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## FOREWORD

TThe 2013 Namibia Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) serves as a periodic update of the demographic and health situation in Namibia. This is the fourth comprehensive, national-level population and health survey conducted in Namibia as part of the global Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) programme.

The 2013 NDHS was implemented by the Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS) in collaboration with the Namibia Statistics Agency (NSA) and the National Institute of Pathology (NIP). Technical support was provided by ICF International, with financial support from the Government of Namibia, the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), and the Global Fund.

The study was initiated in April 2012, and data collection was carried out from May to September 2013. The overall objective of the survey was to provide demographic, socioeconomic, and health data necessary for policymaking, planning, monitoring, and evaluation at both the national and regional levels. The survey was designed to generate recent and reliable information on fertility, family planning, infant and child mortality, maternal and child health, nutrition, domestic violence, and knowledge and prevalence of HIV/AIDS and other noncommunicable diseases, which allows monitoring progress through time with respect to these issues. In addition, the survey measured the prevalence of anaemia, high blood pressure, and high blood glucose among adult women and men and the prevalence of anaemia among children age 6-59 months; it also collected anthropometric data to assess the nutritional status of women, men, and children. The information provided in this report will aid in assessments of current health- and populationrelated policies and programmes. It will also be useful in formulating new population and health policies and programmes.

A long-term objective of the survey is to strengthen the technical capacity of local organisations to plan, conduct, process, and analyse data from complex national population and health surveys. Moreover, the 2013 NDHS is comparable to similar surveys conducted in other developing countries and therefore affords a national and international comparison. The 2013 NDHS adds to the vast and growing international database on demographic and health-related variables.

The Ministry of Health and Social Services would like to extend its appreciation to all development partners for their input to the survey, to ICF International for providing technical support, and, most importantly, to the respondents who provided the information on which this report is based.

ANDREW NDISHISHI PERMANENT SECRETARY

Millennium Development Goal Indicators
Namibia 2013

| Indicator | Sex |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  |
| 1. Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger |  |  |  |
| 1.8 Prevalence of underweight children under age 5 | 15.3 | 11.4 | 13.3 |
| 2. Achieve universal primary education |  |  |  |
| 2.1 Net attendance ratio in primary education ${ }^{1}$ | 89.5 | 90.8 | 90.1 |
| 2.3 Literacy rate of 15 to 24 -year-olds ${ }^{2}$ | $92.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 95.9 | $94.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 3. Promote gender equality and empower women |  |  |  |
| 3.1 Ratio of girls to boys in primary, secondary , and tertiary education |  |  |  |
| 3.1a Ratio of girls to boys in primary education ${ }^{3}$ | na | na | 1.0 |
| 3.1b Ratio of girls to boys in secondary education ${ }^{3}$ | na | na | 1.2 |
| 3.1c Ratio of girls to boys in tertiary education ${ }^{3}$ | na | na | 1.5 |
| 4. Reduce child mortality |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Under-5 mortality rate ${ }^{4}$ | 64 | 54 | 54 |
| 4.2 Infant mortality rate ${ }^{4}$ | 44 | 37 | 39 |
| 4.3 Proportion of 1-year-old children immunized against measles | 91.4 | 87.8 | 89.5 |
| 5. Improve maternal health |  |  |  |
| 5.1 Maternal mortality ratio ${ }^{5}$ | na | na | 385 |
| 5.2 Percentage of births attended by skilled health personnel ${ }^{6}$ | na | na | 88.2 |
| 5.3 Contraceptive prevalence rate ${ }^{7}$ | na | 56.1 | na |
| 5.4 Adolescent birth rate ${ }^{8}$ | na | 82.3 | na |
| 5.5a Antenatal care coverage: at least one visit ${ }^{9}$ | na | 73.6 | na |
| 5.5b Antenatal care coverage: four or more visits ${ }^{10}$ | na | 62.5 | na |
| 5.6 Unmet need for family planning | na | 17.5 | na |
| 6. Combat HIVIAIDS, malaria, and other diseases |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 6.3 Percentage of the population age 15-24 with comprehensive correct knowledge of HIV/AIDS ${ }^{12}$ | 51.1 | 61.6 | 56.3 |
| 6.4 Ratio of school attendance of orphans to school attendance of non-orphans age 10-14 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 1.02 |
| 6.7 Percentage of children under 5 sleeping under insecticide-treated bed nets | 5.9 | 5.2 | 5.6 |
| 6.8 Percentage of children under 5 with fever who are treated with appropriate antimalarial drugs ${ }^{13}$ | 8.8 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 7. Ensure environmental sustainability |  |  |  |
| 7.8 Percentage of population using an improved drinking water source ${ }^{14}$ | 97.8 | 71.9 | 84.0 |
| 7.9 Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation ${ }^{15}$ | 53.2 | 16.7 | 33.8 |

na $=$ Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ The ratio is based on reported attendance, not enrollment, in primary education among primary school age children age 6-10. The rate also includes children of primary school age enrolled in secondary education. This is a proxy for MDG indicator 2.1, Net enrollment ratio.
${ }^{2}$ Refers to respondents who attended secondary school or higher or who could read a whole sentence or part of a sentence
${ }^{3}$ Based on reported net attendance, not gross enrollment, among 6-12-year-olds for primary, 13-17-year-olds for secondary, and 18-22-yearolds for tertiary education
${ }^{4}$ Expressed in terms of deaths per 1,000 live births. Mortality by sex refers to a 10 -year reference period preceding the survey. Mortality rates for males and females combined refer to the five-year period preceding the survey.
${ }^{5}$ Expressed in terms of maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in the seven-year period preceding the survey
${ }^{6}$ Among births in the five years preceding the survey
${ }^{7}$ Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 using any method of contraception
${ }^{8}$ Equivalent to the age-specific fertility rate for women age $15-19$ for the three years preceding the survey, expressed in terms of births per 1,000 women age 15-19
${ }^{9}$ With a skilled provider
${ }^{10}$ With any health care provider
${ }^{11}$ High-risk sex refers to sexual intercourse with a non-marital, non-cohabitating partner. Expressed as a percentage of men and women age 1524 who had higher-risk sex in the past 12 months.
${ }^{12}$ Comprehensive knowledge means knowing that consistent use of a condom during sexual intercourse and having just one uninfected faithful partner can reduce the chance of getting the AIDS virus, knowing a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus, and rejecting the two most common local misconceptions about transmission or prevention of the AIDS virus.
${ }^{13}$ Measured as the percentage of children age 0-59 months who were ill with a fever in the two weeks preceding the interview and who received any antimalarial drug
${ }^{14}$ Percentage of de jure population whose main source of drinking water is a household connection (piped), public tap or standpipe, tubewell or borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, rainwater collection, or bottled water
${ }^{15}$ Percentage of de jure population whose household has a flush toilet, ventilated improved pit latrine, pit latrine with a slab, or composting toilet and does not share its facility with other households
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Restricted to men in a subsample of households selected for the male interview
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The total calculated as the simple arithmetic mean of the percentages in the columns for male and females

## NAMIBIA



### 1.1 Geography, History, and Economy

### 1.1.1 Geography

Namibia is a country in south-western Africa that covers approximately 824,000 square kilometres. It is bordered by the Atlantic Ocean in the west, Angola and Zambia in the north, Botswana in the east, and South Africa in the south and east. It lies mostly between $17^{\circ}$ and $29^{\circ}$ south latitude (a small area is north of $17^{\circ}$ ) and $11^{\circ}$ and $26^{\circ}$ east longitude.

The name of the country is derived from the Namib Desert, one of the oldest deserts in the world. Its sand dunes, created by the strong onshore winds, are the highest in the world. There is often extremely dense fog in the Namib Desert as a result of its location, where the Atlantic's cold waters reach Africa. The Namib Desert stretches along the entire west coast of the country, and the Kalahari Desert runs along the south-eastern border with Botswana.

The Namibia consists of five geographical areas: the Central Plateau, the Namib Desert, the Great Escarpment, the Bushveld, and the Kalahari Desert. The central, southern, and coastal areas constitute some of the most arid landscapes south of the Sahara. Because of its location between the Namib and Kalahari deserts, Namibia has the least rainfall in sub-Saharan Africa.

The climate in Namibia ranges from arid and semi-arid to subtropical, with temperatures between $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fog sometimes occurs along the temperate desert coast. The hottest months of the year are January and February, with average daytime temperatures ranging between $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the winter months, May to September, temperatures can fluctuate from between $-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at night to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the day. Although frost occurs over large areas of the country during the winter, in general winter days are clear, cloudless, and sunny. Overall, Namibia is a summer rainfall area, with limited showers beginning in October and continuing until April.

### 1.1.2 History

Namibia gained independence from South Africa on March 21, 1990, following the Namibian War of Independence. Independence followed almost a century of colonial rule by Germany and then by South Africa. Namibia became a German Imperial protectorate in 1884 and remained a German colony until the end of World War I. South Africa occupied the colony in 1915, and the League of Nations mandated Namibia to South Africa in 1919. In 1978 the United Nations (UN) Security Council passed UN Resolution 435, which planned the transition toward independence for Namibia. However, it was only in 1985, after internal violence and uprisings, that South Africa established an interim administration in Namibia. Namibia obtained full independence in 1990 with the exception of Walvis Bay and the Penguin Islands, which remained under South African control until 1994.

The country has a multi-party system and holds general elections every five years. A bicameral legislature consists of the National Council (two members chosen from each regional council) and the National Assembly. Namibia is a member state of the UN, the Southern African Development Community, the African Union, and the Commonwealth of Nations.

Administratively, the country is divided into 13 regions: Zambezi, Kavango, Kunene, Ohangwena, Omusati, Oshana, and Oshikoto in the north; Omaheke, Otjozondjupa, Erongo, and Khomas in central Namibia; and Hardap and //Karas in the south. The capital is Windhoek, located in the Khomas region.

### 1.1.3 Economy

Agriculture, herding, tourism, and the mining industry, including mining for gem diamonds, uranium, gold, silver, and base metals, are the basis of the economy in Namibia.

The growth rate of the domestic economy is expected to increase from 4.7 percent in 2013 to 5.0 percent in 2014 (Bank of Namibia, 2013). This economic growth is attributed to the agricultural sector, which recorded a tremendous growth of 42 percent despite the drought experienced in 2013. The drought led to a decrease in the local production of crop farming and, hence, the need to import food items to feed the country's population. Sectors that have recently performed well include meat processing; manufacturing of other food products, textiles, clothing apparel, and non-metallic mineral products; publishing and printing. The fishing sector declined by 12 percent in 2013, attributed to the ongoing economic crisis in Europe, especially in Spain, which is the largest export market for the Namibian fishing industry. The mining sector also recorded a reduction of 10 percent due to a decline in the value of diamonds (National Planning Commission [NPC], 2013).

Namibia is ranked as a middle-income country but has one of the most skewed distributions of income per capita in the world. The disparities in per capita income among the population are the result of the unbalanced development that characterised the Namibian economy in the past. The annual unemployment rate increased from 27 percent in 2012 to 29 percent in 2013 (Namibia Statistics Agency [NSA], 2013a).

### 1.2 Population

Decennial population censuses have been carried out in Namibia since 1991. Table 1.1 provides a summary of the basic demographic indicators for Namibia from 1991, 2001, and 2011census data. According to the 2011 Population and Housing Census, the country's population stands at 2,113,077, with an increase of 1.5 percent in the last 10 years.

Given the presence of the arid Namib Desert, Namibia is one of the least densely populated countries in the world; the population density is estimated to be 2.6 persons per square kilometre. Regional population densities vary substantially, with almost two-thirds of the population living in the four northern regions and less than one-tenth living in the south.

Despite rapid urbanisation, Namibia is still mostly rural, with about four in ten people living in urban areas. The percentage of the population residing in urban areas has increased steadily over the last two decades, from 28 percent in 1991 to 43 percent in 2011.

| Table 1.1 Basic demographic indicators, Namibia 1991, 2001, and 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Census year |  |  |  |
| Indicator | 1991 $^{1}$ | $2001^{2}$ | $2^{2011^{3}}$ |  |
| Population | $1,409,920$ | $1,830,330$ | $2,113,077$ |  |
| Intercensal growth rate (percentage) | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1.5 |  |
| Density (population/km ${ }^{2}$ ) | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.6 |  |
| Percentage urban | 28 | 33 | 43 |  |
| Life expectancy at birth (years) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 59 | 48 | 53 |  |
| Female | 63 | 50 | 61 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS), 1992
${ }^{2}$ CBS, 2003
${ }^{3}$ NSA, 2013b
${ }^{4}$ NSA, 2013c

English is the country's official language, but there are more than 11 indigenous languages in Namibia. People commonly speak two or three languages, and close to 50 percent of the population speaks Oshiwambo (NSA, 2013b).

### 1.3 Health Services and Programmes

The government of Namibia recognizes that health is a fundamental human right, and it is committed to achieving health for all Namibians. The mandate of the Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS) is derived from Article 95 of the Namibian Constitution, whereby the government is required to support the health and well-being of all people by putting in place legislation that helps provide health care for all and social assistance to the country's most vulnerable groups (MoHSS, 2012a).

Upon gaining independence in 1990, Namibia inherited a health service delivery structure that was segregated along racial lines and based entirely on curative health services. Since then, the MoHSS has adopted a primary health care (PHC) approach for the delivery of health services to the Namibian population. The core functions of the PHC directorate within the MoHSS are organized around four pillars: health promotion, disease prevention, curative services, and rehabilitation services.

The PHC programmes were established to reflect the eight core elements of PHC:

- Promotion of proper nutrition and an adequate supply of safe water
- Maternal and child care, including family spacing
- Immunisation of children against the major infectious diseases
- Basic housing and sanitation
- Prevention and control of locally endemic diseases
- Education, awareness, and training on prevention and control of prevailing community health problems
- Appropriate treatment for common diseases and injuries
- Community participation in health and social matters

To implement the national health strategy, the MoHSS has established the following directorates at the national and regional levels (MoHSS, 2007):

- Primary Health Care
- Special Programmes
- Developmental Social Welfare Services
- Tertiary Health Care and Clinical Support Services
- Policy, Planning and Human Resource Development
- Human Resource Management and General Services
- Finance and Logistics
- 13 Regional Health Directorates

The 13 Regional Health Directorates oversee service delivery in 34 health districts. The role of each district is to ensure efficient and effective implementation of regionally directed programmes and projects. Public health services are provided through 30 public district hospitals, 44 health centres, and 269 clinics. Because of the vastness of the country, the sparse distribution of the population, and the lack of access to permanent health facilities in some communities, outreach (mobile clinic) services are provided at about 1,150 outreach points across the country. Three intermediate hospitals (Oshakati Hospital in Oshana, Rundu Hospital in Kavango, and Katutura Hospital in Khomas) and the national referral hospital (Windhoek Central Hospital) provide support to the district hospitals.

Intersectoral collaboration has been recognised as an important aspect of health and social care delivery in Namibia, with a number of partners and stakeholders playing a role. Although the government is the main health care and service provider, private and faith-based facilities make an important contribution. The private sector is mainly urban, providing health care through medium-sized hospitals as well as through private pharmacies, doctors’ surgery offices, and nursing homes. Faith-based services are entirely subsidised by the government.

### 1.4 Survey Objectives

The 2013 NDHS is part of the worldwide Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) programme funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). DHS surveys are designed to collect data on fertility, family planning, and maternal and child health; assist countries in monitoring changes in population, health, and nutrition; and provide an international database that can be used by researchers investigating topics related to population, health, and nutrition.

The overall objective of the survey is to provide demographic, socioeconomic, and health data necessary for policymaking, planning, monitoring, and evaluation of national health and population programmes. In addition, the survey measured the prevalence of anaemia, HIV, high blood glucose, and high blood pressure among adult women and men; assessed the prevalence of anaemia among children age 6-59 months; and collected anthropometric measurements to assess the nutritional status of women, men, and children.

A long-term objective of the survey is to strengthen the technical capacity of local organizations to plan, conduct, and process and analyse data from complex national population and health surveys. At the global level, the 2013 NDHS data are comparable with those from a number of DHS surveys conducted in other developing countries. The 2013 NDHS adds to the vast and growing international database on demographic and health-related variables.

### 1.5 Organisation of the Survey

The 2013Namibia Demographic and Health Survey is the fourth nationally representative, comprehensive DHS survey conducted in Namibia. The 2013 NDHS was implemented by the Ministry of Health and Social Services in collaboration with the Namibia Statistics Agency and the National Institute of Pathology (NIP). Technical support was provided by ICF International, with financial support from the government of Namibia, the United States Agency for International Development, and the Global Fund.

### 1.6 SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION

### 1.6.1 Sample Design

The primary focus of the 2013 NDHS was to provide estimates of key population and health indicators, including fertility and mortality rates, for the country as a whole and for urban and rural areas. In addition, the sample was designed to provide estimates of most key variables for the 13 administrative regions.

Each of the administrative regions is subdivided into a number of constituencies (with an overall total of 107 constituencies). Each constituency is further subdivided into lower level administrative units. An enumeration area (EA) is the smallest identifiable entity without administrative specification, numbered sequentially within each constituency. Each EA is classified as urban or rural.

The sampling frame used for the 2013 NDHS was the preliminary frame of the 2011 Namibia Population and Housing Census (NSA, 2013a). The sampling frame was a complete list of all EAs covering the whole country. Each EA is a geographical area covering an adequate number of households to serve as a counting unit for the population census. In rural areas, an EA is a natural village, part of a large village, or a group of small villages; in urban areas, an EA is usually a city block. The 2011 population census also produced a digitised map for each of the EAs that served as the means of identifying these areas.

The sample for the 2013 NDHS was a stratified sample selected in two stages. In the first stage, 554 EAs-269 in urban areas and 285 in rural areas-were selected with a stratified probability proportional to size selection from the sampling frame. The size of an EA is defined according to the
number of households residing in the EA, as recorded in the 2011 Population and Housing Census. Stratification was achieved by separating every region into urban and rural areas. Therefore, the 13 regions were stratified into 26 sampling strata (13 rural strata and 13 urban strata). Samples were selected independently in every stratum, with a predetermined number of EAs selected. A complete household listing and mapping operation was carried out in all selected clusters. In the second stage, a fixed number of 20 households were selected in every urban and rural cluster according to equal probability systematic sampling.

Due to the non-proportional allocation of the sample to the different regions and the possible differences in response rates, sampling weights are required for any analysis using the 2013 NDHS data to ensure the representativeness of the survey results at the national as well as the regional level. Since the 2013 NDHS sample was a two-stage stratified cluster sample, sampling probabilities were calculated separately for each sampling stage and for each cluster.

### 1.6.2 Questionnaires

Three questionnaires were administered in the 2013 NDHS: the Household Questionnaire, the Woman's Questionnaire, and the Man's Questionnaire. These questionnaires were adapted from the standard DHS6 core questionnaires to reflect the population and health issues relevant to Namibia at a series of meetings with various stakeholders from government ministries and agencies, nongovernmental organisations, and international donors. The final draft of each questionnaire was discussed at a questionnaire design workshop organised by the MoHSS from September 25-28, 2012, in Windhoek. The questionnaires were then translated from English into the six main local languages-Afrikaans, Rukwangali, Oshiwambo, Damara/Nama, Otjiherero, and Silozi-and back translated into English. The questionnaires were finalised after the pretest, which took place from February 11-25, 2013.

The Household Questionnaire was used to list all usual household members as well as visitors in the selected households. Basic information was collected on the characteristics of each person listed, including age, sex, education, and relationship to the head of the household. For children under age 18, parents’ survival status was determined. In addition, the Household Questionnaire included questions on knowledge of malaria and use of mosquito nets by household members, along with questions regarding health expenditures. The Household Questionnaire was used to identify women and men who were eligible for the individual interview and the interview on domestic violence. The questionnaire also collected information on characteristics of the household's dwelling unit, such as source of water, type of toilet facilities, materials used for the floor of the house, and ownership of various durable goods. The results of tests assessing iodine levels were recorded as well.

In half of the survey households (the same households selected for the male survey), the Household Questionnaire was also used to record information on anthropometry and biomarker data collected from eligible respondents, as follows:

- All eligible women and men age 15-64 were measured, weighed, and tested for anaemia and HIV.
- All eligible women and men age 35-64 had their blood pressure and blood glucose measured.
- All children age 0 to 59 months were measured and weighed.
- All children age 6 to 59 months were tested for anaemia.

The Woman's Questionnaire was used to collect information from women age 15-49. Women were asked questions on the following topics:

- Background characteristics (e.g., education, residential history, media exposure)
- Birth history and childhood mortality
- Knowledge and use of family planning methods
- Fertility preferences
- Antenatal, delivery, and postnatal care
- Breastfeeding and infant feeding practices
- Vaccinations and childhood illnesses
- Marriage and sexual activity
- Women's work and husbands' background characteristics
- Awareness and behaviour regarding AIDS and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs)
- Other health issues (e.g., knowledge of tuberculosis; tobacco use; alcohol consumption; use of seat belts while seated in a vehicle; physical activity; consumption of water, fruits, and vegetables; knowledge of and testing for breast cancer and cervical cancer; and mental health)
- Maternal mortality
- Domestic violence

The Woman's Questionnaire was also used to collect information from women age 50-64 living in half of the selected survey households on background characteristics, marriage and sexual activity, women's work and husbands' background characteristics, awareness and behaviour regarding AIDS and other STIs, and other health issues.

The Man's Questionnaire was administered to all men age 15-64 living in half of the selected survey households. The Man's Questionnaire collected much of the same information as the Woman's Questionnaire but was shorter because it did not contain a detailed reproductive history or questions on maternal and child health or nutrition.

In addition to the questionnaires, other technical documents were prepared by the MoHSS in collaboration with DHS programme staff at ICF International, including interviewer and supervisor training manuals and assignment sheets for fieldwork control.

### 1.6.3 Anaemia and HIV Testing

In half of the survey households (the same households selected for the male survey), haemoglobin testing to assess the prevalence of anaemia was conducted on women and men age 15-64 who voluntarily consented to the testing and on children 6-59 months for whom consent was obtained from their parents or the adult responsible for the children. To carry out the testing, a drop of blood was obtained from a finger prick (or a heel prick in the case of children less than 12 months old or young children with thin fingers) and collected in a microcuvette. Haemoglobin analysis was performed on-site using a battery-operated portable HemoCue analyser. Results were given to the adults and to the parents or adults responsible for the children, verbally and in writing.

Parents of children with a haemoglobin level under $7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ (considered to be severely anaemic) were instructed to take the child to a health facility for follow-up care. Likewise, non-pregnant women and men were referred for follow-up care if their haemoglobin level was below $7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$. Pregnant women were referred to a health facility for follow-up care if their haemoglobin level was below $9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$.

In the same households selected for anaemia (half of the survey households), blood specimens were also collected in the field from men and women age 15-64 for HIV testing in the laboratory. Verbal consent for HIV testing was requested from each respondent following completion of the individual interview. The HIV testing protocol was approved by the MoHSS Biomedical Research Committee, the Institutional Review Board of ICF International, and the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Health technicians collected blood specimens from all women and men who consented. The protocol for blood specimen collection and analysis was based on the anonymous linked protocol developed by the DHS programme. This protocol allows for the merging of HIV test results with socio-
demographic data collected in the individual questionnaires after all information that can potentially identify an individual has been destroyed.

Health technicians explained the procedure, the confidentiality of the data, and the fact that the test results would not be made available to the respondent. If a respondent consented to HIV testing, three to five blood spots from a finger prick were collected on a filter paper card to which a barcode label unique to the respondent was affixed. Respondents were asked whether they would consent to having the laboratory store their blood sample for future unspecified testing. If they did not consent to additional testing using their sample, this was indicated on the Household Questionnaire, and the words "no additional testing" were written on the filter paper card. Each respondent, whether providing consent or not, was given an informational brochure on HIV/AIDS and a list of nearby sites providing voluntary counselling and testing services.

A barcode label identical to that placed on the filter paper card was attached to the Household Questionnaire. A third copy of the same barcode was affixed to the dried blood spot (DBS) transmittal form to track the blood samples from the field to the laboratory. Blood samples were dried overnight and packaged for storage the following morning. Samples were periodically collected from the field, along with the completed questionnaires, and transported to be logged in and checked at the MoHSS; the samples were then delivered to the NIP, where HIV testing took place.

At the NIP, each blood sample was logged into the CSPro HIV Test Tracking System database, given a laboratory number, and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The HIV testing protocol stipulates that testing of blood can be conducted only after questionnaire data entry is completed, verified, and cleaned; all paper questionnaires are destroyed; and all unique identifiers are removed from the questionnaire data file except the anonymous barcode number.

The HIV testing algorithm followed in the 2013 NDHS was as follows. First, all samples were screened using the Vironostika ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Ab}$ combination assay (Biomérieux), a highly sensitive fourthgeneration enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA). A negative result was recorded as negative. All samples that tested positive on the first ELISA and 10 percent of the samples that tested negative were retested with a second highly specific fourth-generation ELISA, the Enzygnost ${ }^{\circledR}$ HIV Integral II assay (Siemens). Positive samples on both tests were recorded as positive. If the results of the first and second ELISAs were discordant, the two ELISAs were repeated. If the results remained discordant, the samples were tested using a third confirmatory test, the Inno-Lia HIV I/II Score line immunoassay (Innogenetics), to resolve the discordance. The final result was recorded as positive if the line immunoassay confirmed it to be positive and negative if the line immunoassay confirmed it to be negative. If the line immunoassay results were indeterminate, the sample result was recorded as indeterminate. The line immunoassay was also used to determine the HIV type of all positive samples.

Following laboratory testing, the HIV test results were entered into a spreadsheet with a barcode as the unique identifier. The barcode linked the HIV test results with the data from the individual interviews.

### 1.6.4 Blood Glucose and Blood Pressure Testing

In the 2013 NDHS, blood glucose testing was conducted to estimate the prevalence of diabetes mellitus type 2 among women and men age 35-64. After an overnight fast, a blood sample was obtained from respondents by a finger prick, and the blood was tested using the HemoCue Glucose 201 RT system (HemoCue Ab, Angelholm, Sweden) to determine the blood glucose level. Blood glucose levels were recorded as millimoles per litre ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and compared with the World Health Organization's cutoffs to classify the prevalence of diabetes among adult women and men.

Elevated blood pressure, commonly referred to as high blood pressure, is a known risk factor for death from stroke and coronary heart disease. In the 2013 NDHS, blood pressure measurements (systolic
and diastolic) were carried out among women and men age 35-64 to assess the prevalence of high blood pressure among adults. The measurements were not used for diagnostic purposes. Rather, respondents who had an abnormal measurement were informed of their blood pressure level and advised to visit a health facility for evaluation. Blood pressure was measured using the Life Source UA-767 Plus digital device with automatic upper-arm inflation and automatic pressure release. Interviewers were trained in the use of this device according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Three blood pressure measurements were taken, and the first measurement was discarded. The average of the last two measurements was reported as the blood pressure reading in millimetres of mercury ( mmHg ).

### 1.6.5 Pretest

Pretest training was held at the Khomas Regional Council Office and the National Training Center in Windhoek. There were 35 trainees, 16 men and 19 women. Trainees included eight individuals who had participated in previous NDHS surveys.

The survey instruments were piloted from February 11 to February 24, 2013. The questionnaires were pretested in both urban and rural clusters. About 150 women and 150 men were interviewed during the pilot survey, and the results were used to modify the survey instruments as necessary.

### 1.6.6 Household Listing

Prior to the main survey, a complete listing of households in the selected primary sampling units (PSUs) was carried out. This provided a sampling frame from which 20 households in each PSU were selected for the survey. The listing exercise was carried out by the MoHSS in collaboration with the NSA.

### 1.6.7 Training of Field Staff

The main training for the 2013 NDHS was conducted from April 22 to May 18, 2013. A total of 250 participants were recruited, including 31 nurses who served as health technicians. The interviewers were split into five classrooms. The first three weeks primarily covered classroom instruction, expert presentations on selected topics, mock interviews and quizzes. At the end of the classroom training, all of the interviewers completed a final exam and a structured, scored mock interview; they were also judged according to their performance during field practice. In addition to training on the basic content of the questionnaires, a separate training session was conducted for health technicians from May 6-22 on height and weight measurements, blood pressure and blood glucose measurements, anaemia and HIV testing, and DBS preparation. Also, separate training sessions were held for regional supervisors, team supervisors, and editors on their roles and responsibilities, emphasizing the importance of field editing and data quality.

### 1.6.8 Data Collection

Data collection was carried out by 28 teams, each consisting of a supervisor, a field editor, three female interviewers, one male interviewer, and a health technician. Fieldwork started on May 26, 2013, with all teams initially deployed to complete one selected cluster each in Windhoek to enable intense supervision and technical backstopping. After satisfactory completion of these clusters, the teams were deployed to their respective regions to continue fieldwork. Fieldwork was completed on September 30, 2013.

Quality assurance was maintained by national and regional supervisors through close supervision and monitoring during fieldwork. The questionnaires were edited by the field editors in the field and verified by the team supervisor before being transported to the MoHSS central office. In addition, national and regional supervisors ensured quality control through editing of questionnaires and observation of interviewers. Common mistakes and practical solutions were communicated through written notes and discussed with all team members.

Close contact between the MoHSS central office and the teams was maintained through field visits by senior staff, ICF International staff and representatives of USAID/Namibia. Regular communication was maintained through cell phones.

A publicity campaign was implemented during May and June 2013 to provide information to communities about the survey and its objectives. The campaign enlightened the public about survey processes, including interviews, anthropometric measurements and collection of blood samples.

Information about the survey was announced in the print media and on television, including the official launch of the survey by the MoHSS. T-shirts and leaflets were also prepared for this purpose.

### 1.6.9 Data Processing

CSPro-a Windows-based integrated census and survey processing system that combines and replaces the ISSA and IMPS packages-was used for entry, editing, and tabulation of the NDHS data. Prior to data entry, a practical training session was provided by ICF International to all data entry staff. A total of 28 data processing personnel, including 17 data entry operators, one questionnaire administrator, two office editors, three secondary editors, two network technicians, two data processing supervisors, and one coordinator, were recruited and trained on administration of questionnaires and coding, data entry and verification, correction of questionnaires and provision of feedback, and secondary editing. NDHS data processing was formally launched during the week of June 22, 2013, at the National Statistics Agency Data Processing Centre in Windhoek. The data entry and editing phase of the survey was completed in January 2014.

### 1.7 Response Rates

Table 1.2 shows household and individual response rates for the 2013 NDHS. A total of 11,004 households were selected for the sample, of which 10,165 were found to be occupied during data collection. Of the occupied households, 9,849 were successfully interviewed, yielding a household response rate of 97 percent.

In these households, 9,940 women age 15-49 were identified as eligible for the individual interview. Interviews were completed with 9,176 women, yielding a response rate of 92 percent. In addition, in half of these households, 842 women age 50-64 were successfully interviewed; in this group of women, the response rate was 91 percent.

Of the 5,271 eligible men identified in the selected subsample of households, 4,481 (85 percent) were successfully interviewed.

Response rates were higher in rural than in urban areas, with the rural-urban difference more marked among men than among women.

Table 1.2 Results of the household and individual interviews
Number of households, number of interviews, and response rates, according to residence (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Result | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Household interviews |  |  |  |
| Households selected | 5,343 | 5,661 | 11,004 |
| Households occupied | 4,975 | 5,190 | 10,165 |
| Households interviewed | 4,766 | 5,083 | 9,849 |
| Household response rate ${ }^{1}$ | 95.8 | 97.9 | 96.9 |
| Interviews with women age 15-49 |  |  |  |
| Number of eligible women | 5,327 | 4,613 | 9,940 |
| Number of eligible women interviewed | 4,843 | 4,333 | 9,176 |
| Eligible women response rate ${ }^{2}$ | 90.9 | 93.9 | 92.3 |
| Interviews with women age 50-64 ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |  |
| Number of eligible women | 359 | 562 | 921 |
| Number of eligible women interviewed | 320 | 522 | 842 |
| Eligible women response rate ${ }^{2}$ | 89.1 | 92.9 | 91.4 |
| Interviews with men age 15-64 ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| Number of eligible men | 2,722 | 2,549 | 5,271 |
| Number of eligible men interviewed | 2,224 | 2,257 | 4,481 |
| Eligible men response rate ${ }^{2}$ | 81.7 | 88.5 | 85.0 |

[^0]
## Key Findings

- Eighty-seven percent of Namibian households use an improved source of drinking water.
- Only 34 percent of households in Namibia use improved toilet facilities that are not shared with other households; 46 percent of households have no toilet facility at all.
- Forty-seven percent of households have access to electricity.
- Fifty-three percent of households use solid fuel for cooking.
- Ownership of mobile phones has risen dramatically; 89 percent of households reported owning a mobile phone in the current survey, as compared with 52 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS.
- Eighty-seven percent of children under age 5 have been registered with civil authorities and 63 percent have a birth certificate.
- Approximately 14 percent of children under age 18 are orphaned (that is, one or both parents are not living).
- Twelve percent of females and 14 percent of males age 6 and older have never attended school.

TThis chapter presents information on the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the household population, including age, sex, education, and place of residence. These descriptive data provide a context for the interpretation of demographic and health indices and can offer an approximate indication of the representativeness of the survey.

In the 2013 NDHS, a household was defined as a person or group of related and unrelated persons who lived together in the same dwelling unit(s), who acknowledged one adult male or female as the head of the household, who shared the same housekeeping arrangements, and who were considered a single unit. Information was collected from all of the usual residents of each selected household and visitors who had stayed in the selected household the night before the interview. Those persons who stayed in the selected household the night before the interview (whether usual residents or visitors) represent the de facto population; usual residents alone constitute the de jure population. To maintain comparability with other surveys, all tables in this report refer to the de facto population unless otherwise specified.

### 2.1 Household Characteristics

The physical characteristics of households and the availability and accessibility of basic household facilities are important in assessing the general welfare and socioeconomic condition of the population. The 2013 NDHS collected information on a range of housing characteristics, including source of drinking water, time taken to fetch water, type of sanitation facility, access to electricity, type of flooring, and number of rooms used for sleeping. Questions were asked about sources of energy for cooking fuel and lighting, household effects, hand washing, school attendance, and educational attainment as well as health insurance and health expenditures. These data are presented for households and are further disaggregated by residence (rural and urban) and region.

### 2.1.1 Drinking Water

The source of drinking water is an indicator of its suitability for drinking. Sources that are more likely to provide water suitable for drinking are identified in Table 2.1 as improved sources. These include
a piped source within the dwelling, yard, or plot; a public tap, tube well, or borehole; a hand pump/protected well or protected spring; and rainwater or bottled water. ${ }^{1}$ Lack of ready access to a water source may limit the quantity of suitable drinking water that is available to a household. Even if the water is obtained from an improved source, it may be contaminated during transportation or storage if it is fetched from a source that is not immediately accessible to the household.

Table 2.1 Household drinking water
Percent distribution of households and de jure population by source of drinking water, time to obtain drinking water, and treatment of drinking water, according to residence, Namibia 2013

| Characteristic | Households |  |  | Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improved source | 97.5 | 75.5 | 86.9 | 97.8 | 71.9 | 84.0 |
| Piped into dwelling | 52.8 | 19.4 | 36.8 | 54.9 | 18.5 | 35.5 |
| Piped to yard/plot | 14.6 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 12.5 | 13.9 |
| Public tap/standpipe | 28.7 | 23.3 | 26.1 | 26.3 | 22.8 | 24.4 |
| Tube well or borehole | 0.3 | 15.3 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 13.9 | 7.6 |
| Protected well | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.8 |
| Protected spring | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Rainwater | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Bottled water | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Non-improved source | 0.3 | 13.2 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 15.5 | 8.4 |
| Unprotected well | 0.2 | 11.2 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 13.6 | 7.4 |
| Unprotected spring | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Tanker truck/cart with drum | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Other | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 10.1 | 5.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Time to obtain drinking water (round trip) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water on premises | 68.0 | 37.3 | 53.3 | 70.9 | 34.9 | 51.7 |
| Less than 30 minutes | 26.2 | 35.5 | 30.7 | 23.3 | 34.9 | 29.5 |
| 30 minutes or longer | 4.9 | 25.5 | 14.8 | 5.1 | 28.6 | 17.6 |
| Don't know/missing | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Water treatment prior to drinking ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boiled | 9.1 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 9.4 | 2.3 | 5.6 |
| Bleach/chlorine added | 0.3 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 2.9 |
| Strained through cloth | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Ceramic, sand, or other filter | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Other | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| No treatment | 88.5 | 92.6 | 90.5 | 88.4 | 92.0 | 90.3 |
| Percentage using an appropriate treatment method ${ }^{2}$ | 10.7 | 6.4 | 8.7 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| Number | 5,121 | 4,728 | 9,849 | 19,458 | 22,207 | 41,665 |

${ }^{1}$ Respondents may report multiple treatment methods, so the sum of treatment may exceed 100 percent.
${ }^{2}$ Appropriate water treatment methods include boiling, bleaching, filtering, and solar disinfecting.

Source of drinking water is important because waterborne diseases such as diarrhoea are prevalent in Namibia. Sources of water expected to be relatively free of the agents responsible for these diseases are piped water, hand pumps/protected wells, protected springs, rainwater, and bottled water. Other sources such as unprotected wells, unprotected springs, and tanker trucks/carts with drums are more likely to carry disease-causing agents. Table 2.1 indicates that a majority of Namibian households ( 87 percent) have access to improved water sources: 37 percent from piped water into the dwelling, 14 percent from water piped to the yard, and 26 percent from a public tap. Households in urban areas ( 98 percent) are more likely than those in rural areas ( 76 percent) to have access to an improved source of water. In the 2006-07 NDHS, 97 percent of urban households and 80 percent of rural households were reported to use improved sources of water.

[^1]The table further shows that 53 percent of households in Namibia have a source of drinking water on their premises, with a large difference between urban and rural households ( 68 percent and 37 percent, respectively). A comparison with the findings from the 2006-07 NDHS shows that there has been a significant drop in the proportion of urban households with water on the premises (from 81 percent to 68 percent), while the percentage of rural households with water on the premises has increased slightly from 32 percent to 37 percent. Thirty-one percent of households take less than 30 minutes to obtain drinking water, while 15 percent take 30 minutes or longer.

Nine percent of households treat their drinking water. Six percent boil their water and 2 percent use bleach/chlorine prior to drinking. Ninety-three percent of rural households and 89 percent of urban households do not treat their drinking water.

### 2.1.2 Sanitation Facilities and Waste Disposal

A household is classified as having an improved toilet if the toilet is used only by members of one household (i.e., it is not shared) and if the facility used by the household separates waste from human contact (WHO and UNICEF, 2012b). The types of facilities considered improved are toilets that flush or pour flush into a piped sewer system, septic tank, or pit latrine; ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrines; and pit latrines with a slab.

Table 2.2 shows that only 34 percent of households in Namibia use improved toilet facilities that are not shared with other households, and 15 percent use facilities that would be considered improved if they were not shared. Forty-nine percent of households in urban areas have improved toilet facilities that are not shared, as compared with 17 percent of households in rural areas.

Table 2.2 Household sanitation facilities
Percent distribution of households and de jure population by type of toilet/latrine facilities, according to residence, Namibia 2013

| Type of toilet/latrine facility | Households |  |  | Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Improved, not shared facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flush/pour flush to piped sewer system | 44.0 | 7.4 | 26.4 | 48.0 | 5.2 | 25.2 |
| Flush/pour flush to septic tank | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Flush/pour flush to pit latrine | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrine | 1.9 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 7.0 | 4.8 |
| Pit latrine with slab | 0.3 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Composting toilet | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total | 48.5 | 17.2 | 33.5 | 53.2 | 16.7 | 33.8 |
| Shared facility ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flush/pour flush to piped sewer system | 19.0 | 2.0 | 10.9 | 15.4 | 0.9 | 7.7 |
| Flush/pour flush to septic tank | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Flush/pour flush to pit latrine | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrine | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Pit latrine with slab | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Composting toilet | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total | 24.6 | 5.5 | 15.4 | 20.1 | 3.3 | 11.2 |
| Non-improved facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flush/pour flush not to sewer/septic tank/pit latrine | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| Pit latrine without slab/open pit | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Bucket | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Hanging toilet/hanging latrine | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| No facility/bush/field | 21.1 | 73.6 | 46.3 | 20.0 | 76.4 | 50.0 |
| Other | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 26.9 | 77.3 | 51.1 | 26.7 | 80.0 | 55.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 5,121 | 4,728 | 9,849 | 19,458 | 22,207 | 41,665 |

${ }^{1}$ Facilities that would be considered improved if they were not shared by 2 or more households

More than half of Namibian households (51 percent) have non-improved toilet facilities. Forty-six percent of households have no toilet facility at all, as compared with 49 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS
survey. Twenty-one percent of households in urban areas and 74 percent of households in rural areas lack any toilet facility. The proportion of urban households without a toilet facility increased by 6 percentage points over the last six years from 15 percent to 21 percent. On the other hand, the proportion of rural households with no toilet facility decreased by 4 percentage points over the same period ( 78 percent versus 74 percent).

### 2.1.3 Housing Characteristics

Table 2.3 presents information on the characteristics of household dwellings. In addition to reflecting the household's socioeconomic situation, these characteristics show the environmental conditions in which the household lives.

Access to electricity usually goes hand in hand with improved housing structures and a better standard of living. In Namibia, only 47 percent of households have electricity. There is a large difference in access to electricity between urban and rural households (72 percent and 21 percent, respectively). The percentage of households with electricity has risen since the 2006-07 NDHS survey, when only 44 percent of households had electricity. This gain, however, has been in rural households only, in which the percentage of households with electricity rose from 15 percent to 21 percent. Access to electricity in urban households however, declined from 78 percent to 72 percent over the same period.

The type of material used for flooring is also an indicator of socioeconomic status and, to some extent, determines the household's vulnerability to disease-causing agents. Forty percent of Namibian households have earthen floors (made of earth/sand, dung, or mud/clay), while 34 percent have cement floors. One in five households have ceramic floors. Differences exist between rural and urban households; earth/sand flooring is most common in rural areas ( 44 percent), while cement and ceramic tiles are most common in urban areas ( 35 percent and 33 percent, respectively).

| Table 2.3 Household characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of households by housing characteristics, percentage using solid fuel for cooking, and percent distribution by frequency of smoking in the home, according to residence, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
| Housing characteristic | Residence |  | Total |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Electricity |  |  |  |
| Yes | 72.2 | 20.5 | 47.4 |
| No | 27.8 | 79.5 | 52.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Flooring material |  |  |  |
| Earth/sand | 17.7 | 44.1 | 30.4 |
| Dung | 0.5 | 2.7 | 1.6 |
| Mud/clay | 1.9 | 13.8 | 7.6 |
| Wood planks | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Palm/bamboo | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Parquet or polished wood | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Vinyl or asphalt strips | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Ceramic tiles | 33.4 | 3.7 | 19.1 |
| Cement | 34.5 | 33.7 | 34.1 |
| Carpet | 8.3 | 1.3 | 4.9 |
| Other | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Missing | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Rooms used for sleeping |  |  |  |
| One | 35.3 | 26.0 | 30.8 |
| Two | 29.3 | 21.8 | 25.7 |
| Three or more | 35.0 | 51.7 | 43.0 |
| Missing | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Place for cooking |  |  |  |
| In the house | 77.5 | 52.8 | 65.6 |
| In a separate building | 5.8 | 10.8 | 8.2 |
| Outdoors | 16.2 | 36.2 | 25.8 |
| No food cooked in household | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cooking fuel |  |  |  |
| Electricity | 58.0 | 7.4 | 33.7 |
| LPG/natural gas/biogas | 16.3 | 3.4 | 10.1 |
| Kerosene | 5.0 | 0.1 | 2.6 |
| Charcoal | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Wood | 19.9 | 87.4 | 52.3 |
| Animal dung | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| No food cooked in household | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percentage using solid fuel for cooking ${ }^{1}$ | 20.1 | 88.9 | 53.1 |
| Frequency of smoking in the home |  |  |  |
| Daily | 19.5 | 22.4 | 20.9 |
| Weekly | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Monthly | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Less than monthly | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Never | 77.3 | 74.4 | 75.9 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 5,121 | 4,728 | 9,849 |

LPG = Liquid petroleum gas
${ }^{1}$ Includes charcoal, wood, and animal dung

Overall, 31 percent of Namibian households use one room for sleeping, 26 percent use two rooms, and 43 percent use three or more rooms.

The potential for exposure to harmful effects of smoke from using solid fuels for cooking increases if cooking occurs within the house itself rather than outdoors or in a separate building. Sixty-six percent of households in Namibia cook in the house, 8 percent cook in a separate building, and 26 percent cook outdoors. Seventy-eight percent of urban households cook in the house, as compared with 53 percent of rural households.

Cooking and heating with solid fuels can lead to high levels of indoor smoke, a complex mix of health-damaging pollutants that can increase the risk of contracting diseases (WHO, 2011). Solid fuels include charcoal, wood, and animal dung. In the 2013 NDHS, households were asked about their primary source of fuel for cooking. The results show that 52 percent of households use wood for cooking, while only 34 percent use electricity. There are large differences in use of fuel for cooking between urban and rural areas. Eighty-seven percent of households in rural areas use wood as their primary source of fuel for cooking, while 58 percent of urban households use electricity as their main source of cooking fuel.

Information on frequency of smoking inside the home was obtained to assess the percentage of households in which there is exposure to secondhand smoke, which causes health risks in children and adults who do not smoke. Pregnant women who are exposed to secondhand smoke have a higher risk of delivering a low birth weight baby (Windham et al., 1999), and children exposed to secondhand smoke are at increased risk for respiratory and ear infections and poor lung development (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2006). Twenty-one percent of Namibian households reported that someone smokes in the home daily. In 76 percent of households, smoking never occurs in the home.

### 2.1.4 Household Possessions

Possession of durable goods is an indicator of a household’s socioeconomic status. Moreover, each particular item has specific benefits. For instance, having access to a radio or a television exposes household members to innovative ideas, a refrigerator prolongs the wholesomeness of foods, and a means of transport allows greater access to services away from the local area. Table 2.4 shows data on ownership of selected household possessions by residence.

The most commonly owned items by households are mobile telephones (89 percent), radios (68 percent), televisions (44 percent), and refrigerators (42 percent). With the exception of the radio, all of these proportions are higher than those recorded in the 2006-07 NDHS. Most notably, household ownership of mobile phones has risen

Table 2.4 Household possessions
Percentage of households possessing various household effects, means of transportation, agricultural land, and livestock/farm animals by residence, Namibia 2013

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Possession | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Household effects |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Radio | 73.3 | 63.0 | 68.4 |
| Television | 66.6 | 18.5 | 43.6 |
| Mobile telephone | 95.0 | 81.4 | 88.5 |
| Non-mobile telephone | 15.0 | 2.6 | 9.0 |
| $\quad$ Refrigerator | 64.9 | 16.7 | 41.8 |
| $\quad$ |  |  |  |
| Means of transport |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Bicycle | 2.0 | 11.8 | 13.5 |
| Animal-drawn cart | 2.5 | 1.4 | 7.0 |
| Motorcycle/scooter | 0.0 | 17.6 | 26.8 |
| Car/truck | 0.2 | 0.5 |  |
| $\quad$ Boat with a motor | 19.7 | 70.3 | 44.0 |
| Ownership of agricultural land | 22.3 | 74.8 | 47.5 |
| Ownership of farm animals ${ }^{1}$ | 5,121 | 4,728 | 9,849 |
| Number |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Cattle, cows, bulls, horses, donkeys, goats, sheep, or chickens from 52 percent to 89 percent, a 71 percent increase. Urban households are more likely than rural households to own each of these items.

With regard to a means of transportation, 14 percent of households own a bicycle, while 27 percent own a car or truck. Urban households are twice as likely to own a car or truck as rural households.

Farming of agricultural land and ownership of farm animals are common in Namibia, with about 48 percent of households owning farm animals. Not surprisingly, the proportions of households in rural areas that own agricultural land ( 70 percent) and farm animals ( 75 percent) are much higher than the corresponding proportions of urban households ( 20 percent and 22 percent, respectively).

### 2.2 Household Wealth

Information on household assets was used to create an index that is used throughout this report to represent the wealth of the households interviewed in the 2013 NDHS. This method for calculating a country-specific wealth index was developed and tested in a large number of countries in relation to inequalities in household income, use of health services, and health outcomes (Rutstein and Johnson, 2004). It has been shown to be consistent with expenditure and income measures.

The wealth index is constructed using household asset data, including ownership of consumer items ranging from a television to a bicycle or car, as well as dwelling characteristics, such as source of drinking water, sanitation facilities, and type of flooring material. In its current form, which takes account of urban-rural differences in these items and characteristics, the wealth index is created in three steps. In the first step, a subset of indicators common to urban and rural areas is used to create wealth scores for households in both areas. For purposes of creating scores, categorical variables are transformed into separate dichotomous ( $0-1$ ) indicators. These indicators and those that are continuous are then examined using a principal components analysis to produce a common factor score for each household. In the second step, separate factor scores are produced for households in urban and rural areas using area-specific indicators (Rutstein, 2008). The third step combines the separate area-specific factor scores to produce a nationally applicable combined wealth index by adjusting area-specific scores through a regression on the common factor scores. The resulting combined wealth index has a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one. Once the index is computed, national-level wealth quintiles (from lowest to highest) are formed by assigning the household score to each de jure household member, ranking each person in the population by that score, and then dividing the ranking into five equal categories, each comprising 20 percent of the population. Thus, throughout this report, wealth quintiles are expressed in terms of quintiles of individuals in the overall population rather than quintiles of individuals at risk for any one health or population indicator. For example, quintile rates for infant mortality refer to infant mortality rates per 1,000 live births among all people in the population quintile concerned, as distinct from quintiles of live births or newly born infants, who constitute the only members of the population at risk of mortality during infancy.

Table 2.5 presents wealth quintiles by residence and region. Also included in the table is the Gini coefficient, which indicates the level of concentration of wealth ( 0 being an equal distribution and 1 a totally unequal distribution). The table shows that wealth in Namibia is unevenly distributed by residence and region. Forty percent of the urban population is in the highest wealth quintile, as compared with 2 percent of the rural population. In contrast, 36 percent of the rural population is in the lowest wealth quintile, compared with 2 percent of the urban population. The distribution of the population by wealth quintile among regions shows large variations. In Khomas and Erongo, half of the population is in the highest wealth quintile ( 50 percent and 48 percent, respectively). In Ohangwena and Kavango, on the other hand, half of the population is in the lowest wealth quintile.

The overall Gini coefficient in Namibia is 0.42. It is higher in rural (0.45) than in urban (0.24) areas, indicating a more unequal distribution of wealth in the rural population than in the urban population. The lowest Gini coefficient is seen in Erongo (0.18), where nearly one in two persons are in the highest wealth quintile. The highest Gini coefficient-that is, the least equitable distribution of wealth-is observed in Kavango (0.51).

Table 2.5 Wealth quintiles
Percent distribution of the de jure population by wealth quintiles, and the Gini coefficient, according to residence and region, Namibia 2013

| Residence/region | Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of persons | Gini coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lowest | Second | Middle | Fourth | Highest |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.7 | 11.0 | 17.5 | 29.5 | 40.3 | 100.0 | 19,458 | 0.24 |
| Rural | 36.1 | 27.8 | 22.3 | 11.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 22,207 | 0.45 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 36.3 | 23.8 | 19.7 | 11.4 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 2,181 | 0.44 |
| Erongo | 0.5 | 8.0 | 12.1 | 31.3 | 48.1 | 100.0 | 3,083 | 0.18 |
| Hardap | 3.4 | 11.3 | 19.0 | 34.9 | 31.3 | 100.0 | 1,451 | 0.30 |
| //Karas | 3.8 | 10.7 | 22.5 | 31.9 | 31.0 | 100.0 | 1,482 | 0.28 |
| Kavango | 48.9 | 22.0 | 15.6 | 10.5 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 4,308 | 0.51 |
| Khomas | 0.5 | 10.4 | 14.9 | 23.7 | 50.4 | 100.0 | 7,697 | 0.21 |
| Kunene | 17.7 | 28.3 | 22.3 | 19.3 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 1,288 | 0.41 |
| Ohangwena | 49.2 | 26.2 | 13.6 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 4,861 | 0.47 |
| Omaheke | 10.6 | 27.1 | 30.2 | 21.4 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 1,144 | 0.44 |
| Omusati | 23.1 | 30.5 | 32.8 | 10.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 4,829 | 0.37 |
| Oshana | 10.6 | 24.3 | 29.2 | 22.7 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 3,306 | 0.38 |
| Oshikoto | 26.8 | 26.6 | 20.9 | 17.2 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 3,483 | 0.48 |
| Otjozondjupa | 5.5 | 13.2 | 21.1 | 41.9 | 18.3 | 100.0 | 2,553 | 0.30 |
| Total | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 41,665 | 0.42 |

### 2.3 Hand Washing

To obtain hand washing information, interviewers asked to see the place where members of the household most often washed their hands. Information on the availability of water, cleansing agents, or both was recorded only for households where a hand washing place was observed. Interviewers observed a place for hand washing in 87 percent of households (Table 2.6).

Among households where a place for washing hands was observed, 54 percent had soap and water, 21 percent had only water, and 21 percent had no water, soap, or any other cleansing agent. Not surprisingly, households in urban areas were much more likely to have soap and water for hand washing. Three in four households in Otjozondjupa and //Karas (76 percent and 74 percent, respectively) had soap and water for hand washing. On the other hand, four in ten households in Omusati (43 percent) had no water, no soap, and no cleansing agent for washing hands. The percentage of households with soap and water for hand washing increases with increasing wealth, from 22 percent among the poorest households to 85 percent among the wealthiest households.

Table 2.6 Hand washing
Percentage of households in which the place most often used for washing hands was observed, and among households in which the place for hand washing was observed, percent distribution by availability of water, soap, and other cleansing agents, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of households where place for washing hands was observed | Number of households | Among households where place for hand washing was observed, percentage with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of households with place for hand washing observed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Soap and water ${ }^{1}$ | Water and cleansing agent ${ }^{2}$ other than soap only | Water only | Soap but no water ${ }^{3}$ | Cleansing agent other than soap only ${ }^{2}$ | No water, no soap, no other cleansing agent | Missing | Total |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.1 | 5,121 | 68.3 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,561 |
| Rural | 84.0 | 4,728 | 37.4 | 0.4 | 28.0 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 30.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3,970 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 79.6 | 541 | 42.2 | 0.8 | 37.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 431 |
| Erongo | 89.4 | 930 | 63.0 | 0.1 | 16.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 832 |
| Hardap | 91.5 | 381 | 60.2 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 349 |
| //Karas | 63.3 | 406 | 73.8 | 0.2 | 14.8 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 257 |
| Kavango | 58.9 | 737 | 36.7 | 0.0 | 35.1 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 435 |
| Khomas | 89.7 | 2,015 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,807 |
| Kunene | 87.5 | 354 | 69.4 | 2.5 | 15.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Ohangwena | 83.7 | 900 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 40.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 754 |
| Omaheke | 80.7 | 335 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 270 |
| Omusati | 90.0 | 949 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 42.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 855 |
| Oshana | 99.2 | 831 | 58.2 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 824 |
| Oshikoto | 97.2 | 817 | 43.3 | 0.1 | 25.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 794 |
| Otjozondjupa | 94.1 | 652 | 75.6 | 0.7 | 14.4 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 614 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 77.0 | 1,737 | 21.6 | 0.5 | 35.2 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 39.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,338 |
| Second | 84.8 | 1,910 | 38.2 | 0.4 | 25.8 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 31.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,620 |
| Middle | 88.4 | 1,954 | 46.1 | 0.1 | 22.9 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 25.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,728 |
| Fourth | 90.2 | 2,136 | 65.6 | 0.1 | 18.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,927 |
| Highest | 90.8 | 2,111 | 84.9 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,918 |
| Total | 86.6 | 9,849 | 53.9 | 0.2 | 21.0 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 20.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,530 |

${ }^{1}$ Soap includes soap or detergent in bar, liquid, powder, or paste form. This column includes households with soap and water only as well as those that had soap and water and another cleansing agent.
${ }^{2}$ Cleansing agents other than soap include locally available materials such as ash, mud, or sand
${ }^{3}$ Includes households with soap only as well as those with soap and another cleansing agent

### 2.4 Household Population by Age, Sex, and Residence

Age and sex are important demographic variables and are the primary basis for demographic classifications in vital statistics, censuses, and surveys. They are also important variables in the study of mortality, fertility, and marriage. The distribution of the de facto household population in the 2013 NDHS is shown in Table 2.7 by five-year age groups, according to sex and residence. A total of 41,396 individuals resided in the 9,849 households successfully interviewed; 21,774 were female, and 19,621 were male.

The age-sex structure of the population is shown in the population pyramid in Figure 2.1. The broad base of the pyramid indicates that Namibia's population is mostly young. The proportion of persons under age 15 was 38 percent in 2013, while the proportion of individuals age 65 and older was 5 percent. After a steady decline from 16 percent in the 1992 NDHS to 14 percent in the 2000 NDHS and 13 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS, the proportion of the population less than age 5 increased slightly to 14 percent in the current survey.

Table 2.7 Household population by age, sex, and residence
Percent distribution of the de facto household population by five-year age groups, according to sex and residence, Namibia 2013

| Age | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |  |  |
| <5 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 16.4 | 15.1 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 13.4 | 13.8 |
| 5-9 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 15.6 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 13.3 | 12.1 | 12.7 |
| 10-14 | 9.8 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 14.6 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 11.9 |
| 15-19 | 8.8 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 10.3 |
| 20-24 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.5 |
| 25-29 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| 30-34 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 35-39 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| 40-44 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| 45-49 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| 50-54 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| 55-59 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 60-64 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| 65-69 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 70-74 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 75-79 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 80+ | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Don't know/missing | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 9,116 | 10,174 | 19,291 | 10,505 | 11,599 | 22,106 | 19,621 | 21,774 | 41,396 |

Figure 2.1 Population pyramid
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### 2.5 Household Composition

Information on the composition of households, including the sex of the head of the household and the size of the household, is presented in Table 2.8. These characteristics are important because they are associated with the welfare of the household. In larger households, economic resources are often more limited. Moreover, when the household size is large, crowding can lead to health problems.

Table 2.8 shows that 44 percent of the households in Namibia are headed by women. Households with one and two members constitute 17 percent and 16 percent of all households, respectively. The average household size is 4.2 persons, as compared with 4.5 in the 2006-07 NDHS survey. On average, rural households are larger (4.7 persons) than urban households (3.8 persons).

Information was also collected on the living arrangements of all children under age 18 residing in the sample households and on the survival status of their parents. This information can be used to assess the extent to which households face a need to care for orphaned or foster children. Orphans include children whose mother or father has died (single orphans) as well as children who have lost both parents (double orphans). In the case of foster children, both parents are alive but the children are living in a household where neither their natural mother nor their natural father resides. Overall, 35 percent of households in Namibia are caring for foster and/or orphaned children.

| Table 2.8 Household composition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of households by sex of head of household and by household size, mean size of household, and percentage of households with orphans and foster children under age 18, according to residence, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
| Characteristic |  |  | Total |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Household headship |  |  |  |
| Male | 59.5 | 52.3 | 56.1 |
| Female | 40.5 | 47.7 | 43.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of usual members |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 1 | 18.6 | 16.1 | 17.4 |
| 2 | 18.1 | 13.4 | 15.8 |
| 3 | 15.2 | 12.6 | 13.9 |
| 4 | 15.7 | 11.7 | 13.8 |
| 5 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 11.7 |
| 6 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 8.8 |
| 7 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 6.0 |
| 8 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 3.8 |
| 9+ | 5.1 | 12.2 | 8.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean size of households | 3.8 | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| Percentage of households with orphans and foster children under age 18 |  |  |  |
| Foster children ${ }^{1}$ | 18.4 | 46.4 | 31.9 |
| Double orphans | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| Single orphans ${ }^{2}$ | 9.5 | 19.9 | 14.5 |
| Foster and/or orphan children | 22.1 | 49.6 | 35.3 |
| Number of households | 5,121 | 4,728 | 9,849 |

Note: Table is based on de jure household members (i.e., usual residents). ${ }^{1}$ Foster children are those under age 18 living in households with neither their mother nor their father present.
${ }^{2}$ Includes children with one dead parent and an unknown survival status of the other parent

### 2.6 Birth Registration

Birth registration is the inscription of the facts of each birth into an official log kept at the registrar's office. A birth certificate is issued as proof of the registration of the birth. Birth registration is basic to ensuring a child's legal status and, thus, fundamental rights and services (UNICEF, 2006; United Nations General Assembly, 2002).

Information on registration of births was collected in the household interview. Respondents were asked whether children under age 5 residing in the household had a birth certificate. Table 2.9 shows the percentage of de jure children under age 5 whose births are registered with the civil authorities.

