 | 242 |
| C. Luzon | 84.3 | 33.9 | 73.8 | 711 |
| S. Tagalog | 87.2 | 26.8 | 77.4 | 1,078 |
| Bicol | 92.7 | 18.1 | 75.6 | 530 |
| W. Visayas | 92.7 | 48.3 | 88.4 | 585 |
| C. Visayas | 93.4 | 58.1 | 84.3 | 586 |
| E. Visayas | 90.7 | 54.4 | 83.3 | 446 |
| W. Mindanao | 90.7 | 54.2 | 79.1 | 304 |
| N. Mindanao | 90.9 | 50.5 | 88.1 | 331 |
| S. Mindanao | 88.1 | 43.8 | 75.2 | 489 |
| C. Mindanao | 87.2 | 43.1 | 84.0 | 264 |
| ARMM | 88.1 | 41.6 | 78.5 | 263 |
| Caraga | 89.1 | 35.6 | 83.7 | 197 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 93.7 | 49.9 | 84.8 | 169 |
| Elementary | 93.0 | 40.7 | 81.3 | 2,586 |
| High school | 87.5 | 39.5 | 79.7 | 2,913 |
| College or higher | 81.4 | 41.0 | 71.8 | 1,898 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Medically trained personnel | 84.3 | 39.5 | 75.7 | 4,268 |
| Traditional midwife | 92.5 | 41.3 | 82.3 | 3,124 |
| Other/None | 97.1 | 54.1 | 85.4 | 157 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 83.1 | 40.7 | 73.8 | 2,590 |
| At home | 90.6 | 40.6 | 81.2 | 4,953 |
| Total | 88.0 | 40.5 | 78.6 | 7,566 |

For children born in the five years prior to the survey who were currently breastfed, mothers were asked if they had given various types of liquids or solid foods to the child "yesterday" or "last night." Children who are exclusively breastfed are defined as receiving breast milk only, while full breastfeeding is defined as receiving breast milk and plain water only. The results shown in Table 9.2 indicate that, children in the Philippines are introduced to supplemental foods very early; among newborns under 2 months, one in seven is not breastfed, and 19 percent are receiving supplementary foods in addition to breast milk. More than half of newborns are exclusively breastfed. At age 6-7 months, the majority of infants receive supplementary foods; the percentage of children who are not breastfed increases to one in three children, while the percentage of children who are exlusively breastfed dropped to 2.3 percent. At age 6 months and older, virtually all infants have received foods other than breast milk (Figure 9.1).

Table 9.2 Breastfeeding status
Percent distribution of living children under age three by breastfeeding status, according to child's age in months, Philippines 1998

| Age in months | Percentage of children who are: |  |  |  | Total | Number of living children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not breast fed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exclusively } \\ \text { breast- } \\ \text { fed } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Breastfed and given: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Plain water only | Supple- <br> ments |  |  |
| <2 | 15.2 | 57.7 | 7.9 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 186 |
| 2-3 | 20.2 | 40.1 | 8.8 | 30.9 | 100.0 | 271 |
| 4-5 | 32.1 | 20.0 | 9.7 | 38.2 | 100.0 | 278 |
| 6-7 | 34.7 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 60.9 | 100.0 | 287 |
| 8-9 | 40.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 58.4 | 100.0 | 228 |
| 10-11 | 37.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 62.6 | 100.0 | 244 |
| 12-13 | 47.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 51.1 | 100.0 | 221 |
| 14-15 | 56.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 43.4 | 100.0 | 279 |
| 16-17 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 36.4 | 100.0 | 274 |
| 18-19 | 68.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 100.0 | 258 |
| 20-21 | 73.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 100.0 | 219 |
| 22-23 | 79.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 100.0 | 223 |
| 24-25 | 81.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 241 |
| 26-27 | 91.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 242 |
| 28-29 | 94.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 278 |
| 30-31 | 90.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 230 |
| 32-33 | 92.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 243 |
| 34-35 | 92.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 212 |
| 0-2 | 18.2 | 47.2 | 8.5 | 26.1 | 100.0 | 458 |
| 4-6 | 32.6 | 14.5 | 7.3 | 45.6 | 100.0 | 414 |
| 7-9 | 38.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 59.5 | 100.0 | 379 |

Figure 9.1
Distribution of Children by Breastfeeding (BF) Status According to Age


Table 9.3 shows the type of supplements given to children under age three. Among breastfeeding children age 2-3 months, 26 percent are given infant formula, 7 percent receive milk other than breast milk, and 17 percent are given other liquids. (Other liquids consists of water, tea, rice water or fruit juice.) By the time infants are $4-5$ months, the pattern of feeding has changed dramatically; 12 percent have been introduced to meat, fish and eggs, 32 percent are receiving grains, flour or cereal and 9 percent are given tubers and plantains.

Bottles with nipples are usually used in conjunction with infant formula, but they are also used with other types of supplementary food. The use of a bottle is not generally recommended at early stages of infancy due to the risk of exposing the child to the harmful effects of insufficient and unhygienic preparation of the liquid, particularly in poor environmental and socioeconomic conditions. In particular, since it is difficult to thoroughly clean feeding bottles, their use is thought to place children at increased risk of developing diarrhea or other diseases. Among breastfeeding newborns, 23 percent have already used a bottle with a nipple. The percentage of children who were given a bottle with a nipple increases until age 8-9 months, after which the percentage gradually declines.

Table 9.3.Type of foods received by breastfeeding children in the preceding 24 hours
Percentage of breastfeeding children under 36 months of age by type of food received in the 24 hours before the interview and the percentage using a bottle with a nipple, by number of months since child's births, Philippines 1998

| Supplementation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Months since birth | Breast milk only | Infant formula milk | Other milk | Other liquid | Meat, fish | Grain, flour, eggs | Tubers | Other | Percentage using bottle with a nipple | Number of children |
| $<2$ | 68.0 | 22.7 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 158 |
| 2-3 | 50.2 | 26.3 | 7.4 | 16.8 | 3.4 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 35.5 | 217 |
| 4-5 | 29.5 | 27.8 | 9.4 | 28.4 | 12.3 | 32.6 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 34.2 | 189 |
| 6-7 | 3.6 | 36.1 | 21.2 | 46.1 | 52.1 | 77.6 | 30.8 | 32.0 | 45.9 | 187 |
| 8-9 | 0.7 | 34.3 | 27.3 | 55.1 | 69.3 | 84.6 | 37.6 | 46.4 | 47.5 | 136 |
| 10-11 | 0.2 | 17.8 | 28.3 | 62.9 | 81.6 | 96.2 | 48.9 | 44.7 | 27.0 | 153 |
| 12-13 | 1.3 | 17.2 | 36.6 | 68.6 | 82.7 | 94.2 | 52.5 | 57.9 | 36.6 | 115 |
| 14-15 | 0.8 | 20.3 | 39.7 | 65.0 | 89.7 | 95.3 | 50.9 | 64.9 | 31.8 | 122 |
| 16-17 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 40.4 | 71.4 | 92.1 | 91.7 | 59.7 | 59.5 | 34.0 | 101 |
| 18-23 | 0.5 | 8.9 | 42.8 | 74.1 | 89.5 | 96.4 | 60.2 | 63.9 | 29.3 | 184 |
| 24-29 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 40.7 | 83.1 | 96.1 | 92.2 | 61.5 | 72.1 | 29.8 | 83 |
| 30-35 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 58.6 | 81.5 | 93.1 | 94.6 | 64.2 | 73.8 | 29.3 | 56 |
| 0-3 | 57.7 | 24.8 | 4.4 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 30.2 | 375 |
| 4-6 | 21.5 | 30.1 | 12.6 | 34.9 | 22.1 | 44.8 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 38.2 | 279 |
| 7-9 | 1.3 | 35.4 | 25.4 | 51.2 | 65.8 | 83.7 | 36.5 | 43.5 | 46.6 | 233 |
| Total | 16.7 | 22.6 | 25.2 | 49.2 | 55.4 | 65.1 | 34.7 | 37.4 | 34.2 | 1,701 |

### 9.2 Duration of Breastfeeding

The median duration and the frequency of breastfeeding according to selected background characteristics are presented in Table 9.4. The estimates of mean and median durations are based on current status data, that is, the proportions of children under 3 years of age who were being breastfed at the time of the survey, as opposed to the retrospective data on the length of breastfeeding of older children who are no longer breastfed. The prevalence/incidence mean is provided for the total population in order to allow for international comparison.

The median duration of breastfeeding is 13 months. Children who live in rural areas are breastfed about 10 months longer than children in urban areas. Children whose mothers have no education are breastfed 3 times as long as children whose mothers have college or higher education ( 15 months compared to 5 months). Mothers assisted by traditional midwives at delivery also breastfeed longer than those assisted by medically trained personnel at delivery. There is little difference in breastfeeding duration by sex of children; although girls receive breast milk slightly longer than boys.

The median duration of exclusive breastfeeding is very short in the Philippines, only a month and a half. The differentials in duration of exclusive breastfeeding and full breastfeeding are similar to those for breastfeeding in general.

The duration of postpartum amenorrhea is affected by both the duration and the frequency of breastfeeding. Children's health and nutritional status are also affected by the frequency of breastfeeding. Frequent breastfeeding is fairly common in the Philippines; 7 in 10 children under 6 months were breastfed six times or more in the 24 hours preceding the interview. Differentials in the frequency of breastfeeding are similar to those for breastfeeding prevalence, initiation, and supplementation.

## Table 9.4 Median duration and frequency of breastfeeding

Median duration of any, exclusive, and full breastfeeding among children under 3 years and the percentage of children under 6 months of age who were breastfed 6 or more times in the 24 hours preceding the interview, according to background characteristics, Philippines 1998

| Background characteristic | Among children $<3$ years, median duration of breastfeeding in months |  |  | Number of children $\leq 3$ years | Percentage <6 mo. breastfed $6+$ times in last 24 hours | Number of living children $<6$ months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any breastffeeding | Exclusive breastfeeding | Full breastfeeding ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 11.6 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2,405 | 68.9 | 394 |
| Female | 13.5 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2,161 | 73.3 | 341 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2,108 | 58.6 | 331 |
| Rural | 15.5 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2,459 | 81.0 | 405 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 624 | 51.9 | 102 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 16.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 86 | (87.5) | 14 |
| Ilocos | 18.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 204 | (75.8) | 32 |
| Cagayan Valley | 13.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 140 | (92.9) | 19 |
| C. Luzon | 10.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 450 | 56.0 | 74 |
| S. Tagalog | 12.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 648 | 64.0 | 122 |
| Bicol | 15.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 330 | 88.9 | 51 |
| W. Visayas | 14.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 341 | (72.1) | 51 |
| C. Visayas | 14.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 361 | 87.5 | 62 |
| E. Visayas | 15.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 279 | 80.8 | 37 |
| W. Mindanao | 16.6 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 178 | (89.1) | 25 |
| N. Mindanao | 12.2 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 199 | 78.6 | 35 |
| S. Mindanao | 8.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 299 | (61.7) | 44 |
| C. Mindanao | 7.8 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 153 | (63.9) | 22 |
| ARMM | 15.1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 151 | 85.5 | 26 |
| Caraga | 12.9 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 123 | (81.8) | 20 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.4 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 97 | (81.9) | 17 |
| Elementary | 17.2 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1,492 | 84.4 | 233 |
| High school | 12.6 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1,767 | 73.0 | 282 |
| College or higher | 4.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1,210 | 51.7 | 203 |
| Delivery assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medically trained personnel | 6.5 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2,627 | 61.1 | 416 |
| Traditional midwife | 16.7 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1,844 | 83.2 | 310 |
| Total | 12.8 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 4,566 | 70.9 | 736 |
| Mean | 13.7 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 88.6 | NA | NA |
| Prevalence/lncidence | 13.2 | 2.2 | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA |
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## CHAPTER 10

## GENERAL HEALTH

This chapter contains subject matter related to some health programs of the Department of Health. These include some data that help in evaluating the information and education campaigns conducted for topics related to lifestyle, smoking, cancer, communicable diseases (dengue fever, leprosy, tuberculosis, rabies), traditional medicine, environmental health, and health care financing.

The unit of analysis in this section refers to the person who answered questions relating to the household. No attempt was made to select adults at random. Thus, the data cannot be interpreted as relating to all adults but rather to one respondent per household.

### 10.1 Communicable Diseases

## Dengue Fever

The Dengue Control Program is one of the newer health programs of the Department of Health and involved launching a massive information and education campaign to prevent the spread of dengue in the country. To help assess the effectiveness of this campaign, respondents were asked whether they were aware of dengue fever and whether they knew how to keep from getting this disease. The results of the NDHS show that 9 out of 10 household respondents have heard about dengue fever. While the general awareness is high, the level of awareness in different regions varies from as high as 99 percent in Metro Manila to as low as 76 percent in Western Mindanao. Table 10.1 provides the data.

Removing breeding places of mosquitoes was mentioned by 2 in 3 household respondents as a means of preventing dengue fever. Almost 40 percent of household respondents know that mosquito nets can prevent the spread of dengue fever. As shown in Table 10.1, many misconceptions about dengue prevention still exist among the general public. These include avoiding people with dengue fever, taking medicines, washing hands before eating, and eliminating flies. Again, respondents from Metro Manila are more knowledgeable on dengue prevention while those from CAR are the least knowledgeable.

## Rabies

Prevention of rabies in the country emphasizes not only the giving of vaccines to people bitten by animals (mainly dogs) but also the major role of dog owners in preventing this dreaded disease. Household respondents were asked what they think dog owner's responsibilities are. Respondents in half of the households are aware that dog owners have a responsibility to immunize their dogs and restrain their dogs. One out of 7 respondents feels that it is a dog owner's responsibility to provide treatment to victims bitten by their dog and one out of 7 believes that they have no responsibility at all. Metro Manila residents are more knowledgeable about responsible dog ownership compared with the rest of the regions (Table 10.2).

## Table 10.1 Dengue fever

Percentage of household respondents who have heard of dengue fever and percentage who report various means of protecting against it, by region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Means of protecting against dengue fever |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who have heard of dengue | Avoid people with dengue fever | Remove breeding places of mosquitos | Take medicines | Use <br> mos- <br> quito <br> nets | Wash <br> hands <br> before <br> eating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elimi- } \\ \text { nate } \\ \text { flies } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other | None | Don't know | Number of household respondents |
| Metro Manila | 98.9 | 5.9 | 81.8 | 2.8 | 22.7 | 5.2 | 49.5 | 19.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 76.6 | 1.0 | 35.9 | 1.8 | 30.2 | 1.1 | 8.6 | 29.9 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 91.2 | 6.1 | 62.4 | 2.6 | 44.1 | 2.4 | 16.6 | 18.6 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 92.8 | 2.9 | 47.0 | 5.5 | 62.0 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 37.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 95.9 | 5.7 | 73.0 | 1.5 | 34.9 | 3.7 | 35.2 | 11.5 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 91.5 | 2.3 | 79.1 | 0.7 | 37.7 | 3.7 | 14.4 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 81.4 | 2.1 | 54.6 | 2.6 | 27.1 | 3.2 | 26.4 | 19.2 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 88.4 | 2.5 | 65.8 | 2.0 | 28.8 | 2.4 | 19.8 | 29.1 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 1,027 |
| C.Visayas | 92.5 | 4.8 | 58.5 | 5.3 | 41.3 | 1.1 | 18.4 | 37.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 84.3 | 1.6 | 46.8 | 0.6 | 46.5 | 0.4 | 15.3 | 35.9 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 76.2 | 6.8 | 56.5 | 6.0 | 44.7 | 3.8 | 17.3 | 20.1 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 89.0 | 3.4 | 49.5 | 2.9 | 44.6 | 2.1 | 23.2 | 26.9 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 94.2 | 2.8 | 59.2 | 2.3 | 37.1 | 2.1 | 36.8 | 40.9 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 92.9 | 4.8 | 66.5 | 2.9 | 46.2 | 1.9 | 24.1 | 16.5 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 406 |
| ARMM | 79.4 | 14.2 | 62.2 | 8.3 | 52.9 | 5.0 | 30.9 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 322 |
| Caraga | 93.8 | 6.7 | 65.8 | 5.8 | 65.8 | 1.2 | 27.6 | 21.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 314 |
| Total | 91.0 | 4.3 | 65.9 | 2.8 | 37.5 | 3.0 | 26.2 | 22.6 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 12,401 |

For households with a member bitten by a dog within the 3 months before the survey, the respondents were asked what was done to treat the dog bite. Thirty-seven percent reported that the bite was washed with soap and water (Table 10.3). Only 26 percent said that consultations were made with physicians or the victim went to the health center, while 9 percent said that they observed the dog. It is interesting to note that local practices such as applying garlic on the bite site and seeking assistance from traditional healers are still very common.

## Table 10.2 Responsibility of dog owners

Percentage of household respondents who report specific responsibilities of dog owners, by region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Responsibility of dog owners |  |  |  |  | Number of household respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Immu- } \\ \text { nize } \\ \text { dog } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Restrain dog within the yard | Provide treatment for the dog bite victim | Other | None |  |
| Metro Manila | 68.6 | 48.9 | 4.6 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 29.9 | 35.7 | 6.1 | 14.7 | 29.7 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 28.2 | 38.8 | 29.4 | 4.7 | 16.4 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 29.9 | 40.7 | 21.3 | 6.7 | 22.9 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 56.8 | 34.2 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 17.8 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 53.6 | 63.4 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 36.3 | 61.0 | 9.9 | 2.8 | 17.4 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 47.3 | 41.8 | 15.0 | 6.6 | 15.0 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 47.6 | 50.4 | 24.5 | 6.4 | 10.6 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 33.2 | 45.7 | 34.7 | 4.0 | 15.8 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 51.6 | 57.2 | 24.8 | 4.9 | 11.2 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 48.5 | 31.6 | 16.3 | 1.5 | 19.8 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 38.6 | 48.2 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 23.9 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 54.4 | 39.1 | 16.2 | 2.3 | 23.5 | 406 |
| ARMM | 34.9 | 65.7 | 13.9 | 1.2 | 17.6 | 322 |
| Caraga | 50.8 | 65.0 | 23.6 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 314 |
| Total | 48.7 | 48.7 | 13.7 | 4.8 | 14.4 | 12,401 |

## Table 10.3 Treatment of dog bites

Among households with any member bitten by a dog in the last 3 months, percentage reporting various treatments, Philippines 1998

| Treatment of dog bite | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: |
| Washed with soap and water | 37.3 |
| Applied garlicon site | 37.0 |
| Consulted health center/physician | 25.6 |
| Sought an herbulario | 28.8 |
| Immediately killed the dog | 0.8 |
| Observed the dog | 8.9 |
| Other | 26.2 |
| Nothing | 2.0 |
| Number of households | 471 |

## Leprosy

By the year 2000, the Leprosy Control Program of the Department of Health aims to eliminate leprosy as a public health problem by bringing down the prevalence rate to less than 1 case per 1,000 population. Information campaigns designed to increase public knowledge about leprosy are a major activity of the Department of Health.

Respondents were asked how leprosy is acquired, whether it is curable, and whether patients with leprosy can be treated at home. Table 10.4 details the responses regarding the mode of transmission. Skin-to-skin transmission and droplet or airborne transmission were correctly known to only 21 percent and 11 percent of respondents, respectively. While misconceptions regarding the transmission of leprosy still abound, 27 percent do not know a mode of transmission and 24 percent do not know the disease at all.

Only 60 percent of household respondents know that leprosy is curable (Table 10.5) The proportion who know that leprosy is curable ranges from a low of 49 percent in Central Luzon to a high of 75 percent in Western Mindanao. Only 11 percent know that treatment can be done at home. It is worth noting that 40 percent believe that leprosy is not curable.

## Table 10.4 Perceived transmission of leprosy

Percentage of household respondents who cite various modes of transmission of leprosy, by region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Mode of transmission of leprosy |  |  |  |  |  | Don't know mode of transmission | Don't know leprosy | Number of household respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Skin-toskin | Droplets/ airborne | Hereditary | Exposure to hot and cold | Eating certain foods | Other |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 15.6 | 8.3 | 19.8 | 24.2 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 31.3 | 15.3 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 31.2 | 5.7 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 14.7 | 25.0 | 27.9 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 35.6 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 8.7 | 20.1 | 27.4 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 29.7 | 11.7 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 22.8 | 24.2 | 19.3 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 7.3 | 2.1 | 15.6 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 43.0 | 22.8 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 11.1 | 6.2 | 14.0 | 9.8 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 26.6 | 33.3 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 8.6 | 5.8 | 11.0 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 31.8 | 40.3 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 19.4 | 10.4 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 27.8 | 31.1 | 16.7 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 24.1 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 26.0 | 19.5 | 26.6 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 28.1 | 14.6 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 27.3 | 20.8 | 19.4 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 41.5 | 21.3 | 22.5 | 3.7 | 10.6 | 14.0 | 9.7 | 24.2 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 28.2 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 9.9 | 23.7 | 28.1 | 427 |
| S. Mindano | 26.4 | 15.6 | 9.5 | 1.8 | 10.2 | 23.4 | 27.3 | 15.3 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 25.3 | 23.3 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 6.6 | 16.5 | 19.5 | 29.5 | 406 |
| ARMM | 48.0 | 32.3 | 17.0 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 14.1 | 10.2 | 30.0 | 322 |
| Caraga | 35.3 | 19.0 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 7.9 | 12.3 | 21.0 | 22.4 | 314 |
| Total | 20.9 | 10.5 | 12.4 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 14.1 | 26.9 | 24.2 | 12,401 |


| Table 10.5 Curability of leprosy |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among household respondents who know of leprosy, percent distribution by whether or not they think it is curable and whether or not it can be treated at home, according to region, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Think leprosy is curable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | Can be treated at home | Cannot be treated at home | Think <br> leprosy is not curable | Total | Number of household respondents |
| Metro Manila | 10.6 | 54.0 | 35.1 | 100.0 | 1,569 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 18.7 | 37.5 | 43.8 | 100.0 | 152 |
| Ilocos | 10.7 | 59.0 | 30.3 | 100.0 | 483 |
| Cagayan Valley | 9.4 | 44.4 | 46.2 | 100.0 | 381 |
| C. Luzon | 5.8 | 43.0 | 51.2 | 100.0 | 998 |
| S. Tagalog | 13.4 | 41.2 | 45.4 | 100.0 | 1,210 |
| Bicol | 13.8 | 45.3 | 40.9 | 100.0 | 440 |
| W. Visayas | 8.9 | 47.6 | 43.6 | 100.0 | 854 |
| C. Visayas | 11.7 | 54.5 | 33.8 | 100.0 | 702 |
| E. Visayas | 17.4 | 44.3 | 38.3 | 100.0 | 500 |
| W. Mindanao | 14.4 | 61.2 | 24.5 | 100.0 | 351 |
| N. Mindanao | 11.7 | 38.4 | 49.9 | 100.0 | 307 |
| S. Mindano | 11.0 | 48.6 | 40.2 | 100.0 | 673 |
| C. Mindanao | 10.7 | 57.2 | 31.8 | 100.0 | 286 |
| ARMM | 4.6 | 66.2 | 29.2 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Caraga | 7.8 | 51.0 | 41.0 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Total | 11.0 | 49.0 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 9,375 |

## Tuberculosis

Household respondents were asked about their perceptions of the cause(s) of tuberculosis (TB). Only 16 percent correctly identified microbes or bacteria as the cause of tuberculosis. A great majority of the respondents still have incorrect perceptions regarding the cause of tuberculosis as shown in Table 10.6. There is not much difference in the level of knowledge among regions. ARMM and Caraga respondents have the highest percentage with correct perceptions ( 28 and 27 percent, respectively), while those from Western Visayas have the lowest (11 percent).

For households with any member taking anti-TB medicines at the time of the survey, the respondents were asked for the source of the anti-TB drugs taken. Figure 10.1 shows that the health centers and drugstores each provide anti-TB medicines to over 40 percent of TB patients and government hospitals provide to 11 percent of TB cases. Table 10.7 shows that respondents have different perceptions regarding the length of treatment for TB. It is worth noting that a sizeable proportion still believe that TB can be treated in as short a duration as $1-3$ months, while 16 percent don't know the length of treatment. According to DOH policy, TB should be treated for at least 6 months.

## Table 10.6 Causes of tuberculosis

Percentage of household respondents who report various causes of tuberculosis, by region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Perceived causes of TB |  |  |  |  |  |  | Don't know cause | Don't know TB | Number of household respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Microbes/ germs/ bacteria | Inherited | Life style | Smoking | Alcohol drinking | Fatigue | Other |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 16.5 | 18.9 | 3.6 | 57.9 | 38.8 | 35.0 | 29.3 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 12.1 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 47.8 | 20.0 | 10.2 | 38.3 | 12.3 | 3.2 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 16.7 | 13.7 | 0.9 | 63.0 | 31.4 | 32.0 | 13.5 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 14.1 | 10.9 | 1.9 | 54.1 | 36.5 | 23.2 | 25.7 | 8.1 | 4.6 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 16.5 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 50.1 | 31.8 | 27.2 | 22.6 | 11.1 | 2.1 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 16.0 | 13.0 | 18.2 | 53.2 | 29.3 | 24.0 | 12.3 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 14.9 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 45.8 | 23.5 | 20.1 | 33.8 | 7.3 | 3.6 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 10.6 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 39.6 | 38.7 | 30.6 | 45.5 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 16.8 | 11.2 | 15.3 | 43.8 | 33.6 | 27.4 | 28.8 | 8.6 | 3.1 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 12.0 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 56.8 | 41.0 | 19.6 | 34.3 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 21.6 | 20.1 | 5.8 | 66.2 | 47.8 | 34.0 | 16.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 16.9 | 8.2 | 2.4 | 58.3 | 43.1 | 25.6 | 14.5 | 8.7 | 2.9 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 14.9 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 64.4 | 52.7 | 40.2 | 26.3 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 16.2 | 10.5 | 5.1 | 67.2 | 54.3 | 30.9 | 23.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 406 |
| ARMM | 28.4 | 28.6 | 21.3 | 64.5 | 26.8 | 23.5 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 322 |
| Caraga | 27.4 | 11.0 | 8.0 | 67.6 | 60.4 | 46.7 | 17.9 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 314 |
| Total | 16.2 | 12.5 | 7.5 | 54.4 | 36.8 | 28.8 | 24.8 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 12,401 |

## Table 10.7 Length of TB treatment

Percent distribution of household respondents by perceived length of TB treatment, according to region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Perceived length of TB treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One month | Two months | Three months | Four months | Six months | Eight months | One year or more | Don't know length | Don't know TB | Total |  |
| Metro Manila | 3.6 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 51.7 | 1.5 | 17.4 | 13.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 4.4 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 24.6 | 1.3 | 31.2 | 27.5 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 1.4 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 45.5 | 0.9 | 24.4 | 14.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 3.2 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 40.1 | 3.3 | 25.4 | 14.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 2.2 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 51.4 | 1.7 | 20.9 | 14.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 5.5 | 2.0 | 8.4 | 2.0 | 49.1 | 2.3 | 17.1 | 9.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 6.9 | 2.6 | 9.9 | 2.5 | 40.3 | 1.7 | 19.2 | 13.3 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 6.9 | 5.1 | 9.8 | 1.9 | 26.8 | 1.5 | 31.0 | 16.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 5.4 | 4.1 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 25.3 | 1.5 | 22.8 | 25.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 4.0 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 34.4 | 1.1 | 32.2 | 19.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 3.6 | 3.3 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 31.3 | 4.8 | 24.7 | 13.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 3.7 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 29.5 | 1.8 | 32.7 | 21.8 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 6.6 | 2.9 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 28.9 | 1.7 | 28.1 | 21.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 2.9 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 41.7 | 2.6 | 21.9 | 19.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 406 |
| ARMM | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 37.0 | 4.9 | 31.3 | 17.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 322 |
| Caraga | 4.6 | 3.1 | 6.4 | 1.8 | 31.4 | 1.9 | 36.1 | 12.9 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 314 |
| Total | 4.4 | 2.7 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 40.2 | 2.0 | 23.5 | 16.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 12,401 |

Figure 10.1
Sources of Anti-TB Medicines


### 10.2 Non-Communicable Diseases

## Healthy Lifestyle

Household respondents were asked what they do to keep themselves healthy. Results in Table 10.8 show that the majority ( 74 percent) of respondents said that maintaining proper nutrition contributes to their health; one in three ( 29 percent) reported exercising for good health; and small proportions reported low-fat diets ( 5 percent) and low-salt diets ( 2 percent) as contributing to their health. Three to 5 percent of respondents mentioned avoiding smoking, moderate drinking, and monitoring blood pressure as ways they stay healthy. Respondents' behavior varies little by region, however, those in Caraga, Central Luzon, and Southern Tagalog are more convinced of the health benefits of proper nutrition while those in CAR are less convinced.

## Table 10.8 Ways to stay healthy

Percentage of household respondents citing various ways to stay healthy, by region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Ways to stay healthy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exercise | Lowfat diet | Lowsalt diet | Avoid smoking | Moderate drinking | Monitor blood pressure | Proper nutrition | Other | None |  |
| Metro Manila | 30.0 | 10.6 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 77.5 | 27.1 | 5.7 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 26.8 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 44.3 | 65.1 | 4.4 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 46.6 | 5.2 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 68.2 | 33.9 | 2.6 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 30.3 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 63.2 | 48.1 | 6.2 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 16.3 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 85.7 | 19.2 | 6.0 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 28.1 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 84.9 | 26.4 | 3.2 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 38.2 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 55.6 | 26.3 | 13.1 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 14.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 62.2 | 62.9 | 2.9 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 28.9 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 73.9 | 42.9 | 2.7 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 30.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 73.9 | 41.1 | 2.6 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 39.2 | 8.6 | 1.4 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 74.9 | 36.0 | 2.3 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 25.0 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 82.6 | 30.4 | 1.1 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 24.6 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 76.9 | 52.1 | 1.3 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 36.5 | 7.6 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 77.1 | 37.7 | 3.4 | 406 |
| ARMM | 46.6 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 27.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 46.0 | 28.9 | 12.2 | 322 |
| Caraga | 38.4 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 8.1 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 87.9 | 35.1 | 0.7 | 314 |
| Total | 29.0 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 74.3 | 35.5 | 4.4 | 12,401 |

## Smoking

Household respondents were asked the number of smokers in the household. The results show that 40 percent of households nationwide have no smokers (data not shown). It further shows that for every 6 individuals there is one smoker.

Respondents were asked about their perceptions on the effects of smoking. The results are found in Table 10.9. Only 34 percent of respondents believe that smoking causes lung cancer. While there were misconceptions regarding its effects, i.e., that it causes TB, one in 15 believes that smoking does not cause any ill effect to a person's health.

Table 10.9 Effects of smoking on health
Percentage of household respondents with various perceptions on the effects of smoking on the health of household members, by region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Perceived effects of smoking on health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Causes TB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Causes } \\ & \text { lung } \\ & \text { cancer } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Causes } \\ & \text { lung } \\ & \text { disease } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Causes } \\ \text { heart } \\ \text { disease } \end{gathered}$ | Causes asthma | Causes ulcer | Other | No effect |  |
| Metro Manila | 22.6 | 39.2 | 53.7 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 3.2 | 17.5 | 3.0 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 27.9 | 35.4 | 21.5 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 38.0 | 8.6 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 50.1 | 52.2 | 28.2 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 12.9 | 5.0 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 26.4 | 35.7 | 29.7 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 2.8 | 23.6 | 14.5 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 18.9 | 30.0 | 45.9 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 3.3 | 15.1 | 8.7 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 15.5 | 40.6 | 52.7 | 12.4 | 15.4 | 2.7 | 10.3 | 5.0 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 30.9 | 29.1 | 45.6 | 7.4 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 14.1 | 10.3 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 13.5 | 27.2 | 37.9 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 42.9 | 9.8 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 17.6 | 29.8 | 57.3 | 9.4 | 10.8 | 2.7 | 26.6 | 6.2 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 32.5 | 20.5 | 45.0 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 35.2 | 5.4 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 38.0 | 31.6 | 42.3 | 5.9 | 24.3 | 6.1 | 21.3 | 5.5 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 14.2 | 22.7 | 60.3 | 2.6 | 12.1 | 2.9 | 14.0 | 4.7 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 20.5 | 26.6 | 52.9 | 4.9 | 13.8 | 3.0 | 28.6 | 7.3 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 43.1 | 47.8 | 41.9 | 9.9 | 15.3 | 4.3 | 16.1 | 4.5 | 406 |
| ARMM | 61.9 | 23.4 | 29.8 | 14.7 | 29.2 | 10.6 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 322 |
| Caraga | 30.5 | 46.5 | 48.9 | 9.3 | 25.6 | 6.5 | 18.2 | 4.7 | 314 |
| Total | 24.8 | 34.1 | 46.6 | 11.1 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 20.6 | 6.6 | 12,401 |

## Cancer

Awareness of signs and symptoms of cancer was probed in this study. Shown in Table 10.10 are the results. One out of 4 respondents said that the presence of a lump or mass and the occurrence of sudden weight loss are possible warning signs of cancer. Persistent pain was considered by 1 in 7 respondents as a symptom of cancer. Other signs and symptoms such as wounds that do not heal, bleeding, irregular defecation, irregular urination, and hoarseness of voice were also reported by some respondents.

A recent campaign to prevent cancer in women emphasized early detection of breast cancer and cervical cancer through regular breast self-examination and submission for cervical examination. It is worth noting that almost 1 in 3 women respondents age 15-49 reported having examined their breasts for the presence of a mass within the month before the survey (data not shown).

Less popular among women is obtaining a pap smear to screen for cervical cancer. Only 13 percent of women throughout the country had a pap smear within the last 5 years (data not shown).