Eighty-seven percent of children under five are registered with the civil authorities- 63 percent have a birth certificate, 23 percent have a hospital card and less than 1 percent are registered but do not have a birth certificate. Children less than age 2 are less likely to have a birth certificate ( 56 percent) than children age 2-4 ( 68 percent Male children are slightly more likely to have a birth certificate than female children ( 65 percent versus 62 percent). Children in urban households are more likely to have a birth certificate than children in rural households ( 77 percent and 54 percent, respectively). By region, the proportion of children with birth certificates is highest in //Karas (89 percent) and lowest in Kavango (47 percent). The percentage of children with birth certificates correlates positively with wealth, ranging from 42 percent among children in the lowest wealth quintile to 90 percent among children in the highest quintile.

| Table 2.9 Birth registration of children under age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of de jure children under age 5 whose births are registered with the civil authorities, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Children whose births are registered |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Percentage with a birth certificate | Percentage without a birth certificate | Percentage with only a hospital card | Percentage registered | Number of children |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 | 55.5 | 0.4 | 29.3 | 85.3 | 2,288 |
| 2-4 | 68.4 | 0.9 | 19.1 | 88.4 | 3,390 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 64.7 | 0.7 | 22.2 | 87.6 | 2,776 |
| Female | 61.8 | 0.7 | 24.2 | 86.7 | 2,900 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 77.2 | 0.8 | 10.9 | 88.9 | 2,214 |
| Rural | 54.3 | 0.6 | 31.0 | 86.0 | 3,464 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 49.1 | 1.1 | 41.9 | 92.1 | 352 |
| Erongo | 79.7 | 0.5 | 10.6 | 90.8 | 310 |
| Hardap | 84.7 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 92.5 | 195 |
| //Karas | 88.8 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 97.0 | 175 |
| Kavango | 46.5 | 0.4 | 23.4 | 70.2 | 688 |
| Khomas | 78.1 | 0.6 | 10.6 | 89.3 | 769 |
| Kunene | 52.2 | 3.4 | 20.9 | 76.4 | 221 |
| Ohangwena | 54.4 | 0.3 | 36.5 | 91.2 | 836 |
| Omaheke | 60.4 | 1.4 | 22.9 | 84.6 | 180 |
| Omusati | 64.3 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 89.2 | 672 |
| Oshana | 63.2 | 1.1 | 17.3 | 81.6 | 398 |
| Oshikoto | 59.1 | 0.2 | 37.6 | 96.9 | 504 |
| Otjozondjupa | 70.7 | 1.0 | 14.2 | 86.0 | 379 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 42.2 | 0.6 | 40.2 | 83.1 | 1,420 |
| Second | 55.6 | 0.6 | 28.2 | 84.5 | 1,306 |
| Middle | 68.2 | 0.8 | 19.4 | 88.4 | 1,181 |
| Fourth | 76.1 | 1.1 | 12.8 | 90.1 | 1,009 |
| Highest | 90.4 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 93.3 | 762 |
| Total | 63.2 | 0.7 | 23.2 | 87.1 | 5,678 |

Note: Total includes 1 child with missing information on sex.

### 2.7 Children’s Living Arrangements and Parental Survival

Information was collected on the living arrangements and parental survival status of all children under age 18 residing in the sample households to assess the potential burden on households in terms of the need to provide for orphaned or foster children. The information was also used to assess the situation from the perspective of the children themselves. Table 2.10 presents the proportion of children under age 18 who are not living with one or both parents, either because the parent(s) died or for other reasons.

Two percent of Namibian children under age 18 have lost both parents. Eight percent are not living with either parent. Twenty-eight percent of children are not living with either parent although both are alive. Fourteen percent of children under age 18 are orphaned (that is, one or both parents are dead).

The percentage of orphaned children increases rapidly with age, from 4 percent among children under age 5 to 27 percent among children age 15-17. Rural children are more likely to be orphaned than urban children ( 15 percent and 12 percent, respectively). Otjozondjupa and Erongo ( 9 percent each) have the lowest proportion of orphaned children, and Oshana has the highest (18 percent). The percentage of children with one or both parents dead varies little by wealth.

Thirty-seven percent of children are not living with their biological parents. Twenty-one percent of children from households in the highest wealth quintile are not living with a biological parent, and 43 and 44 percent of children from households in the second and middle wealth quintiles, respectively, are not living with a biological parent.

The vast majority (97) percent) of children with no parents are attending school, while 95 percent of children with at least one living parent are attending school (data not shown).

Percent distribution of de jure children under age 18 by living arrangements and survival status of parents, the percentage of children not living with a biological parent, and the percentage of children with one or both parents dead, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Living with both parents | Living with mother but not with father |  | Living with father but not with mother |  | Not living with either parent |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage not living with a biological parent | Percentage with one or both parents dead $^{1}$ | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother dead | Both alive | Only father alive | Only mother alive | Both dead | Missing information on father/ mother | Total |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 29.8 | 40.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 22.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 24.3 | 4.0 | 5,678 |
| <2 | 31.9 | 53.4 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 11.3 | 2.8 | 2,288 |
| 2-4 | 28.4 | 32.0 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 30.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 33.1 | 4.8 | 3,390 |
| 5-9 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 33.7 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 39.6 | 10.0 | 5,267 |
| 10-14 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 30.0 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 44.3 | 21.9 | 4,919 |
| 15-17 | 20.3 | 17.3 | 8.5 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 26.7 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 43.2 | 26.8 | 2,528 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 24.8 | 27.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 28.4 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 36.5 | 13.5 | 9,148 |
| Female | 24.7 | 28.3 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 28.3 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 36.8 | 13.8 | 9,243 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 34.9 | 30.5 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 15.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 22.0 | 11.8 | 7,087 |
| Rural | 18.4 | 26.2 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 36.1 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 45.8 | 14.8 | 11,305 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 34.1 | 27.2 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 17.3 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 26.8 | 16.7 | 987 |
| Erongo | 38.4 | 28.5 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 17.3 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 21.7 | 9.0 | 1,053 |
| Hardap | 33.1 | 30.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 20.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 27.4 | 11.1 | 581 |
| //Karas | 31.6 | 29.5 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 15.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 23.0 | 15.8 | 574 |
| Kavango | 29.5 | 29.6 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 22.8 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 30.7 | 12.9 | 2,268 |
| Khomas | 39.1 | 30.4 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 11.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 16.5 | 11.7 | 2,482 |
| Kunene | 23.6 | 29.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 29.9 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 34.7 | 9.5 | 568 |
| Ohangwena | 13.4 | 25.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 42.5 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 52.2 | 14.1 | 2,755 |
| Omaheke | 32.2 | 23.9 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 25.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 31.9 | 12.7 | 465 |
| Omusati | 12.6 | 26.4 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 40.0 | 3.1 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 51.2 | 16.6 | 2,498 |
| Oshana | 14.3 | 27.0 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 34.6 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 47.4 | 18.1 | 1,426 |
| Oshikoto | 16.6 | 27.7 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 37.3 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 47.8 | 14.8 | 1,637 |
| Otjozondjupa | 32.4 | 27.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 25.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 30.0 | 8.9 | 1,098 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 22.6 | 28.6 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 30.5 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 39.0 | 14.5 | 4,520 |
| Second | 19.7 | 28.2 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 32.8 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 42.8 | 14.7 | 3,967 |
| Middle | 20.1 | 25.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 34.6 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 43.5 | 14.1 | 3,677 |
| Fourth | 23.2 | 33.0 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 24.1 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 32.4 | 13.7 | 3,347 |
| Highest | 42.9 | 23.2 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 15.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 20.7 | 10.2 | 2,882 |
| Total <15 | 25.5 | 29.5 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 28.6 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 35.6 | 11.6 | 15,864 |
| Total <18 | 24.8 | 27.8 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 28.3 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 36.6 | 13.6 | 18,392 |

[^2]
### 2.8 Education of the Household Population

The educational level of household members is among the most important characteristics of a household because it is associated with many factors that have a significant impact on health-seeking behaviours, reproductive behaviours, use of contraception, and the health of children. Results from the 2013 NDHS can be used to look at educational attainment among household members and school attendance as well as dropout rates among youth.

### 2.8.1 Educational Attainment

Tables 2.11.1 and 2.11.2 show the distribution of female and male household members age 6 and above by the highest level of schooling ever attended (even if they did not complete that level) and the median number of years of education completed according to age, residence, region, and wealth quintile. A comparison of the two tables reveals that there is a gap in educational attainment between females and males. Although the majority of the household population age 6 and older has some education, 12 percent of females have never attended school, as compared with 14 percent of males. Females have completed a median of 6.6 years of schooling, which is 0.6 years more than the median for males ( 6.0 years).

Table 2.11.1 Educational attainment of the female household population
Percent distribution of the de facto female household population age 6 and over by highest level of schooling attended or completed and median years completed, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | No education | Some primary | Completed primary ${ }^{1}$ | Some secondary | Completed secondary ${ }^{2}$ | More than secondary | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number | Median years completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 31.9 | 67.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2,111 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 2.2 | 83.0 | 5.7 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,481 | 3.8 |
| 15-19 | 2.0 | 15.5 | 10.9 | 60.7 | 7.4 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,148 | 7.7 |
| 20-24 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 4.2 | 48.5 | 22.6 | 14.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,052 | 9.7 |
| 25-29 | 4.6 | 9.0 | 5.2 | 49.0 | 21.0 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,725 | 9.4 |
| 30-34 | 6.0 | 11.6 | 6.2 | 45.5 | 20.0 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,454 | 9.3 |
| 35-39 | 6.9 | 16.1 | 5.2 | 41.3 | 20.7 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,298 | 9.2 |
| 40-44 | 7.0 | 19.2 | 5.5 | 37.4 | 19.7 | 10.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,055 | 9.2 |
| 45-49 | 12.3 | 24.5 | 7.0 | 29.8 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 820 | 7.8 |
| 50-54 | 14.8 | 33.6 | 7.2 | 23.0 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 825 | 6.1 |
| 55-59 | 23.3 | 33.5 | 8.3 | 20.7 | 5.0 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 545 | 4.9 |
| 60-64 | 28.8 | 35.7 | 5.9 | 16.6 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 475 | 3.8 |
| $65+$ | 43.3 | 36.5 | 4.6 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1,331 | 1.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.1 | 24.1 | 4.4 | 34.5 | 17.9 | 11.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 8,805 | 8.9 |
|  | 16.9 | 40.8 | 6.7 | 27.7 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 9,528 | 4.8 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 13.3 | 31.5 | 4.4 | 36.2 | 9.5 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 911 | 6.7 |
| Erongo | 5.2 | 25.8 | 3.6 | 39.1 | 18.5 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,311 | 8.9 |
| Hardap | 7.5 | 34.4 | 5.8 | 35.5 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 633 | 7.1 |
| //Karas | 7.5 | 29.7 | 6.9 | 38.3 | 12.9 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 646 | 7.5 |
| Kavango | 18.7 | 44.6 | 8.1 | 21.3 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,848 | 4.1 |
| Khomas | 4.5 | 19.4 | 3.8 | 31.4 | 21.7 | 18.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3,561 | 9.6 |
| Kunene | 31.7 | 29.3 | 2.7 | 25.7 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 522 | 3.5 |
| Ohangwena | 18.7 | 41.2 | 5.3 | 28.1 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,119 | 4.5 |
| Omaheke | 25.8 | 29.3 | 7.0 | 26.8 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 440 | 4.6 |
| Omusati | 14.3 | 41.4 | 7.6 | 29.6 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,268 | 5.2 |
| Oshana | 6.6 | 33.1 | 3.0 | 35.7 | 13.5 | 7.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,527 | 7.5 |
| Oshikoto | 11.4 | 35.9 | 7.1 | 31.6 | 9.4 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,501 | 6.3 |
| Otjozondjupa | 16.6 | 30.2 | 8.0 | 29.8 | 10.2 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,048 | 6.3 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 23.0 | 45.2 | 7.9 | 21.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3,560 | 3.4 |
| Second | 17.1 | 40.0 | 6.4 | 31.3 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3,459 | 5.0 |
| Middle | 11.9 | 34.4 | 5.8 | 36.2 | 8.6 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 3,523 | 6.4 |
| Fourth | 7.3 | 27.4 | 5.4 | 35.9 | 15.5 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3,784 | 8.2 |
| Highest | 3.2 | 19.0 | 2.8 | 30.2 | 23.3 | 20.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4,007 | 9.9 |
| Total | 12.2 | 32.7 | 5.6 | 31.0 | 11.0 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 18,333 | 6.6 |

Note: Total includes 13 children with missing information on age.
${ }^{1}$ Completed 7 grades at the primary level
${ }^{2}$ Completed 5 grades at the secondary leve

The percentage of females who have no education decreases from 43 percent among those age 65 and over to 2 percent among those age 10-19. Similarly, the percentage of males who have never been to school decreases from 39 percent in the oldest age group to 3 percent among those age 10-19, indicating that there has been a gradual improvement in the level of education in Namibia over the last few decades.

Educational attainment also differs markedly among regions. For example, the largest proportion of the female and male household population over age 6 that has never been to school is found in Kunene (32 percent and 35 percent, respectively). The region with the lowest proportion of household members who have never attended school is Khomas and Erongo for females ( 5 percent each) and Khomas for males ( 7 percent). The percentage of males and females who have no education decreases steadily with increasing wealth.

Table 2.11.2 Educational attainment of the male household population
Percent distribution of the de facto male household population age 6 and over by highest level of schooling attended or completed and median years completed, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | No education | Some primary | Completed primary ${ }^{1}$ | Some secondary | Completed secondary ${ }^{2}$ | More than secondary | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number | Median years completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 34.0 | 64.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2,035 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 3.4 | 88.0 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,426 | 3.4 |
| 15-19 | 3.1 | 27.3 | 10.9 | 53.0 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,111 | 7.0 |
| 20-24 | 6.6 | 12.5 | 5.8 | 44.5 | 20.0 | 10.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,892 | 9.2 |
| 25-29 | 9.7 | 12.5 | 5.2 | 40.4 | 19.1 | 12.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,525 | 9.3 |
| 30-34 | 9.9 | 14.8 | 5.9 | 35.8 | 20.6 | 11.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,340 | 9.2 |
| 35-39 | 10.1 | 17.7 | 7.1 | 34.2 | 18.4 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,160 | 9.1 |
| 40-44 | 14.0 | 21.3 | 5.6 | 28.9 | 17.0 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 933 | 8.4 |
| 45-49 | 14.7 | 20.3 | 7.6 | 24.5 | 17.7 | 13.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 747 | 8.0 |
| 50-54 | 17.2 | 25.4 | 7.4 | 24.3 | 10.4 | 14.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 538 | 6.9 |
| 55-59 | 22.1 | 29.3 | 4.5 | 21.9 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 364 | 5.6 |
| 60-64 | 27.6 | 31.5 | 5.6 | 19.1 | 5.6 | 8.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 334 | 4.3 |
| 65+ | 39.4 | 35.0 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 834 | 1.5 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.7 | 26.0 | 4.4 | 32.0 | 16.6 | 11.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 7,840 | 8.5 |
| Rural | 18.1 | 45.4 | 6.4 | 22.3 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 8,414 | 4.0 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 8.5 | 37.3 | 4.5 | 30.5 | 13.5 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 846 | 6.6 |
| Erongo | 7.6 | 25.7 | 4.2 | 35.8 | 17.5 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,333 | 8.4 |
| Hardap | 11.4 | 34.6 | 6.3 | 29.9 | 13.5 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 587 | 6.5 |
| //Karas | 10.1 | 29.6 | 8.3 | 33.0 | 12.1 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 610 | 7.2 |
| Kavango | 19.4 | 44.3 | 6.2 | 20.6 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,595 | 3.5 |
| Khomas | 6.9 | 21.6 | 4.3 | 30.4 | 18.7 | 16.9 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 3,183 | 9.3 |
| Kunene | 34.7 | 31.0 | 3.1 | 21.2 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 477 | 2.8 |
| Ohangwena | 19.0 | 49.3 | 4.2 | 21.3 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,725 | 3.5 |
| Omaheke | 27.0 | 33.2 | 6.0 | 21.8 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 493 | 3.9 |
| Omusati | 14.0 | 49.7 | 7.8 | 21.7 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,757 | 4.4 |
| Oshana | 7.5 | 39.8 | 4.1 | 29.4 | 11.1 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,311 | 6.3 |
| Oshikoto | 14.5 | 41.5 | 7.5 | 25.4 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,364 | 5.0 |
| Otjozondjupa | 20.6 | 30.6 | 5.2 | 29.0 | 10.3 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 972 | 5.6 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 23.8 | 48.7 | 6.0 | 17.6 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3,011 | 2.8 |
| Second | 18.8 | 43.9 | 6.0 | 25.1 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3,257 | 4.1 |
| Middle | 13.4 | 38.0 | 6.6 | 30.4 | 7.9 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 3,374 | 5.7 |
| Fourth | 8.7 | 31.7 | 5.5 | 32.4 | 14.0 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3,246 | 7.4 |
| Highest | 4.2 | 19.3 | 2.9 | 28.3 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3,367 | 9.9 |
| Total | 13.5 | 36.0 | 5.4 | 26.9 | 10.4 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 16,254 | 6.0 |

Note: Total includes 16 children with missing information on age.
${ }^{1}$ Completed 7 grades at the primary level
${ }^{2}$ Completed 5 grades at the secondary level

### 2.8.2 School Attendance Ratios

The net attendance ratio (NAR) indicates participation in primary schooling for the population age 6-12 and secondary schooling for the population age 13-17. The gross attendance ratio (GAR) measures participation at each level of schooling among those of any age from 5 to 24 years. The GAR is almost always higher than the NAR for the same level because the GAR includes participation by those who may be older or younger than the official age range for that level. An NAR of 100 percent would indicate that all of those in the official age range for a given level are attending at that level. The GAR can exceed 100 percent if there is significant overage or underage participation at a given level of schooling.

Table 2.12 provides data on net attendance ratios and gross attendance ratios by sex and level of schooling, according to residence, region, and wealth quintile. The NAR is 90 percent at the primary level and 50 percent at the secondary level. The rural primary school NAR is 88 percent, as compared with 93 percent in urban areas. The NAR is highest in Erongo ( 96 percent). In general, the NAR at the primary level increases with increasing wealth, from 85 percent in the lowest wealth quintile to $94-95$ percent in the highest two quintiles. There have been only very small changes in the NAR and GAR since 2006-07.

Table 2.12 School attendance ratios
Net attendance ratios (NARs) and gross attendance ratios (GARs) for the de facto household population by sex and level of schooling, and the gender parity index (GPI), according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

|  | Net attendance ratio ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Gross attendance ratio ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Male | Female | Total | Gender parity index ${ }^{3}$ | Male | Female | Total | Gender parity index ${ }^{3}$ |
| PRIMARY SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 92.7 | 93.8 | 93.3 | 1.01 | 128.9 | 124.5 | 126.6 | 0.97 |
| Rural | 87.7 | 88.8 | 88.2 | 1.01 | 135.7 | 126.9 | 131.4 | 0.94 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 94.7 | 94.2 | 94.4 | 0.99 | 144.2 | 137.0 | 140.8 | 0.95 |
| Erongo | 94.7 | 97.7 | 96.3 | 1.03 | 130.5 | 124.1 | 127.2 | 0.95 |
| Hardap | 92.8 | 96.1 | 94.5 | 1.04 | 124.2 | 117.7 | 120.9 | 0.95 |
| //Karas | 92.3 | 92.0 | 92.1 | 1.00 | 127.5 | 114.8 | 120.7 | 0.90 |
| Kavango | 86.0 | 89.6 | 87.8 | 1.04 | 135.8 | 135.1 | 135.4 | 0.99 |
| Khomas | 93.4 | 94.4 | 93.9 | 1.01 | 123.5 | 127.3 | 125.4 | 1.03 |
| Kunene | 66.2 | 77.6 | 71.9 | 1.17 | 99.9 | 105.0 | 102.4 | 1.05 |
| Ohangwena | 87.4 | 88.6 | 88.0 | 1.01 | 135.4 | 128.0 | 131.9 | 0.95 |
| Omaheke | 83.1 | 83.9 | 83.5 | 1.01 | 111.8 | 115.4 | 113.3 | 1.03 |
| Omusati | 90.9 | 88.1 | 89.4 | 0.97 | 143.1 | 121.5 | 131.9 | 0.85 |
| Oshana | 96.4 | 92.5 | 94.4 | 0.96 | 153.4 | 122.3 | 137.5 | 0.80 |
| Oshikoto | 88.4 | 91.9 | 90.2 | 1.04 | 136.5 | 135.1 | 135.8 | 0.99 |
| Otjozondjupa | 84.0 | 87.9 | 86.0 | 1.05 | 109.6 | 117.9 | 114.0 | 1.08 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 83.0 | 87.1 | 85.0 | 1.05 | 132.2 | 131.3 | 131.8 | 0.99 |
| Second | 88.5 | 88.0 | 88.3 | 0.99 | 138.2 | 124.7 | 131.7 | 0.90 |
| Middle | 90.5 | 91.3 | 90.9 | 1.01 | 136.7 | 124.1 | 130.4 | 0.91 |
| Fourth | 95.6 | 94.4 | 95.0 | 0.99 | 133.1 | 126.1 | 129.5 | 0.95 |
| Highest | 93.3 | 94.8 | 94.1 | 1.02 | 123.4 | 122.1 | 122.7 | 0.99 |
| Total | 89.5 | 90.8 | 90.1 | 1.01 | 133.2 | 126.0 | 129.6 | 0.95 |
| SECONDARY SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 55.3 | 56.0 | 55.7 | 1.01 | 66.6 | 67.0 | 66.8 | 1.01 |
| Rural | 40.2 | 51.5 | 45.6 | 1.28 | 51.5 | 61.6 | 56.4 | 1.20 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 45.0 | 55.7 | 50.7 | 1.24 | 70.2 | 71.6 | 71.0 | 1.02 |
| Erongo | 51.4 | 60.1 | 55.9 | 1.17 | 58.0 | 67.5 | 62.9 | 1.16 |
| Hardap | 44.2 | 47.0 | 45.6 | 1.06 | 44.9 | 50.6 | 47.7 | 1.13 |
| //Karas | 56.1 | 63.6 | 59.5 | 1.14 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 71.7 | 1.17 |
| Kavango | 33.8 | 31.9 | 32.8 | 0.94 | 50.0 | 40.5 | 45.0 | 0.81 |
| Khomas | 62.6 | 58.5 | 60.3 | 0.93 | 75.1 | 70.5 | 72.5 | 0.94 |
| Kunene | 22.5 | 38.1 | 30.0 | 1.69 | 33.0 | 41.2 | 37.0 | 1.25 |
| Ohangwena | 40.6 | 49.9 | 45.1 | 1.23 | 52.2 | 62.7 | 57.3 | 1.20 |
| Omaheke | 37.9 | 30.6 | 34.2 | 0.81 | 40.4 | 31.9 | 36.2 | 0.79 |
| Omusati | 45.4 | 62.4 | 53.5 | 1.37 | 57.3 | 74.4 | 65.5 | 1.30 |
| Oshana | 50.2 | 61.4 | 55.3 | 1.22 | 59.3 | 75.6 | 66.8 | 1.28 |
| Oshikoto | 41.6 | 57.5 | 49.7 | 1.38 | 52.8 | 66.3 | 59.6 | 1.26 |
| Otjozondjupa | 46.1 | 49.8 | 48.2 | 1.08 | 49.7 | 53.5 | 51.8 | 1.08 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 30.9 | 41.5 | 36.3 | 1.34 | 44.0 | 49.8 | 46.9 | 1.13 |
| Second | 36.2 | 44.9 | 40.3 | 1.24 | 44.9 | 60.2 | 52.0 | 1.34 |
| Middle | 47.2 | 56.0 | 51.3 | 1.19 | 60.0 | 65.0 | 62.3 | 1.08 |
| Fourth | 53.5 | 53.7 | 53.6 | 1.00 | 63.9 | 65.0 | 64.5 | 1.02 |
| Highest | 69.0 | 70.6 | 69.9 | 1.02 | 80.8 | 79.6 | 80.1 | 0.99 |
| Total | 45.8 | 53.4 | 49.6 | 1.17 | 57.1 | 63.9 | 60.5 | 1.12 |

${ }^{1}$ The NAR for primary school is the percentage of the primary school age (A-B years) population that is attending primary school. The NAR for secondary school is the percentage of the secondary school age (C-D years) population that is attending secondary school. By definition, the NAR cannot exceed 100 percent.
${ }^{2}$ The GAR for primary school is the total number of primary school students expressed as a percentage of the official primary school age population. The GAR for secondary school is the total number of secondary school students expressed as a percentage of the official secondary school age population. If there are significant numbers of overage and underage students at a given level of schooling, the GAR can exceed 100 percent.
${ }^{3}$ The gender parity index for primary school is the ratio of the primary school NAR (GAR) for females to the NAR (GAR) for males. The gender parity index for secondary school is the ratio of the secondary school NAR (GAR) for females to the NAR (GAR) for males.

The GAR at the primary school level is 130 percent. This figure exceeds the primary school NAR ( 90 percent) by 40 percentage points, indicating that a large number of children outside the official school age population are attending primary school. At the secondary level, the GAR ( 61 percent) is somewhat closer to the NAR ( 50 percent), indicating that fewer youth outside of the official school age population are attending secondary school than is the case for primary school.

At the primary school level, the GPI is more than 1 for the NAR and 0.95 for the GAR, but both are more than 1 at the secondary school level. This means that there is a greater gender disparity in favour of females in secondary school than in primary school. This parity difference is especially pronounced between urban and rural areas. The GPI associated with the secondary school NAR in rural areas is 1.28, as compared with 1.01 in urban areas; the GPI associated with the secondary school GAR is 1.20 and 1.01 in rural areas and urban areas, respectively. Large differences in GPI are also observed by region. The difference in the GPI for both the NAR and GAR by wealth quintile is more pronounced at the secondary level.

Age-specific attendance rates (ASARs) for the population age 5 to 24 -that is, the percentage of a given age cohort that attends school, regardless of the level attended (primary, secondary, or higher)—are shown in Figure 2.2. Up to age 14, a higher percentage of females than males attend school. From age 1520, a higher percentage of males than females attend school. Beyond age 20, females are more likely to be in school than males.

Figure 2.2 Age-specific attendance rates


### 2.9 Utilisation of Health Services and Out-of-Pocket Expenditure for Health Care

The 2013 NDHS collected data in the Household Questionnaire on utilisation of health services by household members. Information on inpatient visits was collected for each household from just a single member who was admitted for an overnight stay at a health facility in the six months preceding the survey. This information included place of admission, the cost of treatment and services received during the most recent visit (including the cost of laboratory tests, drugs, and other items), the main reason for seeking care, and the total number of times the individual stayed overnight at a health facility in the preceding six months. Information on outpatient visits was also collected from a single household member who consulted a health care facility, provider, pharmacy, or traditional healer for health care in the four weeks preceding the survey without staying overnight. Information on outpatient care included the place where care was most recently received, the cost of treatment and services received (including the cost of consulting fees and expenses, as well as other items such as drugs and tests), the main reason for seeking care, and the number of times the individual received care in the last four weeks without staying overnight.

Caution should be exercised when interpreting the data collected on inpatient and outpatient health care visits since this information refers to only one person from each household who was not selected at random, but rather selected on the basis of the most recent visit during the reference period (six months for inpatient care and four weeks for outpatient care). These data cannot be extrapolated to provide information on the number of annual outpatient visits per capita among women and men in Namibia, nor can they provide the annual number of inpatient admissions. The information is meant to simply provide insight into the general level of out-of-pocket expenditure on inpatient and outpatient visits.

Table 2.13 .1 shows that 14 percent of households had a member who stayed overnight at a health facility in the past six months. Inpatient visits were most common in households in Kavango (20 percent) and least common in Omaheke (10 percent). The average expenditure for the most recent visit was 798 Namibian dollars (NAD) (about US\$75) for men and 817 NAD (about US\$77) for women. Men had an average of 3.6 inpatient stays, as compared with women's average of 2.9 inpatient stays. Not surprisingly, men and women in urban areas and in the highest wealth quintile paid much more on average for inpatient visits than men and women in rural areas or in the other wealth quintiles.

| Table 2.13.1 Health expenditure: Inpatient visits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households with a member who was admitted to stay overnight at a health facility in the last six months, average cost of health care (in Namibia dollars) during the most recent overnight stay, and average number of inpatient visits for this particular household member (unweighted), Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of households with a member |  | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| Background characteristic | overnight in a health facility in the past 6 months | Number of households | Average health expenditure for the most recent visit | Average number of inpatient visits | Number of households | Average health expenditure for the most recent visit | Average number of inpatient visits | Number of households |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <5 | na | 211 | 291 | 3.3 | 120 | 185 | 3.4 | 91 |
| 5-14 | na | 84 | (827) | (2.0) | 52 | (258) | (3.6) | 32 |
| 15-24 | na | 185 | (651) | (3.5) | 37 | 85 | 2.0 | 148 |
| 25-34 | na | 301 | 820 | 4.9 | 82 | 1,460 | 2.1 | 218 |
| 35-44 | na | 203 | 361 | 4.4 | 77 | 299 | 2.1 | 126 |
| 45-54 | na | 122 | $(1,730)$ | (2.8) | 45 | 2,670 | 4.1 | 77 |
| 55-64 | na | 96 | $(1,154)$ | (2.6) | 41 | 1,021 | 6.2 | 54 |
| 65+ | na | 149 | 1,290 | 3.6 | 81 | 149 | 3.4 | 67 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 13.6 | 5,121 | 1,424 | 2.7 | 249 | 1,076 | 2.7 | 447 |
| Rural | 14.0 | 4,728 | 259 | 4.3 | 289 | 501 | 3.0 | 366 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 15.4 | 541 | (57) | (1.8) | 31 | 51 | 1.3 | 51 |
| Erongo | 13.0 | 930 | $(1,294)$ | (2.1) | 39 | 971 | 1.5 | 81 |
| Hardap | 12.4 | 381 | * | * | 12 | 1,315 | 2.9 | 35 |
| //Karas | 13.0 | 406 | $(1,943)$ | (2.2) | 22 | 1,648 | 3.4 | 30 |
| Kavango | 20.2 | 737 | 252 | 7.6 | 62 | 53 | 5.4 | 80 |
| Khomas | 12.3 | 2,015 | $(1,975)$ | (3.7) | 91 | 1,843 | 2.0 | 156 |
| Kunene | 12.5 | 354 | (92) | (4.5) | 16 | 148 | 2.1 | 28 |
| Ohangwena | 13.7 | 900 | (33) | (5.9) | 55 | (54) | (4.0) | 68 |
| Omaheke | 9.5 | 335 | (529) | (1.5) | 16 | (111) | (1.4) | 15 |
| Omusati | 15.3 | 949 | 181 | 2.9 | 75 | 664 | 3.5 | 70 |
| Oshana | 12.8 | 831 | $(1,848)$ | (2.2) | 34 | 833 | 2.0 | 72 |
| Oshikoto | 13.1 | 817 | (292) | (2.0) | 38 | 527 | 2.8 | 69 |
| Otjozondjupa | 15.4 | 652 | 790 | 2.3 | 45 | 765 | 4.5 | 56 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 14.7 | 1,737 | 82 | 4.3 | 126 | 42 | 4.2 | 124 |
| Second | 14.2 | 1,910 | 209 | 4.6 | 108 | 75 | 2.7 | 161 |
| Middle | 12.7 | 1,954 | 195 | 3.6 | 86 | 51 | 2.5 | 161 |
| Fourth | 14.7 | 2,136 | 476 | 2.8 | 116 | 431 | 3.0 | 196 |
| Highest | 12.9 | 2,111 | 3,180 | 2.5 | 102 | 3,258 | 2.2 | 170 |
| Total | 13.8 | 9,849 | 798 | 3.6 | 538 | 817 | 2.9 | 813 |

Note: Total includes 1 man with missing information on age. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
na = Not applicable

Table 2.13 .2 shows that 36 percent of households reported a member who had sought care from a health provider, pharmacy, or traditional healer without staying overnight in the four weeks preceding the survey. Outpatient visits are more common in rural ( 39 percent) than urban areas ( 33 percent) and most common in Omusati (51 percent). Differences by wealth quintile are small. Outpatient visits are less
expensive than inpatient visits. Men paid an average of 99 NAD (about US\$10) and women an average of 161 NAD (about US\$15) for an outpatient visit. There is only a minimal difference in the average number of outpatient visits by men (1.6) and women (1.5). Both men and women incurred costs for an average of 1.2 outpatient visits. Outpatient visits were most expensive for men in Hardap and least expensive for men in Omusati. On the other hand, women in Otjozondjupa paid the most for an outpatient visit and women in Ohangwena paid the least. Among both men and women, outpatient visits were much more expensive in urban than rural areas and for households in the highest wealth quintile.

Table 2.13.2 Health expenditure: Outpatient visits
Percentage of households with a member who received care from a health provider, a pharmacy, or a traditional healer without staying overnight in the last four weeks, average cost of health care (in Namibia dollars) during the most recent visit, average number of outpatient visits for this particular household member, and average number of outpatient visits for which money was spent (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of households with a member who had an outpatient visit in the past 4 weeks | Number of households | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average health expenditure for the most recent visit | Average number of outpatient visits | Average number of outpatient visits for which money was spent | Number of households | Average health expenditure for the most recent visit | Average number of outpatient visits | Average number of outpatient visits for which money was spent | Number of households |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <5 | na | 594 | 39 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 316 | 44 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 278 |
| 5-14 | na | 312 | 42 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 143 | 46 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 169 |
| 15-24 | na | 402 | 79 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 167 | 63 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 235 |
| 25-34 | na | 527 | 50 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 196 | 65 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 331 |
| 35-44 | na | 498 | 148 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 207 | 467 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 291 |
| 45-54 | na | 422 | 184 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 156 | 365 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 266 |
| 55-64 | na | 296 | 158 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 126 | 42 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 170 |
| 65+ | na | 457 | 156 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 156 | 107 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 300 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 32.5 | 5,121 | 149 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 715 | 284 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 945 |
| Rural | 39.1 | 4,728 | 51 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 751 | 55 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1,099 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 42.8 | 541 | 31 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 106 | 84 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 126 |
| Erongo | 31.2 | 930 | 112 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 146 | 67 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 145 |
| Hardap | 24.6 | 381 | 538 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 37 | 65 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 57 |
| //Karas | 35.8 | 406 | 95 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 62 | 80 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 82 |
| Kavango | 37.5 | 737 | 26 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 110 | 22 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 166 |
| Khomas | 28.9 | 2,015 | 241 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 229 | 447 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 348 |
| Kunene | 20.9 | 354 | 97 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 26 | 129 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 48 |
| Ohangwena | 45.0 | 900 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 164 | 11 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 241 |
| Omaheke | 34.0 | 335 | 160 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 57 | 210 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 56 |
| Omusati | 50.5 | 949 | 7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 171 | 15 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 308 |
| Oshana | 36.3 | 831 | 59 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 137 | 68 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 163 |
| Oshikoto | 37.5 | 817 | 32 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 122 | 113 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 185 |
| Otjozondjupa | 33.3 | 652 | 148 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 99 | 689 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 118 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 37.4 | 1,737 | 12 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 256 | 8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 394 |
| Second | 34.5 | 1,910 | 21 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 278 | 305 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 381 |
| Middle | 36.5 | 1,954 | 16 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 308 | 70 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 406 |
| Fourth | 33.1 | 2,136 | 92 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 296 | 86 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 409 |
| Highest | 37.3 | 2,111 | 316 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 328 | 322 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 454 |
| Total | 35.7 | 9,849 | 99 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1,466 | 161 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2,044 |

Note. Total includes 3 women with missing information on age.
na $=$ Not applicable

## Key Findings

- A total of 9,176 women and 3,950 men age $15-49$ were interviewed as part of the 2013 NDHS.
- In half of the households selected for the male survey, partial interviews were conducted with 842 women and 531 men age 50-64.
- Five percent of women and 8 percent of men age $15-49$ have no education. The majority of respondents ( 76 percent of women and 69 percent of men) have a secondary education or higher.
- Literacy rates are high in Namibia: 93 percent of women and 91 percent of men are literate.
- Forty-three percent of women and 56 percent of men age 15-49 are currently employed.
- Among women who were employed in the past 12 months, the majority work in sales and services ( 58 percent). Men are most likely to be employed in skilled manual work (33 percent) and sales and services (30 percent).
- Three percent of women and 9 percent of men work in agriculture.
- Thirty-six percent of women who work in agriculture are not paid for their work.

TThis chapter presents information on key demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the survey respondents, including age, religion, marital status, residence, education, literacy, and media access. The chapter also explores adult employment status, occupation, and earnings. The information contained in this chapter provides a useful context within which the demographic and health indices discussed in the remainder of the report should be understood.

### 3.1 Characteristics of Survey Respondents

Table 3.1 shows the background characteristics of the 9,176 women and 3,950 men age 15-49 interviewed in the 2013 NDHS. In addition, as explained in Chapter 1, interviews on selected sections of the questionnaires were conducted with 842 women and 531 men age 50-64 in a subsample of half of the households selected for the male survey.

Overall, 57 percent of women and 59 percent of men are below the age of 30 . The highest proportions of respondents however, fall in the 15-19 age group with 21 percent of women and 23 percent of men falling within this age group. These percentages decrease steadily to reach 8 percent and 7 percent, respectively, in the 45-49 age group.

The Namibian population is predominantly Christian. The majority ( 44 percent of women and 43 percent of men) belong to the Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia (ELCIN). Twenty percent of women and 26 percent of men reported being Roman Catholic while 21 percent of women and 13 percent of men reported being Protestant or Anglican.

A large majority of respondents age 15-49 ( 60 percent of women and 68 percent of men) have never been married. Thirty-four percent of women and 29 percent of men are currently married or living together with a partner as if married, while 7 percent and 3 percent, respectively, are divorced, separated, or widowed.

Fifty-seven percent of the respondents reside in urban areas, while 43 percent reside in rural areas. By region, Khomas (where Windhoek, the capital city, is located) had the highest proportion of both female and male respondents ( 24 percent and 25 percent, respectively), whereas Kunene, Omaheke, Hardap, and //Karas had the lowest proportions of respondents (3-4 percent).

Table 3.1 Background characteristics of respondents
Percent distribution of women and men age 15-49 by selected background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted percent | Weighted number | Unweighted number | Weighted percent | Weighted number | Unweighted number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 20.8 | 1,906 | 1,857 | 22.9 | 922 | 883 |
| 20-24 | 19.5 | 1,786 | 1,720 | 20.1 | 808 | 771 |
| 25-29 | 16.2 | 1,489 | 1,495 | 16.4 | 658 | 613 |
| 30-34 | 13.7 | 1,260 | 1,262 | 12.9 | 520 | 516 |
| 35-39 | 12.1 | 1,110 | 1,146 | 11.1 | 448 | 454 |
| 40-44 | 10.0 | 917 | 942 | 9.3 | 376 | 404 |
| 45-49 | 7.7 | 708 | 754 | 7.2 | 289 | 309 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 19.6 | 1,802 | 1,892 | 25.9 | 1,041 | 1,031 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 21.2 | 1,947 | 2,049 | 12.7 | 511 | 511 |
| ELCIN | 44.0 | 4,035 | 3,783 | 43.4 | 1,745 | 1,571 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | 4.8 | 436 | 522 | 4.0 | 161 | 192 |
| No religion | 1.1 | 105 | 129 | 1.8 | 72 | 100 |
| Other | 9.0 | 827 | 779 | 12.0 | 483 | 537 |
| Missing | 0.3 | 23 | 22 | 0.2 | 9 | 8 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 59.5 | 5,458 | 5,188 | 68.3 | 2,745 | 2,577 |
| Married | 17.9 | 1,644 | 1,779 | 15.1 | 609 | 657 |
| Living together | 16.1 | 1,476 | 1,587 | 13.7 | 551 | 587 |
| Divorced/separated | 4.4 | 408 | 429 | 2.6 | 106 | 118 |
| Widowed | 2.1 | 189 | 193 | 0.2 | 10 | 11 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 56.6 | 5,190 | 4,843 | 56.8 | 2,282 | 1,998 |
| Rural | 43.4 | 3,986 | 4,333 | 43.2 | 1,739 | 1,952 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 5.0 | 457 | 647 | 5.4 | 218 | 291 |
| Erongo | 8.4 | 771 | 858 | 9.3 | 372 | 421 |
| Hardap | 3.3 | 304 | 595 | 3.8 | 152 | 299 |
| //Karas | 3.7 | 343 | 782 | 3.8 | 151 | 333 |
| Kavango | 9.1 | 835 | 743 | 7.9 | 316 | 281 |
| Khomas | 24.0 | 2,202 | 986 | 25.4 | 1,023 | 415 |
| Kunene | 2.8 | 258 | 584 | 2.6 | 104 | 252 |
| Ohangwena | 9.7 | 894 | 695 | 8.2 | 328 | 255 |
| Omaheke | 2.5 | 225 | 535 | 2.6 | 103 | 256 |
| Omusati | 9.6 | 884 | 725 | 8.5 | 342 | 262 |
| Oshana | 8.2 | 755 | 671 | 8.3 | 335 | 274 |
| Oshikoto | 7.7 | 707 | 656 | 8.3 | 335 | 302 |
| Otjozondjupa | 5.9 | 540 | 699 | 6.0 | 241 | 309 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.6 | 419 | 551 | 7.7 | 310 | 379 |
| Primary | 19.6 | 1,798 | 1,914 | 23.5 | 944 | 978 |
| Secondary | 65.7 | 6,029 | 6,019 | 59.7 | 2,400 | 2,307 |
| More than secondary | 10.1 | 930 | 692 | 9.1 | 368 | 286 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 15.6 | 1,429 | 1,461 | 14.8 | 594 | 605 |
| Second | 17.7 | 1,625 | 1,661 | 19.1 | 769 | 768 |
| Middle | 19.6 | 1,795 | 1,903 | 22.0 | 886 | 897 |
| Fourth | 23.1 | 2,116 | 2,162 | 22.8 | 917 | 913 |
| Highest | 24.1 | 2,211 | 1,989 | 21.3 | 855 | 767 |
| Total 15-49 | 100.0 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 100.0 | 4,021 | 3,950 |
| 50-64 | 0.0 | 797 | 842 | 0.0 | 460 | 531 |
| Total 15-64 | 0.0 | 9,973 | 10,018 | 0.0 | 4,481 | 4,481 |

Note: Education categories refer to the highest level of education attended, whether or not that level was completed. ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

Education is an important determinant of the other demographic and health characteristics of individuals and the societies to which they belong. The proportion of respondents with no education is low ( 5 percent of women and 8 percent of men). The majority of respondents ( 76 percent of women and 69 percent of men) have a secondary education or higher.

### 3.2 Educational Attainment by Background Characteristics

Tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 show the educational attainment of women and men age 15-49, respectively, by background characteristics. As mentioned above, the level of education in Namibia is high, with only 5 percent of women and 8 percent of men having no formal education. As expected, the proportion of respondents with no education increases with age, from 2 percent among women and men age 15-19 to 12 percent among women age 45-49 and 13 percent among men age 40-44. Respondents in rural areas are less likely to be educated than their urban counterparts; 7 percent of women and 11 percent of men in rural areas have no education, as compared with 3 percent and 5 percent, respectively, of women and men in urban areas. The proportion of women and men with no education is highest in Kunene (22 percent and 30 percent, respectively) and lowest in Erongo and Oshana among women (1 percent each) and Oshana among men ( 2 percent). The percentage of women and men with no education decreases with increasing wealth. Ten percent of women and 15 percent of men in the lowest wealth quintile have no education, as compared with less than 1 percent each among respondents in the highest wealth quintile.

Women are more likely to reach higher levels of education than men. For example, 48 percent of women have some secondary education, compared with 44 percent of men.

Tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 further show that women have a median of 9.1 years of schooling while men have a median of 8.7 years of schooling. Median number of years of schooling is higher among women and men age 20-29, those residing in urban areas and in Khomas, and those in the wealthiest quintile than among their counterparts in the other groups.

Overall, the results show that there have been improvements in educational attainment since the 2006-07 NDHS. For example, median number of years of schooling completed has increased from 8.5 to 9.1 among women and from 7.2 to 8.7 among men.

Table 3.2.1 Educational attainment: Women
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 by highest level of schooling attended or completed, and median years completed, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Highest level of schooling |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Median years completed | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No education | Some primary | Completed primary ${ }^{1}$ | Some secondary | Completed secondary ${ }^{2}$ | More than secondary |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 1.8 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 55.5 | 15.5 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 8.9 | 3,691 |
| 15-19 | 1.6 | 14.3 | 9.1 | 62.4 | 8.0 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 8.1 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 48.2 | 23.4 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 4.2 | 10.3 | 4.7 | 48.2 | 21.8 | 10.9 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 5.9 | 11.6 | 6.2 | 46.4 | 20.0 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 6.1 | 16.3 | 5.8 | 42.9 | 19.9 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 7.2 | 19.8 | 5.3 | 38.3 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 11.6 | 26.2 | 7.4 | 30.5 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 708 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.1 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 44.7 | 24.4 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 6.5 | 20.2 | 8.5 | 52.1 | 9.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 5.0 | 12.6 | 4.6 | 57.8 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 457 |
| Erongo | 1.0 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 51.7 | 24.6 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 771 |
| Hardap | 3.2 | 12.8 | 7.1 | 54.8 | 16.9 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 304 |
| //Karas | 1.7 | 12.1 | 6.6 | 55.0 | 18.1 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 343 |
| Kavango | 6.6 | 31.4 | 10.8 | 36.0 | 12.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 835 |
| Khomas | 2.0 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 37.6 | 27.2 | 24.4 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 21.9 | 18.1 | 4.1 | 42.7 | 9.3 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 7.6 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 4.8 | 21.6 | 7.7 | 54.2 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 7.9 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 17.2 | 16.0 | 7.8 | 45.2 | 9.7 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 225 |
| Omusati | 4.4 | 14.7 | 9.8 | 59.2 | 7.9 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 884 |
| Oshana | 0.9 | 8.9 | 2.7 | 53.8 | 22.8 | 10.9 | 100.0 | 9.5 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 5.2 | 12.8 | 7.5 | 52.3 | 15.9 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 9.5 | 15.1 | 8.3 | 46.5 | 15.6 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 540 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 10.3 | 30.0 | 11.3 | 43.8 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 1,429 |
| Second | 7.5 | 20.3 | 9.1 | 55.2 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 4.7 | 13.4 | 6.5 | 56.3 | 15.3 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 2.5 | 8.3 | 4.7 | 49.9 | 25.0 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 0.5 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 36.3 | 29.6 | 29.0 | 100.0 | 11.3 | 2,211 |
| Total | 4.6 | 13.5 | 6.1 | 47.9 | 17.8 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 9,176 |

${ }^{1}$ Completed 7th grade at the primary level
${ }^{2}$ Completed 5th grade at the secondary level

| Percent distribution of men age 15-49 by highest level of schooling attended or completed, and median years completed, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Highest level of schooling |  |  |  |  |  |  | Median years completed | Number of men |
|  | No education | Some primary | Completed primary ${ }^{1}$ | Some secondary | Completed secondary ${ }^{2}$ | More than secondary | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 3.6 | 16.2 | 7.4 | 53.5 | 13.5 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 1.8 | 21.5 | 9.0 | 58.9 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 7.5 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 5.7 | 10.1 | 5.7 | 47.3 | 21.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 9.6 | 11.4 | 5.5 | 42.4 | 19.2 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 10.3 | 15.9 | 6.4 | 36.4 | 19.4 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 9.2 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 10.3 | 18.6 | 5.7 | 36.9 | 18.5 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 13.2 | 21.1 | 5.8 | 30.2 | 15.9 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 12.0 | 22.5 | 11.3 | 28.1 | 14.6 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 7.5 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.9 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 45.7 | 22.1 | 13.1 | 100.0 | 9.5 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 11.4 | 25.4 | 10.1 | 40.9 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 4.5 | 19.7 | 4.6 | 40.7 | 24.8 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 9.2 | 218 |
| Erongo | 4.8 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 48.6 | 21.4 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 372 |
| Hardap | 5.4 | 18.8 | 6.8 | 48.4 | 17.1 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 152 |
| //Karas | 3.0 | 13.9 | 7.8 | 49.3 | 18.2 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 151 |
| Kavango | 12.1 | 21.5 | 8.0 | 42.8 | 9.7 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 7.3 | 316 |
| Khomas | 4.1 | 8.5 | 4.7 | 40.7 | 24.5 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 9.8 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 30.2 | 17.8 | 7.4 | 30.0 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 13.6 | 24.3 | 5.4 | 47.7 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 6.8 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 19.2 | 24.6 | 7.3 | 34.6 | 8.9 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 6.8 | 103 |
| Omusati | 3.5 | 25.2 | 16.2 | 44.4 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 7.2 | 342 |
| Oshana | 2.1 | 17.2 | 6.6 | 47.2 | 15.4 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 13.7 | 25.6 | 7.9 | 39.7 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 11.7 | 12.8 | 5.9 | 49.1 | 17.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 241 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 15.3 | 32.2 | 10.7 | 35.8 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 594 |
| Second | 13.1 | 24.8 | 9.1 | 43.8 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 769 |
| Middle | 8.9 | 19.7 | 9.2 | 47.8 | 9.7 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 7.9 | 886 |
| Fourth | 3.5 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 49.6 | 20.5 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 917 |
| Highest | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 38.2 | 32.0 | 24.9 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 7.7 | 16.5 | 6.9 | 43.6 | 16.1 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 4,021 |

${ }^{1}$ Completed 7th grade at the primary level
${ }^{2}$ Completed 5th grade at the secondary level

### 3.3 LITERACY

The ability to read and write is an important personal asset, enhancing people's ability to access information and connect with opportunities for enhancing their socioeconomic well-being. In addition, knowledge of the literacy level of the population can help health and development workers determine how to package and communicate their messages. In the 2013 NDHS, the literacy status of respondents who had not attended school or had attended only primary school was determined by assessing their ability to read all or part of a sentence. Respondents with a secondary education or higher were assumed to be literate.

Tables 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 show the percent distributions of women and men, respectively, by level of schooling attended and level of literacy, as well as the percentage of respondents who are literate, according to background characteristics. The literacy rate in Namibia is generally high, with more than nine in ten respondents being literate ( 93 percent of women and 91 percent of men). Literacy level tends to decrease with age, especially among women. Ninety-six percent of women age 15-24 are literate, as compared with 86 percent of women age 45-49.

Women and men in urban areas (96 percent and 95 percent, respectively) are more likely to be literate than those in rural areas ( 90 percent and 85 percent, respectively). Variations also exist by region. The literacy rate among women ranges from 77 percent in Kunene and Omaheke to 98 percent in Erongo and Oshana. Among men, literacy rate is highest in Khomas (97 percent) and lowest in Kunene and Omaheke (71 percent each). Literacy increases with increasing wealth among both women and men. For
example, 86 percent of women in the lowest wealth quintile are literate, as compared with 99 percent of those in the highest wealth quintile. The corresponding percentages for men are 79 percent and 99 percent, respectively.

Table 3.3.1 Literacy: Women
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 by level of schooling attended and level of literacy, and percentage literate, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Secondary school or higher | No schooling or primary school |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { literate }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Can read a whole sentence | Can read part of a sentence | Cannot read at all | No card with required language | Blind/visually impaired | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 80.9 | 11.7 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 3,691 |
| 15-19 | 75.0 | 17.2 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 95.7 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 87.3 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 80.8 | 9.0 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 76.3 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 71.9 | 14.7 | 4.4 | 7.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 91.0 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 67.7 | 17.0 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 54.8 | 22.8 | 8.6 | 11.4 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 708 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 84.3 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 64.8 | 19.0 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 90.2 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 77.8 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 92.1 | 457 |
| Erongo | 85.7 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 771 |
| Hardap | 76.9 | 12.7 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.4 | 304 |
| //Karas | 79.6 | 11.2 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 343 |
| Kavango | 51.1 | 23.1 | 16.0 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 90.3 | 835 |
| Khomas | 89.2 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 96.5 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 55.9 | 15.5 | 5.1 | 22.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 76.5 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 65.9 | 21.5 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 91.6 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 59.0 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 20.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 77.2 | 225 |
| Omusati | 71.0 | 19.9 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 884 |
| Oshana | 87.5 | 10.1 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 74.5 | 17.4 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 67.0 | 14.9 | 5.4 | 9.3 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 87.3 | 540 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 48.4 | 26.0 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 85.6 | 1,429 |
| Second | 63.2 | 19.4 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.0 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 75.5 | 14.0 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.2 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 84.5 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 94.9 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 2,211 |
| Total | 75.8 | 13.2 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.4 | 9,176 |

${ }^{1}$ Refers to women who attended secondary school or higher and women who can read a whole sentence or part of a sentence

Table 3.3.2 Literacy: Men
Percent distribution of men age 15-49 by level of schooling attended and level of literacy, and percentage literate, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Secondary school or higher | No schooling or primary school |  |  |  |  |  | Percentageliterate | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Can read a whole sentence | Can read part of a sentence | Cannot read at all | No card with required language | Blind/ visually impaired | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 72.8 | 14.8 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 92.8 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 67.7 | 21.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 78.5 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 91.6 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 73.5 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.9 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 67.4 | 11.9 | 8.4 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 87.7 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 65.4 | 10.0 | 14.7 | 8.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90.2 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 59.9 | 13.8 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 86.1 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 54.2 | 21.4 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 89.0 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 80.8 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.7 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 53.1 | 19.9 | 12.0 | 13.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 84.9 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 71.2 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 218 |
| Erongo | 80.1 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.4 | 372 |
| Hardap | 69.0 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 6.8 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 90.6 | 152 |
| //Karas | 75.3 | 15.0 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 151 |
| Kavango | 58.4 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 14.1 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 82.0 | 316 |
| Khomas | 82.7 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 44.6 | 11.8 | 14.7 | 27.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 71.2 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 56.8 | 16.7 | 9.1 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82.6 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 48.9 | 5.0 | 17.2 | 26.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 71.1 | 103 |
| Omusati | 55.2 | 25.5 | 13.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.6 | 342 |
| Oshana | 74.1 | 21.4 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.8 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 52.8 | 19.5 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85.8 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 69.6 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 88.6 | 241 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 41.8 | 22.1 | 14.7 | 19.3 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 78.6 | 594 |
| Second | 53.0 | 18.7 | 12.4 | 14.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 84.1 | 769 |
| Middle | 62.2 | 16.3 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.6 | 886 |
| Fourth | 81.4 | 8.8 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 917 |
| Highest | 95.2 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 68.8 | 13.0 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 90.5 | 4,021 |

${ }^{1}$ Refers to men who attended secondary school or higher and men who can read a whole sentence or part of a sentence

### 3.4 Exposure to Mass Media

The 2013 NDHS collected information on respondents’ exposure to common print and electronic media. Respondents were asked how often they read a newspaper, ${ }^{1}$ listened to the radio, or watched television. The mass media in Namibia serve as an important channel for conveying messages on family planning, malaria, HIV/AIDS awareness, and other health-related issues.

Tables 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 show the percentages of women and men, respectively, who were exposed to the different types of mass media by age, residence, region, level of education, and wealth quintile. Radio is the most commonly used type of mass media among both men and women, with 58 percent and 60 percent, respectively, listening to the radio at least once a week. More than four in ten women and men (42 percent and 44 percent, respectively) watch television at least once a week. Thirty-nine percent of women read a newspaper at least once a week.

Overall, 21 percent of women have access to all three media (radio, television, and newspaper) at least once per week. Urban women are substantially more likely to be exposed to all three media (33 percent) than rural women ( 6 percent). There exist wide regional variations with respect to media exposure. About four in ten women in Khomas (41 percent), Hardap (40 percent), and Erongo (38 percent)

[^3]are exposed to all three media, as compared with only 2 percent of women in Omusati and 5 percent of those in Kavango. Women's exposure to all three media increases notably with increasing education and wealth.

Among men, 34 percent have access to both media (radio and television) at least once per week (as noted, data for men who read a newspaper at least once a week are not shown). Similar to women, urban men ( 51 percent) are much more likely to be exposed to both of these types of media than rural men (11 percent). Access to the two specified media ranges from 8 percent among men in Omusati to 74 percent among those in Erongo. Men’s exposure to both radio and television increases steadily as their education and wealth increase.

Table 3.4.1 Exposure to mass media: Women
Percentage of women age 15-49 who are exposed to specific media on a weekly basis, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Reads a newspaper at least once a week | Watches television at least once a week | Listens to the radio at least once a week | Accesses all three media at least once a week | Accesses none of the three media at least once a week | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 36.0 | 40.2 | 52.5 | 17.9 | 30.6 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 43.7 | 47.0 | 56.9 | 24.1 | 24.4 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 40.8 | 45.8 | 57.3 | 21.8 | 25.6 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 40.1 | 40.6 | 59.7 | 21.2 | 25.6 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 35.6 | 38.1 | 60.7 | 21.4 | 28.4 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 38.7 | 37.8 | 60.7 | 21.7 | 26.5 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 35.4 | 36.8 | 62.3 | 21.8 | 29.0 | 708 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 55.4 | 61.9 | 63.9 | 33.2 | 15.0 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 17.7 | 15.5 | 49.6 | 5.8 | 42.8 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 17.9 | 36.2 | 58.4 | 10.6 | 30.7 | 457 |
| Erongo | 60.4 | 65.3 | 66.4 | 38.4 | 11.5 | 771 |
| Hardap | 56.5 | 68.7 | 73.4 | 39.5 | 11.7 | 304 |
| //Karas | 34.0 | 51.8 | 53.4 | 17.5 | 23.7 | 343 |
| Kavango | 10.3 | 21.6 | 33.8 | 4.8 | 54.8 | 835 |
| Khomas | 69.8 | 67.2 | 67.3 | 40.9 | 8.6 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 23.2 | 42.7 | 58.3 | 13.4 | 31.5 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 15.7 | 15.4 | 58.4 | 5.6 | 35.6 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 22.3 | 33.9 | 66.4 | 10.7 | 23.9 | 225 |
| Omusati | 15.2 | 6.1 | 36.6 | 2.2 | 55.8 | 884 |
| Oshana | 41.3 | 33.4 | 61.4 | 19.8 | 27.2 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 33.1 | 29.0 | 60.9 | 12.5 | 26.4 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 35.5 | 51.7 | 56.4 | 22.8 | 28.3 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.1 | 15.5 | 44.0 | 0.9 | 51.3 | 419 |
| Primary | 13.8 | 18.0 | 46.8 | 5.7 | 45.3 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 43.4 | 45.1 | 61.0 | 23.3 | 23.0 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 76.0 | 77.8 | 63.3 | 47.5 | 7.2 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.9 | 4.0 | 40.5 | 1.4 | 55.4 | 1,429 |
| Second | 18.3 | 8.3 | 49.2 | 4.0 | 45.0 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 31.7 | 23.4 | 59.6 | 8.8 | 28.7 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 48.1 | 65.8 | 63.5 | 30.0 | 14.5 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 70.4 | 82.6 | 68.0 | 48.6 | 6.4 | 2,211 |
| Total | 39.0 | 41.8 | 57.7 | 21.3 | 27.1 | 9,176 |

Table 3.4.2 Exposure to mass media: Men
Percentage of men age 15-49 who are exposed to specific media on a weekly basis, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Watches television at least once a week | Listens to the radio at least once a week | Accesses both media at least once a week | Accesses neither of the two media at least once a week | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 41.0 | 54.0 | 29.8 | 34.8 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 42.3 | 55.9 | 30.0 | 31.7 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 43.7 | 64.1 | 34.1 | 26.2 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 47.4 | 63.0 | 36.7 | 26.3 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 44.1 | 66.1 | 35.9 | 25.6 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 45.8 | 59.8 | 39.4 | 33.8 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 48.5 | 72.6 | 44.3 | 23.2 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 63.9 | 68.3 | 51.3 | 19.1 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 17.7 | 50.1 | 11.4 | 43.7 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 29.2 | 49.1 | 19.7 | 41.4 | 218 |
| Erongo | 80.7 | 85.8 | 74.1 | 7.6 | 372 |
| Hardap | 52.2 | 49.1 | 27.4 | 26.1 | 152 |
| //Karas | 64.4 | 71.3 | 51.3 | 15.6 | 151 |
| Kavango | 22.9 | 40.6 | 14.3 | 50.8 | 316 |
| Khomas | 68.9 | 74.4 | 55.9 | 12.6 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 38.2 | 54.5 | 28.9 | 36.3 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 17.6 | 56.1 | 11.4 | 37.7 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 22.8 | 57.3 | 18.1 | 38.0 | 103 |
| Omusati | 15.1 | 41.1 | 7.8 | 51.6 | 342 |
| Oshana | 24.2 | 38.0 | 11.7 | 49.4 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 20.0 | 63.7 | 14.6 | 30.9 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 52.1 | 62.2 | 46.7 | 32.4 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.7 | 51.1 | 12.8 | 48.1 | 310 |
| Primary | 25.0 | 52.4 | 18.6 | 41.2 | 944 |
| Secondary | 50.2 | 63.8 | 39.2 | 25.2 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 76.4 | 66.8 | 57.9 | 14.7 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 7.2 | 40.7 | 3.9 | 56.0 | 594 |
| Second | 14.7 | 57.5 | 10.9 | 38.8 | 769 |
| Middle | 27.7 | 55.7 | 20.2 | 36.8 | 886 |
| Fourth | 70.4 | 68.1 | 55.7 | 17.2 | 917 |
| Highest | 84.0 | 73.5 | 66.9 | 9.4 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 43.9 | 60.4 | 34.0 | 29.7 | 4,021 |

Note: Data on men who read a newspaper at least once a week are not shown due to a problem in the data entry programme. The responses from men with a secondary education or higher were not entered, resulting in a gross underestimate of men's exposure to this type of media.

### 3.5 Employment

### 3.5.1 Employment Status

The 2013 NDHS asked respondents a number of questions regarding their employment status, including whether they were working in the seven days preceding the survey and, if not, whether they had worked in the 12 months preceding the survey. The results for women and men are presented in Tables 3.5.1 and 3.5.2, respectively. At the time of the survey, 43 percent of women were employed and 3 percent were not employed but had worked sometime during the past 12 months (Table 3.5.1 and Figure 3.1). Fifty-six percent of men were employed at the time of the survey, and 6 percent were employed at some point during the 12 months before the survey (Table 3.5.2).

The proportion of currently employed respondents is considerably lower among younger women and men, especially those age 15-19 ( 8 percent of women and 14 percent of men), probably because many are still in school. Also, never-married women and men are less likely to be working than those currently or formerly married. For example, 36 percent of women who have never been married are employed, as compared with 52 percent of those who are married or cohabiting and 57 percent of those who are divorced, separated, or widowed. Women and men with no children are less likely to be employed than respondents who have children.

The proportion of women and men who are employed is higher in urban areas (53 percent and 66 percent, respectively) than in rural areas ( 30 percent and 43 percent, respectively). By region, employment among women ranges from 25 percent in Zambezi to 56 percent each in Erongo and //Karas. Among men, employment is lowest in Omusati (28 percent) and highest in Hardap (73 percent).

In the case of women, there is a linear inverse relationship between level of education and unemployment. Three in ten women with no education (30 percent) are employed, as compared with more than six in ten ( 62 percent) women with more than a secondary education. Among men, those with more than a secondary education ( 77 percent) are more likely to be employed than men with less education or no education (53-59 percent). However, the patterns by education are not linear. Employment increases steadily with increasing wealth among both women and men.

| Table 3.5.1 Employment status: Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women age 15-49 by employment status, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Employed in the 12 months preceding the survey |  | Not employed in the 12 months preceding the survey | Total | Number of women |
|  | Currently employed ${ }^{1}$ | Not currently employed |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 31.0 | 5.7 | 63.3 | 100.0 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 54.2 | 3.2 | 42.6 | 100.0 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 61.2 | 2.6 | 36.0 | 100.0 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 58.0 | 2.8 | 39.0 | 100.0 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 62.7 | 1.8 | 35.5 | 100.0 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 58.1 | 1.2 | 40.8 | 100.0 | 708 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 36.0 | 3.2 | 60.8 | 100.0 | 5,458 |
| Married or living together | 51.7 | 2.2 | 46.0 | 100.0 | 3,121 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 57.0 | 4.9 | 38.0 | 100.0 | 597 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 24.1 | 2.9 | 73.0 | 100.0 | 3,034 |
| 1-2 | 52.7 | 3.7 | 43.6 | 100.0 | 3,606 |
| 3-4 | 55.5 | 1.6 | 42.7 | 100.0 | 1,750 |
| 5+ | 39.8 | 2.8 | 57.3 | 100.0 | 785 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.8 | 3.8 | 43.2 | 100.0 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 29.5 | 1.8 | 68.7 | 100.0 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 25.0 | 1.0 | 74.1 | 100.0 | 457 |
| Erongo | 56.3 | 3.5 | 40.1 | 100.0 | 771 |
| Hardap | 44.3 | 1.9 | 53.8 | 100.0 | 304 |
| //Karas | 56.1 | 6.5 | 37.4 | 100.0 | 343 |
| Kavango | 28.0 | 1.0 | 71.0 | 100.0 | 835 |
| Khomas | 54.2 | 4.5 | 41.2 | 100.0 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 33.9 | 0.9 | 65.2 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 34.9 | 1.5 | 63.6 | 100.0 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 35.5 | 4.8 | 59.7 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Omusati | 25.8 | 0.0 | 74.0 | 100.0 | 884 |
| Oshana | 44.8 | 1.6 | 53.6 | 100.0 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 47.0 | 6.0 | 47.0 | 100.0 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 44.1 | 4.2 | 51.6 | 100.0 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 29.5 | 3.4 | 66.8 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Primary | 31.8 | 2.0 | 66.2 | 100.0 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 43.9 | 3.2 | 52.8 | 100.0 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 62.0 | 2.8 | 35.0 | 100.0 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 21.8 | 1.7 | 76.5 | 100.0 | 1,429 |
| Second | 32.2 | 2.7 | 65.0 | 100.0 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 42.9 | 2.6 | 54.4 | 100.0 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 52.0 | 3.8 | 44.2 | 100.0 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 54.8 | 3.5 | 41.6 | 100.0 | 2,211 |
| Total | 42.7 | 3.0 | 54.3 | 100.0 | 9,176 |

1 "Currently employed" is defined as having done work in the past 7 days. Includes persons who did not work in the past 7 days but who are regularly employed and were absent from work for leave, illness, vacation, or any other such reason.

Table 3.5.2 Employment status: Men
Percent distribution of men age 15-49 by employment status, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Employed in the 12 months preceding the survey |  | Not employed in the 12 months preceding the survey | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Currently employed ${ }^{1}$ | Not currently employed |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.3 | 5.6 | 80.1 | 100.0 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 56.0 | 5.6 | 38.4 | 100.0 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 70.1 | 7.7 | 21.9 | 100.0 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 72.8 | 5.9 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 77.5 | 4.3 | 18.1 | 100.0 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 72.4 | 3.9 | 23.7 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 70.8 | 3.9 | 25.2 | 100.0 | 289 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 44.9 | 6.5 | 48.5 | 100.0 | 2,745 |
| Married or living together | 80.3 | 3.2 | 16.5 | 100.0 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 72.7 | 7.3 | 20.1 | 100.0 | 116 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 38.5 | 6.2 | 55.3 | 100.0 | 2,094 |
| 1-2 | 73.7 | 5.5 | 20.6 | 100.0 | 1,077 |
| 3-4 | 79.9 | 3.8 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 544 |
| 5+ | 70.0 | 4.1 | 25.8 | 100.0 | 305 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 65.5 | 4.9 | 29.6 | 100.0 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 43.3 | 6.5 | 50.1 | 100.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 52.6 | 5.6 | 41.8 | 100.0 | 218 |
| Erongo | 71.7 | 3.3 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 372 |
| Hardap | 72.6 | 2.6 | 24.7 | 100.0 | 152 |
| //Karas | 69.5 | 4.4 | 25.8 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Kavango | 46.3 | 7.6 | 46.0 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Khomas | 69.3 | 5.9 | 24.8 | 100.0 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 58.3 | 1.3 | 40.4 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 28.6 | 9.7 | 61.3 | 100.0 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 67.1 | 4.2 | 28.7 | 100.0 | 103 |
| Omusati | 28.1 | 4.4 | 67.6 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Oshana | 54.2 | 6.4 | 39.5 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 47.8 | 8.7 | 43.5 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 56.1 | 0.3 | 43.6 | 100.0 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.8 | 3.2 | 38.0 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Primary | 52.5 | 5.3 | 42.2 | 100.0 | 944 |
| Secondary | 53.7 | 6.1 | 40.1 | 100.0 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 76.9 | 4.4 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 40.9 | 7.0 | 52.0 | 100.0 | 594 |
| Second | 50.6 | 5.9 | 43.6 | 100.0 | 769 |
| Middle | 57.1 | 5.0 | 37.9 | 100.0 | 886 |
| Fourth | 61.7 | 4.3 | 34.0 | 100.0 | 917 |
| Highest | 63.9 | 6.2 | 29.9 | 100.0 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 55.9 | 5.6 | 38.5 | 100.0 | 4,021 |

1 "Currently employed" is defined as having done work in the past 7 days. Includes persons who did not work in the past 7 days but who are regularly employed and were absent from work for leave, illness, vacation, or any other such reason.

Figure 3.1 Women's employment status in the past 12 months
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### 3.5.2 Occupation

Respondents who are employed or had worked in the 12 months preceding the survey were asked to specify their occupation. The results for women and men are presented in Table 3.6.1 and Table 3.6.2, respectively, according to background characteristics.

In Namibia, women are most likely to be employed in sales and services ( 58 percent), followed by professional, technical, or managerial jobs (19 percent) and clerical jobs (12 percent). By contrast, men are most likely to be employed in skilled manual work ( 33 percent), followed closely by sales and services ( 30 percent). Sixteen percent of men are engaged in professional, technical, or managerial jobs. Three percent of women and 9 percent of men work in agriculture.

Urban-rural residence influences the type of work that men do but does not have a notable effect on women's occupations. Men who live in urban areas are most likely to be employed in skilled manual labour ( 39 percent), followed by sales and services ( 25 percent) and professional, technical, or managerial jobs ( 20 percent). Among rural men, the leading occupations are sales and services ( 38 percent), skilled manual labour ( 23 percent), and agriculture ( 21 percent). There are no major variations by region.

Women and men with more than a secondary education are more likely to be employed in professional, technical, or managerial occupations, while those with no education or a primary education are more likely to be employed in sales and services.

Table 3.6.1 Occupation: Women
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 employed in the 12 months preceding the survey by occupation, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Professional/ technical/ managerial | Clerical | Sales and services | Skilled manual | Unskilled manual | Agriculture | Missing | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 68.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 7.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 190 |
| 20-24 | 13.8 | 17.5 | 59.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 655 |
| 25-29 | 18.4 | 13.1 | 57.4 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 855 |
| 30-34 | 20.2 | 11.7 | 59.3 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 804 |
| 35-39 | 20.5 | 8.1 | 57.1 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 675 |
| 40-44 | 21.1 | 11.4 | 55.4 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 591 |
| 45-49 | 29.2 | 7.9 | 49.1 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 420 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 14.2 | 12.4 | 62.4 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,138 |
| Married or living together | 25.9 | 11.6 | 50.5 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,681 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 19.6 | 9.2 | 61.0 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 370 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 22.2 | 18.2 | 48.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 820 |
| 1-2 | 20.9 | 13.1 | 56.2 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,034 |
| 3-4 | 17.8 | 7.1 | 63.0 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,001 |
| 5+ | 7.9 | 2.4 | 70.0 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 10.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 21.9 | 14.8 | 53.4 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,941 |
| Rural | 13.4 | 4.7 | 67.1 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 14.6 | 8.3 | 60.7 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 118 |
| Erongo | 14.8 | 14.2 | 53.4 | 4.6 | 10.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 461 |
| Hardap | 18.7 | 18.4 | 56.5 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 140 |
| //Karas | 13.7 | 14.8 | 45.4 | 3.0 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 215 |
| Kavango | 14.3 | 5.8 | 64.9 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Khomas | 29.2 | 14.4 | 49.3 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,292 |
| Kunene | 20.0 | 9.3 | 57.8 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 90 |
| Ohangwena | 12.3 | 5.6 | 72.1 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Omaheke | 14.8 | 12.2 | 63.2 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 91 |
| Omusati | 18.8 | 3.0 | 71.4 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 228 |
| Oshana | 16.7 | 11.9 | 65.0 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 351 |
| Oshikoto | 11.9 | 11.1 | 60.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 11.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 374 |
| Otjozondjupa | 15.3 | 13.1 | 60.4 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 261 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.5 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 138 |
| Primary | 2.4 | 0.7 | 84.0 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 607 |
| Secondary | 13.8 | 14.3 | 59.6 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,842 |
| More than secondary | 66.9 | 14.0 | 14.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 602 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 5.6 | 0.8 | 72.6 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 11.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 336 |
| Second | 5.2 | 4.4 | 76.6 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 567 |
| Middle | 9.0 | 7.8 | 70.7 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 817 |
| Fourth | 16.1 | 13.1 | 59.1 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,181 |
| Highest | 38.8 | 19.3 | 35.2 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,289 |
| Total | 19.4 | 11.8 | 57.5 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4,189 |

Table 3.6.2 Occupation: Men
Percent distribution of men age 15-49 employed in the 12 months preceding the survey by occupation, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Profes- <br> sional/ technical/ managerial | Clerical | Sales and services | Skilled manual | Unskilled manual | Agriculture | Missing | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.9 | 4.8 | 33.1 | 31.2 | 13.0 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 20-24 | 11.6 | 5.5 | 32.0 | 33.6 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 498 |
| 25-29 | 16.8 | 3.1 | 32.2 | 33.3 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 512 |
| 30-34 | 16.4 | 3.9 | 27.9 | 34.4 | 7.0 | 9.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 410 |
| 35-39 | 19.6 | 2.3 | 25.9 | 35.8 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 40-44 | 20.3 | 3.4 | 28.1 | 29.0 | 7.8 | 10.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 287 |
| 45-49 | 22.7 | 2.1 | 27.5 | 32.4 | 5.0 | 9.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 216 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 13.6 | 4.2 | 31.3 | 34.9 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,411 |
| Married or living together | 19.3 | 3.2 | 27.2 | 31.1 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 968 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 26.1 | 0.6 | 31.0 | 28.2 | 4.6 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 93 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 15.5 | 4.5 | 31.7 | 30.5 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 937 |
| 1-2 | 17.5 | 3.7 | 27.7 | 35.0 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 854 |
| 3-4 | 17.9 | 2.9 | 29.7 | 30.6 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 456 |
| $5+$ | 11.9 | 2.0 | 28.7 | 42.4 | 6.0 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.3 | 5.2 | 25.0 | 38.9 | 8.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,607 |
| Rural | 8.8 | 0.9 | 38.3 | 22.5 | 7.7 | 21.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 866 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 12.0 | 1.5 | 44.0 | 25.6 | 10.2 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Erongo | 18.7 | 3.3 | 18.8 | 42.0 | 10.9 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 279 |
| Hardap | 12.7 | 3.8 | 18.8 | 25.2 | 5.0 | 33.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 115 |
| //Karas | 13.6 | 2.4 | 23.1 | 25.1 | 19.6 | 16.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Kavango | 7.7 | 1.3 | 50.5 | 22.6 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Khomas | 22.8 | 6.4 | 21.6 | 41.7 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 769 |
| Kunene | 11.7 | 2.4 | 26.2 | 19.7 | 9.8 | 29.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 62 |
| Ohangwena | 10.1 | 1.8 | 38.5 | 34.3 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 126 |
| Omaheke | 7.4 | 1.7 | 27.8 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 33.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 74 |
| Omusati | 13.0 | 0.0 | 38.3 | 34.0 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 111 |
| Oshana | 20.2 | 3.3 | 31.0 | 40.2 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 203 |
| Oshikoto | 11.8 | 3.5 | 46.2 | 19.5 | 5.8 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 189 |
| Otjozondjupa | 10.0 | 2.0 | 35.6 | 21.1 | 8.8 | 20.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 136 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.4 | 0.0 | 40.6 | 21.2 | 11.3 | 24.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 192 |
| Primary | 3.7 | 0.6 | 35.7 | 35.7 | 7.0 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 545 |
| Secondary | 13.3 | 4.9 | 29.3 | 37.9 | 9.2 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,437 |
| More than secondary | 63.4 | 5.5 | 13.8 | 13.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 299 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 1.6 | 1.2 | 53.0 | 23.8 | 6.1 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 284 |
| Second | 6.3 | 0.1 | 36.3 | 30.9 | 8.6 | 16.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 434 |
| Middle | 9.5 | 1.5 | 25.6 | 40.7 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 550 |
| Fourth | 17.9 | 6.1 | 25.9 | 35.6 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 605 |
| Highest | 35.2 | 7.0 | 21.4 | 29.8 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 599 |
| Total | 16.3 | 3.7 | 29.7 | 33.2 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,472 |

### 3.5.3 Earnings, Employers, and Continuity of Employment for Women

Table 3.7 shows the percent distribution of women employed in the 12 months preceding the survey by type of earnings, type of employer, continuity of employment, and type of employment (agricultural or nonagricultural).

The financial sector in Namibia is well developed by African standards, and the economy is largely monetised. Fifty-four percent of women engaged in agricultural work are paid in cash only, while 9 percent are paid in-kind. More than one-third (36 percent) of women who work in agriculture are not paid at all for their work. By contrast, 89 percent of women engaged in nonagricultural work are paid in cash, and only 7 percent are not paid at all.

Fifty-three percent of women who work in agriculture are employed by non-family members, 32 percent are employed by family members, and 16 percent are self-employed. Among women engaged in nonagricultural work, 70 percent are employed by non-family members, 23 percent are self-employed, and 7 percent are employed by family members.

With regard to continuity of employment, 77 percent of employed women work all year, 14 percent are seasonal workers, and 9 percent are considered occasional workers. Seventy-eight percent of women who work in the nonagricultural sector are employed all year, as compared with 43 percent of those who work in agriculture. On the other hand, 51 percent of women who work in agriculture are seasonal workers, compared with only 12 percent of those who do nonagricultural work.

## Table 3.7 Type of employment

Percent distribution of women age 15-49 employed in the 12 months preceding the survey by type of earnings, type of employer, and continuity of employment, according to type of employment (agricultural or nonagricultural), Namibia 2013

| Employment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| characteristic | Agricultural work | Nonagricultural work | Total |
| Type of earnings |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Cash only | 53.9 | 89.3 | 88.0 |
| Cash and in-kind | 9.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| In-kind only | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| $\quad$ Not paid | 35.5 | 6.6 | 7.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Type of employer |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Employed by family member | 31.6 | 7.4 | 8.3 |
| Employed by non-family member | 52.9 | 69.7 | 68.9 |
| $\quad$ Self-employed | 15.5 | 22.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Continuity of employment |  |  | 77.1 |
| $\quad$ All year | 42.7 | 78.4 | 13.6 |
| Seasonal | 51.2 | 9.3 | 9.1 |
| $\quad$ Occasional | 6.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number of women employed during |  | 4,023 | 4,189 |
| the last 12 months | 135 |  |  |

Note: Total includes women with missing information on type of employment who are not shown separately.

## Key Findings

- Thirty-four percent of women age 15-49 and 29 percent of men age 15-49 are either married or living together with a partner.
- Six percent of currently married women age 15-49 report being married to men who are in a polygynous union, while 2 percent of currently married men age 15-49 report having two or more wives.
- The median age at first sexual intercourse is 19 years among women and 18 years among men age 25-49.
- About four in ten women and men age 15-49 reported having had sexual intercourse in the past four weeks.

Marriage is a primary indication of the exposure of women to the risk of pregnancy and, therefore, is important for an understanding of fertility. Populations in which women marry at a young age tend to initiate childbearing early and have high fertility. More direct measures of the beginning of exposure to pregnancy are age at first sexual intercourse and frequency of sexual intercourse. Fertility is more closely linked to age at first sexual intercourse than to age at marriage in countries such as Namibia, where sexual initiation often occurs before marriage. This chapter addresses the principal factors, other than contraception, that affect a woman's risk of becoming pregnant. These factors include marriage, polygyny, and sexual activity.

### 4.1 Marital Status

Table 4.1 presents data on the current marital status of women and men age 15-49 interviewed in the survey. In this table, the term "married" is intended to mean legal, traditional, or formal marriage, while "living together" describes persons who live together in an informal union as husband and wife. Thirty-four percent of women of childbearing age are in a union; that is, they are either married or living with a man as if married. Sixty percent of women of childbearing age have never been married. The proportion of women who have never been married declines with age, from 94 percent among those age 15-19 to 24 percent among those age 45-49. Seven percent of women of childbearing age are divorced, separated, or widowed. The proportion of formerly married women increases with age. As expected, the proportion of women who are widowed is highest in the oldest age group 45-49 (12 percent).

Men tend to marry at a later age than women. Overall, 29 percent of men are either married or living with a woman as if married. Sixty-eight percent of men have never married. The proportion of men who have never married (or lived with a woman) declines with age, from 99 percent among those age 15-19 to 19 percent among those age 45-49. Three percent of men are divorced, separated, or widowed. As with women, the proportion of formerly married men increases with age.

Table 4.1 Current marital status
Percent distribution of women and men age 15-49 by current marital status, according to age, Namibia 2013

| Age | Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of respondents currently in union | Number of respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never married | Married | Living together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed | Total |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 94.1 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 77.9 | 5.0 | 14.6 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 19.5 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 57.8 | 13.8 | 23.7 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 37.5 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 44.2 | 27.1 | 23.3 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 50.3 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 36.5 | 32.1 | 21.3 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 53.4 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 30.6 | 38.1 | 16.2 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 54.2 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 24.1 | 41.4 | 13.1 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 54.5 | 708 |
| Total 15-49 | 59.5 | 17.9 | 16.1 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 34.0 | 9,176 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 90.0 | 1.2 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 72.1 | 8.1 | 16.9 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 53.4 | 17.6 | 25.6 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 43.2 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 40.9 | 30.6 | 24.0 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 54.6 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 30.2 | 45.0 | 18.4 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 63.4 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 18.6 | 51.2 | 19.8 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 71.0 | 289 |
| Total 15-49 | 68.3 | 15.1 | 13.7 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 28.8 | 4,021 |

### 4.2 Polygyny

Polygyny (the practice of having more than one wife) has implications for frequency of exposure to sexual activity and, therefore, fertility. The extent of polygyny in Namibia was measured by asking all women currently married or living with a man the following question: "Does your husband/partner have other wives, or does he live with other women as if married?" If the answer was yes, the woman was asked "Including yourself, in total, how many wives or live-in partners does he have?" Currently married men or men living with a woman were asked "Do you have other wives, or do you live with other women as if married?" If the answer was yes, the man was asked "Altogether, how many wives or live-in partners do you have?"

Table 4.2.1 shows the distribution of currently married women by number of co-wives, according to selected background characteristics. Seventy-six percent of married women report that their husband or partner has no other wife, a decrease from the figure reported in the 2006-07 NDHS (81 percent). Six percent of women report that their husbands have more than one wife. Rural women are more likely to live in a polygynous union than urban women ( 9 percent versus 4 percent). Ten percent or more of women in Zambezi, Kunene, Kavango, and Ohangwena are in a polygynous union, as compared with less than 1 percent of women in Hardap. The proportion of women in a polygynous relationship declines with increasing education and, in general, with increasing household wealth.

Table 4.2.1 Number of women's co-wives
Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 by number of co-wives, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Number of co-wives |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | $2+$ | Don't know/ missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 78.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 103 |
| 20-24 | 81.9 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 15.0 | 100.0 | 349 |
| 25-29 | 77.3 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 17.7 | 100.0 | 558 |
| 30-34 | 76.3 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 634 |
| 35-39 | 76.4 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 593 |
| 40-44 | 73.1 | 6.1 | 2.2 | 18.6 | 100.0 | 497 |
| 45-49 | 73.6 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 386 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 74.0 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 22.3 | 100.0 | 1,819 |
| Rural | 79.6 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 1,301 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 85.1 | 10.0 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 204 |
| Erongo | 73.3 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 21.8 | 100.0 | 305 |
| Hardap | 91.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 131 |
| //Karas | 82.5 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 14.9 | 100.0 | 133 |
| Kavango | 85.5 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 429 |
| Khomas | 67.3 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 30.0 | 100.0 | 727 |
| Kunene | 78.6 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Ohangwena | 84.6 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 184 |
| Omaheke | 77.8 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 110 |
| Omusati | 67.3 | 7.0 | 1.2 | 24.5 | 100.0 | 187 |
| Oshana | 76.5 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 18.6 | 100.0 | 164 |
| Oshikoto | 79.8 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 14.7 | 100.0 | 208 |
| Otjozondjupa | 67.3 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 29.8 | 100.0 | 231 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 75.5 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 15.1 | 100.0 | 233 |
| Primary | 77.8 | 7.8 | 1.7 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 718 |
| Secondary | 75.8 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 19.4 | 100.0 | 1,808 |
| More than secondary | 76.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 21.5 | 100.0 | 362 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 80.9 | 10.0 | 1.3 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 558 |
| Second | 77.8 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 14.6 | 100.0 | 539 |
| Middle | 71.7 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 21.1 | 100.0 | 598 |
| Fourth | 75.1 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 21.7 | 100.0 | 623 |
| Highest | 76.5 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 21.5 | 100.0 | 802 |
| Total 15-49 | 76.3 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 3,121 |

Table 4.2.2 presents the distribution of currently married men age 15-49 by number of wives, according to background characteristics. The vast majority of men ( 98 percent) report having only one wife. Two percent of married men report having two or more wives, as compared with 6 percent of women who reported having co-wives. Men in Kunene (8 percent), those with no education (4 percent), and men living in households in the second and fourth wealth quintiles (4 percent each) are most likely to report having more than one wife.

| Percent distribution of currently married men age 15-49 by number of wives, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Number of wives |  | Total | Number of men |
|  | 1 | 2+ |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | 100.0 | 7 |
| 20-24 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 76 |
| 25-29 | 97.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 165 |
| 30-34 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 245 |
| 40-44 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 238 |
| 45-49 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 205 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.2 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 745 |
| Rural | 97.1 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 415 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 95.4 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 78 |
| Erongo | 98.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Hardap | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 63 |
| //Karas | 97.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 53 |
| Kavango | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 126 |
| Khomas | 97.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 307 |
| Kunene | 92.5 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 39 |
| Ohangwena | (97.0) | (3.0) | 100.0 | 42 |
| Omaheke | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 37 |
| Omusati | (96.8) | (3.2) | 100.0 | 45 |
| Oshana | (100.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 50 |
| Oshikoto | 98.2 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 66 |
| Otjozondjupa | 96.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 95.6 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 122 |
| Primary | 98.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 252 |
| Secondary | 97.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 635 |
| More than secondary | 98.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 98.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 175 |
| Second | 96.3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 196 |
| Middle | 99.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Fourth | 96.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 285 |
| Highest | 99.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 277 |
| Total 15-49 | 97.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,160 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

### 4.3 Age at First Marriage

Age at first marriage has a major effect on childbearing. Women who marry early will, on average, have longer exposure to pregnancy and a greater number of lifetime births. Information on age at first marriage was obtained by asking all ever-married respondents the month and year they started living together with their first spouse.

Table 4.3 presents the percentages of both women and men age 15-49 who first married by specific exact ages. Fourteen percent of women age 25-49 married by age 20, as compared with 17 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS. Among men, 5 percent were married by age 20, same as the figure reported in the 2006-07 NDHS survey.

The median age at first marriage among women and men age 20-49 or 25-49 cannot be calculated since less than 50 percent of women and men began living with their spouses or partners for the first time before reaching the beginning of the age group. Similarly, median age at first marriage by background characteristics is not shown separately because, for most subgroups of women and men, less than 50 percent began living with their spouses or partners for the first time before reaching the beginning of the age group.

Table 4.3 Age at first marriage
Percentage of women and men age 15-49 who were first married by specific exact ages and median age at first marriage, according to current age, Namibia 2013

| Current age | Percentage first married by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage never married | Number | Median age at first marriage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.9 | na | na | na | na | 94.1 | 1,906 | a |
| 20-24 | 1.6 | 6.9 | 13.0 | na | na | 77.9 | 1,786 | a |
| 25-29 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 14.3 | 21.6 | 33.0 | 57.8 | 1,489 | a |
| 30-34 | 1.2 | 7.3 | 12.6 | 18.3 | 28.7 | 44.2 | 1,260 | a |
| 35-39 | 2.2 | 8.6 | 15.3 | 20.7 | 30.9 | 36.5 | 1,110 | 30.4 |
| 40-44 | 1.9 | 8.7 | 13.9 | 20.7 | 31.3 | 30.6 | 917 | 30.7 |
| 45-49 | 2.2 | 8.9 | 16.6 | 25.8 | 39.1 | 24.1 | 708 | 28.9 |
| 20-49 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 14.0 | na | na | 50.4 | 7,270 | a |
| 25-49 | 1.8 | 8.1 | 14.3 | 21.1 | 32.1 | 41.5 | 5,485 | a |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | na | na | na | na | 99.3 | 922 | a |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 3.9 | na | na | 90.0 | 808 | a |
| 25-29 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 10.5 | 20.4 | 72.1 | 658 | a |
| 30-34 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 10.1 | 19.6 | 53.4 | 520 | a |
| 35-39 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 9.8 | 19.8 | 40.9 | 448 | 34.1 |
| 40-44 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 9.3 | 19.5 | 30.2 | 376 | 33.5 |
| 45-49 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 19.1 | 18.6 | 289 | 34.7 |
| 20-49 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 4.9 | na | na | 59.0 | 3,099 | a |
| 25-49 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 9.9 | 19.8 | 48.1 | 2,291 | a |

Note: The age at first marriage is defined as the age at which the respondent began living with her/his first spouse/partner.
na = Not applicable due to censoring
a = Omitted because less than 50 percent of women or men began living with their spouse or partner for the first time before reaching the beginning of the age group

### 4.4 Age at First Sexual Intercourse

Age at first marriage can be used as a proxy for the beginning of exposure to the risk of pregnancy. However, because some women are sexually active before marriage, the age at which women initiate sexual intercourse more precisely marks the beginning of their exposure to reproductive risks. The 2013 NDHS asked women and men how old they were when they first had sexual intercourse.

Table 4.4 presents the percentages of women and men who had sexual intercourse by specific exact ages and the median ages at first sexual intercourse. The results show that men initiate sex at an earlier age than women. The median age at first intercourse is 19.0 years among women age 25-49 and 18.2 years among men the same age. Five percent of women and 10 percent of men age 25-49 reported that they had sexual intercourse by age 15 . The majority of women and men age 25-49 (62 percent and 74 percent, respectively) reported having had sexual intercourse by age 20.

Table 4.5 presents the median age at first sexual intercourse among women and men by background characteristics. Among women age 25-49, median age at first sexual intercourse ranges from a low of 17.0 years in Kavango to a high of 20.3 years in Omusati. Median age at first sexual intercourse increases with increasing education and wealth. For example, the median age is more than three years lower among women with no education than among women with more than a secondary education. There are smaller differences among men by residence, education, and wealth. However, there are noteworthy differences by region, with median age at first sexual intercourse ranging from 16.6 years in Kunene to 18.4 years in Omusati.

Table 4.4 Age at first sexual intercourse
Percentage of women and men age 15-49 who had first sexual intercourse by specific exact ages, percentage who never had sexual intercourse, and median age at first sexual intercourse, according to current age, Namibia 2013

| Current age | Percentage who had first sexual intercourse by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who never had intercourse | Number | Median age at first intercourse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.8 | na | na | na | na | 54.9 | 1,906 | a |
| 20-24 | 3.9 | 39.7 | 72.5 | na | na | 9.2 | 1,786 | 18.6 |
| 25-29 | 6.1 | 36.8 | 63.7 | 79.3 | 88.5 | 1.8 | 1,489 | 18.8 |
| 30-34 | 5.2 | 39.3 | 63.2 | 77.7 | 86.1 | 1.1 | 1,260 | 18.7 |
| 35-39 | 4.5 | 34.6 | 59.1 | 74.3 | 82.2 | 0.8 | 1,110 | 19.2 |
| 40-44 | 4.1 | 30.6 | 52.3 | 68.6 | 79.1 | 1.1 | 917 | 19.7 |
| 45-49 | 4.2 | 28.5 | 50.2 | 65.3 | 77.4 | 0.8 | 708 | 20.0 |
| 20-49 | 4.7 | 36.0 | 62.3 | na | na | 3.2 | 7,270 | a |
| 25-49 | 5.0 | 34.8 | 59.0 | na | na | 1.2 | 5,485 | 19.0 |
| 15-24 | 5.4 | na | na | na | na | 32.8 | 3,691 | 19.0 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.4 | na | na | na | na | 56.6 | 922 | a |
| 20-24 | 12.7 | 55.2 | 82.6 | na | na | 7.6 | 808 | 17.7 |
| 25-29 | 13.9 | 53.0 | 77.6 | 92.1 | 95.9 | 2.3 | 658 | 17.7 |
| 30-34 | 8.5 | 46.2 | 73.5 | 88.4 | 92.2 | 0.3 | 520 | 18.2 |
| 35-39 | 10.8 | 48.6 | 69.9 | 85.5 | 89.3 | 1.3 | 448 | 18.1 |
| 40-44 | 6.1 | 36.3 | 63.6 | 79.8 | 86.5 | 1.3 | 376 | 18.6 |
| 45-49 | 8.0 | 38.1 | 65.8 | 82.4 | 90.4 | 0.4 | 289 | 18.6 |
| 20-49 | 10.7 | 48.4 | 74.3 | na | na | 2.9 | 3,099 | a |
| 25-49 | 10.1 | 46.0 | 71.4 | na | na | 1.2 | 2,291 | 18.2 |
| 15-24 | 13.1 | na | na | na | na | 33.7 | 1,730 | 18.3 |

na = Not applicable due to censoring
$a=$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of respondents had sexual intercourse for the first time before reaching the beginning of the age group

| Table 4.5 Median age at first sexual intercourse by background characteristics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median age at first sexual intercourse among women and men age 25-49, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Women age 25-49 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Men age } \\ 25-49 \end{gathered}$ |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 19.1 | 18.3 |
| Rural | 18.6 | 18.2 |
| Region |  |  |
| Zambezi | 18.1 | 18.1 |
| Erongo | 18.9 | 18.2 |
| Hardap | 19.1 | 18.4 |
| //Karas | 19.0 | 18.1 |
| Kavango | 17.0 | 18.1 |
| Khomas | 19.5 | 18.2 |
| Kunene | 17.8 | 16.8 |
| Ohangwena | 18.6 | 18.1 |
| Omaheke | 18.2 | 18.1 |
| Omusati | 20.3 | 18.4 |
| Oshana | 19.9 | 18.3 |
| Oshikoto | 19.5 | 18.4 |
| Otjozondjupa | 18.5 | 18.4 |
| Education |  |  |
| No education | 17.3 | 18.4 |
| Primary | 17.9 | 18.4 |
| Secondary | 19.2 | 18.1 |
| More than secondary | 20.7 | 18.1 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |
| Lowest | 17.9 | 18.3 |
| Second | 18.6 | 18.2 |
| Middle | 18.9 | 18.2 |
| Fourth | 19.1 | 18.2 |
| Highest | 19.8 | 18.3 |
| Total | 19.0 | 18.2 |

### 4.5 Recent Sexual Activity

In the absence of effective contraception, the probability of pregnancy depends highly upon the frequency of intercourse. Information on sexual activity, therefore, can be used to refine measures of exposure to pregnancy. All women and men were asked how long ago they most recently had sexual intercourse. Tables 4.6 .1 and 4.6 .2 present the distribution of women and men by recent sexual activity, according to background characteristics.

| Table 4.6.1 Recent sexual activity: Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women age 15-49 by timing of last sexual intercourse, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Timing of last sexual intercourse |  |  |  | Never had sexual intercourse | Total | Number of women |
|  | Within the past 4 weeks | Within 1 year ${ }^{1}$ | One or more years | Missing |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.7 | 24.0 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 54.9 | 100.0 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 36.6 | 41.9 | 11.6 | 0.8 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 49.8 | 36.8 | 10.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 54.5 | 32.3 | 11.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 53.2 | 29.1 | 15.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 52.5 | 26.8 | 18.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 46.2 | 23.5 | 27.5 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 708 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 23.5 | 37.4 | 15.0 | 0.7 | 23.4 | 100.0 | 5,458 |
| Married or living together | 74.0 | 21.4 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3,121 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 24.7 | 31.8 | 43.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 597 |
| Marital duration ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 years | 74.6 | 22.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 958 |
| 5-9 years | 75.6 | 20.7 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 679 |
| 10-14 years | 70.4 | 23.9 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 464 |
| 15-19 years | 73.1 | 20.5 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 310 |
| 20-24 years | 74.9 | 19.6 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 224 |
| 25+ years | 73.5 | 18.5 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 131 |
| Married more than once | 74.8 | 20.6 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 354 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 45.4 | 29.6 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 34.8 | 34.2 | 14.8 | 0.3 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 45.7 | 33.9 | 12.6 | 1.0 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 457 |
| Erongo | 48.3 | 27.7 | 11.3 | 1.1 | 11.7 | 100.0 | 771 |
| Hardap | 47.1 | 23.6 | 15.2 | 1.2 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 304 |
| //Karas | 46.2 | 31.1 | 10.2 | 1.2 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 343 |
| Kavango | 40.3 | 29.8 | 22.4 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 835 |
| Khomas | 47.0 | 29.1 | 9.2 | 1.8 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 49.1 | 35.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 27.9 | 36.4 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 19.9 | 100.0 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 51.5 | 28.9 | 11.4 | 0.7 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Omusati | 30.2 | 33.1 | 12.4 | 0.4 | 23.9 | 100.0 | 884 |
| Oshana | 29.9 | 40.6 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 16.4 | 100.0 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 34.2 | 35.0 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 48.4 | 24.0 | 13.3 | 1.8 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 54.8 | 26.4 | 15.5 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Primary | 40.7 | 28.3 | 16.7 | 0.4 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 38.6 | 33.0 | 12.5 | 0.8 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 48.7 | 30.9 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 36.7 | 34.2 | 14.8 | 0.3 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 1,429 |
| Second | 38.5 | 33.6 | 14.7 | 0.2 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 41.6 | 32.6 | 13.2 | 0.5 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 41.9 | 32.3 | 12.9 | 0.6 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 43.3 | 26.8 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 17.6 | 100.0 | 2,211 |
| Total 15-49 | 40.8 | 31.6 | 12.9 | 0.9 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 9,176 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Excludes women who had sexual intercourse within the last 4 weeks <br> ${ }^{2}$ Excludes women who are not currently married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.6.1 shows that 41 percent of women age 15-49 were sexually active within the four weeks preceding the survey, 32 percent were sexually active within the past year, and 13 percent were sexually active one or more years prior to the survey. Fourteen percent of women reported never having had sexual intercourse. The proportion of women who were sexually active in the past four weeks increases with age,
from 14 percent at age 15-19 to 55 percent at age 30-34, before decreasing gradually to reach 46 percent at age 45-49. Women who are married or living together with a partner are most likely to have recently engaged in sexual intercourse ( 74 percent), while women who are divorced, separated, or widowed are only slightly more likely to be sexually active than those who have never been married ( 25 percent versus 24 percent). Among married women, those married for 10-14 years are least likely than other women to have recently engaged in sexual intercourse (70 percent).

Recent sexual activity is relatively lower among women in rural areas (35 percent) than among women in urban areas ( 45 percent). More than half of the women in Omaheke ( 52 percent) were sexually active in the last four weeks, compared with 28 percent in Ohangwena. Women with no education and those with more than a secondary education are more likely to have recently engaged in sexual intercourse than women with a primary or secondary education. The percentage of women who have recently been sexually active increases with increasing wealth.

Table 4.6.2 indicates that a slightly higher proportion of men than women age 15-49 have recently engaged in sexual intercourse ( 44 percent versus 41 percent). Thirty percent of men have been sexually active within the past year and 10 percent within one or more years. There has been a small increase in recent sexual activity over the last six years, with the 2006-07 NDHS reporting that 40 percent of men and 38 percent of women had recently been sexually active. Fifteen percent of men reported that they have never had sex. Men who are married or living together with a partner are more likely to be sexually active (76 percent) than men who have never been married (31 percent) and men who are divorced, separated, or widowed ( 40 percent). Men who have been married more than once are most sexually active ( 80 percent). As with women, men in urban areas ( 50 percent) are more likely to have engaged in recent sexual activity than men in rural areas ( 37 percent). About half of men in Zambezi, Erongo, Hardap, Kavango, Khomas, Kunene, and Omaheke have recently been sexually active. Recent sexual activity is highest among men with more than a secondary education (61 percent) and those in the highest wealth quintile ( 55 percent).

Table 4.6.2 Recent sexual activity: Men
Percent distribution of men age 15-49 by timing of last sexual intercourse, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Timing of last sexual intercourse |  |  |  | Never had sexual intercourse | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Within the past 4 weeks | Within 1 year ${ }^{1}$ | One or more years | Missing |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 11.6 | 21.4 | 10.2 | 0.2 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 40.1 | 38.9 | 13.1 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 52.4 | 35.5 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 61.5 | 27.5 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 56.7 | 32.1 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 60.7 | 28.2 | 7.5 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 67.0 | 19.8 | 10.3 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 289 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 30.8 | 33.4 | 13.3 | 0.4 | 22.3 | 100.0 | 2,745 |
| Married or living together | 75.9 | 20.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 39.7 | 38.7 | 19.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 116 |
| Marital duration ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 years | 76.8 | 21.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 362 |
| 5-9 years | 74.1 | 21.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 250 |
| 10-14 years | 77.5 | 17.7 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 202 |
| 15-19 years | 71.9 | 22.3 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 124 |
| 20-24 years | 73.4 | 18.8 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 76 |
| 25+ years | (79.4) | (20.6) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 27 |
| Married more than once | 79.6 | 19.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 118 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 49.5 | 28.2 | 9.8 | 1.5 | 10.9 | 100.0 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 36.8 | 31.7 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 56.4 | 26.4 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 218 |
| Erongo | 53.2 | 25.7 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 372 |
| Hardap | 49.9 | 28.1 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 152 |
| //Karas | 39.9 | 28.4 | 11.3 | 1.1 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Kavango | 50.8 | 30.0 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Khomas | 50.6 | 27.4 | 11.9 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 51.4 | 27.0 | 12.3 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 30.4 | 37.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 100.0 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 49.0 | 32.4 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 103 |
| Omusati | 29.5 | 25.5 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Oshana | 30.8 | 39.3 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 20.2 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 35.0 | 34.0 | 16.5 | 0.2 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 46.2 | 27.1 | 10.4 | 2.3 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 47.6 | 36.6 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Primary | 37.1 | 29.3 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 21.4 | 100.0 | 944 |
| Secondary | 43.7 | 29.6 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 61.4 | 25.7 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 38.0 | 32.9 | 11.9 | 0.4 | 16.9 | 100.0 | 594 |
| Second | 37.0 | 31.0 | 12.8 | 0.5 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 769 |
| Middle | 41.6 | 32.4 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 14.9 | 100.0 | 886 |
| Fourth | 46.6 | 31.0 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 917 |
| Highest | 54.5 | 22.3 | 8.4 | 2.8 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 44.0 | 29.7 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 4,021 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes men who had sexual intercourse within the last 4 weeks
${ }^{2}$ Excludes men who are not currently married

## Key Findings

- The total fertility rate for Namibia is 3.6 children per woman. Overall, the TFR declined by 1.8 children per woman between the 1992 and 2006-07 NDHS surveys, from 5.4 to 3.6 , with no change in fertility over the last six years.
- Fertility is considerably lower among urban women (2.9 children per woman) than among rural women ( 4.7 children per woman). Fertility ranges from 2.6 births per woman in Khomas to 5.3 among women in Ohangwena.
- The median birth interval in Namibia is 45.1 months. About 14 percent of children are born less than 24 months after a previous birth.
- The median age at first birth among women age 25-49 is 21.6 years.
- Overall, 19 percent of young women age 15-19 have begun childbearing, an increase from 15 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS survey.
- Teenage pregnancy is more than three times higher among young women in the lowest wealth quintile than among those in the highest wealth quintile.

Fertility is one of the three principal components of population dynamics that determine the size, structure, and composition of the population in any country. This chapter focuses on a number of fertility indicators including levels, patterns, and trends in both current and cumulative fertility; the length of birth intervals; and the age at which women begin childbearing. Birth intervals are important because short intervals are associated with high childhood mortality. The age at which childbearing begins can also have a major impact on the health and well-being of both the mother and the child. Measures of several proximate determinants of fertility that influence exposure to the risk of pregnancy are presented as well, including duration of postpartum amenorrhoea, postpartum abstinence, and menopause.

The fertility indicators presented in this chapter are based on reports of reproductive histories provided by women age $15-49$. As in the previous NDHS surveys, each woman was asked to provide information on the total number of sons and daughters to whom she had given birth and who were living with her, the number living elsewhere, and the number who had died, in order to obtain the total number of live births. In the birth history, women reported the details of each live birth separately, including such information as name, month and year of birth, sex, and survival status. For children who had died, age at death was recorded.

### 5.1 Current Fertility

Measures of current fertility are presented in Table 5.1

Table 5.1 Current fertility
Age-specific and total fertility rates, the general fertility rate, and the crude birth rate for the three years preceding the survey, by residence, Namibia 2013

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | Urban | Rural | Total |
| $15-19$ | 66 | 101 | 82 |
| $20-24$ | 134 | 226 | 168 |
| $25-29$ | 144 | 207 | 168 |
| $30-34$ | 122 | 187 | 149 |
| $35-39$ | 84 | 144 | 110 |
| $40-44$ | 29 | 59 | 42 |
| $45-49$ | 8 | 12 | 10 |
| TFR (15-49) | 2.9 | 4.7 | 3.6 |
| GFR | 103 | 156 | 125 |
| CBR | 30.0 | 29.3 | 29.5 |

Note: Age-specific fertility rates are per 1,000 women. Rates for the $45-49$ age group may be slightly biased due to truncation. Rates are for the period 1-36 months prior to the interview.
TFR: Total fertility rate, expressed per woman
GFR: General fertility rate, expressed per 1,000 women age 15-44
CBR: Crude birth rate, expressed per 1,000 population for the three-year period preceding the survey, corresponding to the calendar period 2011-2013. A three-year period was chosen for calculating these rates to provide the most current information while also allowing the rates to be calculated for a sufficient number of cases so
as not to compromise the statistical precision of the estimates. Age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs) are useful in understanding the age pattern of fertility. Numerators for the ASFRs are calculated by identifying live births that occurred in the period 1 to 36 months preceding the survey (determined from the date of the interview and the date of birth of the child); they are then classified by the age of the mother (in five-year groups) at the time of the child's birth. The denominators for these rates are the number of woman-years lived by the survey respondents in each of the five-year age groups during the specified period. The total fertility rate (TFR) is a common measure of current fertility and is defined as the number of children a woman would have by the end of her childbearing years if she were to pass through those years bearing children at the current age-specific fertility rates. The general fertility rate (GFR) represents the number of live births per 1,000 women of reproductive age. The crude birth rate (CBR) is the number of live births per 1,000 population. The latter two measures are based on the birth history data for the three-year period before the survey and the age-sex distribution of the household population.

Table 5.1 shows the age-specific and aggregate fertility measures at the national level and by urban-rural residence. The TFR in Namibia is 3.6 children per woman, the same as in the 2006-07 NDHS. Fertility is considerably lower among urban women ( 2.9 children per woman) than among rural women ( 4.7 children per woman). The urban-rural difference in fertility is most pronounced among women in the 20-24 age group ( 134 births per 1,000 women in urban areas versus 226 births per 1,000 women in rural areas). As the ASFRs show, the pattern of higher rural fertility is prevalent in all age groups. The overall age pattern of fertility, as reflected in the ASFRs, indicates that childbearing begins early. Fertility is low among adolescents, increases to a peak of 168 births per 1,000 among women age $20-29$, and declines thereafter, with a sharp decline after age 39.

### 5.2 Fertility by Background Characteristics

Table 5.2 shows differentials in fertility by residence, region, education, and wealth quintile. The TFR varies between regions, ranging from 2.6 children per woman in Khomas to 5.3 children per woman in Ohangwena.

Education and wealth are closely linked to a woman's fertility. The TFR declines as women's education rises, from 5.3 children per woman among those with no education to 2.2 children per woman among those with more than a secondary education. Similarly, the TFR declines with increasing household wealth, from 5.5 children per woman in the lowest wealth quintile to 2.3 children per woman in the highest quintile. There are no significant differences from the rates reported in the 2006-07 NDHS.

Table 5.2 also allows for a general assessment of differential trends in fertility over time among population subgroups. The mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49 is a measure of past fertility. The mean number of children ever born to older women who are nearing the end of their reproductive period is an indicator of average completed fertility of women who began childbearing during the three decades preceding the survey. If fertility were to remain constant over time, and the reported data on children ever born and births during the three years preceding the survey were reasonably accurate, the TFR and the mean number of children ever born to women age

40-49 would be similar. If fertility levels have fallen, the TFR will be substantially lower than the mean number of children ever born. Overall, a comparison of past (completed) and current (TFR) fertility indicators suggests a very small difference. Current fertility is higher than past fertility in rural areas. A similar trend is seen in Hardap, Omaheke, and Omusati, with smaller increases in Ohangwena, Oshikoto, and Otjozondjupa. Current fertility is also higher than past fertility among women with a secondary education and among those in the lowest and middle wealth quintiles.

At the time of the survey, 7 percent of interviewed women reported that they were pregnant. This percentage is likely to be an underestimate because women in the early stages of pregnancy may be unaware that they are pregnant, and some may not want to declare that they are pregnant. Current pregnancy varies among regions, with the highest percentage in Ohangwena (10 percent) and the lowest in Hardap (4 percent). Women with no education were more likely to be pregnant at the time of the survey than women with some education. The percentage of women who were pregnant was lowest among those in the highest wealth quintile.

### 5.3 Fertility Trends

The data in Table 5.3 .1 provide evidence of fluctuations in fertility in Namibia over the past 20 years. The table uses information from the retrospective birth histories obtained from the 2013 NDHS respondents to examine trends in age-specific fertility rates for successive five-year periods before the survey. To calculate these rates, births were classified according to the period of time in which the birth occurred and the mother's age at the time of the birth. Because women age 50 and above were not interviewed in the survey, the rates are successively truncated for periods more distant from the survey date. For example, rates cannot be calculated for women age 35-39 for the period 15 to 19 years before the survey because these women would have been over age 50 at the time of the

| Table 5.3.1 Trends in age-specific fertility rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age-specific fertility rates for five-year periods preceding the survey, by mother's age at the time of the birth, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Number of years preceding survey |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |
| 15-19 | 78 | 81 | 85 | 87 |
| 20-24 | 166 | 162 | 165 | 173 |
| 25-29 | 165 | 172 | 171 | 177 |
| 30-34 | 149 | 143 | 151 | [190] |
| 35-39 | 105 | 108 | [124] |  |
| 40-44 | 45 | [62] |  |  |
| 45-49 | [9] |  |  |  |

Note: Age-specific fertility rates are per 1,000 women. Estimates in brackets are truncated. Rates exclude the month of the interview. survey and thus would not have been interviewed.

Fertility has fallen among women in all age groups over the past two decades. With the exception of the 20-24 and 30-34 age groups, there has been a gradual decline in fertility over the last 20 years. The decline in fertility is steepest among women age 25-29.

Table 5.3.2 and Figure 5.1 show trends in current fertility rates based on successive NDHS surveys from 1992 to 2013. Overall, the TFR declined by 1.8 births per woman between the 1992 and the 2006-07 NDHS surveys, from 5.4 births to 3.6 births per woman. There has been no change in fertility over the last six years.

| Table 5.3.2 Trends in fertility |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age-specific and total fertility rates (TFR), Namibia 1992, 2000, 2006-07 and 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NDHS } 1992 \\ & (1990-1992) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NDHS } 2000 \\ & (1998-2000) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NDHS 2006-07 } \\ & (2004 / 5-2006 / 7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NDHS } 2013 \\ & (2011-2013) \end{aligned}$ |
| 15-19 | 109 | 88 | 78 | 82 |
| 20-24 | 207 | 166 | 169 | 168 |
| 25-29 | 241 | 176 | 159 | 168 |
| 30-34 | 208 | 160 | 145 | 149 |
| 35-39 | 166 | 137 | 110 | 110 |
| 40-44 | 105 | 71 | 44 | 42 |
| 45-49 | 37 | 38 | 8 | 10 |
| TFR | 5.4 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 |

Note: Age-specific fertility rates are per 1,000 women.
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### 5.4 Children Ever Born and Living

Data on the number of children ever born reflect the accumulation of births over the past 30 years and therefore have limited relevance to current fertility levels, particularly when the country has experienced a decline in fertility. Moreover, the data are subject to recall error, which is typically greater for older than younger women. Nevertheless, information on children ever born (or parity) is useful in looking at a number of issues. For example, parity data show how average family size varies across age groups. Also, the percentage of currently married women in their 40 s who have never had children provides an indicator of level of primary infertility or inability to bear children. Comparisons of differences in the mean number of children ever born and the mean number surviving reflect the cumulative effects of mortality levels during the childbearing period.

Table 5.4 shows the percent distribution of all women and currently married women by number of children ever born, along with the mean number of children ever born and the mean number of living children. Eighty-six percent of women age 15-19 have never given birth. This proportion declines to

Table 5.4 Children ever born and living
Percent distribution of all women and currently married women age 15-49 by number of children ever born, mean number of children ever born, and mean number of living children, according to age group, Namibia 2013

| Age | Number of children ever born |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Mean number of children ever born | Mean number of living children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10+ |  |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 86.2 | 12.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,906 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| 20-24 | 43.9 | 38.4 | 14.5 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,786 | 0.78 | 0.74 |
| 25-29 | 17.3 | 29.3 | 30.8 | 14.6 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,489 | 1.70 | 1.61 |
| 30-34 | 9.1 | 19.2 | 27.7 | 21.7 | 12.2 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,260 | 2.46 | 2.31 |
| 35-39 | 4.9 | 11.8 | 21.7 | 23.0 | 16.3 | 10.8 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,110 | 3.23 | 3.01 |
| 40-44 | 7.4 | 10.8 | 18.7 | 21.8 | 13.9 | 10.2 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 917 | 3.43 | 3.20 |
| 45-49 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 14.6 | 20.7 | 15.2 | 12.4 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 708 | 4.14 | 3.79 |
| Total | 32.2 | 20.4 | 17.5 | 12.4 | 7.3 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 9,176 | 1.85 | 1.73 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 35.2 | 54.5 | 9.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 103 | 0.76 | 0.74 |
| 20-24 | 15.4 | 45.9 | 29.7 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 349 | 1.34 | 1.26 |
| 25-29 | 8.9 | 24.9 | 34.9 | 18.9 | 8.8 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 558 | 2.06 | 1.96 |
| 30-34 | 6.9 | 15.8 | 27.1 | 22.1 | 15.5 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 634 | 2.71 | 2.55 |
| 35-39 | 2.5 | 9.5 | 19.0 | 24.1 | 16.9 | 13.0 | 7.3 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 593 | 3.59 | 3.36 |
| 40-44 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 17.8 | 24.5 | 14.7 | 11.9 | 8.4 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 497 | 3.94 | 3.72 |
| 45-49 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 11.4 | 22.6 | 15.1 | 13.6 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 386 | 4.51 | 4.16 |
| Total | 7.4 | 17.6 | 23.3 | 19.9 | 12.3 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3,121 | 2.96 | 2.78 |

17 percent among women age 25-29 and to 9 percent or less among women age 30 and above, indicating that childbearing among Namibian women is nearly universal. On average, women approaching the end of their reproductive years have attained a parity of 4.1 children. This is 0.5 children more than the total fertility rate. The same pattern is seen for currently married women, except that the mean number of children ever born is higher in this group ( 3.0 children) than among all women ( 1.9 children). Results at younger ages differ between currently married women and all women because of the large number of unmarried women in the latter group, who exhibit lower fertility. Differences at older ages generally reflect the impact of marital dissolution (either divorce or widowhood). Three percent of currently married women age 45-49 have never had a child. If the desire for children is universal in Namibia, this percentage represents a rough measure of primary infertility or inability to bear children. Of the 4.1 children ever born to women age 45-49, 3.8 survived to the time of the survey.

### 5.5 BIRTH Intervals

Information on the length of birth intervals provides insight into birth spacing patterns, which affect fertility as well as infant and child mortality. Research has shown that children born too soon after a previous birth are at increased risk of poor health, particularly when the interval is less than 24 months. Table 5.5 shows the distribution of births in the five years before the survey by the interval since the preceding birth, according to various background and demographic characteristics.

| Table 5.5 Birth intervals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percent distribution of non-first births in the five years preceding the survey by number of months since preceding birth, and median number of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| months since preceding birth, according to background characteristics, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: First-order births are excluded. The interval for multiple births is the number of months since the preceding pregnancy that ended in a live birth. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

The median birth interval in Namibia is 45.1 months. About 14 percent of all children are born after too short an interval (less than 24 months). The median interval is shorter among births to women under age 30 than among births to older mothers.

The median birth interval in urban areas (50.6 months) is substantially higher than the interval in rural areas ( 40.9 months). Among the regions, the median birth interval ranges from 37.5 months in Ohangwena to 53.8 months in Erongo. Birth interval increases with increasing education, from 38.8 months among women with no education to 54 months among women with more than a secondary education. In addition, median birth interval increases from 36.4 months among women in the lowest wealth quintile to 51 or more months among women in the third through fifth quintiles.

### 5.6 Postpartum Amenorrhoea, Abstinence, and Insusceptibility

Postpartum amenorrhoea refers to the interval between childbirth and the return of menstruation. During this period, the risk of pregnancy is greatly reduced. The duration of this protection from conception after childbirth depends on the duration and intensity of breastfeeding and the length of time before the resumption of sexual intercourse. Women who gave birth during the three years prior to the survey were asked about their breastfeeding practices, the duration of amenorrhoea, and sexual abstinence. Women are considered insusceptible to pregnancy if they are not exposed to the risk of pregnancy either because they are amenorrhoeic or because they are still abstaining from sex after a birth. The results are shown in Table 5.6.

| Percentage of births in the three years preceding the survey for which mothers are postpartum amenorrhoeic, abstaining, and insusceptible, by number of months since birth, and median and mean durations, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Months | Percentage of | irths for whic | the mother is: | Number of |
| since birth | Amenorrhoeic | Abstaining | Insusceptible ${ }^{1}$ | births |
| <2 | 80.5 | 96.4 | 99.3 | 133 |
| 2-3 | 61.5 | 70.7 | 86.8 | 190 |
| 4-5 | 51.4 | 62.7 | 78.1 | 189 |
| 6-7 | 49.3 | 43.7 | 64.6 | 185 |
| 8-9 | 39.8 | 39.0 | 58.0 | 176 |
| 10-11 | 29.6 | 31.2 | 50.5 | 164 |
| 12-13 | 26.9 | 29.9 | 47.7 | 160 |
| 14-15 | 24.2 | 30.2 | 42.0 | 173 |
| 16-17 | 18.2 | 25.8 | 36.5 | 168 |
| 18-19 | 20.2 | 25.4 | 35.5 | 136 |
| 20-21 | 10.1 | 16.6 | 24.6 | 162 |
| 22-23 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 21.9 | 145 |
| 24-25 | 4.6 | 14.1 | 16.4 | 194 |
| 26-27 | 7.2 | 15.9 | 20.8 | 155 |
| 28-29 | 4.1 | 12.7 | 16.2 | 153 |
| 30-31 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 14.4 | 150 |
| 32-33 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 14.9 | 167 |
| 34-35 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 147 |
| Total | 25.7 | 31.4 | 41.7 | 2,947 |
| Median | 5.7 | 6.3 | 11.3 | na |
| Mean | 9.4 | 11.4 | 15.0 | na |
| Note: Estimates are based on status at the time of the survey. na $=$ Not applicable <br> ${ }^{1}$ Includes births for which mothers are either still amenorrhoeic or still abstaining (or both) following birth |  |  |  |  |

The period of postpartum abstinence is longer than the period of postpartum amenorrhoea, suggesting that the former is a stronger determinant of the length of postpartum insusceptibility in Namibia. The median duration of amenorrhoea is 5.7 months, women abstain for a median of 6.3 months, and they are insusceptible to pregnancy for a median of 11.3 months. Almost all women are virtually insusceptible to pregnancy during the first two months after a birth, and both amenorrhoea and abstinence are important factors in their insusceptibility. However, abstinence declines more slowly over time than amenorrhoea, with the percentage of abstaining mothers higher than the percentage of amenorrhoeic mothers at almost all time intervals evaluated.

### 5.7 Median Duration of Postpartum Insusceptibility by Background Characteristics

In the absence of contraception, variations in postpartum amenorrhoea and abstinence are the most important determinants of the interval between births and ultimately the completion of fertility. Table 5.7 shows the median durations of postpartum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility by selected background characteristics. Although the median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea among women age 30-49 and 15-29 is nearly the same ( 5.9 months and 5.5 months, respectively), the median duration of postpartum abstinence is much longer among women age 15-29 ( 7.3 months) than among women age 3049 ( 4.4 months), resulting in a 3.4-month difference in the median duration of postpartum insusceptibility between younger women (12.4) and older women (9.0). Women in rural areas have a longer median duration of amenorrhoea than women in urban areas ( 7.9 versus 3.6 months), and they differ from women in urban areas in median duration of postpartum abstinence by more than two months ( 7.5 versus 5.3 months). Median duration of postpartum insusceptibility is substantially longer among women in rural areas ( 14.1 months) than women in urban areas ( 8.1 months).

Postpartum insusceptibility is three months longer among women with a primary education than among women with a secondary education. The median duration of postpartum insusceptibility is more than twice as long among women in the poorest households as among women in the richest households.

| Table 5.7 Median duration of amenorrhoea, postpartum abstinence, and postpartum insusceptibility |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median number of months of postpartum amenorrhoea, postpartum abstinence, and postpartum insusceptibility following births in the three years preceding the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Postpartum amenorrhoea | Postpartum abstinence | Postpartum insusceptibility ${ }^{1}$ |
| Mother's age |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 12.4 |
| 30-49 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 9.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.6 | 5.3 | 8.1 |
| Rural | 7.9 | 7.5 | 14.1 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | (6.4) | (7.7) | (14.8) |
| Erongo | (1.7) | (4.2) | (4.7) |
| Hardap | (2.8) | (6.3) | (11.5) |
| //Karas | * | (6.9) | (11.4) |
| Kavango | 5.5 | 3.8 | (15.0) |
| Khomas | (6.0) | (4.6) | (8.6) |
| Kunene | 3.8 | 5.7 | (7.2) |
| Ohangwena | (9.5) | (8.0) | 12.6 |
| Omaheke | (7.7) | (4.6) | (11.3) |
| Omusati | (7.5) | (9.7) | (19.0) |
| Oshana | (3.4) | (6.7) | (10.9) |
| Oshikoto | (7.7) | (7.3) | (9.4) |
| Otjozondjupa | 3.3 | 6.1 | (11.4) |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | (9.4) | (7.4) | (11.4) |
| Primary | 8.6 | 5.8 | 13.9 |
| Secondary | 5.0 | 6.6 | 10.8 |
| More than secondary | (1.4) | (2.8) | * |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.4 | 6.7 | 13.4 |
| Second | 5.8 | 7.3 | 11.4 |
| Middle | 5.5 | 5.2 | 14.6 |
| Fourth | 2.7 | 7.1 | 11.1 |
| Highest | 2.9 | 4.2 | 6.3 |
| Total | 5.7 | 6.3 | 11.3 |

Note: Medians are based on status at the time of the survey (current status). Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Includes births for which mothers are either still amenorrhoeic or still abstaining (or both) following birth

### 5.8 Menopause

Fecundity refers to the ability to have children. The risk of pregnancy declines with age as increasing proportions of women become infecund. Although the onset of infecundity is difficult to determine for an individual woman, there are ways of estimating it for a population. Table 5.8 presents data on menopause, an indicator of decreasing exposure to the risk of pregnancy among women age 30 and older.

The percentage of women who have reached menopause refers to the population of women who are neither pregnant nor postpartum amenorrhoeic and have not had a menstrual period in the six months preceding the survey, as well as women who report being menopausal. Table 5.8 shows that, overall, 11 percent of women age 30-49 are menopausal. The proportion of menopausal women increases with age, from 6 percent among those age $30-39$ to 34 percent among those age

Table 5.8 Menopause
Percentage of women age 30-49 who are menopausal, by age, Namibia 2013

| Age | Percentage <br> menopausal $^{1}$ | Number of <br> women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $30-34$ | 5.6 | 1,260 |
| $35-39$ | 5.9 | 1,110 |
| $40-41$ | 13.6 | 391 |
| $42-43$ | 10.0 | 348 |
| $44-45$ | 19.9 | 323 |
| $46-47$ | 24.2 | 283 |
| $48-49$ | 33.6 | 280 |
| Total | 11.3 | 3,995 |

${ }^{1}$ Percentage of all women who are not pregnant and not postpartum amenorrhoeic whose last menstrual period occurred 6 or more months preceding the survey 48-49.