Table 10.10 Signs and symptoms of cancer

Percentage of household respondents who know about specific signs and symptoms of cancer, by region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Signs and symptoms of cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lump/ mass | Sorel wound that does not heal | Sudden weight loss | Bleeding | Irregular defecation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irregu- } \\ \text { lar } \\ \text { urina- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hoarse- <br> ness of voice | Persistent pain | Other | None |  |
| Metro Manila | 35.1 | 8.1 | 36.5 | 8.3 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 16.6 | 18.0 | 2.0 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 19.7 | 3.4 | 11.6 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 31.0 | 7.8 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 31.4 | 3.8 | 25.7 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 1.1 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 29.3 | 4.6 | 20.0 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 12.8 | 21.2 | 3.0 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 26.0 | 7.0 | 27.6 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 9.8 | 14.2 | 1.1 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 35.0 | 8.3 | 34.5 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 14.6 | 16.3 | 1.0 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 18.4 | 6.2 | 17.3 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 14.0 | 13.2 | 1.7 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 20.3 | 3.1 | 20.9 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 19.0 | 29.1 | 0.7 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 13.1 | 2.0 | 22.6 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 10.0 | 24.2 | 3.4 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 15.4 | 5.4 | 12.5 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 12.5 | 31.4 | 3.6 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 15.7 | 4.7 | 31.2 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 25.8 | 17.0 | 4.4 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 8.2 | 2.7 | 17.9 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 12.3 | 6.9 | 22.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 11.2 | 38.4 | 5.4 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 23.0 | 4.6 | 21.3 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 13.0 | 23.2 | 1.4 | 406 |
| ARMM | 32.1 | 22.2 | 17.0 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 20.8 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 322 |
| Caraga | 22.2 | 6.2 | 32.4 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 3.1 | 314 |
| Total | 24.6 | 6.2 | 26.1 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 14.2 | 20.1 | 2.3 | 12,401 |

### 10.3 Environmental Health

## Garbage Disposal

Respondents were asked how they dispose of their household garbage. Forty-eight percent of households burn their garbage. In 30 percent of households, garbage is collected by trucks or carts. Six percent of households either dump garbage into individual open dumping sites or throw waste into low land areas: 5 percent bury their garbage and only 4 percent conduct composting (data not shown).

Of the households that utilize garbage trucks, daily garbage collection is done in only 37 percent. In the remaining two-thirds of these households, garbage collection is done once or twice weekly or once biweekly ( 49 percent and 10 percent, respectively).

## Use of Pre-cooked Foods

As shown in Table 10.11, 23 percent of households buy pre-cooked foods. Seventeen percent of households go to carinderias (small eating places) while 11 percent go to restaurants, and 10 percent use ambulant vendors. This implies that the Department of Health policy should ensure the examination of food handlers not only in restaurants and carinderias but for ambulant vendors as well. The practice of buying pre-cooked foods is mainly done in Western Visayas and Metro Manila and less in Ilocos and Southern Mindanao.

| Table 10.11 Use of pre-cooked foods |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households that regularly buy cooked food from ambulant vendors, carinderias, or restaurants, by region, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage buying food from: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | Ambulant vendor | Carinderia | Restaurant | Any of the three types | Number of households |
| Metro Manila | 8.4 | 24.7 | 21.0 | 33.4 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 2.1 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 212 |
| llocos | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 10.3 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 5.4 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 13.0 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 10.8 | 16.8 | 13.0 | 27.0 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 11.9 | 11.4 | 4.1 | 20.1 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 27.3 | 38.7 | 33.0 | 53.4 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 6.0 | 19.1 | 6.9 | 22.4 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 14.9 | 16.6 | 7.2 | 25.6 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 12.7 | 15.7 | 10.8 | 22.0 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 10.7 | 20.3 | 10.5 | 26.0 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 2.3 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 6.8 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 8.8 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 15.6 | 406 |
| ARMM | 8.6 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 322 |
| Caraga | 14.1 | 22.1 | 18.2 | 28.9 | 314 |
| Total | 9.8 | 16.5 | 11.2 | 23.4 | 12,401 |

Note: Carinderia refers to an eating place that is smaller than a restaurant.

### 10.4 Health Care Financing

Household respondents were asked if any household members were affiliated with any health care financing schemes or health insurance plans. Two in five households have health insurance plan. Two-thirds ( 68 percent) of the households in Metro Manila have health insurance. ARMM has the smallest proportion of households with a member in a health insurance scheme ( 13 percent). Details are in Table 10.12.

Among those with a health insurance plan, around 9 in 10 are members of Medicare (Table 10.13). One in 10 has membership in a private health insurance plan, amd a negligible number have membership in a health maintenance organization (HMO) or a community cooperative.

| Table 10.12. Health care financing membership |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of households by whether or not any member has health care financing membership, by region, Philippines 1998 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Heal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ancing } \\ & \text { ip } \end{aligned}$ |  | Number |
| Region | Yes | No | Total | households |
| Metro Manila | 68.1 | 31.9 | 100.0 | 1,859 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 30.9 | 69.1 | 100.0 | 212 |
| Ilocos | 31.4 | 68.6 | 100.0 | 667 |
| Cagayan Valley | 24.5 | 75.5 | 100.0 | 475 |
| C. Luzon | 38.7 | 61.3 | 100.0 | 1,292 |
| S. Tagalog | 44.7 | 55.3 | 100.0 | 1,818 |
| Bicol | 22.3 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 740 |
| W. Visayas | 42.7 | 57.3 | 100.0 | 1,027 |
| C. Visayas | 38.2 | 61.8 | 100.0 | 959 |
| E. Visayas | 21.7 | 78.3 | 100.0 | 620 |
| W. Mindanao | 26.9 | 73.1 | 100.0 | 466 |
| N. Mindanao | 32.1 | 67.9 | 100.0 | 427 |
| S. Mindanao | 42.5 | 57.5 | 100.0 | 797 |
| C. Mindanao | 27.5 | 72.5 | 100.0 | 406 |
| ARMM | 13.1 | 86.9 | 100.0 | 322 |
| Caraga | 30.8 | 69.2 | 100.0 | 314 |
| Total | 39.7 | 60.3 | 100.0 | 12,401 |

## Table 10.13 Type of insurance plan

Among households with health insurance plans, percentage reporting specific types of plans, by region, Philippines 1998

|  | Health insurance plan |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Medicare | HMO | Private | Community <br> cooperative | Other | Number <br> of |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Manila | 90.4 | 1.5 | 17.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1,266 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 84.8 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 65 |
| Ilocos | 98.5 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 209 |
| Cagayan Valley | 90.5 | 0.6 | 19.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 116 |
| C. Luzon | 92.1 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 500 |
| S. Tagalog | 94.8 | 1.2 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 813 |
| Bicol | 96.4 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 165 |
| W. Visayas | 96.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 438 |
| C. Visayas | 89.8 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 367 |
| E. Visayas | 97.2 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 134 |
| W. Mindanao | 76.3 | 0.9 | 30.6 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 126 |
| N. Mindanao | 91.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 137 |
| S. Mindanao | 99.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 339 |
| C. Mindanao | 98.3 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 112 |
| ARMM | 96.5 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 42 |
| Caraga | 97.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 97 |
| Total | 93.1 | 0.8 | 10.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 4,926 |

### 10.5 Traditional Medicine

Ten medicinal plants are endorsed by the Department of Health through the Traditional Medicine Program and are scientifically proven effective to cure certain illnesses and relieve some specific symptoms of illnesses. They consist of ampalaya, ulasimang bato, lagundi, niyogniyogan, sambong, tsaang gubat, yerba buena, bayabas, bawang, and acapulco.

Household respondents were asked whether they were familiar with these different medicinal herbs and their perceptions as to their intended use. Results in Table10.14 indicate that bayabas and bawang are the most widely known medicinal herbs. Virtually all respondents are aware that bayabas has a medicinal use. Around 9 in 10 are familiar with bawang and 8 in 10 know about ampalaya's medicinal value. Three-fourths know that sambong is used medicinally. Least known among the 10 herbal medicines is niyogniyogan.

Table 10.14. Familiarity with traditional medicines
Percentage of household respondents who are familiar with various traditional herbal medicines and of those, the percentage who report specific uses for the medicines, Philippines 1998

| Perceived use of traditional medicines in treating illness | Traditional medicine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ampa- } \\ \text { laya } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ulasimang bato | Lagundi | Niyogniyogan | Sambong | Tsaang gubat | Yerba buena | Bayabas | Bawang | Acapulco |
| Percentage who know of medicinal value | 80.7 | 33.6 | 50.1 | 17.8 | 75.2 | 27.6 | 58.2 | 96.8 | 87.3 | 44.6 |
| Used traditional medicine to treat: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Head/body pains | 1.0 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 12.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Fever | 3.1 | 3.8 | 19.1 | 3.4 | 13.2 | 5.8 | 16.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Abdominal pain | 10.7 | 7.4 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 21.1 | 56.8 | 22.3 | 47.7 | 8.1 | 1.1 |
| Cough/asthma | 43.1 | 8.8 | 32.6 | 5.6 | 36.7 | 7.7 | 30.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 0.6 |
| Ascaris | 2.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 23.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.3 |
| Diabetes | 5.4 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Gouty arthritis | 0.6 | 16.1 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 9.0 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| Hypercholesterolemia | 2.5 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 24.5 | 0.2 |
| Skin infection/ clean wound | 8.4 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 80.5 | 12.2 | 55.0 |
| Diuretic/for urinary stone | 0.9 | 27.2 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Other | 39.5 | 22.3 | 25.2 | 18.6 | 37.1 | 14.8 | 24.7 | 11.5 | 64.9 | 37.2 |

Note: Numbers in bold indicate accepted uses of the particular herb.

While familiarity with some traditional medicines is high, the perception on the correct use of specific herbal medicines is generally low except for bayabas. In Table 10.14, the correct use of the different herbal medicines is highlighted in bold. Around 81 percent of those who said they were familiar with bayabas correctly identified it as used to clean wounds. On the other hand, only 5 percent of those who said that they were familiar with ampalaya correctly identified it as used to treat diabetes mellitus and only 6 percent of those who claimed familiarity with sambong knew that it is used as a diuretic and facilitates the excretion of urinary stones.

Respondents were asked what traditional medicines were used during the 3 months prior to the interview. Bayabas was the most often used ( 34 percent) followed by sambong ( 23 percent), bawang ( 23 percent) and ampalaya ( 19 percent). Least used is niyogniyogan ( 2 percent), ulasimang bato ( 6 percent), tsaang gubat ( 6 percent), acapulco ( 9 percent), and lagundi and yerba buena ( 9 and 13 percent, respectively) (data not shown).

Questions regarding awareness of the Department of Health's endorsement of the 10 traditional medicines was included in the questionnaire. The result reveals that more household respondents were aware of the DOH endorsement of bayabas than any other herbal medicine.

### 10.6 Health Facility Utilization

Health facilities that are most widely used by the general population are either government or private health units. The government health facilities are either those retained by the Department of Health such as the regional hospitals or those health facilities that were devolved to the local governments such as the provincial, district, and municipal hospitals as well as the outpatient care centers, such as the rural health units ( 9 percent), barangay health stations ( 17 percent) and private clinics ( 13 percent).

In the 6 months prior to the survey, around 50 percent of households utilized a health facility for health care services (Table 10.15). Among hospitals, private hospitals are most utilized, followed by the provincial hospitals ( 9 and 4 percent, respectively). The regional hospitals are used by only 2 percent of households.

Households in Metro Manila are more likely to go to private clinics and private hospitals for health care services compared with households in other regions. Households in Ilocos and Cordillera Administrative Region are more likely to patronize the provincial hospitals than the rest of the regions. In Eastern Visayas, households visit district hospitals more often than households in than other regions.

Table 10.16 shows that among the household members who went to regional hospitals, the services mostly utilized were: treatment of illnesses, routine checkups, laboratory services, and antenatal, delivery, and postpartum care. Those who went to provincial and district hospitals visited these facilities for treatment of illnesses and injuries as well as for routine checkups and laboratory services. The barangay health stations and rural health units are generally utilized by households for most health care services but are least likely to be used for laboratory services and health and nutrition education.

## Table 10.15 Utilization of health facilities

Percentage of households that utilized specific health facilities in the six months preceding the survey, by region, Philippines 1998

| Region | Health facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Any health facility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regional hospital | Provincial hospital | District hospital | Municipal hospital | Rural health unit | Barangay health station | Private hospita! | Private clinic | Other |  |
| Metro Manila | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 13.7 | 5.9 | 17.0 | 19.6 | 6.1 | 55.5 |
| Cordillera Admin. | 1.9 | 10.3 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 13.2 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 1.0 | 51.7 |
| Ilocos | 2.3 | 10.0 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 20.1 | 7.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 51.4 |
| Cagayan Valley | 2.3 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 7.8 | 12.6 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 45.1 |
| C. Luzon | 0.4 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 12.4 | 8.0 | 13.1 | 2.0 | 41.3 |
| S. Tagalog | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 20.6 | 9.3 | 13.0 | 0.7 | 51.9 |
| Bicol | 2.6 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 8.3 | 24.3 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 1.3 | 49.1 |
| W. Visayas | 2.4 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 4.1 | 10.1 | 21.6 | 7.2 | 14.5 | 2.5 | 57.0 |
| C. Visayas | 1.8 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 22.4 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 0.7 | 50.5 |
| E. Visayas | 2.2 | 5.4 | 11.5 | 3.0 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 3.2 | 53.7 |
| W. Mindanao | 2.6 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 8.4 | 18.2 | 7.9 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 42.8 |
| N. Mindanao | 0.6 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 24.0 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 52.5 |
| S. Mindanao | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 21.8 | 10.2 | 12.4 | 0.2 | 44.6 |
| C. Mindanao | 1.4 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 13.4 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 0.5 | 41.9 |
| ARMM | 3.1 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 8.1 | 13.9 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 37.8 |
| Caraga | 0.9 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 11.3 | 21.6 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 0.7 | 50.1 |
| Total | 1.5 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 16.9 | 9.2 | 12.6 | 1.9 | 49.7 |

Table 10.16 Utilization of health facilities by type of service accessed
Percentage of households that utilized specific health facilities, by type of service accessed, Philippines 1998

| Type of service | Health facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Any health facility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regional hospital | Provincial hospital | District hospital | Municipal hospital | Rural health unit | Barangay health station | Private hospital | Private clinic | Other |  |
| Treatment when ill or injured | 59.0 | 56.1 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 43.1 | 33.0 | 57.4 | 55.0 | 53.6 | 48.5 |
| Routine checkup | 33.6 | 38.5 | 30.3 | 44.2 | 44.6 | 38.0 | 56.1 | 56.7 | 53.5 | 45.8 |
| Laboratory service | 26.3 | 24.2 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 16.3 | 24.5 | 13.6 |
| Immunization | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 22.1 | 30.9 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 14.6 |
| Family planning | 4.8 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 14.2 | 16.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| Health and nutrition education | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 4.1 |
| Prenatal delivery, postnatal | 11.7 | 15.1 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 10.2 | 12.6 | 10.4 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 10.0 |
| Other | 10.2 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 6.2 | 17.7 | 6.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RHU = Rural health } \\ & \text { BHS = Barangay he } \end{aligned}$ | station |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 10.2
Percentage of Households that Utilized Health Facilities in the 6 Months Preceding the Survey
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## APPENDIX A

## SAMPLE DESIGN

Based on the objective of providing reliable results at the regional level, a subsample size of 755 EAs were selected from the ISH master sample. To maximize the efficiency of the sample design, the sample was allocated to the regions using a method called "power allocation procedure". This method takes into account the size of the domain and the precision of important characteristics based on the 1993 National Demographic Survey (NDS).

In order to ensure that the sample in each region is self-weighting, the actual number of households, $n_{h i j k}$, in each EA was determined based on the overall sampling rate per region using the expression below:

$$
n_{h i j k}=\frac{N_{h i j k} \cdot * f}{P_{l h i j k}}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & \text { sampling fraction } \\
= & \frac{\text { Required Estimated Sample Size for the Region }}{\text { Weighted HH Estimate for the Region from NDHS Listing }} \\
N_{h i j k} \text { • }= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { number of households from the NDHS listing for the } k \text {-th } \\
\text { sample segment in the } j-t h \text { sample EA in the } i-t h \text { sample } \\
\text { barangay in stratum } h
\end{array} \\
P_{1 h i j k}= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Probability of selection for the NDHS sample segment } \\
j-t h \text { sample EA in the } i-t h \text { sample barangay in stratum } h
\end{array} \quad \text { in the }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the probability of selection in all sampling stages considering the updating of the frame based from the 1995 POPCEN and the segmenting of large EAs in NCR can now be expressed as follows:

$$
P_{h i j k}=b_{h} \times \frac{N_{h i}}{N_{h}} \times \frac{N_{h i j}}{N_{h i}} \times \frac{N_{h i j k}}{N_{h i j}^{\prime \prime}} \times \frac{n_{h i j k}}{N_{h i j k}^{\prime}}
$$

where:

| $b_{h}$ | $=$ number of sample EAs (or segments) selected in stratum $h$ (urban and rural areas of large city/municipality domain, city, other urban or rural, within province) for the NDHS |
| :---: | :---: |
| $N_{h}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { total number of households from the } 1995 \text { POPCEN } \\ & \text { frame (cumulated measure size) for stratum } h \end{aligned}$ |
| $N_{h i}$ | ```= number of households from the }1995\mathrm{ POPCEN frame in the i-th sample barangay in stratum h``` |
| $N_{h i j}$ | $=$ number of households from the 1995 POPCEN frame for the $j$-th sample EA in the i-th sample barangay in stratum $h$ |
| $N_{h i j}$ | $=$ number of households from the frame of segments (cumulated measure of size of the segments) for the $j$-th sample EA in the $i$-th sample barangay in stratum $h$ |
| $N_{h i j k}$ | $=$ number of households from the frame of segments for the $k$-th sample segment in the j -th sample EA in the $i$-th sample barangay in stratum $h$ |
| $N_{\text {hijk }}{ }^{\prime}$ | $=$ number of households from the listing for the $k-t h$ sample segment in the $j$-th sample EA in the $i$-th sample barangay in stratum $h$ |
| ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{\text {ij }}{ }^{\prime}$ | $=$ number of households selected for the NDHS in the $k-t h$ sample segment in the $j$-th sample EA in the $i$-th sample barangay in the $i$-th sample PSU in stratum $h$ |

Of the total NDHS sample areas, only 753 EAs were listed. Hence, the probabilities of selection ( $P_{\text {lhijk }}$ ) for the affected strata were adjusted before the selection of the sample households. Consequently, the number of households selected in the remaining EAs for these strata increased. The adjustment factor can be defined as follows:

$$
\frac{b_{h}^{\prime}}{b_{h}}
$$

where $b_{h}$ ' is the total number of EAs actually listed.
The total number of sample households selected is about 13,708 households. For urban areas, the sample households were selected systematically. Cluster sampling on the other hand was applied in selecting sample households for rural areas to facilitate field operation. Two clusters of households for each rural barangay/EA/segment were selected.

## A. 1 Sample Implementation

Percent Distribution of Households and eligible Women in the DHS sample by results of the interview, and household response rates, eligible women reponse rates, and overall reponse rates, according to region and urban-rural area, Phililppines 1998

| Result | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metro Manila | Cordillera Admin. | Ilocos | Cagayan Valley | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { Luzon } \end{gathered}$ | Tagalog | Bicol | Visayas | Visayas | E- <br> Visayas |
| Selected household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (C) | 82.9 | 84.4 | 90.0 | 95.0 | 93.1 | 90.3 | 92.8 | 91.9 | 93.9 | 91.6 |
| Household present but no competent respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at home (HP) | 1.6 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Refused (R) | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Household absent | 1.8 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 2.0 |
| Dwelling vacant (DV) | 10.5 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 1169 | 735 | 730 | 726 | 911 | 1189 | 816 | 913 | 905 | 913 |
| Household response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rate (HRR) ${ }^{1}$ | 95.8 | 95.2 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 99.7 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 99.6 |
| Eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EWC) | 95.6 | 91.9 | 98.5 | 98.1 | 96.8 | 98.3 | 97.5 | 97.4 | 96.6 | 97.3 |
| Not at home (EWNH) | 2.4 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| Postponed (EWP) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Refused (EWR) | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Partly completed (EWPC) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incapacitated (EWI) | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Other (EWO) | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 1559 | 641 | 720 | 731 | 985 | 1202 | 764 | 906 | 1028 | 791 |
| Elligible women reponse rate (EWRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 95.6 | 91.9 | 98.5 | 98.1 | 96.8 | 98.3 | 97.5 | 97.4 | 96.6 | 97.3 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 91.6 | 87.5 | 98.2 | 97.9 | 96.2 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 96.4 | 95.7 | 97.0 |

Note: The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, postponed, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible woman response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed, not at home, postponed, refused, partially completed, incapacitated and "other." The overall response rate is the product of the household and woman response.
'Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:

$$
\frac{C}{C+H P+R+D N F}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible women falling into specific response categories, the eligible woman response rate (EWRR) is calculated as:

EWC
$\overline{\mathrm{EWC}}+\mathrm{EWNH}+\mathrm{EWP}+\mathrm{EWR}+\mathrm{EWPC}+\mathrm{EWI}+\mathrm{EWO}$
${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as:
ORR = HRR + EWRR

## A. L-continued

Percent Distribution of Households and eligible Women in the DHS sample by results of the interview, and household response rates, eligible women reponse rates, and overall reponse rates, according to region and urban-rural area, Phililppines 1998

| Result | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W- <br> Mindanao | $\mathrm{N}-$ <br> Mindanao | S. <br> Mindanao | C- <br> Mindanao | ARMM | Caraga | Urban | Rural |  |
| Selected household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (C) | 92.1 | 89.5 | 89.3 | 91.5 | 89.8 | 92.5 | 89.2 | 91.5 | 90.5 |
| Household present but no competent respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at home (HP) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Refused (R) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Household absent | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Dwelling vacant (DV) | 5.5 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 884 | 732 | 919 | 707 | 729 | 730 | 5822 | 7886 | 13708 |
| Household response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rate (HRR) ${ }^{1}$ | 99.8 | 99.8 | 98.6 | 97.9 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 99.3 | 98.7 |
| Eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EWC) | 99.2 | 99.5 | 97.3 | 94.9 | 97.6 | 98.2 | 96.8 | 97.5 | 97.2 |
| Not at home (EWNH) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Postponed (EWP) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Refused (EWR) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Parly completed (EWPC) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incapacitated (EWI) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Other (EWO) | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 981 | 785 | 1012 | 719 | 830 | 736 | 6954 | 7436 | 14390 |
| Elligible women reponse rate (EWRR) | 99.2 | 99.5 | 97.3 | 94.9 | 97.6 | 98.2 | 96.8 | 97.5 | 97.2 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 98.9 | 99.3 | 95.9 | 92.8 | 94.7 | 98.2 | 94.8 | 96.8 | 95.9 |

Note: The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, postponed, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible woman response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed, not at home, postponed, refused, partially completed, incapacitated and "other." The overall response rate is the product of the household and woman response.
${ }^{\prime}$ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:

$$
\frac{C}{C+H P+R+D N F}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible women falling into specific response categories, the eligible woman response rate (EWRR) is calculated as:

EWC
$\overline{\mathrm{EWC}+E W N H}+E W P+E W R+E W P C+E W I+E W O$
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## APPENDIX B

## ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

The estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: (1) nonsampling errors, and (2) sampling errors. Nonsampling errors are the results of mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, misunderstanding of the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts were made during the implementation of the NDHS to minimize this type of error, nonsampling errors are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected in the NDHS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. Although the degree of variability is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results.

A sampling error is usually measured in terms of the standard error for a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample of respondents had been selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use straightforward formulas for calculating sampling errors. However, the NDHS sample is the result of a two-stage stratified design, and, consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulae. The computer software used to calculate sampling errors for the NDHS is the ISSA Sampling Error Module. This module used the Taylor linearization method of variance estimation for survey estimates that are means or proportions. The Jackknife repeated replication method is used for variance estimation of more complex statistics such as fertility and mortality rates.

The Taylor linearization method treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, $r=y / x$, where $y$ represents the total sample value for variable $y$, and $x$ represents the total number of cases in the group or subgroup under consideration. The variance of $r$ is computed using the formula given below with the standard error being the square root of the variance:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{h i}^{2}-\frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}}\right)\right]
$$

in which

$$
z_{h i}=y_{h i}-r \cdot x_{h i}, \text { and } z_{h}=y_{h}-r \cdot x_{h}
$$

where $\quad h \quad$ represents the stratum which varies from 1 to $H$,
$m_{h}$ is the total number of enumeration areas (EAs) selected in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$y_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the values of variable $y$ in the $i^{\text {ih }} \mathrm{EA}$ in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$x_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the number of cases in the $i^{\text {h }} \mathrm{EA}$ in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum, and
$f$ is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that it is ignored.
The Jackknife repeated replication method derives estimates of complex rates from each of several replications of the parent sample, and calculates standard errors for these estimates using simple formulae. Each replication considers
all but one clusters in the calculation of the estimates. Pseudo-independent replications are thus created. In the NDHS, there were 752 non-empty clusters. Hence, 751 replications were created. The variance of a rate $r$ is calculated as follows:

$$
S E^{2}(R)=\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(r_{i}-r\right)^{2}
$$

in which

$$
r_{i}=k r-(k-1) r_{(i)}
$$

where $r$ is the estimate computed from the full sample of 752 clusters,
$r_{(i)} \quad$ is the estimate computed from the reduced sample of 751 clusters ( $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster excluded), and
$k \quad$ is the total number of clusters.
In addition to the standard error, ISSA computes the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate, which is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design. ISSA also computes the relative error and confidence limits for the estimates.

Sampling errors for the NDHS are calculated for selected variables considered to be of primary interest. The results are presented in this appendix for the country as a whole, for urban and rural areas, and for each of the 16 regions. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are given in Table B.1. Tables B.1.1 to B.1.19 present the value of the statistic (R), its standard error (SE), the number of unweighted (N) and weighted (WN) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error ( $\mathrm{SE} / \mathrm{R}$ ), and the 95 percent confidence limits ( $\mathrm{R} \pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ), for each variable. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error considering simple random sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1). In the case of the total fertility rate, the number of unweighted cases is not relevant since there is no known unweighted value for woman-years of exposure to childbearing.

The confidence interval (e.g., as calculated for children ever born to women age 15-49) can be interpreted as follows: the overall average from the national sample is 2.156 and its standard error is .029 . Therefore, to obtain the 95 percent confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, i.e., $2.156 \pm 2 \times .029$. There is a high probability ( 95 percent) that the true average number of children ever born to all women aged 15 to 49 is between 2.098 and 2.214.

Sampling errors are analyzed for the national sample and for two separate groups of estimates: (1) means and proportions, and (2) complex demographic rates. The relative standard errors (SE/R) for the means and proportions range between 0 percent and 33 percent with an average of 3.6 percent; the highest relative standard errors are for estimates of very low values (e.g., currently using male sterilization among currently married women). If estimates of very low values (less than 10 percent) were removed, than the average drops to 1.8 percent. So in general, the relative standard error for most estimates for the country as a whole is small, except for estimates of very small proportions. The relative standard error for the total fertility rate is small, 2.3 percent. However, for the mortality rates, the average relative standard error is higher, 8.4 percent.

There are differentials in the relative standard error for the estimates of sub-populations. For example, for the variable with secondary education or higher, the relative standard errors as a percent of the estimated mean for the whole country, for the rural areas, and for Cagayan Valley Region are 0.9 percent, 1.8 percent, and 5.4 percent, respectively.

For the total sample, the value of the design effect (DEFT) averaged over all variables is 1.27 , which means that due to multi-stage clustering of the sample variance is increased by a factor of 1.56 over that in an equivalent simple random sample.

| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.566 | 0.007 | 13983 | 13983 | 1.679 | 0.012 | 0.552 | 0.580 |
| No education | 0.017 | 0.002 | 13983 | 13983 | 1.483 | 0.094 | 0.014 | 0.021 |
| With education | 0.722 | 0.006 | 13983 | 13983 | 1.631 | 0.009 | 0.710 | 0.735 |
| Never Married | 0.364 | 0.006 | 13983 | 13983 | 1.550 | 0.017 | 0.351 | 0.376 |
| Currently in union | 0.596 | 0.007 | 13983 | 13983 | 1.586 | 0.011 | 0.583 | 0.609 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.324 | 0.007 | 11034 | 11059 | 1.527 | 0.021 | 0.311 | 0.338 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.171 | 0.005 | 11034 | 11059 | 1.341 | 0.028 | 0.162 | 0.181 |
| Children ever bom | 2.156 | 0.029 | 13983 | 13983 | 1.369 | 0.013 | 2.098 | 2.214 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.419 | 0.067 | 2693 | 2651 | 1.186 | 0.015 | 4.286 | 4.553 |
| Children Surviving | 2.001 | 0.026 | 13983 | 13983 | 1.364 | 0.013 | 1.949 | 2.054 |
| Know any method | 0.985 | 0.002 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.184 | 0.002 | 0.982 | 0.988 |
| Know any modern method | 0.979 | 0.002 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.181 | 0.002 | 0.976 | 0.983 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.694 | 0.006 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.266 | 0.009 | 0.681 | 0.706 |
| Currently using any method | 0.465 | 0.007 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.256 | 0.014 | 0.452 | 0.479 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.282 | 0.006 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.216 | 0.021 | 0.270 | 0.294 |
| Currently using pill | 0.099 | 0.004 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.251 | 0.041 | 0.091 | 0.107 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.037 | 0.003 | 8634 | 8336. | 1.267 | 0.070 | 0.032 | 0.042 |
| Currently using injection | 0.024 | 0.002 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.354 | 0.094 | 0.019 | 0.028 |
| Currently using condom | 0.016 | 0.002 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.224 | 0.103 | 0.013 | 0.019 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.103 | 0.004 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.288 | 0.041 | 0.095 | 0.111 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.001 | 0.000 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.125 | 0.325 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.087 | 0.003 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.095 | 0.038 | 0.080 | 0.093 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.089 | 0.003 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.104 | 0.038 | 0.082 | 0.095 |
| Public source user | 0.720 | 0.012 | 2439 | 2403 | 1.334 | 0.017 | 0.695 | 0.744 |
| Want no more children | 0.619 | 0.006 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.187 | 0.010 | 0.606 | 0.631 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.187 | 0.005 | 8634 | 8336 | 1.112 | 0.025 | 0.178 | 0.196 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.202 | 0.015 | 13693 | 13736 | 1.170 | 0.005 | 3.173 | 3.231 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.685 | 0.008 | 8083 | 7566 | 1.231 | 0.012 | 0.669 | 0.700 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.564 | 0.010 | 8083 | 7566 | 1.417 | 0.018 | 0.543 | 0.585 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.074 | 0.004 | 7751 | 7286 | 1.107 | 0.048 | 0.067 | 0.081 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.434 | 0.023 | 604 | 539 | 1.022 | 0.053 | 0.388 | 0.479 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.439 | 0.023 | 604 | 539 | 1.047 | 0.052 | 0.393 | 0.485 |
| Having health card | 0.414 | 0.015 | 1554 | 1474 | 1.191 | 0.037 | 0.383 | 0.445 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.908 | 0.008 | 1554 | 1474 | 1.102 | 0.009 | 0.891 | 0.924 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.809 | 0.012 | 1554 | 1474 | 1.194 | 0.015 | 0.784 | 0.833 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.817 | 0.012 | 1554 | 1474 | 1.196 | 0.015 | 0.793 | 0.842 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.789 | 0.013 | 1554 | 1474 | 1.200 | 0.016 | 0.763 | 0.814 |
| Fully immunized | 0.728 | 0.014 | 1554 | 1474 | 1.222 | 0.020 | 0.700 | 0.757 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.730 | 0.084 | NA | 39113 | 1.434 | 0.023 | 3.561 | 3.898 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 17.800 | 1.736 | 8279 | 7742 | 1.086 | 0.098 | 14.328 | 21.273 |
| Infant mortality rate | 35.134 | 2.302 | 8297 | 7756 | 1.049 | 0.066 | 30.530 | 39.739 |
| Child mortality rate | 13.778 | 1.511 | 8341 | 7791 | 1.094 | 0.110 | 10.756 | 16.801 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 48.428 | 2.844 | 8359 | 7805 | 1.090 | 0.059 | 42.741 | 54.115 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 17.334 | 1.492 | 8297 | 7756 | 0.986 | 0.086 | 14.349 | 20.319 |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban | 1.000 | 0.000 | 6730 | 7911 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.006 | 0.001 | 6730 | 7911 | 1.080 | 0.167 | 0.004 | 0.008 |
| With education | 0.820 | 0.007 | 6730 | 7911 | 1.477 | 0.008 | 0.806 | 0.833 |
| Never Married | 0.419 | 0.010 | 6730 | 7911 | 1.594 | 0.023 | 0.400 | 0.438 |
| Currently in union | 0.534 | 0.010 | 6730 | 7911 | 1.643 | 0.019 | 0.514 | 0.554 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.258 | 0.010 | 5238 | 6210 | 1.626 | 0.038 | 0.239 | 0.278 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.133 | 0.007 | 5238 | 6210 | 1.437 | 0.051 | 0.119 | 0.146 |
| Children ever bom | 1.741 | 0.038 | 6730 | 7911 | 1.447 | 0.022 | 1.664 | 1.817 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 3.696 | 0.084 | 1255 | 1438 | 1.166 | 0.023 | 3.528 | 3.865 |
| Children Surviving | 1.637 | 0.035 | 6730 | 7911 | 1.438 | 0.021 | 1.567 | 1.708 |
| Know any method | 0.993 | 0.001 | 3680 | 4222 | 0.980 | 0.001 | 0.990 | 0.996 |
| Know any modern method | 0.990 | 0.002 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.074 | 0.002 | 0.987 | 0.994 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.741 | 0.008 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.118 | 0.011 | 0.725 | 0.757 |
| Currently using any method | 0.507 | 0.009 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.138 | 0.018 | 0.488 | 0.526 |
| Currently using a modem method | 0.313 | 0.008 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.030 | 0.025 | 0.297 | 0.329 |
| Currently using pill | 0.107 | 0.006 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.221 | 0.058 | 0.095 | 0.120 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.034 | 0.004 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.247 | 0.110 | 0.027 | 0.042 |
| Currently using injection | 0.023 | 0.003 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.320 | 0.142 | 0.016 | 0.030 |
| Currently using condom | 0.019 | 0.003 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.189 | 0.141 | 0.014 | 0.024 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.126 | 0.007 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.236 | 0.054 | 0.113 | 0.140 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.001 | 0.000 | 3680 | 4222 | 0.956 | 0.523 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.093 | 0.005 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.050 | 0.054 | 0.083 | 0.104 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.093 | 0.005 | 3680 | 4222 | 0.994 | 0.051 | 0.083 | 0.102 |
| Public source user | 0.622 | 0.017 | 1193 | 1363 | 1.246 | 0.028 | 0.587 | 0.657 |
| Want no more children | 0.600 | 0.010 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.198 | 0.016 | 0.581 | 0.620 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.186 | 0.007 | 3680 | 4222 | 1.129 | 0.039 | 0.172 | 0.201 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.052 | 0.018 | 6628 | 7801 | 1.094 | 0.006 | 3.016 | 3.087 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.685 | 0.012 | 3079 | 3465 | 1.152 | 0.017 | 0.662 | 0.709 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.786 | 0.012 | 3079 | 3465 | 1.233 | 0.015 | 0.762 | 0.809 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.065 | 0.005 | 2979 | 3360 | 1.068 | 0.079 | 0.054 | 0.075 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.447 | 0.039 | 201 | 218 | 1.044 | 0.087 | 0.369 | 0.525 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.478 | 0.037 | 201 | 218 | 1.017 | 0.078 | 0.404 | 0.553 |
| Having health card | 0.421 | 0.022 | 614 | 700 | 1.078 | 0.052 | 0.377 | 0.464 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.950 | 0.010 | 614 | 700 | 1.071 | 0.010 | 0.931 | 0.969 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.855 | 0.020 | 614 | 700 | 1.353 | 0.023 | 0.815 | 0.895 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.855 | 0.019 | 614 | 700 | 1.277 | 0.022 | 0.817 | 0.892 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.822 | 0.018 | 614 | 700 | 1.179 | 0.022 | 0.785 | 0.859 |
| Fully immunized | 0.761 | 0.022 | 614 | 700 | 1.277 | 0.030 | 0.716 | 0.806 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.012 | 0.096 | NA | 22194 | 1.250 | 0.032 | 2.819 | 3.204 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 17.613 | 2.074 | 6171 | 6907 | 1.080 | 0.118 | 13.465 | 21.761 |
| Infant mortality rate | 30.890 | 2.607 | 6174 | 6910 | 1.064 | 0.084 | 25.677 | 36.103 |
| Child mortality rate | 15.369 | 1.852 | 6196 | 6933 | 1.031 | 0.121 | 11.665 | 19.073 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 45.784 | 3.304 | 6199 | 6936 | 1.086 | 0.072 | 39.177 | 52.391 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 13.277 | 1.562 | 6174 | 6910 | 1.007 | 0.118 | 10.153 | 16.402 |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7253 | 6072 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| No education | 0.032 | 0.004 | 7253 | 6072 | 1.708 | 0.111 | 0.025 | 0.039 |
| With education | 0.596 | 0.011 | 7253 | 6072 | 1.833 | 0.018 | 0.575 | 0.617 |
| Never Married | 0.292 | 0.007 | 7253 | 6072 | 1.233 | 0.023 | 0.278 | 0.305 |
| Cuncently in union | 0.678 | 0.007 | 7253 | 6072 | 1.219 | 0.010 | 0.664 | 0.691 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.409 | 0.008 | 5796 | 4848 | 1.292 | 0.020 | 0.392 | 0.425 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.221 | 0.007 | 5796 | 4848 | 1.207 | 0.030 | 0.208 | 0.234 |
| Children ever born | 2.696 | 0.040 | 7253 | 6072 | 1.211 | 0.015 | 2.616 | 2.775 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 5.277 | 0.098 | 1438 | 1212 | 1.209 | 0.019 | 5.081 | 5.473 |
| Children Surviving | 2.476 | 0.036 | 7253 | 6072 | 1.201 | 0.014 | 2.405 | 2.547 |
| Know any method | 0.977 | 0.003 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.316 | 0.003 | 0.972 | 0.983 |
| Know any modern method | 0.968 | 0.003 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.272 | 0.003 | 0.962 | 0.975 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.645 | 0.009 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.384 | 0.015 | 0.626 | 0.664 |
| Currently using any method | 0.422 | 0.010 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.360 | 0.023 | 0.403 | 0.442 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.250 | 0.009 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.395 | 0.034 | 0.233 | 0.267 |
| Currently using pill | 0.091 | 0.005 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.221 | 0.055 | 0.081 | 0.101 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.040 | 0.004 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.268 | 0.089 | 0.033 | 0.047 |
| Currently using injection | 0.024 | 0.003 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.365 | 0.124 | 0.018 | 0.030 |
| Currently using condom | 0.013 | 0.002 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.189 | 0.146 | 0.009 | 0.017 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.079 | 0.005 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.272 | 0.062 | 0.069 | 0.089 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.002 | 0.001 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.255 | 0.411 | 0.000 | 0.003 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.080 | 0.004 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.122 | 0.054 | 0.071 | 0.088 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.084 | 0.005 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.214 | 0.057 | 0.075 | 0.094 |
| Public source user | 0.847 | 0.015 | 1246 | 1040 | 1.447 | 0.017 | 0.817 | 0.876 |
| Want no more children | 0.637 | 0.008 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.120 | 0.012 | 0.622 | 0.652 |
| Want to delay next bith at least 2 years | 0.188 | 0.006 | 4954 | 4114 | 1.054 | 0.031 | 0.176 | 0.200 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.399 | 0.023 | 7065 | 5935 | 1.239 | 0.007 | 3.352 | 3.446 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.684 | 0.011 | 5004 | 4101 | 1.330 | 0.016 | 0.662 | 0.705 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.377 | 0.014 | 5004 | 4101 | 1.595 | 0.037 | 0.349 | 0.405 |
| Had diarthea in last 2 weeks | 0.082 | 0.005 | 4772 | 3926 | 1.168 | 0.060 | 0.072 | 0.092 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.425 | 0.028 | 403 | 321 | 1.032 | 0.065 | 0.369 | 0.480 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.412 | 0.029 | 403 | 321 | 1.108 | 0.071 | 0.354 | 0.471 |
| Having health card | 0.408 | 0.021 | 940 | 775 | 1.323 | 0.053 | 0.365 | 0.451 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.869 | 0.013 | 940 | 775 | 1.159 | 0.015 | 0.843 | 0.895 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.767 | 0.015 | 940 | 775 | 1.101 | 0.020 | 0.736 | 0.797 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.784 | 0.016 | 940 | 775 | 1.153 | 0.020 | 0.752 | 0.815 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.759 | 0.017 | 940 | 775 | 1.241 | 0.023 | 0.724 | 0.794 |
| Fully immunized | 0.698 | 0.018 | 940 | 775 | 1.189 | 0.026 | 0.662 | 0.734 |
| Total fertility rate | 4.674 | 0.113 | NA | 16919 | 1.366 | 0.024 | 4.447 | 4.900 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 20.622 | 1.526 | 10173 | 8292 | 0.997 | 0.074 | 17.571 | 23.674 |
| Infant mortality rate | 40.183 | 2.169 | 10186 | 8301 | 1.049 | 0.054 | 35.845 | 44.520 |
| Child mortality rate | 23.251 | 1.961 | 10233 | 8341 | 1.139 | 0.084 | 19.329 | 27.172 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 62.499 | 3.190 | 10246 | 8351 | 1.188 | 0.051 | 56.119 | 68.880 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 19.560 | 1.467 | 10186 | 8301 | 1.044 | 0.075 | 16.626 | 22.494 |

[^17]| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard <br> error <br> (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative <br> error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1490 | 2818 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1490 | 2818 | 1.141 | 0.465 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| With education | 0.864 | 0.013 | 1490 | 2818 | 1.412 | 0.015 | 0.839 | 0.889 |
| Never Married | 0.484 | 0.022 | 1490 | 2818 | 1.710 | 0.046 | 0.440 | 0.528 |
| Currently in union | 0.460 | 0.023 | 1490 | 2818 | 1.767 | 0.050 | 0.415 | 0.506 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.217 | 0.020 | 1170 | 2213 | 1.676 | 0.093 | 0.177 | 0.258 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.113 | 0.013 | 1170 | 2213 | 1.378 | 0.113 | 0.087 | 0.138 |
| Children ever bom | 1.374 | 0.082 | 1490 | 2818 | 1.694 | 0.060 | 1.210 | 1.538 |
| Children ever borm to women over 40 | 2.955 | 0.166 | 242 | 458 | 1.093 | 0.056 | 2.623 | 3.286 |
| Children Surviving | 1.311 | 0.076 | 1490 | 2818 | 1.671 | 0.058 | 1.160 | 1.463 |
| Know any method | 0.997 | 0.002 | 686 | 1298 | 0.988 | 0.002 | 0.993 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 0.996 | 0.003 | 686 | 1298 | 1.014 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.714 | 0.017 | 686 | 1298 | 0.962 | 0.023 | 0.681 | 0.747 |
| Currently using any method | 0.494 | 0.021 | 686 | 1298 | 1.123 | 0.043 | 0.451 | 0.537 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.287 | 0.016 | 686 | 1298 | 0.912 | 0.055 | 0.256 | 0.319 |
| Currently using pill | 0.106 | 0.014 | 686 | 1298 | 1.175 | 0.130 | 0.079 | 0.134 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.017 | 0.005 | 686 | 1298 | 1.087 | 0.311 | 0.007 | 0.028 |
| Currently using injection | 0.006 | 0.003 | 686 | 1298 | 1.036 | 0.517 | 0.000 | 0.012 |
| Curently using condom | 0.031 | 0.007 | 686 | 1298 | 1.037 | 0.223 | 0.017 | 0.044 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.124 | 0.012 | 686 | 1298 | 0.925 | 0.094 | 0.101 | 0.147 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.000 | 0.000 | 686 | 1298 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.101 | 0.010 | 686 | 1298 | 0.876 | 0.100 | 0.080 | 0.121 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.096 | 0.009 | 686 | 1298 | 0.830 | 0.097 | 0.078 | 0.115 |
| Public source user | 0.463 | 0.036 | 205 | 388 | 1.027 | 0.077 | 0.392 | 0.535 |
| Want no more children | 0.545 | 0.020 | 686 | 1298 | 1.044 | 0.036 | 0.505 | 0.585 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.184 | 0.014 | 686 | 1298 | 0.955 | 0.077 | 0.155 | 0.212 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.918 | 0.027 | 1467 | 2775 | 0.928 | 0.009 | 2.863 | 2.972 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.641 | 0.025 | 554 | 1048 | 1.058 | 0.039 | 0.590 | 0.691 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.915 | 0.015 | 554 | 1048 | 1.082 | 0.017 | 0.885 | 0.945 |
| Had diarthea in last 2 weeks | 0.056 | 0.011 | 539 | 1020 | 1.109 | 0.196 | 0.034 | 0.077 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.500 | 0.078 | 30 | 57 | 0.856 | 0.156 | 0.344 | 0.656 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.600 | 0.092 | 30 | 57 | 1.032 | 0.154 | 0.415 | 0.785 |
| Having health card | 0.370 | 0.044 | 119 | 225 | 0.983 | 0.118 | 0.283 | 0.457 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.950 | 0.023 | 119 | 225 | 1.137 | 0.024 | 0.904 | 0.995 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.849 | 0.048 | 119 | 225 | 1.379 | 0.056 | 0.754 | 0.944 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.840 | 0.045 | 119 | 225 | 1.276 | 0.053 | 0.751 | 0.930 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.815 | 0.039 | 119 | 225 | 1.101 | 0.048 | 0.737 | 0.893 |
| Fully immunized | 0.731 | 0.052 | 119 | 225 | 1.267 | 0.072 | 0.626 | 0.836 |
| Total fertility rate | 2.486 | 0.188 | NA | 7971 | 1.201 | 0.076 | 2.109 | 2.863 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 15.066 | 4.212 | 1073 | 2030 | 1.083 | 0.280 | 6.642 | 23.490 |
| Infant mortality rate | 23.653 | 5.627 | 1073 | 2030 | 1.198 | 0.238 | 12.400 | 34.907 |
| Child motality rate | 15.307 | 4.025 | 1077 | 2037 | 1.018 | 0.263 | 7.258 | 23.356 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 38.598 | 7.402 | 1077 | 2037 | 1.208 | 0.192 | 23.794 | 53.402 |
| Postnconatal mortality rate | 8.587 | 3.136 | 1073 | 2030 | 1.113 | 0.365 | 2.316 | 14.859 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative ertor (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.343 | 0.026 | 589 | 207 | 1.345 | 0.077 | 0.290 | 0.396 |
| No education | 0.036 | 0.009 | 589 | 207 | 1.160 | 0.249 | 0.018 | 0.053 |
| With education | 0.683 | 0.024 | 589 | 207 | 1.227 | 0.035 | 0.635 | 0.730 |
| Never Married | 0.307 | 0.015 | 589 | 207 | 0.765 | 0.047 | 0.278 | 0.336 |
| Currently in union | 0.655 | 0.017 | 589 | 207 | 0.878 | 0.026 | 0.621 | 0.690 |
| Manied before age of 20 | 0.417 | 0.025 | 458 | 161 | 1.070 | 0.059 | 0.368 | 0.466 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.251 | 0.023 | 458 | 161 | 1.130 | 0.091 | 0.205 | 0.297 |
| Children ever born | 2.849 | 0.126 | 589 | 207 | 1.044 | 0.044 | 2.596 | 3.102 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.712 | 0.314 | 104 | 37 | 0.989 | 0.055 | 5.083 | 6.340 |
| Children Surviving | 2.593 | 0.115 | 589 | 207 | 1.076 | 0.044 | 2.363 | 2.822 |
| Know any method | 0.992 | 0.006 | 386 | 136 | 1.304 | 0.006 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 0.990 | 0.006 | 386 | 136 | 1.227 | 0.006 | 0.977 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.632 | 0.045 | 386 | 136 | 1.832 | 0.071 | 0.542 | 0.722 |
| Currently using any method | 0.420 | 0.045 | 386 | 136 | 1.803 | 0.108 | 0.329 | 0.510 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.306 | 0.033 | 386 | 136 | 1.418 | 0.109 | 0.239 | 0.372 |
| Currently using pill | 0.070 | 0.015 | 386 | 136 | 1.169 | 0.217 | 0.040 | 0.100 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.023 | 0.006 | 386 | 136 | 0.785 | 0.259 | 0.011 | 0.035 |
| Currently using injection | 0.039 | 0.012 | 386 | 136 | 1.189 | 0.301 | 0.015 | 0.062 |
| Currently using condom | 0.023 | 0.007 | 386 | 136 | 0.914 | 0.302 | 0.009 | 0.037 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.148 | 0.030 | 386 | 136 | 1.658 | 0.203 | 0.088 | 0.208 |
| Currently using male steritization | 0.003 | 0.003 | 386 | 136 | 1.007 | 1.007 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.044 | 0.013 | 386 | 136 | 1.265 | 0.300 | 0.018 | 0.070 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.067 | 0.013 | 386 | 136 | 1.022 | 0.194 | 0.041 | 0.093 |
| Public source user | 0.818 | 0.037 | 121 | 42 | 1.044 | 0.045 | 0.745 | 0.892 |
| Want no more children | 0.614 | 0.026 | 386 | 136 | 1.050 | 0.042 | 0.562 | 0.666 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.210 | 0.021 | 386 | 136 | 1.001 | 0.099 | 0.168 | 0.251 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.959 | 0.122 | 581 | 204 | 1.309 | 0.031 | 3.714 | 4.203 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.663 | 0.031 | 424 | 149 | 1.155 | 0.046 | 0.601 | 0.724 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.481 | 0.030 | 424 | 149 | 0.995 | 0.062 | 0.422 | 0.541 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.120 | 0.023 | 407 | 143 | 1.350 | 0.187 | 0.075 | 0.165 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.449 | 0.050 | 49 | 17 | 0.709 | 0.112 | 0.348 | 0.550 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.265 | 0.060 | 49 | 17 | 0.957 | 0.228 | 0.144 | 0.386 |
| Having health card | 0.361 | 0.067 | 83 | 29 | 1.275 | 0.186 | 0.227 | 0.496 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.904 | 0.027 | 83 | 29 | 0.843 | 0.030 | 0.849 | 0.958 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.807 | 0.058 | 83 | 29 | 1.346 | 0.072 | 0.691 | 0.924 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.819 | 0.053 | 83 | 29 | 1.263 | 0.065 | 0.712 | 0.926 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.807 | 0.049 | 83 | 29 | 1.139 | 0.061 | 0.709 | 0.906 |
| Fully immunized | 0.759 | 0.061 | 83 | 29 | 1.306 | 0.081 | 0.636 | 0.882 |
| Total fertility rate | 4.795 | 0.345 | NA | 575 | 1.140 | 0.072 | 4.106 | 5.484 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 18.692 | 6.173 | 859 | 302 | 1.026 | 0.330 | 6.346 | 31.037 |
| Infant mortality rate | 42.682 | 8.308 | 859 | 302 | 1.077 | 0.195 | 26.066 | 59.299 |
| Child mortality rate | 10.148 | 3.471 | 863 | 303 | 1.021 | 0.342 | 3.207 | 17.090 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 52.398 | 10.319 | 863 | 303 | 1.215 | 0.197 | 31.759 | 73.036 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 23.991 | 6.498 | 859 | 302 | 1.228 | 0.271 | 10.996 | 36.986 |