### 5.9 Age at First Birth

The age at which childbearing begins has an impact on the health and welfare of a mother and her children. In many countries, postponement of first births has contributed to an overall fertility decline. Table 5.9 shows the distribution of women by age at first birth, according to their current age. The median age at first birth among women age 25-49 is 21.6 years, slightly higher than the figure reported in the 2006-07 NDHS (21.4 years). However, a more detailed analysis of trends in age at first birth reveals a slight increase in early childbearing. For example, whereas 14 percent of women age 45-49 gave birth by age 18,15 percent of women age 20-24 had their first birth by age 18 .

Table 5.9 Age at first birth
Percentage of women age 15-49 who gave birth by exact ages, percentage who have never given birth, and median age at first birth, according to current age, Namibia 2013

| Current age | Percentage who gave birth by exact age |  |  |  |  | Percentage who have never given birth | Number of women | Median age at first birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.9 | na | na | na | na | 86.2 | 1,906 | a |
| 20-24 | 1.1 | 14.9 | 34.6 | na | na | 43.9 | 1,786 | a |
| 25-29 | 2.4 | 17.5 | 36.0 | 53.5 | 73.1 | 17.3 | 1,489 | 21.5 |
| 30-34 | 1.6 | 18.7 | 38.4 | 53.8 | 73.1 | 9.1 | 1,260 | 21.5 |
| 35-39 | 2.1 | 18.6 | 37.5 | 56.2 | 74.7 | 4.9 | 1,110 | 21.3 |
| 40-44 | 1.4 | 13.3 | 29.9 | 50.5 | 71.2 | 7.4 | 917 | 21.9 |
| 45-49 | 2.5 | 13.6 | 31.3 | 50.9 | 72.6 | 4.6 | 708 | 21.9 |
| 20-49 | 1.7 | 16.3 | 35.1 | na | na | 18.0 | 7,270 | a |
| 25-49 | 2.0 | 16.8 | 35.2 | 53.3 | 73.0 | 9.6 | 5,485 | 21.6 |

na $=$ Not applicable due to censoring
$\mathrm{a}=$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of women had a birth before reaching the beginning of the age group

### 5.10 Median Age at First Birth by Background Characteristics

Table 5.10 shows the median age at first birth for different age cohorts across residential, regional, educational, and wealth status subgroups. Among women age 25-49, median age at first birth is slightly higher in urban areas than in rural areas ( 22.1 versus 21.0 years). By region, median age at first birth ranges from 19.3 years in Kavango to 23.1 years in Khomas. Age at first birth increases slightly with increasing levels of education and wealth. Women with no education or a primary education have their first birth about two and a half years earlier than women with a secondary education (19.5 versus 22.1 years). Women in the lowest wealth quintile have their first birth four years earlier than women in the highest quintile (19.9 versus 23.9 years).

### 5.11 Teenage Pregnancy and Motherhood

The issue of adolescent fertility is important for both health and social reasons. Children born to very young mothers are at increased risk of sickness and death. Teenage mothers are more likely to experience adverse pregnancy outcomes and are more constrained in their ability to pursue educational opportunities than young women who delay childbearing.

Table 5.10 Median age at first birth
Median age at first birth among women age 25-49, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background <br> characteristic | Women age |
| :--- | :---: |
| $25-49$ |  |

Residence

| Urban | 22.1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rural | 21.0 |

Region
Zambezi 20.4

Erongo
21.7

Erongo
//Karas
Kavango
Khomas
Kunene
Ohangwena
Omaheke
Omusati
Oshana
Oshikoto
Otjozondjupa
Education
No education
Primary
Secondary
Wealth quintile
Lowest 19.9
Second $\quad 20.9$
Middle $\quad 21.2$

Fourth 21.9
Highest
23.9

Total21.6

Table 5.11 shows the percentage of women age 15-19 who have given birth or were pregnant with their first child at the time of the survey, according to selected background characteristics. Overall, 19 percent of women age 15-19 have begun childbearing ( 14 percent have had a live birth, and 5 percent are currently pregnant). This represents a 4 percentage point increase in teenage pregnancies in Namibia since the 2006-07 NDHS (15 percent). The proportion of teenagers who have had a live birth rises rapidly with age, increasing from 3 percent at age 15 to 27 percent at age 19. Rural teenagers and those with a primary education tend to start childbearing earlier than their urban and better educated peers.

Kunene has the highest proportion of teenage pregnancy in Namibia (39 percent), followed by Omaheke ( 36 percent). Oshana has the lowest proportion of teenage pregnancy ( 9 percent). Teenage pregnancy is more than three times higher among young women in the lowest wealth quintile than among those in the highest wealth quintile.

Table 5.11 Teenage pregnancy and motherhood
Percentage of women age 15-19 who have had a live birth or who are pregnant with their first child, and percentage who have begun childbearing, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of women age $15-19$ who: |  | Percentage who have begun childbearing | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have had a live birth | Are pregnant with first child |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 362 |
| 16 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 9.5 | 367 |
| 17 | 11.8 | 5.8 | 17.5 | 347 |
| 18 | 19.7 | 5.8 | 25.5 | 404 |
| 19 | 26.5 | 7.1 | 33.6 | 426 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 11.6 | 5.2 | 16.7 | 901 |
| Rural | 15.8 | 4.4 | 20.3 | 1,005 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 22.7 | 5.4 | 28.1 | 95 |
| Erongo | 12.2 | 3.1 | 15.2 | 119 |
| Hardap | 15.8 | 3.4 | 19.3 | 52 |
| //Karas | 13.1 | 4.5 | 17.6 | 53 |
| Kavango | 27.0 | 7.4 | 34.4 | 201 |
| Khomas | 8.6 | 3.7 | 12.3 | 375 |
| Kunene | 28.8 | 10.1 | 38.9 | 40 |
| Ohangwena | 14.5 | 8.3 | 22.7 | 245 |
| Omaheke | 24.8 | 11.5 | 36.3 | 40 |
| Omusati | 9.7 | 1.4 | 11.1 | 252 |
| Oshana | 5.4 | 3.6 | 9.0 | 154 |
| Oshikoto | 9.7 | 3.5 | 13.2 | 177 |
| Otjozondjupa | 18.5 | 5.1 | 23.6 | 103 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | (22.0) | (23.1) | (45.1) | 31 |
| Primary | 21.2 | 4.5 | 25.7 | 446 |
| Secondary | 12.1 | 4.8 | 16.8 | 1,341 |
| More than secondary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 21.0 | 7.0 | 28.0 | 359 |
| Second | 19.6 | 6.1 | 25.6 | 354 |
| Middle | 13.4 | 4.2 | 17.6 | 360 |
| Fourth | 10.5 | 6.1 | 16.6 | 384 |
| Highest | 6.8 | 1.3 | 8.1 | 449 |
| Total | 13.8 | 4.8 | 18.6 | 1,906 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

## Key Findings

- Fifty-two percent of currently married women and 42 percent of currently married men want no more children or have been sterilised.
- The percentage of women who want to stop childbearing among currently married women has decreased from 60 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS to 52 percent in the 2013 NDHS.
- Women report an ideal family size of 3.2 children, and men report an ideal family size of 3.9 children.
- Overall, 49 percent of all births were wanted at the time of conception, 41 percent were reported as mistimed (wanted later), and 10 percent were unwanted.
- The total wanted fertility rate is 2.9 children per woman, as compared with the actual fertility rate of 3.6 children per woman.

Information on fertility preferences is of considerable importance to family planning programmes because it allows planners to assess the need for contraception, whether for spacing or limiting births, and the extent of unwanted and mistimed pregnancies. Data on fertility preferences can also be useful as an indicator of the direction that future fertility patterns may take.

In the 2013 NDHS, respondents were asked whether they wanted more children and, if so, how long they would prefer to wait before the next child. They were also asked, if they could start afresh, how many children they would want.

### 6.1 Fertility Preferences by Number of Living Children

Information about the desire for more children is important for understanding future reproductive behaviour. The provision of adequate and accessible family planning services depends on the availability of such information. In the 2013 NDHS, currently married women (whether pregnant or not) and men were asked about their intentions to have another child and, if they had such intentions, how soon they wanted the child. The question was phrased differently for pregnant women and for men whose wife or wives (girlfriend or girlfriends) were pregnant at the time of the interview to ensure the wantedness of subsequent children after completion of the current pregnancy. Sterilised women and men were considered to want no more children, and therefore they were not asked questions about their desire for more children.

Table 6.1 presents fertility preferences among currently married women and men by number of living children. Sixteen percent of currently married women and 18 percent of currently married men age 15-49 would like to have another child soon (within the next two years). Twenty percent of women and 18 percent of men would prefer to wait two or more years before having their next child. More than four in ten currently married respondents (45 percent of women and 41 percent of men) report that they want no more children; an additional 7 percent of women and 1 percent of men have been sterilised. Thus, about seven in ten women ( 72 percent) and six in ten men ( 59 percent) want to either delay their next birth for two or more years or end childbearing altogether.

The percentage of currently married women who want no more children or are sterilised has decreased from 60 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS to 52 percent in the 2013 NDHS.

As expected, women's and men's desire to have children decreases as number of living children increases. For example, 52 percent of currently married women who have no children want to have a child soon, as compared with only 4 percent of women who have six or more children. On the other hand, the proportion of women who do not want more children increases from 8 percent among those with no children to 77 percent among those with six or more children. Similar patterns are observed among currently married men.

| Table 6.1 Fertility preferences by number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women and currently married men age 15-49 by desire for children, according to number of living children, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Desire for children | Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 15-49 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6+ |  |
| WOMEN ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 51.7 | 28.3 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 16.1 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 17.8 | 36.7 | 24.7 | 16.6 | 15.6 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 20.3 |
| Have another, undecided when | 12.8 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.0 |
| Undecided | 2.4 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 4.5 |
| Want no more | 7.7 | 21.6 | 43.1 | 48.6 | 60.2 | 67.6 | 76.6 | 45.3 |
| Sterilised ${ }^{4}$ | 0.6 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 4.8 | 6.7 |
| Declared infecund | 6.6 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
| Missing | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Total 15-49 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 207 | 562 | 775 | 633 | 407 | 214 | 321 | 3,121 |
| MEN ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 37.7 | 33.7 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 14.8 | 10.6 | 17.6 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 20.0 | 23.4 | 27.2 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 17.7 |
| Have another, undecided when | 10.8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 6.3 |
| Undecided | 14.1 | 17.5 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 16.0 | 20.2 | 12.9 | 14.0 |
| Want no more | 12.9 | 14.0 | 38.7 | 53.3 | 49.1 | 50.6 | 60.1 | 40.7 |
| Sterilised ${ }^{4}$ | 0.0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Declared infecund | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Missing | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| Total 15-49 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 80 | 205 | 246 | 222 | 150 | 98 | 160 | 1,160 |
| ${ }^{1}$ The number of living children includes the current pregnancy. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Wants next birth within 2 years <br> ${ }^{3}$ Wants to delay next birth for 2 or more years <br> ${ }^{4}$ Includes both female and male sterilisation <br> ${ }^{5}$ The number of living children includes one additional child if the respondent's wife is pregnant (or if any wife is pregnant for men with more than one current wife). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 6.2 Desire to Limit Childbearing by Background Characteristics

Table 6.2 presents the percentages of currently married women and men age 15-49 who want no more children, by number of living children and selected background characteristics. Overall, there is no substantial difference in the desire to limit childbearing between urban and rural women ( 52 percent and 53 percent, respectively). However, among women with two or more children, those in urban areas are more likely to want to limit childbearing than those in rural areas. The reverse is true among women with one child.

At the regional level, Omaheke has the highest percentage of women who want to limit childbearing ( 66 percent), and Zambezi has the lowest ( 34 percent).

There is an inverse association between education and desire to limit childbearing. For example, 60 percent of women with no education want to limit childbearing, as compared with 47 percent of those with a secondary education or higher. There is no clear pattern by wealth.

Overall, 42 percent of currently married men age 15-49 want to limit childbearing or have been sterilised. There are too few cases to allow meaningful comparisons of men's desire to limit childbearing by various background characteristics or number of living children.

Table 6.2 Desire to limit childbearing: Women
Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 who want no more children, by number of living children, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6+ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.8 | 19.8 | 52.8 | 67.7 | 79.0 | 82.1 | 84.1 | 51.5 |
| Rural | 7.4 | 27.0 | 42.2 | 50.4 | 60.3 | 74.4 | 80.4 | 52.7 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | * | 15.2 | 22.0 | 41.5 | (43.9) | (55.9) | (77.9) | 34.4 |
| Erongo | (10.9) | 19.6 | 53.2 | 66.3 | (88.2) | * | * | 52.1 |
| Hardap | * | (31.4) | 61.2 | 89.3 | (88.5) | * | * | 64.8 |
| //Karas | * | (38.7) | 52.1 | 62.9 | (90.1) | * | * | 61.0 |
| Kavango | * | 25.1 | 33.3 | 47.7 | (45.2) | * | 76.4 | 42.5 |
| Khomas | (6.9) | 16.2 | 61.1 | 63.5 | (76.8) | * | * | 48.0 |
| Kunene | * | (42.4) | (49.2) | (74.6) | (77.6) | (73.8) | (65.0) | 61.3 |
| Ohangwena | * | * | (41.4) | * | * | * | (82.9) | 56.8 |
| Omaheke | * | (35.0) | 66.7 | 77.4 | (86.7) | (83.3) | * | 66.4 |
| Omusati | * | * | (36.6) | (44.3) | * | * | (84.3) | 52.8 |
| Oshana | * | * | (56.5) | (59.1) | * | * | * | 55.7 |
| Oshikoto | * | (22.7) | (52.4) | (64.5) | (79.3) | * | (80.7) | 59.6 |
| Otjozondjupa | * | (31.8) | 46.8 | 74.0 | (77.9) | (97.3) | (84.9) | 60.1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | * | (32.7) | (53.5) | 62.8 | 58.6 | (77.9) | 75.5 | 60.0 |
| Primary | (21.7) | 27.5 | 44.9 | 55.9 | 60.7 | 73.4 | 79.4 | 57.6 |
| Secondary | 3.5 | 23.1 | 47.3 | 61.0 | 75.2 | 80.8 | 88.5 | 49.8 |
| More than secondary | (12.8) | 10.1 | 62.2 | 66.6 | * | * | * | 46.8 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | (1.5) | 32.8 | 33.8 | 36.0 | 45.5 | 66.9 | 75.6 | 48.2 |
| Second | (19.7) | 29.4 | 37.5 | 65.2 | 65.8 | 81.9 | 88.2 | 55.9 |
| Middle | (9.3) | 24.0 | 46.2 | 56.0 | 73.2 | (77.6) | 80.1 | 51.0 |
| Fourth | 2.8 | 16.3 | 48.4 | 64.2 | 79.7 | 87.2 | (85.6) | 49.7 |
| Highest | 10.2 | 15.1 | 61.7 | 72.8 | 89.1 | (79.6) | * | 54.6 |
| Total 15-49 | 8.3 | 22.1 | 49.6 | 60.8 | 69.8 | 77.7 | 81.4 | 52.0 |

Note: Women who have been sterilised are considered to want no more children. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ The number of living children includes the current pregnancy.

### 6.3 Ideal Number of Children

Women and men, regardless of marital status, were asked what number of children they would choose to have if they could start afresh. Respondents who had no children were asked "If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" For respondents who had children, the question was rephrased as follows: "If you could go back to the time when you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" Women's and men's responses to these questions are summarised in Table 6.3.

Table 6.3 shows that the mean ideal number of children is 3.2 for all women and 3.9 for all men, as compared with 3.7 children for currently married women and 4.6 for currently married men. Overall, more than seven in ten women ( 73 percent) and more than six in ten men ( 64 percent) ideally would want between two and four children.

The ideal number of children among currently married women is similar to the figure reported in the 2006-07 NDHS.

When interpreting the findings in Table 6.3, it is important to remember that the actual and stated ideal number of children tend to be related. There are several reasons for this. First, to the extent that women are able to fulfil their fertility desires, those who want large families will achieve large families. Second, because women with large families are, on average, older women, they may prefer a greater number of children because of the attitudes toward childbearing to which they were exposed during the early stages of their reproductive lives. Finally, some women may have difficulty admitting that they would prefer fewer
children than they currently have if they could begin childbearing again. Such women are likely to report their actual number as their preferred number. Indeed, women who have fewer children do report a smaller ideal number of children than women with more children. The mean ideal number of children among all women with no children is 2.6 , as compared with 5.4 among all women with six or more children. Similarly, the ideal number of children among men with no children is almost five fewer than the number among men with six or more children ( 3.2 children versus 8.1 children).

| Table 6.3 Ideal number of children by number of living children |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent distribution of women and men age $15-49$ by ideal number of children, and mean ideal number of children for |
| all respondents and for currently married respondents, according to number of living children, Namibia 2013 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of living children includes the current pregnancy.
${ }^{2}$ Means are calculated excluding respondents who gave non-numeric responses.
${ }^{3}$ The number of living children includes one additional child if the respondent's wife is pregnant (or if any wife is pregnant for men with more than one current wife).

### 6.4 Mean Ideal Number of Children by Background Characteristics

Table 6.4 shows the mean ideal number of children among all women age 15-49 by background characteristics. Mean ideal number of children increases consistently with age, from 2.4 among women age 15-19 to 4.4 among women age 45-49. Women in rural areas have a larger ideal family size than those in urban areas ( 3.5 children and 3.0 children, respectively). Among regions, women in Hardap have the lowest desired family size (2.4 children) and women in Ohangwena have the highest (4.1 children).

The mean ideal number of children decreases steadily with increasing education, from a high of 4.2 children among women with no education to a low of 2.9 children among women with a secondary education or higher. Mean ideal number of children also decreases with increasing wealth, from 3.9 children among women in the lowest quintile to 2.8 among those in the highest quintile.

### 6.5 Fertility Planning Status

Information collected in the 2013 NDHS can also be used to estimate levels of unwanted fertility. This information provides insight into the degree to which couples are able to control fertility. Women age 15-49 were asked a series of questions about each child born to them in the preceding five years, as well as any current pregnancy, to determine whether the birth or pregnancy was wanted then (planned), wanted later (mistimed), or not wanted at all (unplanned) at the time of conception. In assessing these results, it is important to recognise that women may declare a previously unwanted birth or current pregnancy as wanted, and this rationalisation would result in an underestimate of the true extent of unwanted births.

Table 6.5 shows the distribution of births in the five years before the survey by the planning status of the birth. Overall, 49 percent of births were wanted at the time of conception, 41 percent were reported as mistimed (wanted later), and 10 percent were unwanted. In general, the percentage of mistimed births decreases with increasing birth order, from 53 percent for first births to 32-34 percent for births of order three or higher. On the other hand, the proportion of unwanted births increases with birth order, from 5 percent for first births to 19 percent for births of order four or higher.

A much larger proportion of births to older women than younger women are unwanted. For example, only 6 percent of births to women who gave birth at age $20-24$ are unwanted, as compared with 27 percent of births to women who gave birth at age 40-44. The percentage of wanted pregnancies increases with age and peaks at 60 percent among women age $30-34$, after which it decreases steadily. The percentage of mistimed births decreases steadily with age, from 60 percent among women who gave birth before age 20 to 22 percent among women who gave birth at age 40-44.

Table 6.5 Fertility planning status
Percent distribution of births to women age 15-49 in the five years preceding the survey (including current pregnancies), by planning status of the birth, according to birth order and mother's age at birth, Namibia 2013

|  | Planning status of birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Birth order and } \\ \text { mother's age at birth }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Wanted } \\ \text { then }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Wanted } \\ \text { later }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Wanted } \\ \text { no more }\end{array}$ | Missing |  | Total | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Number of <br>

births\end{array}\right]\)

Note: Women who have been sterilised are considered to want no more children. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

### 6.6 Wanted Fertility Rates

Responses to the question on ideal number of children are used to calculate the total "wanted" fertility rate. This measure is calculated in the same manner as the conventional total fertility rate, except that unwanted births are excluded from the numerator. A birth is considered wanted if the number of living children at the time of conception is less than the ideal number of children reported by the respondent. Wanted fertility rates express the level of fertility that theoretically would result if all unwanted births were prevented. Comparison of the actual fertility rate with the wanted rate indicates the potential demographic impact of eliminating unwanted births.

Table 6.6 shows that the wanted fertility rate is 2.9 children, as compared with the actual fertility rate of 3.6 children (rates were calculated over the three years prior to the survey). In other words, Namibian women are currently having an average of 0.7 children more than they actually want. The table also shows that regardless of place of residence, level of education, and wealth quintile, the wanted fertility rate is lower than the actual total fertility rate.

Women in rural areas have a larger gap between their actual and wanted fertility (1.2) than women in urban areas $(0.5)$. Among regions, the largest difference between actual and wanted fertility is in Omaheke ( 1.5 children), and the narrowest gap is in Khomas and Oshana ( 0.3 children each). Women with no education and those in the lowest wealth quintile have the largest gap between wanted and actual fertility rates ( 1.6 children each).

## Key Findings

- Knowledge of contraception is universal in Namibia: nearly all women and men have heard of at least one method.
- One in two (50 percent) women age 15-49 use a method of contraception. Injectables are the most commonly used method (21 percent).
- Use of a modern method is 53 percent among women in the highest wealth quintile versus 39 percent among women in the lowest wealth quintile.
- The majority of modern contraceptive users obtain their method from the public sector ( 73 percent).
- Fifty-seven percent of modern contraceptive users were informed of side effects or health problems associated with the method they used; 51 percent knew what to do if they experienced side effects, and 65 percent had been told of other methods available.
- Twelve percent of all women have an unmet need for family planning services ( 8 percent for spacing and 4 percent for limiting births). Eight in ten women's demand for family planning has been satisfied.
- Eighty-five percent of nonusers who had contact with a fieldworker or health facility in the 12 months preceding the survey did not use the opportunity to discuss family planning.

Family planning refers to a conscious effort to limit or space the number of children they want to have through the use of contraceptives. This chapter presents results from the 2013 NDHS on a number of aspects of contraception: knowledge of specific contraceptive methods, attitudes and behaviours regarding contraceptive use, current use, and sources of current contraceptive methods. The chapter focuses on women who are sexually active because these women have the greatest risk of exposure to pregnancy and therefore the greatest need for regulating their fertility. However, the results of interviews with men are presented along with those of women because men play an equally important role in making decisions about sexual reproductive health and family planning.

### 7.1 Knowledge of Contraceptive Methods

Information about contraceptive methods was collected by asking respondents if they had heard of various methods that can be used to delay or avoid a pregnancy. Specifically, the interviewer named a method, described it, and then asked whether the respondent had heard of it. In all, the interviewer asked about thirteen different contraceptive methods. Provision was also made in the questionnaire to record any additional methods the respondent had heard of but was not asked about by the interviewer.

Contraceptive methods are classified into two broad categories, modern methods and traditional methods. Modern methods include female sterilisation, male sterilisation, the pill, the intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD), injectables, implants, male condoms, female condoms, the lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM), the contraceptive patch, and emergency contraception. Traditional methods include fertility awareness methods such as rhythm (periodic abstinence), withdrawal, and various folk methods such as use of strings and herbs.

Table 7.1 shows that knowledge of contraceptive methods is universal in Namibia, with nearly all women and men age 15-49 aware of at least one method of contraception. Modern methods are more widely known than traditional methods; almost all women know of a modern method, while 67 percent know of a traditional method. Male condoms (99 percent), injectables ( 96 percent), the pill ( 95 percent), and female condoms ( 94 percent) are the most commonly known modern methods among women, with relatively smaller percentages mentioning the other modern methods. The extent of and patterns in knowledge of a modern method of family planning are similar among currently married and sexually active unmarried women and men. Because knowledge of at least one method of contraception is universal, there are few differences in knowledge by background characteristics (data not shown).

| Table 7.1 Knowledge of contraceptive methods |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of all respondents, currently married respondents, and sexually active unmarried respondents age 15-49 who know any contraceptive method, by specific method, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| Method | All women | Currently married women | Sexually active unmarried women ${ }^{1}$ | All men | Currently married men | Sexually active unmarried $m^{1}{ }^{1}$ |
| Any method | 99.6 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Any modern method | 99.6 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilisation | 69.8 | 75.3 | 72.2 | 66.9 | 74.8 | 73.1 |
| Male sterilisation | 42.6 | 44.6 | 43.5 | 52.9 | 57.1 | 58.7 |
| Pill | 94.5 | 97.1 | 96.2 | 89.0 | 94.1 | 93.3 |
| Contraceptive patch | 31.9 | 33.9 | 36.1 | 27.9 | 31.0 | 31.5 |
| IUD | 51.4 | 54.8 | 55.2 | 34.8 | 39.5 | 39.9 |
| Injectables | 95.8 | 98.3 | 97.4 | 88.3 | 93.0 | 93.7 |
| Implants | 28.4 | 32.4 | 30.0 | 30.1 | 36.0 | 35.4 |
| Male condom | 98.7 | 98.4 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 99.7 | 99.9 |
| Female condom | 94.2 | 94.1 | 95.7 | 92.5 | 93.0 | 97.0 |
| Lactational amenorrhoea (LAM) | 18.3 | 23.2 | 18.3 | 12.7 | 16.2 | 14.6 |
| Emergency contraception | 43.3 | 44.1 | 47.0 | 46.1 | 51.9 | 53.6 |
| Any traditional method | 67.3 | 70.7 | 74.0 | 73.2 | 77.5 | 83.8 |
| Rhythm | 48.5 | 50.9 | 54.0 | 47.5 | 50.8 | 55.7 |
| Withdrawal | 57.0 | 61.1 | 63.9 | 68.0 | 73.8 | 79.0 |
| Other | 4.2 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| Mean number of methods known by respondents age 15-49 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
| Number of respondents | 9,176 | 3,121 | 1,437 | 4,021 | 1,160 | 896 |
| Mean number of methods known by respondents age 15-64 | na | na | na | 6.8 | 6.2 | 8.1 |
| Number of respondents | na | na | na | 4,481.0 | 1,526.5 | 914.3 |

na $=$ Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ Had last sexual intercourse within 30 days preceding the survey

With respect to traditional methods, withdrawal and the rhythm method are known by 49 percent and 57 percent of all women, respectively. Women know 7.8 contraceptive methods on average, while men know 7.6 methods. This is an increase from an average of 6.1 contraceptive methods known by both women and men in the 2006-07 NDHS.

### 7.2 Current Use of Contraception

The prevalence of contraceptive use among women in Namibia at the time of the survey is one of the principal determinants of fertility. Changes in prevalence that have occurred over time can indicate the overall success of family planning programmes.

Percentages of contraceptive use among all women, currently married women, and sexually active unmarried women age 15-49 are presented in Table 7.2.1. The results show that 50 percent of all women in Namibia are using a modern contraceptive method. This represents a very small increase from the figure reported in the 2006-07 NDHS (47 percent).
Table 7.2.1 Current use of contraception by age
Percent distribution of all women, currently marrie

|  |  |  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Any traditional method | Traditional method |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number <br> of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Any method | Any modern method | Female sterilisation | Male sterilisation | Pill | IUD | Contraceptive patch | Injectables | Implants | Male condom | Female condom | Diaphragm | LAM | Other |  | Rhythm | Withdrawal | Other |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 24.5 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 75.5 | 100.0 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 56.4 | 55.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 24.3 | 0.1 | 25.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 43.6 | 100.0 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 62.7 | 62.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 31.4 | 0.3 | 23.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 37.3 | 100.0 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 59.1 | 58.4 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 28.4 | 0.2 | 17.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 100.0 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 55.9 | 55.7 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 23.3 | 0.1 | 18.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 44.1 | 100.0 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 54.9 | 54.6 | 7.9 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 20.1 | 0.1 | 18.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 45.1 | 100.0 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 46.1 | 45.2 | 13.8 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 0.1 | 15.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 53.9 | 100.0 | 708 |
| Total | 50.2 | 49.7 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 21.2 | 0.1 | 19.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 49.8 | 100.0 | 9,176 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 37.2 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 62.8 | 100.0 | 103 |
| 20-24 | 53.7 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 35.5 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.3 | 100.0 | 349 |
| 25-29 | 58.5 | 58.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 35.8 | 0.2 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 41.5 | 100.0 | 558 |
| 30-34 | 58.4 | 57.6 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 30.0 | 0.2 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 41.6 | 100.0 | 634 |
| 35-39 | 57.3 | 56.9 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 24.5 | 0.2 | 14.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 42.7 | 100.0 | 593 |
| 40-44 | 57.5 | 56.9 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 24.2 | 0.2 | 12.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 42.5 | 100.0 | 497 |
| 45-49 | 52.6 | 50.9 | 18.4 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 8.8 | 0.1 | 13.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 47.4 | 100.0 | 386 |
| Total | 56.1 | 55.3 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 26.8 | 0.2 | 12.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 43.9 | 100.0 | 3,121 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED WOMEN ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 72.5 | 72.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 51.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 195 |
| 20-24 | 77.7 | 77.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 25.8 | 0.6 | 42.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.3 | 100.0 | 390 |
| 25-29 | 82.2 | 82.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 30.8 | 0.7 | 41.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 334 |
| 30-34 | 75.6 | 74.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 35.9 | 0.4 | 25.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 100.0 | 208 |
| 35-39 | 79.2 | 78.9 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 157 |
| 40-44 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 43.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 100.0 | 103 |
| 45-49 | 74.1 | 74.1 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 35.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Total | 77.8 | 77.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 27.1 | 0.4 | 40.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 1,437 |

[^4]Among all women, the contraceptive methods most commonly used are injectables ( 21 percent) and male condoms (19 percent). Five percent of all women use the pill and 3 percent use female sterilisation, while a total of 2 percent use the IUCD, contraceptive patch, female condom, male sterilisation, implants, LAM, diaphragm, or other modern methods.

The use of modern contraceptive methods among all women increases with age, from 24 percent among those age $15-19$ to 62 percent among those age 25-29, before gradually falling to a low of 45 percent among women age 45-49. A similar pattern emerges in the use of injectables.

### 7.3 Current Use of Contraception by Background Characteristics

Table 7.2.2 presents information on current use of contraceptives among all women age 15-49. Current use of any method of contraception varies by number of living children, residence, region, education, and wealth quintile. One in three women without children uses a contraceptive method (33 percent). The use of any contraceptive method increases from 59 percent among women with one to two children to 62 percent among women with three to four children before falling to 49 percent among women with five or more children.

Women in rural areas are less likely to use contraceptive methods than their counterparts in urban areas (43 percent versus 56 percent). Among regions, use of contraceptive methods is highest in //Karas (60 percent) and lowest in Omusati (37 percent).

Contraceptive use is positively associated with women's level of education and wealth. For example, 34 percent of women with no education use contraceptives, as compared with 58 percent of those with more than a secondary education. Similarly, only 40 percent of women in the lowest wealth quintile use contraceptives, compared with 54 percent of women in the highest wealth quintile.
Table 7.2.2 Current use of contraception by background characteristics
Percent distribution of all women age 15-49 by contraceptive method currently used, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Any method | Any modern method | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Any traditional method | Traditional method |  |  | Not currently using | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female sterilisation | Male sterilisation | Pill | IUCD | Contraceptive patch | Injectables | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Im- } \\ & \text { plants } \end{aligned}$ | Male condom | Female condom | Diaphragm | LAM | Other |  | Rhythm | Withdrawal | Other |  |  |  |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 33.1 | 32.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 66.9 | 100.0 | 3,034 |
| 1-2 | 59.0 | 58.6 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 29.3 | 0.3 | 19.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 41.0 | 100.0 | 3,606 |
| 3-4 | 62.0 | 61.3 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 29.4 | 0.1 | 14.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 38.0 | 100.0 | 1,750 |
| 5+ | 49.3 | 48.6 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 24.4 | 0.1 | 13.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 50.7 | 100.0 | 785 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 55.5 | 55.1 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 21.2 | 0.2 | 22.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 44.5 | 100.0 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 43.2 | 42.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 56.8 | 100.0 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 49.8 | 49.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 39.8 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 100.0 | 457 |
| Erongo | 56.5 | 55.9 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 22.8 | 0.4 | 21.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 43.5 | 100.0 | 771 |
| Hardap | 49.8 | 49.8 | 9.0 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 24.4 | 0.3 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 100.0 | 304 |
| //Karas | 59.5 | 58.8 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 29.1 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 40.5 | 100.0 | 343 |
| Kavango | 40.8 | 39.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 59.2 | 100.0 | 835 |
| Khomas | 56.3 | 55.7 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 18.1 | 0.2 | 25.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 43.7 | 100.0 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 52.2 | 52.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 47.8 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 39.4 | 39.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 19.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.6 | 100.0 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 55.4 | 55.2 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 26.0 | 0.5 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.6 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Omusati | 37.2 | 37.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.8 | 100.0 | 884 |
| Oshana | 57.9 | 57.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 15.3 | 0.2 | 32.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 42.1 | 100.0 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 49.3 | 49.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.7 | 100.0 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 51.6 | 51.1 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 24.5 | 0.1 | 15.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 48.4 | 100.0 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 33.7 | 32.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 66.3 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Primary | 42.8 | 42.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 57.2 | 100.0 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 52.3 | 52.0 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 22.7 | 0.1 | 20.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 47.7 | 100.0 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 58.0 | 56.9 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 9.2 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 27.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 42.0 | 100.0 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 39.6 | 38.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 60.4 | 100.0 | 1,429 |
| Second | 48.7 | 48.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 51.3 | 100.0 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 52.9 | 52.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 0.1 | 21.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 47.1 | 100.0 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 52.5 | 52.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 22.3 | 0.1 | 21.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 47.5 | 100.0 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 53.7 | 53.1 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 14.2 | 0.3 | 21.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 46.3 | 100.0 | 2,211 |
| Total | 50.2 | 49.7 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 21.2 | 0.1 | 19.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 49.8 | 100.0 | 9,176 |

[^5]Table 7.3 and Figure 7.1 show trends in contraceptive use among all women over the past 21 years, as measured by the 1992, 2000, 2006-07, and 2013 NDHS surveys. Over this time period, use of contraception has risen from 23 percent to 50 percent.

| Table 7.3 Trends in contraceptive use |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of all women who are currently using contraception, by specific method, Namibia 1992, 2000, 2006-07, and 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Method | $\begin{gathered} 1992 \\ \text { NDHS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { NDHS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { NDHS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2013 \\ \text { NDHS } \end{gathered}$ |
| Any method | 23.3 | 37.8 | 46.6 | 50.2 |
| Any modern method | 21.4 | 37.1 | 45.7 | 49.7 |
| Female sterilisation | 3.8 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| Male sterilisation | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Pill | 7.1 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 4.5 |
| IUD | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Injectables | 8.6 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 21.2 |
| Implants | u | u | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Male condom | 0.5 | 8.9 | 17.0 | 19.2 |
| Female condom | u | u | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Contraceptive patch | u | u | u | 0.5 |
| Any traditional method | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Rhythm/periodic abstinence | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Withdrawal | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other traditional methods | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Number of women | 5,421 | 6,755 | 9,804 | 9,176 |

$\mathrm{u}=$ Unknown

Figure 7.1 Trends in contraceptive use among all women age 15-49, Namibia 1992-2013

Percent


### 7.4 Source of Modern Contraceptive Methods

Information on where women obtain the contraceptive methods they use is useful for family planning programme managers and others who plan the distribution of contraceptives. In the 2013 NDHS, all women who reported that they were currently using any modern contraceptive method at the time of the survey were asked where they obtained the method the last time they used it. Sometimes women may know the name of the facility but not know whether it is a public or private sector source. In such cases, interviewers were instructed to note the full name of the source or facility. Supervisors were trained to
verify the name and type of source to maintain consistency and improve the accuracy of the information collected.

Table 7.4 shows that the majority of users obtain their contraceptives from public sector sources (73 percent). Government primary health care clinics and government hospitals are the most common public sources of contraceptives (48 percent and 22 percent, respectively). Twelve percent of users obtain their contraceptives from private sources and 11 percent from other sources.

| Percent distribution of users of modern contraceptive methods age 15-49 by most recent source of method, according to method, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Female sterilisation | Pill | Injectables | Male condom | Total |
| Public | 64.9 | 71.8 | 95.4 | 53.4 | 73.0 |
| Government hospital | 64.5 | 11.3 | 17.1 | 22.6 | 21.6 |
| Government health centre | 0.4 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| Government primary health care clinic | 0.0 | 57.6 | 73.8 | 27.7 | 47.9 |
| Outreach point | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Mobile clinic | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Other public | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Private | 34.9 | 26.0 | 3.6 | 10.5 | 12.1 |
| Private hospital | 31.6 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 3.0 |
| Private clinic | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Pharmacy | 0.0 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 9.6 | 5.3 |
| Private doctor | 0.7 | 11.4 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 2.8 |
| Other source | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 27.9 | 11.1 |
| Shop | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 9.7 |
| Friend/relative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| School | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 2.8 |
| Total | 99.8 | 98.5 | 99.3 | 98.2 | 98.9 |
| Number of women | 261 | 417 | 1,941 | 1,765 | 4,549 |

Note: Total includes 8 users of male sterilisation, 51 users of the IUCD, 49 users of the contraceptive patch,
12 users of implants, 44 users of the female condom, and 1 user of the diaphragm who are not shown separately but excludes lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM).

The public sector is the primary source of injectables, supplying the vast majority of users (95 percent), with government primary health care clinics supplying 74 percent of users. More than one in two (53 percent) users of male condoms obtained their method from the public sector, with primary health care clinics the main supplier. Government health centres ( 23 percent) and shops ( 25 percent) are also an important source of male condoms, supplying about one in four users. Seventy-two percent of pill users obtained their method from the public sector, the majority ( 58 percent) from primary health care clinics. Not surprisingly, the public sector is also the main source of female sterilisation, with government hospitals most often providing this service ( 65 percent). The proportional distribution of contraceptive methods by public and private sector sources has not changed substantially over the last six years.

### 7.5 INFORMED CHOICE

Women age 15-49 who were currently using a modern contraceptive method and who started the last episode of use within five years of the survey were asked whether they had been informed about possible side effects or problems associated with their chosen method, what to do if they experienced side effects, and other methods that they could also use. Their responses offer a measure of the quality of family planning service provision. Table 7.5 shows the results by method and source.

Fifty-seven percent of users of modern contraceptives were informed about side effects or health problems associated with the method they used, 51 percent were informed about what to do if they experienced side effects, and 65 percent were told of other methods available. Women using the pill were most likely to be informed of side effects, what to do if they experienced side effects, and other methods they could use. Women who obtained their contraceptive from the private sector, typically a private doctor or a private hospital, were more likely than those who obtained their contraceptive from another source to be informed of side effects, what to do if they experienced side effects, and other methods they could use.

Table 7.5 Informed choice
Among current users of modern methods age 15-49 who started the last episode of use within the five years preceding the survey, the percentage who were informed about possible side effects or problems of that method, the percentage who were informed about what to do if they experienced side effects, and the percentage who were informed about other methods they could use, by method and initial source, Namibia 2013

| Method/source | Among women who started last episode of modern contraceptive method within five years preceding the survey: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who were informed about side effects or problems of method used | Percentage who were informed about what to do if experienced side effects | Percentage who were informed by a health or family planning worker of other methods that could be used | Number of women |
| Method |  |  |  |  |
| Female sterilisation | 51.1 | 45.3 | 59.1 | 94 |
| Pill | 61.1 | 55.9 | 68.9 | 328 |
| IUD | (76.7) | (74.9) | (84.9) | 38 |
| Injectables | 55.9 | 49.5 | 63.7 | 1,705 |
| Implants | * | * | * | 9 |
| Initial source of method ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 56.4 | 50.5 | 65.1 | 1,941 |
| Government hospital | 62.1 | 57.9 | 69.9 | 483 |
| Government health centre | 64.8 | 54.9 | 71.4 | 83 |
| Government primary health care clinic | 53.7 | 47.7 | 63.0 | 1,353 |
| Outreach point | * | * | * | 9 |
| Field worker/community health care provider | * | * | * | 5 |
| Other public | * | * | * | 8 |
| Private | 69.0 | 60.2 | 70.7 | 198 |
| Private hospital | 63.0 | 55.4 | 69.0 | 76 |
| Private clinic | * | * | * | 17 |
| Pharmacy | * | * | * | 23 |
| Private doctor | 78.0 | 67.7 | 74.0 | 81 |
| Other private medical | * | * | * | 1 |
| Other source | * | * | * | 10 |
| Friend/relative | * | * | * | 2 |
| School | * | * | * | 8 |
| Other | * | * | * | 0 |
| Total | 56.8 | 50.7 | 64.8 | 2,174 |

Note: Table includes users of only the methods listed individually. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Source at start of current episode of use

### 7.6 Rates of Discontinuing Contraceptive Methods

Reproductive goals can only be realised when reliable methods of contraception methods are used consistently. Of particular concern for family planning programmes is the rate at which users discontinue contraceptive methods and the reasons for such discontinuation. Armed with this information, family planning providers are able to better advise potential users of the advantages and disadvantages of each contraceptive method, allowing women to make a more informed decision about the method that best suits their needs. The calendar section of the Woman's Questionnaire records all segments of contraceptive use from 3-59 months prior to the survey. The month of the interview and the two months prior to the survey are ignored in order to avoid bias that may be introduced by unrecognised pregnancies. One-year contraceptive discontinuation rates based on the calendar data are presented in Table 7.6.

Overall, 19 percent of episodes of contraceptive use were discontinued within 12 months of their initiation. Six percent of discontinuations occurred due to fear of side effects or health concerns. Discontinuation rates vary by method. The rate is highest for pills ( 9 percent), followed by injectables and male condoms (4 percent each).

Table 7.6 Twelve-month contraceptive discontinuation rates
Among women age 15-49 who started an episode of contraceptive use within the five years preceding the survey, the percentage of episodes discontinued within 12 months, by reason for discontinuation and specific method, Namibia 2013

|  | Method <br> failure | Desire to <br> become <br> pregnant | Other <br> fertility- <br> related <br> reasons $^{1}$ | Side effects/ <br> health <br> concerns | Wanted <br> more <br> effective <br> method | Other <br> method- <br> related <br> reasons | Other <br> reasons | Any <br> reason | Switched to <br> another <br> method $^{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nethod <br> episodes of <br> use $^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pill | 2.7 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 9.5 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 28.0 | 8.8 |
| Injectables | 0.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 19.7 | 3.6 |
| Male condom | 3.4 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 16.4 | 3.5 |
| All methods | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 19.2 | 4.1 |

Note: Figures are based on life table calculations using information on episodes of use that began 3-62 months preceding the survey.
${ }^{1}$ Includes infrequent sex/husband away, difficult to get pregnant/menopausal, and marital dissolution/separation
${ }^{2}$ Includes lack of access/too far, costs too much, and inconvenient to use
${ }^{3}$ Reasons for discontinuation are mutually exclusive and add to the total given in this column.
${ }^{4}$ The episodes of use included in this column are a subset of the discontinued episodes included in the discontinuation rate. A woman is considered to have switched to another method if she used a different method in the month following discontinuation or if she gave "wanted a more effective method" as the reason for discontinuation and started another method within two months of discontinuation.
${ }^{5}$ Number of episodes of use includes both episodes of use that were discontinued during the period of observation and episodes of use that were not discontinued during the period of observation.

### 7.7 Reasons for Discontinuing Contraceptive Methods

Table 7.7 shows the percent distribution of discontinuations of contraceptive methods in the five years preceding the survey by main reason stated for the discontinuation, according to specific method. In total, 3,455 discontinuations occurred within this time period. Overall, across all contraceptive methods, the most common reason for discontinuation was side effects or health concerns ( 26 percent), followed by a desire to become pregnant ( 22 percent) and becoming pregnant while using the method (14 percent).

| Table 7.7 Reasons for discontinuation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of discontinuations of contraceptive methods in the five years preceding the survey by main reason stated for discontinuation, according to specific method, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Reason | Pill | Injection | Male condom | All methods |
| Became pregnant while using | 13.2 | 3.5 | 28.4 | 14.1 |
| Wanted to become pregnant | 29.2 | 20.9 | 20.1 | 22.2 |
| Husband disapproved | 1.1 | 2.3 | 7.9 | 4.1 |
| Wanted a more effective method | 5.0 | 0.8 | 10.9 | 5.3 |
| Side effects/health concerns | 23.9 | 43.7 | 3.9 | 25.5 |
| Lack of access/too far | 4.8 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 4.4 |
| Cost too much | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Inconvenient to use | 5.6 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.7 |
| Up to God/fatalistic | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Difficult to get pregnant/menopausal | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Infrequent sex/husband away | 4.8 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 5.1 |
| Marital dissolution/separation | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Other | 4.3 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 4.3 |
| Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Missing | 6.8 | 8.5 | 14.8 | 10.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of discontinuations | 493 | 1,614 | 1,225 | 3,455 |

Note: Total includes 124 cases in which women reported discontinuation while using male sterilisation, IUCD, contraceptive patch, implants, female condom, lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM), rhythm, withdrawal, and other methods.

There were variations in reasons for discontinuation across specific contraceptive methods. For example, among pill users, 29 percent of discontinuations occurred because women wanted to become pregnant, 24 percent were due to side effects or health concerns, and 13 percent occurred because of method failure (i.e., the woman became pregnant while using the method). Among users of injectables, side effects or health concerns were the most common reason for discontinuations (44 percent), followed by a desire to become pregnant ( 21 percent); method failure resulted in only 4 percent of discontinuations. Method failure was the most common reason for discontinuations among male condom users (28 percent), followed by a desire to become pregnant ( 20 percent); 11 percent of discontinuations were due to the need for a more effective method.

### 7.8 Knowledge of the Fertile Period

The fertile period refers to the time when a woman can become pregnant. Such knowledge is particularly critical in the use of fertility awareness methods. The 2013 NDHS included a question designed to obtain information on the respondent's understanding of when a woman is most likely to become pregnant during the menstrual cycle. Respondents were asked, "From one menstrual period to the next, are there certain days when a woman is more likely to get pregnant if she has sexual relations?" If the reply was yes, the respondent was further asked whether that time was just before a woman's period begins, during her period, right after her period has ended, or halfway between two periods.

The results show that only 16 percent of women know that they are most fertile midway between two menstrual periods. Due to small group numbers, breakdowns by perceived fertile period are not shown separately.

### 7.9 Need and Demand for Family Planning

The proportion of women who want to stop childbearing or who want to space their next birth is a crude measure of the extent of the need for family planning, given that not all of these women are exposed to the risk of pregnancy and some may already be using contraception. This section discusses the extent of need and the potential demand for family planning services. Women who want to postpone their next birth for two or more years or who want to stop childbearing altogether but are not using a contraceptive method are said to have an unmet need for family planning. Pregnant women are considered to have an unmet need for spacing or limiting if their pregnancy was mistimed or unwanted. Similarly, amenorrhoeic women are categorised as having an unmet need if their last birth was mistimed or unwanted. Women who are currently using a family planning method are said to have a met need for family planning. Total demand for family planning services comprises those who fall in the met need and unmet need categories.

Table 7.8 presents data on unmet need, met need, and total demand for family planning among all women. These indicators help to evaluate the extent to which family planning programmes in Namibia meet the demand for services. The definition of unmet need for family planning has been revised to make levels of unmet need comparable over time and across surveys. Twelve percent of all women have an unmet need for family planning ( 8 percent for spacing and 4 percent for limiting births). Fifty percent of women are currently using a contraceptive method ( 28 percent for spacing and 22 percent for limiting). More than six in ten women ( 62 percent) have a demand for family planning. At present, 81 percent of the potential demand for family planning is being met. Thus, if all women who said they want to space or limit their children were to use family planning methods, the contraceptive prevalence rate would increase from 50 percent to 62 percent.

Unmet need for spacing is highest among women age 20-24 (12 percent), while unmet need for limiting childbearing is highest among women age 45-49 (8 percent). Unmet need is much higher in rural than urban areas ( 15 percent and 9 percent, respectively) and it ranges from a low of 7 percent in Erongo to a high of 18 percent in Kunene.

Unmet need varies substantially by education; women with no education are most likely to have an unmet need for family planning ( 24 percent), while women with more than a secondary education have the lowest unmet need ( 7 percent). Unmet need is inversely associated with a woman's wealth status. Eighteen percent of women in the lowest wealth quintile have an unmet need, as compared with 7 percent of those in the highest quintile.

Recalculation of unmet need among women age 15-49 using the new definition shows an increase from 9 percent in the 2006-07 to 12 percent in the 2013 NDHS survey. ${ }^{1}$

Table 7.8 Need and demand for family planning for all women
Percentage of all women age 15-49 with unmet need for family planning, percentage with met need for family planning, the total demand for family planning, and the percentage of the demand for contraception that is satisfied, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Unmet need for family planning |  |  | Met need for family planning$\qquad$ |  |  | Total demand for family planning ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Percentage of demand satisfied ${ }^{2}$ | Percentage of demand satisfied by modern methods ${ }^{3}$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For spacing | For limiting | Total | For spacing | For limiting | Total | For spacing | For limiting | Total |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 9.0 | 19.3 | 5.2 | 24.5 | 27.0 | 6.4 | 33.5 | 73.1 | 72.1 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 12.0 | 1.8 | 13.8 | 44.6 | 11.8 | 56.4 | 56.5 | 13.7 | 70.2 | 80.3 | 79.6 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 9.6 | 3.8 | 13.5 | 42.3 | 20.4 | 62.7 | 51.9 | 24.3 | 76.2 | 82.3 | 81.9 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 12.6 | 32.2 | 26.9 | 59.1 | 40.4 | 31.4 | 71.8 | 82.4 | 81.4 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 12.1 | 22.1 | 33.9 | 55.9 | 28.4 | 39.7 | 68.1 | 82.2 | 81.8 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 11.1 | 13.1 | 41.8 | 54.9 | 17.1 | 49.0 | 66.0 | 83.2 | 82.8 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 42.1 | 46.1 | 4.7 | 49.7 | 54.4 | 84.8 | 83.1 | 708 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.3 | 3.1 | 9.4 | 32.3 | 23.2 | 55.5 | 38.6 | 26.3 | 65.0 | 85.5 | 84.8 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 9.8 | 4.8 | 14.6 | 23.0 | 20.2 | 43.2 | 32.8 | 25.0 | 57.8 | 74.7 | 73.9 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 7.1 | 4.2 | 11.3 | 32.8 | 16.9 | 49.8 | 40.0 | 21.1 | 61.1 | 81.5 | 81.1 | 457 |
| Erongo | 4.3 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 31.9 | 24.6 | 56.5 | 36.2 | 27.4 | 63.6 | 88.7 | 87.9 | 771 |
| Hardap | 7.1 | 7.1 | 14.1 | 18.1 | 31.7 | 49.8 | 25.1 | 38.8 | 63.9 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 304 |
| //Karas | 5.3 | 3.5 | 8.8 | 25.5 | 34.0 | 59.5 | 30.8 | 37.4 | 68.3 | 87.2 | 86.1 | 343 |
| Kavango | 10.8 | 5.5 | 16.3 | 21.0 | 19.9 | 40.8 | 31.7 | 25.4 | 57.1 | 71.5 | 68.8 | 835 |
| Khomas | 6.2 | 2.7 | 8.9 | 34.7 | 21.5 | 56.3 | 40.9 | 24.2 | 65.1 | 86.4 | 85.5 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 11.2 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 52.2 | 37.4 | 33.2 | 70.5 | 74.0 | 73.8 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 12.4 | 3.8 | 16.1 | 22.5 | 16.9 | 39.4 | 34.9 | 20.7 | 55.5 | 70.9 | 70.7 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 9.5 | 6.7 | 16.3 | 19.4 | 36.0 | 55.4 | 28.9 | 42.8 | 71.7 | 77.3 | 77.0 | 225 |
| Omusati | 9.2 | 3.3 | 12.5 | 23.3 | 13.9 | 37.2 | 32.6 | 17.1 | 49.7 | 74.9 | 74.6 | 884 |
| Oshana | 5.9 | 1.9 | 7.8 | 38.1 | 19.8 | 57.9 | 44.0 | 21.7 | 65.7 | 88.1 | 87.5 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 8.4 | 5.3 | 13.7 | 26.7 | 22.6 | 49.3 | 35.2 | 27.9 | 63.0 | 78.3 | 78.3 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 7.4 | 4.9 | 12.3 | 22.1 | 29.5 | 51.6 | 29.5 | 34.4 | 63.9 | 80.8 | 80.0 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 14.1 | 10.1 | 24.2 | 12.7 | 21.0 | 33.7 | 26.8 | 31.1 | 57.9 | 58.2 | 56.5 | 419 |
| Primary | 9.2 | 6.0 | 15.2 | 16.5 | 26.3 | 42.8 | 25.7 | 32.3 | 58.0 | 73.8 | 73.1 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 7.3 | 3.1 | 10.4 | 31.0 | 21.3 | 52.3 | 38.3 | 24.4 | 62.7 | 83.4 | 82.8 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 5.9 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 40.0 | 18.0 | 58.0 | 45.9 | 19.4 | 65.4 | 88.8 | 87.1 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 12.0 | 5.8 | 17.8 | 20.1 | 19.5 | 39.6 | 32.1 | 25.3 | 57.4 | 69.0 | 67.7 | 1,429 |
| Second | 9.1 | 4.2 | 13.3 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 48.7 | 33.0 | 29.0 | 62.0 | 78.5 | 78.2 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 8.6 | 4.1 | 12.7 | 31.4 | 21.5 | 52.9 | 40.1 | 25.6 | 65.6 | 80.6 | 79.9 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 7.0 | 3.8 | 10.8 | 31.3 | 21.2 | 52.5 | 38.3 | 25.0 | 63.3 | 82.9 | 82.4 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 4.4 | 2.2 | 6.5 | 31.3 | 22.4 | 53.7 | 35.6 | 24.6 | 60.2 | 89.1 | 88.2 | 2,211 |
| Total | 7.8 | 3.9 | 11.7 | 28.3 | 21.9 | 50.2 | 36.1 | 25.8 | 61.9 | 81.1 | 80.4 | 9,176 |
| Currently married women | 9.1 | 8.4 | 17.5 | 23.5 | 32.6 | 56.1 | 32.6 | 41.0 | 73.6 | 76.2 | 75.0 | 3,121 |
| Sexually active unmarried women ${ }^{4}$ | 10.7 | 3.7 | 14.4 | 54.1 | 23.7 | 77.8 | 64.8 | 27.4 | 92.2 | 84.4 | 84.1 | 1,437 |

Note: Numbers in this table correspond to the revised definition of unmet need described in Bradley et al., 2012.
${ }^{1}$ Total demand is the sum of unmet need and met need.
${ }^{2}$ Percentage of demand satisfied is met need divided by total demand.
${ }^{3}$ Modern methods include female sterilisation, male sterilisation, pill, IUD, injectables, implants, male condom, female condom, and lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM).
${ }^{4}$ Women who had sexual intercourse within 30 days preceding the survey

[^6]
### 7.10 Future Use of Contraception

An important indicator of the changing demand for family planning is the extent to which nonusers plan to use contraceptive methods in the future, as this is a forecast of potential demand for services.

Women age 15-49 who were not using contraceptives at the time of the survey were asked about their intention to use family planning in the future. Table 7.9 shows that 64 percent of nonusers indicated that they intend to use family planning methods in the future, while 28 percent said that they do not intend to use a method in the future. The proportion of women who intend to use a method is highest among those with one child and lowest among those with four or more children.

| Table 7.9 Future use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all women age 15-49 who are not using a contraceptive method by intention to use in the future, according to number of living children, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Num | of living | dren ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| Intention | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ | Total |
| Intends to use | 63.9 | 70.3 | 67.7 | 60.7 | 54.7 | 64.0 |
| Unsure | 11.1 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 6.6 |
| Does not intend to use | 24.3 | 24.3 | 26.0 | 32.0 | 39.7 | 27.7 |
| Missing | 0.7 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,814 | 893 | 706 | 430 | 729 | 4,572 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.11 Exposure to Family Planning Messages in the Media

Radio, television, and newspapers and/or magazines are the major media sources of information about family planning in Namibia. Information on the level of public exposure to a particular type of media allows policymakers to ensure the use of the most effective media for various target groups. To assess the effectiveness of such media in disseminating family planning information, women and men in the 2013 NDHS were asked whether they had heard messages about family planning on the radio or seen them on television or in newspapers/magazines during the last few months preceding the survey (Table 7.10).

Overall, 39 percent of women reported that they had recently heard a family planning message on the radio, 31 percent had seen a message in newspapers or magazines, and 28 percent saw messages on television. Nearly one in two women (49 percent) had no exposure to any of the three media. Nonexposure to any of the three media sources was highest among women age 15-19, women living in rural areas and in Ohangwena, women with no education, and those in the lowest wealth quintile.

In general, the pattern of exposure to family planning messages among men was similar to that among women. However, men in Hardap were most likely to have had no exposure to media messages on family planning.

Table 7.10 Exposure to family planning messages
Percentage of women and men age 15-49 who heard or saw a family planning message on radio, on television, or in a newspaper or magazine in the past few months, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Radio | Television | Newspaper/ magazine | None of these three media sources | Number of women | Radio | Television | Newspaper/ magazine | None of these three media sources | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 29.4 | 22.7 | 25.7 | 56.5 | 1,906 | 26.6 | 20.3 | 24.0 | 58.3 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 42.0 | 32.4 | 37.0 | 43.2 | 1,786 | 36.9 | 22.9 | 31.7 | 46.1 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 39.2 | 28.6 | 32.1 | 48.3 | 1,489 | 42.4 | 28.7 | 32.2 | 46.3 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 42.3 | 27.6 | 30.8 | 46.7 | 1,260 | 42.5 | 28.8 | 36.0 | 43.8 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 40.2 | 25.8 | 29.4 | 49.6 | 1,110 | 45.2 | 27.1 | 34.8 | 43.8 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 42.1 | 26.1 | 30.7 | 47.1 | 917 | 44.5 | 30.4 | 31.6 | 47.2 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 44.3 | 30.0 | 29.6 | 47.0 | 708 | 50.3 | 29.3 | 35.0 | 42.2 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 45.6 | 40.4 | 43.0 | 36.8 | 5,190 | 43.2 | 37.2 | 40.5 | 40.0 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 30.3 | 10.7 | 15.1 | 64.3 | 3,986 | 32.9 | 10.5 | 18.8 | 59.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 38.0 | 18.5 | 17.3 | 55.9 | 457 | 40.3 | 21.9 | 24.3 | 52.3 | 218 |
| Erongo | 46.1 | 43.7 | 43.3 | 36.3 | 771 | 55.0 | 48.0 | 50.7 | 31.0 | 372 |
| Hardap | 48.6 | 44.8 | 37.9 | 36.2 | 304 | 21.9 | 14.0 | 8.4 | 71.2 | 152 |
| //Karas | 45.7 | 40.1 | 42.5 | 35.7 | 343 | 41.5 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 40.5 | 151 |
| Kavango | 43.2 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 52.5 | 835 | 33.7 | 15.9 | 19.1 | 59.5 | 316 |
| Khomas | 43.7 | 42.1 | 47.0 | 35.0 | 2,202 | 42.2 | 38.1 | 40.6 | 41.1 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 24.2 | 22.0 | 17.5 | 66.6 | 258 | 40.3 | 23.1 | 22.0 | 56.0 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 22.3 | 7.3 | 11.3 | 74.1 | 894 | 30.7 | 10.0 | 21.2 | 58.2 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 48.2 | 22.0 | 25.2 | 43.7 | 225 | 37.9 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 59.7 | 103 |
| Omusati | 23.0 | 7.4 | 14.6 | 72.4 | 884 | 24.5 | 7.2 | 17.2 | 64.7 | 342 |
| Oshana | 36.9 | 18.2 | 28.5 | 53.3 | 755 | 35.9 | 18.0 | 35.3 | 44.0 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 36.7 | 16.2 | 25.3 | 51.8 | 707 | 37.4 | 13.6 | 23.8 | 49.9 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 56.6 | 49.6 | 46.1 | 28.6 | 540 | 49.7 | 38.2 | 44.1 | 35.2 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 24.5 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 73.9 | 419 | 26.3 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 71.8 | 310 |
| Primary | 29.2 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 66.3 | 1,798 | 32.0 | 11.3 | 13.7 | 62.1 | 944 |
| Secondary | 42.2 | 30.5 | 34.9 | 44.9 | 6,029 | 41.9 | 29.6 | 37.1 | 42.8 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 43.3 | 49.9 | 59.8 | 28.2 | 930 | 45.9 | 50.8 | 57.8 | 28.1 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 24.3 | 2.5 | 7.4 | 73.2 | 1,429 | 30.2 | 7.3 | 15.3 | 64.1 | 594 |
| Second | 30.5 | 7.1 | 14.8 | 65.5 | 1,625 | 32.8 | 10.4 | 18.3 | 59.4 | 769 |
| Middle | 40.1 | 18.7 | 25.0 | 51.9 | 1,795 | 38.0 | 14.4 | 24.1 | 52.5 | 886 |
| Fourth | 48.9 | 43.1 | 40.8 | 35.9 | 2,116 | 45.3 | 38.9 | 40.4 | 38.4 | 917 |
| Highest | 44.2 | 50.8 | 53.1 | 30.4 | 2,211 | 43.7 | 49.5 | 51.0 | 33.3 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 39.0 | 27.5 | 30.9 | 48.7 | 9,176 | 38.7 | 25.6 | 31.1 | 48.2 | 4,021 |

### 7.12 Contact of Nonusers with Family Planning Providers

In the 2013 NDHS, women who were not using any contraceptive method were asked whether a fieldworker had talked with them about family planning in the 12 months preceding the survey. This information is especially useful for determining whether family planning outreach programmes reach nonusers. Nonusers were also asked if they had visited a health facility in the preceding 12 months for any reason and, if so, whether any staff member at the facility had spoken to them about family planning. These questions help to assess the extent of missed opportunities to inform women about contraception.

The results shown in Table 7.11 indicate that 7 percent of nonusers reported discussing family planning when a fieldworker visited them. Eleven percent of nonusers visited a health facility and discussed family planning, while 37 percent visited a facility but did not discuss family planning.

Table 7.11 Contact of nonusers with family planning providers
Among women age 15-49 who are not using contraception, the percentage who during the past 12 months were visited by a fieldworker who discussed family planning, the percentage who visited a health facility and discussed family planning, the percentage who visited a health facility but did not discuss family planning, and the percentage who did not discuss family planning either with a fieldworker or at a health facility, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of women who were visited by fieldworker who discussed family planning | Percentage of women who visited a health facility in the past 12 months and who: |  | Percentage of women who did not discuss family planning either with fieldworker or at a health facility | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Discussed family planning | Did not discuss family planning |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 29.6 | 91.7 | 1,439 |
| 20-24 | 7.3 | 16.1 | 35.9 | 80.5 | 779 |
| 25-29 | 9.1 | 17.9 | 37.6 | 77.8 | 555 |
| 30-34 | 7.2 | 14.9 | 46.8 | 81.7 | 515 |
| 35-39 | 6.1 | 12.5 | 43.0 | 85.1 | 489 |
| 40-44 | 6.9 | 14.2 | 38.7 | 82.5 | 413 |
| 45-49 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 42.7 | 86.9 | 381 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.1 | 11.0 | 37.1 | 85.4 | 2,308 |
| Rural | 6.9 | 11.4 | 36.8 | 84.7 | 2,264 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 8.2 | 10.2 | 49.7 | 84.2 | 229 |
| Erongo | 5.4 | 15.5 | 36.9 | 81.3 | 336 |
| Hardap | 4.5 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 89.7 | 153 |
| //Karas | 4.2 | 10.8 | 34.1 | 86.0 | 139 |
| Kavango | 9.5 | 17.3 | 35.0 | 77.9 | 494 |
| Khomas | 5.3 | 9.2 | 38.1 | 87.9 | 963 |
| Kunene | 8.8 | 8.7 | 18.0 | 86.3 | 123 |
| Ohangwena | 8.8 | 9.2 | 37.1 | 85.5 | 542 |
| Omaheke | 10.8 | 20.0 | 17.3 | 74.2 | 100 |
| Omusati | 1.3 | 8.7 | 45.5 | 90.9 | 555 |
| Oshana | 8.5 | 13.2 | 43.1 | 81.8 | 318 |
| Oshikoto | 7.0 | 11.8 | 41.0 | 84.5 | 358 |
| Otjozondjupa | 7.7 | 9.0 | 24.9 | 85.2 | 261 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.8 | 8.7 | 32.7 | 87.5 | 278 |
| Primary | 6.1 | 8.9 | 34.6 | 87.3 | 1,028 |
| Secondary | 6.8 | 12.5 | 37.2 | 83.7 | 2,876 |
| More than secondary | 5.2 | 9.5 | 44.1 | 87.1 | 390 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 8.3 | 11.6 | 34.8 | 83.5 | 863 |
| Second | 5.2 | 10.9 | 39.5 | 86.6 | 834 |
| Middle | 8.5 | 14.3 | 37.9 | 81.2 | 845 |
| Fourth | 5.8 | 11.8 | 35.4 | 85.2 | 1,006 |
| Highest | 5.1 | 8.0 | 37.4 | 88.0 | 1,024 |
| Total | 6.5 | 11.2 | 36.9 | 85.0 | 4,572 |

Overall, 85 percent of nonusers did not discuss family planning with a fieldworker or a staff member at a health facility. This indicates a missed opportunity for potential users of family planning who could be targeted for family planning information and counselling. Outreach services provided by health extension workers could be practical in reaching these women. Variations in the percentages of nonusers who did not discuss family planning either with a fieldworker or at a health facility were relatively small across the different background characteristics.

## Key Findings

- Infant and under-5 mortality rates in the past five years are 39 and 54 deaths per 1,000 live births, respectively. At these mortality levels, one in every 26 Namibian children die before reaching age 1, and one in every 19 do not survive to their fifth birthday.
- Data from the 2013 NDHS show that infant mortality has declined by 19 percent over the last 15 years, while under- 5 mortality has declined by 18 percent over the same period. A comparison of childhood mortality rates across all NDHS surveys shows a decline between 1992 and 2013. However, this decline is more pronounced between 1992 and 2000. Since then there have not been any substantial declines.
- The neonatal mortality rate in the past five years is 20 deaths per 1,000 live births, similar to the postneonatal mortality rate (19). The perinatal mortality rate is 24 per 1,000 pregnancies.
- Infant mortality is more than twice as high in the lowest wealth quintile (51 per 1,000 live births) as in the highest wealth quintile ( 22 per 1,000 live births). A similar picture emerges for all other mortality rates.

Neonatal, infant, and child mortality are important indicators of a country's socioeconomic development and quality of life, as well as health status. Measures of childhood mortality also contribute to a better understanding of the progress of population and health programmes and policies and are useful for population projections. Disaggregation of mortality measures by socioeconomic and demographic characteristics helps to identify differentials in population subgroups and target high-risk groups for effective programmes. This chapter describes levels of and trends and differentials in early childhood mortality and high-risk fertility behaviour in Namibia.

### 8.1 Background and Assessment of Data Quality

Childhood mortality rates presented in this chapter are defined as follows:

> Neonatal mortality (NN): Postneonatal mortality (PNN): Infant mortality ( $\mathbf{1 q}_{0}$ ): Child mortality ( $4 \mathrm{q}_{1}$ ): Under-5 mortality ( $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{q}_{0}$ ):
the probability of dying within the first month of life the arithmetic difference between infant and neonatal mortality the probability of dying between birth and the first birthday the probability of dying between the first and the fifth birthday the probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday

All rates are expressed as deaths per 1,000 live births, except in the case of child mortality, which is expressed as deaths per 1,000 children surviving to their first birthday.

Information on childhood mortality was obtained from the birth history section of the Woman's Questionnaire. Respondents were first asked a series of questions about their childbearing experience. In particular, they were asked to report the number of sons and daughters living with them, the number living elsewhere, and the number who have died. For each live birth reported in the birth history, information was collected on sex, month and year of birth, survivorship status, and current age or, if the child has died, age at death.

The accuracy of mortality estimates depends on the sampling variability of the estimates and on nonsampling errors. Sampling variability and sampling errors are discussed in detail in Appendix B. Nonsampling errors depend on the extent to which date of birth and age at death are accurately reported and recorded and the completeness with which child deaths are reported. Omission of births and deaths affects mortality estimates, displacement of birth and death dates impacts mortality trends, and misreporting of age at death may distort the age pattern of mortality. Typically, the most serious source of nonsampling errors in a survey that collects retrospective information on births and deaths is the underreporting of births and deaths of children who were dead at the time of the survey. The possible occurrence of these data problems in the 2013 NDHS is discussed with reference to the data quality tables in Appendix C. Underreporting of births and deaths is generally more severe the further back in time an event occurred.

An unusual pattern in the distribution of births by calendar years is an indication of omission of children or age displacement. In the 2013 NDHS, the cutoff for asking health questions was January 2008. Table C. 4 shows that the overall percentage of births for which a month and year of birth were reported is almost 100 percent for both children who have died and children who are alive.

Table C. 4 also shows some age displacement across this boundary for both living and dead children. The distribution of living children and the total number of children show a deficit in 2008 in relation to 2007 and 2009, as denoted by the calendar year ratios. However, this transference is proportionately higher for living children than dead children. The excess in 2007 could have resulted from interviewers knowingly recording a birth as occurring after the cutoff year to cut down on their overall workload, because live births occurring during the five years preceding the interview were the subject of a lengthy set of additional questions. The transference of children, especially deceased children, out of the five-year period preceding the survey is likely to underestimate the true level of childhood mortality for that period, but this does not appear to be the case in Namibia, where the transference is much higher for living than deceased children.

Underreporting of deaths is usually assumed to be higher for deaths that occur very early in infancy. Omission of deaths or misclassification of deaths as stillbirths may also be more common among women who have had several children or in cases where a death took place in the distant past. Two indicators are used to assess the impact of omission on measures of child mortality: the percentage of deaths that occurred in the first seven days to the number that occurred in the first month; and, the percentage of neonatal deaths to infant deaths. It is hypothesised that omission will be more prevalent among those who died immediately after birth than among those who lived longer and that it will be more serious for events that took place in the distant past than for those that occurred in the more recent past. Table C. 5 shows data on age at death for early infant deaths. Selective underreporting of early neonatal deaths would result in an abnormally low ratio of deaths within the first seven days of life to all neonatal deaths. Early infant deaths were not severely underreported in the 2013 NDHS, as suggested by the high ratio of deaths in the first seven days of life to all neonatal deaths ( 82 percent in the five years preceding the survey).

Heaping of age at death on certain digits is another problem that is inherent in most retrospective surveys. Misreporting of age at death biases age pattern estimates of mortality if the net result is transference of deaths between age segments for which the rates are calculated; for example, child mortality may be overestimated relative to infant mortality if children who died in the first year of life are reported as having died at age 1 or older. In an effort to minimise misreporting of age at death, interviewers were instructed to record deaths under one month in days and deaths under two years in months. In addition, they were trained to probe deaths reported at exactly one year or 12 months to ensure that they had actually occurred at 12 months. The distribution of deaths under two years during the 20 years prior to the survey by month of death shows that there is heaping at age 12 months, with corresponding deficits in adjacent months (Table C.6). This is likely to underestimate infant mortality and overestimate child mortality.

### 8.2 Infant and Child Mortality Levels and Trends

Table 8.1 presents neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-5 mortality rates for three fiveyear periods preceding the 2013 NDHS. Neonatal mortality in the most recent period is 20 deaths per 1,000 live births. This rate is similar to the postneonatal rate ( 19 deaths per 1,000 live births) during the same period. The infant mortality rate in the five years preceding the survey is 39 deaths per 1,000 live births, and the under-5 mortality rate is 54 deaths per 1,000 live births. This means that one in every 26 Namibian children die before reaching age 1, while one in every 19 do not survive to their fifth birthday. Neonatal mortality represents 51 percent of infant mortality. Thus, half of the deaths taking place before the first birthday occur during the first month of life.

| Table 8.1 Early childhood mortality rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-5 mortality rates for five-year periods preceding the survey, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years preceding the survey | Approximate calendar years | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) ${ }^{1}$ | Infant mortality ( $1 q_{0}$ ) | Child mortality (4 $q_{1}$ ) | Under-5 mortality ( $5 q_{0}$ ) |
| 0-4 | 2008-2012 | 20 | 19 | 39 | 16 | 54 |
| 5-9 | 2003-2007 | 17 | 25 | 42 | 23 | 64 |
| 10-14 | 1998-2002 | 25 | 23 | 48 | 18 | 66 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Computed as the difference between the infant and neonatal mortality rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mortality trends can be examined in two ways: by comparing mortality rates for three five-year periods preceding a single survey and by comparing mortality estimates obtained from various DHS surveys. However, comparisons between surveys should be interpreted with caution because of variations in quality of data, time references, and sample coverage. In particular, sampling errors associated with mortality estimates are large and should be taken into account when examining trends between surveys.

Data from the 2013 NDHS show that neonatal mortality declined by 20 percent over the 15 -year period preceding the survey, from 25 to 20 deaths per 1,000 live births. The corresponding declines in postneonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality over the 15 -year period were 17 percent, 19 percent, and 18 percent.

Mortality trends can also be observed by comparing data from the 2013 NDHS with data from the 1992, 2000, and 2006-07 NDHS surveys (Figure 8.1). Infant and under-5 mortality rates in the five years preceding the four surveys confirm a declining trend in mortality. Infant mortality has declined by 32 percent over the last 25 years, from 57 deaths per 1,000 live births in 1987-1991 to 39 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2008-2012. Under-5 mortality declined by 35 percent over the same period, from 83 deaths per 1,000 live births to 54 deaths per 1,000 live births. The data also show 38 percent and 24 percent declines in neonatal and postneonatal mortality, respectively.

However, the data show that there has not been a significant decline in neonatal and infant mortality since 2000. Neonatal mortality remained at 20 deaths per 1,000 live births during 1995-1999 and 2008-2012. Similarly, infant mortality was 38 deaths per 1,000 live births in 1995-1999 and 39 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2008-2012. On the other hand, under-5 mortality declined from 62 to 54 deaths per 1,000 live births during the same time period.

Figure 8.1 Trends in childhood mortality, 1987-2012
Percent
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### 8.3 Socioeconomic Differentials in Early Childhood Mortality

Table 8.2 shows differentials in infant and child mortality by residence, region, mother's education, and wealth quintile. Mortality estimates are calculated for the 10-year period before the survey so that the rates are based on a sufficient number of cases in each category to ensure statistically reliable estimates.

| Table 8.2 Early childhood mortality rates by socioeconomic characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-5 mortality rates for the 10-year period preceding the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) ${ }^{1}$ | Infant mortality ( $1 q_{0}$ ) | Child mortality (4 $q_{1}$ ) | Under-5 mortality ( $5 \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16 | 19 | 35 | 20 | 54 |
| Rural | 22 | 25 | 46 | 18 | 64 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 23 | 30 | 53 | (21) | (73) |
| Erongo | 19 | 18 | 37 | 16 | 53 |
| Hardap | 11 | 18 | 29 | (9) | (38) |
| //Karas | 17 | 19 | 36 | 9 | 44 |
| Kavango | 27 | 35 | 62 | 38 | 97 |
| Khomas | 12 | 15 | 27 | 15 | 41 |
| Kunene | 25 | 14 | 39 | 6 | 45 |
| Ohangwena | 22 | 31 | 53 | 28 | 79 |
| Omaheke | 30 | 12 | 41 | 5 | 46 |
| Omusati | 11 | 20 | 30 | 15 | 45 |
| Oshana | 13 | (24) | (37) | (10) | (46) |
| Oshikoto | 27 | 20 | 47 | 22 | 68 |
| Otjozondjupa | 15 | 14 | 30 | 22 | 51 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 26 | 30 | 56 | 22 | 76 |
| Primary | 20 | 29 | 50 | 23 | 71 |
| Secondary | 18 | 20 | 38 | 17 | 55 |
| More than secondary | (10) | (0) | (10) | (14) | (24) |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 23 | 28 | 51 | 17 | 67 |
| Second | 19 | 26 | 45 | 24 | 68 |
| Middle | 19 | 23 | 41 | 25 | 66 |
| Fourth | 19 | 19 | 37 | 19 | 56 |
| Highest | 11 | 11 | 22 | 8 | 31 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 250 to 499 children exposed to the risk of mortality.
${ }^{1}$ Computed as the difference between the infant and neonatal mortality rates

Infant and under-5 mortality are higher in rural areas than in urban areas. For example, infant mortality in rural areas is 46 deaths per 1,000 live births, as compared with 35 deaths per 1,000 live births in urban areas. Rural-urban differences are also noticeable in the case of neonatal and postneonatal mortality rates. However, child mortality is slightly lower in rural areas than in urban areas.

There are wide differentials in infant and under-5 mortality by region. For example, under-5 mortality ranges from 41 deaths per 1,000 live births in Khomas to 97 deaths per 1,000 live births in Kavango.

Mother's education and household wealth also directly affect the survival of young children. For example, under- 5 mortality decreases from 76 deaths per 1,000 live births among children of mothers with no education to 55 deaths among children of mothers with a secondary education.

Similarly, under-5 mortality is 67 deaths per 1,000 live births among children in the poorest households, as compared with 31 deaths per 1,000 live births among children in the wealthiest households. Thus, under-5 mortality is more than twice as high in the lowest wealth quintile as in the highest quintile. A similar pattern is observed for all other mortality rates. These findings point to the potential for mortality reduction that could result from successful efforts to target the most vulnerable populations, such as the poorly educated and socioeconomically disadvantaged groups of women.

### 8.4 Demographic Differentials in Early Childhood Mortality

The relationship between early childhood mortality and various demographic variables is examined in Table 8.3. With the exception of postneonatal mortality, childhood mortality is higher for male than female children. The largest difference is in the under-5 mortality rate ( 54 deaths per 1,000 live births among girls and 64 deaths per 1,000 live births among boys).

Table 8.3 Early childhood mortality rates by demographic characteristics
Neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-5 mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by demographic characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Demographic characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) ${ }^{1}$ | Infant mortality ( $1 q_{0}$ ) | Child mortality (4 $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ ) | Under-5 mortality (590) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 23 | 21 | 44 | 21 | 64 |
| Female | 14 | 23 | 37 | 18 | 54 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 19 | 23 | 42 | 15 | 56 |
| 20-29 | 18 | 20 | 38 | 20 | 58 |
| 30-39 | 19 | 26 | 45 | 17 | 62 |
| 40-49 | (20) | (13) | (33) | * | * |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 19 | 18 | 37 | 18 | 55 |
| 2-3 | 18 | 22 | 41 | 17 | 57 |
| 4-6 | 17 | 25 | 42 | 24 | 65 |
| 7+ | (26) | (34) | (59) | (23) | (81) |
| Previous birth interval ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 years | 35 | 38 | 73 | 24 | 96 |
| 2 years | 18 | 23 | 41 | 16 | 56 |
| 3 years | 18 | 15 | 33 | 10 | 43 |
| 4+ years | 14 | 22 | 36 | 24 | 59 |
| Birth size ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/very small | 43 | 30 | 73 | * | * |
| Average or larger | 11 | 16 | 28 | na | na |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 250 to 499 unweighted children exposed to the risk of mortality. An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 250 unweighted children and has been suppressed.
na = Not available
${ }^{1}$ Computed as the difference between the infant and neonatal mortality rates
${ }^{2}$ Excludes first-order births
${ }^{3}$ Rates for the five-year period before the survey

The relationship between maternal age at birth and neonatal, postneonatal, and infant mortality is U-shaped, with rates being relatively higher among children born to mothers under age 20 and over age 30 than among children born to mothers in the 20-29 age group. However, under-5 mortality increases with mother's age at birth. There is an inverted U-shaped relationship between child mortality and mother's age. With the exception of neonatal mortality, childhood mortality generally increases with increasing birth order. For example, under- 5 mortality rises from 55 deaths per 1,000 live births among first births to 65 deaths among births of order four to six.

Studies have shown that a longer birth interval seems to increase a child's chance of survival. Data from the 2013 NDHS support this observation. For example, under-5 mortality decreases from 96 deaths per 1,000 live births among children born less than two years after a preceding sibling to 43-59 deaths per 1,000 live births among children born two years or longer after a preceding sibling. Child, infant, postneonatal, and neonatal mortality rates also generally decline as the interval between births increases. These findings point to the potential for mortality reduction that could result from successful efforts to promote birth spacing in Namibia.

A child's size at birth is an indicator of the risk of dying during infancy, particularly during the first month of life. In the 2013 NDHS, in addition to recording the actual birth weight, interviewers asked mothers whether their children born in the last five years were very small, small, average in size, large, or very large at birth. This type of subjective assessment has been shown to correlate closely with actual birth weight. Survey results indicate that newborns perceived by their mothers to be very small or small were more likely to die in their first year than those perceived as average or larger in size; the differential was especially great with respect to infant mortality.

### 8.5 Perinatal Mortality

Pregnancy losses occurring after seven completed months of gestation (stillbirths) and deaths of live births within the first seven days of life (early neonatal deaths) are defined as perinatal deaths. The distinction between a stillbirth and an early neonatal death is recognised as a fine one, often depending on observing and then remembering sometimes faint signs of life after delivery. Furthermore, the causes of stillbirths and early neonatal deaths are closely linked, and examining just one or the other can understate the true level of mortality around the time of delivery. For this reason, deaths around the time of delivery are combined to provide the perinatal mortality rate. Information on stillbirths is available for the five years preceding the survey and was collected using the calendar section of the Woman's Questionnaire.

Table 8.4 indicates that the perinatal mortality rate for the country as a whole is 24 deaths per 1,000 pregnancies of seven or more months in duration. Differentials in perinatal mortality across selected maternal background characteristics vary widely. For example, perinatal mortality is particularly high in Zambezi and Kunene (34 deaths and 32 deaths per 1,000 pregnancies, respectively) compared with 11 deaths and 12 deaths per 1,000 pregnancies in Omusati and Otjozondjupa, respectively. Perinatal mortality is higher among mothers age 30-39 than among mothers in the other age groups and slightly higher in urban than rural areas. In addition, it is highest for pregnancies with a previous pregnancy interval of 27 to 38 months. There is no consistent relationship between perinatal mortality and mother's education or wealth quintile.

| Table 8.4 Perinatal mortality |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of stillbirths and early neonatal deaths, and the perinatal mortality rate for the five-year period preceding the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Number of stillbirths ${ }^{1}$ | Number of early neonatal deaths ${ }^{2}$ | Perinatal mortality rate ${ }^{3}$ | Number of pregnancies of 7+ months' duration |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 3 | 12 | 20 | 768 |
| 20-29 | 11 | 38 | 20 | 2,458 |
| 30-39 | 23 | 25 | 33 | 1,418 |
| 40-49 | 2 | 3 | 25 | 198 |
| Previous pregnancy interval in months ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| First pregnancy | 11 | 22 | 20 | 1,614 |
| <15 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 425 |
| 15-26 | 5 | 11 | 25 | 659 |
| 27-38 | 5 | 11 | 32 | 509 |
| 39+ | 19 | 25 | 26 | 1,635 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 21 | 37 | 25 | 2,367 |
| Rural | 18 | 39 | 23 | 2,476 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 3 | 7 | 34 | 299 |
| Erongo | 1 | 6 | 21 | 336 |
| Hardap | 1 | 2 | 18 | 174 |
| //Karas | 1 | 3 | 19 | 166 |
| Kavango | 5 | 12 | 30 | 583 |
| Khomas | 12 | 13 | 28 | 899 |
| Kunene | 2 | 4 | 32 | 181 |
| Ohangwena | 3 | 8 | 19 | 602 |
| Omaheke | 1 | 3 | 27 | 151 |
| Omusati | 2 | 3 | 11 | 456 |
| Oshana | 5 | 4 | 30 | 315 |
| Oshikoto | 3 | 8 | 29 | 375 |
| Otjozondjupa | 0 | 4 | 12 | 308 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1 | 6 | 23 | 299 |
| Primary | 9 | 20 | 25 | 1,134 |
| Secondary | 27 | 46 | 23 | 3,100 |
| More than secondary | 3 | 5 | 26 | 310 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 10 | 16 | 24 | 1,057 |
| Second | 5 | 18 | 21 | 1,058 |
| Middle | 11 | 17 | 28 | 1,008 |
| Fourth | 6 | 19 | 26 | 1,006 |
| Highest | 7 | 7 | 20 | 714 |
| Total | 39 | 77 | 24 | 4,843 |

${ }^{1}$ Stillbirths are foetal deaths in pregnancies lasting 7 or more months.
${ }^{2}$ Early neonatal deaths are deaths at age 0-6 days among live-born children.
${ }^{3}$ The sum of the number of stillbirths and early neonatal deaths divided by the number of pregnancies of 7 or more months' duration, expressed per 1,000 ${ }^{4}$ Categories correspond to birth intervals of <24 months, 24-35 months, 36-47 months, and 48+ months.

### 8.6 High-Risk Fertility Behaviour

Typically, infants and young children have a higher risk of dying if they are born to very young mothers or older mothers, if they are born after a short birth interval, or if their mothers have already had many children. In this analysis, mothers are classified as at risk if they are younger than age 18 or older than age 34 at the time of childbirth. A short birth interval is defined as less than 24 months, and a highorder birth is defined as occurring after three or more previous births (i.e., birth order three or higher). A child may be at an elevated risk of dying due to a combination of factors.

The first column of Table 8.5 shows the percentage of births in the five years before the survey classified by various risk categories. Overall, 40 percent of births involved at least one avoidable risk factor, with about 27 percent involving a single risk factor and about 14 percent involving multiple risk factors.

Table 8.5 High-risk fertility behaviour
Percent distribution of children born in the five years preceding the survey by category of elevated risk of mortality and the risk ratio, and percent distribution of currently married women by category of risk if they were to conceive a child at the time of the survey, Namibia 2013
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline & \text { Births in the } 5 \text { years preceding the } \\ \text { survey }\end{array}\right)$

Note: Risk ratio is the ratio of the proportion dead among births in a specific high-risk category to the proportion dead among births not in any high-risk category. An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
na = Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ Women are assigned to risk categories according to the status they would have at the birth of a child if they
were to conceive at the time of the survey: current age less than 17 years and 3 months or older than 34 years
and 2 months, latest birth less than 15 months ago, or latest birth being of order 3 or higher.
${ }^{2}$ Includes the category age <18 and birth order >3
a Includes sterilised women

The second column in Table 8.5 presents risk ratios, which represent the increased risk of mortality among births in various high-risk categories relative to births not having any high-risk characteristics. Young mothers whose age at birth is less than 18 (risk ratio of 1.26) and birth order higher than three (risk ratio of 1.08) are the single factors most associated with an increased risk of under-5 mortality in Namibia. Overall, the risk ratio for births involving a single risk factor was 0.97 . Multiple risk factor births were generally associated with higher risk ratios than single risk factor births, with an overall risk ratio of 1.24. The most vulnerable births are those to women older than age 34 with a birth interval of less than 24 months and a birth order higher than three. This group of children is more than twice as likely to die as children not in any high-risk category. However, only 1 percent of births fall in this category.

The third column of Table 8.5 shows the distribution of currently married women by the risk category into which a birth conceived at the time of the survey would fall. The data show that 34 percent of women are not in any high-risk category, and only 5 percent are at risk of having their first birth between age 18 and age 34 , which is considered to be an unavoidable risk. Sixty-one percent of currently married women have at least one avoidable risk factor, with 25 percent having a single risk factor and 36 percent having multiple risk factors.

## Key Findings

- Direct estimates of mortality show that the level of adult mortality is higher among men than among women ( 7.3 deaths and 5.2 deaths per 1,000 population, respectively).
- Nineteen percent of women and 27 percent of men are likely to die between exact ages 15 and 50. Comparisons with previous NDHS surveys do not show a consistent trend in these probabilities over time.
- Maternal deaths account for 9 percent of all deaths among women age 15-49. The maternal mortality rate for the 10-year period preceding the survey was 0.44 maternal deaths per 1,000 woman-years of exposure.
- The maternal mortality ratio was 385 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births during the 10 years preceding the survey. The 2013 estimate of the MMR is lower than the MMR in the 2006-07 NDHS (449) but higher than in 2000 and 1992 (271 and 249, respectively). However, this difference between the 2013 NDHS and the three previous surveys is not statistically significant.

Following the launch of the Safe Motherhood Initiative in 1987, attention to reproductive health has increased worldwide, as has the need for reliable countrywide estimates of maternal deaths. The estimate of maternal mortality that is most commonly used in developing countries (pregnancyrelated mortality) is based only on the timing of death relative to pregnancy. Pregnancy-related deaths are any deaths among women during pregnancy or within two months following the termination of a pregnancy, including deaths from accidental or incidental causes. Discussions of pregnancy-related deaths generally include four measures. The pregnancy-related mortality ratio, which is the most common measure, is defined as the number of pregnancy-related deaths during a given time period per 100,000 live births during the same time period. The pregnancy-related mortality rate refers to the number of pregnancy-related deaths in a given time period per 1,000 woman-years of exposure during the same period. The probability of dying from a pregnancy-related cause during a woman's reproductive life is the adult lifetime risk of pregnancy-related death. The final measure is the proportion of all deaths among women that are pregnancy related (proportion of pregnancy-related deaths).

The Maternal Mortality Estimation Inter-agency Group (WHO et al., 2014) estimated that, from 1990 to 2013, the global maternal mortality ratio declined by 45 percent, from 380 deaths to 210 deaths per 100,000 live births. This translates to an average annual rate of reduction of 2.6 percent. While impressive, this is less than half of the 5.5 percent rate needed to achieve the three-quarters reduction in maternal mortality targeted for 2015 in Millennium Development Goal 5. The number of women and girls who died each year from complications of pregnancy and childbirth declined from 523,000 in 1990 to 289,000 in 2013. Almost all of these deaths (99 percent) occur in developing countries. The risks of dying during pregnancy and childbirth are increased by women's lack of empowerment, education, and access to economic resources, as well as poor nutrition and a heavy physical workload during pregnancy. Most maternal deaths could be prevented by ensuring good-quality maternal health services, such as antenatal and postnatal care, and skilled assistance during childbirth, including emergency obstetric care. Prevention of unwanted pregnancies and provision of safe abortion services, as allowed by law, could reduce maternal deaths and injuries caused by unsafe abortions. High-quality family planning services, counselling, and information could further reduce maternal deaths and injuries.

This chapter includes results based on sibling history data collected in the sibling survival module (commonly referred to as the maternal mortality module) of the 2013 NDHS Woman's Questionnaire. In addition to adult mortality rates for five-year age groups, a summary measure ( $35 \mathrm{q}_{15}$ ) is included that represents the probability of dying between exact ages 15 and 50. Also, data collected in the 1992, 2000, 2006-07, and 2013 NDHS surveys are used to examine trends in adult mortality probabilities.

The term maternal mortality used in this chapter (and in previous NDHS surveys) corresponds to the term pregnancy-related mortality as defined by WHO. In keeping with this definition, the sibling survival module used in the DHS surveys measures only the timing of deaths and not the cause. The data collected in the NDHS questionnaire are based on information about deaths during the two months following a birth.

### 9.1 Assessment of Data Quality

To obtain a sibling history, the 2013 NDHS first asked each female respondent to list all children born to her biological mother, starting with the firstborn. The respondent was then asked whether each of these siblings was still alive. For living siblings, the interviewer asked the current age of each sibling. For deceased siblings, the age at death and the number of years since death were recorded. When a respondent could not provide precise information on age at death or years since death, approximate but quantitative answers were accepted. For sisters who died at age 12 or older, three questions were asked to determine whether the death was maternity-related: "Was [NAME OF SISTER] pregnant when she died?" and, if the response was negative, "Did she die during childbirth?" and, if not, "Did she die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth?"

A brief discussion of data quality is warranted here. This discussion refers to tables in Appendix C. One measure of the quality of the data collected is the completeness of information on siblings. Table C. 8 in Appendix C shows that, in the 2013 NDHS, a total of 44,805 siblings were recorded in the sibling histories. The survival status was not reported for 40 siblings ( 0.1 percent). Among surviving siblings current age was not reported for 1,197 siblings ( 3 percent). For more than 87 percent of deceased siblings, both age at death (AD) and years since death (YSD) were reported. In 8 percent of cases, both AD and YSD were missing. Rather than excluding siblings with missing information from the analysis, the information on the birth order of siblings in conjunction with other information is used to impute the missing data. ${ }^{1}$ In addition, the 2013 NDHS data show that deaths among 11 percent of sisters could not be classified as maternal or non-maternal (data not shown separately).

Another crude measure of data quality is the mean number of siblings, or mean sibship size (Table C.9). Sibship size is expected to decline as fertility declines over time. The data show that there has been a general decline in sibship size from the oldest to the youngest age group in line with the long-term decline in fertility observed in Namibia. The sex ratio of the enumerated siblings (the ratio of brothers to sisters multiplied by 100) is 100.5 (Table C.9), which is higher than the sex ratio of 94 that was reported in the 2011 Population and Housing Census (NSA, 2012) but closer to the internationally accepted ratio of 103105.

[^7]
### 9.2 Estimates of Adult Mortality

Yet another way to assess the quality of data used to estimate maternal mortality is to evaluate the plausibility and stability of overall adult mortality estimates. If the estimated rates of overall adult mortality are implausible, rates based on a subset of deaths-maternal mortality in particular-are likely to have serious problems.

The direct estimation of adult mortality uses the reported ages at death and years since death of the respondents' brothers and sisters. Mortality rates are calculated by dividing the number of deaths in each age group of women and men by the total personyears of exposure to the risk of dying in that age group during a specified period prior to the survey. To have a sufficiently large number of adult deaths to generate a robust estimate, the rates are calculated for the 10 year period preceding the survey (roughly mid-2004 to mid-2013). Nevertheless, age-specific mortality rates

| Table 9.1 Adult mortality rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct estimates of female and male mortality rates for the 10 years preceding the survey, by five-year age groups, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
| Age | Deaths | Exposure years | Mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 52 | 28,264 | 1.84 |
| 20-24 | 82 | 30,457 | 2.70 |
| 25-29 | 143 | 28,315 | 5.04 |
| 30-34 | 197 | 23,790 | 8.30 |
| 35-39 | 144 | 17,203 | 8.39 |
| 40-44 | 84 | 11,350 | 7.37 |
| 45-49 | 54 | 7,182 | 7.46 |
| 15-49 | 756 | 146,562 | $5.19^{\text {a }}$ |
| MALE |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 50 | 27,279 | 1.84 |
| 20-24 | 96 | 29,577 | 3.26 |
| 25-29 | 171 | 28,095 | 6.09 |
| 30-34 | 212 | 23,906 | 8.87 |
| 35-39 | 212 | 17,334 | 12.25 |
| 40-44 | 152 | 10,735 | 14.12 |
| 45-49 | 98 | 6,241 | 15.73 |
| 15-49 | 992 | 143,166 | $7.33{ }^{\text {a }}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Expressed per 1,000 population
${ }^{1}$ age-adjusted rate obtained in this manner are subject to considerable sampling variation.

Table 9.1 shows age-specific mortality rates for women and men age 15-49 for the 10 years preceding the survey. Overall, the level of adult mortality is much higher among men ( 7.3 deaths per 1,000 population) than among women ( 5.2 deaths per 1,000 population). Age-specific mortality rates are higher for men than for women in most age groups, but none of the differences are statistically significant. In general, age-specific mortality rates show the expected increases with increasing age among both men and women. The confidence intervals for these rates can be found in Appendix Table B.18. Confidence intervals for many of the five-year mortality rates overlap.

Table 9.2 shows a summary measure of the risk of dying between exact ages 15 and 50 ( $3_{5} \mathrm{q}_{15}$ ). Based on the 2013 NDHS results, 19 percent of women and 27 percent of men are likely to die between age 15 and age 50. Ten-year ${ }_{35} \mathrm{q}_{15}$ estimates based on data from the 1992, 2000, and 2006-07 NDHS surveys show that men and women had a higher probability of dying between exact ages 15 and 50 in 2006-07 than in 2013, with the rates for the former survey year much

Table 9.2 Adult mortality probabilities
The probability of dying between the ages of 15 and 50 among women and men for the 10 years preceding the survey, Namibia 2013

|  | Female |  | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | $35 \mathrm{q}_{15}{ }^{1}$ |  | ${ }_{35 \mathrm{q}_{15}{ }^{1}}$ |
| 2013 NDHS | 186 |  | 267 |
| 2006-07 NDHS | 294 |  | 374 |
| 2000 NDHS | 155 |  | 238 |
| 1992 NDHS | 115 | 216 |  |

${ }^{1}$ The probability of dying between exact ages 15 and 50 expressed per 1,000 person-years of exposure higher than the latter. However, data from the 1992 and 2000 surveys show that the probabilities of dying for both men and women were lower than in 2013. In the two decades between the 1992 and 2013 NDHS surveys, the probability of dying between exact ages 15 and 50 increased among both women (from 12 percent to 19 percent) and men (from 22 percent to 27 percent). Confidence intervals for the ${ }_{35} \mathrm{q}_{15}$ estimates can be found in Appendix Table B.18.

### 9.3 Estimates of Maternal Mortality

It should be kept in mind that maternal mortality is difficult to measure because large sample sizes are required to calculate accurate estimates. The maternal mortality estimates presented here are subject to large sampling errors because cost and time considerations make it impossible to draw a sample large
enough to keep sampling errors reasonably small. Thus, caution should be exercised when interpreting maternal mortality data collected in any survey, and especially when comparing two or more previously conducted surveys. Definite conclusions should be based on the confidence intervals associated with maternal mortality data. Changes can be reported as significantly different only when confidence intervals do not overlap. When confidence intervals overlap, one cannot conclusively state that there has been any change in rates or ratios over the periods being compared.

Table 9.3 presents direct estimates of maternal mortality for the 10 -year period preceding the survey. The maternal mortality rate among women age $15-49$ is 0.44 maternal deaths per 1,000 womanyears of exposure, a rate 15 percent lower than that reported in the 2006-07 NDHS. However, the rate is 7 percent higher than that reported in 1992 and 16 percent higher than that reported in 2000. By five-year age groups, the maternal mortality rate is highest among women age 35-39 (0.83). The confidence intervals for maternal mortality rates can be found in Appendix Table B.18. In the 2013 NDHS, maternal deaths represent 9 percent of all deaths among women age 15-49, as compared with 6 percent in 2006-07, 10 percent in 2000, and 13 percent in 1992. The percentage of female deaths that are maternal varies by age, rising from 8 percent among women age 15-19 to a peak of 13 percent among women age 20-24 and then declining to 6 percent among women age 45-49. The sharp decline in the 25-29 and 30-34 age groups is anomalous to the pattern of a gradual decline at older ages.

| Table 9.3 Maternal mortality |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct estimates of maternal mortality rates for the 10 years preceding the survey, by five-year age groups, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Percentage of female deaths that are maternal | Maternal deaths | Exposure years | Maternal mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ |
| 15-19 | 8.4 | 4 | 28,264 | 0.15 |
| 20-24 | 12.7 | 10 | 30,457 | 0.34 |
| 25-29 | 6.8 | 10 | 28,315 | 0.34 |
| 30-34 | 8.8 | 17 | 23,790 | 0.73 |
| 35-39 | 9.9 | 14 | 17,203 | 0.83 |
| 40-44 | 6.7 | 6 | 11,350 | 0.50 |
| 45-49 | 6.2 | 3 | 7,182 | 0.46 |
| 15-49 | 8.6 | 65 | 146,562 | 0.44 |
| General fertility rate (GFR) ${ }^{2}$ |  | 115 |  |  |
| Lifetime risk of maternal death ${ }^{3}$ |  | $0.014^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Confidenc | Intervals |
| 2013 NDHS maternal mortality ratio (MMR) ${ }^{4}$ |  | 385 | 259 | 511 |
| 2006-07 NDHS maternal mortality ratio (MMR) ${ }^{4}$ |  | 449 | 325 | 572 |
| 2000 NDHS maternal mortality ratio (MMR) ${ }^{4}$ |  | 271 | 174 | 367 |
| 1992 NDHS maternal mortality ratio (MMR) ${ }^{4}$ |  | 249 | 159 | 339 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Expressed per 1,000 woman-years of exposure |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Expressed per 1,000 women age 15-49 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Calculated as 1-(1-MMR) ${ }^{\text {TFR }}$, where TFR represents the total fertility rate for the 10 years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Expressed per 100,000 live births; calculated as the age-adjusted maternal mortality rate multiplied by 100 and divided by the age-adjusted general fertility rate |  |  |  |  |

The maternal mortality rate can be converted to a maternal mortality ratio (expressed as deaths per 100,000 live births) by dividing the rate by the general fertility rate (GFR) of 115 that prevailed during the same time period and multiplying the result by 100,000 . This procedure produces a maternal mortality ratio (MMR) of 385 deaths per 100,000 live births during the 10-year period preceding the survey (Table 9.3). In other words, for every 1,000 live births in Namibia during the 10 years preceding the 2013 NDHS, about four women died during pregnancy, during childbirth, or within two months of childbirth. The lifetime risk of maternal death ( 0.014 ) indicates that about 1 percent of women die during pregnancy, during childbirth, or within two months of childbirth.

Table 9.3 also shows a comparison of maternal mortality ratios for all four NDHS surveys with their respective confidence intervals. The estimated maternal mortality ratio calculated for the 10 years preceding the survey is lower in the 2013 NDHS than in the 2006-07 NDHS (449) but higher than in 2000 and 1992 (271 and 249, respectively). However, as shown in Figure 9.1, the confidence intervals
surrounding the maternal mortality ratios calculated for all four surveys overlap. Because it is still possible for a difference to be statistically significant even if the confidence intervals overlap, a statistical test of significance was conducted. The test concluded that there is no significant difference between the 2013 NDHS estimate of the MMR and all the previous survey estimates. Therefore, any change in the MMR estimates from the most recent NDHS and the three previous surveys was not large enough to be statistically significant.

Figure 9.1 Maternal mortality ratios with confidence intervals for the 10 years preceding the 1992, 2000, 2006-07, and 2013 NDHS surveys (per 100,000 live births)


## MATERNAL HEALTH CARE

## Key Findings

- Ninety-seven percent of women age 15-49 who gave birth in the five years preceding the survey received antenatal care from a skilled provider during the pregnancy for their most recent birth. Forty-three percent of women received antenatal care during their first trimester.
- The percentage of pregnant women with four or more antenatal care visits declined from 70 percent in 2006-07 to 63 percent in 2013.
- Thirty-six percent of women who gave birth in the five years preceding the survey received two or more tetanus toxoid injections during pregnancy, ensuring that their most recent live birth was protected against neonatal tetanus.
- Eighty-seven percent of live births in the five years preceding the survey took place in a health facility, and 88 percent were delivered by a skilled provider. However, only 73 percent of births to women in the lowest wealth quintile were delivered by a skilled provider, in contrast to 98 percent of births to women in the highest quintile.
- Among women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey, 69 percent received a postnatal checkup within the first two days after birth, and 68 percent received the checkup from a skilled provider.
- Twenty-eight percent of women report that getting money for treatment is a serious problem in accessing health care when they are sick; 31 percent indicate that distance to a health facility is a serious problem.

TThe health care services that a woman receives during pregnancy, childbirth, and the immediate postnatal period are important for the survival and well-being of both mother and infant. The 2013 NDHS obtained information on the extent to which women in Namibia receive care during each of these stages. These results are important to those who design policies and implement programmes to improve maternal and child health care services.

Pregnancy and childbirth are normal and healthy events that most women, couples, and families aspire to at some point in their lives. However, this normal, life-affirming process might carry serious lifethreatening risks of death and disability. Even though maternal mortality ratios and child mortality rates worldwide have declined over the past two decades, more than 289,000 women still die each year (World Health Organization [WHO] et al., 2014), and about 7 million children do not see their fifth birthday (WHO, 2014). Yet most of these deaths could be avoided if preventive measures were taken and adequate care accessed when needed. The tragedies of maternal mortality are well documented, and children's lives are also affected when mothers die. Maternal and child mortality are litmus tests of the status of women, their access to health care, and the adequacy of the health care system to respond to their needs.

Access to emergency obstetric care is unevenly distributed. The human resources for health at the lower levels of the health care delivery system are not adequately equipped with life-saving skills to provide emergency obstetric and neonatal care services. In addition to the above-mentioned constraints, access to health services is another challenge in Namibia because of long distances to the nearest health provider and the vastness of the country. About 21 percent of the country's residents live more than 10 km from a health facility and must travel long distances to access basic and comprehensive emergency obstetric care services (Ministry of Health and Social Services [MoHSS], 2013b).

Namibia is committed to reducing maternal mortality. This is evident in the multisectoral institutional structures the country has put in place, along with training of personnel in emergency obstetric and neonatal care, routine maternal death reviews, an enhanced referral system, construction of new health facilities and maternity waiting homes (and renovations of existing facilities), procurement of medical equipment and essential medicines, strengthening of adolescents' sexual and reproductive health and rights, and improved efforts to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV. Other health interventions undertaken to improve maternal health include enhanced antenatal, delivery, and postnatal care services; preventive treatment of malaria during pregnancy; and tetanus toxoid immunisation.

Namibia has developed a road map to expedite the achievement of maternal health targets. The Ministry of Health and Social Services is building the capacity of reproductive health service providers at all levels to ensure the availability and maintenance of essential medicines and equipment, as well as designing clinics to cater to all relevant health needs. The aim is to reduce maternal and neonatal mortality by focusing on community sensitisation and mobilisation, aided by the country's newly created cadre of health extension workers.

### 10.1 Antenatal Care

Antenatal care from a skilled provider is important to monitor the pregnancy and reduce the risks for both mother and child during pregnancy, at delivery, and during the postnatal period. Antenatal care enables (1) screening and/or early detection of complications and prompt treatment (e.g., of sexually transmitted infections or anaemia), (2) prevention of diseases through immunisation and micronutrient supplementation, (3) birth preparedness and complication readiness, (4) health promotion and disease prevention through health messages, and (5) counselling of pregnant women (e.g., on prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV) and referral of mothers with complications.

Collecting information on antenatal care is relevant for identifying subgroups of women who do not use such services and is useful in planning improvements in the services provided. In the 2013 NDHS, women who had given birth in the five years preceding the survey were asked whether they had received antenatal care for their last live birth. If the respondent had received antenatal care for her last birth, she was then asked a series of questions about the care she received, such as the type of provider, number of visits made, stage of pregnancy at the time of the first visit, and services and information provided during visits. For women with two or more live births during the five-year period preceding the survey, data refer to the most recent birth.

Table 10.1 presents information about the type of provider from whom antenatal care services were received for the most recent birth, according to background characteristics. In the case of women who reported more than one source of prenatal services, only data for the provider with the highest qualifications are presented in the table. Ninety-seven percent of women age 15-49 who had a live birth in the five years preceding the survey received antenatal care from a skilled provider (doctor or nurse/midwife) during their last pregnancy. This figure is higher than that reported in the 2006-07 NDHS (95 percent). Seventeen percent of women received care from a doctor and 79 percent from a nurse/midwife. Three percent of women received no antenatal care, as compared with 4 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS.

Due to the very high percentage of women receiving antenatal care from a skilled provider, there are only marginal overall differences by background characteristics. However, there are notable differences in receipt of skilled care from a doctor. Women in Khomas (41 percent) are much more likely than women in the other regions to receive care from a doctor. In addition, women in urban areas are more than three times as likely as those in rural areas to receive antenatal care from a doctor ( 26 percent versus 8 percent). Furthermore, women age $35-39$, those who have $1-3$ children, women with more than a secondary education, and those in the highest wealth quintile are most likely to receive ANC from a doctor. Overall, antenatal care coverage by a skilled provider is relatively lower in Omaheke (89 percent) and Otjozondjupa (92 percent) than in the other regions (95 percent and higher).

Table 10.1 Antenatal care
Percent distribution of women age $15-49$ who had a live birth in the five years preceding the survey by antenatal care (ANC) provider during pregnancy for the most recent birth and the percentage receiving antenatal care from a skilled provider for the most recent birth, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Antenatal care provider |  |  |  |  |  | No ANC | Total | Percentage receiving antenatal care from a skilled provider ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Community health worker | Traditional birth attendant | Other | Missing |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 10.1 | 85.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 592 |
| 20-34 | 17.8 | 79.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 2,619 |
| 35-49 | 21.7 | 74.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 630 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 19.5 | 77.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 1,288 |
| 2-3 | 18.7 | 78.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 97.5 | 1,603 |
| 4-5 | 13.6 | 81.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 605 |
| 6+ | 8.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 346 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 26.1 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 1,970 |
| Rural | 7.9 | 88.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 96.5 | 1,871 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 5.2 | 91.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 239 |
| Erongo | 20.7 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 285 |
| Hardap | 12.5 | 84.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 133 |
| //Karas | 21.8 | 75.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 136 |
| Kavango | 3.6 | 92.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 448 |
| Khomas | 41.0 | 54.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 95.7 | 771 |
| Kunene | 8.4 | 86.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 133 |
| Ohangwena | 8.5 | 89.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 440 |
| Omaheke | 16.3 | 72.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 88.8 | 107 |
| Omusati | 5.4 | 93.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 350 |
| Oshana | 17.3 | 81.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 261 |
| Oshikoto | 17.4 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 290 |
| Otjozondjupa | 12.4 | 79.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 248 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.4 | 82.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 87.7 | 218 |
| Primary | 8.0 | 87.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 836 |
| Secondary | 16.1 | 81.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 2,517 |
| More than secondary | 65.9 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 271 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 5.7 | 89.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 756 |
| Second | 7.5 | 88.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 819 |
| Middle | 10.4 | 87.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 97.5 | 807 |
| Fourth | 20.0 | 77.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 846 |
| Highest | 49.5 | 47.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 614 |
| Total | 17.2 | 79.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 3,842 |

Note: If more than one source of ANC was mentioned, only the provider with the highest qualifications is considered in this tabulation.
${ }^{1}$ Skilled provider includes doctor, nurse, and midwife.

### 10.2 Number and Timing of Antenatal Care Visits

Antenatal care is more effective in preventing adverse pregnancy outcomes when it is sought early in the pregnancy and continued through to delivery. The goal-directed antenatal care strategy implemented in Namibia is designed to address the prevention, early detection, and management of conditions that affect pregnancy outcomes for both the mother and the newborn. WHO recommends that a woman without complications have at least four comprehensive antenatal care visits. WHO further recommends that the first prenatal visit occur within the initial 12 weeks of the pregnancy and the second visit between weeks 12 and 18, followed by visits every four weeks until week 28 and every 1-2 weeks thereafter. The government of Namibia recommends a slightly different schedule. The first visit is recommended at less than 16 weeks, the second between weeks 20 and 24, the third between weeks 28 and 32, and the fourth at 36 weeks (MoHSS, 2013c). Each of these visits should consist of a well-defined set of activities related to screening for conditions likely to increase adverse outcomes, provision of therapeutic interventions known to be beneficial, education of pregnant women about planning for a safe birth, and provision of information on emergencies during pregnancy and how to deal with them. Women with complications, special needs, or conditions beyond the scope of basic care will require additional visits.

In the 2013 NDHS, respondents were asked how many antenatal care visits they made during the pregnancy for their last birth in the five years preceding the survey and how many months pregnant they were at the time of the first visit. Table 10.2 shows that 63 percent of women with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey had four or more antenatal care visits, 10 percent had two to three visits, and 1 percent had one visit only. Urban women were more likely to have had at least four visits (64 percent) than rural women (61 percent). The percentage of pregnant women with four or more antenatal care visits has declined from 70 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS survey.

Table 10.2 also shows that 43 percent of women had their first visit before their fourth month of pregnancy, as recommended. The median duration of pregnancy at the first visit was 4.2 months, down from 4.7 months in the 2006-07 NDHS.

### 10.3 Components of Antenatal care

The content of antenatal care is an essential component of the quality of services. Apart from receiving basic care, every pregnant woman should be monitored for complications. Ensuring that pregnant women receive information and undergo screening for complications should be a routine part of all antenatal care visits. To assess antenatal care services, respondents were asked whether they had been advised of complications or received certain screening tests during at least one of their antenatal care visits.

Table 10.3 presents information on the percentages of women who took iron supplements, took medicine for intestinal parasites, were informed of the signs of pregnancy complications, and received selected routine services during antenatal care visits for their most recent birth in the past five years.

Overall, 88 percent of women took iron tablets during the pregnancy of their last birth. Variations by background characteristics are generally minor.

As a component of antenatal care, administration of medicine to treat intestinal worms is much less common than administration of iron supplements. Overall, only 7 percent of women took medicine to treat intestinal worms during their last pregnancy. Mothers are most likely to take medicines for intestinal parasites for births of order 2-3. By region, intake of medicines for intestinal parasites ranged from 1 percent in Omusati to17 percent in Kavango. Women with a primary education and those in the lowest wealth quintile (11 percent each) were more likely than their peers to have taken medicine for intestinal parasites.

Table 10.3 Components of antenatal care
Among women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey, the percentage who took iron tablets and drugs for intestinal parasites during the pregnancy of the most recent birth, and among women receiving antenatal care (ANC) for the most recent live birth in the five years preceding the survey, the percentage receiving specific antenatal services, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Among women with a live birth in the past five years, the percentage who during the pregnancy of their last birth: |  |  | Among women who received antenatal care for their most recent birth in the past five years, the percentage with selected services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Took iron tablets | Took intestinal parasite drugs | Number of women with a live birth in the past five years | Informed of signs of pregnancy complications | Blood pressure measured | Urine sample taken | Blood sample taken | Number of women with ANC for their most recent birth |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 88.2 | 6.8 | 592 | 71.9 | 94.1 | 91.7 | 98.4 | 564 |
| 20-34 | 87.5 | 6.8 | 2,619 | 73.9 | 97.8 | 96.4 | 98.6 | 2,551 |
| 35-49 | 86.8 | 6.9 | 630 | 72.6 | 98.3 | 97.4 | 98.8 | 606 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 88.5 | 6.6 | 1,288 | 73.6 | 96.0 | 94.7 | 98.4 | 1,254 |
| 2-3 | 87.6 | 7.5 | 1,603 | 76.1 | 97.9 | 96.3 | 98.5 | 1,565 |
| 4-5 | 85.8 | 5.1 | 605 | 68.3 | 99.1 | 97.0 | 98.7 | 576 |
| $6+$ | 86.2 | 8.1 | 346 | 68.1 | 96.6 | 96.3 | 99.2 | 325 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 86.6 | 6.1 | 1,970 | 74.9 | 98.1 | 97.8 | 98.8 | 1,909 |
| Rural | 88.4 | 7.6 | 1,871 | 71.7 | 96.5 | 93.8 | 98.4 | 1,811 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 89.0 | 5.6 | 239 | 84.9 | 94.0 | 94.3 | 98.3 | 232 |
| Erongo | 90.2 | 5.1 | 285 | 79.6 | 99.4 | 98.7 | 99.4 | 281 |
| Hardap | 90.9 | 7.0 | 133 | 73.5 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 129 |
| //Karas | 88.8 | 7.3 | 136 | 68.5 | 99.7 | 96.7 | 98.1 | 132 |
| Kavango | 94.4 | 17.3 | 448 | 84.3 | 90.7 | 92.8 | 97.3 | 432 |
| Khomas | 79.5 | 5.4 | 771 | 71.7 | 97.7 | 98.6 | 98.2 | 740 |
| Kunene | 92.4 | 3.7 | 133 | 74.9 | 99.4 | 98.2 | 98.3 | 127 |
| Ohangwena | 90.6 | 5.7 | 440 | 53.9 | 98.6 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 433 |
| Omaheke | 89.6 | 6.3 | 107 | 55.0 | 98.6 | 99.0 | 99.9 | 96 |
| Omusati | 77.2 | 1.1 | 350 | 88.1 | 98.1 | 92.8 | 97.7 | 347 |
| Oshana | 90.9 | 8.3 | 261 | 73.1 | 98.9 | 94.8 | 99.2 | 259 |
| Oshikoto | 94.5 | 5.8 | 290 | 67.2 | 97.8 | 96.0 | 98.1 | 283 |
| Otjozondjupa | 86.4 | 7.4 | 248 | 70.7 | 99.1 | 98.2 | 99.1 | 229 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 80.4 | 5.0 | 218 | 67.4 | 96.2 | 94.8 | 96.1 | 192 |
| Primary | 86.1 | 10.6 | 836 | 69.4 | 95.9 | 94.4 | 98.4 | 795 |
| Secondary | 89.0 | 5.7 | 2,517 | 74.5 | 97.7 | 96.4 | 98.9 | 2,467 |
| More than secondary | 83.9 | 7.0 | 271 | 78.9 | 98.3 | 95.8 | 98.1 | 266 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 88.4 | 10.9 | 756 | 70.5 | 94.9 | 92.5 | 98.1 | 723 |
| Second | 85.7 | 6.4 | 819 | 71.9 | 97.2 | 95.4 | 98.9 | 788 |
| Middle | 88.4 | 6.6 | 807 | 75.5 | 98.2 | 95.9 | 98.3 | 788 |
| Fourth | 88.7 | 4.6 | 846 | 72.2 | 97.7 | 98.1 | 98.5 | 826 |
| Highest | 86.0 | 5.8 | 614 | 77.4 | 98.6 | 97.5 | 99.2 | 596 |
| Total | 87.5 | 6.9 | 3,842 | 73.4 | 97.3 | 95.9 | 98.6 | 3,721 |

Seventy-three percent of women who received antenatal care for their most recent live birth in the five years preceding the survey were informed of the signs of pregnancy complications. Women in Omusati (88 percent) were most likely to receive information and women in Ohangwena least likely (54 percent).

Education and wealth have a positive impact on quality of care. The percentage of women informed of signs of pregnancy complications rises from 67 percent among those with no education to 79 percent among those with more than a secondary education. Similarly, 71 percent of women in the poorest households are informed of signs of pregnancy complications, as compared with 77 percent of women in the wealthiest households.

Overall, 97 percent of women who received antenatal care had their blood pressure measured, 96 percent had a urine sample taken, and 99 percent had a blood sample taken. Differences by background characteristics are small due to the large percentages of women receiving each of these services.

### 10.4 Tetanus Toxoid

Tetanus toxoid injections are given during pregnancy to prevent neonatal tetanus, a leading cause of early infant death in many developing countries that is often due to poor hygiene during delivery. For full protection of her newborn baby, a pregnant woman should receive at least two injections of the vaccine during pregnancy. If a woman has been vaccinated during a previous pregnancy, however, she may require only one or no doses for the current pregnancy. Five doses are considered to provide lifetime protection. Table 10.4 presents the percentage of women age 15-49 with a birth in the five years preceding the survey whose last birth was protected against neonatal tetanus.

Thirty-six percent of women received two or more tetanus toxoid injections during the pregnancy for their last live birth. This represents a small increase from the figure reported in the 2006-07 NDHS (33 percent). By region, Zambezi has the highest proportion of women who received two or more tetanus toxoid injections during their last pregnancy (51 percent), while Ohangwena and Erongo have the lowest proportion ( 24 percent each).

The percentage of women who received two or more tetanus toxoid injections during their last pregnancy declines with increasing education, from 41 percent among those with no education to 19 percent among those with more than a secondary education. A similar pattern is seen in the case of wealth quintile, but with smaller differences.

Table 10.4 Tetanus toxoid injections
Among mothers age $15-49$ with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey, the percentage receiving two or more tetanus toxoid injections during the pregnancy for the last live birth and the percentage whose last live birth was protected against neonatal tetanus, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage receiving two or more injections during last pregnancy | Percentage whose last birth was protected against neonatal tetanus ${ }^{1}$ | Number of mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |
| <20 | 47.5 | 70.2 | 592 |
| 20-34 | 35.3 | 65.9 | 2,619 |
| 35-49 | 29.0 | 61.7 | 630 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |
| 1 | 42.2 | 68.1 | 1,288 |
| 2-3 | 34.4 | 65.2 | 1,603 |
| 4-5 | 29.6 | 64.7 | 605 |
| 6+ | 33.1 | 62.7 | 346 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 35.4 | 65.5 | 1,970 |
| Rural | 36.9 | 66.3 | 1,871 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 50.7 | 83.4 | 239 |
| Erongo | 24.4 | 57.5 | 285 |
| Hardap | 45.9 | 80.7 | 133 |
| //Karas | 37.7 | 77.6 | 136 |
| Kavango | 44.7 | 61.2 | 448 |
| Khomas | 38.1 | 62.2 | 771 |
| Kunene | 38.3 | 77.0 | 133 |
| Ohangwena | 24.1 | 60.3 | 440 |
| Omaheke | 43.9 | 74.1 | 107 |
| Omusati | 34.2 | 64.0 | 350 |
| Oshana | 27.8 | 57.1 | 261 |
| Oshikoto | 33.7 | 69.8 | 290 |
| Otjozondjupa | 39.1 | 71.8 | 248 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 41.1 | 61.2 | 218 |
| Primary | 38.8 | 63.8 | 836 |
| Secondary | 36.7 | 68.9 | 2,517 |
| More than secondary | 19.0 | 48.4 | 271 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 39.6 | 66.4 | 756 |
| Second | 38.2 | 64.4 | 819 |
| Middle | 39.0 | 70.9 | 807 |
| Fourth | 34.3 | 70.0 | 846 |
| Highest | 28.1 | 55.0 | 614 |
| Total | 36.1 | 65.9 | 3,842 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes mothers with two injections during the pregnancy of her last birth, or two or more injections (the last within 3 years of the last live birth), or three or more injections (the last within 5 years of the last birth), or four or more injections (the last within 10 years of the last live birth), or five or more injections at any time prior to the last birth.

Overall, 66 percent of women reported that their last births were protected against neonatal tetanus. Differences by background characteristics follow patterns similar to those observed among women who received two or more tetanus toxoid injections during their last pregnancy. The proportion of births protected against neonatal tetanus has increased since 2006-07, when 57 percent of births were protected.

### 10.5 Place of Delivery

Increasing the proportion of women who deliver in health facilities is an important factor in reducing health risks to the mother and the newborn. Proper medical attention and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risks of complications and infections. Table 10.5 presents the percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by place of delivery, according to background characteristics.

| Table 10.5 Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by place of delivery and percentage delivered in a health facility, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Health facility |  | Home | Other | Missing | Total | Percentage delivered in a health facility | Number of births |
|  | Public sector | Private sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 85.6 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 86.9 | 765 |
| 20-34 | 83.5 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 89.0 | 3,317 |
| 35-49 | 72.5 | 7.7 | 19.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 80.2 | 722 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 87.9 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 1,647 |
| 2-3 | 82.6 | 7.0 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 1,962 |
| 4-5 | 79.4 | 2.7 | 17.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 82.1 | 755 |
| 6+ | 63.4 | 0.3 | 34.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 63.7 | 440 |
| Antenatal care visits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 49.3 | 2.8 | 42.9 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 52.1 | 121 |
| 1-3 | 75.4 | 1.5 | 22.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 76.8 | 426 |
| $4+$ | 84.3 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 91.4 | 2,402 |
| Don't know/missing | 89.5 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 892 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 85.5 | 9.2 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 94.7 | 2,347 |
| Rural | 79.0 | 1.3 | 19.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 80.4 | 2,457 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 84.8 | 0.4 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 85.2 | 297 |
| Erongo | 85.4 | 12.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 334 |
| Hardap | 88.6 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.0 | 173 |
| //Karas | 84.8 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 91.8 | 165 |
| Kavango | 71.0 | 1.9 | 26.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 72.8 | 577 |
| Khomas | 81.3 | 13.9 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 887 |
| Kunene | 71.0 | 1.3 | 25.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 72.4 | 179 |
| Ohangwena | 85.1 | 0.8 | 13.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 85.9 | 598 |
| Omaheke | 73.9 | 1.7 | 23.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 75.6 | 149 |
| Omusati | 84.6 | 1.3 | 13.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85.8 | 454 |
| Oshana | 90.8 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 310 |
| Oshikoto | 85.5 | 4.2 | 9.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.8 | 373 |
| Otjozondjupa | 83.2 | 2.8 | 12.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 86.0 | 308 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 58.0 | 298 |
| Primary | 73.6 | 0.2 | 25.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 73.8 | 1,125 |
| Secondary | 89.4 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 94.0 | 3,073 |
| More than secondary | 65.2 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 307 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 70.6 | 0.6 | 28.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 71.2 | 1,047 |
| Second | 86.5 | 0.6 | 11.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 87.0 | 1,053 |
| Middle | 88.9 | 0.2 | 9.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 89.1 | 997 |
| Fourth | 92.8 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 1,000 |
| Highest | 68.5 | 29.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 707 |
| Total | 82.2 | 5.2 | 11.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 87.4 | 4,804 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes only the most recent birth in the 5 years preceding the survey

The 2013 NDHS data show that 87 percent of births occurred in health facilities, as compared with 81 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS. Eighty-two percent of births took place in public health facilities and 5 percent in private facilities. Twelve percent of live births in the five years preceding the survey occurred at home, compared with 19 percent in 2006-07.