| Variables | Value (R) | Standard entor (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.358 | 0.021 | 709 | 689 | 1.139 | 0.057 | 0.317 | 0.399 |
| No education | 0.003 | 0.002 | 709 | 689 | 1.003 | 0.709 | 0.000 | 0.007 |
| With education | 0.770 | 0.025 | 709 | 689 | 1.599 | 0.033 | 0.720 | 0.821 |
| Never Married | 0.362 | 0.022 | 709 | 689 | 1.224 | 0.061 | 0.318 | 0.407 |
| Currently in union | 0.601 | 0.024 | 709 | 689 | 1.293 | 0.040 | 0.553 | 0.648 |
| Maried before age of 20 | 0.32 I | 0.017 | 558 | 542 | 0.851 | 0.052 | 0.287 | 0.354 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.129 | 0.014 | 558 | 542 | 0.991 | 0.109 | 0.101 | 0.157 |
| Children ever bom | 2.216 | 0.127 | 709 | 689 | 1.305 | 0.057 | 1.961 | 2.470 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.993 | 0.278 | 143 | 139 | 1.134 | 0.056 | 4.438 | 5.548 |
| Children Surviving | 2.056 | 0.116 | 709 | 689 | 1.311 | 0.057 | 1.824 | 2.289 |
| Know any method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 426 | 414 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 426 | 414 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.615 | 0.026 | 426 | 414 | 1.100 | 0.042 | 0.563 | 0.667 |
| Currently using any method | 0.432 | 0.024 | 426 | 414 | 0.985 | 0.055 | 0.385 | 0.479 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.286 | 0.026 | 426 | 414 | 1.179 | 0.090 | 0.235 | 0.338 |
| Currently using pill | 0.101 | 0.012 | 426 | 414 | 0.812 | 0.118 | 0.077 | 0.125 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.014 | 0.007 | 426 | 414 | 1.175 | 0.477 | 0.001 | 0.028 |
| Currently using injection | 0.026 | 0.008 | 426 | 414 | 1.079 | 0.321 | 0.009 | 0.042 |
| Currently using condom | 0.009 | 0.005 | 426 | 414 | 0.999 | 0.498 | 0.000 | 0.019 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.134 | 0.020 | 426 | 414 | 1.195 | 0.147 | 0.094 | 0.173 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.002 | 0.002 | 426 | 414 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 0.000 | 0.007 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.033 | 0.007 | 426 | 414 | 0.818 | 0.215 | 0.019 | 0.047 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.110 | 0.011 | 426 | 414 | 0.737 | 0.102 | 0.088 | 0.133 |
| Public source user | 0.824 | 0.043 | 125 | 121 | 1.245 | 0.052 | 0.739 | 0.909 |
| Want no more children | 0.575 | 0.021 | 426 | 414 | 0.886 | 0.037 | 0.533 | 0.618 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.195 | 0.022 | 426 | 414 | 1.125 | 0.111 | 0.152 | 0.238 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.230 | 0.070 | 700 | 680 | 1.509 | 0.022 | 3.090 | 3.370 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.684 | 0.039 | 354 | 344 | 1.273 | 0.057 | 0.605 | 0.762 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.664 | 0.045 | 354 | 344 | 1.392 | 0.068 | 0.574 | 0.754 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.067 | 0.024 | 342 | 332 | 1.570 | 0.362 | 0.019 | 0.116 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.391 | 0.107 | 23 | 22 | 0.871 | 0.274 | 0.177 | 0.606 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.478 | 0.128 | 23 | 22 | 1.053 | 0.268 | 0.222 | 0.735 |
| Having health card | 0.282 | 0.037 | 71 | 69 | 0.695 | 0.132 | 0.207 | 0.356 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.859 | 0.037 | 71 | 69 | 0.886 | 0.043 | 0.786 | 0.933 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.690 | 0.037 | 71 | 69 | 0.686 | 0.054 | 0.616 | 0.764 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.718 | 0.043 | 71 | 69 | 0.814 | 0.060 | 0.633 | 0.804 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.704 | 0.063 | 71 | 69 | 1.176 | 0.089 | 0.579 | 0.830 |
| Fully immunized | 0.606 | 0.050 | 71 | 69 | 0.879 | 0.083 | 0.505 | 0.706 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.432 | 0.285 | NA | 1921 | 1.169 | 0.083 | 2.862 | 4.002 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 27.682 | 6.072 | 728 | 707 | 0.937 | 0.219 | 15.538 | 39.825 |
| Infant mortality rate | 41.538 | 7.690 | 728 | 707 | 0.959 | 0.185 | 26.159 | 56.918 |
| Child mortality rate | 10.176 | 4.973 | 732 | 711 | 1.050 | 0.489 | 0.229 | 20.122 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 51.291 | 9.698 | 732 | 711 | 0.985 | 0.189 | 31.895 | 70.687 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 13.856 | 4.597 | 728 | 707 | 1.071 | 0.332 | 4.662 | 23.051 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard enror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative етто (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.244 | 0.027 | 717 | 474 | 1.686 | 0.111 | 0.190 | 0.298 |
| No education | 0.020 | 0.010 | 717 | 474 | 1.881 | 0.498 | 0.000 | 0.039 |
| With education | 0.647 | 0.035 | 717 | 474 | 1.958 | 0.054 | 0.577 | 0.717 |
| Never Married | 0.279 | 0.016 | 717 | 474 | 0.929 | 0.056 | 0.248 | 0.310 |
| Currently in union | 0.679 | 0.020 | 717 | 474 | 1.120 | 0.029 | 0.640 | 0.718 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.454 | 0.031 | 557 | 368 | 1.458 | 0.068 | 0.393 | 0.516 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.223 | 0.026 | 557 | 368 | 1.458 | 0.116 | 0.171 | 0.274 |
| Children ever bom | 2.275 | 0.099 | 717 | 474 | 1.138 | 0.043 | 2.078 | 2.472 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 4.303 | 0.228 | 142 | 94 | 1.075 | 0.053 | 3.847 | 4.758 |
| Children Surviving | 2.126 | 0.088 | 717 | 474 | 1.110 | 0.041 | 1.950 | 2.301 |
| Know any method | 0.990 | 0.004 | 487 | 322 | 0.983 | 0.005 | 0.981 | 0.999 |
| Know any modern method | 0.984 | 0.007 | 487 | 322 | 1.214 | 0.007 | 0.970 | 0.998 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.674 | 0.030 | 487 | 322 | 1.394 | 0.044 | 0.614 | 0.733 |
| Currently using any method | 0.483 | 0.028 | 487 | 322 | 1.219 | 0.057 | 0.427 | 0.538 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.388 | 0.031 | 487 | 322 | 1.418 | 0.081 | 0.325 | 0.451 |
| Currently using pill | 0.164 | 0.017 | 487 | 322 | 1.017 | 0.104 | 0.130 | 0.198 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.051 | 0.012 | 487 | 322 | 1.173 | 0.229 | 0.028 | 0.075 |
| Currently using injection | 0.045 | 0.015 | 487 | 322 | 1.550 | 0.323 | 0.016 | 0.074 |
| Currently using condom | 0.000 | 0.000 | 487 | 322 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.123 | 0.015 | 487 | 322 | 1.020 | 0.123 | 0.093 | 0.154 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.002 | 0.002 | 487 | 322 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.031 | 0.008 | 487 | 322 | 1.071 | 0.273 | 0.014 | 0.048 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.060 | 0.011 | 487 | 322 | 0.978 | 0.176 | 0.039 | 0.081 |
| Public source user | 0.849 | 0.028 | 192 | 127 | 1.073 | 0.033 | 0.793 | 0.905 |
| Want no more children | 0.606 | 0.024 | 487 | 322 | 1.104 | 0.040 | 0.557 | 0.655 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.230 | 0.019 | 487 | 322 | 1.017 | 0.084 | 0.191 | 0.269 |
| Ideal number of childsen | 3.374 | 0.063 | 713 | 471 | 1.273 | 0.019 | 3.248 | 3.501 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.678 | 0.035 | 366 | 242 | 1.205 | 0.052 | 0.607 | 0.748 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.421 | 0.059 | 366 | 242 | 1.854 | 0.140 | 0.303 | 0.538 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.091 | 0.019 | 351 | 232 | 1.216 | 0.209 | 0.053 | 0.129 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.375 | 0.119 | 32 | 21 | 1.327 | 0.318 | 0.136 | 0.614 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.313 | 0.094 | 32 | 21 | 1.070 | 0.299 | 0.125 | 0.500 |
| Having heaith card | 0.290 | 0.053 | 69 | 46 | 0.973 | 0.184 | 0.183 | 0.397 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.870 | 0.064 | 69 | 46 | 1.568 | 0.073 | 0.742 | 0.997 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.826 | 0.065 | 69 | 46 | 1.423 | 0.079 | 0.696 | 0.956 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.826 | 0.065 | 69 | 46 | 1.423 | 0.079 | 0.696 | 0.956 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.841 | 0.067 | 69 | 46 | 1.521 | 0.080 | 0.706 | 0.975 |
| Fully immunized | 0.826 | 0.065 | 69 | 46 | 1.423 | 0.079 | 0.696 | 0.956 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.557 | 0.276 |  | 1307 | 1.225 | 0.077 | 3.005 | 4.108 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 15.434 | 5.510 | 781 | 516 | 1.167 | 0.357 | 4.414 | 26.454 |
| infant mortality rate | 37.106 | 6.965 | 783 | 518 | 1.028 | 0.188 | 23.176 | 51.037 |
| Child mortality rate | 16.115 | 4.960 | 785 | 519 | 1.118 | 0.308 | 6.195 | 26.035 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 52.623 | 8.816 | 787 | 520 | 1.142 | 0.168 | 34.992 | 70.255 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 21.672 | 4.568 | 783 | 518 | 0.885 | 0.211 | 12.536 | 30.808 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard егтог (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban | 0.572 | 0.018 | 953 | 1414 | 1.096 | 0.031 | 0.537 | 0.607 |
| No education | 0.002 | 0.001 | 953 | 1414 | 0.984 | 0.696 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| With education | 0.752 | 0.024 | 953 | 1414 | 1.743 | 0.032 | 0.704 | 0.801 |
| Never Married | 0.343 | 0.018 | 953 | 1414 | 1.150 | 0.052 | 0.308 | 0.379 |
| Curently in union | 0.624 | 0.017 | 953 | 1414 | 1.087 | 0.027 | 0.590 | 0.658 |
| Manied before age of 20 | 0.315 | 0.015 | 758 | 1125 | 0.904 | 0.048 | 0.285 | 0.346 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.146 | 0.013 | 758 | 1125 | 0.984 | 0.086 | 0.121 | 0.172 |
| Children ever born | 2.010 | 0.065 | 953 | 1414 | 0.921 | 0.033 | 1.880 | 2.141 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.148 | 0.181 | 182 | 270 | 0.999 | 0.044 | 3.786 | 4.511 |
| Children Surviving | 1.903 | 0.058 | 953 | 1414 | 0.872 | 0.030 | 1.787 | 2.020 |
| Know any method | 0.993 | 0.002 | 595 | 883 | 0.707 | 0.002 | 0.989 | 0.998 |
| Know any modern method | 0.993 | 0.002 | 595 | 883 | 0.707 | 0.002 | 0.989 | 0.998 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.756 | 0.021 | 595 | 883 | 1.198 | 0.028 | 0.714 | 0.799 |
| Currently using any method | 0.548 | 0.026 | 595 | 883 | 1.286 | 0.048 | 0.495 | 0.600 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.351 | 0.023 | 595 | 883 | 1.193 | 0.066 | 0.305 | 0.398 |
| Currently using pill | 0.121 | 0.018 | 595 | 883 | 1.335 | 0.148 | 0.085 | 0.157 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.007 | . 0.003 | 595 | 883 | 1.034 | 0.515 | 0.000 | 0.014 |
| Currently using injection | 0.020 | 0.007 | 595 | 883 | 1.127 | 0.322 | 0.007 | 0.033 |
| Currently using condom | 0.010 | 0.004 | 595 | 883 | 0.978 | 0.398 | 0.002 | 0.018 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.193 | 0.019 | 595 | 883 | 1.190 | 0.100 | 0.155 | 0.232 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.000 | 0.000 | 595 | 883 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.064 | 0.009 | 595 | 883 | 0.939 | 0.148 | 0.045 | 0.083 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.131 | 0.014 | 595 | 883 | 1.001 | 0.106 | 0.103 | 0.159 |
| Public source user | 0.762 | 0.039 | 214 | 318 | 1.342 | 0.051 | 0.683 | 0.840 |
| Want no more children | 0.634 | 0.017 | 595 | 883 | 0.852 | 0.027 | 0.600 | 0.667 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.198 | 0.014 | 595 | 883 | 0.861 | 0.071 | 0.170 | 0.226 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.272 | 0.042 | 948 | 1407 | 1.053 | 0.013 | 3.189 | 3.355 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.685 | 0.025 | 479 | 711 | 1.081 | 0.037 | 0.634 | 0.736 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.843 | 0.026 | 479 | 711 | 1.216 | 0.031 | 0.791 | 0.896 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.058 | 0.009 | 462 | 686 | 0.848 | 0.162 | 0.039 | 0.077 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.407 | 0.122 | 27 | 40 | 1.262 | 0.300 | 0.163 | 0.652 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.370 | 0.081 | 27 | 40 | 0.907 | 0.219 | 0.208 | 0.533 |
| Having health card | 0.351 | 0.052 | 94 | 139 | 1.049 | 0.148 | 0.247 | 0.455 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.979 | 0.014 | . 94 | 139 | 0.973 | 0.015 | 0.950 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.819 | 0.048 | 94 | 139 | 1.212 | 0.059 | 0.723 | 0.916 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.862 | 0.040 | 94 | 139 | 1.125 | 0.047 | 0.781 | 0.942 |
| Received nueasles vaccination | 0.840 | 0.045 | 94 | 139 | 1.177 | 0.053 | 0.751 | 0.930 |
| Fully immunized | 0.755 | 0.051 | 94 | 139 | 1.156 | 0.068 | 0.652 | 0.858 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.516 | 0.176 | NA | 3975 | 0.981 | 0.050 | 3.163 | 3.868 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 20.148 | 5.464 | 953 | 1414 | 1.106 | 0.271 | 9.220 | 31.077 |
| Infant mortality rate | 28.678 | 6.393 | 953 | 1414 | 1.132 | 0.223 | 15.892 | 41.465 |
| Child mortality rate | 11.053 | 3.576 | 958 | 1422 | 1.030 | 0.324 | 3.901 | 18.206 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 39.415 | 7.932 | 958 | 1422 | 1.214 | 0.201 | 23.551 | 55.278 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 8.530 | 2.843 | 953 | 1414 | 0.956 | 0.333 | 2.844 | 14.215 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard <br> error <br> (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.591 | 0.018 | 1181 | 1917 | 1.249 | 0.030 | 0.555 | 0.627 |
| No education | 0.005 | 0.002 | 1181 | 1917 | 1.034 | 0.421 | 0.001 | 0.009 |
| With education | 0.742 | 0.017 | 1181 | 1917 | 1.332 | 0.023 | 0.708 | 0.776 |
| Never Married | 0.321 | 0.017 | 1181 | 1917 | 1.225 | 0.052 | 0.288 | 0.354 |
| Currently in union | 0.636 | 0.017 | 1181 | 1917 | 1.225 | 0.027 | 0.602 | 0.670 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.314 | 0.024 | 959 | 1557 | 1.584 | 0.076 | 0.266 | 0.361 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.178 | 0.018 | 959 | 1557 | 1.484 | 0.103 | 0.142 | 0.215 |
| Children ever bom | 2.231 | 0.085 | 1181 | 1917 | 1.232 | 0.038 | 2.061 | 2.401 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 4.085 | 0.210 | 234 | 380 | 1.219 | 0.051 | 3.665 | 4.506 |
| Children Surviving | 2.085 | 0.077 | 1181 | 1917 | 1.220 | 0.037 | 1.930 | 2.239 |
| Know any method | 0.997 | 0.002 | 751 | 1219 | 1.007 | 0.002 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 0.996 | 0.001 | 751. | 1219 | 0.595 | 0.001 | 0.993 | 0.999 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.719 | 0.019 | $751{ }^{\prime}$ | 1219 | 1.144 | 0.026 | 0.681 | 0.757 |
| Currently using any method | 0.450 | 0.016 | 751 | 1219 | 0.883 | 0.036 | 0.418 | 0.482 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.266 | 0.017 | 751 | 1219 | 1.054 | 0.064 | 0.232 | 0.300 |
| Currently using pill | 0.079 | 0.010 | 751 | 1219 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.059 | 0.098 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.029 | 0.008 | 751 | 1219 | 1.292 | 0.272 | 0.013 | 0.045 |
| Currently using injection | 0.025 | 0.009 | 751 | 1219 | 1.520 | 0.345 | 0.008 | 0.043 |
| Currently using condom | 0.013 | 0.004 | 751 | 1219 | 1.006 | 0.316 | 0.005 | 0.022 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.119 | 0.014 | 751 | 1219 | 1.221 | 0.122 | 0.090 | 0.147 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.000 | 0.000 | 751 | 1219 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.056 | 0.008 | 751 | 1219 | 1.009 | 0.151 | 0.039 | 0.073 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.124 | 0.012 | 751 | 1219 | 0.983 | 0.095 | 0.100 | 0.147 |
| Public source user | 0.644 | 0.041 | 202 | 328 | 1.202 | 0.063 | 0.562 | 0.725 |
| Want no more children | 0.674 | 0.020 | 751 | 1219 | 1.196 | 0.030 | 0.633 | 0.715 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.164 | 0.016 | 751 | 1219 | 1.148 | 0.095 | 0.133 | 0.195 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.140 | 0.037 | 1168 | 1896 | 1.162 | 0.012 | 3.067 | 3.214 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.669 | 0.028 | 664 | 1078 | 1.277 | 0.042 | 0.613 | 0.724 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.598 | 0.032 | 664 | 1078 | 1.310 | 0.054 | 0.533 | 0.663 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.070 | 0.011 | 643 | 1044 | 1.110 | 0.163 | 0.047 | 0.093 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.422 | 0.074 | 45 | 73 | 0.964 | 0.176 | 0.274 | 0.571 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.378 | 0.084 | 45 | 73 | 1.106 | 0.222 | 0.210 | 0.546 |
| Having health card | 0.358 | 0.054 | 137 | 222 | 1.324 | 0.152 | 0.249 | 0.467 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.898 | 0.025 | 137 | 222 | 0.951 | 0.027 | 0.849 | 0.947 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.810 | 0.033 | 137 | 222 | 0.990 | 0.041 | 0.744 | 0.877 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.825 | 0.035 | 137 | 222 | 1.080 | 0.043 | 0.755 | 0.895 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.730 | 0.042 | 137 | 222 | 1.098 | 0.057 | 0.646 | 0.814 |
| Fully immunized | 0.708 | 0.042 | 137 | 222 | 1.073 | 0.059 | 0.624 | 0.792 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.689 | 0.259 | NA | 5399 | 1.403 | 0.070 | 3.170 | 4.208 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 21.142 | 3.211 | 1359 | 2206 | 0.816 | 0.152 | 14.721 | 27.564 |
| Infant mortality rate | 35.349 | 3.941 | 1359 | 2206 | 0.815 | 0.111 | 27.468 | 43.230 |
| Child mortality rate | $18.536{ }^{\text {' }}$ | 4.214 | 1368 | 2221 | 0.957 | 0.227 | 10.108 | 26.964 |
| Under 5 chitd mortality rate | 53.229 | 5.529 | 1368 | 2221 | 0.847 | 0.104 | 42.172 | 64.287 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 14.206 | 2.545 | 1359 | 2206 | 0.802 | 0.179 | 9.117 | 19.296 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.301 | 0.020 | 745 | 703 | 1.187 | 0.066 | 0.261 | 0.341 |
| No education | 0.005 | 0.002 | 745 | 703 | 0.699 | 0.349 | 0.002 | 0.009 |
| With education | 0.626 | 0.029 | 745 | 703 | 1.658 | 0.047 | 0.567 | 0.684 |
| Never Matried | 0.289 | 0.017 | 745 | 703 | 1.035 | 0.060 | 0.254 | 0.323 |
| Currently in union | 0.683 | 0.016 | 745 | 703 | 0.930 | 0.023 | 0.651 | 0.715 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.347 | 0.026 | 602 | 568 | 1.357 | 0.076 | 0.294 | 0.400 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.181 | 0.024 | 602 | 568 | 1.543 | 0.134 | 0.133 | 0.230 |
| Children ever born | 2.797 | 0.100 | 745 | 703 | 0.959 | 0.036 | 2.597 | 2.998 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.387 | 0.283 | 155 | 146 | 1.127 | 0.052 | 4.822 | 5.952 |
| Children Surviving | 2.596 | 0.089 | 745 | 703 | 0.927 | 0.034 | 2.419 | 2.773 |
| Know any method | 0.996 | 0.003 | 509 | 481 | 0.987 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 0.994 | 0.003 | 509 | 481 | 0.987 | 0.003 | 0.987 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.631 | 0.021 | 509 | 481 | 0.958 | 0.033 | 0.590 | 0.672 |
| Currently using any method | 0.363 | 0.020 | 509 | 481 | 0.922 | 0.054 | 0.324 | 0.403 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.193 | 0.016 | 509 | 481 | 0.913 | 0.083 | 0.161 | 0.224 |
| Currently using pill | 0.081 | 0.014 | 509 | 481 | 1.138 | 0.171 | 0.053 | 0.108 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.028 | 0.012 | 509 | 481 | 1.632 | 0.431 | 0.004 | 0.051 |
| Currently using injection | 0.010 | 0.004 | 509 | 481 | 1.005 | 0.448 | 0.001 | 0.019 |
| Currently using condom | 0.012 | 0.005 | 509 | 481 | 1.010 | 0.410 | 0.002 | 0.021 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.055 | 0.013 | 509 | 481 | 1.252 | 0.230 | 0.030 | 0.080 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.002 | 0.002 | 509 | 481 | 0.983 | 0.983 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.063 | 0.013 | 509 | 481 | 1.233 | 0.211 | 0.036 | 0.089 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.090 | 0.012 | 509 | 481 | 0.915 | 0.129 | 0.067 | 0.114 |
| Public source user | 0.770 | 0.047 | 100 | 94 | 1.107 | 0.061 | 0.676 | 0.864 |
| Want no more children | 0.646 | 0.021 | 509 | 481 | 1.012 | 0.033 | 0.603 | 0.689 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.177 | 0.014 | 509 | 481 | 0.846 | 0.081 | 0.148 | 0.205 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.171 | 0.048 | 726 | 686 | 1.004 | 0.015 | 3.076 | 3.266 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.681 | 0.021 | 561 | 530 | 0.901 | 0.031 | 0.639 | 0.723 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.442 | 0.046 | 561 | 530 | 1.734 | 0.104 | 0.350 | 0.534 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.077 | 0.014 | 535 | 505 | 1.189 | 0.188 | 0.048 | 0.105 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.439 | 0.069 | 41 | 39 | 0.862 | 0.156 | 0.302 | 0.576 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.463 | 0.063 | 41 | 39 | 0.790 | 0.136 | 0.337 | 0.590 |
| Having health card | 0.484 | 0.052 | 95 | 90 | 1.017 | 0.108 | 0.380 | 0.589 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.874 | 0.040 | 95 | 90 | 1.160 | 0.045 | 0.795 | 0.953 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.747 | 0.032 | 95 | 90 | 0.713 | 0.043 | 0.684 | 0.811 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.747 | 0.029 | 95 | 90 | 0.653 | 0.039 | 0.689 | 0.806 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.747 | 0.049 | 95 | 90 | 1.098 | 0.066 | 0.649 | 0.845 |
| Fully immunized | 0.684 | 0.045 | 95 | 90 | 0.935 | 0.065 | 0.595 | 0.773 |
| Total fertility rate | 5.450 | 0.281 | NA | 1987 | 1.174 | 0.051 | 4.889 | 6.011 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 14.686 | 4.233 | 1096 | 1035 | 0.999 | 0.288 | 6.220 | 23.152 |
| Infant mortality rate | 31.401 | 5.261 | 1099 | 1038 | 0.944 | 0.168 | 20.879 | 41.922 |
| Child mortality rate | 21.813 | 5.624 | 1097 | 1036 | 1.148 | 0.258 | 10.566 | 33.060 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 52.529 | 9.257 | 1100 | 1039 | 1.216 | 0.176 | 34.014 | 71.043 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 16.715 | 4.424 | 1099 | 1038 | 1.103 | 0.265 | 7.867 | 25.563 |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban | 0.476 | 0.020 | 882 | 1045 | 1.165 | 0.041 | 0.437 | 0.515 |
| No education | 0.007 | 0.003 | 882 | 1045 | 0.996 | 0.405 | 0.001 | 0.012 |
| With education | 0.703 | 0.021 | 882 | 1045 | 1.360 | 0.030 | 0.661 | 0.745 |
| Never Married | 0.361 | 0.013 | 882 | 1045 | 0.830 | 0.037 | 0.334 | 0.387 |
| Currently in union | 0.600 | 0.015 | 882 | 1045 | 0.894 | 0.025 | 0.570 | 0.629 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.267 | 0.018 | 685 | 812 | 1.049 | 0.066 | 0.232 | 0.303 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.117 | 0.010 | 685 | 812 | 0.801 | 0.084 | 0.097 | 0.136 |
| Children ever born | 2.215 | 0.096 | 882 | 1045 | 1.106 | 0.043 | 2.024 | 2.407 |
| Children ever borm to women over 40 | 4.478 | 0.238 | 178 | 211 | 1.050 | 0.053 | 4.002 | 4.953 |
| Children Surviving | 2.070 | 0.085 | 882 | 1045 | 1.062 | 0.041 | 1.900 | 2.241 |
| Know any method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 529 | 627 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 529 | 627 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.724 | 0.023 | 529 | 627 | 1.204 | 0.032 | 0.677 | 0.771 |
| Currently using any method | 0.450 | 0.024 | 529 | 627 | 1.124 | 0.054 | 0.401 | 0.499 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.255 | 0.020 | 529 | 627 | 1.037 | 0.077 | 0.216 | 0.295 |
| Currently using pill | 0.085 | 0.012 | 529 | 627 | 1.001 | 0.143 | 0.061 | 0.109 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.026 | 0.006 | 529 | 627 | 0.895 | 0.236 | 0.014 | 0.039 |
| Currentiy using injection | 0.030 | 0.009 | 529 | 627 | 1.142 | 0.281 | 0.013 | 0.047 |
| Currently using condom | 0.015 | 0.007 | 529 | 627 | 1.325 | 0.465 | 0.001 | 0.029 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.087 | 0.014 | 529 | 627 | 1.130 | 0.159 | 0.059 | 0.115 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.006 | 0.004 | 529 | 627 | 1.287 | 0.742 | 0.000 | 0.014 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.117 | 0.017 | 529 | 627 | 1.233 | 0.147 | 0.083 | 0.152 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.070 | 0.011 | 529 | 627 | 0.998 | 0.158 | 0.048 | 0.092 |
| Public source user | 0.788 | 0.030 | 137 | 162 | 0.853 | 0.038 | 0.729 | 0.848 |
| Want no more chijdren | 0.669 | 0.027 | 529 | 627 | 1.304 | 0.040 | 0.616 | 0.723 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.157 | 0.018 | 529 | 627 | 1.165 | 0.117 | 0.120 | 0.194 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.055 | 0.052 | 858 | 1017 | 1.306 | 0.017 | 2.950 | 3.159 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.781 | 0.029 | 494 | 585 | 1.340 | 0.037 | 0.723 | 0.840 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.482 | 0.032 | 494 | 585 | 1.139 | 0.067 | 0.417 | 0.546 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.091 | 0.012 | 482 | 571 | 0.865 | 0.126 | 0.068 | 0.114 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.318 | 0.069 | 44 | 52 | 0.922 | 0.215 | 0.181 | 0.455 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.364 | 0.069 | 44 | 52 | 0.906 | 0.190 | 0.225 | 0.502 |
| Having health card | 0.622 | 0.065 | 90 | 107 | 1.259 | 0.104 | 0.493 | 0.752 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.967 | 0.018 | 90 | 107 | 0.966 | 0.019 | 0.930 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.867 | 0.037 | 90 | 107 | 1.025 | 0.042 | 0.793 | 0.940 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.878 | 0.032 | 90 | 107 | 0.932 | 0.037 | 0.813 | 0.942 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.933 | 0.027 | 90 | 107 | 1.038 | 0.029 | 0.879 | 0.988 |
| Fully immunized | 0.867 | 0.037 | 90 | 107 | 1.025 | 0.042 | 0.793 | 0.940 |
| Total tertility rate | 4.023 | 0.236 | NA | 2867 | 1.036 | 0.059 | 3.551 | 4.494 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 11.185 | 3.282 | 992 | 1175 | 0.907 | 0.293 | 4.621 | 17.748 |
| Infant mortality rate | 25.980 | 5.275 | 994 | 1178 | 1.052 | 0.203 | 15.430 | 36.530 |
| Child mortality rate | 16.069 | 5.027 | 998 | 1182 | 1.243 | 0.313 | 6.015 | 26.123 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 41.631 | 7.663 | 1000 | 1185 | 1.197 | 0.184 | 26.306 | 56.956 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 14.795 | 4.081 | 994 | 1178 | 1.091 | 0.276 | 6.633 | 22.958 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative ertor (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.523 | 0.018 | 993 | 1093 | 1.109 | 0.034 | 0.487 | 0.558 |
| No education | 0.012 | 0.004 | 993 | 1093 | 1.182 | 0.339 | 0.004 | 0.020 |
| With education | 0.660 | 0.017 | 993 | 1093 | 1.117 | 0.025 | 0.626 | 0.693 |
| Never Married | 0.396 | 0.014 | 993 | 1093 | 0.914 | 0.036 | 0.367 | 0.424 |
| Currently in union | 0.567 | 0.017 | 993 | 1093 | 1.057 | 0.029 | 0.534 | 0.600 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.289 | 0.021 | 786 | 865 | 1.279 | 0.072 | 0.247 | 0.330 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.149 | 0.013 | 786 | 865 | 1.047 | 0.089 | 0.122 | 0.175 |
| Children ever born | 2.060 | 0.075 | 993 | 1093 | 0.964 | 0.036 | 1.910 | 2.210 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 4.353 | 0.229 | 190 | 209 | 1.075 | 0.053 | 3.894 | 4.812 |
| Children Surviving | 1.941 | 0.067 | 993 | 1093 | 0.928 | 0.034 | 1.807 | 2.074 |
| Know any method | 0.996 | 0.003 | 563 | 620 | 1.007 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 0.996 | 0.003 | 563 | 620 | 1.007 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.787 | 0.021 | 563 | 620 | 1.207 | 0.026 | 0.745 | 0.829 |
| Currently using any method | 0.515 | 0.029 | 563 | 620 | 1.376 | 0.056 | 0.457 | 0.573 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.281 | 0.030 | 563 | 620 | 1.590 | 0.107 | 0.220 | 0.341 |
| Curnently using pill | 0.076 | 0.012 | 563 | 620 | 1.075 | 0.158 | 0.052 | 0.100 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.067 | 0.016 | 563 | 620 | 1.515 | 0.237 | 0.035 | 0.100 |
| Currently using injection | 0.032 | 0.009 | 563 | 620 | 1.188 | 0.276 | 0.014 | 0.050 |
| Currently using condom | 0.032 | 0.008 | 563 | 620 | 1.078 | 0.250 | 0.016 | 0.048 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.067 | 0.010 | 563 | 620 | 0.935 | 0.147 | 0.048 | 0.087 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.005 | 0.003 | 563 | 620 | 0.996 | 0.574 | 0.000 | 0.011 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.149 | 0.014 | 563 | 620 | 0.936 | 0.094 | 0.121 | 0.177 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.078 | 0.011 | 563 | 620 | 0.978 | 0.142 | 0.056 | 0.100 |
| Public source user | 0.765 | 0.038 | 162 | 178 | 1.139 | 0.050 | 0.689 | 0.841 |
| Want no more children | 0.652 | 0.021 | 563 | 620 | 1.028 | 0.032 | 0.611 | 0.693 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.169 | 0.016 | 563 | 620 | 1.004 | 0.094 | 0.137 | 0.200 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.019 | 0.050 | 972 | 1070 | 1.138 | 0.017 | 2.918 | 3.119 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.765 | 0.024 | 532 | 586 | 1.160 | 0.032 | 0.716 | 0.814 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.556 | 0.044 | 532 | 586 | 1.623 | 0.079 | 0.468 | 0.644 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.058 | 0.011 | 521 | 573 | 0.920 | 0.187 | 0.036 | 0.079 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.433 | 0.084 | 30 | 33 | 0.904 | 0.194 | 0.265 | 0.601 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.533 | 0.108 | 30 | 33 | 1.148 | 0.202 | 0.318 | 0.749 |
| Having health card | 0.595 | 0.055 | 111 | 122 | 1.155 | 0.092 | 0.486 | 0.704 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.937 | 0.022 | 111 | 122 | 0.954 | 0.024 | 0.893 | 0.981 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.901 | 0.033 | 111 | 122 | 1.159 | 0.037 | 0.835 | 0.967 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.874 | 0.042 | 111 | 122 | 1.340 | 0.048 | 0.789 | 0.958 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.865 | 0.031 | 111 | 122 | 0.953 | 0.036 | 0.803 | 0.927 |
| Fully immunized | 0.775 | 0.042 | 111 | 122 | 1.062 | 0.055 | 0.690 | 0.859 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.703 | 0.261 | NA | 3029 | 1.234 | 0.070 | 3.181 | 4.224 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 11.511 | 4.467 | 1050 | 1156 | 1.278 | 0.388 | 2.576 | 20.446 |
| Infant mortality rate | 23.616 | 5.771 | 1051 | 1157 | 1.208 | 0.244 | 12.074 | 35.157 |
| Child mortality rate | 15.099 | 3.517 | 1054 | 1160 | 0.887 | 0.233 | 8.065 | 22.133 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 38.358 | 6.779 | 1055 | 1161 | 1.151 | 0.177 | 24.800 | 51.915 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 12.105 | 3.335 | 1051 | 1157 | 0.986 | 0.275 | 5.435 | 18.774 |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard ептог (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban | 0.335 | 0.020 | 770 | 553 | 1.189 | 0.060 | 0.295 | 0.376 |
| No education | 0.018 | 0.006 | 770 | 553 | 1.246 | 0.330 | 0.006 | 0.030 |
| With education | 0.532 | 0.024 | 770 | 553 | 1.306 | 0.044 | 0.485 | 0.579 |
| Never Married | 0.242 | 0.015 | 770 | 553 | 0.967 | 0.062 | 0.212 | 0.271 |
| Cursently in union | 0.714 | 0.016 | 770 | 553 | 0.975 | 0.022 | 0.683 | 0.746 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.508 | 0.022 | 628 | 451 | 1.100 | 0.043 | 0.464 | 0.552 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.298 | 0.018 | 628 | 451 | 0.991 | 0.061 | 0.262 | 0.334 |
| Children ever bom | 3.334 | 0.114 | 770 | 553 | 1.005 | 0.034 | 3.107 | 3.561 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 6.188 | 0.249 | 181 | 130 | 1.125 | 0.040 | 5.691 | 6.685 |
| Children Surviving | 2.966 | 0.103 | 770 | 553 | 1.041 | 0.035 | 2.761 | 3.172 |
| Know any method | 0.995 | 0.003 | 550 | 395 | 1.000 | 0.003 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Know any modem method | 0.991 | 0.004 | 550 | 395 | 0.998 | 0.004 | 0.983 | 0.999 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.638 | 0.023 | 550 | 395 | 1.112 | 0.036 | 0.593 | 0.684 |
| Currently using any method | 0.375 | 0.021 | 550 | 395 | 1.030 | 0.057 | 0.332 | 0.417 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.167 | 0.014 | 550 | 395 | 0.855 | 0.081 | 0.140 | 0.195 |
| Currently using pill | 0.044 | 0.009 | 550 | 395 | 1.010 | 0.202 | 0.026 | 0.061 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.018 | 0.006 | 550 | 395 | 1.006 | 0.316 | 0.007 | 0.030 |
| Currently using injection | 0.018 | 0.007 | 550 | 395 | 1.297 | 0.407 | 0.003 | 0.033 |
| Currently using condom | 0.011 | 0.005 | 550 | 395 | 1.022 | 0.415 | 0.002 | 0.020 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.073 | 0.012 | 550 | 395 | 1.039 | 0.158 | 0.050 | 0.096 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.002 | 0.002 | 550 | 395 | 1.006 | 1.006 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.104 | 0.014 | 550 | 395 | 1.068 | 0.134 | 0.076 | 0.131 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.098 | 0.012 | 550 | 395 | 0.943 | 0.122 | 0.074 | 0.122 |
| Public source user | 0.844 | 0.037 | 96 | 69 | 0.995 | 0.044 | 0.770 | 0.918 |
| Want no more children | 0.704 | 0.019 | 550 | 395 | 0.965 | 0.027 | 0.666 | 0.741 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.142 | 0.014 | 550 | 395 | 0.945 | 0.099 | 0.114 | 0.170 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.459 | 0.072 | 749 | 538 | 1.107 | 0.021 | 3.316 | 3.603 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.682 | 0.030 | 622 | 446 | 1.239 | 0.044 | 0.622 | 0.742 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.277 | 0.028 | 622 | 446 | 1.238 | 0.100 | 0.221 | 0.332 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.130 | 0.013 | 583 | 418 | 0.848 | 0.097 | 0.105 | 0.156 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.539 | 0.062 | 76 | 55 | 0.978 | 0.114 | 0.416 | 0.663 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.434 | 0.062 | 76 | 55 | 1.058 | 0.142 | 0.311 | 0.558 |
| Having health card | 0.467 | 0.050 | 120 | 86 | 1.095 | 0.107 | 0.367 | 0.566 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.825 | 0.046 | 120 | 86 | 1.336 | 0.056 | 0.732 | 0.918 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.717 | 0.045 | 120 | 86 | 1.082 | 0.062 | 0.628 | 0.806 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.750 | 0.043 | 120 | 86 | 1.095 | 0.058 | 0.663 | 0.837 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.717 | 0.048 | 120 | 86 | 1.155 | 0.066 | 0.622 | 0.812 |
| Fully immunized | 0.625 | 0.046 | 120 | 86 | 1.029 | 0.073 | 0.534 | 0.716 |
| Total fertility rate | 5.911 | 0.354 | NA | 1561 | 1.278 | 0.060 | 5.204 | 6.618 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 31.275 | 5.196 | 1256 | 902 | 0.953 | 0.166 | 20.883 | 41.667 |
| Infant mortality rate | 60.813 | 7.451 | 1258 | 903 | 1.043 | 0.123 | 45.912 | 75.715 |
| Child mortality rate | 26.406 | 6.056 | 1262 | 906 | 1.112 | 0.229 | 14.293 | 38.518 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 85.613 | 9.652 | 1264 | 907 | 1.045 | 0.113 | 66.310 | 104.916 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 29.538 | 5.265 | 1258 | 903 | 1.124 | 0.178 | 19.009 | 40.067 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.350 | 0.029 | 973 | 530 | 1.880 | 0.082 | 0.293 | 0.408 |
| No education | 0.060 | 0.016 | 973 | 530 | 2.124 | 0.271 | 0.027 | 0.092 |
| With education | 0.607 | 0.033 | 973 | 530 | 2.134 | 0.055 | 0.541 | 0.674 |
| Never Married | 0.322 | 0.019 | 973 | 530 | 1.248 | 0.058 | 0.284 | 0.359 |
| Currently in union | 0.647 | 0.019 | 973 | 530 | 1.264 | 0.030 | 0.609 | 0.686 |
| Mamied before age of 20 | 0.403 | 0.022 | 767 | 418 | 1.256 | 0.055 | 0.358 | 0.447 |
| Had tirst sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.207 | 0.016 | 767 | 418 | 1.100 | 0.078 | 0.175 | 0.240 |
| Children ever born | 2.434 | 0.088 | 973 | 530 | 1.011 | 0.036 | 2.257 | 2.610 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.969 | 0.256 | 191 | 104 | 1.146 | 0.051 | 4.457 | 5.480 |
| Children Surviving | 2.225 | 0.082 | 973 | 530 | 1.044 | 0.037 | 2.061 | 2.390 |
| Know any method | 0.908 | 0.026 | 630 | 343 | 2.242 | 0.028 | 0.856 | 0.960 |
| Know any modem method | 0.903 | 0.027 | 630 | 343 | 2.279 | 0.030 | 0.849 | 0.957 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.610 | 0.037 | 630 | 343 | 1.884 | 0.060 | 0.536 | 0.683 |
| Currently using any method | 0.438 | 0.031 | 630 | 343 | 1.583 | 0.072 | 0.375 | 0.501 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.300 | 0.023 | 630 | 343 | 1.279 | 0.078 | 0.253 | 0.347 |
| Currently using pill | 0.157 | 0.020 | 630 | 343 | 1.361 | 0.126 | 0.118 | 0.197 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.060 | 0.014 | 630 | 343 | 1.430 | 0.225 | 0.033 | 0.087 |
| Currently using injection | 0.021 | 0.005 | 630 | 343 | 0.864 | 0.237 | 0.011 | 0.030 |
| Currently using condom | 0.017 | 0.005 | 630 | 343 | 1.014 | 0.303 | 0.007 | 0.028 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.041 | 0.009 | 630 | 343 | 1.186 | 0.228 | 0.022 | 0.060 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.000 | 0.000 | 630 | 343 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.097 | 0.013 | 630 | 343 | 1.084 | 0.132 | 0.071 | 0.122 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.032 | 0.008 | 630 | 343 | 1.165 | 0.257 | 0.015 | 0.048 |
| Public source user | 0.895 | 0.021 | 190 | 103 | 0.944 | 0.024 | 0.853 | 0.937 |
| Want no more children | 0.589 | 0.020 | 630 | 343 | 1.028 | 0.034 | 0.549 | 0.629 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.211 | 0.019 | 630 | 343 | 1.174 | 0.090 | 0.173 | 0.249 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.448 | 0.071 | 943 | 513 | 1.237 | 0.020 | 3.306 | 3.589 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.659 | 0.035 | 558 | 304. | 1.372 | 0.054 | 0.589 | 0.730 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.396 | 0.043 | 558 | 304 | 1.657 | 0.108 | 0.311 | 0.481 |
| Had dianhea in last 2 weeks | 0.071 | 0.012 | 532 | 290 | 1.049 | 0.174 | 0.047 | 0.096 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.447 | 0.061 | 38 | 21 | 0.778 | 0.137 | 0.324 | 0.570 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.632 | 0.093 | 38 | 21 | 1.184 | 0.147 | 0.445 | 0.818 |
| Having health card | 0.333 | 0.048 | 102 | 56 | 1.031 | 0.144 | 0.237 | 0.430 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.814 | 0.047 | 102 | 56 | 1.216 | 0.058 | 0.720 | 0.908 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.716 | 0.049 | 102 | 56 | 1.105 | 0.069 | 0.617 | 0.814 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.735 | 0.050 | 102 | 56 | 1.140 | 0.068 | 0.636 | 0.835 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.745 | 0.053 | 102 | 56 | 1.232 | 0.071 | 0.639 | 0.852 |
| Fully immunized | 0.696 | 0.053 | 102 | 56 | 1.166 | 0.076 | 0.590 | 0.802 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.900 | 0.272 | NA | 1480 | 1.302 | 0.070 | 3.355 | 4.445 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 19.489 | 4.030 | 1164 | 634 | 0.902 | 0.207 | 11.429 | 27.549 |
| Infant mortality rate | 44.570 | 6.143 | 1166 | 635 | 0.982 | 0.138 | 32.284 | 56.856 |
| Child nortality rate | 31.713 | 6.384 | 1169 | 636 | 1.121 | 0.201 | 18.946 | 44.481 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 74.870 | 8.788 | 1171 | 638 | 1.071 | 0.117 | 57.294 | 92.446 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 25.081 | 4.390 | 1166 | 635 | 0.981 | 0.175 | 16.300 | 33.862 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard <br> error <br> (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative <br> error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.512 | 0.027 | 781 | 482 | 1.534 | 0.054 | 0.457 | 0.567 |
| No education | 0.004 | 0.003 | 781 | 482 | 1.263 | 0.728 | 0.000 | 0.009 |
| With education | 0.661 | 0.028 | 781 | 482 | 1.644 | 0.042 | 0.605 | 0.716 |
| Never Married | 0.357 | 0.020 | 781 | 482 | 1.167 | 0.056 | 0.317 | 0.397 |
| Currently in union | 0.612 | 0.021 | 781 | 482 | 1.191 | 0.034 | 0.570 | 0.654 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.421 | 0.018 | 610 | 377 | 0.902 | 0.043 | 0.385 | 0.457 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.254 | 0.020 | 610 | 377 | 1.111 | 0.077 | 0.215 | 0.293 |
| Children ever born | 2.627 | 0.100 | 781 | 482 | 0.959 | 0.038 | 2.428 | 2.826 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.503 | 0.269 | 153 | 94 | 1.089 | 0.049 | 4.964 | 6.042 |
| Children Surviving | 2.398 | 0.093 | 781 | 482 | 1.002 | 0.039 | 2.213 | 2.584 |
| Know any method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 478 | 295 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 478 | 295 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.751 | 0.032 | 478 | 295 | 1.632 | 0.043 | 0.686 | 0.816 |
| Currently using any method | 0.540 | 0.029 | 478 | 295 | 1.281 | 0.054 | 0.481 | 0.598 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.339 | 0.026 | 478 | 295 | 1.188 | 0.076 | 0.287 | 0.390 |
| Currently using pill | 0.138 | 0.018 | 478 | 295 | 1.130 | 0.129 | 0.102 | 0.174 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.100 | 0.016 | 478 | 295 | 1.149 | 0.157 | 0.069 | 0.132 |
| Currently using injection | 0.031 | 0.010 | 478 | 295 | 1.281 | 0.326 | 0.011 | 0.052 |
| Currently using condom | 0.013 | 0.004 | 478 | 295 | 0.806 | 0.328 | 0.004 | 0.021 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.052 | 0.011 | 478 | 295 | 1.088 | 0.212 | 0.030 | 0.074 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.000 | 0.000 | 478 | 295 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.126 | 0.014 | 478 | 295 | 0.895 | 0.108 | 0.098 | 0.153 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.075 | 0.013 | 478 | 295 | 1.068 | 0.171 | 0.050 | 0.101 |
| Public source user | 0.785 | 0.034 | 163 | 101 | 1.040 | 0.043 | 0.718 | 0.852 |
| Want no more children | 0.678 | 0.021 | 478 | 295 | 0.984 | 0.031 | 0.636 | 0.720 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.205 | 0.017 | 478 | 295 | 0.945 | 0.085 | 0.170 | 0.240 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.102 | 0.076 | 774 | 478 | 1.459 | 0.024 | 2.951 | 3.253 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.711 | 0.028 | 536 | 331 | 1.172 | 0.039 | 0.655 | 0.767 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.345 | 0.041 | 536 | 331 | 1.536 | 0.118 | 0.264 | 0.427 |
| Had diarthea in last 2 weeks | 0.052 | 0.014 | 517 | 319 | 1.300 | 0.259 | 0.025 | 0.079 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.519 | 0.095 | 27 | 17 | 0.930 | 0.184 | 0.328 | 0.709 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.556 | 0.114 | 27 | 17 | 1.202 | 0.206 | 0.327 | 0.784 |
| Having health card | 0.365 | 0.056 | 104 | 64 | 1.190 | 0.154 | 0.253 | 0.478 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.942 | 0.026 | 104 | 64 | 1.147 | 0.028 | 0.890 | 0.995 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.875 | 0.036 | 104 | 64 | 1.099 | 0.041 | 0.804 | 0.946 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.875 | 0.032 | 104 | 64 | 0.983 | 0.036 | 0.811 | 0.939 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.769 | 0.034 | 104 | 64 | 0.814 | 0.044 | 0.702 | 0.837 |
| Fully immunized | 0.740 | 0.039 | 104 | 64 | 0.914 | 0.053 | 0.662 | 0.819 |
| Total fertility rate | 4.753 | 0.342 | NA | 1337 | 1.307 | 0.072 | 4.069 | 5.438 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 21.095 | 4.256 | 1005 | 621 | 0.880 | 0.202 | 12.584 | 29.606 |
| Infant mortality rate | 40.970 | 6.771 | 1005 | 621 | 1.028 | 0.165 | 27.429 | 54.512 |
| Child mortality rate | 24.811 | 7.112 | 1008 | 622 | 1.215 | 0.287 | 10.586 | 39.035 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 64.765 | 9.334 | 1008 | 622 | 1.078 | 0.144 | 46.097 | 83.433 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 19.875 | 4.324 | 1005 | 621 | 1.024 | 0.218 | 11.227 | 28.523 |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard <br> error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.487 | 0.028 | 985 | 925 | 1.788 | 0.058 | 0.430 | 0.544 |
| No education | 0.020 | 0.007 | 985 | 925 | 1.604 | 0.355 | 0.006 | 0.035 |
| With education | 0.731 | 0.027 | 985 | 925 | 1.917 | 0.037 | 0.677 | 0.785 |
| Never Married | 0.353 | 0.020 | 985 | 925 | 1.325 | 0.057 | 0.313 | 0.394 |
| Currently in union | 0.618 | 0.020 | 985 | 925 | 1.284 | 0.032 | 0.579 | 0.658 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.364 | 0.026 | 766 | 719 | 1.489 | 0.071 | 0.312 | 0.416 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.222 | 0.017 | 766 | 719 | 1.148 | 0.078 | 0.187 | 0.256 |
| Children ever bom | 2.189 | 0.109 | 985 | 925 | 1.312 | 0.050 | 1.971 | 2.407 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.631 | 0.304 | 179 | 168 | 1.336 | 0.066 | 4.024 | 5.239 |
| Children Surviving | 2.017 | 0.096 | 985 | 925 | 1.282 | 0.048 | 1.824 | 2.210 |
| Know any method | 0.993 | 0.003 | 609 | 572 | 1.028 | 0.003 | 0.987 | 1.000 |
| Know any modern method | 0.992 | 0.003 | 609 | 572 | 0.803 | 0.003 | 0.986 | 0.998 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.787 | 0.020 | 609 | 572 | 1.198 | 0.025 | 0.747 | 0.826 |
| Currently using any method | 0.552 | 0.020 | 609 | 572 | 0.994 | 0.036 | 0.512 | 0.592 |
| Curently using a modern method | 0.358 | 0.016 | 609 | 572 | 0.845 | 0.046 | 0.325 | 0.391 |
| Currently using pill | 0.144 | 0.014 | 609 | 572 | 1.012 | 0.100 | 0.116 | 0.173 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.094 | 0.012 | 609 | 572 | 1.054 | 0.133 | 0.069 | 0.118 |
| Currently using injection | 0.034 | 0.011 | 609 | 572 | 1.463 | 0.314 | 0.013 | 0.056 |
| Currently using condom | 0.015 | 0.004 | 609 | 572 | 0.899 | 0.298 | 0.006 | 0.024 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.069 | 0.013 | 609 | 572 | 1.225 | 0.183 | 0.044 | 0.094 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.000 | 0.000 | 609 | 572 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.126 | 0.015 | 609 | 572 | 1.096 | 0.117 | 0.097 | 0.156 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.062 | 0.009 | 609 | 572 | 0.941 | 0.148 | 0.044 | 0.081 |
| Public source user | 0.766 | 0.040 | 222 | 209 | 1.392 | 0.052 | 0.686 | 0.845 |
| Want no more children | 0.635 | 0.021 | 609 | 572 | 1.078 | 0.033 | 0.593 | 0.678 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.220 | 0.016 | 609 | 572 | 0.975 | 0.074 | 0.187 | 0.253 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.918 | 0.046 | 979 | 920 | 1.216 | 0.016 | 2.825 | 3.011 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.726 | 0.026 | 521 | 489 | 1.058 | 0.035 | 0.674 | 0.777 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.470 | 0.043 | 521 | 489 | 1.505 | 0.090 | 0.385 | 0.555 |
| Had diarthea in last 2 weeks | 0.090 | 0.013 | 499 | 469 | 0.990 | 0.144 | 0.064 | 0.116 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.422 | 0.088 | 45 | 42 | 1.138 | 0.208 | 0.247 | 0.598 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.400 | 0.072 | 45 | 42 | 0.990 | 0.180 | 0.256 | 0.544 |
| Having health card | 0.575 | 0.046 | 87 | 82 | 0.872 | 0.080 | 0.482 | 0.667 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.966 | 0.019 | 87 | 82 | 0.996 | 0.020 | 0.927 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.920 | 0.030 | 87 | 82 | 1.033 | 0.033 | 0.859 | 0.980 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.920 | 0.030 | 87 | 82 | 1.033 | 0.033 | 0.859 | 0.980 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.839 | 0.046 | 87 | 82 | 1.162 | 0.055 | 0.748 | 0.931 |
| Fully immunized | 0.828 | 0.047 | 87 | 82 | 1.167 | 0.057 | 0.733 | 0.922 |
| Total fertility rate | 3.666 | 0.242 | NA | 2557 | 1.190 | 0.066 | 3.182 | 4.150 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 19.139 | 5.052 | 1050 | 986 | 1.058 | 0.264 | 9.035 | 29.243 |
| Infant mortality rate | 40.938 | 6.564 | 1051 | 987 | 0.976 | 0.160 | 27.811 | 54.065 |
| Child mortality rate | 21.115 | 5.503 | 1054 | 990 | 1.150 | 0.261 | 10.108 | 32.122 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 61.188 | 9.878 | 1055 | 991 | 1.194 | 0.161 | 41.433 | 80.943 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 21.799 | 5.062 | 1051 | 987 | 1.020 | 0.232 | 11.676 | 31.922 |



| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.222 | 0.019 | 810 | 385 | 1.329 | 0.087 | 0.183 | 0.261 |
| No education | 0.259 | 0.048 | 810 | 385 | 3.116 | 0.185 | 0.163 | 0.355 |
| With education | 0.438 | 0.054 | 810 | 385 | 3.099 | 0.123 | 0.330 | 0.546 |
| Never Married | 0.314 | 0.015 | 810 | 385 | 0.926 | 0.048 | 0.283 | 0.344 |
| Currently in union | 0.654 | 0.015 | 810 | 385 | 0.878 | 0.022 | 0.625 | 0.684 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.479 | 0.027 | 635 | 301 | 1.384 | 0.057 | 0.424 | 0.534 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.298 | 0.023 | 635 | 301 | 1.240 | 0.076 | 0.253 | 0.343 |
| Children ever born | 2.742 | 0.114 | 810 | 385 | 1.132 | 0.042 | 2.514 | 2.970 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.101 | 0.313 | 138 | 66 | 1.284 | 0.061 | 4.476 | 5.727 |
| Children Surviving | 2.428 | 0.095 | 810 | 385 | 1.093 | 0.039 | 2.238 | 2.619 |
| Know any method | 0.762 | 0.032 | 530 | 252 | 1.704 | 0.041 | 0.699 | 0.825 |
| Know any modern method | 0.617 | 0.043 | 530 | 252 | 2.018 | 0.069 | 0.532 | 0.702 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.183 | 0.034 | 530 | 252 | 2.025 | 0.186 | 0.115 | 0.251 |
| Currently using any method | 0.158 | 0.029 | 530 | 252 | 1.844 | 0.185 | 0.100 | 0.217 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.087 | 0.025 | 530 | 252 | 2.069 | 0.292 | 0.036 | 0.137 |
| Currently using pill | 0.036 | 0.012 | 530 | 252 | 1.503 | 0.339 | 0.012 | 0.060 |
| Cursently using IUD | 0.002 | 0.002 | 530 | 252 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| Currently using injection | 0.019 | 0.009 | 530 | 252 | 1.470 | 0.461 | 0.001 | 0.036 |
| Currently using condom | 0.000 | 0.000 | 530 | 252 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.030 | 0.010 | 530 | 252 | 1.320 | 0.325 | 0.011 | 0.050 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.000 | 0.000 | 530 | 252 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.017 | 0.006 | 530 | 252 | 1.127 | 0.373 | 0.004 | 0.030 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.008 | 0.004 | 530 | 252 | 0.991 | 0.494 | 0.000 | 0.015 |
| Public source user | 0.783 | 0.041 | 46 | 22 | 0.659 | 0.052 | 0.702 | 0.864 |
| Want no more children | 0.226 | 0.021 | 530 | 252 | 1.169 | 0.094 | 0.184 | 0.269 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.289 | 0.021 | 530 | 252 | 1.059 | 0.072 | 0.247 | 0.330 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.830 | 0.188 | 728 | 346 | 1.770 | 0.032 | 5.454 | 6.206 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.388 | 0.040 | 554 | 263 | 1.526 | 0.104 | 0.307 | 0.469 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.155 | 0.030 | 554 | 263 | 1.584 | 0.196 | 0.094 | 0.216 |
| Had diarthea in last 2 weeks | 0.062 | 0.015 | 519 | 246 | 1.289 | 0.238 | 0.032 | 0.091 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.500 | 0.096 | 32 | 15 | 1.125 | 0.193 | 0.307 | 0.693 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.344 | 0.080 | 32 | 15 | 0.957 | 0.233 | 0.183 | 0.504 |
| Having health card | 0.220 | 0.072 | 109 | 52 | 1.711 | 0.328 | 0.076 | 0.365 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.651 | 0.051 | 109 | 52 | 1.098 | 0.078 | 0.550 | 0.753 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.505 | 0.055 | 109 | 52 | 1.128 | 0.109 | 0.395 | 0.615 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.505 | 0.055 | 109 | 52 | 1.128 | 0.109 | 0.395 | 0.615 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.505 | 0.054 | 109 | 52 | 1.097 | 0.106 | 0.398 | 0.612 |
| Fully immunized | 0.468 | 0.056 | 109 | 52 | 1.141 | 0.119 | 0.357 | 0.579 |
| Total fertility rate | 4.606 | 0.428 | NA | 1071 | 1.529 | 0.093 | 3.749 | 5.463 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 23.579 | 6.273 | 1199 | 569 | 1.304 | 0.266 | 11.032 | 36.126 |
| Infant mortality rate | 55.108 | 10.220 | 1200 | 570 | 1.353 | 0.185 | 34.668 | 75.548 |
| Child mortality rate | 44.964 | 8.647 | 1209 | 574 | 1.140 | 0.192 | 27.669 | 62.258 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 97.593 | 15.931 | 1210 | 574 | 1.502 | 0.163 | 65.731 | 129.455 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 31.529 | 6.511 | 1200 | 570 | 1.183 | 0.206 | 18.507 | 44.550 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Un- | Weight- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban | 0.438 | 0.018 | 723 | 323 | 1.001 | 0.042 | 0.401 | 0.475 |
| No education | 0.008 | 0.003 | 723 | 323 | 0.822 | 0.334 | 0.003 | 0.014 |
| With education | 0.650 | 0.035 | 723 | 323 | 1.956 | 0.053 | 0.581 | 0.720 |
| Never Married | 0.313 | 0.014 | 723 | 323 | 0.825 | 0.046 | 0.284 | 0.341 |
| Currently in union | 0.646 | 0.014 | 723 | 323 | 0.789 | 0.022 | 0.618 | 0.674 |
| Married before age of 20 | 0.395 | 0.026 | 570 | 254 | 1.291 | 0.067 | 0.342 | 0.448 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.216 | 0.022 | 570 | 254 | 1.264 | 0.101 | 0.172 | 0.259 |
| Children ever bom | 2.849 | 0.103 | 723 | 323 | 0.907 | 0.036 | 2.644 | 3.054 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 5.649 | 0.222 | 168 | 75 | 0.940 | 0.039 | 5.205 | 6.092 |
| Children Surviving | 2.548 | 0.093 | 723 | 323 | 0.946 | 0.037 | 2.361 | 2.735 |
| Know any method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 467 | 208 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Know any modem method | 0.998 | 0.002 | 467 | 208 | 0.997 | 0.002 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.730 | 0.026 | 467 | 208 | 1.278 | 0.036 | 0.678 | 0.783 |
| Currently using any method | 0.488 | 0.028 | 467 | 208 | 1.226 | 0.058 | 0.431 | 0.545 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.287 | 0.022 | 467 | 208 | 1.064 | 0.078 | 0.242 | 0.332 |
| Cunently using pill | 0.088 | 0.013 | 467 | 208 | 0.988 | 0.148 | 0.062 | 0.114 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.069 | 0.017 | 467 | 208 | 1.436 | 0.245 | 0.035 | 0.102 |
| Currently using injection | 0.041 | 0.012 | 467 | 208 | 1.274 | 0.287 | 0.017 | 0.064 |
| Currently using condom | 0.013 | 0.004 | 467 | 208 | 0.816 | 0.331 | 0.004 | 0.021 |
| Currently using female sterilization | 0.075 | 0.011 | 467 | 208 | 0.929 | 0.151 | 0.052 | 0.098 |
| Currently using male sterilization | 0.002 | 0.002 | 467 | 208 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.124 | 0.016 | 467 | 208 | 1.045 | 0.129 | 0.092 | 0.156 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.062 | 0.013 | 467 | 208 | 1.183 | 0.213 | 0.036 | 0.089 |
| Public source user | 0.833 | 0.027 | 138 | 62 | 0.851 | 0.033 | 0.779 | 0.888 |
| Want no more children | 0.702 | 0.017 | 467 | 208 | 0.813 | 0.025 | 0.668 | 0.737 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.193 | 0.019 | 467 | 208 | 1.049 | 0.099 | 0.154 | 0.231 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.180 | 0.046 | 722 | 322 | 0.875 | 0.014 | 3.088 | 3.272 |
| Mother received tetanus injections | 0.732 | 0.035 | 441 | 197 | 1.335 | 0.047 | 0.663 | 0.802 |
| Received medical care at birth | 0.401 | 0.039 | 441 | 197 | 1.317 | 0.097 | 0.324 | 0.479 |
| Had diarrhea in last 2 weeks | 0.073 | 0.010 | 413 | 184 | 0.756 | 0.143 | 0.052 | 0.093 |
| Received ORS treatment | 0.467 | 0.130 | 30 | 13 | 1.318 | 0.279 | 0.206 | 0.727 |
| Received medical treatment | 0.600 | 0.115 | 30 | 13 | 1.177 | 0.191 | 0.370 | 0.830 |
| Having health card | 0.438 | 0.068 | 89 | 40 | 1.287 | 0.155 | 0.303 | 0.574 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.933 | 0.028 | 89 | 40 | 1.041 | 0.030 | 0.877 | 0.988 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.854 | 0.041 | 89 | 40 | 1.082 | 0.047 | 0.773 | 0.935 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.876 | 0.044 | 89 | 40 | 1.268 | 0.051 | 0.788 | 0.965 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.876 | 0.033 | 89 | 40 | 0.938 | 0.037 | 0.811 | 0.942 |
| Fully immunized | 0.787 | 0.045 | 89 | 40 | 1.031 | 0.057 | 0.697 | 0.876 |
| Total fertility rate | 4.648 | 0.323 | NA | 893 | 1.063 | 0.069 | 4.003 | 5.294 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | 28.556 | 7.018 | 918 | 410 | 1.037 | 0.246 | 14.521 | 42.591 |
| Infant mortality rate | 53.179 | 9.014 | 920 | 411 | 1.059 | 0.169 | 35.151 | 71.206 |
| Child mortality rate | 31.012 | 6.904 | 929 | 415 | 1.115 | 0.223 | 17.205 | 44.820 |
| Under 5 child mortality rate | 82.542 | 11.242 | 931 | 416 | 1.119 | 0.136 | 60.057 | 105.027 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | 24.623 | 5.610 | 920 | 411 | 0.997 | 0.228 | 13.403 | 35.843 |
| N.A. $=$ Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## QUALITY OF THE DATA: NONSAMPLING ERRORS

While Appendix B provides sampling errors for selected variables presented in the report, this appendix is presented to provide data users an initial overview of the data quality. For this purpose, misreporting of ages, respondent's recall problems and other problems encountered during data collection are investigated in Appendix C.

Presented in Table C. 1 is the distribution by single years of age of the household population. Overall, slight heaping on ages ending with 0 and 5 is detected throughout all ages for both sexes. Errors are particularly notable in the age reporting at ages 15 and 49 years-the lower and upper limits of eligibility for individual in the NDHS interview. The age ratios at 15 for women is 1.00 , while for men it is 0.95 indicating a better age reporting by the females at this age. At age 49, the ratios are 0.93 and 0.97 for women and men, respectively, demonstrating the tendency for both males and females to either understate or overstate their age.

In Table C.2, household weights are applied to the age distribution of women reported in the individual interview, to investigate if there is a bias in the age reporting in the individual woman's interview. The table shows the expected pattern of declining percentage as age increases, and that there is virtually no difference between the age distribution of women recorded in the household schedule and those interviewed with the individual questionnaire, indicating the absence of a bias. This table also shows that response rates vary slightly across the age of the respondents.

Information on the completeness of reporting in connection with a set of important variables is provided in Table C.3. With the exception of information on child's size at birth, the percentage of cases with missing information is extraordinarily low, and information on dating of events seem to be complete.

According to Table C.4, there is a slight heaping in the reported total number of births in 1990 and the number of children still living. Information on month and year of birth is available for virtually all children. Birth dates of dead children are less complete than for surviving children; nevertheless, this information is known for 95.8 percent of children. The overall sex ratio at birth for all births is 106 , while from year to year there are fluctuations without any indication of bias except for 1995 when overall sex ratio at birth was unusually high at 127. Sex ratio for dead children is much higher than for surviving children, indicating higher mortality among male children. The calendar ratios show that there was a transference of births from 1991 to the earlier and later years. The ratio of births in 1991 to the average of the two adjoining years is 0.96 , while the ratios for 1990 and 1991 are 1.02 and 1.06 , respectively.

The percentage of early neonatal deaths (deaths within the first 7 days after birth) among all neonatal deaths (deaths within the first month of birth) increases as infant mortality decreases (Table C.5). However, a decreasing proportion of neonatal among infant deaths is shown in Table C.6. It should also be noted that heaping at age 12 months is more apparent in the more distant past ( 5 years or more prior to the survey) than in the most recent period, demonstrating that reporting of age at death is improving.

## Table C. 1 Household age distribution

Single-year age distribution of the de jure household population by sex (weighted), Philippines 1998

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Age | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| <1 | 894 | 3.0 | 762 | 2.5 | 36 | 323 | 1.1 | 374 | 1.2 |
| 1 | 799 | 2.7 | 765 | 2.5 | 37 | 368 | 1.2 | 375 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 833 | 2.8 | 731 | 2.4 | 38 | 355 | 1.2 | 402 | 1.3 |
| 3 | 840 | 2.8 | 728 | 2.4 | 39 | 367 | 1.2 | 353 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 835 | 2.8 | 762 | 2.5 | 40 | 322 | 1.1 | 316 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 822 | 2.7 | 826 | 2.7 | 41 | 234 | 0.8 | 326 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 870 | 2.9 | 771 | 2.5 | 42 | 314 | 1.0 | 358 | 1.2 |
| 7 | 824 | 2.7 | 823 | 2.7 | 43 | 315 | 1.1 | 291 | 1.0 |
| 8 | 763 | 2.5 | 801 | 2.6 | 44 | 259 | 0.9 | 277 | 0.9 |
| 9 | 791 | 2.6 | 733 | 2.4 | 45 | 302 | 1.0 | 280 | 0.9 |
| 10 | 786 | 2.6 | 755 | 2.5 | 46 | 233 | 0.8 | 232 | 0.8 |
| 11 | 718 | 2.4 | 682 | 2.2 | 47 | 232 | 0.8 | 234 | 0.8 |
| 12 | 748 | 2.5 | 677 | 2.2 | 48 | 251 | 0.8 | 254 | 0.8 |
| 13 | 701 | 2.3 | 721 | 2.4 | 49 | 237 | 0.8 | 240 | 0.8 |
| 14 | 718 | 2.4 | 727 | 2.4 | 50 | 239 | 0.8 | 263 | 0.9 |
| 15 | 653 | 2.2 | 685 | 2.3 | 51 | 160 | 0.5 | 221 | 0.7 |
| 16 | 656 | 2.2 | 646 | 2.1 | 52 | 204 | 0.7 | 237 | 0.8 |
| 17 | 614 | 2.0 | 624 | 2.1 | 53 | 187 | 0.6 | 193 | 0.6 |
| 18 | 670 | 2.2 | 631 | 2.1 | 54 | 168 | 0.6 | 207 | 0.7 |
| 19 | 604 | 2.0 | 527 | 1.7 | 55 | 165 | 0.5 | 251 | 0.8 |
| 20 | 554 | 1.8 | 532 | 1.8 | 56 | 169 | 0.6 | 175 | 0.6 |
| 21 | 503 | 1.7 | 509 | 1.7 | 57 | 150 | 0.5 | 168 | 0.6 |
| 22 | 509 | 1.7 | 494 | 1.6 | 58 | 164 | 0.5 | 177 | 0.6 |
| 23 | 442 | 1.5 | 472 | 1.6 | 59 | 132 | 0.4 | 159 | 0.5 |
| 24 | 455 | 1.5 | 433 | 1.4 | 60 | 198 | 0.7 | 212 | 0.7 |
| 25 | 492 | 1.6 | 530 | 1.7 | 61 | 114 | 0.4 | 125 | 0.4 |
| 26 | 426 | 1.4 | 441 | 1.5 | 62 | 133 | 0.4 | 151 | 0.5 |
| 27 | 431 | 1.4 | 499 | 1.6 | 63 | 107 | 0.4 | 143 | 0.5 |
| 28 | 472 | 1.6 | 486 | 1.6 | 64 | 102 | 0.3 | 108 | 0.4 |
| 29 | 455 | 1.5 | 420 | 1.4 | 65 | 124 | 0.4 | 152 | 0.5 |
| 30 | 439 | 1.5 | 455 | 1.5 | 66 | 69 | 0.2 | 92 | 0.3 |
| 31 | 418 | 1.4 | 407 | 1.3 | 67 | 81 | 0.3 | 99 | 0.3 |
| 32 | 392 | 1.3 | 438 | 1.4 | 68 | 79 | 0.3 | 104 | 0.3 |
| 33 | 424 | 1.4 | 457 | 1.5 | 69 | 65 | 0.2 | 74 | 0.2 |
| 34 | 403 | 1.3 | 420 | 1.4 | $70+$ | 677 | 2.3 | 940 | 3.1 |
| 35 | 419 | 1.4 | 429 | 1.4 | Don't know/ missing | 9 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 29980 | 100.0 | 30369 | 100.0 |

Note: The de jure population includes all usual residents.

## Table C. 2 Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women

Percent distribution in five-year age groups of the de jure household population of women age 10-54 and of interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), Philippines 1998

| Age | Household population of women age 15-49 |  | Interviewed women age 15-49 |  | Percentage interviewed (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| 10-14 | 3563 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15-19 | 3113 | 21.0 | 3028 | 21.0 | 97.3 |
| 20-24 | 2440 | 16.4 | 2358 | 16.4 | 96.6 |
| 25-29 | 2375 | 16.0 | 2291 | 15.9 | 96.5 |
| 30-34 | 2177 | 14.7 | 2123 | 14.7 | 97.5 |
| 35-39 | 1933 | 13.0 | 1896 | 13.2 | 98.1 |
| 40-44 | 1568 | 10.6 | 1519 | 10.5 | 96.9 |
| 45-49 | 1240 | 8.4 | 1201 | 8.3 | 96.8 |
| 50-54 | 1120 | NA | - | NA | NA |
| 15-49 | 14847 | NA | 14416 | NA | 97.1 |

Note: The de jure population includes all residents.
NA = Not applicable

## Table C. 3 Completeness of reporting

Percentage of observations missing information for selected demographic and health questions (weighted), Philippines 1998

| Subject | Reference group | Percentage <br> missing <br> information | Number <br> of <br> cases |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Birth date <br> Month only <br> Month and year | Births in last 15 years | 0.7 | 21268 |
| Age at death | Deaths to births in last 15 years | 0.0 | 21268 |
| Age/date at first union ${ }^{1}$ | Ever-married women | 0.2 | 1264 |
| Respondent's education | All women | 0.2 | 8896 |
| Child's size at birth | Births in last 59 months | 0.0 | 13983 |
| Diarrhea in last 2 weeks | Living children age 0-59 months | 1.2 | 4773 |

${ }^{1}$ Both year and age missing

## Table C. 4 Births by calendar year since birth

Distribution of births by calendar years since birth for living (L), dead (D), and all (T) children, according to reporting completeness, sex ratio at birth, and ratio of births by calendar year, Philippines 1998

| Year | Number of births |  |  | Percentage with complete birth date ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Sex ratio at birth ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Calendar ratio ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T |
| 98 | 356 | 7 | 363 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 103.7 | 60.6 | 102.7 | - | - | - | 181 | 3 | 184 | 175 | 4 | 179 |
| 97 | 1544 | 42 | 1586 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 112.7 | 166.9 | 113.8 | 168.6 | 134.6 | 167.5 | 818 | 26 | 844 | 726 | 16 | 742 |
| 96 | 1476 | 56 | 1532 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 104.7 | 74.0 | 103.4 | 99.5 | 107.8 | 99.7 | 755 | 24 | 779 | 721 | 32 | 753 |
| 95 | 1424 | 61 | 1485 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 125.0 | 174.0 | 126.7 | 98.5 | 101.3 | 98.6 | 791 | 39 | 830 | 633 | 22 | 655 |
| 94 | 1415 | 65 | 1480 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 106.3 | 132.5 | 107.3 | 98.5 | 94.6 | 98.4 | 729 | 37 | 766 | 686 | 28 | 714 |
| 93 | 1448 | 76 | 1525 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 103.0 | 91.7 | 102.4 | 99.5 | 89.6 | 98.9 | 735 | 37 | 771 | 714 | 40 | 753 |
| 92 | 1497 | 105 | 1602 | 99.1 | 97.7 | 99.0 | 101.0 | 152.4 | 103.7 | 104.5 | 134.8 | 106.1 | 752 | 64 | 816 | 745 | 42 | 787 |
| 91 | 1416 | 80 | 1496 | 99.2 | 96.5 | 99.1 | 113.1 | 118.5 | 113.4 | 97.0 | 84.2 | 96.2 | 751 | 43 | 795 | 664 | 37 | 701 |
| 90 | 1422 | 85 | 1507 | 99.1 | 91.8 | 98.7 | 98.5 | 150.6 | 100.9 | 101.8 | 100.5 | 101.7 | 706 | 51 | 757 | 716 | 34 | 750 |
| 89 | 1377 | 89 | 1466 | 99.3 | 91.4 | 98.8 | 96.9 | 129.6 | 98.6 | - | - | - | 678 | 50 | 728 | 700 | 39 | 738 |
| 94-98 | 6214 | 231 | 6445 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 111.3 | 125.6 | 111.8 | - |  | - | 3274 | 129 | 3402 | 2940 | 102 | 3043 |
| 89-93 | 7161 | 435 | 7596 | 99.3 | 95.4 | 99.1 | 102.3 | 128.3 | 103.7 | - |  | - | 3622 | 245 | 3867 | 3539 | 191 | 3730 |
| 84-88 | 5859 | 529. | 6389 | 99.3 | 95.5 | 99.0 | 106.1 | 120.6 | 107.2 | - | - | - | 3016 | 289 | 3305 | 2844 | 240 | 3083 |
| 79-83 | 4589 | 462 | 5050 | 99.2 | 96.2 | 98.9 | 102.3 | 143.3 | 105.4 | - | - | - | 2320 | 272 | 2592 | 2269 | 190 | 2458 |
| <79 | 4161 | 500 | 4661 | 99.0 | 94.1 | 98.5 | 96.9 | 138.5 | 100.7 | - | - | - | 2048 | 290 | 2338 | 2113 | 210 | 2323 |
| All | 27984 | 2157 | 30141 | 99.4 | 95.8 | 99.1 | 104.2 | 131.4 | 105.9 | - | - | - | 14280 | 1225 | 15504 | 13704 | 932 | 14637 |

NA = Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ Both year and month of birth given
${ }^{2}\left(B_{n 1} / B_{f}\right)^{*} 100$, where $B_{m}$ and $B_{f}$ are the numbers of male and female births, respectively
${ }^{3}\left[2 B_{x} /\left(B_{x, 1}+B_{x+1}\right)\right]^{*} 100$, where $B_{x}$ is the number of births in the calendar year $x$

## Table C. 5 Reporting of age at death in days

Distribution of reported deaths under 1 month of age by age at death in days and the percentage of neonatal deaths reported to occur at ages 0-6 days, for five-year periods of birth preceding the survey, Philippines 1998

| Age at death (in days) | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 0-19 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| <1 | 35 | 49 | 51 | 27 | 163 |
| 1 | 22 | 30 | 36 | 24 | 112 |
| 2 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 35 |
| 3 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 7 | 53 |
| 4 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 18 |
| 5 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 32 |
| 6 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| 7 | 7 | 14 | 25 | 22 | 67 |
| 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 9 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
| 10 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
| 12 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 14 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 14 |
| 15 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 2 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 21 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total 0-30 | 129 | 153 | 172 | 123 | 576 |
| Percent early neonatal ${ }^{3}$ | 82.0 | 77.0 | 70.6 | 63.9 | 73.4 |

(0-6 days/0-30 days) * 100

## Table C. 6 Reporting of age at death in months

Distribution of reported deaths under 2 years of age by age at death in months and the percentage of infant deaths reported to occur at ages under one month, for five-year periods of birth preceding the survey, Philippines 1998

| Age at death <br> (in months) | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 1 $^{\text {a }}$ | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $0-19$ |
| 1 | 129 | 153 | 172 | 123 | 576 |
| 2 | 21 | 20 | 24 | 21 | 86 |
| 3 | 17 | 11 | 12 | 5 | 44 |
| 4 | 10 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 46 |
| 5 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 30 |
| 6 | 2 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 33 |
| 7 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 20 |
| 8 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 50 |
| 9 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 17 | 43 |
| 10 | 17 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 49 |
| 10 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 24 |
| 11 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 34 |
| 12 | 15 | 49 | 53 | 38 | 156 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 |
| 14 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 16 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 18 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 17 |
| 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 1 year | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Total 0-11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent neonatal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 240 | 279 | 284 | 232 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes deaths under 1 month reported in days
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ (Under 1 month/under 1 year) * 100

## APPENDIX D

## PERSONS INVOLVED IN THE 1998 NATIONAL DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEY

## APPENDIX D

## PERSONNEL INVOLVED

## PRE-TEST

| Macro International, Inc. Dr. Pav Govindasamy | National Statistics Office |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Ma. Virginia Olveña |
|  | Teresita Vargas |
| Population Commission | Hilda Fernandez |
| Helen Madrid | Nerissa Guillermo |
| Rosalinda Diaz | Ma. Neneth Labrador |
| Department of Health | Annabelle Langbayan |
| Dr. Josephine Hipolito | Cynthia Lumberio |
| Dr. Emalita Manalac | Socorro Abejo |
| Dr. Orlando Pagulayan | Custodio Saboren |
| Dr. Erlinda Guerrero | Lucita Flavier |
|  | Jeremias Luis |
| Univ. of the Philippines Population Institute Zenaida Quiray | Lucia Iraida Soneja |
|  | Wilma Sulit |
|  | Wilma Perante |
| National Statistics Office | Aniceta Nipal |
| Benedicta Yabut | Cecil Gonzales |
| Mercedita Tia | Juliet Dalanon |
| Lyn Jerusalem | Ismael Ramos |
| Eddie Aquino |  |
| Welvin Billones |  |
| TRAINING |  |
| FIRST LEVEL |  |
| U.S. Assistance for International Development Reynalda Perez | Macro International, Inc. |
|  | Dr. Annie Cross |
|  |  |
|  | National Statistics Office |
| Department of Health | Dr. Elizabeth Go |
| Dr. Erlinda Guerrero | Paula Monina Collado |
| Dr. Emalita Mañalac | Dr. Socorro Abejo |
| Dr. Gloria Punzalan | Benedicta Yabut |
| Onofria De Guzman | Mercedita Tia |
| Helen Ocampo | Lucita Flavier |
|  | Jeremias Luis |
| Univ. of the Philippines Population Institute | Lucia Iraida Soneja |
| Dr. Zelda Zablan | Ma. Virginia Olveña |
| Dr. Josie Cabigon |  |
| Eliseo De Guzman |  |

## SECOND LEVEL

## Department of Health

Dr. Gloria Punzalan
Onofria De Guzman

## POPULATION COMMISSION

Fe Lotho
National Statistics Office
Socorro Abejo
Mercedita Tia
Benedicta Yabut
Ma. Virginia Olveña
Lucia Iraida Soneja
Lucita Flavier
Jeremias Luis
Edna Rapanot

## National Statistics Office

Amalia Saripada
Zenaida Tapire
Marifi Pedrera
Ma. Esperanza Rosaupan
Dr. Francis Chan
Annabelle Langbayan
Socrates Ramores
Connie Angeles
Cynthia Lumberio
Airene Pucyutan
Jessamyne Anne Calledo
Wilma Perante
Juliet Dalanon
Belinda Penuela
Leah Magracia

## MAIN SURVEY

## INTERVIEW TEAMS

Team No. 1
Adelfa Yepes (Team Supervisor)
Veronica Magramo (Field Editor)
Corazon Martinez
Nena de Leon
Edita Ines
Vivencia Verdadero
Emerlita de Leon
Julita Osea
Ma. Linda Mabansag

Team No. 2
Cristina Mabanes(Team Supervisor)
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Susan Sampang

Team No. 3
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Team No. 4
Evelyn Bermudes (Team Supervisor)
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Mamer Tacang
Imelda Tamayao
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Team No. 13
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Liza Suarez
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Team No. 14
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Charity Carandang
Anastacia Evora
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Team No. 15
Emil Salazar (Team Supervisor)
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Ana Marie Cruz
Cynthia Ibusca
Leslie Matilla
Nova Piamonte
Fritzie Tan
Ruth Pera

Team No. 16
Carlito Torres (Team Supervisor)
Hermie Colimbino (Field Editor)
Junalyn Albonia
Sofia Cordero
Wilma Dimaala
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## Team No. 17