Women age 20-34 are slightly more likely to deliver in a health facility (89 percent) than women less than age 20 ( 87 percent) or age 35-49 ( 80 percent). There is a strong relationship between receipt of antenatal care and place of delivery. Only 52 percent of live births among women who received no antenatal care took place in a health facility, as compared with 91 percent among women with four or more antenatal care visits.

Place of delivery differs greatly by residence; 95 percent of births in urban areas were delivered in a health facility, compared with 80 percent of births in rural areas. The percentage of births that occurred in
a health facility was highest in Erongo and lowest in Kunene ( 98 percent versus 72 percent). Home deliveries are most common in Kavango (27 percent) and least common in Erongo (1 percent).

Education and household wealth correlate strongly with place of delivery. Births to mothers with more than a secondary education are much more likely to take place in a health facility than births to mothers with no education (99 percent versus 58 percent). Likewise, births to women in the highest wealth quintile are most likely to take place in a health facility, and births to women in the lowest wealth quintile are least likely ( 98 percent and 71 percent, respectively).

Women who delivered at home were asked why they chose not to deliver in a health facility (Table 10.6). The vast majority of women (72 percent) who delivered at home reported that they did so because a health facility was too far away or they had no transportation to the facility. Six percent of women did not think it was necessary to deliver in a health facility, 5 percent stated that facility deliveries cost too much, 2 percent said that their husband or family did not allow them to go to a facility, and 1 percent said that they did not trust the facility or believed that it offered poor quality service. Rural women were much more likely than urban women to cite distance/lack of transportation and cost as reasons for not delivering in a facility. Urban women, however, were more likely than rural women to state that facility deliveries are not necessary and that their husband or family did not allow them to go to a facility to deliver. Overall, the percentage of women who mentioned distance or lack of transportation as a reason for not delivering in a health facility decreases with increasing education and household wealth.

Table 10.6 Reasons for not delivering in a health facility
Among last live births delivered at home, percentage whose mothers cite specific reasons for not delivering in a facility, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Cost too much | Facility not open | Too far/ no transportation | Don't trust facility/ poor quality service | Husband/ family did not allow | Not necessary | Not customary | Other | Total number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.9 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 74 |
| Rural | 5.5 | 0.4 | 74.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 16.9 | 330 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.4 | 0.6 | 75.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 13.5 | 1.6 | 7.2 | 82 |
| Primary | 4.8 | 0.5 | 73.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 16.3 | 198 |
| Secondary | 5.0 | 0.0 | 67.6 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 124 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 6.8 | 0.6 | 73.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 16.7 | 198 |
| Second | 2.9 | 0.0 | 75.4 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.5 | 14.2 | 91 |
| Middle | 6.2 | 0.3 | 66.2 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 78 |
| Fourth | (0.8) | (0.0) | (62.2) | (3.1) | (0.0) | (10.4) | (0.0) | (25.8) | 31 |
| Highest | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 6 |
| Total | 5.2 | 0.4 | 71.8 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 16.8 | 404 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

### 10.6 Assistance during Delivery

Obstetric care from a skilled provider (doctor or nurse/midwife) during delivery is recognised as a critical element in reducing maternal and neonatal mortality. Births taking place at home are usually more likely to be delivered without assistance from a skilled provider, whereas births delivered at a health facility are more likely to be delivered by a trained health professional. Table 10.7 shows the percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by the person providing assistance at delivery and the percentage of births delivered via caesarean section (C-section), according to background characteristics.

Table 10.7 Assistance during delivery
Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by person providing assistance during delivery, percentage of births assisted by a skilled provider and the percentage delivered by caesarean-section, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Person providing assistance during delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage delivered by a skilled provider ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage delivered by C-section | Number ofbirths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Traditional birth attendant | Relative/ other | No one | Don't know/ missing | Total |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 12.9 | 74.5 | 3.2 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 87.3 | 9.8 | 765 |
| 20-34 | 20.2 | 69.7 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 89.9 | 15.4 | 3,317 |
| 35-49 | 22.4 | 58.7 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 81.1 | 14.9 | 722 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 22.8 | 70.9 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 18.6 | 1,647 |
| 2-3 | 20.8 | 69.7 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 90.4 | 15.0 | 1,962 |
| 4-5 | 14.5 | 69.1 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 83.6 | 9.9 | 755 |
| $6+$ | 8.5 | 56.8 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 65.4 | 4.4 | 440 |
| Antenatal care visits ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 10.3 | 42.2 | 13.4 | 23.4 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 52.5 | 13.9 | 121 |
| 1-3 | 13.5 | 64.6 | 12.2 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 78.2 | 7.7 | 426 |
| $4+$ | 23.7 | 68.4 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 92.1 | 16.8 | 2,402 |
| Don't know/missing | 17.2 | 76.6 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 15.8 | 892 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 22.1 | 77.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 16.5 | 4,196 |
| Elsewhere | 0.6 | 8.2 | 35.4 | 48.9 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 588 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 29.2 | 65.7 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 20.7 | 2,347 |
| Rural | 9.9 | 71.8 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 81.7 | 8.5 | 2,457 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 6.3 | 79.8 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 86.1 | 8.3 | 297 |
| Erongo | 28.0 | 69.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 19.3 | 334 |
| Hardap | 27.4 | 67.9 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.3 | 24.0 | 173 |
| //Karas | 28.1 | 65.3 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 18.8 | 165 |
| Kavango | 8.8 | 66.2 | 13.4 | 9.2 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 6.1 | 577 |
| Khomas | 39.4 | 56.8 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 26.2 | 887 |
| Kunene | 13.2 | 60.8 | 11.4 | 13.9 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 9.3 | 179 |
| Ohangwena | 7.8 | 78.1 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 85.9 | 8.7 | 598 |
| Omaheke | 16.8 | 59.3 | 4.6 | 16.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 76.2 | 9.3 | 149 |
| Omusati | 9.5 | 77.5 | 3.3 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 87.0 | 10.4 | 454 |
| Oshana | 24.7 | 70.1 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 13.1 | 310 |
| Oshikoto | 15.5 | 74.2 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 10.3 | 373 |
| Otjozondjupa | 16.3 | 69.8 | 2.7 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 86.1 | 18.3 | 308 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.8 | 51.7 | 11.0 | 25.9 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 59.4 | 5.9 | 298 |
| Primary | 10.2 | 65.3 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 75.4 | 6.2 | 1,125 |
| Secondary | 19.6 | 75.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 15.6 | 3,073 |
| More than secondary | 62.1 | 37.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 41.2 | 307 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 6.2 | 66.5 | 11.3 | 13.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 72.7 | 5.4 | 1,047 |
| Second | 12.2 | 76.0 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 9.6 | 1,053 |
| Middle | 15.6 | 74.1 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 13.2 | 997 |
| Fourth | 22.0 | 73.6 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 16.5 | 1,000 |
| Highest | 51.0 | 47.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 34.0 | 707 |
| Total | 19.3 | 68.8 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 88.2 | 14.4 | 4,804 |

Note: Total includes 13 women with missing information on place of delivery. If the respondent mentioned more than one person attending during delivery, only the most qualified person is considered in this tabulation. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Skilled provider includes doctor, nurse, or midwife.
${ }^{2}$ Includes only the most recent birth in the five years preceding the survey

Eighty-eight percent of live births in the five years preceding the survey were delivered by a skilled provider, with 19 percent of deliveries assisted by a doctor and 69 percent by a nurse/midwife. Four percent of deliveries were assisted by a traditional birth attendant and 6 percent by relatives or others. The percentage of live births delivered by a skilled provider has increased from the figure reported in the 200607 NDHS (81 percent).

The percentage of live births delivered by a skilled provider does not differ greatly by mother's age at birth. In contrast, large variations occur by birth order, number of antenatal care visits, place of delivery, residence, region, education, and wealth quintile. Assistance during delivery from a skilled provider decreases from 94 percent for first-order births to 65 percent for births of order six and higher.

Births to mothers with four or more antenatal care visits ( 92 percent) are much more likely than births to mothers with fewer visits ( 78 percent) or no antenatal care ( 53 percent) to be delivered by a skilled provider. Almost all births taking place in a health facility are delivered by a skilled provider, as compared with 9 percent of births occurring elsewhere. Among births occurring outside a health facility, 35 percent are assisted by a traditional birth attendant and 49 percent by relatives or others.

In urban areas, 95 percent of births are assisted by a skilled provider, as compared with 82 percent in rural areas. The percentage of births delivered by skilled providers ranges from 74 percent in Kunene to 98 percent in Erongo. Kavango has the highest percentage of deliveries by traditional birth attendants (13 percent) and Erongo the lowest (less than 1 percent).

Mother's education is strongly related to type of assistance at delivery. Births to women with a secondary and more than a secondary education ( 95 percent and 99 percent, respectively) are much more likely to receive assistance from a skilled provider than births to women with no education ( 59 percent) or those with a primary education ( 75 percent). Eleven percent of births to women with no education and 10 percent of births to women with a primary education are assisted by a traditional birth attendant, as compared with 2 percent or less of births to women with a secondary or higher education. In addition, 26 percent of births to women with no education are assisted by a relative or friend, compared with 3 percent or less of births to women with a secondary or more than a secondary education.

As with education, wealth quintile is strongly associated with type of assistance at delivery. Births to women in the highest wealth quintile are more likely to be assisted by a skilled provider (98 percent) than births to women in the lowest wealth quintile ( 73 percent). Furthermore, births to women in the highest wealth quintile are more than eight times as likely as births to women in the lowest quintile to be assisted by a doctor ( 51 percent and 6 percent, respectively).

Overall, 14 percent of births are delivered via caesarean section, a figure only 1 percent higher than that reported in the 2006-07 NDHS survey. C-sections are most common among first births (19 percent), births in urban areas ( 21 percent), births in Khomas ( 26 percent), births to women with more than a secondary education ( 41 percent), and births to women in the highest wealth quintile ( 34 percent).

### 10.7 Postnatal Care

Postnatal care refers to the care and follow-up given to a mother and her newborn immediately following delivery, during the postpartum period (the period beginning immediately after birth and extending up to six weeks). This is the period after birth in which the mother's body, including hormone levels and uterus size, returns to pre-pregnancy levels. In 2013, the Ministry of Health and Social Services introduced a revised postnatal visit plan designed to improve the health and survival of the mother and the baby. Lack of care during this period may result in death or disability as well as missed opportunities to promote healthy behaviours affecting women, newborns, and children. Both the woman and her newborn are at the highest risk of death during the postpartum period.

Many countries in Africa, including Namibia, have adopted the 1998 WHO model of care, which recommends postnatal care within six hours as well as three to six days, six weeks, and six months after birth (WHO, 2014).

### 10.7.1 Postnatal Checkup for the Mother

A large proportion of maternal and neonatal deaths occur during the first 48 hours after delivery. Thus, prompt postnatal care for both the mother and the child is important to treat any complications arising from the delivery, as well as to provide the mother with important information on how to care for herself and her child. Safe motherhood programmes recommend that all women receive a check of their health within two days after delivery. Women who deliver at home should visit a health facility for postnatal care services within 24 hours, and subsequent visits (including those by women who deliver in a
health facility) should be made at six days, six weeks, and six months after delivery. It is also recommended that women who have a normal, uneventful vaginal delivery without any complications at a health facility be observed for 24 hours before discharge.

Women who have undergone a caesarean section should ideally be observed in the health facility for a period of at least three days (or longer depending on their clinical status) before discharge (MoHSS, 2013c).

Table 10.8 shows that in the two years preceding the survey, 69 percent of women received postnatal care for their last birth within the critical first two days following delivery. This is a small improvement from 2006-07, when 65 percent of women received care in the first two days after delivery. About one in three ( 34 percent) women received postnatal care within 4 hours of delivery, 14 percent received care within 4-23 hours, and 21 percent were seen 1-2 days following delivery. Differences by mother's age, birth order, place of delivery, residence, and education are pronounced and are similar to the differences discussed for delivery care. Postnatal care within the first two days following delivery is lowest in Kavango (48 percent), followed closely by Kunene ( 50 percent).

Table 10.8 Timing of first postnatal checkup
Among women age 15-49 giving birth in the two years preceding the survey, the percent distribution of the mother's first postnatal checkup for the last live birth by time after delivery, and the percentage of women with a live birth in the two years preceding the survey who received a postnatal checkup in the first two days after giving birth, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Time after delivery of mother's first postnatal checkup |  |  |  |  |  | No postnatal checkup ${ }^{1}$ | Total | Percentage of women with a postnatal checkup in the first two days after birth | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 4 hours | $\begin{gathered} 4-23 \\ \text { hours } \end{gathered}$ | 1-2 days | 3-6 days | 7-41 days | Don't know/ missing |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 31.3 | 11.0 | 20.1 | 1.4 | 7.9 | 6.4 | 21.9 | 100.0 | 62.4 | 294 |
| 20-34 | 34.1 | 15.1 | 21.1 | 2.0 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 15.3 | 100.0 | 70.3 | 1,349 |
| 35-49 | 36.7 | 11.8 | 20.3 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 19.7 | 100.0 | 68.8 | 304 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 38.6 | 12.3 | 20.2 | 1.8 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 71.2 | 628 |
| 2-3 | 32.4 | 15.8 | 21.2 | 2.1 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 69.3 | 829 |
| 4-5 | 29.7 | 14.4 | 22.4 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 22.5 | 100.0 | 66.5 | 332 |
| 6+ | 34.3 | 9.7 | 17.8 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 27.3 | 100.0 | 61.9 | 159 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 36.9 | 14.2 | 21.5 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 72.6 | 1,715 |
| Elsewhere | 12.9 | 11.9 | 15.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 50.7 | 100.0 | 40.7 | 232 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 35.0 | 13.5 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 8.9 | 5.3 | 14.9 | 100.0 | 69.0 | 925 |
| Rural | 33.2 | 14.4 | 21.1 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 68.7 | 1,022 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 42.5 | 18.5 | 11.6 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 72.6 | 112 |
| Erongo | 35.6 | 6.9 | 19.8 | 1.4 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 13.6 | 100.0 | 62.4 | 136 |
| Hardap | 31.0 | 25.1 | 12.7 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 22.4 | 100.0 | 68.7 | 73 |
| //Karas | 42.2 | 9.6 | 20.2 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 72.0 | 61 |
| Kavango | 14.0 | 9.1 | 24.6 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 9.9 | 39.2 | 100.0 | 47.7 | 231 |
| Khomas | 37.2 | 12.3 | 20.3 | 1.6 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 69.7 | 344 |
| Kunene | 16.6 | 19.4 | 14.2 | 4.0 | 18.1 | 5.2 | 22.5 | 100.0 | 50.2 | 69 |
| Ohangwena | 36.3 | 12.0 | 25.4 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 73.7 | 254 |
| Omaheke | 29.7 | 9.8 | 21.4 | 1.1 | 12.4 | 6.8 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 61.0 | 59 |
| Omusati | 51.5 | 18.7 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 76.0 | 189 |
| Oshana | 42.7 | 21.9 | 24.1 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 88.6 | 127 |
| Oshikoto | 32.5 | 17.3 | 34.0 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 154 |
| Otjozondjupa | 26.2 | 10.7 | 26.1 | 2.8 | 9.4 | 6.4 | 18.4 | 100.0 | 63.0 | 137 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 17.7 | 8.2 | 21.6 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 40.1 | 100.0 | 47.5 | 110 |
| Primary | 26.3 | 13.2 | 19.2 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 27.7 | 100.0 | 58.7 | 438 |
| Secondary | 37.5 | 14.1 | 21.2 | 2.1 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 72.8 | 1,295 |
| More than secondary | 41.2 | 21.9 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 84.4 | 105 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 29.4 | 12.7 | 18.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 6.6 | 27.6 | 100.0 | 60.5 | 415 |
| Second | 36.5 | 13.0 | 24.6 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 74.1 | 439 |
| Middle | 37.8 | 13.8 | 21.4 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 73.0 | 423 |
| Fourth | 31.3 | 16.5 | 21.3 | 2.3 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 16.0 | 100.0 | 69.1 | 389 |
| Highest | 35.6 | 14.1 | 16.6 | 1.7 | 13.5 | 6.0 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 66.2 | 281 |
| Total | 34.1 | 14.0 | 20.8 | 1.8 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 68.8 | 1,947 |

[^8]Table 10.9 shows that, in the majority of cases, mothers received postnatal care from a health professional ( 68 percent). Less than 1 percent of mothers received a postnatal checkup from a community health worker or a traditional birth attendant. Differences by background characteristics are similar to those discussed in reference to timing of the first postnatal checkup.

| Table 10.9 Type of provider of first postnatal checkup for the mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among women age 15-49 giving birth in the two years preceding the survey, the percent distribution by type of provider of the mother's first postnatal health check in the two days after the last live birth, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Type of health provider of mother's first postnatal checkup |  |  | No postnatal checkup in the first two days after birth ${ }^{1}$ | Total | Number of women |
|  | Doctor/nurse/ midwife | Community health worker | Traditional birth attendant |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 61.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 37.6 | 100.0 | 294 |
| 20-34 | 69.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 29.7 | 100.0 | 1,349 |
| 35-49 | 67.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 31.2 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 70.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 28.8 | 100.0 | 628 |
| 2-3 | 68.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 30.7 | 100.0 | 829 |
| 4-5 | 65.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 33.5 | 100.0 | 332 |
| 6+ | 59.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 38.1 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 72.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 27.4 | 100.0 | 1,715 |
| Elsewhere | 35.0 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 59.3 | 100.0 | 232 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 68.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 31.0 | 100.0 | 925 |
| Rural | 67.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 31.3 | 100.0 | 1,022 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 71.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 27.4 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Erongo | 62.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.6 | 100.0 | 136 |
| Hardap | 68.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 100.0 | 73 |
| //Karas | 70.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 61 |
| Kavango | 46.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 52.3 | 100.0 | 231 |
| Khomas | 69.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.3 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Kunene | 47.4 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 49.8 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Ohangwena | 72.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 26.3 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Omaheke | 60.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 39.0 | 100.0 | 59 |
| Omusati | 74.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 24.0 | 100.0 | 189 |
| Oshana | 88.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Oshikoto | 83.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 154 |
| Otjozondjupa | 62.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 37.0 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 46.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 52.5 | 100.0 | 110 |
| Primary | 57.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 41.3 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Secondary | 72.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 27.2 | 100.0 | 1,295 |
| More than secondary | 84.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 105 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 59.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 39.5 | 100.0 | 415 |
| Second | 73.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 25.9 | 100.0 | 439 |
| Middle | 71.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 27.0 | 100.0 | 423 |
| Fourth | 69.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.9 | 100.0 | 389 |
| Highest | 66.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.8 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Total | 68.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 31.2 | 100.0 | 1,947 |

Figure 10.1 shows the percent distribution of mothers with a birth in the five years preceding the survey who delivered their last birth in a health facility, by duration of stay and type of delivery. As expected, the large majority of women with a vaginal birth stayed at a health facility for 1-2 days (67 percent). In contrast, the large majority of women with a C-section stayed at a health facility for 3 or more days (78 percent).

Figure 10.1 Mother's duration of stay in the health facility after giving birth
Percentage


### 10.7.2 Postnatal Care for the Newborn

As mentioned, a significant proportion of neonatal deaths occur during the first 48 hours after delivery. Thus, postnatal care services should be provided as soon as possible after the child is born. The timing of the postnatal checkup for the newborn is similar to that of the mother in that it should occur within six days after birth.

Table 10.10 shows that 20 percent of infants born in the two years preceding the survey received a postnatal checkup. Three percent received a postnatal checkup less than 1 hour after birth, 9 percent within 1 to 3 hours, 3 percent within 4 to 23 hours, 4 percent within 1 to 2 days, and less than 1 percent within 3 to 6 days. Over three-quarters of newborns ( 77 percent) did not receive a postnatal checkup.

Timing of a newborn's first postnatal checkup varies slightly by place of delivery and urban-rural residence. For instance, 20 percent of newborns whose mothers delivered in a health facility received a postnatal checkup within two days, as compared with 18 percent of newborns whose mothers delivered elsewhere. Twenty-one percent of newborns whose mothers reside in urban areas had a postnatal check-up within two days after birth, compared with 19 percent of newborns whose mothers live in rural areas. However, there are more pronounced variations by region, education, and wealth. One in three newborns in Ohangwena had a postnatal checkup within two days after birth ( 33 percent), while only 3 percent of newborns in Kavango had a checkup. Newborns whose mothers had more than a secondary education were more likely to have a postnatal checkup than newborns whose mothers had no education (31 percent and 12 percent, respectively). Similarly, newborns whose mothers were in the highest wealth quintile were more likely to have a checkup within two days after birth than newborns whose mothers were in the second wealth quintile ( 24 percent and 17 percent, respectively).

Table 10.10 Timing of first postnatal checkup for the newborn
Percent distribution of last births in the two years preceding the survey by time after birth of first postnatal checkup, and the percentage of births with a postnatal checkup in the first two days after birth, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Time after birth of newborn's first postnatal checkup |  |  |  |  |  | No postnatal checkup ${ }^{1}$ | Total | Percentage of births with a postnatal checkup in the first two days after birth | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 1 hour | 1-3 hours | 4-23 hours | 1-2 days | 3-6 days | Don't know/ missing |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 1.3 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 82.2 | 100.0 | 15.3 | 294 |
| 20-34 | 2.7 | 9.8 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 75.7 | 100.0 | 21.0 | 1,349 |
| 35-49 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 78.0 | 100.0 | 17.9 | 304 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.7 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 77.1 | 100.0 | 19.5 | 628 |
| 2-3 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 76.7 | 100.0 | 20.1 | 829 |
| 4-5 | 1.1 | 9.7 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 79.5 | 100.0 | 17.8 | 332 |
| 6+ | 4.3 | 8.2 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 73.2 | 100.0 | 21.7 | 159 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 2.9 | 10.1 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 76.7 | 100.0 | 19.9 | 1,715 |
| Elsewhere | 1.6 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 79.5 | 100.0 | 18.0 | 232 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.8 | 10.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 76.7 | 100.0 | 20.9 | 925 |
| Rural | 2.8 | 8.4 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 18.6 | 1,022 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 4.6 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 75.1 | 100.0 | 23.9 | 112 |
| Erongo | 2.2 | 18.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 72.9 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 136 |
| Hardap | 2.1 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 20.3 | 73 |
| //Karas | 2.5 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 31.2 | 61 |
| Kavango | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 94.7 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 231 |
| Khomas | 3.3 | 8.9 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 19.7 | 344 |
| Kunene | 0.9 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 89.4 | 100.0 | 9.5 | 69 |
| Ohangwena | 7.2 | 17.8 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 62.0 | 100.0 | 33.2 | 254 |
| Omaheke | 0.9 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 76.6 | 100.0 | 21.6 | 59 |
| Omusati | 0.2 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 77.9 | 100.0 | 14.1 | 189 |
| Oshana | 0.0 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 87.2 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 127 |
| Oshikoto | 3.8 | 11.2 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 70.5 | 100.0 | 23.6 | 154 |
| Otjozondjupa | 3.8 | 8.1 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 73.1 | 100.0 | 24.3 | 137 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.4 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 84.4 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 110 |
| Primary | 1.9 | 7.9 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 78.2 | 100.0 | 18.0 | 438 |
| Secondary | 2.7 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 77.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 1,295 |
| More than secondary | 8.3 | 16.8 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 65.2 | 100.0 | 30.6 | 105 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 3.3 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 78.0 | 100.0 | 18.5 | 415 |
| Second | 1.4 | 8.4 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 78.5 | 100.0 | 16.9 | 439 |
| Middle | 2.6 | 10.1 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 75.8 | 100.0 | 20.6 | 423 |
| Fourth | 3.3 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 78.5 | 100.0 | 19.6 | 389 |
| Highest | 3.9 | 13.9 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 73.0 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 281 |
| Total | 2.8 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 77.0 | 100.0 | 19.7 | 1,947 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes newborns who received a checkup after the first week

Table 10.11 shows the type of provider of the newborn's first postnatal checkup. Nineteen percent of newborns received a postnatal checkup from a skilled provider, while less than 1 percent received a checkup from a traditional birth attendant. Eighty percent of newborns did not receive a postnatal checkup within the first two days after birth. Differences by background characteristics are similar to those observed for timing of the newborn's first postnatal checkup.

Table 10.11 Type of provider of first postnatal checkup for the newborn
Percent distribution of last births in the two years preceding the survey by type of provider of the newborn's first postnatal health check during the two days after the last live birth, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Type of health provider of newborn's first postnatal checkup |  | No postnatal checkup in the first two days after birth | Total | Number ofbirths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor/nurse/ midwife | Traditional birth attendant |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 15.0 | 0.3 | 84.7 | 100.0 | 294 |
| 20-34 | 20.6 | 0.3 | 79.0 | 100.0 | 1,349 |
| 35-49 | 17.5 | 0.4 | 82.1 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 19.2 | 0.3 | 80.5 | 100.0 | 628 |
| 2-3 | 19.8 | 0.3 | 79.9 | 100.0 | 829 |
| 4-5 | 17.1 | 0.7 | 82.2 | 100.0 | 332 |
| $6+$ | 21.7 | 0.0 | 78.3 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 19.7 | 0.1 | 80.1 | 100.0 | 1,715 |
| Elsewhere | 16.2 | 1.8 | 82.0 | 100.0 | 232 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.8 | 0.1 | 79.1 | 100.0 | 925 |
| Rural | 17.9 | 0.5 | 81.4 | 100.0 | 1,022 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 23.2 | 0.0 | 76.1 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Erongo | 24.4 | 0.0 | 75.6 | 100.0 | 136 |
| Hardap | 20.3 | 0.0 | 79.7 | 100.0 | 73 |
| //Karas | 30.6 | 0.6 | 68.8 | 100.0 | 61 |
| Kavango | 2.3 | 0.9 | 96.8 | 100.0 | 231 |
| Khomas | 19.7 | 0.0 | 80.3 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Kunene | 8.3 | 1.2 | 90.5 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Ohangwena | 32.3 | 0.9 | 66.8 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Omaheke | 20.8 | 0.9 | 78.4 | 100.0 | 59 |
| Omusati | 14.1 | 0.0 | 85.9 | 100.0 | 189 |
| Oshana | 11.2 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Oshikoto | 23.6 | 0.0 | 76.4 | 100.0 | 154 |
| Otjozondjupa | 23.8 | 0.5 | 75.7 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 11.6 | 0.4 | 88.0 | 100.0 | 110 |
| Primary | 17.4 | 0.6 | 82.0 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Secondary | 19.7 | 0.3 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 1,295 |
| More than secondary | 30.6 | 0.0 | 69.4 | 100.0 | 105 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 17.9 | 0.6 | 81.5 | 100.0 | 415 |
| Second | 16.7 | 0.0 | 83.1 | 100.0 | 439 |
| Middle | 19.7 | 0.9 | 79.4 | 100.0 | 423 |
| Fourth | 19.6 | 0.0 | 80.4 | 100.0 | 389 |
| Highest | 24.3 | 0.1 | 75.6 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Total | 19.3 | 0.3 | 80.3 | 100.0 | 1,947 |

### 10.8 Problems in Accessing Health Care

Many factors can prevent women from obtaining medical advice or treatment for themselves when they are sick. Information on such factors is particularly important in understanding and addressing the barriers women may face when seeking care during pregnancy, delivery, and the postnatal period.

In the 2013 NDHS, women were asked whether each of the following factors would be an impediment (or not) in seeking medical care: getting permission to go for treatment, getting money for treatment, distance to a health facility, and not wanting to go alone. Table 10.12 shows that 43 percent of women reported at least one of these concerns as a hindrance to accessing health care.

Table 10.12 Problems in accessing health care
Percentage of women age 15-49 who reported that they have serious problems in accessing health care for themselves when they are sick, by type of problem, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Problems in accessing health care |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Getting permission to go for treatment | Getting money for treatment | Distance to health facility | Not wanting to go alone | At least one problem accessing health care | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.9 | 26.8 | 29.4 | 18.6 | 45.1 | 1,906 |
| 20-34 | 6.4 | 27.0 | 29.3 | 13.5 | 42.1 | 4,535 |
| 35-49 | 5.7 | 29.1 | 33.3 | 13.9 | 44.1 | 2,735 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5.0 | 21.6 | 24.5 | 15.8 | 38.4 | 3,034 |
| 1-2 | 6.8 | 26.3 | 29.5 | 12.4 | 41.8 | 3,606 |
| 3-4 | 6.3 | 32.6 | 34.5 | 14.9 | 46.3 | 1,750 |
| $5+$ | 8.4 | 45.1 | 49.8 | 20.4 | 62.4 | 785 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 5.4 | 25.8 | 28.8 | 14.7 | 41.9 | 5,458 |
| Married or living together | 7.5 | 29.0 | 32.1 | 14.3 | 43.7 | 3,121 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 8.1 | 36.3 | 38.4 | 16.3 | 53.7 | 597 |
| Employed in last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 6.8 | 33.5 | 35.7 | 16.9 | 49.7 | 4,987 |
| Employed for cash | 5.7 | 19.3 | 23.1 | 11.2 | 34.4 | 3,826 |
| Employed not for cash | 4.9 | 32.6 | 38.1 | 20.4 | 50.0 | 351 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.2 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 10.3 | 32.7 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 7.6 | 37.4 | 46.0 | 20.3 | 57.2 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 7.9 | 49.2 | 36.6 | 21.3 | 57.5 | 457 |
| Erongo | 8.2 | 19.7 | 13.7 | 9.5 | 29.5 | 771 |
| Hardap | 5.6 | 21.3 | 30.6 | 6.3 | 37.4 | 304 |
| //Karas | 4.0 | 20.5 | 22.7 | 9.8 | 36.4 | 343 |
| Kavango | 14.5 | 65.5 | 54.7 | 25.7 | 77.0 | 835 |
| Khomas | 4.1 | 15.9 | 17.9 | 9.7 | 29.0 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 2.1 | 32.2 | 38.3 | 6.0 | 46.5 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 4.2 | 30.1 | 48.2 | 26.5 | 57.6 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 9.6 | 37.4 | 43.6 | 15.7 | 56.0 | 225 |
| Omusati | 5.4 | 25.3 | 35.2 | 16.3 | 42.7 | 884 |
| Oshana | 3.9 | 20.3 | 23.1 | 9.7 | 33.2 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 7.7 | 20.6 | 31.3 | 16.4 | 44.3 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 6.9 | 30.0 | 31.8 | 13.5 | 48.2 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.9 | 54.0 | 57.8 | 25.1 | 72.2 | 419 |
| Primary | 9.6 | 46.5 | 48.3 | 22.5 | 63.9 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 5.5 | 22.7 | 26.2 | 12.7 | 38.5 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 2.7 | 10.7 | 12.3 | 8.0 | 21.6 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.0 | 55.8 | 61.6 | 28.2 | 74.4 | 1,429 |
| Second | 8.7 | 38.4 | 44.5 | 19.2 | 58.9 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 6.8 | 26.0 | 32.0 | 13.8 | 44.6 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 5.1 | 19.1 | 19.6 | 10.4 | 33.3 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 3.4 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 20.3 | 2,211 |
| Total | 6.3 | 27.6 | 30.5 | 14.7 | 43.3 | 9,176 |

Note: Total includes 12 women with missing information on employment. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

The most common factor impeding women from accessing health care for themselves is distance to a health facility ( 31 percent), followed closely by getting money to pay for treatment ( 28 percent). Six percent of women reported getting permission to go as a problem in accessing health care, and 15 percent reported not wanting to go alone.

Women with five or more children, formerly married women, unemployed women, women who are employed but not for cash, rural women, and women in Kavango were more likely than their counterparts to cite having at least one of these problems in seeking health care for themselves, as were women with no education and those from the poorest households. The percentage of women who reported each of these factors as a problem in seeking medical care generally decreased with increasing educational attainment and wealth. As expected, women residing in rural areas were more likely than those in urban areas to report distance as a problem (46 percent versus 19 percent).

## Key Findings

- Nineteen percent of infants born in the five years preceding the survey were very small or smaller than average at birth. Among infants with a reported birth weight, 13 percent weighed less than 2.5 kg .
- Sixty-eight percent of children age 12-23 months were fully vaccinated at the time of the survey; 63 percent of children in this age group had received all basic vaccinations by age 12 months.
- Six percent of children under age 5 experienced symptoms of acute respiratory infection (ARI) in the two weeks preceding the survey.
- Twenty-four percent of children under age 5 had a fever within the two weeks preceding the survey. Among those with a fever, 59 percent were taken to a health facility or provider for advice or treatment, 8 percent received antimalarial medicines, and 45 percent received antibiotics.
- Seventeen percent of children under age 5 had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey. Sixty-four percent of these children were taken to a health facility or provider, and 79 percent were treated with oral rehydration therapy (ORT) or increased fluids. Twelve percent of children with diarrhoea did not receive any type of treatment.

TThis chapter presents findings on child health and survival, including neonatal characteristics (birth weight and size), the vaccination status of young children, and treatment practices-particularly contact with health services-among children suffering from three childhood illnesses: acute respiratory infection (ARI), fever, and diarrhoea. Because appropriate sanitary practices can help prevent and reduce the severity of diarrhoeal disease, information is also provided on disposal of children's faecal matter. These results can assist policymakers and programme managers as they formulate appropriate strategies and interventions to improve the health of children in Namibia. In particular, the results will be used to assess coverage of current strategies such as integrated management of childhood illness, which seeks to prevent deaths from pneumonia, malaria, and diarrhoea, and to plan for improvements in these initiatives.

### 11.1 Child's Weight and Size at Birth

Birth weight is an important indicator when assessing a child's health in terms of early exposure to childhood morbidity and mortality. Children who weigh less than 2.5 kilograms at birth, or children reported to be "very small" or "smaller than average," are considered to have a higher than average risk of early childhood death. In the 2013 NDHS, for births in the five years preceding the survey, birth weight was recorded in the Woman's Questionnaire based on either a written record or the mother's report. The mother's estimate of the infant's size at birth was also obtained because birth weight may be unknown for many infants. Although the mother's estimate of size is subjective, it can be a useful proxy for the child's weight.

Table 11.1 includes information on mothers' estimates of their infant's size at birth. Seven percent of births are reported as very small, 13 percent as smaller than average, and 79 percent as average or larger than average. Children of mothers less than age 20 are more likely to be reported as very small than children of mothers age 20 or older. Mothers who smoke cigarettes or tobacco are more likely to report very small babies at birth than mothers who do not smoke. Kavango has the highest percentage of infants
reported as very small at birth, and Zambezi has the lowest percentage. Children of mothers with more than a secondary education are less likely to be reported as very small than children of mothers with no education ( 7 percent and 11 percent, respectively). Mothers in the fourth wealth quintile are less likely to report very small babies than mothers in the lowest and highest wealth quintiles.

| Table 11.1 Child's size and weight at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by mother's estimate of baby's size at birth, percentage of live births in the five years preceding the survey that have a reported birth weight, and among live births in the five years preceding the survey with a reported birth weight, percentage less than 2.5 kg , according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent distribution of all live births by size of child at birth |  |  |  |  | Percentage of all births that have a reported birth weight ${ }^{1}$ | Number of births | Births with a reported birth weight ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Background characteristic | Very small | Smaller than average | Average or larger | Don't know/ missing | Total |  |  | Percentage less than 2.5 kg | Number of births |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 9.0 | 13.9 | 73.8 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 765 | 15.8 | 612 |
| 20-34 | 6.1 | 12.3 | 80.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 86.8 | 3,317 | 12.6 | 2,880 |
| 35-49 | 5.9 | 13.3 | 79.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 84.2 | 722 | 12.1 | 608 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7.4 | 13.4 | 76.8 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 88.5 | 1,647 | 15.2 | 1,457 |
| 2-3 | 5.7 | 12.0 | 80.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 88.1 | 1,962 | 12.2 | 1,728 |
| 4-5 | 6.5 | 12.3 | 79.8 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 81.7 | 755 | 12.0 | 617 |
| 6+ | 6.4 | 14.5 | 76.3 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 67.5 | 440 | 9.3 | 297 |
| Mother's smoking status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smokes cigarettes/ tobacco | 8.8 | 18.1 | 70.4 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 79.2 | 241 | 18.3 | 191 |
| Does not smoke | 6.4 | 12.5 | 79.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 4,559 | 12.8 | 3,907 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.8 | 11.6 | 79.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 90.8 | 2,347 | 12.9 | 2,130 |
| Rural | 6.2 | 13.8 | 78.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 80.1 | 2,457 | 13.1 | 1,969 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 2.6 | 18.3 | 77.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 86.6 | 297 | 10.1 | 257 |
| Erongo | 5.2 | 10.0 | 82.2 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 334 | 11.8 | 313 |
| Hardap | 4.0 | 14.3 | 79.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 91.4 | 173 | 13.5 | 158 |
| //Karas | 4.2 | 15.5 | 80.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 91.3 | 165 | 14.3 | 151 |
| Kavango | 9.8 | 24.0 | 65.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 75.8 | 577 | 13.0 | 438 |
| Khomas | 8.4 | 9.8 | 80.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 91.3 | 887 | 13.8 | 810 |
| Kunene | 7.0 | 11.4 | 78.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 65.6 | 179 | 15.1 | 117 |
| Ohangwena | 4.3 | 7.7 | 87.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 76.2 | 598 | 11.6 | 456 |
| Omaheke | 9.4 | 17.4 | 71.9 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 149 | 15.2 | 110 |
| Omusati | 5.4 | 10.3 | 80.1 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 89.3 | 454 | 12.6 | 405 |
| Oshana | 5.1 | 7.6 | 85.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 310 | 15.8 | 294 |
| Oshikoto | 8.0 | 13.6 | 77.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 87.4 | 373 | 14.1 | 325 |
| Otjozondjupa | 6.6 | 11.2 | 79.8 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 308 | 10.5 | 266 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.7 | 14.9 | 67.2 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 51.6 | 298 | 13.8 | 154 |
| Primary | 7.6 | 13.0 | 76.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 72.3 | 1,125 | 11.9 | 814 |
| Secondary | 5.7 | 12.9 | 80.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 3,073 | 13.3 | 2,835 |
| More than secondary | 6.5 | 8.0 | 84.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 307 | 12.5 | 297 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 7.7 | 14.9 | 75.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 71.6 | 1,047 | 13.8 | 750 |
| Second | 5.9 | 14.0 | 77.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 84.1 | 1,053 | 15.6 | 885 |
| Middle | 6.2 | 12.3 | 79.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 87.8 | 997 | 12.2 | 875 |
| Fourth | 5.4 | 10.2 | 83.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 1,000 | 11.8 | 915 |
| Highest | 7.8 | 11.9 | 78.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 95.3 | 707 | 11.5 | 674 |
| Total | 6.5 | 12.7 | 78.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 85.3 | 4,804 | 13.0 | 4,100 |

Note: Total includes 4 births with missing information on mother's smoking status.
${ }^{1}$ Based on either a written record or the mother's recall

Table 11.1 also shows that birth weight is reported for 85 percent of the live births that occurred in the five years preceding the survey; 13 percent of these infants had low birth weights (less than 2.5 kg ). Children of mothers less than age 20 and first births are more likely to be of low birth weight than their counterparts in the other categories. Smoking has an adverse impact on birth weight. The percentage of children of low birth weight ranges from a low of 10 percent in Zambezi to a high of 16 percent in Oshana.

### 11.2 Vaccination of Children

According to the World Health Organization, a child is considered fully immunised if he or she has received a BCG vaccination against tuberculosis; three doses of the DPT vaccine to prevent diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus; at least three doses of the polio vaccine; and one dose of the measles vaccine. These vaccinations should be received during the first year of life. The 2013 NDHS collected information on the coverage of these vaccinations among all children under age 5, including receipt of three doses of the pentavalent vaccination (in place of the DPT vaccine), introduced in Namibia in September 2009. The pentavalent vaccine is a combination of five vaccines: diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (whooping cough), hepatitis B, and Haemophilus influenzae type b (the bacteria that causes meningitis, pneumonia, and otitis). Since the reference period for childhood vaccination coverage includes both the stand-alone DPT and the pentavalent vaccine, we refer to this vaccination as DPT/pentavalent in the text and tables.

BCG should be given shortly after birth. The DPT/pentavalent and polio vaccines are given at approximately age 6,10 , and 14 weeks, and the measles vaccine should be given at or soon after age 9 months.

### 11.2.1 Sources of Information

In the 2013 NDHS, information on immunisation coverage was collected in two ways: from immunisation cards shown to the interviewer and from mothers' verbal reports. If the cards were available, the interviewer copied the immunisation dates directly onto the questionnaire. When there was no immunisation card, or if a vaccine had not been recorded on the card as being administered, the respondent was asked to recall the specific vaccines given to her child. The results presented here are based on both health card information and, for children without a card, information provided by the mother.

### 11.2.2 Vaccination Coverage

Table 11.2 shows vaccination coverage among children age $12-23$ months by source of information. Overall, 68 percent of children age 12-23 months were fully vaccinated at the time of the survey. Ninety-four percent had received the BCG vaccination at any time before the survey. In the case of the DPT/pentavalent vaccine, 93 percent had received the first dose, 89 percent had received the second dose, and 84 percent had received the third dose. Ninety-three percent had received the first dose of the polio vaccine, 88 percent had received the second dose, and 74 percent had received the third dose. Coverage of measles was 90 percent. Four percent of children age 12-23 months had not received any vaccinations, as compared with 2 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS.

Table 11.2 also shows the percentage of children vaccinated by age 12 months. This is the youngest cohort of children who have reached the age by which they should be fully immunised. Overall, 63 percent of children are fully immunised by 12 months.

Table 11.2 Vaccinations by source of information
Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received specific vaccines at any time before the survey, by source of information (vaccination card or mother's report), and percentage vaccinated by 12 months of age, Namibia 2013

| Source of information | BCG | DPT/Pentavalent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Polio |  |  |  | Measles | All basic vaccinations ${ }^{3}$ | No vaccinations | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccinated at any time before survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccination card | 69.4 | 68.7 | 68.3 | 67.1 | 69.1 | 69.5 | 69.2 | 67.8 | 66.2 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 652 |
| Mother's report | 24.8 | 24.0 | 20.8 | 16.5 | 20.8 | 23.1 | 18.4 | 6.5 | 23.3 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 286 |
| Either source | 94.2 | 92.7 | 89.0 | 83.5 | 89.9 | 92.6 | 87.6 | 74.3 | 89.5 | 68.4 | 4.4 | 938 |
| Vaccinated by 12 months of age ${ }^{4}$ | 94.2 | 92.3 | 88.8 | 82.4 | 89.9 | 92.2 | 87.4 | 73.2 | 82.9 | 62.6 | 4.6 | 938 |

${ }^{1}$ Pentavalent vaccinations include DPT, Hepatitis B (HepB) and Haemophilus influenza type B (HiB)
${ }^{2}$ Polio 0 is the polio vaccination given at birth.
${ }^{3}$ BCG, measles and three doses each of DPT and polio vaccine (excluding polio vaccine given at birth)
${ }^{4}$ For children whose information is based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life is assumed to be the same as for children with a written record of vaccination.

Table 11.3 presents information on vaccination coverage among children age 12-23 months (from either vaccination cards or mothers' reports) by background characteristics.

## Table 11.3 Vaccinations by background characteristics

Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received specific vaccines at any time before the survey (according to a vaccination card or the mother's report), and percentage with a vaccination card, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | BCG | DPT/pentavalent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Polio |  |  |  | Measles | All basic vaccinations ${ }^{3}$ | No vaccinations | Percentage with a vaccination card seen | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $0^{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 95.1 | 94.4 | 91.4 | 85.9 | 91.2 | 93.5 | 88.7 | 74.5 | 91.4 | 69.0 | 3.1 | 69.6 | 440 |
| Female | 93.4 | 91.1 | 87.0 | 81.4 | 88.8 | 91.8 | 86.7 | 74.2 | 87.8 | 67.9 | 5.6 | 69.5 | 498 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 93.0 | 90.6 | 86.7 | 80.3 | 87.2 | 90.9 | 85.0 | 64.5 | 90.7 | 60.2 | 5.7 | 61.3 | 306 |
| 2-3 | 94.9 | 93.7 | 88.8 | 84.6 | 91.7 | 93.2 | 88.0 | 78.3 | 87.5 | 70.5 | 3.1 | 72.2 | 389 |
| 4-5 | 94.2 | 92.6 | 91.7 | 83.8 | 88.8 | 93.4 | 91.1 | 79.6 | 91.2 | 73.4 | 5.7 | 74.4 | 163 |
| 6+ | 95.7 | 95.7 | 93.9 | 89.9 | 93.8 | 94.9 | 89.0 | 81.6 | 91.2 | 79.2 | 3.7 | 78.5 | 80 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.8 | 89.1 | 84.0 | 78.3 | 87.0 | 89.6 | 83.8 | 66.4 | 85.7 | 58.1 | 6.4 | 59.5 | 467 |
| Rural | 96.5 | 96.2 | 94.0 | 88.6 | 92.8 | 95.6 | 91.4 | 82.1 | 93.2 | 78.6 | 2.5 | 79.4 | 471 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 100.0 | 98.3 | 95.9 | 88.8 | 92.4 | 98.9 | 89.3 | 81.7 | 91.7 | 78.3 | 0.0 | 74.1 | 57 |
| Erongo | 91.6 | 91.1 | 87.1 | 80.4 | 90.3 | 94.0 | 93.0 | 71.9 | 93.3 | 65.7 | 6.0 | 60.9 | 70 |
| Hardap | 98.7 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 96.7 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 87.8 | 97.5 | 87.8 | 1.3 | 82.8 | 35 |
| //Karas | 97.2 | 97.7 | 94.1 | 81.4 | 96.0 | 95.5 | 87.3 | 68.7 | 91.8 | 65.0 | 1.3 | 66.4 | 33 |
| Kavango | 94.7 | 94.7 | 89.4 | 80.6 | 90.9 | 91.8 | 88.3 | 78.0 | 89.0 | 73.4 | 5.3 | 77.8 | 108 |
| Khomas | 83.4 | 77.3 | 72.0 | 64.4 | 76.8 | 81.6 | 73.3 | 52.6 | 75.1 | 39.6 | 13.9 | 46.6 | 165 |
| Kunene | 91.6 | 91.9 | 89.3 | 78.7 | 85.2 | 94.2 | 88.1 | 60.7 | 88.2 | 56.0 | 3.5 | 52.9 | 32 |
| Ohangwena | 97.0 | 99.0 | 95.0 | 92.6 | 95.6 | 95.7 | 93.5 | 79.1 | 95.7 | 74.7 | 1.0 | 74.1 | 123 |
| Omaheke | 94.4 | 93.5 | 92.6 | 87.9 | 91.1 | 93.5 | 88.9 | 78.2 | 87.3 | 73.8 | 4.7 | 69.3 | 27 |
| Omusati | 98.8 | 97.4 | 95.8 | 93.0 | 94.5 | 98.8 | 96.0 | 91.8 | 91.7 | 84.7 | 1.2 | 90.4 | 89 |
| Oshana | 94.2 | 89.1 | 85.5 | 80.9 | 85.7 | 87.9 | 79.3 | 66.5 | 89.8 | 62.2 | 4.3 | 63.3 | 60 |
| Oshikoto | 98.7 | 98.7 | 95.4 | 90.8 | 97.5 | 95.8 | 89.5 | 83.8 | 98.7 | 82.5 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 78 |
| Otjozondjupa | 99.1 | 97.8 | 94.5 | 93.5 | 92.0 | 96.4 | 93.2 | 83.5 | 90.9 | 77.6 | 0.9 | 76.9 | 63 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 92.3 | 93.4 | 88.6 | 72.8 | 83.4 | 93.7 | 87.1 | 70.4 | 83.8 | 59.0 | 5.0 | 69.6 | 55 |
| Primary | 94.9 | 92.9 | 92.0 | 85.9 | 90.4 | 92.0 | 90.0 | 79.6 | 89.2 | 74.6 | 5.1 | 76.2 | 211 |
| Secondary | 94.7 | 94.1 | 89.6 | 85.7 | 91.2 | 93.4 | 87.6 | 73.9 | 91.3 | 68.7 | 3.5 | 68.2 | 621 |
| More than secondary | (87.4) | (73.0) | (70.6) | (58.4) | (79.3) | (84.1) | (79.6) | (61.5) | (75.2) | (49.3) | (12.6) | (57.6) | 50 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 96.3 | 97.4 | 93.8 | 86.9 | 93.0 | 95.4 | 90.4 | 79.1 | 93.3 | 74.6 | 2.5 | 76.5 | 195 |
| Second | 95.2 | 93.0 | 89.9 | 82.9 | 89.9 | 93.0 | 89.6 | 77.4 | 90.2 | 70.3 | 3.5 | 76.2 | 194 |
| Middle | 93.8 | 93.2 | 90.0 | 87.2 | 90.0 | 92.2 | 86.7 | 75.7 | 88.9 | 72.5 | 5.7 | 72.6 | 203 |
| Fourth | 92.4 | 91.2 | 88.7 | 86.1 | 88.5 | 91.7 | 86.5 | 72.9 | 88.8 | 69.4 | 6.4 | 64.0 | 198 |
| Highest | 93.1 | 87.3 | 80.7 | 71.3 | 87.7 | 90.1 | 84.3 | 63.8 | 85.2 | 50.7 | 3.9 | 54.7 | 147 |
| Total | 94.2 | 92.7 | 89.0 | 83.5 | 89.9 | 92.6 | 87.6 | 74.3 | 89.5 | 68.4 | 4.4 | 69.5 | 938 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
${ }^{1}$ Pentavalent vaccinations include DPT, Hepatitis B (HepB) and Haemophilus influenza type B (HiB).
${ }^{2}$ Polio 0 is the polio vaccination given at birth.
${ }^{3}$ BCG, measles and three doses each of DPT and polio vaccine (excluding polio vaccine given at birth)

There are only slight variations in vaccination coverage by gender. Full vaccination coverage increases steadily with increasing birth order. Children in urban areas are much less likely to be fully immunised than children in rural areas ( 58 percent versus 79 percent). Full immunisation coverage is lowest in Khomas (40 percent), the most urban region, and highest in Hardap (88 percent). Coverage is highest ( 75 percent) among children of mothers with a primary education. Children in the lowest wealth quintile are more likely to be fully vaccinated than those in the highest quintile ( 75 percent and 51 percent, respectively).

Table 11.3 also shows that an immunisation card was seen for 70 percent of children age 12-23 months. Cards were most likely to have been seen for children of birth order six and higher ( 79 percent), children living in rural areas ( 79 percent), children living in Omusati ( 90 percent), children of mothers with a primary education ( 76 percent), and children of mothers in the lowest wealth quintile ( 77 percent).

### 11.2.3 Trends in Vaccination Coverage

Figure 11.1 compares vaccination coverage from the 2006-07 and 2013 NDHS surveys for the first year of life among children age 12-23 months. Full immunisation coverage has decreased slightly in the last six years from 64 percent in 2006-07 to 63 percent in 2013.

Differences in coverage between the two surveys by specific vaccines are small with the exception of measles (which increased from 78 percent to 83 percent) and polio 3 (which decreased from 77 percent to 73 percent).

Figure 11.1 Trends in vaccination coverage during the first year of life among children age 12-23 months


Table 11.4 shows the percentage of children age 12-59 months who received specific vaccinations during the first year of life, according to age cohort. The data indicate that the proportion of children fully vaccinated by age 12 months has increased noticeably only among the youngest two cohorts, from 48 percent among children age 24-35 months to 63 percent among children age 12-23 months.

Table 11.4 Vaccinations in first year of life
Percentage of children age 12-59 months at the time of the survey who received specific vaccines by 12 months of age, and percentage with a vaccination card, by current age of child, Namibia 2013

| Age in months | BCG | DPT/Pentavalent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Polio |  |  |  | Measles | All basic vaccinations ${ }^{3}$ | No vaccinations | Percentage with a vaccination card seen | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $0^{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-23 | 94.2 | 92.3 | 88.8 | 82.4 | 89.9 | 92.2 | 87.4 | 73.2 | 82.9 | 62.6 | 4.6 | 69.5 | 938 |
| 24-35 | 92.8 | 92.1 | 84.0 | 72.4 | 86.6 | 91.3 | 84.5 | 64.7 | 74.2 | 47.8 | 4.1 | 53.9 | 926 |
| 36-47 | 93.2 | 90.9 | 80.6 | 70.7 | 83.7 | 89.7 | 81.2 | 57.9 | 76.7 | 44.5 | 4.7 | 44.4 | 883 |
| 48-59 | 92.4 | 89.8 | 83.2 | 72.2 | 82.9 | 91.1 | 83.0 | 60.0 | 75.1 | 44.2 | 5.2 | 39.2 | 830 |
| Total | 93.2 | 91.3 | 84.3 | 74.7 | 85.9 | 91.1 | 84.1 | 64.3 | 78.1 | 50.3 | 4.6 | 52.3 | 3,577 |

[^9]
### 11.3 Prevalence and Treatment of Acute Respiratory Infection

Acute respiratory infections (ARIs) are a leading cause of childhood morbidity and mortality throughout the developing world. Early diagnosis and treatment with antibiotics can reduce the number of deaths caused by ARIs, particularly deaths resulting from pneumonia. In the 2013 NDHS, the prevalence of ARI symptoms was estimated by asking mothers whether, in the two weeks preceding the survey, their children under age 5 had been ill with a cough accompanied by short, rapid breathing and difficulty breathing as a result of a chest-related problem. These symptoms are consistent with conditions leading to pneumonia. It should be noted that the data collected on ARI symptoms are subjective because they are based on a mother's perception of illness without validation by medical personnel.

Table 11.5 shows that 6 percent of children under age 5 exhibited symptoms of ARI in the two weeks preceding the survey. The prevalence of ARI symptoms varied by the age of the child. Children age 6-11 months were more likely to have symptoms of ARI (8 percent) than children in the other age groups. Male children were more likely than female children to exhibit symptoms of ARI (7 percent versus 5 percent). ARI symptoms were also more likely to be reported among children of mothers who do not smoke, rural children, and children in Zambezi than among children in the other categories. Children of mothers with a primary education and those living in the poorest households were most likely to exhibit ARI symptoms.

Two-thirds (68 percent) of children with symptoms of ARI were taken to a health facility or health provider. More than one in two children (53 percent) with ARI symptoms received antibiotics. Due to the small number of cases, these data are not shown separately by background characteristics.

### 11.4 Prevalence and Treatment of Fever

Fever is a symptom of malaria, but it may also accompany other illnesses including pneumonia, common colds, and influenza. Because malaria is a major cause of death in infancy and childhood in many developing countries, prior to 2010 presumptive treatment of fever with antimalarial medication was advocated in many countries where malaria is endemic (WHO, 2010a). In Namibia, ideally all suspected malaria cases should be confirmed diagnostically before treatment; however, when parasitological diagnosis is not accessible, treatment may be based on clinical diagnosis (Ministry of Health and Social Services [MoHSS], 2005). Information relating to the prevention and treatment of malaria is discussed in detail in Chapter 12.

In the 2013 NDHS, fever prevalence was estimated by asking mothers whether their children under age 5 had been ill with a fever in the two weeks preceding the survey. For children with a fever,
mothers were also asked about treatment actions they took, including whether or not the child had been given any medicine to treat the fever and, if so, what medicine the child was given.

Table 11.6 shows that about one in four children under age 5 ( 24 percent) had a fever during the two weeks preceding the survey. The prevalence of fever varies with children's ages. Children age 6-11 months were more likely to have had a fever ( 38 percent) than children in other age groups. Among regions, the prevalence of fever in the two weeks preceding the survey ranged from a high of 50 percent in Zambezi to a low of 13 percent in Kunene. Children of mothers with some education were more likely to have had a fever in the two weeks preceding the survey than children of mothers with no education.

Advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider for 59 percent of children with fever. Children were more likely to have received an antibiotic medicine than an antimalarial medicine during an episode of fever ( 45 percent versus 8 percent). Children age 12-23 months, male children, those living in rural areas, children of mothers with more than a secondary education, and those in the middle wealth quintile were more likely than their counterparts in the other categories to have been taken to a facility or provider for advice or treatment of fever.

Table 11.6 Prevalence and treatment of fever
Among children under age five, the percentage who had a fever in the two weeks preceding the survey; and among children with fever, the percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider, the percentage who took antimalarial medicines, and the percentage who received antibiotics as treatment, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Among children under age five: |  | Among children under age five with fever: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage with fever | Number of children | Percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who took antimalarial medicines | Percentage who took antibiotic medicines | Number of children |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 | 23.1 | 500 | 57.1 | 6.3 | 33.3 | 116 |
| 6-11 | 38.0 | 512 | 61.1 | 8.1 | 47.6 | 194 |
| 12-23 | 27.7 | 938 | 70.1 | 10.7 | 47.8 | 260 |
| 24-35 | 24.1 | 926 | 49.5 | 7.4 | 41.2 | 223 |
| 36-47 | 21.0 | 883 | 57.3 | 12.0 | 44.5 | 186 |
| 48-59 | 15.4 | 830 | 51.0 | 2.9 | 52.5 | 128 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 25.0 | 2,237 | 59.5 | 8.8 | 46.8 | 559 |
| Female | 23.3 | 2,351 | 57.7 | 8.1 | 43.0 | 547 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.2 | 2,249 | 56.6 | 7.9 | 45.3 | 567 |
| Rural | 23.0 | 2,340 | 60.8 | 9.0 | 44.4 | 538 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 50.2 | 279 | 62.5 | 1.5 | 46.7 | 140 |
| Erongo | 22.6 | 320 | 62.3 | 15.2 | 40.9 | 72 |
| Hardap | 15.8 | 166 | (51.1) | (1.5) | (69.8) | 26 |
| //Karas | 20.8 | 160 | 55.5 | 6.6 | 46.7 | 33 |
| Kavango | 36.3 | 541 | 62.8 | 19.9 | 19.5 | 196 |
| Khomas | 26.3 | 858 | 43.6 | 7.7 | 54.3 | 225 |
| Kunene | 13.4 | 170 | 50.5 | 1.8 | 35.3 | 23 |
| Ohangwena | 18.8 | 561 | 66.1 | 4.5 | 47.3 | 105 |
| Omaheke | 23.4 | 143 | 57.5 | 0.9 | 33.6 | 33 |
| Omusati | 14.4 | 440 | 78.7 | 14.7 | 64.7 | 64 |
| Oshana | 17.5 | 300 | (76.4) | (1.7) | (38.6) | 53 |
| Oshikoto | 24.1 | 353 | 57.2 | 5.7 | 61.1 | 85 |
| Otjozondjupa | 16.7 | 298 | 47.2 | 1.6 | 49.5 | 50 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.6 | 281 | 44.3 | 6.9 | 37.6 | 44 |
| Primary | 26.4 | 1,061 | 55.0 | 14.2 | 35.2 | 280 |
| Secondary | 24.0 | 2,948 | 60.6 | 6.2 | 48.2 | 707 |
| More than secondary | 24.9 | 300 | 62.1 | 9.4 | 53.8 | 75 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 25.0 | 988 | 57.0 | 12.0 | 34.1 | 247 |
| Second | 23.2 | 1,009 | 56.2 | 4.9 | 43.0 | 234 |
| Middle | 24.8 | 952 | 65.6 | 10.4 | 45.1 | 236 |
| Fourth | 22.2 | 954 | 57.1 | 9.5 | 52.5 | 212 |
| Highest | 25.8 | 686 | 56.8 | 4.4 | 53.0 | 177 |
| Total | 24.1 | 4,588 | 58.6 | 8.4 | 44.9 | 1,106 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
${ }^{1}$ Excludes pharmacy, shop, market, and traditional practitioner

### 11.5 Diarrhoeal Disease

Dehydration caused by severe diarrhoea is a major cause of morbidity and mortality among young children. Exposure to diarrhoea-causing agents is often related to the use of contaminated water and to unhygienic practices in food preparation and disposal of excreta.

The 2013 NDHS obtained information on the prevalence of diarrhoea among young children by asking mothers whether their children under age 5 had had diarrhoea during the two weeks preceding the survey. When a child was identified as having had diarrhoea, information was collected on treatment and feeding practices during the diarrhoeal episode. The mother was also asked whether there was blood in the child's stools, which indicates an infection that needs to be treated differently than diarrhoea without blood.