Evelyn Apellido (Team Supervisor)
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Team No. 20
Nancy Nillo (Team Supervisor)
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Grace Casallos

Team No. 21
Antonet Catubuan (Team Supervisor)
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Ofelia Bolido
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Warlyn Defante
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Salvacion Lemos (Team Supervisor)
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Dativa Cuello
Jehan Sebastian
Daisy Seguiza

Team No. 23
Judy Jordan (Team Supervisor
Joy Liguaton (Field Editor)
Eunice Mae Rivera
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Maripe Socorro Arbon
Jelly Cabajon
Girlie Diputado
Donabell Idayan

## Team No. 27
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Ma. Adeliza Suson
Team No. 42
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## APPENDIX E

## SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES

Set $\qquad$ of sets




Now I would like to ask you some information about the people who usually live in your household or who are staying with you now.

| LINE NO. | USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS | RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD* | RESIDENCE |  | SEX | AGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Please give me the names of the persons who jusually live in your household and guests of the household who slept here last night, starting with the head of the household | What is the relationship of (NAME) to the head of the household? | Does (NAME) usually live here? | Did (NAME) sleep here last night? | Is (NAME) male or female? | How old is (NAME) as of his/her last birthday? |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 01 |  | $\square$ | YES NO <br> 1 2 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}M & F \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$ | IN YEARS |
| 02 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 03 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 04 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 05 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 06 |  | $1$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 07 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 08 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 09 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ |
| 10 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 11 |  | $7$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | $1$ |
| 12 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ |
| 13 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 14 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ |
| TICK HERE IF CONTINUATION SET IS USED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Just to make sure that I have e complete listing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Are there any other persons such as small children or infants that we have not listed? |  | YES <br> ENTER EACH IN TABLE |  |  | NO |
| 2) In addition, are there any other people who may not be members of your family, such as domestic servants, lodgers or friends who usually live here? |  |  | YES <br> ENTER EACH IN TABLE |  |  | No |
| 3) Are there any guests or temporary visitors staying here, or anyone else who slept here last night that have not been listed? |  |  | YES $\quad \square$ ENTER EACH IN TABLE |  |  | NO |

*CODES FOR Q. 3
RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD:

```
01 = HEAD
02 = WIFE OR HUSBAND
```

03 = SON OR DAUGHTER
$04=$ SON-IN-LAW OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW
$05=$ GRANDCHILD
$06=$ PARENT
$07=$ PARENT-IN-LAW

08 = BROTHER OR SISTER
$09=$ OTHER RELATIVE
10 = ADQPTED/FOSTER/STEP CHED
11 = NOT RELATED
$98=$ DONT KNOW

HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE

*CODES FOR Q. 9
GRADE $N E A R$
$00=$ NO GRADE COMPLETED 11 = ELEMENTARY GRADE 1 $12=$ ELEMENTARY GRADE 2 13 = ELEMENTARY GRADE 3 14 = ELEMENTARY GRADE 4 $15=$ ELEMENTARY GRADE 5 16 = ELEMENTARY GRADE 6 17 = ELEMENTARY GRADE 7
$21=$ HIGH SCHOOL YEAR 1 $22=$ HIGH SCHOOL YEAR 2
$23=$ HIGH SCHOOL YEAR 3
24 = HIGH SCHOOL YEAR 4
$25=$ HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE
31 = POSTSECONDARY YEAR 1
32 = POSTSECONDARY YEAR 2 OR MORE
41 = COLLEGE YEAR 1
42 = COLLEGE YEAR 2
43 = COLLEGE YEAR 3
$44=$ COLLEGE YEAR 4

45 = COLLEGE YEAR 5
46 = COLLEGE YEAR 6 OR HIGHER
$47=$ COLLEGE GRADUATE
51 = POST-BACCALAUREATE
$98=$ DON'T KNOW
**CODES FOR QS. 11 AND 12 RESIDENCE
$96=$ SAME PROVINCE
97 = FOREIGN COUNTRY
$98=$ DON'T KNOW
SPECIFY IF ANOTHER PROVINCE

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? |  |  |
| 15 | How long does it take you to go there, get water, and come back? | MINUTES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> ON PREMISES $\qquad$ |  |
| 16 | How do you treat your drinking water? PROBE: Anything else? CIRCLE ALL RESPONSES. |  |  |
| 17 | What kind of toilet facility does your household use? |  |  |
| 18 | Does your household have: <br> Electricity? <br> A radio/radio cassette? <br> A television? <br> A telephone/cellular phone? <br> A refrigerator/freezer? |  |  |
| 19 | How many rooms are used for sleeping by your household? | ROOMS................................. $\quad \square$ |  |
| 20 | MAIN MATERIAL OF THE FLOOR. RECORD OBSERVATION. |  |  |
| 21 | Does any member of your household own: <br> A bicycle? <br> A motorcycle? <br> A carljeep/van? <br> A motorized banca/boat? <br> A tractor? |  |  |
| 22 | What type of salt is usually used for cooking in your household? |  |  |
| 23 | May I see a sample of the salt used to cook the viand eaten by members of your household last night? <br> test the salt and write the result | IODINE READING (PPM) <br> NOT TESTED/NOT SEEN $\qquad$ |  |

Republic of the Philippines NATIONAL STATISTICS OFFICE 1998 NATIONAL DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEY INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONNAIRE

Set ___ of ___ sets




## AGE - BIRTH DATE CONSISTENCY CHART

| Current <br> Age | Year of Birth |  | Current Age | Year of Birth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Has not had birthday in 1998 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Has already } \\ \text { had birthday } \\ \text { in } 1998 \end{gathered}$ |  | Has not had birthday in 1998 | Has already had birthday in 1998 |
|  | Don't Know |  |  | Don't Know |  |
| 0 | 1997 | -- | 30 | 1967 | 1968 |
| 1 | 1996 | 1997 | 31 | 1966 | 1967 |
| 2 | 1995 | 1996 | 32 | 1965 | 1966 |
| 3 | 1994 | 1995 | 33 | 1964 | 1965 |
| 4 | 1993 | 1994 | 34 | 1963 | 1964 |
| 5 | 1992 | 1993 | 35 | 1962 | 1963 |
| 6 | 1991 | 1992 | 36 | 1961 | 1962 |
| 7 | 1990 | 1991 | 37 | 1960 | 1961 |
| 8 | 1989 | 1990 | 38 | 1959 | 1960 |
| 9 | 1988 | 1989 | 39 | 1958 | 1959 |
| 10 | 1987 | 1988 | 40 | 1957 | 1958 |
| 11 | 1986 | 1987 | 41 | 1956 | 1957 |
| 12 | 1985 | 1986 | 42 | 1955 | 1956 |
| 13 | 1984 | 1985 | 43 | 1954 | 1955 |
| 14 | 1983 | 1984 | 44 | 1953 | 1954 |
| 15 | 1982 | 1983 | 45 | 1952 | 1953 |
| 16 | 1981 | 1982 | 46 | 1951 | 1952 |
| 17 | 1980 | 1981 | 47 | 1950 | 1951 |
| 18 | 1979 | 1980 | 48 | 1949 | 1950 |
| 19 | 1978 | 1979 | 49 | 1948 | 1949 |
| 20 | 1977 | 1978 | 50 | 1947 | 1948 |
| 21 | 1976 | 1977 | 51 | 1946 | 1947 |
| 22 | 1975 | 1976 | 52 | 1945 | 1946 |
| 23 | 1974 | 1975 | 53 | 1944 | 1945 |
| 24 | 1973 | 1974 | 54 | 1943 | 1944 |
| 25 | 1972 | 1973 | 55 | 1942 | 1943 |
| 26 | 1971 | 1972 | 56 | 1941 | 1942 |
| 27 | 1970 | 1971 | 57 | 1940 | 1941 |
| 28 | 1969 | 1970 | 58 | 1939 | 1940 |
| 29 | 1968 | 1969 | 59 | 1938 | 1939 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | RECORD THE TIME STARTED. | HOUR $\qquad$ <br> MINUTES $\square$ |  |
| 102 | How long have you been living continuously in (NAME OF CURRENT PLACE OF RESIDENCE)? | NUMBER OF YEARS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> ALWAYS $\qquad$ 95 <br> VISITOR $\qquad$ 96 | $104$ |
| 103 | Just before you moved here, did you live in a large city, small city, town/poblacion or barrio/rural area? |  |  |
| 104 | In what month and year were you born? | MONTH $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW MONTH $\qquad$ YEAR $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW YEAR $\square$ |  |
| 105 | How old were you at your last birthday? <br> COMPARE AND CORRECT 104 AND/OR 105 IF INCONSISTENT. | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS $\square$ |  |
| 106 | Have you ever attended school? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { YES ............................................. } 1 \\ \text { NO................................................. } 2 \end{array}$ | $\rightarrow 112$ |
| 107 | What is the highest grade/year you completed? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | CHECK 105: <br> AGE 25 OR ABOVE |  | >111 |
| 109 | Are you currently attending school? |  | $\rightarrow 111$ |
| 110 | What was the main reason you stopped attending school? |  |  |
| 111 | CHECK 107: <br> ELEMENTARY <br> HIGH SCHOOL GRADE 7 YEAR 1 OR OR LOWER HIGHER |  | - 113 |
| 112 | Can you read and understand a letter or newspaper easily, with difficulty, or not at all? |  | 114 |
| 113 | Do you usually read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week? | YES................................................................................................ |  |
| 114 | Do you usually listen to a radio everyday? |  |  |
| 115 | Do you usually watch television at least once a week? |  |  |
| 116 | What is your religion? |  |  |
| 117 | How do you classify yourself? Are you a Tagalog, Cebuano, llocano, Ilonggo, Bicolano, Waray, Kapampangan, or something else? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 | Now I would like to ask about all the births you have had during your life. Have you ever given birth? | YES ............................................................................................................................... | 206 |
| 202 | Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are now living with you? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ............................................................................................................... } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | 204 |
| 203 | How many sons live with you? <br> And how many daughters live with you? <br> IF NONE, RECORD " 00 ". | SONS AT HOME. $\qquad$ DAUGHTERS AT HOME $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 204 | Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are alive but do not live with you? |  | 206 |
| 205 | How many sons are alive but do not live with you? <br> And how many daughters are alive but do not live with you? <br> IF NONE, RECORD " 00 ". | SONS ELSEWHERE $\qquad$ DAUGHTERS ELSEWHERE.. $\square$ |  |
| 206 | Have you ever given birth to a boy or girl who was bom alive but later died? <br> IF NO, PROBE: Any baby who cried or showed signs of life but survived only a few hours or days? | YES $\qquad$ .1 <br> NO. | 208 |
| 207 | How many boys have died? <br> And how many girls have died? <br> IF NONE, RECORD "00". | BOYS DEAD $\qquad$ <br> GIRLS DEAD $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 208 | Some pregnancies end in non-live births before full term or as a stillbirth. Have you had any pregnancy that did not result in a live birth? | YES .............................................................................................................. | 210 |
| 209 | In all, how many such pregnancies have there been? | PREGNANCY LOSS ............ $\square$ |  |
| 210 | SUM ANSWERS TO 203, 205, 207 AND 209, AND ENTER TOTAL. <br> IF NONE, RECORD "00". | TOTAL PREGNANCIES........ $\square$ |  |
| 211 | CHECK 210: <br> Just to make sure that I have this right: you have had $\qquad$ children who are still living (CHECK 203 AND 205) $\qquad$ children who have died (CHECK 207) $\qquad$ pregnancies that did not result in a live birth (CHECK 209). Is that correct? <br> PROBE AND <br> YES <br> NO CORRECT <br> 201-210 AS NECESSARY |  |  |
| 212 | CHECK 210: <br> ONE OR MORE <br> NO PREGNANCIES PREGNANCIES |  | 236 |

213 Now I would like to ask you about all of your pregnancies, whether born alive, born dead, or lost before full term, starting with the first one you had.

RECORD ALL THE PREGNANCIES. RECORD TWINS AND TRIPLETS ON SEPARATE LINES.





| 230 | FROM YEAR OF INTERVIEW (1998), SUBTRACT YEAR OF LAST PR IS THE DIFFERENCE 4 YEARS OR MORE? | EGNANCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ...... } 1 \\ & \text { NO ...... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 232 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 231 | Have you had any pregnancies since (YEAR OF LAST PREGNANCY)? <br> IF "YES", PROBE AND CORRECT 203 TO 229. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES...... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. } 2 . . . . .2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 232 | COMPARE 210 WITH NUMBER OF PREGNANCIES IN HISTORY ABOVE AND MARK <br> NUMBERS <br> NUMBERS ARE <br> ARE SAME <br> DIFFERENT <br> PROBE AND RECONCILE <br> CHECK: FOR EACH PREGNANCY: YEAR IS RECORDED IN 220 OR 225. FOR EACH LIVING CHILD: CURRENT AGE IS RECORDED IN 222. $\qquad$ <br> FOR EACH DEAD CHILD: AGE AT DEATH IS RECORDED IN 224. $\qquad$ <br> FOR EACH PREGNANCY LOSS: DURATION IS RECORDED IN 226. $\qquad$ FOR AGE AT DEATH 12 MONTHS OR 1 YR.: PROBE TO DETERMINE EXACT NUMBER OF MONTHS IN 224. $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |  |  |
| 233 | CHECK 220 AND ENTER THE NUMBER OF BIRTHS SINCE JANUARY 1993. IF NONE, RECORD " 0 ". |  |  |  |
| 233A | CHECK 233: YIRTHS $=0 ?$ <br> $(S K I P ~ T O ~ 235) ~$ |  |  |  |
| 234 | CHECK 220: <br> FOR EACH BIRTH SINCE JANUARY 1993 ENTER "B" IN THE MONTH OF BIRTH IN COLUMN 1 OF THE CALENDAR AND " $P$ " IN EACH OF THE 8 PRECEDING MONTHS. WRITE NAME TO THE LEFT OF THE "B" CODE. |  |  |  |
| 235 | $\text { CHECK } 225 \text { AND 226: }$ <br> FOR EACH NON-LIVE BIRTH SINCE 1993, ENTER "T" IN THE MONTH OF PREGNANCY TERMINATION IN COLUMN 1 OF THE CALENDAR AND "P" IN EACH PRECEDING MONTH OF PREGNANCY. |  |  |  |
| 236 | Are you pregnant? <br> How many months pregnant are you? <br> RECORD NUMBER OF COMPLETED MONTHS. <br> ENTER "Ps" IN COLUMN 1 OF CALENDAR, BEGINNING WITH <br> THE MONTH OF INTERVIEW AND FOR EACH COMPLETED MONTH OF PREGNANCY. |  |  |  |
| 237 |  | MONTHS ........................... $\quad \square$ |  |  |
| 238 | At the time you became pregnant, did you want to become pregnant then, did you want to wait until later, or did you not want to become pregnant at all? |  |  |  |
| 239 | When did your last menstrual period start? <br> (DATE, IF GIVEN) |  |  |  |
| 240 | Are there certain days during the woman's menstrual cycle when she has a greater chance of becoming pregnant than other days? |  |  |  |
| 241 | During which days of the monthly cycle does a woman have the greatest chance of becoming pregnant? |  |  |  |


|  | Now I would like to talk about family planning - the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy <br> CIRCLE CODE "1" IN 301 FOR EACH METHOD MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. <br> THEN PROCEED DOWN COLUMN 302, READING THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHOD NOT MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE CODE " 2 " IF METHOD IS RECOGNIZED, AND CODE " 3 " IF NOT RECOGNIZED. <br> THEN, FOR EACH METHOD WITH CODE "1" OR "2" CIRCLED IN 301 OR 302, ASK 303 AND 304. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 | Which ways or methods have you he | eard about? <br> \|SPONTANEOUS YES | 302 Have you ever heard of (METHOD)? PROBED YES | 303 Have you ever used (METHOD)? | 304 Do you know where a person could go to get (METHOD)? |
| 01 | PILL Women can take a pill every day. | 1 | 237 | YES ............ 1 NO.............. 2 | YES, SAME BARANGAY............... 1 YES, ANOTHER BARANGAY....... 2 NO............................................. 3 |
| 02 | IUD Women can have a loop or coil placed inside them by a doctor or a nurse. | 1 | 237 | YES ............ 1 | YES, SAME BARANGAY............... 1 YES, ANOTHER BARANGAY....... 2 NO........................................... 3 |
| 03 | INJECTIONS Women can have an injection by a doctor or nurse which stops them from becoming pregnant for several months. | 1 | 237 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES...........-1 } \\ & \text { NO .............. } \end{aligned}$ | YES, SAME BARANGAY.............. 1 YES, ANOTHER BARANGAY....... 2 NO............................................. 3 |
| 04 | CONDOM Men can put a rubber sheath on their penis during sexual intercourse. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ............ } 1 \\ & \text { NO.............. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | YES, SAME BARANGAY.............. 1 YES, ANOTHER BARANGAY....... 2 NO.......................................... 3 |
| 05 | LIGATION/FEMALE STERILIZATION Women can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | 1 | 237 | Have you ever had an operation to avoid having any more children? <br> YES $\qquad$ 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ 2 | YES, SAME BARANGAY $\qquad$ . YES, ANOTHER BARANGAY. $\qquad$ . 2 NO $\qquad$ 3 |
| 06 | VASECTOMY/MALE STERILIZATION Men can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | 1 | 237 | Have you ever had a partner who had an operation to avoid having any more children? <br> YES ............. 1 <br> NO .............. 2 | YES, SAME BARANGAY $\qquad$ 1 <br> YES, ANOTHER BARANGAY $\qquad$ 2 <br> NO $\qquad$ |
| 07 | CALENDAR, RHYTHM, PERIODIC ABSTINENCE Every month that women are sexually active they can avoid having sexual intercourse on the days of the month they are most likely to get pregnant. | 1 | $23^{3}$ 河 | YES ........... 1 NO ............. 2 | Do you know where a person can obtain advice on how to use this method? <br> YES, SAME BARANGAY $\qquad$ 1 YES, ANOTHER BARANGAY........ 2 NO. $\qquad$ 3 |
| 08 | MUCUS, BILLINGS, OVULATION Women can monitor cervical mucus to determine the days of the month they are most likely to get pregnant. | 1 |  | YES ........... 1 NO............. 2 | Do you know where a person can obtain advice on how to use this method? <br> YES, SAME BARANGAY.............. 1 <br> YES, ANOTHER BARANGAY........ 2 <br> NO $\qquad$ |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 309 | Now I would like to ask you about the first time that you did something or used a method to avoid getting pregnant. <br> What was the first method you ever used? <br> IF WOMAN MENTIONS LAM, PROBE IF AT THE TIME SHE WAS USING LAM SHE HAD A BABY LESS THAN 6 MONTHS OLD, HER PERIOD DID NOT RETURN THEN, AND SHE WAS BREASTFEEDING THE BABY DAY AND NIGHT WITHOUT SUPPLEMENTATION TO AVOID PREGNANCY. IF THE RESPONDENT DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR LAM, ENCIRCLE CODE " 12 " - BREASTFEEDING. |  |  |
| 310 | How many living children did you have at that time, if any? IF NONE, RECORD "00". | NUMBER OF CHILDREN....... |  |
| 311 | In what month and year did you first start using a method of family planning? | MONTH $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW .98 YEAR $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | 313 |
| 312 | How old were you when you first started using a method of family planning? | AGE........................... $\square$ |  |
| 313 | CHECK 303: <br> WOMAN NOT <br> WOMAN STERILIZED STERILIZED |  | 316A |
| 314 | CHECK 236: <br> NOT PREGNANT <br> PREGNANT OR UNSURE |  | 331 |
| 315 | Are you currently doing something or using any method to delay or avoid getting pregnant? | YES ................................................................................................................................ | 331 |
| 316 | Which method are you currently using? <br> - CIRCLE ONLY ONE CODE. <br> - IF FEMALE STERILIZATION IS USED IN COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER METHOD, CIRCLE "05" FOR FEMALE STERILIZATION. <br> - IF USING ANY METHOD WHICH REQUIRES SUPPLY/SERVICE ("01" TO " 06 ") AND ANY METHOD WHICH DOES NOT REQUIRE SUPPLY/SERVICE ("07" TO " 13 " AND " 96 "), CIRCLE THE CODE FOR THE METHOD WHICH REQUIRE SUPPLYI SERVICE ("01" TO "06"). <br> - IF WOMEN IS STERILIZED/USING IUD AND HUSBAND/ PARTNER HAD STERILIZATION, CIRCLE THE CODE FOR THE CURRENT METHOD USED BY THE WOMAN. <br> - IF THE ABOVE CONDITIONS ARE NOT SATISFIED, CIRCLE THE CODE FOR THE METHOD USED OFTEN. |  | 323 <br> 325 <br> 330 |
| 316A | CIRCLE "05" FOR FEMALE STERILIZATION. |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 317 | At the time you first started using the pill, did you consult a doctor, nurse or midwife? | YES................................................... 1 |  |
| 318 | At the time you last got the pill, did you consult a doctor, nurse or midwife? |  |  |
| 319 | May / see the package of pills you are now using? RECORD NAME OF BRAND IF PACKAGE IS SEEN. | PACKAGE SEEN BRAND NAME <br> PACKAGE NOT SEEN | 321 |
| 320 | What is the brand name of the pills you are using now? RECORD NAME OF BRAND. | BRAND NAME <br> DONT KNOW ................................ 98 |  |
| 321 | How much (in cash) does one packet (cycle) of pills cost you? | PESO........................   <br> FREE_-........................................ 996   <br> DON'T KNOW................................ 998   |  |
| 322 | How much would you be willing to pay for the packet of pills? <br> P 10? <br> P 25? <br> P 50? <br> IF YES, CONTINUE WITH THE <br> P 75? NEXT AMOUNT. <br> P 100? <br> IF "NO", SKIP TO 330. <br> P 150? <br> P 200? <br> P 300? <br> More than P 300? |  | 330 |
| 323 | On your last visit, how much (in cash) did you actually pay for (METHOD in 316)? <br> PROBE FOR COST PER IUD DEVICE PLUS INSERTION, PER INJECTION, PER PACKET OF CONDOM, AS APPROPRIATE. |  |  |
| 324 | How much would you be willing to pay for (METHOD in 316), (including all costs): <br> P 10? <br> P 20? <br> P 30? <br> F "YES", CONTINUE WITH THE <br> P 50? NEXT AMOUNT. <br> P 100? $\text { F "NO", SKIP TO } 330 .$ <br> P 250? <br> P 500? <br> P 750? <br> P 1000? <br> More than P 1000? |  | 330 |
| 325 | Do you regret that you (your husband/partner) had the operation not to have any (more) children? | YES .................................................................................................... | 327 |
| 326 | Why do you regret the operation? | RESPONDENT WANTS <br> ANOTHER CHILD $\qquad$ 1 <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER WANTS <br> ANOTHER CHILD. $\qquad$ 2 <br> SIDE EFFECTS $\qquad$ 3 <br> CHILD DIED. $\qquad$ . <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGO | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 327 | In what month and year was the sterilization performed? | MONTH YEAR $\qquad$ |  |
| 328 | How much (in cash) did the sterilization operation cost you? | PESO $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> FREE $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |  |
| 329 | CHECK 327: <br> STERILIZED BEFORE JANUARY 1993 <br> ENTER CODE FOR STERILIZATION IN MONTH OF INTERVIEW IN COLUMN 1 OF THE CALENDAR AND EACH MONTH BACK TO JANUARY 1993. $\text { THEN SKIP TO } \longrightarrow 332$ | STERILIZED IN/ AFTER JAN. 1993 <br> FOR STERILIZATION IN TERVIEW IN COLUMN 1 OF AR AND IN EACH MONTH DATE OF THE OPERATION $\longrightarrow 331$ |  |

330 ENTER METHOD CODE FROM 316 IN CURRENT MONTH IN COLUMN 1 OF CALENDAR. THEN DETERMINE WHEN SHE STARTED USING METHOD THIS TIME. ENTER METHOD CODE IN EACH MONTH OF USE. ILLUSTRATIVE QUESTIONS:

- When did you start using this method continuously?
- How long have you been using this method continuously?

I would like to ask you some questions about the times you or your partner may have used a method to avoid getting pregnant during the last few years.

USE CALENDAR TO PROBE FOR EARLIER PERIODS OF USE AND NON-USE, STARTING WITH MOST RECENT USE, BACK TO JANUARY 1993.

USE NAMES OF CHILDREN, DATES OF BIRTH, AND PERIODS OF PREGNANCY AS REFERENCE POINTS.
IN COLUMN 1, ENTER CODE IN EACH MONTH OF METHOD USE OR "0" FOR NON-USE. ILLUSTRATIVE QUESTIONS:

COLUMN 1: - When was the last time you used a method? Which method was that?

- When did you start using that method? How long after the birth of (NAME)?
- How long did you use the method then?

IN COLUMN 2, ENTER CODES FOR REASON FOR DISCONTINUATION IN THE LAST MONTH THE METHOD WAS USED. NUMBER OF CODES IN COLUMN 2 MUST BE THE SAME AS THE NUMBER OF INTERRUPTIONS OF METHOD USE IN COLUMN 1.

ASK WHY SHE STOPPED USING THE METHOD. IFA PREGNANCY FOLLOWED, ASK WHETHER SHE BECAME PREGNANT UNINTENTIONALLY WHILE USING THE METHOD OR DELIBERATELY STOPPED TO GET PREGNANT.

ILLUSTRATIVE QUESTIONS:
COLUMN 2: - Why did you stop using the (METHOD)?

- Did you become pregnant while using (METHOD), or did you stop to get pregnant, or did you stop for some other reason?

IF DELIBERATELY STOPPED TO BECOME PREGNANT, ASK:

- How many months did it take you to get pregnant after you stopped using (METHOD)? AND ENTER "0" IN EACH SUCH MONTH IN COLUMN 1.

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 332 | CHECK 316: <br> CIRCLE METHOD CODE: |  | 346 <br> 346 |
| 333 | Where did you obtain/learn about (METHOD) the last time? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTER, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE AND CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) |  |  |
| 334 | How long did it take to travel from your home to (NAME OF SOURCE)? <br> IF LESS THAN 2 HOURS, RECORD IN MINUTES. ELSE, RECORD IN HOURS. | MINUTES $\qquad$ .1 <br> HOURS $\qquad$ 2 DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |  |
| 335 | Is it easy or difficult to get to (NAME OF SOURCE)? |  |  |
| 336 | Were you satisfied with the service at (NAME OF SOURCE)? | YES ............................................................................. 8 NO DON'T KNOW | $\begin{aligned} & 338 \\ & 338 \end{aligned}$ |
| 337 | What is the reason you were not satisfied with the service at (NAME OF SOURCE)? <br> PROBE: Any other reason? <br> CIRCLE ALL RESPONSES. |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 338 | Who referred you to (NAME OF SOURCE)? |  |  |
| 339 | Are you having any problem with using (NAME OF METHOD)? | YES ............................................... 1 NO.................................................. 2 | 341 |
| 340 | What is your main problem with using (NAME OF METHOD)? |  |  |
| 341 | CHECK 316 : <br> FEMALE/MALE STER <br> OTHER | ilization <br> METHODS $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & 342 A \\ & 342 \end{aligned}$ |
| 342 | Do you know another place where you could have obtained/learned about (METHOD) the last time? | YES $\qquad$ 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ 2 | $\rightarrow 343$ |
| 342A | At the time of the sterilization operation, did you know another place where you could have received the operation? | YES ................................................. 1 <br> NO $\qquad$ . 2 | 348 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 343 | People select the place where they get family planning services for various reasons. <br> What was the main reason you went to (NAME OF PLACE IN 333) instead of some other place you know about? <br> RECORD RESPONSE AND CIRCLE CODE. $\qquad$ |  | 348 |
| 344 | CHECK 236: <br> NOT PREGNANT OR <br> PREGNANT UNSURE |  | 346 |
| 345 | What is the main reason you are not using a method of contraception to avoid pregnancy? <br> RECORD RESPONSE AND CIRCLE CODE. |  | 348 |
| 346 | Do you know of a place where you can obtain a method of family planning? | YES............................................... 1 NO................................................. 2 | 348 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 347 | Where is that? <br> PROBE: Anywhere else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 348 | Were you visited by a family planning program worker in the last 12 months? |  |  |
| 349 | Have you visited a health facility for any reason in the last 12 months? | YES .....-.......................................... 1 NO................................................... $2-1$ | 351 |
| 350 | Did any staff member at the health facility speak to you about family planning methods? | YES.................................................. 1 |  |
| 351 | Have you had a pap smear within the past 5 years? | YES ........................................................................................ 2 NO......................... 8 DON'T KNOW PAP SMEAR.......... |  |
| 352 | Have you examined your breast for any sign of a mass within the last month? | YES ................................................ 1 |  |

REMARKS:

| 401 | CHECK 233: <br> ONE OR MORE BIRTHS SINCE JAN. 1993 | $\square$ | SKIP TO 479 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 402 | ENTER THE NAME, LINE NUMBER, AND SURVIVAL STATUS OF EACH BIRTH SINCE JANUARY 1993 IN THE TABLE. ASK THE QUESTIONS ABOUT ALL OF THESE BIRTHS. BEGIN WITH THE LAST BIRTH. <br> (IF THERE ARE MORE THAN 2 BIRTHS, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE). <br> Now I would like to ask you some questions about the health of all your children born in the last five years. (We will talk about one child at a time.) |  |  |
| 403 | LINE NUMBER FROM 214 | LAST BIRTH <br> LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ |
| 404 | FROM 218 <br> AND 221 | NAME $\qquad$ <br> ALIVE DEAD | NAME $\qquad$ <br> ALIVE <br> DEAD |
| 405 | At the time you became pregnant with (NAME), did you want to become pregnant then, did you want to wait until later, or did you want no (no more) children at all? | THEN $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 2 |  |
| 406 | How much longer would you like to have waited? | MONTHS $\qquad$ <br> YEARS $\qquad$ 2 $\square$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 998 | MONTHS $\qquad$ .1 <br> YEARS $\qquad$ 2 DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |
| 407 | When you were pregnant with (NAME), did you see anyone for prenatal care for this pregnancy? <br> IF YES: Whom did you see? Anyone else? <br> PROBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSON AND RECORD ALL PERSONS SEEN. |  |  |
| 408 | Whom did you see first for prenatal care? |  |  |
| 409 | How many months pregnant were you when you first received prenatal care? | MONTHS $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | MONTHS $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |
| 410 | How many times did you receive prenatal care during this pregnancy? | NO. OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | NO. OF TIMES $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW $\square$ |
| 411 | During any of your prenatal visits, were you informed about symptoms or conditions which may occur during pregnancy that may be dangerous to you or to your baby? |  |  |


|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 412 | What symptoms or conditions can you remember? <br> PROBE: Anything else? |  |  |
| 413 | When you were pregnant with (NAME) were you given any of the following: <br> Iron tablets/capsules? lodine capsule? <br> Tetanus toxoid, an injection in the arm to prevent the baby from getting tetanus, that is, convulsions after birth? |  |  |
| 414 | During this pregnancy, how many times did you receive a tetanus toxoid injection? |  | NO. OF <br> TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 8 |
| 415A | Did you receive any tetanus toxoid injections during your previous pregnancies or during the National Immunization Day or Oplan Alis Disease? | YES .................................................................................................................................. | YES ............................................................................................... 8 NO 8 |
| 415B | How many times? | NUMBER OF TIMES.............. | NUMBER OF TIMES .............. |
| 416 | Where did you give birth to (NAME)? |  |  |
| 417 | Who assisted with the delivery of (NAME)? <br> Anyone else? <br> PROBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSON AND RECORD ALL PERSONS ASSISTING. |  |  |


|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 417A | Around the time of the birth of (NAME), did you have any of the following problems? <br> Long Labor, that is, your regular contractions last more than 12 hours? <br> Excessive bleeding that you feared it was life threatening? <br> A high fever with bad smelling vaginal diischarge? <br> Convulsions not caused by a fever? |  |  YES NO <br> LABOR MORE THAN 12   <br> HOURS .......................... 1 2  <br> EXCESSIVE BLEEDING ......... 1 2  <br> FEVER WITH BAD SMELLING   <br> VAGINAL DISCHARGE ..... 1 2  <br> CONVULSIONS .................. 1 2  |
| 418 | When (NAME) was born, was he/she: very large, larger than average, average, smaller than average, or very small? |  |  |
| 419 | Was (NAME) delivered by caesarian section? |  |  |
| 419A | What was the main reason for having a delivery by caesarian section? |  | HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE AND <br> SWELLING OF FACE AND HAND W/O CONVULSION (PREECLAMPSIA). $\qquad$ .01 <br> CONVULSION, HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE AND SWELLING OF FACE AND HAND (ECLAMPSIA). $\qquad$ 02 <br> BABY TOO BIG TO PASS MOTHER'S PELVIC BONE $\qquad$ .03 <br> BREECH BIRTH, BABY'S HEAD NOT COMING OUT FIRST $\qquad$ 04 <br> BABY MIGHT DIE INSIDE MOTHER'S WOMB (FETAL DISTRESS) $\qquad$ .05 <br> UNUSUALLY PROLONGED LABOR (LABOR BEYOND 12 HOURS). $\qquad$ .06 <br> EXCESSIVE WATERY VAGINAL DISCHARGE BEFORE THE ONSET OF LABOR. $\qquad$ 07 <br> EXCESSIVE BLEEDING $\qquad$ .08 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 09 (SPECIFY) $\qquad$ |
| 420 | Was (NAME) weighed at birth? | YES ................................................................................................ (SKIP TO 422) | YES .......................................................................................... (SKIP TO 422) |
| 421 | How much did (NAME) weigh? <br> RECORD WEIGHT FROM HEALTH CARD, IF AVAILABLE. |  |  |


|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 422 | Did you see anyone for a postnatal checkup after the birth of (NAME)? <br> IF YES: Whom did you see? Anyone else? |  |  |
| 423 | How many days after the birth of (NAME) did you get postnatal care? | DAYS $\qquad$ <br> WEEKS $\qquad$ .2 <br> DON'T KNOW. $\square$ | DAYS $\qquad$ .1 <br> WEEKS $\qquad$ .2 <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 998 |
| 424 | Did you receive the following services during your postnatal check-up? <br> Abdominal examination? <br> Breast examination? <br> Internal examination? <br> Family planning advice? <br> Breastfeeding advice? <br> Baby care advice? <br> Check-up of baby? <br> Any other service? |  |  |
| 425 | Has your period returned since the birth of (NAME)? |  |  |
| 426 | Did your period return between the birth of (NAME) and your next pregnancy? |  |  |
| 427 | For how many months after the birth of (NAME) did you not have your period? | MONTHS $\square$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 98 | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 98 |
| 428 | CHECK 236: <br> RESPONDENT PREGNANT? |  |  |
| 429 | Have you resumed sexual relations since the birth of (NAME)? |  |  |
| 430 | For how many months after the birth of (NAME) did you not have sexual relations? | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ 98 | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 98 |
| 431 | Did you ever breastfeed (NAME)? |  |  |


|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 432 | Why did you not breastfeed (NAME)? | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { MOTHER ILLWEAK } \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ 2\end{array}\right]$ |  |
| 433 | How long after birth did you first put (NAME) to the breast? <br> IF LESS THAN 1 HOUR, RECORD " 00 " HOURS <br> IF LESS THAN 24 HOURS, RECORD HOURS. <br> OTHERWISE, RECORD DAYS | IMMEDIATELY $\qquad$ <br> HOURS $\qquad$ 1 <br> DAYS $\qquad$ 2 | IMMEDIATELY $\qquad$ <br> HOURS $\qquad$ 1 <br> DAYS $\qquad$ 2 |
| 434 | CHECK 404: <br> CHILD ALIVE? | ALIVE <br> DEAD $\square$ |  |
| 435 | Are you still breastfeeding (NAME)? |  |  |
| 436 | For how many months did you breastfeed (NAME)? | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DONT KNOW. $\qquad$ 98 | MONTHS $\qquad$ STILL BREASTFEEDING $\qquad$ (SKIP TO 441) DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 98 |
| 437 | Why did you stop breastfeeding (NAME)? |  |  |
| 438 | How many times did you breastfeed (NAME) last night between sunset and sunrise? <br> IF ANSWER IS NOT NUMERIC PROBE FOR APPROXIMATE NUMBER. | NUMBER OF NIGHTTIME FEEDINGS |  |



|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 447 | (Aside from breastfeeding,) how many times did (NAME) eat and/or drink yesterday, including both meals and snacks? <br> IF 7 OR MORE TIMES, RECORD " 7 ". | NUMBER OF TIMES. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 8 | NUMBER OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 8 |
| 448 |  | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 449. | IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 449; OR IF WITH SECOND-TO-LAST BIRTH SINCE JANUARY 1993, USE ANOTHER QUESTIONNAIRE. |

REMARKS:


|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 456 | CHECK 222: <br> AGE OF CHILD $=$ " 00 "? | YES $\square$ NO $\square$ | YES NO |
| 456A | Did (NAME) ever receive any vaccinations to prevent him/her from getting diseases? | YES .............................................. 1NO...............................................(SKIP TO 457P)DON'T KNOW................................. 8 |  |
| 457 | Please tell me if (NAME) received any of the following vaccinations: |  |  |
| 457A | A BCG vaccination against tuberculosis, that is, an injection in the left shoulder that caused a scar? |  | YES....................................................................................................................................................... (SKIP TO 457C) |
| 457B | Did (NAME) receive this BCG vaccine before his/her first birthday? <br> DO NOT ASK THIS QUESTION IF "YES" in 456. | YES_................................................................................................. | YES........................................................................................................ 2 |
| 457C | Polio vaccine, that is, drops in the mouth ? |  | YES........................................................................................................................................... (SKIP 457F) |
| 457D | How many times? | NUMBER OF TIMES | NUMBER OF TIMES |
| 457E | Did (NAME) receive this third (last) polio vaccine before his/her first birthday? <br> DO NOT ASK THIS QUESTION IF "YES" iN 456. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ................................................................................................. } \\ & \text { NO...... } \end{aligned}$ | YES................................................................................................... |
| 457F | DPT vaccination, that is, an injection in the thigh that is usually given at the same time as polio drops? |  |  |
| 457G | How many times? | NUMBER OF TIMES | NUMBER OF TIMES |
| 457H | Did (NAME) receive this third (last) DPT vaccine before his /her first birthday? <br> DO NOT ASK THIS QUESTION IF "YES" IN 456. | YES ............................................................................................... | YES............................................................................................. 2 |
| 457J | An injection to prevent measles? |  |  |
| 457K | Did (NAME) receive this measles vaccine before his/her first birthday? <br> DO NOT ASK THIS QUESTION IF "YES" IN 456. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ................................................................................................... } \\ & \text { NO...... } \end{aligned}$ | YES........................................................................................................ |


|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 457L | CHECK 456 (OR 222): <br> AGE OF CHILD $=$ " 00 "? |  | YES <br> NO <br> (SKIP TO 457T) |
| 457M | CHECK 452: <br> SEEN CARD? |  |  |
| 457 N | GO BACK TO 454, IF ALL ROWS FILLED UP ASK: <br> Did (NAME) receive BCG, 3 doses of Polio and DPT and Measles Vaccines before his/her first birthday? <br> OTHERWISE, DO NOT ASK THIS QUESTION, ENTER "X" FOR "NO" AND SKIP TO 457R. |  |  |
| 4570 | CHECK 457B: $\begin{aligned} & \text { 457D: }=" 3 \text { " AND 457E }=\text { "YES" } \\ & \text { 457G: }=\text { " } 3 \text { " AND 457H = "YES" } \\ & \text { 457K: } \end{aligned}$ <br> RECEIVED VACCINATION <br> BEFORE FIRST BIRTHDAY? |  |  |
| 457P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHECK 456: } \\ & \text { AGE OF CHILD }=" 00 " ? \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 457R | What are the reasons why (NAME) did not complete (have any) vaccination before reaching his/her first birthday? <br> RECORD ALL RESPONSES MENTIONED. | UNAWARE OF NEEDS FOR IMMUNIZATION $\qquad$ <br> UNAWARE OF NEED TO RETURN FOR SECOND OR THIRD DOSE $\qquad$ B <br> FEAR OF SIDE REACTIONS, WRONG IDEAS ABOUT CONTRAINDICATIONS $\qquad$ <br> POSTPONED UNTIL <br> ANOTHER TIME $\qquad$ <br> NO FAITH IN IMMUNIZATION..........E <br> RUMORS $\qquad$ F <br> PLACE OF IMMUNIZATION TOO FAR $\qquad$ <br> TIME FOR IMMUNIZATION INCONVENIENT $\qquad$ . H <br> VACCINATOR ABSENT. $\qquad$ <br> MOTHER TOO BUSY. $\qquad$ <br> FAMILY PROBLEM, INCLUDING ILLNESS OF MOTHER $\qquad$ K <br> CHILD ILL-NOT BROUGHT. $\qquad$ L <br> CHILD ILL-BROUGHT BUT NOT GIVEN IMMUNIZATION $\qquad$ M LONG WAITING TIME. $\qquad$ N OTHER $\qquad$ X | UNAWARE OF NEEDS FOR IMMUNIZATION $\qquad$ A <br> UNAWARE OF NEED TO RETURN FOR SECOND OR THIRD DOSE $\qquad$ B <br> FEAR OF SIDE REACTIONS, WRONG IDEAS ABOUT CONTRAINDICATIONS $\qquad$ <br> POSTPONED UNTIL <br> ANOTHER TIME............................D <br> NO FAITH IN IMMUNIZATION...............E <br> RUMORS $\qquad$ F <br> PLACE OF IMMUNIZATION <br> TOO FAR $\qquad$ G <br> TIME FOR IMMUNIZATION INCONVENIENT $\qquad$ H <br> VACCINATOR ABSENT. $\qquad$ <br> MOTHER TOO BUSY $\qquad$ <br> FAMILY PROBLEM, INCLUDING <br> ILLNESS OF MOTHER $\qquad$ K <br> CHILD ILL-NOT BROUGHT $\qquad$ L <br> CHILD ILL-BROUGHT BUT NOT <br> GIVEN IMMUNIZATION $\qquad$ M <br> LONG WAITING TIME $\qquad$ N <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& LAST BIRTH \& NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH \\
\hline \& \& NAME \& NAME \\
\hline 4575 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
CHECK 456A: \\
EVER RECEIVED VACCINATION?
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
YES/NO \(\square\) NO \(\square\) ENTRY \\
(SKIP TO 458)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
YES/NO \\
NO \(\square\) \\
(SKIP TO 458)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(457 T\)

$457 U$ \& | Did (NAME) receive an injection to prevent Hepatitis B? |
| :--- |
| How many times? | \& | YES ........................................... 1 NO.............................................. 2 (SKIP TO 458) |
| :--- |
| NUMBER OF TIMES | \& | YES................................................. 1 NO.................................................... 2 (SKIP TO 458) DON'T KNOW .................................. 8 |
| :--- |
| NUMBER OF TIMES | <br>


\hline 458 \& | At any time during the last six months, did (NAME) receive any of the following: |
| :--- |
| Vitamin A capsule? |
| lodine capsule? Iron drops/syrup? | \& |  | YES | NO |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | DK |  |
| VITAMIN A |  |  |
| IODINE |  |  |
| IRON........................... 1 | 2 | 8 |
| IRON | 2 | 8 | \&  <br>

\hline 459 \& Has (NAME) been ill with a fever at any time in the last 2 weeks? \& YES .................................................................................................................................. \& YES................................................................................................................................................. <br>
\hline 460 \& Has (NAME) been ill with a cough at any time in the last 2 weeks? \& YES ...................................................................................................................................
(SKIP TO 465) \& YES................................................................................................................................................
(SKIP TO 465) <br>
\hline 461 \& When (NAME) was ill with a cough, did he/she breathe faster than usual with short, fast breaths? \& YES ................................................................................................................................... \& YES ................................................................................................................................................... <br>
\hline 462 \& Did you seek advice or treatment for the cough? \& YES ............................................................................................
(SKIP TO 465) \&  <br>

\hline 463 \& | Where did you seek advice or treatment? |
| :--- |
| Anywhere else? |
| RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | \& | PUBLIC SECTOR |
| :--- |
| GOVT. HOSPITALCLINIC/CHHC...A RURAL HEALTH UNIT/ |
| URBAN HEALTH CENTER $\qquad$ B |
| BARANGAY HEALTH STATION.....C COMM. HEALTH WORKER.......... D OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ E |
| (SPECIFY) |
| PRIVATE SECTOR |
| PVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC............... F |
| PHARMACY $\qquad$ G |
| PRIVATE DOCTOR/MIDWIFE/ |
| NURSE $\qquad$ H |
| STORE $\qquad$ |
| INDUSTRY-BASED CLINIC $\qquad$ BOY SCOUTS/GIRL SCOUTS. $\qquad$ $k$ JAYCEES $\qquad$ L OTHER PRIVATE $\qquad$ M | \& | PUBLIC SECTOR |
| :--- |
| GOVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC/CHHC $\qquad$ A |
| RURAL HEALTH UNIT/ |
| URBAN HEALTH CENTER $\qquad$ B |
| BARANGAY HEALTH STATION $\qquad$ C |
| COMM. HEALTH WORKER $\qquad$ D |
| OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ E |
| (SPECIFY) |
| PRIVATE SECTOR |
| PVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC $\qquad$ F |
| PHARMACY $\qquad$ G |
| PRIVATE DOCTOR/MIDWIFE/ NURSE $\qquad$ H |
| STORE $\qquad$ I |
| INDUSTRY-BASED CLINIC $\qquad$ J BOY SCOUTS/GIRL SCOUTS $\qquad$ K JAYCEES $\qquad$ L OTHER PRIVATE $\qquad$ M | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 464 | What was given to treat the cough? |  |  |
| 465 | Has (NAME) had diarrhea in the last 2 weeks? |  |  |
| 466 | Was there any blood in the stools? |  |  |
| 467 | CHECK 435: <br> LAST CHILD STILL BREASTFEED? |  |  |
| 468 | During (NAME'S) diarrhea, did you maintain the same number of breastfeeds, did you increase the number of breastfeeds, did you reduce the number of breastfeeds, or did you stop breastfeeding completely althogether? |  |  |
| 469 | On that worst day of the diarrhea, how many bowel movements did (NAME) have? | NUMBER OF BOWEL <br> MOVEMENTS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 98 | NUMBER OF BOWEL <br> MOVEMENTS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 98 |
| 470 | (Aside from breastfeeding), was (NAME) given the same amount to drink as before the diamsea, or more, or less, or not given anything to drink at all? |  |  |
| 471 | Was (NAME) given the same amount of food to eat as before the diarrhea, or more, or less, or not given anything to eat at all? |  |  |


|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 472 | When (NAME) had diarrhea, was he/she given any of the following to drink: <br> Fluid from ORS preparation (ORESOL/Hydrite)? <br> Rice water/'am' Home-made sugar-salt-water solution? <br> Tea/herbal drinks/softdrinks/softdrinks with starch? <br> Milkinfant formula? <br> Rice/corn coffee? <br> Coconut water/broth/soups? <br> Water? <br> Any other liquid? |  | $\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \text { YES } & \text { NO } & \text { DK } \\ \text { ORS PREPARATION............... } 1 & 2 & 8 \\ \text { RICE WATER/'AM'................ } 1 & 2 & 8 \\ \text { HOME-MADE SUGAR-SALT- }\end{array}\right]$ |
| 473 | Was anything (else) given to treat the diarrhea? | YES ..................................................................................................................................... (SKIP TO 475) |  |
| 474 | What was given to treat the diarrhea? Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 475 | Did you seek advice or treatment for the diarrhea? | YES ............................................ 1 NO.......................................... (SKIP TO 477) |  |
| 476 | Where did you seek advice or treatment? <br> Anywhere else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC/CHHC...A RURAL HEALTH UNIT/ <br> URBAN HEALTH CENTER B <br> BARANGAY HEALTH STATION.....C <br> COMM. HEALTH WORKER.......... D <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ <br> PRIVATE SECTOR <br> PVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC $\qquad$ F <br> PHARMACY $\qquad$ G <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR/MIDWIFE/ NURSE $\qquad$ H <br> STORE <br> INDUSTRY-BASED CLINIC $\qquad$ J <br> BOY SCOUTS/GIRL SCOUTS $\qquad$ K JAYCEES <br> PRIVATE $\qquad$ M |
| 477 |  | GO BACK TO 451 IN NEXT COLUMN : OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 478 | GO BACK TO 451 IN NEXT COLUMN : OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 478 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 478 | CHECK 472, ALL COLUMNS: NO CHILD RECEIVED ORS PREPARATION (ORESOLHYDRITE) | ANY CHILD RECEIVED ORS PREPARATION (ORESOLHYDRITE) |  |
| 479 | Have you ever heard of a special product called ORESOL or HYDRITE you can get for the treatment of diarmea? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ........................................................................................... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 481 |
| 480 | Have you ever seen packets like these before? SHOW ORESOL PACKET OR HYDRITE TABLET. | YES........................................................................................................ | 485 |
| 481 | Have you ever prepared a solution with one of these packets/tablets or any other ORS for yourself or someone else to treat diarrhea? <br> SHOW ORESOL PACKET OR HYDRITE TABLET. | YES, ORESOL ................................ 1 YES, HYDRITE OR OTHER ORS...... .2 NO |  |
| 482 | The last time you prepared the ORESOL solution, did you use the whole packet at one time or only part of the packet? | WHOLE PACKET AT ONE TIME .......... 1 <br> PART OF THE PACKET. $\qquad$ | 484 |
| 483 | How much water did you use to prepare ORESOL the last time you made it? |  |  |
| 484 | Where can you get a packettablet of ORS like ORESOL, HYDRITE? PROBE: Anywhere else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC/CHHC.......A <br> RURAL HEALTH UNIT/ <br> URBAN HEALTH CENTER. $\qquad$ B <br> BARANGAY HEALTH STATION $\qquad$ C <br> COMM. HEALTH WORKER $\qquad$ D <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) <br> PRIVATE SECTOR <br> PVT. HOSPITALCLINIC $\qquad$ F <br> PHARMACY $\qquad$ G PRIVATE DOCTOR/MIDWIFE/ NURSE $\qquad$ H STORE $\qquad$ 1 <br> INDUSTRY-BASED CLINIC $\qquad$ J BOY SCOUTS/GIRL SCOUTS $\qquad$ K JAYCEES $\qquad$ L OTHER PRIVATE $\qquad$ M |  |
| 485 | When a child has diarmea, should he/she be given the same amount to drink as before the diarrhea, or more, or less, or not given anything to drink at all? |  |  |
| 486 | When a child has diarmea, should he/she be given the same amount to eat as before the diarrhea, or more, or less, or not given any thing to eat at all? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 487 | When a child is sick with diarrhea, what signs of the illness would tell you that he or she should be taken to a health facility or health worker? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | REPEATED WATERY STOOLS...........A <br> ANY WATERY STOOLS ........................ B <br> REPEATED VOMITING........................ C <br> ANY VOMITING ............................... D <br> BLOOD IN STOOLS ............................E <br> FEVER $\qquad$ F <br> MARKED THIRST. $\qquad$ G <br> NOT EATING/NOT DRINKING WELL...H GETTING SICKERNERY SICK............. 1 NOT GETTING BETTER..................... ل <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |  |
| 488 | When a child is sick with a cough, what signs of the illness would tell you that he or she should be taken to a health facillty or health worker? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |

REMARKS:

SECTION 5. MARRIAGE

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 501 | PRESENCE OF OTHERS AT THIS POINT. |  YES NO <br> CHILDREN UNDER 10............... 1 2  <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER ................ 1 2  <br> OTHER MALES..................... 1 2  <br> OTHER FEMALES ................. 1 2  |  |
| 502 | Are you currently married or living with a man? | YES, CURRENTLY MARRIED $\qquad$ . 1 <br> YES, LIVING WITH A MAN $\qquad$ 2 <br> NO, NOT IN UNION $\qquad$ 3 | $507$ |
| 503 | Do you currently have a regular sexual partner, an occasional sexual partner, or no sexual partner at all? | REGULAR SEXUAL PARTNER $\ldots . . . . . . . . . .1$ OCCASIONAL SEXUAL PARTNER..... .2 NO SEXUAL PARTNER |  |
| 504 | Have you ever been married or lived with a man? |  | $\begin{aligned} \rightarrow & 506 \\ & 509 \end{aligned}$ |
| 505 | ENTER "0" IN COLUMN 3 OF CALENDAR IN THE MONTH OF INTERVIE AND IN EACH MONTH BACK TO JANUARY 1993 $\qquad$ |  | $\rightarrow 516$ |
| 506 | What is your marital status now: are you widowed, divorced, or separated? | WIDOWED............................................................................................................................ | $\rightarrow 509$ |
| 507 | is your husband/partner staylng with you now or is he staying elsewhere? | STAYING WITH HER. $\qquad$ .1 <br> STAYING ELSEWHERE $\qquad$ 2 |  |
| 508 | During the last four weeks, how many days were you and your husband/partner apart? | DAYS $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 509 | Have you been married or lived with a man only once, or more than once? | ONCE............................................ 1 MORE THAN ONCE .......................... 2 |  |
| 510 | In what month and year did you start living with your (first) husband/partner? | MONTH $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DK MONTH. $\qquad$ 98 <br> YEAR $\qquad$ <br> DK YEAR $\qquad$ 9998 | $\rightarrow 512$ |
| 511 | How old were you when you started living with him? | AGE $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 512 | CHECK 509: <br> MARRIED OR LIVED WITH A <br> MARRIED OR LIV MAN MORE THAN ONCE WITH A MAN ON | ED Y ONCE | $\rightarrow 515$ |
| 513 | In what month and year did you start living with your current/last husband/partner? | MONTH. $\qquad$ $\square$ DK MONTH $\qquad$ 98 YEAR $\qquad$ $\square$ DK YEAR $\qquad$ 9998 | $\rightarrow 515$ |
| 514 | How old were you when you started living with him? | AGE............................ $\square$ |  |


| 515 | DETERMINE MONTHS MARRIED OR IN UNION SINCE JANUARY 1993. ENTER "X" IN COLUMMN 3 OF CALENDAR FOR EACH MONTH MARRIED OR IN UNION, AND ENTER "0" FOR EACH MONTH NOT MARRIED/NOT IN UNION, SINCE JANUARY 1993. <br> FOR WOMEN WITH MORE THAN ONE UNION: PROBE FOR STARTING AND TERMINATION DATES OF ANY PREVIOUS UNIONS. <br> FOR WOMEN NOT CURRENTLY IN UNION: PROBE FOR DATE WHEN LAST UNION STARTED AND FOR TERMINATION DATE AND, IF APPROPRIATE, FOR THE STARTING AND TERMINATION DATES OF ANY PREVIOUS UNIONS. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 516 | Now I need to ask some details about your sexual activity (if ever) in order to gain a better understanding of some family planning issues. <br> How many times (if ever) did you have sexual intercourse in the last four weeks? | TIMES $\qquad$ <br> NEVER HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE $\qquad$ | 601 |
| 517 | How many times in a month do you usually have sexual intercourse? | TIMES.. |  |
| 518 | When was the last time you had sexual intercourse? | DAYS AGO $\qquad$ 1 <br> WEEKS AGO $\qquad$ 2 <br> MONTHS AGO $\qquad$ 3 <br> YEARS AGO $\qquad$ .4 <br> BEFORE LAST BIRTH. $\qquad$ |  |
| 519 | How old were you when you first had sexual intercourse? | AGE $\qquad$ <br> FIRST TIME WHEN MARRIED. |  |
| 520 | How old were you when you had your first menstrual period? | AGE. |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 601 | CHECK 316: <br> NEITHER <br> HE OR SHE STERILIZED STERILIZED |  | - 614 |
| 602 |  | HAVE (AIANOTHER) CHILD $\qquad$ 1 NO MORE/NONE $\qquad$ 2 SAYS SHE CAN'T GET PREGNANT $\qquad$ UNDECIDED/DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow 604 \\ & \rightarrow 606 \\ & \rightarrow 604 \end{aligned}$ |
| 603 | CHECK 236: <br> NOT PREGNANT OR <br> PREGNANT <br> UNSURE <br> How long would you like to wait from After the child you are expecting now before the birth of (a/another) now, how long would you like to wait child? before the birth of another child? | MONTHS <br> YEARS $\qquad$ $\square$ SOON/NOW $\qquad$ 993 SAYS SHE CAN'T GET PREGNANT. 994 AFTER MARRIAGE $\qquad$ 995 OTHER $\qquad$ 996 (SPECIFY) DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ 998 | $606$ |
| 604 | CHECK 236: <br> NOT PREGNANT OR <br> PREGNANT UNSURE |  | 607 |
| 605 | If you became pregnant in the next few weeks, would you be happy, unhappy, or would it not matter very much? | HAPPY UNHAPPY WOULD NOT MAT............................................................................................... |  |
| 606 | CHECK 315: USING A METHOD? <br> NOT CURRENTLY USING <br> OR 315 NOT ASKED | CURRENTLY USING $\square$ <br> OR 315 ASKED |  |
| 607 | Do you think you will use a method to delay or avoid pregnancy within the next 12 months? |  | $\rightarrow 609$ |
| 608 | Do you think you will use a method to delay or avoid pregnancy at any time in the future? |  | $612$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 609 | Which method would you prefer to use? |  |
| 610 | Would you be willing to pay for (METHOD)? |  |
| 611 | How much would you be willing to pay for (METHOD) (including all costs)? |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 612 | What is the main reason that you think you will never use a method? |  | 614 |
| 613 | Would you ever use a method if you were married? |  |  |
| 614 | CHECK 221: <br> HAS LIVING CHILDREN <br> NO LIVING CHILDREN <br> If you could go back to the time you you did not have any children and the number of children to have in could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, that be? how many would that be? <br> PROBE FOR A NUMERIC RESPONSE. | NUMBER $\qquad$ OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) | 616 |
| 615 | How many of these children would you like to be boys, how many would you like to be girls and for how many would it not matter? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 616 | Would you say that you approve or disapprove of couples using a method to avoid getting pregnant? | APPROVE $\qquad$ <br> DISAPPROVE $\qquad$ <br> NO OPINION $\qquad$ 3 |  |
| 617 | Is it acceptable or not acceptable to you for information on family planning to be provided: <br> On the radio? <br> On the television? |  NOT   <br>  ACCEPT-   <br> ACCEPT-    <br> ABLE ABLE DK  <br> RADIO_.................. 1 2 8  <br> TELEVISION............. 1 2 8  |  |
| 618 | In the last few months have you heard about family planning: <br> On the radio? <br> On the television? <br> In a newspaper or magazine? <br> From a poster? <br> From leaflets or brochures? |  YES NO <br> RADIO.................................. 1 2  <br> TELEVISION........................... 1 2  <br> NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE .... 1 2  <br> POSTER ............................. 1 2  <br> LEAFLETS OR BROCHURES..... 1 2  |  |
| 619 | Have you seen or heard the slogan "Kung sila'y mahal n'yo, magplano"? |  | -621 |
| 620 | What does this slogan mean? | PRACTICE FAMILY PLANNING .......... ${ }^{1}$ USE CONTRACEPTION.................... ${ }^{2}$ OTHER (SPECIFY) |  |
| 621 | In the last few months have you discussed the practice of family planning with your friends, neighbors, or relatives? |  | 623 |
| 622 | With whom? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 623 | CHECK 502: <br> YES, CURRENTLY <br> YES, <br> MARRIED <br> LIVING WITH A MAN | NO, NOT IN UNION $\square$ | 701 |
| 624 | Spouses/partners do not always agree on everything. Now I want to ask you about your husband's/partner's views on family planning. <br> Do you think that your husband/partner approves or disapproves of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy? | APPROVES $\qquad$ 1 <br> DISAPPROVES $\qquad$ 2 <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 8 |  |
| 625 | How often have you talked to your husband/partner about family planning in the past year? |  |  |
| 626 | Do you think your husband/partner wants the same number of children that you want, or does he want more or fewer than you want? | SAME NUMBER $\qquad$ . <br> MORE CHILDREN. $\qquad$ 2 <br> FEWER CHILDREN $\qquad$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ 8 |  |

SECTION 7. HUSBAND'S BACKGROUND, WOMAN'S WORK AND RESIDENCE

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 701 |  | NEVER MARRIED AND NEVER IN UNION | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow 703 \\ & \rightarrow 708 \end{aligned}$ |
| 702 | How old was your husband/partner on his last birthday? | AGE............................... |  |
| 703 | Did your (last) husband/partner ever attend school? | YES ........................................................................................................ | $\rightarrow 705$ |
| 704 | What was the highest grade/year he completed? |  |  |
| 705 | What (is/was) your (last) husband/partner's occupation? That is, what kind of work (does/did) he mainly do? |  |  |
| 706 |  |  | 708 |
| 707 | (Does/did) your husband/partner work mainly on his own land or on family land, or (does/did) he rent land, or (does/did) he work on someone else's land? |  |  |
| 708 | Now I would like to ask you some questions about your work. Aside from your own housework, are you currently working? | YES................................................ 1 NO................................................... 2 | $\rightarrow 711$ |
| 709 | As you know, some women take up jobs for which they are paid in cash or kind. Others sell things, have a small business or work on the family farm or in the family business. <br> Are you currently doing any of these things or any other work? | YES ......................................................................................................... | $\rightarrow 711$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 710 | Have you done any work in the last 12 months? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES............. } \\ & \text { NO............... } \end{aligned}$ | ................................ 1 | 726 |
| 711 | What is your occupation, that is, what kind of work do (did) you mainly do? |  |  |  |
| 712 | CHECK 711: <br> WORKS (WORKED) <br> DOES (DID) NOT WORK IN AGRICULTURE IN AGRICULTURE |  |  | 714 |
| 713 | Do you work mainly on your own land or on family land, or do you rent land or work on someone else's land? | OWN LAND ..... <br> FAMILY LAND. <br> RENTED LAND <br> SOMEONE ELS <br> NOT APPLICAB |  |  |
| 714 | Are you employed (as paid or unpaid worker) by a member of your family, or are you self-employed, or are you employed by someone else? | BY FAMILY ME SELF-EMPLOY BY SOMEONE |  |  |
| 715 | Do you usually work throughout the year, or do you work seasonally, or only once in a while? | THROUGHOUT SEASONALLY/P ONCE IN A WHI | THE YEAR $\qquad$ $1-$ <br> PART OF THE YEAR.... 2 <br> ILE $\qquad$ 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 717 \\ & 718 \end{aligned}$ |
| 716 | During the last 12 months, how many months did you work? | NUMBER OF M | NTHS ........ $\square$ |  |
| 717 | During the last 12 months, how many days a week did you usually work (in the months that you worked)? | NUMBER OF | YS................. | 719 |
| 718 | During the last 12 months, approximately how many days did you work? | NUMBER OF DA | AYS   |  |
| 719 | Do you earn cash for your work? <br> PROBE: Do you make money for working? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. } \\ & \text { NO... } \end{aligned}$ |  | 722 |
| 720 | How much do you usually earn for this work? <br> PROBE: Is this by the hour, by the day, by the week, by the month or by the year? | PER HOUR... 1 <br> PER DAY..... 2 <br> PER WEEK ... 3 <br> PER MONTH 4 <br> PER YEAR... 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ | 999996 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 721 | CHECK 502: <br> CURRENTLYMARES, <br> Who mainly decides how the money you earn will be used: you, your husband/partner, you and your husband/partner jointly, or someone else? <br> Who mainly decides how the money you earn will be used: you, someone else, or you and someone else jointly? | RESPONDENT HUSBAND/PAR JOINTLY WITH SOMEONE ELS JOINTLY WITH | DECIDES................... 1 TNER DECIDES ........ 2 HUSBAND/PARTNER... 3 SE DECIDES................ 4 SOMEONE ELSE....... 5 |  |
| 722 | Do you usually work at home or away from home? | HOME............ | .......................... 1 |  |


|  |  | AWAY........................................ 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| 723 |  |  | 726 |
| 724 |  |  | 726 |
| 725 | Who usually takes care of (NAME OF YOUNGEST CHILD AT HOME) while you are working? | RESPONDENT................................ 01 <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER. $\qquad$ . 02 <br> OLDER FEMALE CHILD $\qquad$ .03 <br> OLDER MALE CHILD. $\qquad$ .04 <br> OTHER RELATIVES $\qquad$ .05 <br> NEIGHBORS $\qquad$ 06 <br> FRIENDS $\qquad$ 07 <br> SERVANTS/HIRED HELP $\qquad$ 08 <br> CHILD IS IN SCHOOL $\qquad$ .09 <br> INSTITUTIONAL CHILD CARE $\qquad$ 10 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) |  |
| 726 | Have you lived in only one barangay or in more than one barangay since January 1993? | ONE BARANGAY $\qquad$ MORE THAN ONE <br> BARANGAY. $\qquad$ | 728 |
| 727 | IN COLUMN 4 OF CALENDAR, ENTER THE APPROPRIATE CODE FOR ("1" CITY, "2" TOWN/POBLACION, "3" BARRIO/RURAL AREA). BEGIN IN THE MONTH OF INTERVIEW AND CONTINUE WITH ALL PRE 1993. <br> THEN SKIP TO $\qquad$ | CURRENT BARANGAY, <br> EDING MONTHS BACK TO JANUARY | 801 |
| 728 | In what month and year did you move to (NAME OF CURRENT BARANGA <br> IN COLUMN 4 OF CALENDAR, ENTER "X" IN THE MONTH AND YEAR O IN SUBSEQUENT MONTHS ENTER THE APPROPRIATE CODE FOR TH ("1" CITY, "2" TOWN/POBLACION, "3" BARRIO/RURAL AREA). CONTINUE PROBING FOR PREVIOUS BARANGAY, AND RECORD MOV ACCORDINGLY. <br> illustrative questions: <br> - Where did you live before.....? <br> - In what month and year did you arrive there <br> - Is that place a city, a town/poblacion, or bar | ? <br> THE MOVE. <br> TYPE OF BARANGAY <br> ES AND TYPE OF BARANGAY <br> o/rural area? |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 801 | Now I would like to ask you some questions about your brothers and sisters, that is, all of the children born to your natural mother, including those who are living with you, those living elsewhere and those who have died. <br> How many children did your mother give birth to, including you? | NUMBER OF BIRTHS TO NATURAL MOTHER $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 802 | CHECK 801: <br> TWO OR MORE <br> ONLY ONE BIRTH BIRTHS (RESPONDENT) |  | 816 |
| 803 | How many of these births did your mother have before you were born? | NUMBER OF PRECEDING BIRTHS $\qquad$ |  |