### 11.5.1 Prevalence of Diarrhoea

Table 11.7 shows that 17 percent of children under age 5 had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, and 2 percent had blood in their stool. The prevalence of diarrhoea is much higher among children age 6-35 months than among children in the other age groups. Male children are slightly more likely than female children to have had diarrhoea (19 percent versus 16 percent). Diarrhoea is somewhat more prevalent among children in households without an improved source of drinking water (20 percent) than among children from households that do have an improved source of water (17 percent). Similarly, the prevalence of diarrhoea is higher among children whose households do not have an improved toilet facility ( 20 percent) or share a facility with other households (16 percent) than among children whose households have an improved, unshared toilet facility (13 percent). Rural children are more likely to have had diarrhoea than urban children ( 20 percent versus 15 percent). The prevalence of diarrhoea varies at the regional level: it is highest in Zambezi and Kavango (32 percent each)

Table 11.7 Prevalence of diarrhoea
Percentage of children under age five who had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All diarrhoea | Diarrhoea with blood |  |
| Age in months |  |  |  |
| <6 | 12.2 | 0.2 | 500 |
| 6-11 | 30.2 | 4.6 | 512 |
| 12-23 | 28.1 | 3.8 | 938 |
| 24-35 | 20.5 | 2.6 | 926 |
| 36-47 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 883 |
| 48-59 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 830 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 18.9 | 2.3 | 2,237 |
| Female | 16.1 | 2.2 | 2,351 |
| Source of drinking water ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Improved | 16.7 | 2.1 | 3,833 |
| Not improved | 20.4 | 2.5 | 719 |
| Other/missing | (37.4) | (11.2) | 36 |
| Toilet facility ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| Improved, not shared | 13.0 | 1.3 | 1,241 |
| Shared ${ }^{3}$ | 16.2 | 1.2 | 633 |
| Non-improved | 19.8 | 2.9 | 2,709 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.7 | 1.6 | 2,249 |
| Rural | 20.1 | 2.9 | 2,340 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 32.3 | 3.0 | 279 |
| Erongo | 10.1 | 0.4 | 320 |
| Hardap | 7.5 | 0.3 | 166 |
| //Karas | 9.6 | 0.7 | 160 |
| Kavango | 31.8 | 6.0 | 541 |
| Khomas | 16.4 | 1.3 | 858 |
| Kunene | 12.4 | 2.8 | 170 |
| Ohangwena | 15.0 | 2.0 | 561 |
| Omaheke | 14.7 | 2.3 | 143 |
| Omusati | 19.2 | 2.8 | 440 |
| Oshana | 10.2 | 1.5 | 300 |
| Oshikoto | 14.7 | 1.9 | 353 |
| Otjozondjupa | 14.9 | 1.6 | 298 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| No education | 14.3 | 1.7 | 281 |
| Primary | 22.7 | 3.3 | 1,061 |
| Secondary | 16.4 | 2.1 | 2,948 |
| More than secondary | 11.7 | 0.4 | 300 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 23.0 | 3.8 | 988 |
| Second | 18.9 | 2.8 | 1,009 |
| Middle | 18.5 | 1.5 | 952 |
| Fourth | 13.8 | 1.5 | 954 |
| Highest | 10.9 | 1.2 | 686 |
| Total | 17.4 | 2.2 | 4,588 |

Note: Total includes 5 children with missing information on toilet facility. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
${ }^{1}$ See Table 2.1 for definition of categories
${ }^{2}$ See Table 2.2 for definition of categories
${ }^{3}$ Facilities that would be considered improved if they were not shared by two or more households and lowest in Hardap (8 percent). The prevalence of diarrhoea with blood by background characteristics follows a pattern similar to that observed for diarrhoea in general.

### 11.5.2 Treatment of Diarrhoea

Table 11.8 shows that 64 percent of children with diarrhoea were taken to a health facility or provider for advice or treatment. Children age 6-23 months, male children, children with bloody diarrhoea, and children from Kavango were more likely than their counterparts to be taken to a health facility or
provider for treatment, as were children of mothers with a primary education and children from households in the fourth wealth quintile.

A simple and effective response to dehydration caused by diarrhoea is oral rehydration therapy (ORT). Oral rehydration salt (ORS) packets are one source of rehydration therapy available in Namibia.

Seventy-two percent of children were treated with ORS, 18 percent were given recommended home fluids (RHF), 78 percent were given oral rehydration therapy (that is, either ORS or RHF), 12 percent were given increased fluids, and 79 percent were given ORT or increased fluids.

Table 11.8 Diarrhoea treatment
Among children under age five who had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, the percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider, the percentage given oral rehydration therapy (ORT), the percentage given increased fluids, the percentage given ORT or increased fluids, and the percentage given other treatments, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children with diarrhoea for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider ${ }^{1}$ | Oral rehydration therapy (ORT) |  |  |  |  | Other treatments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fluid from ORS packets or prepackaged ORS fluid | Recommended home fluids (RHF) | Either ORS or RHF | Increased fluids | ORT or increased fluids | Antibiotic medicines | Home remedy/ other | No treatment | Number of children with diarrhoea |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 | 61.8 | 49.4 | 4.0 | 49.4 | 6.7 | 52.6 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 27.8 | 61 |
| 6-11 | 65.5 | 68.5 | 19.1 | 79.5 | 8.8 | 80.3 | 20.1 | 28.1 | 11.1 | 155 |
| 12-23 | 65.8 | 75.8 | 15.2 | 79.9 | 11.6 | 81.8 | 22.2 | 19.3 | 9.8 | 263 |
| 24-35 | 60.2 | 75.9 | 19.9 | 82.2 | 14.0 | 83.0 | 17.2 | 18.6 | 11.4 | 190 |
| 36-47 | 63.5 | 73.4 | 21.9 | 77.0 | 11.7 | 78.2 | 13.5 | 19.5 | 12.5 | 81 |
| 48-59 | 63.1 | 67.3 | 33.6 | 75.7 | 16.6 | 83.2 | 20.0 | 29.2 | 6.0 | 51 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 66.6 | 71.5 | 18.4 | 78.6 | 13.4 | 80.6 | 19.3 | 22.8 | 11.3 | 422 |
| Female | 60.5 | 71.7 | 17.7 | 76.2 | 9.5 | 77.8 | 19.3 | 20.1 | 12.4 | 378 |
| Type of diarrhoea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-bloody | 62.6 | 72.0 | 18.1 | 78.4 | 11.8 | 80.5 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 11.6 | 684 |
| Bloody | 73.4 | 73.1 | 20.3 | 76.3 | 9.4 | 76.5 | 13.4 | 32.9 | 12.3 | 102 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 63.8 | 75.4 | 20.0 | 82.0 | 14.0 | 83.7 | 21.7 | 18.1 | 9.4 | 330 |
| Rural | 63.7 | 69.0 | 16.7 | 74.3 | 9.9 | 76.3 | 17.7 | 23.9 | 13.6 | 471 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 63.4 | 69.7 | 25.4 | 80.3 | 12.6 | 80.3 | 16.7 | 10.3 | 13.2 | 90 |
| Erongo | (63.5) | (69.3) | (33.1) | (84.4) | (15.0) | (84.4) | (18.5) | (29.4) | (7.5) | 32 |
| Hardap | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12 |
| //Karas | (55.9) | (75.9) | (16.3) | (75.9) | (12.5) | (77.2) | (20.0) | (20.0) | (7.4) | 15 |
| Kavango | 72.0 | 78.3 | 21.5 | 81.2 | 1.6 | 81.2 | 6.2 | 21.0 | 12.1 | 172 |
| Khomas | 56.5 | 75.5 | 16.1 | 83.6 | 15.2 | 86.3 | 24.0 | 14.5 | 11.7 | 141 |
| Kunene | 53.1 | 59.4 | 9.5 | 65.6 | 3.8 | 65.6 | 12.2 | 42.7 | 18.0 | 21 |
| Ohangwena | 67.7 | 66.5 | 8.9 | 66.5 | 22.0 | 70.7 | 18.2 | 32.3 | 15.1 | 84 |
| Omaheke | 53.4 | 82.3 | 30.3 | 86.8 | 7.8 | 86.8 | 23.0 | 17.2 | 11.3 | 21 |
| Omusati | 70.2 | 67.1 | 21.0 | 76.8 | 4.3 | 76.8 | 35.8 | 27.7 | 11.3 | 85 |
| Oshana | (64.0) | (78.3) | (12.8) | (78.3) | (13.7) | (78.3) | (31.7) | (30.4) | (10.8) | 31 |
| Oshikoto | (56.7) | (64.4) | (11.8) | (72.4) | (23.2) | (79.8) | (15.4) | (21.9) | (7.5) | 52 |
| Otjozondjupa | 57.6 | 62.7 | 9.1 | 64.9 | 10.3 | 69.6 | 27.5 | 18.2 | 12.9 | 44 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 53.1 | 61.6 | 12.3 | 68.4 | 5.5 | 68.4 | 12.7 | 22.8 | 13.7 | 40 |
| Primary | 67.6 | 70.8 | 17.8 | 75.6 | 8.3 | 76.9 | 15.1 | 21.2 | 13.9 | 241 |
| Secondary | 63.1 | 73.7 | 19.6 | 80.1 | 12.8 | 81.6 | 22.1 | 21.1 | 10.3 | 485 |
| More than secondary | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 35 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 62.4 | 64.2 | 18.6 | 70.2 | 9.0 | 72.6 | 12.5 | 20.4 | 18.6 | 227 |
| Second | 62.0 | 75.4 | 16.9 | 82.4 | 8.8 | 82.4 | 16.8 | 23.0 | 8.7 | 191 |
| Middle | 64.8 | 73.2 | 21.4 | 79.5 | 12.1 | 80.7 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 7.7 | 177 |
| Fourth | 68.7 | 77.9 | 18.8 | 83.7 | 12.9 | 84.9 | 29.6 | 19.3 | 8.9 | 131 |
| Highest | 61.3 | 69.7 | 10.5 | 71.4 | 23.1 | 78.8 | 23.2 | 23.3 | 14.5 | 75 |
| Total | 63.7 | 71.6 | 18.1 | 77.5 | 11.6 | 79.3 | 19.3 | 21.5 | 11.9 | 800 |

[^10]Nineteen percent of children with diarrhoea were given antibiotic medicines, and 22 percent were given home remedies or other unspecified drugs. However, about one in ten (12 percent) children with diarrhoea did not receive any treatment at all.

### 11.5.3 Feeding Practices during Diarrhoea

When a child has diarrhoea, mothers are encouraged to continue feeding the child the same amount of food as they would if the child did not have diarrhoea, and they are also encouraged to increase the child's fluid intake. These practices help to reduce dehydration and minimise the adverse consequences of diarrhoea for the child's nutritional status. In the 2013 NDHS, mothers were asked whether they gave their child with diarrhoea less, the same amount of, or more fluids and food than usual.

Table 11.9 shows the percent distribution of children under age 5 who had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey by feeding practices, according to background characteristics. Forty-five percent of children with diarrhoea were given the same amount of liquids as usual, and 12 percent were given more. Eighteen percent of children were given somewhat less and 21 percent were given much less to drink than usual. Forty percent of children were given the same amount of food as usual, 4 percent were given more food, 22 percent were given somewhat less food, and 23 percent were given much less food. Five percent of children were not given any food during the diarrhoea episode. Overall, only 8 percent of children had increased fluid intake and continued feeding. Fifty-two percent of children continued feeding and were given ORT and/or increased fluids.

### 11.6 Knowledge of ORS Packets

To ascertain respondents’ knowledge of ORS in Namibia, women were asked whether they knew about ORS packets. Knowledge was nearly universal, with 96 percent of women knowing about ORS packets or ORS pre-packaged liquids; there was little variation in knowledge by background characteristics (data not shown separately).
Table 11.9 Feeding practices during diarrhoea
 feeding during the diarrhoea episode, and the percentage of children who continued feeding and were given ORT and/or increased fluids during the episode of diarrhoea, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Amount of liquids given |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount of food given |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage given increased fluids and continued feeding ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who continued feeding and were given ORT and/or increased fluids ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children with diarrhoea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | More | Same as usual | Somewhat less | Much less | None | Don't know/ missing | Total | More | Same as usual | Somewhat less | Much less | None | Never gave food | Don't know/ missing | Total |  |  |  |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 | 6.7 | 65.5 | 15.9 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 37.6 | 17.3 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 35.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 61 |
| 6-11 | 8.8 | 39.1 | 20.0 | 24.7 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 38.8 | 20.9 | 23.7 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 51.1 | 155 |
| 12-23 | 11.6 | 41.8 | 18.5 | 26.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 40.9 | 22.6 | 23.6 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 55.6 | 263 |
| 24-35 | 14.0 | 44.2 | 15.9 | 22.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 40.5 | 18.4 | 28.3 | 5.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 52.1 | 190 |
| 36-47 | 11.7 | 49.3 | 17.1 | 12.2 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 35.3 | 32.7 | 21.4 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 55.2 | 81 |
| 48-59 | 16.6 | 47.1 | 25.6 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 51.3 | 28.8 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 67.4 | 51 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 13.4 | 41.4 | 19.5 | 21.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4.1 | 38.3 | 23.4 | 23.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 53.8 | 422 |
| Female | 9.5 | 48.5 | 17.0 | 20.3 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 42.4 | 21.1 | 21.8 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 49.9 | 378 |
| Type of diarrhoea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-bloody | 11.8 | 46.1 | 18.3 | 20.6 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 40.7 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 7.9 | 53.2 | 684 |
| Bloody | 9.4 | 39.2 | 16.7 | 27.1 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 38.1 | 19.7 | 26.9 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.8 | 47.0 | 102 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.0 | 40.2 | 15.2 | 26.7 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 40.2 | 19.6 | 25.9 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 52.0 | 330 |
| Rural | 9.9 | 47.9 | 20.5 | 17.1 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 40.3 | 24.2 | 20.8 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 52.0 | 471 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 12.6 | 46.0 | 20.9 | 18.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 34.4 | 30.1 | 22.0 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 54.6 | 90 |
| Erongo | (15.0) | (37.1) | (2.3) | (39.9) | (5.7) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (9.5) | (33.3) | (7.8) | (35.7) | (6.1) | (7.7) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (10.7) | (42.9) | 32 |
| Hardap |  |  | * |  |  |  | 100.0 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  | * | 12 |
| //Karas | (12.5) | (43.4) | (6.5) | (19.7) | (7.3) | (10.7) | 100.0 | (2.6) | (41.1) | (19.4) | (20.8) | (2.8) | (2.6) | (10.7) | 100.0 | (9.8) | (50.8) | 15 |
| Kavango | 1.6 | 54.3 | 27.7 | 14.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 48.7 | 27.4 | 12.4 | 1.5 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 61.2 | 172 |
| Khomas | 15.2 | 36.9 | 15.0 | 28.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 36.5 | 19.0 | 31.0 | 6.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 49.5 | 141 |
| Kunene | 3.8 | 54.7 | 14.6 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 50.0 | 14.9 | 23.3 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 45.9 | 21 |
| Ohangwena | 22.0 | 40.5 | 10.1 | 24.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 35.8 | 16.8 | 37.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 38.8 | 84 |
| Omaheke | 7.8 | 44.5 | 21.0 | 21.2 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 43.7 | 19.6 | 22.3 | 8.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 55.1 | 21 |
| Omusati | 4.3 | 41.5 | 27.6 | 14.5 | 10.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 35.9 | 27.8 | 16.2 | 14.5 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.9 | 85 |
| Oshana | (13.7) | (32.0) | (6.6) | (44.2) | (3.5) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (3.4) | (27.4) | (23.8) | (35.7) | (3.5) | (6.3) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (10.5) | (44.1) | 31 |
| Oshikoto | (23.2) | (40.3) | (21.1) | (8.3) | (1.9) | (5.3) | 100.0 | (2.8) | (37.6) | (24.6) | (19.5) | (8.3) | (5.7) | (1.6) | 100.0 | (9.9) | (54.5) | 52 |
| Otjozondjupa | 10.3 | 60.4 | 9.6 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 58.3 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 53.9 | 44 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.5 | 65.2 | 11.7 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 50.0 | 13.4 | 22.8 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 40 |
| Primary | 8.3 | 46.0 | 24.3 | 16.6 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 38.3 | 29.3 | 20.1 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 51.9 | 241 |
| Secondary | 12.8 | 43.0 | 16.7 | 23.0 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 40.9 | 19.6 | 23.1 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 53.3 | 485 |
| More than secondary | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | 35 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.0 | 46.9 | 23.1 | 18.6 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4.7 | 37.7 | 25.5 | 23.5 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 50.3 | 227 |
| Second | 8.8 | 48.5 | 20.3 | 17.6 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 47.7 | 18.4 | 19.5 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 54.4 | 191 |
| Middle | 12.1 | 44.1 | 14.7 | 22.6 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 35.6 | 24.6 | 23.3 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 50.4 | 177 |
| Fourth | 12.9 | 42.5 | 17.4 | 24.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 43.3 | 18.5 | 22.2 | 7.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 57.0 | 131 |
| Highest | 23.1 | 34.2 | 8.6 | 28.8 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 34.7 | 23.6 | 30.2 | 2.0 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 45.7 | 75 |
| Total | 11.6 | 44.8 | 18.3 | 21.1 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 40.2 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 52.0 | 800 |

[^11]
### 11.7 Disposal of Children’s Stools

The proper disposal of children's faeces is important in preventing the spread of disease. If faeces are left uncontained, disease may spread by direct contact or through animal contact. Children's stools are considered to be safely disposed of if the child uses a toilet or latrine, the child's stool is put or rinsed into a toilet or latrine, or the stool is buried.

Table 11.10 presents information on disposal of children's stools, according to background characteristics. Overall, 51 percent of children had their last stool disposed of safely. Stools for 5 percent of children are put or rinsed into a drain or ditch, 26 percent are thrown into the garbage, and 12 percent are left in the open. Children in rural areas were more likely than those in urban areas to have had their last stool safely disposed of (54 percent and 48 percent, respectively).

Table 11.10 Disposal of children's stools
Percent distribution of youngest children under age five living with their mother by the manner of disposal of the child's last faecal matter, and percentage of children whose stools are disposed of safely, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Manner of disposal of children's stools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of children whose stools are disposed of safely ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Child used toilet or latrine | Put/rinsed into toilet or latrine | Buried | Put/rinsed into drain or ditch | Thrown into garbage | Left in the open | Other | Missing | Total |  |  |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 | 3.0 | 9.3 | 25.7 | 11.3 | 40.2 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 38.0 | 487 |
| 6-11 | 3.0 | 8.5 | 35.8 | 7.1 | 33.4 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 47.3 | 481 |
| 12-23 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 37.3 | 4.7 | 31.2 | 11.3 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 49.9 | 753 |
| 24-35 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 32.2 | 2.4 | 19.2 | 16.1 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 55.6 | 585 |
| 36-47 | 20.3 | 12.6 | 25.2 | 1.3 | 14.4 | 20.8 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 58.1 | 407 |
| 48-59 | 29.8 | 11.9 | 20.1 | 0.8 | 10.5 | 21.1 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 61.8 | 329 |
| Toilet facility ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improved, not shared | 23.3 | 21.0 | 9.7 | 4.0 | 35.0 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 54.0 | 853 |
| Shared ${ }^{3}$ | 16.7 | 16.5 | 12.6 | 5.1 | 38.5 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 45.9 | 373 |
| Non-improved or shared | 3.1 | 3.2 | 44.5 | 5.1 | 19.5 | 17.8 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 50.8 | 1,812 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 17.9 | 16.4 | 13.4 | 4.9 | 36.1 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 47.7 | 1,445 |
| Rural | 3.7 | 4.0 | 46.5 | 4.8 | 17.2 | 16.9 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 54.2 | 1,596 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 2.2 | 5.7 | 38.6 | 7.3 | 27.9 | 14.4 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 46.5 | 206 |
| Erongo | 25.3 | 19.8 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 42.9 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 48.0 | 199 |
| Hardap | 11.9 | 8.9 | 4.9 | 13.1 | 41.5 | 17.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 25.7 | 119 |
| //Karas | 22.5 | 12.1 | 6.9 | 13.6 | 36.3 | 5.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 41.6 | 102 |
| Kavango | 1.1 | 3.0 | 55.3 | 3.3 | 19.8 | 9.8 | 7.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 59.4 | 400 |
| Khomas | 20.8 | 17.2 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 41.1 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 43.7 | 544 |
| Kunene | 7.8 | 5.8 | 34.6 | 4.7 | 31.7 | 13.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 48.2 | 106 |
| Ohangwena | 7.3 | 1.6 | 48.6 | 6.3 | 14.3 | 12.3 | 9.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 57.6 | 349 |
| Omaheke | 6.3 | 17.1 | 40.8 | 6.4 | 13.8 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 64.1 | 89 |
| Omusati | 6.3 | 2.6 | 48.3 | 3.2 | 15.9 | 20.5 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 57.2 | 295 |
| Oshana | 10.6 | 12.0 | 26.6 | 3.3 | 18.3 | 27.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 49.2 | 193 |
| Oshikoto | 4.4 | 8.7 | 43.4 | 6.0 | 13.1 | 15.1 | 8.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 56.4 | 241 |
| Otjozondjupa | 9.6 | 21.0 | 22.2 | 5.0 | 27.8 | 9.2 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 52.8 | 200 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.1 | 5.8 | 49.0 | 2.7 | 14.3 | 15.6 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 58.0 | 193 |
| Primary | 4.2 | 4.7 | 42.9 | 4.6 | 21.8 | 15.3 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 51.8 | 687 |
| Secondary | 11.4 | 10.4 | 27.6 | 5.6 | 28.2 | 11.8 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 49.5 | 1,945 |
| More than secondary | 28.1 | 24.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 32.8 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 57.0 | 217 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.3 | 1.6 | 51.0 | 3.9 | 16.4 | 15.8 | 8.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 54.9 | 676 |
| Second | 1.6 | 4.1 | 42.2 | 5.5 | 21.2 | 19.7 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 47.9 | 681 |
| Middle | 6.4 | 8.7 | 34.6 | 5.7 | 24.1 | 15.0 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 49.8 | 618 |
| Fourth | 16.9 | 19.3 | 14.1 | 5.4 | 34.4 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 50.3 | 598 |
| Highest | 32.2 | 19.7 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 40.0 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 52.9 | 468 |
| Total | 10.5 | 9.9 | 30.7 | 4.8 | 26.2 | 12.2 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 51.1 | 3,042 |

[^12]At the regional level, there are wide variations in the proportion of children whose last stool was disposed of properly. For example, 64 percent of children in Omaheke had their stools disposed of safely, as compared with only 26 percent of children from Hardap. There are no substantial differences by mother's education or wealth quintile in safe disposal of children's stools.

## NUTRITION OF CHILDREN AND ADULTS

## Key Findings

- Among Namibian children under age 5 at the time of the survey, 24 percent were stunted (short for their age), 6 percent were wasted (thin for their height), and 13 percent were underweight (thin for their age). Only 3 percent of children were overweight (heavy for their height).
- Almost all children ( 96 percent) are breastfed at some point in their life. Forty-nine percent of children under age 6 months are exclusively breastfed. Sixty-two percent of children age 6-9 months are breastfeeding and consuming complementary foods.
- The median duration of breastfeeding is 14.7 months.
- Only 13 percent of children age 6-23 months are fed in accordance with the three core infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices.
- Eighty-four percent of Namibian children age 6-59 months received vitamin A supplements in the six months prior to the survey, 43 percent received deworming medication in the preceding six months, and 76 percent live in households with iodised salt.
- Overall, 55 percent of women and 65 percent of men have a body mass index ( BMI ) in the normal range. Three in ten women and one in ten men are overweight or obese.
- Among women age $15-49$ with a child born in the past five years, 58 percent received a vitamin A dose postpartum; during the pregnancy of their last birth, 39 percent of women took iron tablets for the recommended period of time, while only 7 percent took deworming medication.

TThis chapter presents findings on the nutritional status of adults and children in Namibia. A specific focus is infant and young child feeding practices, including early initiation of breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding during the first six months of life, continued breastfeeding until at least age 2, timely introduction of complementary foods at age 6 months (with increasing frequency of feeding solid and semisolid foods), and diet diversity. Data on nutritional status, diversity of foods consumed, micronutrient intake, and vitamin A supplementation are presented for women and for children under age 5, along with the results of household testing of salt for adequate levels of iodine. A summary indicator that describes the quality of infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices for infants age 6-23 months is included. Findings on the prevalence of anaemia among children age 6-59 months and women and men age 15-49 are also presented.

Good nutrition is a basic building block of human capital and, as such, contributes to economic development. Adequate nutrition is critical to child development, with the period from birth to age 2, referred to as the critical window of opportunity, being important for optimal growth, health, and development. Unfortunately, this period is often marked by growth faltering, micronutrient deficiencies, and common childhood illnesses such as malaria, diarrhoea, and acute respiratory infections.

A woman's nutritional status has important implications for her health as well as the health of her children. Malnutrition in women results in reduced productivity, an increased susceptibility to infections, slow recovery from illness, and heightened risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes. For example, a woman who has poor nutritional status, as indicated by a low body mass index (BMI), short stature, anaemia, or other micronutrient deficiencies, has a greater risk of obstructed labour, of having a baby with a low birth
weight, of producing lower quality breast milk, of mortality due to postpartum haemorrhage, and of morbidity for both herself and her baby.

### 12.1 Nutritional Status of Children

The anthropometric data on height and weight collected in the 2013 NDHS permit the measurement and evaluation of the nutritional status of young children in Namibia. This evaluation allows identification of subgroups of the child population that are at increased risk of growth faltering, diseases, impaired mental development, and death. Marked differences, especially with regard to height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, are often seen among different subgroups of children within the country.

### 12.1.1 Measurement of Nutritional Status among Young Children

The 2013 NDHS collected data on the nutritional status of children by measuring the height and weight of all children under age 5 . Data were collected with the aim of calculating three indices-namely, weight-for-age, height-for-age, and weight-for-height-all of which take age and sex into consideration. Weight measurements were obtained using lightweight, bathroom-type scales with a digital screen designed and manufactured under the guidance of UNICEF. Height measurements were carried out using a measuring board. Children younger than age 24 months were measured lying down (recumbent length) on the board, while standing height was measured for older children.

For this report, indicators of the nutritional status of children were calculated using growth standards published by WHO in 2006. These growth standards were generated through data collected in the WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study (WHO, 2006a). That study, whose sample included 8,440 children in six countries, was designed to provide a description of how children should grow under optimal conditions. The WHO child growth standards can therefore be used to assess children all over the world, regardless of ethnicity, social and economic influences, and feeding practices. The three nutritional status indicators described below are expressed in standard deviation units from the median of the Multicentre Growth Reference Study sample.

Each of these indices provides different information about growth and body composition. The height-for-age index is an indicator of linear growth retardation and cumulative growth deficits. Children whose height-for-age Z-score is below minus two standard deviations ( -2 SD ) from the median of the WHO reference population are considered short for their age (stunted) and are chronically malnourished. Children who are below minus three standard deviations ( -3 SD ) from the reference median are considered severely stunted. Stunting reflects failure to receive adequate nutrition over a long period of time and is affected by recurrent and chronic illness. Height-for-age, therefore, represents the long-term effects of malnutrition in a population and is not sensitive to recent, short-term changes in dietary intake.

The weight-for-height index measures body mass in relation to height or length and describes current nutritional status. Children whose Z -scores are below minus two standard deviations (-2 SD) from the reference median are considered thin (wasted) and are acutely malnourished. Wasting represents the failure to receive adequate nutrition in the period immediately preceding the survey and may be the result of inadequate food intake or a recent episode of illness causing loss of weight and the onset of malnutrition. Children whose weight-for-height is below minus three standard deviations (-3 SD) from the reference median are considered severely wasted. Overweight and obesity are becoming problems for some children in developing countries. Children who are more than two standard deviations (+2 SD) above the median for weight-for-height are considered overweight or obese.

Weight-for-age is a composite index of height-for-age and weight-for-height. It takes into account both acute and chronic malnutrition. Children whose weight-for-age is below minus two standard deviations ( -2 SD) from the reference median are classified as underweight. Children whose weight-for-
age is below minus three standard deviations ( -3 SD ) from the reference median are considered severely underweight.

### 12.1.2 Data Collection

Height and weight measurements were obtained for 2,287 children under age 5 who were present in the households selected for the NDHS at the time of the survey. The following analysis focuses on children for whom complete and credible anthropometric data and valid age data were collected.

Although data were collected for all children under age 6, for purposes of comparability, the analysis is limited to children under age 5 . Height and weight measurements were obtained for 80 percent of the 2,856 eligible children (unweighted). Height and weight were missing for 7 percent of children, the data for 12 percent were flagged (out-of-range), and 1 percent had incomplete information on age in months.

### 12.1.3 Levels of Child Malnutrition

Table 12.1 and Figure 12.1 show the percentage of children under age 5 classified as malnourished according to the three anthropometric indices of nutritional status (height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age), by background characteristics.

Nationally, 24 percent of children under age 5 are stunted, and 8 percent are severely stunted. The percentage of children who are stunted initially increases with age, from 1 percent among children age 6-8 months to 35 percent among those age 24-35 months, before declining steadily to reach 21 percent among children age 48-59 months. Severe stunting shows a similar trend, with children age 24-35 months most likely (14 percent) and those below 9 months least likely ( 2 percent) to be severely stunted.

Twenty-seven percent of male children are stunted, as compared with 21 percent of female children. Children with a preceding birth interval of 48 months or more have a lower prevalence of stunting (19 percent) than children with shorter preceding birth intervals (23-26 percent). As expected, children whose size at birth was reported as very small by their mothers are most likely to be stunted (40 percent). The mother's body mass index has an inverse relationship with stunting levels. For example, 28 percent of children of mothers who are thin (BMI less than 18.5) are stunted, as compared with 15 percent of children whose mothers are overweight or obese (BMI of 25 or above).

Children in rural areas are much more likely than those in urban areas to be stunted (28 percent and 17 percent, respectively). By region, Ohangwena ( 37 percent) has the highest proportion of stunted children, while Khomas has the lowest (13 percent).

Mother's level of education has an inverse relationship with stunting. For example, children of mothers with more than a secondary education are least likely to be stunted ( 9 percent), whereas children whose mothers have no education are most likely to be stunted ( 34 percent). A similar inverse relationship is observed between household wealth and stunting, with children living in households in the poorest wealth quintile having the highest prevalence of stunting ( 31 percent). Variations in severe stunting among children under age 5 by background characteristics follow the same patterns as those for moderate stunting.

Table 12.1 Nutritional status of children
Percentage of children under age 5 classified as malnourished according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Height-for-age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Weight-for-height |  |  |  | Weight-for-age |  |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage below -3 SD | Percentage below $-2 S^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Z-score } \\ & \text { (SD) } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage below -3 SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 \text { SD }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { above } \\ & +2 \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | Mean <br> Z-score (SD) | Percentage below -3 SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 \text { SD }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Percentage above +2 SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Z-score } \\ \text { (SD) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 | (0.0) | (0.0) | (-0.9) | (8.2) | (17.3) | (3.2) | (0.9) | (0.0) | (3.4) | (4.9) | (-0.1) | 37 |
| 6-8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 11.7 | 0.0 | -0.6 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 1.4 | -0.2 | 120 |
| 9-11 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 18.7 | 3.9 | -0.8 | 2.5 | 12.3 | 1.9 | -0.5 | 122 |
| 12-17 | 5.2 | 19.8 | -0.9 | 3.8 | 9.7 | 3.0 | -0.4 | 3.1 | 12.7 | 2.4 | -0.6 | 248 |
| 18-23 | 11.8 | 29.9 | -1.2 | 2.7 | 11.8 | 3.1 | -0.5 | 2.7 | 13.0 | 0.8 | -0.8 | 248 |
| 24-35 | 13.5 | 34.7 | -1.5 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 15.0 | 0.0 | -0.8 | 566 |
| 36-47 | 8.6 | 25.1 | -1.3 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 13.0 | 1.0 | -0.9 | 474 |
| 48-59 | 5.3 | 20.5 | -1.2 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 2.1 | -0.2 | 2.3 | 15.4 | 0.2 | -1.0 | 472 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 9.0 | 26.6 | -1.2 | 3.1 | 8.6 | 3.1 | -0.2 | 2.9 | 15.3 | 0.9 | -0.8 | 1,140 |
| Female | 7.3 | 21.0 | -1.0 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 3.7 | -0.2 | 2.3 | 11.4 | 0.9 | -0.7 | 1,147 |
| Birth interval in months ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First birth ${ }^{4}$ | 7.5 | 21.8 | -0.9 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 3.6 | -0.1 | 1.3 | 9.9 | 1.1 | -0.6 | 460 |
| <24 | 8.4 | 22.8 | -1.2 | 2.1 | 10.5 | 3.5 | -0.3 | 3.3 | 17.6 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 150 |
| 24-47 | 9.2 | 26.0 | -1.2 | 3.1 | 9.6 | 3.5 | -0.4 | 4.2 | 16.7 | 1.1 | -1.0 | 400 |
| 48+ | 6.1 | 19.1 | -0.9 | 2.3 | 8.7 | 3.3 | -0.3 | 2.9 | 13.5 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 511 |
| Size at birth ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very small | 21.6 | 40.4 | -1.7 | 4.3 | 17.1 | 1.5 | -0.5 | 10.3 | 34.7 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 93 |
| Small | 10.2 | 30.1 | -1.3 | 3.1 | 13.8 | 2.3 | -0.6 | 4.9 | 20.3 | 0.0 | -1.2 | 207 |
| Average or larger | 5.9 | 19.3 | -0.9 | 1.9 | 6.0 | 3.9 | -0.2 | 1.9 | 10.8 | 1.1 | -0.6 | 1,201 |
| Mother's interview status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interviewed | 7.6 | 22.1 | -1.0 | 2.2 | 7.7 | 3.5 | -0.3 | 2.8 | 13.7 | 0.9 | -0.8 | 1,521 |
| Not interviewed but in household | 4.4 | 16.8 | -0.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | -0.6 | 105 |
| Not interviewed and not in the household ${ }^{5}$ | 10.2 | 28.8 | -1.3 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | -0.1 | 2.5 | 13.8 | 0.9 | -0.9 | 660 |
| Mother's nutritional status ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thin (BMI <18.5) | 10.9 | 28.0 | -1.2 | 2.0 | 9.8 | 0.3 | -0.6 | 6.3 | 17.5 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 158 |
| Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) | 8.0 | 24.5 | -1.1 | 2.7 | 8.6 | 2.9 | -0.4 | 2.7 | 15.8 | 0.4 | -0.9 | 795 |
| Overweight/obese ( BMI ²5) | 4.8 | 14.6 | -0.8 | 0.9 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 9.4 | 1.4 | -0.4 | 413 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.2 | 16.7 | -0.8 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 9.1 | 1.5 | -0.5 | 836 |
| Rural | 9.9 | 27.8 | -1.2 | 2.2 | 6.9 | 3.0 | -0.3 | 3.3 | 15.8 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 1,451 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 5.4 | 18.6 | -1.0 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 2.0 | -0.1 | 0.9 | 10.5 | 1.3 | -0.7 | 150 |
| Erongo | 4.5 | 15.2 | -0.7 | 4.6 | 8.1 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 9.9 | 2.0 | -0.3 | 119 |
| Hardap | 10.8 | 29.1 | -1.1 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 3.7 | -0.2 | 5.7 | 17.8 | 0.8 | -0.8 | 85 |
| //Karas | 9.8 | 27.0 | -1.1 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 3.2 | -0.1 | 1.5 | 12.1 | 1.5 | -0.7 | 69 |
| Kavango | 8.9 | 23.9 | -1.1 | 1.4 | 8.5 | 1.7 | -0.4 | 2.3 | 15.0 | 0.4 | -0.9 | 259 |
| Khomas | 5.2 | 12.8 | -0.8 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 9.1 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 265 |
| Kunene | 5.1 | 19.4 | -0.9 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 4.2 | -0.3 | 2.4 | 11.9 | 2.9 | -0.7 | 93 |
| Ohangwena | 13.9 | 36.5 | -1.5 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 2.3 | -0.3 | 4.3 | 16.3 | 0.0 | -1.1 | 371 |
| Omaheke | 7.7 | 26.9 | -1.2 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 5.3 | -0.3 | 5.2 | 18.1 | 1.6 | -0.9 | 73 |
| Omusati | 8.8 | 24.2 | -1.3 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 2.4 | -0.4 | 1.9 | 14.6 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 283 |
| Oshana | 5.6 | 19.8 | -0.9 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 8.2 | 1.1 | -0.5 | 169 |
| Oshikoto | 7.6 | 26.3 | -1.1 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 1.7 | -0.6 | 5.2 | 20.7 | 0.6 | -1.1 | 204 |
| Otjozondjupa | 6.2 | 20.1 | -0.9 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 6.5 | 1.7 | -0.4 | 147 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.0 | 33.8 | -1.4 | 6.9 | 14.8 | 1.6 | -0.6 | 6.1 | 22.7 | 1.4 | -1.2 | 121 |
| Primary | 11.2 | 29.0 | -1.3 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 2.8 | -0.4 | 4.9 | 18.3 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 383 |
| Secondary | 6.0 | 18.8 | -0.9 | 1.6 | 6.9 | 3.4 | -0.2 | 1.6 | 10.9 | 1.0 | -0.6 | 1,030 |
| More than secondary | 4.1 | 8.5 | -0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 0.7 | -0.1 | 91 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 11.6 | 31.3 | -1.4 | 3.6 | 9.2 | 2.2 | -0.5 | 4.3 | 18.9 | 0.5 | -1.1 | 587 |
| Second | 10.8 | 28.8 | -1.3 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 2.0 | -0.2 | 2.9 | 15.1 | 0.1 | -0.9 | 510 |
| Middle | 8.0 | 24.2 | -1.1 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 3.9 | -0.2 | 2.5 | 13.6 | 0.7 | -0.8 | 466 |
| Fourth | 4.3 | 16.8 | -0.8 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 9.0 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 468 |
| Highest | 2.5 | 8.7 | -0.4 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 3.7 | -0.1 | 256 |
| Total | 8.2 | 23.8 | -1.1 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 | 2.6 | 13.4 | 0.9 | -0.8 | 2,287 |

Note: Table is based on children who stayed in the household on the night before the interview. Each of the indices is expressed in standard deviation units (SD) from the median of the WHO child growth standards adopted in 2006. The indices in this table are NOT comparable to those based on the previously used NCHS/CDC/WHO reference. Table is based on children with valid dates of birth (month and year) and valid measurement of both height and weight. Total includes 20 children with missing information on size at birth and 2 children with missing information on mother's education. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
${ }^{1}$ Recumbent length is measured for children under age 2 and in the few cases when the age of the child is unknown and the child is less than 85 cm; standing height is measured for all other children.
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Includes children who are below -3 standard deviations (SD) from the WHO Child Growth standards population median
${ }^{3}$ Excludes children whose mothers were not interviewed
${ }^{4}$ First-born twins (triplets, etc.) are counted as first births because they do not have a previous birth interval
${ }^{5}$ Includes children whose mothers are deceased
${ }^{6}$ Excludes children whose mothers were not weighed and measured, children whose mothers were not interviewed, and children whose mothers are pregnant or gave birth within the preceding 2 months. Mother's nutritional status in terms of BMI (body mass index) is presented in Table 12.10.1
For women who are not interviewed, information is taken from the Household Questionnaire. Excludes children whose mothers are not listed in the Household Questionnaire.

Figure 12.1 Nutritional status of children by age


Table 12.1 also shows the nutritional status of children under age 5 as measured by wasting (low weight-for-height). Overall, 6 percent of children are wasted and 2 percent are severely wasted. Children age 9-11 months ( 19 percent), male children ( 9 percent), those with a preceding birth interval of less than 24 months ( 11 percent), those with a very small size at birth ( 17 percent), and those living in Omaheke (10 percent) have the highest levels of wasting. The prevalence of wasting decreases with increasing mother's education, from 15 percent among children whose mothers have no education to less than 1 percent among children whose mothers have more than a secondary education. The data further show that children living in the poorest households have the highest prevalence of wasting ( 9 percent).

Finally, 13 percent of children under age 5 are underweight (low weight-for-age) and 3 percent are severely underweight (Table 12.1). The proportion of underweight children increases substantially with age, from 6 percent among children age 6-8 months to 12-15 percent among older children, which might be explained by the fact that with the transition to complementary foods older children may not be getting the recommended types of food or the minimum meal frequency. Older children are also more exposed to the environment, thus increasing their exposure to infections and susceptibility to illness. Male children ( 15 percent) are slightly more likely to be underweight than female children (11 percent). The percentage of underweight children decreases as the preceding birth interval lengthens. Eighteen percent of children whose mothers are thin (BMI less than 18.5) are underweight, as compared with 9 percent of those whose mothers are overweight or obese (BMI of 25 or above). Rural children are more likely to be underweight ( 16 percent) than urban children ( 9 percent). Oshikoto has the highest proportion of underweight children (21 percent), while Otjozondjupa has the lowest proportion ( 7 percent). The proportion of underweight children is inversely associated with mother's level of education and household wealth.

### 12.1.4 Trends in Child Malnutrition

Figure 12.2 presents trends in the nutritional status of children under age 5 in Namibia over the last six years. The results show that the proportions of children who are stunted, wasted, and underweight decreased between the 2006-07 NDHS and 2013 NDHS surveys.

Figure 12.2 Trends in nutritional status of children under age 5 by period
Percentage


Note: Stunting reflects chronic malnutrition; wasting
reflects acute malnutrition; underweight reflects chronic
or acute malnutrition or a combination of both.

### 12.2 Initiation of Breastreeding

Early breastfeeding practices determine the successful establishment and duration of breastfeeding. Moreover, during the first three days after delivery, colostrum, an important source of nutrition and protection for the newborn, is produced and should be given to the newborn while awaiting the let-down of regular/mature breast milk. Thus, it is recommended that children be put to the breast immediately or within one hour after birth and that prelacteal feeding (i.e., feeding newborns anything other than breast milk before breast milk is initiated) be discouraged.

Table 12.2 shows the percentage of children born in the two years before the survey by breastfeeding status and the timing of initial breastfeeding, according to background characteristics. The results indicate that 96 percent of children are breastfed at some point. Differences by background characteristics are small. Seventy-one percent of children are breastfed within one hour of birth and 89 percent within one day after delivery. The proportion of children breastfed within one hour of birth is lower among those delivered in a health facility ( 71 percent) than among those born at home ( 77 percent). The likelihood of an infant breastfeeding within one hour of birth varies markedly by region from 59 percent in Oshana to 80 percent in Zambezi.

The practice of giving prelacteal feeds limits the frequency of suckling by the infant and exposes the baby to the risk of infection. Table 12.2 shows that 10 percent of children who had ever been breastfed received prelacteal feeds. Prelacteal feeding is most common among children whose delivery was assisted by a traditional birth attendant ( 20 percent), those born at home ( 15 percent), and those living in urban areas (11 percent). By region, Omusati has the lowest percentage of children who received a prelacteal feed (2 percent), and Kavango has the highest percentage (19 percent). The proportion of children who receive a prelacteal feed does not have a clear correlation with mother's education. Prelacteal feeding is least common among children whose mothers have no education ( 5 percent) and most common among children whose mothers have more than a secondary education (19 percent). The proportion of children who receive a prelacteal feed is highest among those in the wealthiest households (16 percent).

Among last-born children who were born in the two years preceding the survey, the percentage who were ever breastfed and the percentages who started breastfeeding within one hour and within one day of birth, and among last-born children born in the two years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, the percentage who received a prelacteal feed, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Among last-born children born in the past two years: |  |  |  | Among last-born children born in the past two years who were ever breastfed: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage ever breastfed | Percentage who started breastfeeding within 1 hour of birth | Percentage who started breastfeeding within 1 day of birth ${ }^{1}$ | Number of last-born children | Percentage who received a prelacteal feed ${ }^{2}$ | Number of last-born children ever breastfed |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 95.4 | 72.2 | 88.4 | 941 | 9.8 | 898 |
| Female | 96.0 | 70.3 | 89.7 | 1,006 | 10.6 | 966 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health professional ${ }^{3}$ | 95.4 | 70.9 | 89.0 | 1,732 | 9.6 | 1,653 |
| Traditional birth attendant | 98.7 | 72.5 | 84.1 | 81 | 20.4 | 80 |
| Other | 97.6 | 74.6 | 92.6 | 115 | 13.3 | 113 |
| No one | * | * | * | 18 | * | 17 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 95.4 | 70.6 | 88.9 | 1,715 | 9.6 | 1,637 |
| At home | 97.8 | 76.9 | 89.7 | 226 | 14.5 | 221 |
| Other | * | * | * | 5 | * | 5 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 94.4 | 69.6 | 87.9 | 925 | 10.9 | 874 |
| Rural | 96.9 | 72.6 | 90.1 | 1,022 | 9.6 | 990 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 91.6 | 79.5 | 89.9 | 112 | 12.5 | 103 |
| Erongo | 91.2 | 67.0 | 83.9 | 136 | 15.0 | 124 |
| Hardap | 95.4 | 76.5 | 90.0 | 73 | 3.9 | 70 |
| //Karas | 93.6 | 75.9 | 90.3 | 61 | 18.0 | 58 |
| Kavango | 96.9 | 77.6 | 82.9 | 231 | 19.4 | 224 |
| Khomas | 95.6 | 68.6 | 88.1 | 344 | 10.4 | 329 |
| Kunene | 95.7 | 74.6 | 84.7 | 69 | 12.8 | 66 |
| Ohangwena | 97.1 | 65.4 | 93.0 | 254 | 2.9 | 246 |
| Omaheke | 96.7 | 69.7 | 90.8 | 59 | 14.8 | 57 |
| Omusati | 96.1 | 75.9 | 93.9 | 189 | 2.3 | 181 |
| Oshana | 96.6 | 59.1 | 87.4 | 127 | 12.6 | 123 |
| Oshikoto | 96.5 | 69.0 | 89.5 | 154 | 11.0 | 149 |
| Otjozondjupa | 97.7 | 76.5 | 93.6 | 137 | 6.1 | 133 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 93.2 | 73.7 | 87.3 | 110 | 5.4 | 102 |
| Primary | 96.8 | 74.5 | 90.7 | 438 | 10.8 | 424 |
| Secondary | 95.7 | 70.9 | 88.8 | 1,295 | 9.7 | 1,240 |
| More than secondary | 93.7 | 59.1 | 87.5 | 105 | 19.3 | 98 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 96.7 | 73.7 | 88.6 | 415 | 12.7 | 402 |
| Second | 96.7 | 70.8 | 90.1 | 439 | 6.4 | 425 |
| Middle | 95.9 | 75.5 | 91.3 | 423 | 8.3 | 406 |
| Fourth | 95.3 | 68.7 | 88.9 | 389 | 9.9 | 370 |
| Highest | 93.1 | 65.4 | 85.0 | 281 | 15.9 | 261 |
| Total | 95.7 | 71.2 | 89.1 | 1,947 | 10.2 | 1,864 |

Note: Table is based on last-born children born in the 2 years preceding the survey regardless of whether the children were living or dead at the time of the interview. Total includes 1 child with missing information on assistance at delivery
${ }^{1}$ Includes children who started breastfeeding within 1 hour of birth
${ }^{2}$ Children given something other than breast milk during the first 3 days of life
${ }^{3}$ Doctor or nurse/midwife

### 12.3 Breastreeding Status by Age

UNICEF and WHO recommend that children be exclusively breastfed during the first six months of life and that they be given age-appropriate solid or semisolid complementary food in addition to continued breastfeeding from age 6 months to at least age 24 months. Exclusive breastfeeding is recommended because breast milk is uncontaminated and contains all of the nutrients necessary for children in the first few months of life. In addition, the mother's antibodies in breast milk provide immunity to diseases or infections. Early supplementation is discouraged for several reasons. First, it exposes infants to pathogens and increases their risk of infection. Second, it decreases infants' intake of breast milk and therefore suckling, which reduces breast milk production. Third, in low-resource settings, supplementary food is often nutritionally inferior.

Information on complementary feeding was obtained by asking mothers about the current breastfeeding status of all children under age 5 and, for the youngest child born in the three-year period before the survey and living with the mother, the foods and liquids given to the child the day and night before the survey.

Table 12.3 shows breastfeeding practices by child's age. Only 49 percent of infants under age 6 months are exclusively breastfed. Contrary to the recommendation that children under age 6 months be exclusively breastfed, 16 percent of infants consume plain water in addition to breast milk, 4 percent consume non-milk liquids, 11 percent consume other milk, and 13 percent consume complementary foods in addition to breast milk. Sixty-three percent of children age 6-8 months receive timely complementary foods, and 70 percent of children age 18-23 months have been weaned.

Feeding children using a bottle with a nipple is discouraged but remains a relatively common practice in Namibia, with more than one-fourth ( 26 percent) of children below age 6 months using a bottle with a nipple. The prevalence of bottle-feeding is highest among children age 6-11 months (49-50 percent).

Table 12.3 Breastfeeding status by age
Percent distribution of youngest children under age 2 who are living with their mother by breastfeeding status and the percentage currently breastfeeding, and the percentage of all children under age 2 using a bottle with a nipple, according to age in months, Namibia 2013

| Breastfeeding status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of youngest child under age 2 living with their mother | Percentage using a bottle with a nipple | Number of all children under age 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in months | Not breastfeeding | Exclusively breastfed | Breastfeeding and consuming plain water only | Breastfeeding and consuming non-milk liquids ${ }^{1}$ | Breastfeeding and consuming other milk | Breastfeeding and consuming complementary foods | Total | Percentage currently breastfeeding |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 2.3 | 72.0 | 12.5 | 1.7 | 10.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 128 | 15.4 | 132 |
| 2-3 | 5.9 | 52.7 | 17.3 | 5.2 | 11.2 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 184 | 22.9 | 190 |
| 4-5 | 14.4 | 26.8 | 16.7 | 3.8 | 10.2 | 28.1 | 100.0 | 85.6 | 175 | 37.0 | 179 |
| 6-8 | 19.6 | 2.4 | 8.8 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 80.4 | 267 | 49.3 | 279 |
| 9-11 | 25.4 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 67.2 | 100.0 | 74.6 | 214 | 50.0 | 232 |
| 12-17 | 40.7 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 55.5 | 100.0 | 59.3 | 429 | 34.8 | 496 |
| 18-23 | 70.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 27.3 | 100.0 | 29.8 | 324 | 26.3 | 442 |
| 0-3 | 4.4 | 60.6 | 15.3 | 3.8 | 11.0 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 312 | 19.9 | 321 |
| 0-5 | 8.0 | 48.5 | 15.8 | 3.8 | 10.7 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 487 | 26.0 | 500 |
| 6-9 | 21.6 | 2.4 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 62.4 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 341 | 49.8 | 357 |
| 12-15 | 35.6 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 100.0 | 64.4 | 293 | 36.4 | 329 |
| 12-23 | 53.4 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 43.4 | 100.0 | 46.6 | 753 | 30.8 | 938 |
| 20-23 | 79.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 100.0 | 21.0 | 216 | 22.6 | 304 |

Note: Breastfeeding status refers to a " 24 -hour" period (yesterday and last night). Children who are classified as breastfeeding and consuming plain water only consumed no liquid or solid supplements. The categories of not breastfeeding, exclusively breastfed, and breastfeeding and consuming plain water, non-milk liquids, other milk, and complementary foods (solids and semisolids) are hierarchical and mutually exclusive, and their percentages sum to 100 percent. Thus, children who receive breast milk and non-milk liquids and who do not receive other milk and who do not receive complementary foods are classified in the nonmilk liquid category even though they may also get plain water. Any children who get complementary food are classified in that category as long as they are breastfeeding as well.
${ }^{1}$ Non-milk liquids include juice, juice drinks, clear broth, or other liquids.

Figure 12.3 depicts the transition of feeding practices among children up to age 2. The rapid drop in exclusive breastfeeding from 72 percent among children under age 2 months to 27 percent among those age 4-5 months is noteworthy.

Figure 12.3 Infant feeding practices by age


Figure 12.4 presents the 2013 NDHS results on infant and young child feeding (IYCF) indicators related to breastfeeding status. Detailed descriptions of these indicators can be found in various WHO publications (WHO, 2008; WHO, 2010a). As noted above, 49 percent of children under age 6 months are exclusively breastfed. Twenty-seven percent of children 4-5 months are exclusively breastfed and 28 percent are breastfeeding and consuming complementary foods. Eight in ten children age 6-8 months (both breastfed and nonbreastfed) are introduced to complementary foods at an appropriate time. Sixty-four percent of all children are still breastfeeding at age 1, and 21 percent are still breastfeeding at age 2. Fiftyone percent of children age $0-23$ months are breastfed appropriately for their age. This includes exclusive breastfeeding for children age $0-5$ months and continued breastfeeding along with complementary foods for children age 6-23 months. Almost seven in ten children under age 6 months ( 68 percent) are predominantly breastfed. This percentage includes children who are exclusively breastfed and those who receive breast milk and only plain water or non-milk liquids such as juice. Finally, 35 percent of children under age 2 are bottle fed.

Figure 12.4 IYCF indicators on breastfeeding status


### 12.4 Duration of Breastreeding

Table 12.4 shows the median duration of breastfeeding (i.e., the length of time in months for which half of children are breastfed) by selected background characteristics. Estimates of median and mean durations of breastfeeding are based on current status data, that is, the proportion of children born in the three years preceding the survey who were being breastfed at the time of the survey.

Overall, the median duration of any breastfeeding among children in Namibia is 15 months, which is slightly shorter than the duration reported in the 2006-07 NDHS (17 months). Children are breastfed five months longer on average in rural areas (17 months) than in urban areas ( 12 months). Comparisons of duration of exclusive breastfeeding by background characteristics should be regarded with caution due to the small number of children in several categories.

The median duration of exclusive breastfeeding is 2 months, with a mean duration of 4 months.

### 12.5 Types of Complementary Foods

Appropriate nutrition includes feeding children a variety of foods to ensure that nutrient requirements are met. Fruits and vegetables rich in vitamin A should be consumed daily. Although eating a range of fruits and vegetables, especially those rich in vitamin $A$, is important, studies have shown that plant-based complementary foods by themselves are insufficient to meet the needs for certain micronutrients. Therefore, it has been recommended that meat, poultry, fish, or eggs be eaten daily or as often as possible (WHO, 1998).

Table 12.5 is based on information from mothers about the foods and liquids consumed during the day or night preceding the interview by their youngest child under age $2 .{ }^{1}$ Dietary data on children are subject to recall errors on the mother's part. Furthermore, the mother may not be able to report fully on the child's intake of food and liquids if the child was fed by other individuals during the period. Despite these problems, the information collected in the 2013 NDHS on the types of foods and liquids consumed by young children is useful in assessing the diversity of children's diets. The data show that, as expected, the proportions of children consuming foods or liquids included in the various food groups generally increase with age. Children who are currently breastfed are less likely than children who are not being breastfed to consume other types of liquids and solid/semisolid foods. For example, 70 percent of nonbreastfeeding children age 6-23 months consumed foods made from grains the day or night preceding the interview, compared with 48 percent of breastfeeding children in that age group. Similarly, 49 percent of nonbreastfeeding children age 6-23

[^13]months consumed foods rich in vitamin A, compared with 32 percent of breastfeeding children in the same age group. Seven in ten nonbreastfeeding children and more than half ( 55 percent) of breastfeeding children age 6-23 months consumed meat, fish, and poultry.

Table 12.5 Foods and liquids consumed by children in the day or night preceding the interview
Percentage of youngest children under age 2 who are living with their mother by type of foods consumed in the day or night preceding the interview, according to breastfeeding status and age, Namibia 2013

|  | Liquids |  |  | Solid or semisolid foods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in months | Infant formula | Other milk ${ }^{1}$ | Other liquids ${ }^{2}$ | Fortified baby foods | Food made from grains ${ }^{3}$ | Fruits and vegetable s rich in vitamin A $^{4}$ | Other fruits and vegetables | Food made from roots and tubers | Food made from legumes and nuts | Meat, fish, poultry | Eggs | Cheese, yogurt, other milk products | Any solid or semisolid food | Number of children |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | BREASTF | EEDING C | HILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 11.4 | 8.5 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 125 |
| 2-3 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 8.1 | 173 |
| 4-5 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 16.1 | 4.1 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 9.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 32.9 | 150 |
| 6-8 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 47.0 | 18.6 | 29.3 | 26.5 | 14.4 | 18.0 | 2.8 | 32.5 | 13.2 | 17.1 | 77.7 | 215 |
| 9-11 | 7.7 | 13.9 | 56.6 | 14.8 | 50.8 | 25.4 | 18.9 | 22.8 | 11.9 | 59.4 | 27.1 | 19.2 | 90.0 | 160 |
| 12-17 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 60.5 | 10.1 | 58.2 | 38.0 | 26.7 | 21.1 | 10.2 | 63.2 | 17.7 | 16.9 | 93.6 | 254 |
| 18-23 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 59.9 | 10.5 | 59.8 | 41.3 | 30.3 | 24.5 | 15.6 | 75.3 | 26.0 | 19.7 | 91.4 | 97 |
| 6-23 | 7.8 | 10.7 | 55.6 | 13.7 | 48.2 | 32.3 | 21.9 | 21.0 | 9.1 | 54.9 | 19.5 | 17.9 | 87.8 | 725 |
| Total | 9.1 | 10.4 | 38.0 | 9.2 | 30.9 | 21.4 | 14.5 | 13.9 | 5.7 | 35.3 | 12.5 | 11.7 | 59.8 | 1,173 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | NONBREAS | TFEEDING | CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 3 |
| 2-3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11 |
| 4-5 | (67.9) | (52.6) | (23.5) | (28.6) | (18.6) | (15.5) | (5.6) | (6.6) | (3.1) | (3.1) | (3.1) | (19.2) | (45.8) | 25 |
| 6-8 | 71.7 | 53.9 | 65.5 | 45.9 | 43.7 | 27.9 | 17.5 | 35.7 | 8.8 | 27.0 | 19.8 | 35.2 | 87.4 | 52 |
| 9-11 | 25.3 | 38.3 | 56.0 | 31.5 | 69.0 | 53.7 | 35.2 | 38.5 | 3.5 | 48.9 | 13.1 | 17.0 | 95.5 | 54 |
| 12-17 | 15.6 | 24.8 | 70.3 | 15.2 | 72.0 | 51.9 | 41.8 | 31.1 | 11.1 | 75.3 | 29.6 | 35.6 | 98.4 | 174 |
| 18-23 | 3.9 | 19.0 | 71.0 | 8.0 | 73.7 | 50.7 | 39.3 | 29.4 | 17.9 | 81.0 | 27.2 | 28.2 | 97.6 | 227 |
| 6-23 | 17.2 | 26.7 | 68.6 | 16.9 | 69.5 | 49.1 | 37.5 | 31.6 | 13.1 | 70.1 | 25.8 | 30.2 | 96.6 | 508 |
| Total | 20.8 | 27.5 | 64.8 | 17.0 | 65.4 | 46.3 | 35.1 | 29.7 | 12.3 | 65.2 | 24.1 | 29.0 | 91.8 | 547 |

Note: Breastfeeding status and food consumed refer to a " 24 -hour" period (yesterday and last night). Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Other milk includes fresh, tinned, and powdered cow or other animal milk.
${ }^{2}$ Does not include plain water
${ }^{3}$ Includes fortified baby food
${ }^{4}$ Includes pumpkin, carrots, squash or red sweet potatoes, dark green leafy vegetables, ripe mangoes, papayas, and other locally grown fruits and vegetables that are rich in vitamin A

### 12.6 Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices

Appropriate IYCF practices include breastfeeding through age 2, introduction of solid and semisolid foods at age 6 months, and gradual increases in the amount of food given and frequency of feeding as the child gets older. The minimum frequencies for feeding children in developing countries are based on the energy output of complementary foods. The energy needs of children are based on agespecific total daily energy requirements plus two standard deviations (to cover almost all children), minus the average energy intake from breast milk. Infants with low breast milk intake need to be fed more frequently than those with high breast milk intake. However, care should be taken that feeding frequencies do not exceed the recommended input from complementary foods because excessive feeding can result in displacement of breast milk (PAHO/WHO, 2003).

According to recommendations, breastfed children age 6-23 months should receive animal-source foods and vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables daily (PAHO/WHO, 2003). Because first foods almost always include a grain- or tuber-based staple, it is unlikely that young children who eat food from less than three groups will receive both an animal-source food and a vitamin A-rich fruit or vegetable. Therefore, three food groups are considered the minimum number appropriate for breastfed children (Arimond and Ruel, 2004). Breastfed infants age 6-8 months should receive complementary foods two to three times a day with one or two snacks; breastfed children age 9-23 months should receive meals three to four times a day with one or two snacks (PAHO/WHO, 2003; WHO, 2008; WHO, 2010a).

The National Guidelines on Infant and Young Child Feeding recommend that complementary feeding be introduced at six months and that the frequency of feedings gradually increase. According to these guidelines, children age 6-8 months should eat 2 to 3 times a day, and children age 9-14 months should eat 3 to 4 times a day. Children in all age groups should also eat 1-2 snacks a day. The Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS) recommends that breastfeeding continue until age 2 or older (MoHSS, 2011). WHO recommends that nonbreastfed children age 6-23 months receive milk or milk products two or more times a day to ensure that their calcium needs are met. In addition, they need animalsource foods and vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables. Four food groups are considered the minimum number appropriate for nonbreastfed young children. Nonbreastfed children age 12-23 months should be fed meals four to five times each day, with one or two snacks (WHO, 2005; WHO, 2008; and WHO, 2010a).

Table 12.6 presents summary indicators of IYCF practices by background characteristics. The indicators take into account the percentages of children for whom feeding practices meet minimum standards with respect to food diversity (i.e., the number of food groups consumed) and feeding frequency (i.e., the number of times the child was fed), as well as consumption of breast milk or other milks or milk products. Breastfed children are considered as being fed in accordance with the minimum standards if they consume at least four food groups and receive foods other than breast milk at least twice per day in the case of infants age 6-8 months and at least three times per day in the case of children age 9-23 months. Nonbreastfed children are considered to be fed in accordance with the minimum standards if they consume milk or milk products, consume food from four or more food groups (including milk products), and are fed at least four times per day.

Only 13 percent of children age 6-23 months are fed in accordance with all IYCF practices (Table 12.6 and Figure 12.5). Although 72 percent of children receive either breast milk or other milk products, only 41 percent are fed the minimum number of times, and 31 percent are fed from the required number of food groups. Nonbreastfed children are much more likely to consume a diverse diet (42 percent) than breastfed children (24 percent). By contrast, breastfed children seem to be more likely than nonbreastfed children to consume solid or semisolid foods the recommended number of times.

An analysis by background characteristics indicates differences in feeding practices by place of residence and mother's education. Children residing in urban areas are notably more likely to be fed according to the three IYCF practices (21 percent) than rural children (6 percent). By region, the proportion of children who are fed according to the IYCF recommendations is lowest in Omusati and Kavango (3 percent) and highest in //Karas (31 percent). The percentage of children who are fed according to the recommended practices increases with increasing mother's education and wealth. For example, only 3 percent of children in the lowest wealth quintile are fed according to all three IYCF practices, as compared with 32 percent of children in the richest quintile.