REMARKS:

| 804 | What was the name given to your brother or sister from eldest to youngest? | [01] | [02] | [03] | [04] | [05] | [06] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 805 | Is (NAME) male or female? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALE............ } 1 \\ & \text { FEMALE ........ } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MALE $\qquad$ 1 <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 | MALE $\ldots . . . . . . . . .1$ FEMALE...... .2 | MALE $\qquad$ . <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 | MALE $\qquad$ . .1 <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 | MALE............ 1 <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 |
| 806 | Is (NAME) still alive? | YES............... 1 NO ............... GO TO 808 - DK ................ 8 GO TO [02] |  | YES ............... 1 NO.............2. GO TO $808 \quad 4$ DK............... 8 GO TO [04] 4._. | YES .............. 1 NO...............2. GO TO 808 -. DK............... 8 GO TO [05] | YES .............. 1 NO..............2 GO TO $808 ~ \& ~$ DK............... 8 GO TO 06$]$ |  |
| 807 | How old is (NAME) on his/her last b-day? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 808 | In what year did (NAME) die? |    <br> GO TO 810   <br> DK $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . .9998 ~$   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 809 | How many years ago did (NAME) die? |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| 810 | How old was (NAME) when he/she died? | DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [02] | MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [03] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [04] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [05] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [06] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [07] |
| 811 | Was (NAME) pregnant when she died? | YES_................ 1 GO TO 814 ـ._............. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES .............. }{ }^{1} \\ & \text { GO TO } 814 \text { لـ............... } \\ & \text { NO...... } \end{aligned}$ | YES .............. 1 GO TO $814 \longleftarrow{ }^{1}$ NO................. 2 |  | YES $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .1$ GO TO 814 NO................... 2 | YES_............... 1 GO TO 814 - NO .................. 2 |
| 812 | Did (NAME) die during childbirth? |  | YES .............. 1 GO TO 815 لـ............ 2 | YES .............. 1 GO TO $815 ~$ NO $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | YES .............. 1 GO TO $815 ~ . ـ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  |  |
| 813 | Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? | YES................ ${ }^{1}$ NO................2 GO TO 815 - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ............... }{ }^{1} \\ & \text { NO ................. } \\ & \text { GO TO } 815 \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | YES _.............. ${ }^{1}$ NO ................. GO TO $815 ~ 4$ | YES _.............. ${ }^{1}$ NO $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .2$ GO TO $815 ~ ـ$ | YES .............. NO $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .2 ~$ GO TO 815 | YES................. 1 $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .2 \\ & \text { GOTO } 815 \longleftarrow \end{aligned}$ |
| 814 | Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? | YES_............... 1 NO................ 2 DK................. 8 | YES .............. 1 NO............... 2 DK.............. 8 | YES .............. 1 NO $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . .2$ DK $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 8 | YES _............... 1 NO............... 2 DK............... 8 | YES ............. 1 <br> NO............... 2 <br> DK $-\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | YES_............... 1 NO ................. 2 DK................. 8 |
| 815 | How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 804 | What was the name given to your brother or sister from eldest to youngest? | [07] | [08] | [09] | [10] | [11] | [12] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 805 | Is (NAME) male or female? | MALE $\qquad$ 1 <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 | MALE........... 1 <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 | MALE............ 1 <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 | MALE........... 1 <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 | MALE............$~$ FEMALE ........ 2 | MALE $\qquad$ .1 <br> FEMALE $\qquad$ 2 |
| 806 | Is (NAME) still alive? |  |  | YES............... 1 NO ............... 2 GO TO $808 \longleftarrow \ldots$ DK ................ 8 GO TO [10] | YES............... 1 NO .............. 2 GO TO $808 \longleftarrow \ldots$ DK.............. 8 GO TO $[11] \longleftarrow$. | YES.............. 1 NO .............. 2 GO TO $808 \longleftarrow \ldots$ DK.............. 8 GO TO [12] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ............... } \\ & \text { NO................. } \\ & \text { GO TO } 808 \longleftarrow \ldots \\ & \text { DK.............. } \\ & \text { GO TO }[13] \longleftarrow . \end{aligned}$ |
| 807 | How old is (NAME) on hi/her last birthday? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 808 | In what year did (NAME) die? |     <br> GO TO 810   DK............ 9998 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 809 | How many years ago did (NAME) die? | $\square$ |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |
| 810 | How old was (NAME) when he/she died? | DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [08] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [09] | $\begin{gathered} \text { IF MALE OR } \\ \text { DIED BEFORE 12 } \\ \text { YEARS OF AGE } \\ \text { GO TO [10] } \end{gathered}$ | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [11] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [12] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [13] |
| 811 | Was (NAME) pregnant when she died? |  | YES................ 1 GO TO 814 - NO................. 2 | YES................ 1 GO TO 814 ـ. NO................. 2 | YES............... 1 GO TO $814 \longleftarrow{ }^{-}$. NO................. 2 | YES ................ 1 <br> GO TO 814 - <br> NO $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | YES ............... 1 GO TO 814 ـ. NO................. 2 |
| 812 | Did (NAME) die during childbirth? | YES _.............. 1 GO TO 815 - NO $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES................ } 1 \\ & \text { GO TO } 815 \text { ـ.......... } 2 \\ & \text { NO ........... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { YES_.............. } 1 \\ \text { GO TO } 815 ~ ↔ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | YES................. GO TO 815 - NO................. 2 | YES............... 1 GO TO 815 - NO $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 2 | YES ..............-1 GO TO 815 - NO.................. 2 |
| 813 | Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? | YES $\qquad$ 1 $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO } \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .2 ~ \\ & \text { GO TO } 815 ~ \end{aligned}$ | YES_............... 1 NO .................2 GO TO $815 ~ 4$ | YES $\qquad$ .1 $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ & \text { GO TO } 815 \text { 2 } \end{aligned}$ | YES $\qquad$ .1 $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { NO } \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \text { GO TO } 815 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { YES................ } \\ \text { NO } . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .2 ~ \\ \text { GO TO } 815 \end{array}\right\|$ | YES $\qquad$ .1 $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { NO } \\ \text { GO TO } 815 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 814 | Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? | YES ............... 1 NO................. 2 DK ................. 8 | YES................ 1 NO................. 2 DK ................. 8 | YES_.............. 1 NO............. 2 DK.............. 8 | YES................ 1 NO................ 2 DK................. 8 | YES................. 1 NO............... 2 DK............... 8 | YES............... 1 NO................ 2 DK................. 8 |
| 815 | How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | IF NO MORE BROT | ERS OR SISTERS | , GO TO 816 |  |  |
| 816 | RECORD THE TIM | E ENDED. |  |  | HOUR $\qquad$ MINUTES $\qquad$ |  |  |

ONLY ONE CODE SHOULD APPEAR IN ANY BOX. FOR COLUMNS 1, 3, AND 4, ALL MONTHS SHOULD BE FILLED IN.

## INFORMATION TO BE CODED FOR EACH COLUMN

COL.1: Bliths, Pregnancies, Contraceptive Use
B BIRTHS
P PREGNANCIES
T TERMINATIONS

| 0 | NO METHOD |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PILL |
| 2 | IUD |
| 3 | INJECTIONS |
| 4 | CONDOM |
| 5 | FEMALE STERILIZATION |
| 6 | MALE STERILIZATION |
| 7 | CALENDAR/RHYTHM/PERIODIC ABSTINENCE |
| 8 | MUCUS/BILLINGS/OVULATION |
| 9 | BASAL BODY TEMPERATURE |
| A | SYMPTOTHERMAL |
| B | LACTATIONAL AMENORRHEA METHOD |
| C | BREASTFEEDING |
| D | WITHDRAWAL |
| X | OTHER |

(SPECIFY)

COL.2: Discontinuation of Contraceptive Use

| 0 | INFREQUENT SEX/HUSBAND AWAY/OLD |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BECAME PREGNANT WHILE USING |
| 2 | WANTED TO BECOME PREGNANT |
| 3 | HUSBAND DISAPPROVED |
| 4 | WANTED MORE EFFECTIVE METHOD |
| 5 | HEALTH CONCERNS |
| 6 | SIDE EFFECTS |
| 7 | INACCESSIBLE/UNAVAILABLE |
| 8 | COST TOO MUCH |
| 9 | INCONVENIENT TO USE |
| F | FATALISTIC |
| A | DIFFICULT TO GET PREGNANT/ |
|  | HYSTERECTOMYYMENOPAUSE |
| D MARITAL DISSOLUTION/SEPARATION |  |
| X | OTHER |
| $Z$ |  |
| $Z$ | OONTT KNOW |

COL.3: Marriage/Union
X IN UNION (MARRIED OR LIVING TOGETHER)

- NOTINUNION

COL.4: Moves and Types of Barangay
$x$ CHANGE OF BARANGAY
1 CITY
2 TOWN/POBLACION
3 BARRIO/RURAL AREA


Comments about Respondent:

Comments on
Specific Questions: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Any other Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS


## EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS

## Name of Editor:

## Republic of the Philippines NATIONAL STATISTICS OFFICE 1998 NATIONAL DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEY HEALTH MODULE





## SECTION A. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | How does your household usually dispose of garbage? |  |  |
| 2 | How frequently is the garbage usually collected/disposed? |  |  |
| 3 | IS THERE A GARBAGE OR TRASH AROUND THE HOUSE OR YARD THAT ATTRACTS FLIES? |  |  |
| 4 | Does your household regularly buy cooked food from... <br> Ambulant vendors? <br> Carinderia? <br> Restaurants? <br> ENCIRCLE "1," IF YES AND "2," IF NO. |  |  |


| 1 | During the last 6 months, did you visit a health facility? <br> NO $\qquad$ $2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | What type of health faclity did you visit? <br> READ EACH FACILITY TO THE RESPONDENT. ENCIRCLE "1" IF THE RESPONDENT UTILIZED THE FACILITY. OTHERWISE, ENCIRCLE "2". | ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} 2 \rightarrow & \text { SKIP TO } \\ & \text { NEXT } \\ & \text { FACILITY } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \rightarrow \text { SKIP TO } \\ \text { NEXT } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $2 \rightarrow \begin{aligned} & \text { SKIP TO } \\ & \text { NEXT } \\ & \text { FACILITY } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | FOR COLS. 1,4,7 ASK: When you visited (FACILITY) what type of service did you utilize? | SERVICE AVAILED | SATISFIED/ DIS-SATISFIED <br> SATISFIED ... 1 DIS-SATISFIED ... 2 | REASONS* | SERVICE AVAILED | SATISFIED/ DIS-SATISFIED <br> SATISFIED ... 1 DIS-SATISFIED ... 2 | REASONS* | SERVICE AVAILED | SATISFIED/ DIS. SATIS FIED <br> SATISFIED ... 1 DIS. SATISFIED ... 2 | REASONS* |
| 4 | FOR COLS. 2,5,8 ASK: Were you satisfied or dissatisfied with the service? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | FOR COLS. 3,6,9 ASK: Why were you satisfied or dissatisfied with the service? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TYPE OF SERVICE AVAILED <br> READ EACH SERVICE TO THE RESPONDENT | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
|  | A. Treatment when ill or injured | $12$ |  | $\square$ | $12$ |  | $1$ | $12$ |  |  |
|  | B. Routine Check-ups | $12$ |  | $\square]$ | $12$ |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |
|  | C. Laboratory Services | $12$ |  | $\square \square$ | $12$ |  | $\square$ | $12$ |  | $H$ |
|  | D. Immunization |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 $\qquad$ |  |  |
|  | E. Family Planning | $1 \quad 2$ |  | $\square]$ | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F. Health and Nutrition Education | $\begin{array}{rr} 1 & 2 \\ \\ & \downarrow \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 1 & 2 \\ & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | G. Prenatal, Delivery and Postnatal | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 2 \\ & \downarrow \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H. Others $\qquad$ | $12$ |  | IT | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  |

*CODES FOR REASONS FOR SATISFACTION/DISSATISFACTION (DO NOT READ TO RESPONDENT)
AVAILABILITY OF MEDICINE...................................................................
POTENCY/EFFECTIVITY OF MEDICINES GIVEN .............................. B
ADEQUACY OF HEALTH EQUIPMENT AND OTHER FACILITIES...........C
AVAILABILITY AND ACCESSABILITY OF HEALTH PERSONNEL ..........D
HEALTH PERSONNEL APPROACHABILITY......................................... E
TECHNICAL COMPETENCY OF HEALTH PERSONNEL ...................... F
COURTEOUSNESS OF HEALTH CARE FACILITY STAFF...................G
CHARGES FOR SERVICES OR MEDICINES................................................
IMPORTANCE GIVEN TO PATIENTS' RIGHT IN HEALTH CARE ............ 1
AVAILABILITY/QUALITY OF LINENS/BEDDINGS................................. J
AVAILABILITY /QUALITY OF FOOD..................................................... K
AVAILABILITY OF SERVICE.......................................................... L
OTHERS, SPECIFY

SECTION B. HEALTH FACILITY UTILIZATION

|  |  | Municipal Hospital$1 \quad 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{SKIP}_{\text {NEXT }}^{\text {NEX }} \text { FACILITY }$ |  |  | Rural Health Unit$1.2 \rightarrow \operatorname{SKIP~TO~}_{\text {NEXT }}^{\text {FACILITY }}$ |  |  | Barangay Health Station <br> $12 \rightarrow \underset{\substack{\text { SKIP TO } \\ \text { NEXT } \\ \text { FACILITY }}}{\text { FA. }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | FOR COLS. 10,13,16 ASK: When you visited (FACILITY) what type of service did you utilize? | SERVICE AVAILED | SATISFIED/ DIS-SATISFIED <br> SATISFIED ... 1 DIS-SATISFIED ... 2 | REASONS* | SERVICE AVAILED | SATIS- <br> FIED/ <br> DIS- <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> ... 1 <br> DIS. <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> ... 2 | REASONS* | SERVICE AVAILED | SATIS <br> FIED/ <br> DIS- <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> ... 1 <br> DIS- <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> ... 2 | REASONS* |
| 4 | FOR COLS. 11,14,17 ASK: Were you satisfied or dissatisfied with the service? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | FOR COLS. 12,15,18 ASK: Why were you satisfied or dissatisfied with the service? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TYPE OF SERVICE AVAILED READ EACH SERVICE TO THE RESPONDENT | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) |
|  | A. Treatment when ill or injured | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  | $12$ |  | $110$ |
|  | B. Routine Check-ups |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ & \dagger\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | C. Laboratory Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | D. Immunization |  |  |  | $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 1\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 1 & 2 \\ & \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | E. Family Planning |  |  |  |  |  |  | $12$ |  |  |
|  | F. Health and Nutrition Education |  |  | $71$ | 11 <br>  <br>  |  | $\square$ | 1 2 <br>   <br>   |  |  |
|  | G. Prenatal, Delivery and Postnatal | $12$ |  |  | $1 \begin{array}{ll} \\ & 2 \\ & \\ \end{array}$ |  | $710$ | $1 \quad 2$ |  | $\overline{T 10}$ |
|  | H. Others $\qquad$ | 12 |  |  | 12 |  | $\square$ | 12 |  | $\square$ |

*CODES FOR REASONS FOR SATISFACTION/DISSATISFACTION (DO NOT READ TO RESPONDENT)
AVAILABILITY OF MEDICINE ..... A
POTENCY/EFFECTIVITY OF MEDICINES GIVEN .....
ADEQUACY OF HEALTH EQUIPMENT AND OTHER FACILITIES ..... C
AVAILABILITY AND ACCESSABILITY OF HEALTH PERSONNEL ..... D
HEALTH PERSONNEL APPROACHABILITY ..... E
TECHNICAL COMPETENCY OF HEALTH PERSONNEL ..... F
COURTEOUSNESS OF HEALTH CARE FACILITY STAFF ..... G
CHARGES FOR SERVICES OR MEDICINES ..... H
IMPORTANCE GIVEN TO PATIENTS' RIGHT IN HEALTH CARE .....  1
AVAILABILITY/QUALITY OF LINENS/BEDDINGS ..... J
AVAILABILITY /QUALITY OF FOOD .....
AVAILABILITY OF SERVICE ..... L
OTHERS, SPECIFY ..... X

|  |  | Private Hospital <br> $12 \rightarrow$ SKIP TO NEXT FACILITY |  |  | Private Clinic <br> $2 \rightarrow$ SKIP TO NEXT FACILITY |  |  | Others, Specify$12 \rightarrow \text { GO TO }$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | FOR COL. 19,22,25, ASK: When you visited (FACILITY) what type of service did you utilize? | SERVICE AVAILED | SATIS- <br> FIED/DIS- <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> ... 1 <br> DIS. <br> SATIS- <br> FIED <br> ... 2 | REASONS* | SERVICE AVAILED | SATIS- <br> FIEDIDISSATIS. FIED | REASONS* | SERVICE AVAILED | SATISFIED/DIS SATISFIED | REASONS* |
| 4 | FOR COL. 20,23,26, ASK: Were you satisfied or dissatisfied with the service? |  |  |  |  | SATIS. FIED ... 1 |  |  | SATIS. FIED ... 1 |  |
| 5 | FOR COL. 21,24,27, ASK: Why were you satisfied or dissatisfied with the service? |  |  |  |  | DIS- <br> SATIS. <br> FIED <br> ... 2 |  |  | DIS-SATISFIED ... 2 |  |
|  | TYPE OF SERVICE AVAILED READ EACH SERVICE TO THE RESPONDENT | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) | (25) | (26) | (27) |
|  | Treatment when ill or injured | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ \\ & \downarrow\end{array}$ |  | $\square 1$ |  |  |  | 1 2 <br>   <br>   <br>   |  |  |
|  | B. Routine Check-ups | 1 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  | $1 \square$ | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ & \downarrow\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}1 & 2 \\ \\ \\ \\ & \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | C. Laboratory Services | $1 \begin{aligned} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | D. Immunization | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ & \downarrow\end{array}$ |  | $\square$ |  |  | $\square$ | 1 2 <br>  $\dagger$ <br>   |  |  |
|  | E. Family Planning | 1 2 |  | $10$ |  |  |  | 1 2 <br>   <br>   |  |  |
|  | F. Health and Nutrition Education |  |  | - |  |  | $0$ | 1 2 <br>  $\downarrow$ |  |  |
|  | G. Prenatal, Delivery and Postnatal |  |  |  | $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ & \downarrow\end{array}$ |  | - | $1 \begin{array}{r}1 \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | H. Others (SPECIFY) | 12 |  |  | 12 |  | $\square \square$ | 12 |  | $70$ |

*CODES FOR REASONS FOR SATISFACTION/DISSATISFACTION (DO NOT READ TO RESPONDENT)

```
AVAILABILITY OF MEDICINE
A
```

POTENCY/EFFECTIVITY OF MEDICINES GIVEN ..... B
ADEQUACY OF HEALTH EQUIPMENT AND OTHER FACILITIES ..... C
AVAILABILITY AND ACCESSABILITY OF HEALTH PERSONNEL ..... D
HEALTH PERSONNEL APPROACHABILITY ..... E
TECHNICAL COMPETENCY OF HEALTH PERSONNEL .....  $F$
COURTEOUSNESS OF HEALTH CARE FACILITY STAFF .....
CHARGES FOR SERVICES OR MEDICINES .....  H
IMPORTANCE GIVEN TO PATIENTS' RIGHT IN HEALTH CARE .....
AVAILABILITY/QUALITY OF LINENS/BEDDINGS ..... J
AVAILABILITY/QUALITY OF FOOD ..... K
AVAILABILITY OF SERVICE ..... L
OTHERS, SPECIFY ..... X

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | How do you keep yourself healthy? <br> PROBE: Anything else? | EXERCISE $\qquad$ <br> AVOID EATING TOO MUCH FAT. $\qquad$ <br> LOW SALT DIET. $\qquad$ <br> NO SMOKING $\qquad$ <br> MODERATE DRINKING. $\qquad$ <br> MONITOR BLOOD PRESSURE <br> REGULARLY. $\qquad$ <br> PROPER NUTRITION $\qquad$ <br> OTHERS, SPECIFY $\qquad$ <br> NONE $\qquad$ |  |
| 2 | How many members of your household smoke cigarette? | SPECIFY NUMBER <br> (ENTER "0" IF NONE) |  |
| 3 | In your opinion, how does smoking affect the health of all the people in the household? <br> PROBE. Anything else? | CAN CAUSE TB $\qquad$ <br> CAN CAUSE LUNG CANCER $\qquad$ <br> CAN CAUSE LUNG DISEASE $\qquad$ <br> CAN CAUSE HEART DISEASE $\qquad$ <br> CAN CAUSE ASTHMA $\qquad$ <br> CAN CAUSE ULCER $\qquad$ <br> OTHERS, SPECIFY $\qquad$ <br> NO EFFECT. $\qquad$ |  |
| 4 | What signs and symptoms would make you suspect that a person may have cancer? <br> PROBE: Anything else? | LUMP OR MASS IN ANY PART OF THE <br> BODY $\qquad$ <br> SORE(WOUND) THAT DOES NOT HEAL $\qquad$ <br> SUDDEN WEIGHT LOSS $\qquad$ <br> BLEEDING. $\qquad$ <br> IRREGULAR BOWEL MOVEMENT. $\qquad$ <br> IRREGULAR URINATION. $\qquad$ <br> HOARSENESS OF VOICE. $\qquad$ <br> PERSISTENT PAIN $\qquad$ <br> OTHERS, SPECIFY $\qquad$ <br> NONE $\qquad$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Have you heard of "dengue" fever? | YES. NO. | $1$ | $\rightarrow 10$ |
| 9 | What can you do to protect yourself from getting dengue fever? <br> PROBE: Anything else? | STAY AWAY FROM PEOPLE WITH $\qquad$ <br> DENGUE $\qquad$ <br> REMOVE BREEDING PLACES (STAGNANT <br> WATER) OF MOSQUITOES WITHIN <br> SURROUNDINGS $\qquad$ <br> TAKE MEDICINES SO AS NOT TO GET <br> SICK $\qquad$ <br> USE MOSQUITO NETS $\qquad$ <br> WASH HANDS BEFORE EATING $\qquad$ <br> ELIMINATE ALL FLIES IN <br> YOUR SURROUNDINGS $\qquad$ <br> OTHERS, SPECIFY $\qquad$ <br> NONE $\qquad$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ | A <br> B <br> C <br> D <br> E <br> F <br> $X$ <br> Y <br> Z |  |
| 10 | Apart from feeding or cleaning the dog, what do you think is the responsibility of a dog owner? <br> PROBE: Anything else? | IMMUNIZE DOG $\qquad$ <br> RESTRAIN/CONFINE DOG WITHIN THE <br> YARD/HOUSE $\qquad$ <br> IN CASE OF DOG BITE, PROVIDE <br> NECESSARY TREATMENT FOR <br> THE VICTIM $\qquad$ <br> OTHERS, SPECIFY $\qquad$ <br> NONE $\qquad$ | A <br> B <br> C <br> X <br> Y |  |
| 11 | During the last 3 months, was any member of your family bitten by a dog? | YES NO. |  | SECT. |
| 12 | What was done to treat the bite? <br> PROBE: Anything else? | WASHED BITE WOUND WITH SOAP <br> AND WATER $\qquad$ <br> APPLIED GARLIC ON SITE OF BITE $\qquad$ <br> CONSULTED HEALTH CENTER/ <br> PHYSICIAN $\qquad$ <br> SOUGHT AN HERBULARIO $\qquad$ <br> IMMEDIATELY KILLED THE DOG. $\qquad$ <br> OBSERVED THE DOG $\qquad$ <br> OTHERS, SPECIFY $\qquad$ <br> NOTHING $\qquad$ | A <br> B <br> C <br> D <br> E <br> F <br> $X$ <br> Y |  |

SECTION E. TRADITIONAL MEDICINES

| 1 | There are some locally produ Are you familiar with (NAME OF HERB) which is used as a medicine? <br> READ EACH | Ampa- | that hav <br> Ulasimang bato (pansit pansitan)* | medicin <br> $\begin{array}{l}\text { La- } \\ \text { gundi* }\end{array}$ | al values <br> Niyog-niyogan* | I would Sam- bong* | $\|l\|$ <br> Tike to find <br> ang <br> gubat* |  | know Baya- bas* | Ba- of the <br> wang* | Acapulco* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HERBAL MEDICINE | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
|  | ENCIRCLE "1" IF THE RESPONDENT IS FAMILIAR, OTHERWISE ENCIRCLE "2". | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO.. } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathrm{YES} . \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{NO} .2 \\ \mathrm{GO} \\ \mathrm{TO}(3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{YES} .1 \\ \mathrm{NO} .2 \\ \mathrm{GO} \\ \text { TO (4) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. } 1 \\ & \text { NO.. } 2- \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO.. } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. } 1 \\ & \text { NO.. } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. } 1 \\ & \text { NO.. } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (8) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO.. } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (9) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO.. } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (10) } \end{aligned}$ | YES.. 1 <br> NO.. 27 <br> SECT. <br> F. |
| 2 | For what illness or disease do you think (NAME OF HERB) is used? <br> CIRCLE CODES OF ALL ILLNESSES MENTIONED. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. HEADACHE | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
|  | B. FEVER | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
|  | C. ABDOMINAL PAIN/DIARRHEA | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C |
|  | D. COUGH/ASTHMA | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | D |
|  | E. ASCARIS | E | E | E | E | E | E | E | E | E | E |
|  | F. DIABETES | F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F |
|  | G. GOUTY ARTHRITIS/ RAYUMA | G | G | G | G | G | G | G | G | G | G |
|  | H. HYPER CHO- <br> LESTEROLEMIA | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H |
|  | 1. SKIN INFECTION/ CLEANING WOUNDS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 |
|  | J. DIURETIC/FOR URINARY STONES | $\mathrm{J}$ | $\mathrm{J}$ | J | J | $\mathrm{J}$ | J | J | J | J | J |
|  |  |  | K | K |  | K | K | K |  |  |  |
| 3 | Have you used (NAME OF HERB) during the past 3 months? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { YES.. } 1 \\ \text { NO... } 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Do you know if (NAME OF HERB) is endorsed by the DOH? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (4) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO }(7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (8) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (9) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.. } 1 \\ & \text { NO... } 2 \\ & \text { GO } \\ & \text { TO (10) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES.. } 1 \\ \text { NO... } 2 \\ \text { GO TO } \\ \text { SECT. } \\ \text { F. } \end{gathered}$ |

*REFER TO INTERVIEWER'S MANUAL FOR OTHER NAMES OF THESE HERBS.

## SECTION F. HEALTH CARE FINANCING

| 1. Are you or any member of (HMO), Private Health Ins | your household a member of ME rance, Community/Cooperative $\qquad$ <br> S <br> 1 <br> NO $\qquad$ 2, EN | DICARE, Employer-based 1 Health Financing Scheme or <br> INTERVIEW | Maintenance Organization Health Insurance Plan? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM NDHS Form 1 Col. (2) and Col. (1) | RESPONDENT <br> NAME: $\qquad$ <br> LINE NO.: $\qquad$ | HOUSEHOLD MEMBER <br> NAME: $\qquad$ <br> LINE NO.: $\qquad$ | HOUSEHOLD MEMBER: <br> NAME: $\qquad$ <br> LINE NO: $\qquad$ |
| 2. What kind of Health Insurance Plan? <br> PROBE: Anything else? |  |  |  |
| 3. CHECK Q. 2 | " $A$ " is encircled " A " is not encircled (SKIP TO 7) | " $A$ " is encircled " $A$ " is not encircled (SKIP TO 7) | " $A$ " is encircled " $A$ " is not encircled (SKIP TO 7) |
| 4. Have you (Has any member of your household) or any of your (his/her) dependents utilized MEDICARE benefits within the last 12 months? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ................................ }{ }^{1} \\ & \text { NO ................................. }{ }^{2} \\ & \text { (SKIP TO 7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ............................... } 1 \\ & \text { NO ................................. } \\ & \text { (SKIP TO 7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ............................... } 1 \\ & \text { NO ...-............................. }{ }^{2} \\ & \text { (SKIP TO 7) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Were you (Do you think he/she was) satisfied or dissatisfied with the service? | SATISFIED (SKIP TO 7) $\_. . .$ DISSATISFIED $\qquad$ |  |  |
| 6. Why were you (do you think he/she was ) not satisfied with the service? <br> PROBE: Anything else? | PROCESSING OF CLAIMS TOO LONG $\qquad$ <br> TOO MANY <br> REQUIREMENTS $\qquad$ B <br> LIMITED <br> HOSPITALIZATION/ FINANCIAL BENEFITS ..C <br> BENEFITS CAN'T BE <br> AVAILED OF UNLESS <br> HOSPITALIZED $\qquad$ <br> PREMIUM NOT <br> REFUNDABLE $\qquad$ <br> LACK OF INFORMATION <br> ABOUT MEDICARE $\qquad$ F <br> OTHERS <br> (SPECIFY) |  | ```PROCESSING OF CLAIMS TOO LONG ....................A TOO MANY REQUIREMENTS .......... LIMITED HOSPITALIZATION/ FINANCIAL BENEFITS ..C BENEFITS CAN'T BE AVAILED OF UNLESS HOSPITALIZED .............D PREMIUM NOT REFUNDABLE ............E LACK OF INFORMATION ABOUT MEDICARE ......... OTHERS (SPECIFY) DON'T KNOW ................. Z``` |
| 7. | GO TO NEXT HH MEMBER OR IF NO MORE MEMBER END INTERVIEW | GO TO NEXT HH MEMBER OR IF NO MORE MEMBER END INTERVIEW | GO TO NEXT HH MEMBER OR IF NO MORE MEMBER END INTERVIEW |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes 8 cases with missing information on sex.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Comparisons with the 1993 NDS data are complicated slightly by the fact that the 1993 survey questions make it difficult to distinguish those who never attended school from those who attended but did not complete any grade. In practice, this affects only children who were currently in first grade. In 1993, such children were considered to have no education, while in 1998, they were categorized in elementary school. This accounts for the seemingly large decline between the two surveys in the proportion of 6-9 year olds with no education.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this report, women who are living together with a man are considered as currently married.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The distribution of all children by calendar year of birth shows that there is only very slight heaping of births for 1992 with small associated deficits in the years prior to and following those years (see Table C.4). The pattern of transference of births has been observed in other DHS surveys and is believed to be due to transference of births by interviewers out of the period for which the health and calendar data were collected.

[^4]:    Sources: Indonesia: 1997 Indonesia DHS; Philippines: 1998 NDHS

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this report, the term natural family planning refers to mucus/Billings/ovulation, basal body temperature and symptothermal methods. In the 1993 NDS, questions did not differentiate between the various methods of periodic abstinence and the term includes both rhythm and periodic abstinence.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes pill, IUD, injection, condom, female sterilization, male sterilization, mucus/Billings/ovulation,

[^7]:    Note: Medians are based on current status.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Also included but not shown in the table, is the small proportion of currently pregnant or amenorrheic women whose pregnancy or last birth was the result of a contraceptive failure.

[^9]:    Unneet need for spacing includes pregnant women whose pregnancy was mistimed, amenorrheic women whose last birth was mistimed, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrheic and who are not using any method of family planning and say they want to wait 2 or more years for their next birth. Also included in unmet need for spacing arc women who are unsure whether they want another child or who want another child but are unsure when to have the birth. Unmet need for limiting refers to pregnant women whose pregnancy was unwanted, amenorrheic women whose last child was unwanted and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrheic and who are not using any method of family planning and who want no more children.

    2 Using for spacing is defined as women who are using sone method of family planning and say they want to have another child or are undecided whether to have another. Using for limiting is defined as women who are using and who want no more ehildren. Note that the specific methods used are not taken into account here.

    3 Preguant and amenorrheic women whose pregnancy was the result of a contraceptive failure are not included in the category of unmet need, but are included in total demand for contraception.

[^10]:    * Less than 25 unweighted cases
    () Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

[^11]:    'Based on wife's perceptions of her husband's desires

[^12]:    Note: Risk ratio is the ratio of the proportion dead of births in a specific high-risk category to the proportion dead of births not in any high-risk category, NA $=$ Not applicable
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Women were assigned to risk categories according to the status they would have at the birth of a child, if the child were conceived at the time of the survey: age less than 17 years and 3 months, age older than 34 and 2 months, latest birth less than 15 months ago, and latest birth of order 3 or higher.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes sterilized women
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes the combined categories age $<18$ and birth order $>3$.
    () Based on 25-49 unweighted cases

[^13]:    Table 8.11 Vaccination by source of information
    Percentage of children $12-23$ months of age who have received specific vaccines at any time before the interview and before 12 months of age, according to whether the information is from the vaccination card or from the mother, Philippines 1998

    | Background characteristic | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | DPT |  |  |  | Polio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | BCG | 1 | 2 | 3+ | 1 | 2 | 3+ | Measles | $\mathrm{All}^{1}$ | None |  |

    Vaccinated at any time before the survey

    | Vaccination card | 40.6 | 41.0 | 39.7 | 37.8 | 41.3 | 40.1 | 38.2 | 34.9 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 610 |
    | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
    | Mother's report | 50.1 | 49.3 | 47.3 | 43.0 | 50.4 | 48.0 | 43.5 | 44.0 | 39.0 | 7.7 | 864 |
    | Either source | 90.8 | 90.3 | 87.0 | 80.9 | 91.7 | 88.1 | 81.7 | 78.9 | 72.8 | 7.7 | 1,474 |

    Vaccinated by
    12 months of

    | age | 90.6 | 90.1 | 86.7 | 78.7 | 91.5 | 87.8 | 80.6 | 70.9 | 65.3 | 7.9 | 1,474 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

    Note: For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as for children with a written record of vaccination.
    ${ }^{1}$ Children who are fully vaccinated (i.e., those who have received BCG, measles and three doses of DPT and polio).

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dropout rate (dose1-dose 3)/dose $1 * 100$

[^15]:    Note: Children for whom assistance at delivery is missing, "other," or "none" are excluded. Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 unweighted cases.
    NA=Not applicable
    ${ }^{1}$ Either exclusive breastfeeding or received plain water only in addition to breast milk.

[^16]:    ${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as:

    $$
    \text { ORR }=\mathrm{HRR}+\mathrm{EWRR}
    $$

[^17]:    N.A. $=$ Not Applicable