Table 12.6 Infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices
Percentage of youngest children age 6-23 months living with their mother who are fed according to three IYCF feeding practices based on breastfeeding status, number of food groups, and times they are fed during the day or night preceding the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Among breastfed children 6-23 months, percentage fed: |  |  | Number of breastfed children 6-23 months | Among nonbreastfed children 6-23 months, percentage fed: |  |  |  | Number of nonbreastfed children 623 months | Among all children 6-23 months, percentage fed: |  |  |  | Number of all children 6-23 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4+ food groups ${ }^{1}$ | Minimum meal frequency ${ }^{2}$ | Both 4+ food groups and minimum meal frequency |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Milk or } \\ \text { milk } \\ \text { products }^{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4+ food groups ${ }^{1}$ | Minimum meal frequency ${ }^{4}$ | With 3 <br> IYCF practices ${ }^{5}$ |  | Breast milk, milk, or milk products ${ }^{6}$ | 4+ food groups ${ }^{1}$ | Minimum meal frequency ${ }^{7}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { With } 3 \\ \text { IYCF } \\ \text { practices } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 | 15.1 | 47.4 | 9.9 | 215 | 73.6 | 25.6 | 75.8 | 7.1 | 52 | 94.8 | 17.2 | 53.0 | 9.4 | 267 |
| 9-11 | 27.3 | 25.1 | 9.4 | 160 | 47.1 | 35.7 | 59.8 | 16.6 | 54 | 86.6 | 29.4 | 34.0 | 11.2 | 214 |
| 12-17 | 24.6 | 35.4 | 13.5 | 254 | 33.3 | 46.1 | 51.2 | 17.8 | 174 | 72.9 | 33.3 | 41.8 | 15.3 | 429 |
| 18-23 | 34.2 | 33.1 | 15.6 | 97 | 19.2 | 43.5 | 35.7 | 11.0 | 227 | 43.3 | 40.7 | 35.0 | 12.4 | 324 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 23.7 | 38.5 | 12.7 | 339 | 29.5 | 38.8 | 45.5 | 8.8 | 251 | 70.0 | 30.1 | 41.5 | 11.1 | 589 |
| Female | 23.6 | 34.5 | 11.1 | 387 | 35.7 | 44.6 | 49.9 | 18.1 | 257 | 74.3 | 32.0 | 40.7 | 13.9 | 644 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.9 | 41.0 | 21.1 | 280 | 46.7 | 56.8 | 59.8 | 20.2 | 288 | 73.0 | 48.5 | 50.6 | 20.6 | 568 |
| Rural | 13.4 | 33.5 | 6.1 | 446 | 14.2 | 22.0 | 32.0 | 4.8 | 221 | 71.6 | 16.3 | 33.0 | 5.7 | 666 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 20.4 | 24.2 | 7.4 | 43 | (17.5) | (35.8) | (35.4) | (8.2) | 27 | 67.9 | 26.4 | 28.6 | 7.7 | 70 |
| Erongo | (45.4) | (38.5) | (25.6) | 43 | (50.2) | (62.7) | (55.7) | (23.6) | 41 | 75.8 | 53.8 | 46.9 | 24.6 | 84 |
| Hardap | 23.5 | 25.8 | 9.7 | 29 | (43.7) | (38.7) | (51.1) | (11.3) | 20 | 77.2 | 29.6 | 36.0 | 10.3 | 49 |
| //Karas | (52.4) | (38.1) | (30.7) | 21 | (61.9) | (63.4) | (66.3) | (31.1) | 17 | 82.8 | 57.4 | 50.8 | 30.9 | 38 |
| Kavango | 22.3 | 22.2 | 3.6 | 117 | (15.5) | (51.1) | (14.0) | (2.5) | 42 | 77.8 | 29.9 | 20.0 | 3.3 | 159 |
| Khomas | (53.1) | (45.5) | (28.8) | 88 | 54.8 | 61.4 | 73.0 | 23.8 | 115 | 74.4 | 57.8 | 61.1 | 26.0 | 202 |
| Kunene | 15.1 | 47.2 | 13.6 | 24 | (17.5) | (29.2) | (53.2) | (1.3) | 17 | 65.0 | 21.1 | 49.7 | 8.4 | 41 |
| Ohangwena | 7.9 | 41.8 | 6.5 | 89 | (11.8) | (20.4) | (32.3) | (5.3) | 57 | 65.3 | 12.9 | 38.0 | 6.1 | 146 |
| Omaheke | (17.2) | (50.1) | (14.2) | 20 | (45.2) | (30.7) | (46.3) | (8.6) | 15 | 76.7 | 22.9 | 48.5 | 11.8 | 35 |
| Omusati | 6.3 | 40.8 | 5.0 | 88 | (7.5) | (10.2) | (41.2) | (0.0) | 49 | 67.1 | 7.7 | 40.9 | 3.2 | 137 |
| Oshana | (12.0) | (23.5) | (0.0) | 37 | (31.0) | (40.9) | (47.3) | (19.7) | 42 | 63.4 | 27.3 | 36.1 | 10.4 | 78 |
| Oshikoto | 24.0 | 48.1 | 14.0 | 74 | (20.2) | (31.0) | (32.6) | (12.4) | 34 | 75.0 | 26.2 | 43.2 | 13.5 | 108 |
| Otjozondjupa | 20.7 | 32.3 | 11.9 | 54 | (36.4) | (37.6) | (41.7) | (12.2) | 34 | 75.6 | 27.2 | 35.9 | 12.0 | 87 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.6 | 33.0 | 6.8 | 49 | (16.5) | (7.4) | (24.5) | (0.0) | 24 | 72.5 | 10.9 | 30.2 | 4.5 | 72 |
| Primary | 15.8 | 30.3 | 6.0 | 201 | 17.0 | 27.4 | 30.1 | 3.8 | 97 | 72.9 | 19.6 | 30.2 | 5.3 | 299 |
| Secondary | 26.4 | 39.1 | 13.9 | 453 | 34.3 | 44.5 | 50.9 | 14.1 | 348 | 71.4 | 34.3 | 44.2 | 14.0 | 801 |
| More than secondary | * | * | * | 23 | (67.3) | (73.5) | (78.0) | (41.4) | 39 | (79.4) | (69.7) | (65.0) | (38.2) | 61 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 10.8 | 28.3 | 3.7 | 186 | 8.7 | 15.4 | 20.2 | 0.5 | 88 | 70.6 | 12.3 | 25.7 | 2.7 | 274 |
| Second | 18.3 | 42.8 | 9.9 | 191 | 14.3 | 19.3 | 36.5 | 0.3 | 102 | 70.1 | 18.7 | 40.6 | 6.6 | 293 |
| Middle | 23.9 | 35.5 | 12.5 | 178 | 24.7 | 41.6 | 50.6 | 8.1 | 89 | 74.8 | 29.8 | 40.5 | 11.0 | 268 |
| Fourth | 38.7 | 39.7 | 20.9 | 109 | 36.0 | 52.3 | 44.9 | 17.5 | 117 | 66.9 | 45.7 | 42.4 | 19.1 | 226 |
| Highest | 51.7 | 37.5 | 24.3 | 61 | 71.2 | 72.1 | 80.7 | 36.3 | 111 | 81.4 | 64.8 | 65.4 | 32.0 | 172 |
| Total | 23.7 | 36.4 | 11.8 | 725 | 32.6 | 41.7 | 47.7 | 13.5 | 508 | 72.2 | 31.1 | 41.1 | 12.5 | 1,234 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Food groups: a. infant formula, milk other than breast milk, cheese or yogurt or other milk products; b. foods made from grains, roots, and tubers, including porridge and fortified baby food from grains; c. vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables; d. other fruits and vegetables; e. eggs; f. meat, poultry, fish, and shellfish and organ meats; g. legumes and nuts.
 23 months.
${ }^{3}$ Includes 2 or more feedings of commercial infant formula; fresh, tinned, and powdered animal milk; and yogurt
${ }^{4}$ For nonbreastfed children age 6-23 months, minimum meal frequency is receiving solid or semisolid food or milk feeds at least 4 times a day.

receive the minimum meal frequency, and receive solid or semisolid foods from at least 4 food groups not including the milk or milk products food group.
${ }^{6}$ Breastfeeding, or not breastfeeding and receiving 2 or more feedings of commercial infant formula; fresh, tinned, and powdered animal milk; and yogurt
${ }^{7}$ Children are fed the minimum recommended number of times per day according to their age and breastfeeding status as described in notes 2 and 4.

Figure 12.5 IYCF indicators on minimum acceptable diet
Percentage


NDHS 2013

### 12.7 Prevalence of Anaemia in Children

Anaemia is characterised by a decreased concentration of haemoglobin in the blood. It may be an underlying cause of maternal mortality, spontaneous abortions, premature births, and low birth weight. The most common cause of anaemia is inadequate dietary intake of nutrients necessary for synthesis of haemoglobin, such as iron, folic acid, and vitamin B12. Anaemia also results from sickle cell disease, malaria, and parasitic infections. A number of interventions have been put in place to address anaemia in children. These include expanded distribution of multi-micronutrient powders; deworming of children age 1 to 5 every six months, along with vitamin A distribution; and promotion of the use of insecticide-treated mosquito nets for children under age 5 in malaria-endemic areas.

In the 2013 NDHS, the HemoCue rapid testing methodology was used to determine anaemia levels among children age 6-59 months and among women and men age 15-64. To measure the level of haemoglobin, capillary blood was taken in the field from a finger using sterile, one-time-use lancets that allowed a relatively painless puncture. The concentration of haemoglobin in the blood was measured using the HemoCue system. Each team had a health technician who was specifically trained to conduct this procedure. Each respondent (and, in the case of unmarried minors, their parent or guardian) was asked for her or his consent to participate in the anaemia testing. Levels of anaemia were classified as severe, moderate, or mild according to criteria developed by the World Health Organization (DeMaeyer et.al., 1989).

Table 12.7 presents anaemia levels among children age 6-59 months by background characteristics. The results are based on children who stayed in the household the night before the interview. Haemoglobin was measured in 2,303 children. Unadjusted (i.e., measured) haemoglobin values were obtained using the HemoCue instrument. Given that haemoglobin requirements differ substantially depending on altitude, an adjustment to sea-level equivalents was made using CDC formulas before classifying children according to level of anaemia (CDC, 1998).

Overall, 48 percent of children age 6-59 months are anaemic. The majority of children who suffer from anaemia are classified as having mild or moderate anaemia ( 25 percent and 22 percent, respectively), while less than 1 percent are severely anaemic. Anaemia is highest among children age 12-17 months ( 70 percent) and is slightly higher among male than female children ( 50 percent versus 46 percent). Across regions, children from Kavango ( 63 percent) are most likely to be anaemic and those in Ohangwena ( 35 percent) are least likely. The prevalence of anaemia is lowest among children whose mother has more than a secondary education (38 percent) and those in the richest households (41 percent).

Table 12.7 Prevalence of anaemia in children
Percentage of children age 6-59 months classified as having anaemia, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Anaemia status by haemoglobin level |  |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any anaemia (<11.0 g/dl) | Mild anaemia (10.0-10.9 g/dl) | Moderate anaemia $(7.0-9.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl})$ | Severe anaemia ( $<7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) |  |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 | 60.3 | 25.4 | 34.0 | 0.9 | 135 |
| 9-11 | 63.8 | 23.9 | 36.9 | 2.9 | 126 |
| 12-17 | 69.7 | 27.0 | 41.9 | 0.8 | 253 |
| 18-23 | 58.3 | 29.8 | 27.2 | 1.3 | 245 |
| 24-35 | 48.8 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 0.5 | 561 |
| 36-47 | 37.1 | 23.5 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 492 |
| 48-59 | 31.8 | 22.8 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 491 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 49.5 | 26.5 | 22.1 | 1.0 | 1,139 |
| Female | 45.5 | 23.3 | 21.5 | 0.6 | 1,164 |
| Mother's interview status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interviewed | 49.3 | 24.4 | 24.2 | 0.8 | 1,494 |
| Not interviewed but in household | 48.0 | 28.7 | 17.3 | 2.0 | 104 |
| Not interviewed and not in the household ${ }^{1}$ | 43.5 | 25.5 | 17.4 | 0.6 | 705 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 46.6 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 0.8 | 836 |
| Rural | 47.9 | 26.2 | 21.0 | 0.8 | 1,467 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 56.6 | 29.1 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 150 |
| Erongo | 46.1 | 26.6 | 17.2 | 2.4 | 115 |
| Hardap | 39.4 | 17.0 | 21.3 | 1.1 | 88 |
| //Karas | 57.4 | 28.4 | 29.0 | 0.0 | 72 |
| Kavango | 62.9 | 33.1 | 27.2 | 2.6 | 248 |
| Khomas | 42.7 | 20.0 | 21.9 | 0.8 | 267 |
| Kunene | 61.3 | 28.2 | 31.7 | 1.5 | 90 |
| Ohangwena | 35.1 | 20.0 | 14.8 | 0.3 | 362 |
| Omaheke | 37.7 | 20.4 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 79 |
| Omusati | 46.7 | 27.5 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 299 |
| Oshana | 42.1 | 24.1 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 164 |
| Oshikoto | 49.1 | 26.4 | 22.2 | 0.5 | 210 |
| Otjozondjupa | 53.8 | 23.5 | 29.0 | 1.3 | 160 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 48.3 | 26.8 | 19.7 | 1.8 | 113 |
| Primary | 52.0 | 25.4 | 24.7 | 1.9 | 383 |
| Secondary | 49.3 | 24.9 | 24.1 | 0.4 | 1,015 |
| More than secondary | 38.2 | 16.3 | 21.0 | 0.9 | 85 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 49.3 | 26.7 | 21.3 | 1.3 | 591 |
| Second | 50.6 | 28.4 | 21.6 | 0.7 | 504 |
| Middle | 48.3 | 25.7 | 21.9 | 0.7 | 486 |
| Fourth | 44.5 | 20.0 | 23.8 | 0.7 | 464 |
| Highest | 40.8 | 21.4 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 258 |
| Total | 47.5 | 24.9 | 21.8 | 0.8 | 2,303 |

Note: Table is based on children who stayed in the household on the night before the interview and who were tested for anaemia. Prevalence of anaemia, based on haemoglobin levels, is adjusted for altitude using formulas in CDC, 1998. Haemoglobin is in grams per decilitre ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). Total includes 2 children with missing information on mother's education.
${ }^{1}$ Includes children whose mothers are deceased
${ }^{2}$ For women who are not interviewed, information is taken from the Household Questionnaire. Excludes children whose mothers are not listed in the Household Questionnaire.

### 12.8 Micronutrient Intake and Supplementation among Children

Micronutrient deficiency is a major contributor to childhood morbidity and mortality. Micronutrients are available in foods and can also be provided through direct supplementation. Breastfeeding children benefit from supplements given to their mother.

Iron deficiency is one of the primary causes of anaemia, which has serious health consequences for both women and children. Vitamin A is an essential micronutrient for the immune system and plays an important role in maintaining the epithelial tissue in the body. Severe vitamin A deficiency (VAD) can cause eye damage and is the leading cause of childhood blindness. VAD also increases the severity of infections, such as measles and diarrheal disease in children, and slows recovery from illness. VAD is
common in dry environments where fresh fruits and vegetables are not readily available. Vitamin A supplementation is an important tool in preventing VAD among young children.

Information was collected on food consumption during the day and night preceding the interview among the youngest children under age 2 living with their mothers; these data are useful in assessing the extent to which children are consuming from food groups rich in two key micronutrients-vitamin A and iron-in their daily diet. In addition, the NDHS included questions designed to ascertain whether young children had received vitamin A supplements or deworming medication in the six months preceding the survey. Table 12.8 presents data on intake of key micronutrients among children by background characteristics. The table shows the percentage of youngest children age 6-23 months living with their mother who consumed foods rich in vitamin A and iron in the day or night preceding the survey, the percentage of all children age 6-59 months who were given vitamin A supplements in the six months preceding the survey and who were given iron supplements in the past seven days, the percentage of children age 12-59 months who were given deworming medication in the six months preceding the survey, and, among all children age 6-59 months living in households that were tested for the presence of iodised salt, the percentage who lived in households with iodised salt.

Seventy-one percent of children age 6-23 months consumed foods rich in vitamin A the day or night preceding the survey. There is no difference in the consumption of vitamin A-rich foods between boys and girls, but consumption of such foods is considerably higher among nonbreastfeeding ( 80 percent) than breastfeeding ( 66 percent) children. Children living in urban areas are more likely than children in rural areas to consume foods rich in vitamin A ( 75 percent versus 68 percent). By region, children in Zambezi ( 85 percent) are most likely to consume vitamin A-rich foods, and those in Kunene are least likely to do so (49 percent). Education and wealth are positively associated with the percentage of children who consume vitamin A-rich foods.

Sixty-four percent of children age 6-23 months consumed iron-rich foods in the day and night preceding the survey. Consumption of iron-rich foods is slightly higher among girls ( 65 percent) than boys (63 percent), and it is substantially higher among nonbreastfeeding than breastfeeding children ( 73 percent versus 58 percent). Urban children (68 percent) are more likely than rural children ( 61 percent) to consume iron-rich foods. Children in Omaheke ( 74 percent) are most likely to consume iron-rich foods, and children in Kunene ( 42 percent) are least likely to do so. The percentage of children who consume iron-rich foods increases with increasing mother's education and wealth. For example, 57 percent of children in the lowest wealth quintile consume iron-rich foods, as compared with 77 percent of those in the highest quintile.

The 2013 NDHS also collected data on vitamin A supplementation and iron supplementation among children under age 5 . According to Table 12.8, 84 percent of children age 6-59 months were given vitamin A supplements in the six months before the survey. The proportion of children receiving vitamin A supplementation is highest among those age $12-17$ months ( 92 percent). Children who are still breastfeeding ( 86 percent) are more likely to receive vitamin A supplements than those who are not breastfeeding ( 83 percent). A lower percentage of children living in urban ( 80 percent) than rural ( 87 percent) areas received vitamin A supplements in the last six months. By region, the proportion of children receiving vitamin A supplements is highest in Oshana (95 percent) and lowest in Khomas and Erongo (74 percent each).

Certain types of intestinal parasites can cause anaemia. Periodic deworming for organisms such as helminthes and schistosomiasis (bilharzia) can improve children's micronutrient status. Table 12.8 shows that more than four in ten children age 6-59 months (43 percent) received deworming medication in the six months before the survey. Older children, female children, children living in rural areas and in Kavango, children of mothers with no education or a primary education, and children living in the poorest households are more likely than other children to have been given deworming medication.

The proportion of children who received micronutrient supplements or deworming medication has increased since the 2006-07 NDHS. For example, the percentage of children who received vitamin A supplementation in the last six months increased from 52 percent to 84 percent, and the percentage who received deworming medication increased from 9 percent to 43 percent.

Inadequate amounts of iodine in the diet are related to serious health risks for young children. The 2013 NDHS tested for the presence of iodine in household salt. The results show that, among children age 6-59 months in households tested for salt, 76 percent live in households that use iodised salt.

Table 12.8 Micronutrient intake among children
Among youngest children age 6-23 months who are living with their mother, the percentages who consumed vitamin A-rich and iron-rich foods in the day or night preceding the survey; among all children age 6-59 months, the percentages who were given vitamin A supplements in the six months preceding the survey, who were given iron supplements in the past seven days, and who were given deworming medication in the six months preceding the survey; and among all children age 6-59 months who live in households that were tested for iodised salt, the percentage who live in households with iodised salt, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Among youngest children age 6-23 months living with their mother: |  |  | Among all children age 6-59 months: |  |  | Among children age 6-59 months living in households tested for iodised salt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who consumed foods rich in vitamin A in last 24 hours $^{1}$ | Percentage who consumed foods rich in iron in last 24 hours $^{2}$ | Number of children | Percentage given vitamin A supplements in last 6 months | Percentage given deworming medication in last 6 months $^{3}$ | Number of children | Percentage living in households with iodised salt ${ }^{4}$ | Number of children |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 | 46.3 | 36.4 | 267 | 73.8 | 31.3 | 279 | 71.4 | 270 |
| 9-11 | 67.6 | 60.2 | 214 | 88.1 | 30.8 | 232 | 73.2 | 216 |
| 12-17 | 77.8 | 70.7 | 429 | 91.9 | 41.6 | 496 | 75.1 | 473 |
| 18-23 | 85.9 | 81.2 | 324 | 86.4 | 49.2 | 442 | 73.8 | 419 |
| 24-35 | na | na | na | 83.9 | 45.2 | 926 | 76.2 | 883 |
| 36-47 | na | na | na | 82.7 | 44.9 | 883 | 76.8 | 832 |
| 48-59 | na | na | na | 79.7 | 43.5 | 830 | 77.6 | 783 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 70.7 | 63.3 | 589 | 82.7 | 40.6 | 1,997 | 75.4 | 1,896 |
| Female | 71.9 | 65.0 | 644 | 84.5 | 45.3 | 2,092 | 76.0 | 1,980 |
| Breastfeeding status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breastfeeding | 65.5 | 57.9 | 725 | 85.7 | 42.1 | 794 | 69.2 | 751 |
| Not breastfeeding | 79.7 | 73.3 | 508 | 83.2 | 43.3 | 3,271 | 77.4 | 3,102 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 71.8 | 60.8 | 115 | 74.3 | 45.0 | 206 | 70.1 | 193 |
| 20-29 | 73.0 | 66.9 | 635 | 83.3 | 43.2 | 2,088 | 76.6 | 1,982 |
| 30-39 | 69.5 | 61.7 | 402 | 84.3 | 42.2 | 1,417 | 76.0 | 1,345 |
| 40-49 | 67.2 | 60.0 | 81 | 87.2 | 43.8 | 377 | 72.9 | 355 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 75.4 | 68.4 | 568 | 79.8 | 38.7 | 2,043 | 89.8 | 1,952 |
| Rural | 67.9 | 60.7 | 666 | 87.3 | 47.4 | 2,046 | 61.5 | 1,924 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 84.9 | 71.2 | 70 | 88.9 | 41.6 | 246 | 95.8 | 220 |
| Erongo | 77.2 | 68.3 | 84 | 73.6 | 26.0 | 292 | 94.6 | 274 |
| Hardap | 66.5 | 61.6 | 49 | 82.5 | 35.7 | 146 | 75.6 | 135 |
| //Karas | 78.3 | 69.3 | 38 | 91.1 | 50.8 | 143 | 87.4 | 137 |
| Kavango | 79.0 | 66.9 | 159 | 93.0 | 90.3 | 479 | 78.6 | 443 |
| Khomas | 78.0 | 70.5 | 202 | 74.1 | 29.6 | 787 | 93.0 | 762 |
| Kunene | 48.9 | 42.1 | 41 | 78.0 | 35.1 | 151 | 71.5 | 132 |
| Ohangwena | 63.8 | 58.3 | 146 | 87.2 | 36.6 | 480 | 61.4 | 470 |
| Omaheke | 74.4 | 74.4 | 35 | 84.0 | 41.9 | 127 | 59.7 | 114 |
| Omusati | 62.1 | 55.7 | 137 | 79.7 | 23.3 | 397 | 57.2 | 382 |
| Oshana | 63.9 | 60.6 | 78 | 95.0 | 64.6 | 265 | 67.4 | 264 |
| Oshikoto | 76.4 | 70.8 | 108 | 89.1 | 40.3 | 316 | 56.9 | 308 |
| Otjozondjupa | 62.2 | 59.0 | 87 | 81.3 | 45.1 | 260 | 69.7 | 235 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 47.8 | 38.6 | 72 | 77.7 | 45.1 | 253 | 59.8 | 224 |
| Primary | 67.9 | 57.9 | 299 | 84.7 | 47.1 | 956 | 67.9 | 887 |
| Secondary | 73.7 | 67.6 | 801 | 84.6 | 41.4 | 2,615 | 77.8 | 2,512 |
| More than secondary | (85.5) | (81.0) | 61 | 74.7 | 41.8 | 265 | 96.4 | 253 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 66.2 | 57.0 | 274 | 86.6 | 49.6 | 868 | 62.4 | 800 |
| Second | 66.2 | 60.2 | 293 | 86.6 | 45.0 | 889 | 66.1 | 843 |
| Middle | 68.6 | 62.0 | 268 | 86.9 | 43.0 | 849 | 68.7 | 816 |
| Fourth | 77.0 | 71.4 | 226 | 81.9 | 40.0 | 857 | 89.1 | 823 |
| Highest | 85.1 | 76.5 | 172 | 72.8 | 35.4 | 625 | 98.3 | 595 |
| Total | 71.3 | 64.2 | 1,234 | 83.6 | 43.0 | 4,088 | 75.7 | 3,875 |

Note: Information on vitamin A is based on both mother's recall and the immunisation card (where available). Information on iron supplements and deworming medication is based on mother's recall. Total includes 23 children with missing information on breastfeeding status. Figures in parentheses are based on $25-$ 49 unweighted cases.
na $=$ Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ Includes meat (and organ meat), fish, poultry, eggs, pumpkin, squash, carrots, red sweet potatoes, dark green leafy vegetables, ripe mango, papaya, and other locally grown fruits and vegetables that are rich in vitamin A
${ }^{2}$ Includes meat (and organ meat), fish, poultry, and eggs
${ }^{3}$ Deworming for intestinal parasites is commonly done for helminthes and for schistosomiasis.
${ }^{4}$ Excludes children in households in which salt was not tested

### 12.9 Presence of Iodised Salt in Households

Salt is used for several purposes in a household. It plays a role in cooking and food preservation. In line with food and drug regulations, household salt should be fortified with iodine sufficient to ensure a concentration of at least 15 parts per million (ppm) when consumed. Iodine is an essential micronutrient, and iodised salt prevents goitre among children and adults. As mentioned above, the 2013 NDHS tested for the presence of iodine in household salt. Salt was tested in 94 percent of households (Table 12.9). It should be noted that household salt was tested for the presence or absence of iodine only; the iodine level in the salt was not measured.

Among households in which salt was tested, 76 percent were consuming iodised salt. The percentages of households with iodised salt vary somewhat by residence, region, and wealth. Notably, 90 percent of households in urban areas have iodised salt, as compared with only 61 percent in rural areas. Zambezi has the highest percentage of households with iodised salt ( 96 percent), followed by Erongo and Khomas (93 percent each); Omusati has the lowest percentage ( 55 percent). The percentage of households with iodised salt increases steadily with increasing wealth.

| Table 12.9 Presence of iodised salt in household |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among all households, the percentage with salt tested for iodine content and the percentage with no salt in the household, and among households with salt tested, the percentage with iodised salt, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Among all households, the percentage: |  |  | Among households with tested salt: |  |
| Background characteristic | With salt tested | With no salt in the household | Number of households | Percentage with iodised salt | Number of households |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.4 | 6.6 | 2,554 | 89.9 | 2,386 |
| Rural | 93.8 | 6.2 | 2,363 | 61.4 | 2,217 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 87.7 | 12.3 | 270 | 96.1 | 237 |
| Erongo | 92.8 | 7.2 | 460 | 93.4 | 427 |
| Hardap | 91.0 | 9.0 | 199 | 76.7 | 181 |
| //Karas | 93.3 | 6.7 | 200 | 89.8 | 186 |
| Kavango | 94.4 | 5.6 | 368 | 76.4 | 347 |
| Khomas | 93.4 | 6.6 | 1,007 | 92.6 | 940 |
| Kunene | 88.5 | 11.5 | 177 | 75.7 | 157 |
| Ohangwena | 98.4 | 1.6 | 443 | 59.1 | 435 |
| Omaheke | 89.3 | 10.7 | 168 | 62.0 | 150 |
| Omusati | 95.3 | 4.7 | 473 | 55.2 | 451 |
| Oshana | 97.5 | 2.5 | 415 | 68.2 | 404 |
| Oshikoto | 95.6 | 4.4 | 411 | 57.9 | 393 |
| Otjozondjupa | 89.8 | 10.2 | 328 | 73.5 | 295 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 92.9 | 7.1 | 872 | 55.1 | 810 |
| Second | 92.1 | 7.9 | 936 | 65.3 | 862 |
| Middle | 94.2 | 5.8 | 952 | 72.1 | 897 |
| Fourth | 94.6 | 5.4 | 1,120 | 87.0 | 1,059 |
| Highest | 94.0 | 6.0 | 1,037 | 95.3 | 975 |
| Total | 93.6 | 6.4 | 4,917 | 76.2 | 4,603 |

### 12.10 Adult Nutritional Status

### 12.10.1 Nutritional Status of Women

Anthropometric data on height and weight were collected for women age 15-64 interviewed in the survey. In this report, two indicators of nutritional status based on these data are presented: body mass index (BMI) and the percentage of women of very short stature (less than 145 cm ). The body mass index, or the Quetelet index, is used to measure thinness or obesity. BMI is expressed as weight in kilograms divided by height squared in metres $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. A cutoff point of 18.5 is used to define thinness or acute undernutrition, and a BMI of 25.0 or above usually indicates overweight or obesity. The height of a woman is associated with past socioeconomic status and nutrition during childhood and adolescence. Low pre-pregnancy BMI and short stature are risk factors for poor birth outcomes and obstetric complications. In developing countries, maternal underweight is a leading risk factor for preventable death and diseases.

Table 12.10 .1 shows the nutritional status of women by background characteristics. Respondents for whom there was no information on height and/or weight and for whom a BMI could not be estimated were excluded from this analysis. Overall, less than 1 percent of women fall below the $145-\mathrm{cm}$ cutoff point for height. The mean BMI for women age 15-49 is 23.7. At the national level, 55 percent of women age 15-49 have a BMI in the normal range, 14 percent of women are thin (BMI below 18.5), and 32 percent are overweight or obese. Hence, among women of reproductive age in Namibia, being overweight or obese is more of a public health concern than being underweight.

Table 12.10.1 Nutritional status of women
Among women age 15-49, the percentage with height under 145 cm , mean body mass index (BMI), and the percentage with specific BMI levels, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Height |  | Body mass index ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean BMI | Normal <br> 18.5-24.9 <br> (total normal) | $\begin{gathered} <18.5 \\ \text { (total thin) } \end{gathered}$ | Thin |  | Overweight/obese |  |  | Number of women |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ 145 \mathrm{~cm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of women |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17.0-18.4 } \\ \text { (mildly thin) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <17 \\ \text { (moderately } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { severely } \\ \text { thin) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 25.0$ <br> (total overweight or obese) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25.0-29.9 } \\ \text { (over- } \\ \text { weight) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \geq 30.0 \\ \text { (obese) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.5 | 878 | 20.5 | 65.9 | 26.7 | 14.7 | 12.0 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 816 |
| 20-29 | 0.7 | 1,538 | 22.8 | 62.6 | 12.4 | 8.7 | 3.7 | 25.0 | 17.5 | 7.6 | 1,371 |
| 30-39 | 0.3 | 1,136 | 25.2 | 46.8 | 8.7 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 44.4 | 24.9 | 19.5 | 1,014 |
| 40-49 | 0.6 | 736 | 26.6 | 37.0 | 9.7 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 53.3 | 25.4 | 27.9 | 721 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.6 | 2,341 | 24.7 | 49.6 | 10.6 | 7.1 | 3.5 | 39.8 | 21.9 | 18.0 | 2,133 |
| Rural | 0.4 | 1,947 | 22.4 | 60.4 | 17.8 | 10.5 | 7.4 | 21.7 | 14.1 | 7.6 | 1,788 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 0.0 | 220 | 23.3 | 63.9 | 10.9 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 25.2 | 13.5 | 11.8 | 208 |
| Erongo | 1.5 | 354 | 25.5 | 48.9 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 43.8 | 21.4 | 22.4 | 326 |
| Hardap | 1.2 | 160 | 25.2 | 41.1 | 14.5 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 44.4 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 149 |
| //Karas | 1.5 | 167 | 25.4 | 45.9 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 46.0 | 26.0 | 19.9 | 158 |
| Kavango | 0.3 | 381 | 22.0 | 65.2 | 17.6 | 11.3 | 6.3 | 17.2 | 12.2 | 5.0 | 339 |
| Khomas | 0.0 | 921 | 24.8 | 49.6 | 9.7 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 40.8 | 22.6 | 18.1 | 838 |
| Kunene | 0.5 | 123 | 25.5 | 41.9 | 12.0 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 46.1 | 24.9 | 21.2 | 109 |
| Ohangwena | 0.3 | 468 | 21.4 | 61.9 | 23.6 | 14.5 | 9.1 | 14.5 | 10.1 | 4.4 | 414 |
| Omaheke | 2.1 | 115 | 24.7 | 47.1 | 13.7 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 39.3 | 22.0 | 17.2 | 103 |
| Omusati | 0.3 | 414 | 21.8 | 62.8 | 18.6 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 18.6 | 13.4 | 5.2 | 386 |
| Oshana | 1.2 | 380 | 23.3 | 57.0 | 14.5 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 28.5 | 18.4 | 10.1 | 349 |
| Oshikoto | 0.0 | 334 | 23.0 | 58.3 | 14.6 | 10.7 | 4.0 | 27.1 | 18.0 | 9.1 | 309 |
| Otjozondjupa | 0.8 | 250 | 24.8 | 44.4 | 13.3 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 42.3 | 23.1 | 19.3 | 233 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.2 | 208 | 23.9 | 52.6 | 13.1 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 34.3 | 18.9 | 15.4 | 184 |
| Primary | 1.3 | 855 | 22.5 | 54.6 | 20.6 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 24.8 | 16.2 | 8.6 | 786 |
| Secondary | 0.2 | 2,843 | 23.8 | 55.2 | 12.8 | 8.3 | 4.4 | 32.1 | 18.4 | 13.6 | 2,598 |
| More than secondary | 0.0 | 383 | 25.3 | 50.4 | 7.8 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 41.7 | 22.2 | 19.5 | 353 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 0.4 | 690 | 21.1 | 64.4 | 22.9 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 10.4 | 2.3 | 624 |
| Second | 1.0 | 777 | 22.3 | 63.3 | 16.7 | 11.2 | 5.5 | 20.0 | 13.9 | 6.1 | 705 |
| Middle | 0.8 | 819 | 23.3 | 55.9 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 29.8 | 18.2 | 11.6 | 754 |
| Fourth | 0.4 | 1,034 | 24.8 | 46.3 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 42.8 | 24.6 | 18.3 | 943 |
| Highest | 0.2 | 968 | 25.7 | 48.2 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 43.5 | 21.0 | 22.6 | 895 |
| Total | 0.5 | 4,288 | 23.7 | 54.5 | 13.9 | 8.6 | 5.3 | 31.6 | 18.3 | 13.2 | 3,922 |

Note: Body mass index is expressed as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes pregnant women and women with a birth in the preceding 2 months

In general, the percentage of women who are thin decreases with age, while the percentage of women who are overweight increases with age. For example, women age 15-19 (27 percent) are much more likely to be thin than women age 30-49 (9-10 percent). Women living in rural areas are more likely to be thin (18 percent) than those living in urban areas (11 percent), while urban women are more likely to be overweight or obese ( 40 percent versus 22 percent). At the regional level, the proportion of thin women is highest in Ohangwena ( 24 percent) and lowest in Erongo ( 7 percent). The proportion of women who are overweight or obese is highest in //Karas and Kunene (46 percent each) and lowest in Ohangwena (15 percent). The percentage of women who are thin tends to decrease with increasing wealth. As one would expect, overweight and obesity increases with wealth.

### 12.10.2 Nutritional Status of Men

For the first time in an NDHS, anthropometric data on height and weight were collected among men age 15-64. Overall, this information was successfully gathered for 99 percent of the men interviewed during the survey. These data are useful in BMI calculations, which can be used as a measure of chronic energy deficiency among men (BMI calculations and cutoff points are the same for men and women). In addition, BMI can be used to measure overweight and obesity, risk factors for nutrition-related chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease.

Table 12.10.2 shows the nutritional status of men by background characteristics. Overall, 65 percent of men age 15-49 have a BMI in the normal range, 23 percent are thin, and 12 percent are overweight or obese. These findings show that men are more likely than women to be thin and less likely to be overweight or obese.

Table 12.10.2 Nutritional status of men
Among men age 15-49, mean body mass index (BMI) and the percentage with specific BMI levels, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Thin |  |  | Overweight/obese |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of } \\ \text { men } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Mean BMI | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18.5-24.9 } \\ \text { (total } \\ \text { normal) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $<18.5$ (total thin) | $\begin{gathered} 17.0-18.4 \\ \text { (mildly thin) } \end{gathered}$ | ```<17 (moderately and severely thin)``` | $\geq 25.0$ <br> (total overweight or obese) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25.0-29.9 } \\ \text { (overweight) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \geq 30.0 \\ \text { (obese) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 18.8 | 47.8 | 50.3 | 27.0 | 23.2 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 844 |
| 20-29 | 21.1 | 77.6 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 1.5 | 1,322 |
| 30-39 | 22.0 | 67.9 | 14.8 | 10.2 | 4.6 | 17.3 | 12.7 | 4.5 | 857 |
| 40-49 | 22.7 | 58.1 | 17.3 | 11.6 | 5.6 | 24.6 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 553 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 21.8 | 65.5 | 17.4 | 12.7 | 4.7 | 17.2 | 11.7 | 5.4 | 1,936 |
| Rural | 20.1 | 65.0 | 30.2 | 17.5 | 12.7 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 1,639 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 21.0 | 77.0 | 15.1 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 193 |
| Erongo | 22.7 | 64.3 | 12.4 | 9.1 | 3.2 | 23.3 | 14.4 | 9.0 | 329 |
| Hardap | 21.8 | 59.1 | 23.4 | 15.4 | 7.9 | 17.5 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 142 |
| //Karas | 21.9 | 56.0 | 22.8 | 14.5 | 8.3 | 21.2 | 16.2 | 4.9 | 142 |
| Kavango | 20.0 | 70.9 | 26.1 | 18.1 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 298 |
| Khomas | 21.8 | 68.5 | 14.6 | 11.2 | 3.5 | 16.9 | 12.4 | 4.5 | 805 |
| Kunene | 21.5 | 74.2 | 13.6 | 11.4 | 2.3 | 12.1 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 97 |
| Ohangwena | 19.7 | 62.1 | 35.2 | 18.6 | 16.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 307 |
| Omaheke | 21.2 | 66.9 | 21.0 | 16.4 | 4.6 | 12.1 | 9.0 | 3.1 | 99 |
| Omusati | 19.1 | 55.3 | 43.1 | 20.4 | 22.7 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 326 |
| Oshana | 20.4 | 66.4 | 26.8 | 17.8 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 299 |
| Oshikoto | 20.4 | 65.5 | 26.8 | 16.9 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 1.4 | 312 |
| Otjozondjupa | 21.7 | 59.4 | 24.0 | 15.8 | 8.2 | 16.6 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 225 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 21.0 | 79.0 | 14.4 | 10.5 | 3.9 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 289 |
| Primary | 20.0 | 61.8 | 33.4 | 18.2 | 15.2 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 864 |
| Secondary | 21.1 | 64.7 | 22.6 | 15.5 | 7.1 | 12.7 | 9.0 | 3.7 | 2,123 |
| More than secondary | 23.2 | 66.1 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 26.6 | 16.6 | 10.1 | 300 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 19.7 | 63.3 | 34.1 | 18.9 | 15.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 556 |
| Second | 20.0 | 70.4 | 26.5 | 15.6 | 10.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 719 |
| Middle | 20.6 | 68.7 | 25.3 | 16.8 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 804 |
| Fourth | 21.1 | 65.3 | 22.2 | 15.8 | 6.4 | 12.5 | 9.3 | 3.2 | 821 |
| Highest | 23.6 | 57.1 | 10.0 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 32.9 | 20.6 | 12.3 | 676 |
| Total 15-49 | 21.0 | 65.2 | 23.3 | 14.9 | 8.3 | 11.5 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 3,575 |

Note: Body mass index is expressed as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

Similar to women, men age 15-19 (50 percent) are more likely to be thin than older men (age 40-49) (17 percent), while older men are much more likely to be overweight or obese than those in the 15-19 age group ( 25 percent versus 2 percent). Rural men are more likely to be thin than urban men ( 30 percent versus 17 percent), while urban men are more likely to be overweight or obese (17 percent versus 5 percent). The percentage of men who are thin ranges from 12 percent in Erongo to 43 percent in Omusati. By contrast, the percentage of men who are overweight or obese is highest among those in Erongo (23 percent) and lowest among those in Omusati ( 2 percent). The percentage of men who are thin decreases steadily with increasing wealth, from 34 percent among those in the lowest wealth quintile to 10 percent among those in the highest quintile. Overall, there are substantial increases in the percentage of overweight and obese men with increasing education and wealth.

### 12.10.3 Anaemia in Women

Table 12.11.1 presents anaemia levels for women age 15-49. Overall, 21 percent of women are anaemic. The majority of women who suffer from anaemia are mildly or moderately anaemic ( 17 percent and 4 percent, respectively), while less than 1 percent are severely anaemic. Women age 40-49 are more likely to be anaemic (28 percent) than those age 15-29 (17-19 percent). Women who have given birth to six or more children are more likely to be anaemic ( 30 percent) than those with fewer children (19-22 percent). Pregnant women have a higher prevalence of anaemia ( 26 percent) than nonpregnant or breastfeeding women (20-22 percent). Anaemia among women is slightly higher in rural than urban areas. Across regions, women from Kavango (33 percent) are most likely to be anaemic, and those in Hardap (15 percent) are least likely. Anaemia prevalence is lowest among those with more than a secondary education (17 percent) and the wealthiest women (18 percent).

| Table 12.11.1 Prevalence of anaemia in women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women age 15-49 with anaemia, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anaemia status by haemoglobin level |  |  |  | Number of women |
|  | Any | Mild | Moderate | Severe |  |
| Background <br> Not pregnant | $<12.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $10.0-11.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $7.0-9.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $<7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ |  |
| characteristic Pregnant | $<11.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $10.0-10.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $7.0-9.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $<7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 19.1 | 15.4 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 870 |
| 20-29 | 17.3 | 14.5 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1,514 |
| 30-39 | 21.8 | 16.8 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 1,127 |
| 40-49 | 27.8 | 22.2 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 731 |
| Number of children ever born |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 19.4 | 15.7 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 1,343 |
| 1 | 19.1 | 16.0 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 868 |
| 2-3 | 20.7 | 17.0 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 1,261 |
| 4-5 | 22.0 | 16.2 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 510 |
| 6+ | 29.7 | 22.1 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 260 |
| Maternity status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 25.6 | 18.7 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 288 |
| Breastfeeding | 21.9 | 18.5 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 585 |
| Neither | 20.0 | 16.1 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 3,369 |
| Using IUD |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | * | * | * | * | 21 |
| No | 20.7 | 16.6 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 4,221 |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smokes cigarettes/ tobacco | 15.9 | 13.8 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 4 |
| Does not smoke | 20.9 | 16.7 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 4,036 |
| Missing | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.2 | 15.7 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 2,303 |
| Rural | 22.4 | 17.7 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 1,938 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 26.3 | 19.9 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 219 |
| Erongo | 21.1 | 15.6 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 356 |
| Hardap | 14.6 | 13.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 159 |
| //Karas | 20.9 | 17.3 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 167 |
| Kavango | 32.9 | 23.2 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 377 |
| Khomas | 15.8 | 13.7 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 889 |
| Kunene | 15.8 | 13.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 120 |
| Ohangwena | 16.5 | 12.8 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 469 |
| Omaheke | 20.6 | 17.7 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 114 |
| Omusati | 25.4 | 21.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 409 |
| Oshana | 20.8 | 17.1 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 382 |
| Oshikoto | 21.2 | 17.4 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 330 |
| Otjozondjupa | 19.1 | 15.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 249 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 26.8 | 21.3 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 204 |
| Primary | 24.0 | 18.2 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 857 |
| Secondary | 19.7 | 16.1 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 2,821 |
| More than secondary | 16.9 | 14.4 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 361 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 24.0 | 18.0 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 686 |
| Second | 19.7 | 16.6 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 781 |
| Middle | 20.4 | 15.7 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 813 |
| Fourth | 21.9 | 17.6 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 1,023 |
| Highest | 17.9 | 15.3 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 939 |
| Total | 20.7 | 16.6 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 4,242 |

Note: Prevalence is adjusted for altitude and for smoking status if known using formulas in CDC, 1998. Total includes 2 women with missing information on smoking status. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

## 12-10.4 Anaemia in Men

Table 12.11.2 presents anaemia levels for men age 1549. Overall, 12 percent of men are anaemic. Men age 15-19 are more likely to be anaemic ( 18 percent) than older men. The prevalence of anaemia is higher among men in rural ( 16 percent) than in urban (8 percent) areas. Across regions, men from Kavango (23 percent) have the highest anaemia prevalence, while men in Kunene have the lowest prevalence ( 5 percent). The prevalence of anaemia among men decreases with increasing wealth and education.

### 12.11 Micronutrient Intake among Mothers

Adequate micronutrient intake has important benefits for both women and their children. Breastfeeding children benefit from micronutrient supplementation that mothers receive, especially vitamin A. Iron supplementation of women during pregnancy protects the mother and infant against anaemia, which is considered a major cause of perinatal and maternal mortality. Anaemia also results in an increased risk of premature delivery and low birth weight. Finally, iodine deficiency is related to a number of adverse pregnancy outcomes including abortion, foetal brain damage and congenital malformation, stillbirth, and prenatal death.

The 2013 NDHS collected data on consumption of vitamin A and iron-folic acid supplements among women age 15-49 with a child born in the past five years, use of deworming medication during the last pregnancy, and the percentage of women living in households with iodised salt.

A single dose of vitamin A is typically given to women within 45 days of childbirth, aimed at increasing the mother's

Table 12.11.2 Prevalence of anaemia in men
Percentage of men age 15-49 with anaemia, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

|  | Anaemia status by <br> haemoglobin level |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Background <br> characteristic | Any anaemia <br> $<13.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | Number of <br> men |
| Age |  |  |
| 15-19 | 18.4 | 830 |
| $20-29$ | 6.1 | 1,275 |
| 30-39 | 10.5 | 840 |
| 40-49 | 15.7 | 548 |
| Smoking status |  |  |
| $\quad$ Smokes cigarettes/ |  |  |
| tobacco | 9.8 | 694 |
| Does not smoke | 12.0 | 2,798 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 7.5 | 1,883 |
| Rural | 16.3 | 1,609 |
| Region |  |  |
| Zambezi | 11.1 | 187 |
| Erongo | 8.9 | 327 |
| Hardap | 10.0 | 138 |
| l/Karas | 7.7 | 139 |
| Kavango | 22.9 | 283 |
| Khomas | 6.6 | 774 |
| Kunene | 5.4 | 93 |
| Ohangwena | 13.2 | 307 |
| Omaheke | 7.1 | 97 |
| Omusati | 16.5 | 323 |
| Oshana | 10.5 | 301 |
| Oshikoto | 18.8 | 301 |
| Otjozondjupa | 9.1 | 222 |
| Education |  |  |
| No education | 13.5 | 285 |
| Primary | 17.8 | 861 |
| Secondary | 9.9 | 2,073 |
| More than secondary | 2.4 | 273 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |
| Lowest | 21.7 | 550 |
| Second | 12.8 | 708 |
| Middle | 10.5 | 789 |
| Fourth | 4.1 | 796 |
| Highest | 11.6 | 349 |
| Total 15-49 |  | 3,492 |
|  |  |  |

Note: Prevalence is adjusted for altitude and for smoking status, if known, using formulas in CDC, 1998. vitamin A level and the content of the vitamin in her breast milk for the benefit of her child. Because of the risk of teratogenesis (abnormal development of the foetus) resulting from high doses of vitamin A during pregnancy, the dose should not be given to pregnant women.

Table 12.12 shows that 58 percent of women with a child born in the five years before the survey received a vitamin A dose in the first two months after the birth of their last child. Vitamin A supplementation rates are highest among rural women (58 percent), women living in Otjozondjupa (69 percent), women with at least a secondary education (59-60 percent), and women in the middle wealth quintile (61 percent).

With regard to iron supplementation during pregnancy, 39 percent of women reported taking iron tablets or syrup for 90 or more days during the pregnancy of their most recent birth, as recommended. Only 12 percent did not take any iron supplements during pregnancy. Women living in Ohangwena were least likely to have taken iron tablets during their last pregnancy for the recommended period of time (25 percent), while women in Kunene were most likely to have done so (67 percent).

Seven percent of women took deworming medication during the pregnancy of their most recent birth. Women residing in Kavango (17 percent), those with a primary education (11 percent), and those in the lowest quintile (11 percent) were most likely to take deworming medicine.

Seventy-six percent of women with a child born in the last five years live in households with iodised salt. The percentage of women who live in households with iodised salt is higher in urban areas (90 percent) than in rural areas ( 62 percent). Omusati and Oshikoto ( 56 percent each) have the lowest proportion of women living in households with iodised salt. The percentage of women living in households with iodised salt increases with increasing education and household wealth.

Table 12.12 Micronutrient intake among mothers
Among women age 15-49 with a child born in the past five years, the percentage who received a vitamin A dose in the first two months after the birth of the last child, the percent distribution by number of days they took iron tablets or syrup during the pregnancy of the last child, and the percentage who took deworming medication during the pregnancy of the last child, and among women age 15-49 with a child born in the past five years and who live in households that were tested for iodised salt, the percentage who live in households with iodised salt, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage who received vitamin A dose postpartum ${ }^{1}$ | Among women with a child born in the past five years: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Among women with a child born in the last five years who live in households that were tested for iodised salt: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of days women took iron tablets during pregnancy of last birth |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of women who took deworming medication during pregnancy of last birth | Number of women |  |  |
|  |  | None | $<60$ | 60-89 | 90+ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't } \\ \text { know/ } \\ \text { missing } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  | Percentage living in households with iodised salt ${ }^{2}$ | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 50.4 | 11.1 | 24.0 | 5.7 | 34.3 | 24.9 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 263 | 70.5 | 249 |
| 20-29 | 57.1 | 11.2 | 19.0 | 6.4 | 37.1 | 26.3 | 100.0 | 6.9 | 1,910 | 77.1 | 1,813 |
| 30-39 | 60.0 | 12.8 | 14.8 | 5.4 | 40.7 | 26.3 | 100.0 | 6.9 | 1,308 | 76.8 | 1,239 |
| 40-49 | 57.1 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 3.8 | 41.7 | 28.3 | 100.0 | 6.0 | 360 | 73.7 | 341 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 57.3 | 12.3 | 15.2 | 4.3 | 45.2 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 1,970 | 89.6 | 1,879 |
| Rural | 58.0 | 11.2 | 20.1 | 7.2 | 31.5 | 30.0 | 100.0 | 7.6 | 1,871 | 61.9 | 1,763 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 56.5 | 10.5 | 23.2 | 4.5 | 35.7 | 26.1 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 239 | 96.4 | 215 |
| Erongo | 56.1 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 63.0 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 285 | 93.5 | 268 |
| Hardap | 60.3 | 7.9 | 18.6 | 5.7 | 51.3 | 16.5 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 133 | 77.6 | 124 |
| //Karas | 65.4 | 10.2 | 19.8 | 9.4 | 43.7 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 7.3 | 136 | 86.8 | 130 |
| Kavango | 55.2 | 5.6 | 39.4 | 4.6 | 35.1 | 15.3 | 100.0 | 17.3 | 448 | 79.4 | 415 |
| Khomas | 53.4 | 18.8 | 14.9 | 2.5 | 40.1 | 23.7 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 771 | 92.7 | 742 |
| Kunene | 47.2 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 66.7 | 11.9 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 133 | 71.5 | 117 |
| Ohangwena | 59.4 | 9.1 | 13.7 | 5.0 | 25.0 | 47.2 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 440 | 63.4 | 429 |
| Omaheke | 50.5 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 39.8 | 30.9 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 107 | 60.6 | 98 |
| Omusati | 67.4 | 21.7 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 26.4 | 28.8 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 350 | 55.7 | 338 |
| Oshana | 58.4 | 8.1 | 26.9 | 4.1 | 25.8 | 35.1 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 261 | 67.0 | 260 |
| Oshikoto | 52.4 | 5.5 | 13.7 | 9.7 | 42.0 | 29.1 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 290 | 56.1 | 281 |
| Otjozondjupa | 69.4 | 13.2 | 8.8 | 4.3 | 39.8 | 33.9 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 248 | 69.7 | 226 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 44.8 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 30.1 | 29.4 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 218 | 60.4 | 194 |
| Primary | 54.1 | 13.3 | 21.3 | 7.3 | 29.6 | 28.4 | 100.0 | 10.6 | 836 | 67.2 | 772 |
| Secondary | 59.8 | 10.4 | 16.5 | 5.6 | 41.7 | 25.8 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 2,517 | 78.2 | 2,417 |
| More than secondary | 58.6 | 13.2 | 17.6 | 2.4 | 43.5 | 23.3 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 271 | 96.5 | 259 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 52.9 | 11.4 | 23.7 | 6.2 | 27.3 | 31.5 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 756 | 63.4 | 695 |
| Second | 60.3 | 13.3 | 19.3 | 7.1 | 30.9 | 29.4 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 819 | 65.2 | 775 |
| Middle | 61.0 | 11.5 | 15.8 | 7.5 | 40.7 | 24.5 | 100.0 | 6.6 | 807 | 69.5 | 776 |
| Fourth | 58.3 | 10.4 | 16.2 | 4.5 | 44.9 | 24.0 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 846 | 88.4 | 810 |
| Highest | 54.5 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 2.8 | 51.1 | 21.9 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 614 | 98.1 | 586 |
| Total | 57.6 | 11.8 | 17.5 | 5.8 | 38.5 | 26.4 | 100.0 | 6.9 | 3,842 | 76.2 | 3,642 |

[^14]
## Key Findings

- Thirty-five percent of households have at least one mosquito net; 24 percent have at least one insecticide-treated mosquito net (ITN), the majority of which are long-lasting insecticidal nets ( 23 percent).
- Sixteen percent of households reported that they had received indoor residual spraying during the past 12 months.
- On the night before the survey, only 6 percent of children under age 5 slept under an ITN. Among households with at least one ITN, 18 percent of children under age 5 slept under an ITN.
- Overall, 4 percent of pregnant women slept under an ITN the night before the survey. Among pregnant women living in households that possess an ITN, 14 percent slept under an ITN the night before the survey.
- Five percent of women who had their last birth in the two years preceding the survey received intermittent preventive treatment during their pregnancy; that is, they took two or more doses of sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine (SP)/Fansidar and received at least one during an antenatal care visit.
- Three percent of children age 6-59 months had a low haemoglobin level (less than $8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ ), indicating possible malarial infection.

Malaria is one of the leading causes of death in sub-Saharan Africa. Although preventable and curable, the disease remains a public health problem in Namibia. Malaria is endemic in several regions, including Zambezi, Kavango, Ohangwena, Omusati, Oshana, Kunene, Oshikoto and parts of the Otjozondjupa and Omaheke.

This chapter presents data that are useful for assessing the implementation of malaria control strategies, including indoor residual spraying of dwellings with insecticides, the availability and use of mosquito nets, the prophylactic and therapeutic use of antimalarial medicines, and the collection for diagnostic test for children with fever.

### 13.1 Ownership of Mosquito Nets

The use of ITNs is a primary health intervention designed to reduce malaria transmission in Namibia. An ITN is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment or a net that has been soaked with insecticide within the past 12 months. Long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) are factorytreated mosquito nets made with netting material that has insecticide incorporated within or bound around the fibres. The current generation of LLINs lasts three to five years, after which the net should be replaced. The use of long-lasting nets is highly recommended as they greatly reduce the cost and the operational difficulties associated with retreatment of nets (MoHSS, 2005). In Namibia, most of the mosquito nets are provided free of charge by the Ministry of Health and Social services (MoHSS).

All households in the 2013 NDHS were asked whether they owned mosquito nets and, if so, how many. Table 13.1 shows household ownership of nets by type (any type, ITN, or LLIN) and average number of nets per household, by background characteristics. Overall, 35 percent of households in Namibia own at least one net, regardless of type. Twenty-four percent of households own at least one net that meets one of the ITN criteria (i.e., a factory-treated net that does not require retreatment, a pretreated net obtained within the previous 12 months, or a net soaked in insecticide at some time within the 12
months prior to the survey). The majority of these ITNs are long-lasting insecticidal nets; 23 percent of households own at least one LLIN. There has been an increase in the household ownership of any nets over the last six years from 25 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS to 35 percent in the 2013 NDHS.

Ownership of ITNs is higher in rural households than in urban households (34 percent and 15 percent, respectively). Among regions, Erongo and //Karas have the lowest percentage of households that own an ITN ( 4 percent), while Zambezi has the highest percentage ( 59 percent each). ITN ownership decreases as household wealth increases from 33 percent of households in the lowest wealth quintile to 13 percent in the highest wealth quintile.

Although mosquito net ownership is a key indicator of the success of malaria control measures, it is also important to determine if a household has a sufficient number of nets for those sleeping within the home. Households in Namibia own, on average, about one ITN.

Universal net coverage within the population can be measured by assuming that each net is shared by two people in the household. Table 13.1 also shows the percentage of households with at least one mosquito net for every two persons who stayed in the household the night before the interview. Eighteen percent of households in Namibia had at least one mosquito net of any type for every two persons who stayed in the household the night before the survey; 12 percent had at least one ITN for every two people.
Table 13.1 Household possession of mosquito nets
Percentage of households with at least one mosquito net (treated or untreated), insecticide-treated net (ITN), and long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN); average number of nets, ITNs, and LLINs per household; and percentage of households with at least one net, ITN, and LLIN per two persons who stayed in the household last night, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of households with at least onemosquito net |  |  | Average number of nets per household |  |  | Number of households | Percentage of households with at least one net for every two persons who stayed in the household last night ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Number of households with at least one person who stayed in the household last night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any mosquito net | Insecticidetreated mosquito net (ITN) ${ }^{2}$ | Long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN) | Any mosquito net | Insecticidetreated mosquito net (ITN) ${ }^{2}$ | Long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN) |  | $\qquad$ | ```Insecticide- treated mosquito net (ITN)}\mp@subsup{}{}{2``` | Long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN) |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.0 | 15.2 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5,121 | 13.9 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 5,088 |
| Rural | 45.1 | 34.3 | 32.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 4,728 | 22.4 | 16.3 | 15.2 | 4,706 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 75.5 | 58.8 | 53.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 541 | 46.5 | 35.7 | 32.2 | 541 |
| Erongo | 6.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 930 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 922 |
| Hardap | 19.0 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 381 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 379 |
| //Karas | 11.0 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 406 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 401 |
| Kavango | 43.4 | 40.6 | 39.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 737 | 18.9 | 17.6 | 17.0 | 734 |
| Khomas | 17.4 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2,015 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2,003 |
| Kunene | 28.2 | 24.2 | 23.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 354 | 14.4 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 354 |
| Ohangwena | 56.1 | 37.0 | 32.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 900 | 29.7 | 19.1 | 17.1 | 895 |
| Omaheke | 30.8 | 20.4 | 19.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 335 | 14.6 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 332 |
| Omusati | 42.2 | 31.6 | 31.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 949 | 18.3 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 948 |
| Oshana | 52.5 | 41.8 | 41.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 831 | 29.5 | 22.3 | 22.2 | 831 |
| Oshikoto | 52.0 | 38.7 | 36.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 817 | 29.1 | 20.1 | 18.5 | 809 |
| Otjozondjupa | 29.3 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 652 | 14.8 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 645 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 42.5 | 32.7 | 30.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1,737 | 19.8 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 1,733 |
| Second | 38.8 | 29.5 | 27.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1,910 | 20.3 | 14.0 | 13.5 | 1,895 |
| Middle | 40.6 | 28.7 | 26.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1,954 | 21.3 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 1,945 |
| Fourth | 30.3 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2,136 | 16.3 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 23.3 | 12.7 | 11.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2,111 | 13.1 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 2,104 |
| Total | 34.7 | 24.4 | 22.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 9,849 | 18.0 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 9,793 |

De facto household members
An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is (1) a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment (LLIN), (2) a pretreated net obtained within the past 12 months, or (3) a net that has been soaked with

### 13.2 Indoor Residual Spraying

In Namibia, indoor residual spraying (IRS) is part of the integrated vector management strategy, which is a key component of malaria prevention. IRS has a significant impact on the mosquito population and, therefore, can lead to rapid reductions in malaria transmission and subsequent mortality. IRS involves spraying of the interior walls with insecticide with the goal of killing mosquitoes when they rest on the sprayed wall. IRS reduces the mosquito population and, in turn, human-vector contact. The country has adopted selective households residual spraying with the goal to maintain 80 percent or more coverage. The appropriate period for indoor spraying of houses is between the months of October to January, just after the peak rainy season. The MoHSS is responsible for spraying rural areas outside of municipal boundaries; while in urban areas, this responsibility falls under the respective local authority (MoHSS, 2005).

To obtain information on the prevalence of indoor residual spraying, all households interviewed in the 2013 NDHS were asked whether the interior walls of their dwelling had been sprayed to protect against mosquitoes during the 12-month period before the survey and, if so, who had sprayed the dwelling. Table 13.2 shows that 16 percent of households had been sprayed in the past 12 months. There is a dramatic difference in IRS by residence, with rural households nearly 10 times as likely as urban households to report receiving IRS (29 percent versus 3 percent). By region, 2 percent or less of households in Erongo,

## Table 13.2 Indoor residual spraying against mosquitoes

Percentage of households in which someone has come into the dwelling to spray the interior walls against mosquitoes (IRS) in the past 12 months, the percentage of households with at least one ITN and/or IRS in the past 12 months, and the percentage of households with at least one ITN for every two persons and/or IRS in the past 12 months, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

|  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of } \\ \text { households with }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at least one ITN ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |$]$

${ }^{1}$ Indoor residual spraying (IRS) is limited to spraying conducted by a government, private, or non-governmental organisation
${ }^{2}$ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is (1) a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment (LLIN), (2) a pretreated net obtained within the past 12 months, or (3) a net that has been soaked with insecticide within the past 12 months

Hardap, //Karas, Khomas, and Omaheke reported having been sprayed, compared with 32-46 percent in malaria-endemic regions such as Zambezi, Kavango, Kunene, or Oshikoto. Wealthier households are much less likely to have been sprayed when compared with households in the lower quintiles. For example, only 3 percent of households in the highest wealth quintile have been sprayed, as compared with 31 percent of households in the lowest quintile.

The combination of IRS and use of an ITN offers the greatest protection against malaria. Overall, 33 percent of households are protected because they own at least one ITN and/or they have been sprayed in the past 12 months. However, ITNs must be available in sufficient quantities for use by household members. About one-fourth ( 24 percent) of all households have at least one ITN for every two persons and/or have been sprayed in the past 12 months. Differences by residence, region, and wealth are similar to those observed for IRS.

Ninety percent of household are sprayed by government workers, local government or municipal authorities, and only 1 percent are sprayed by private sector companies (data not shown).

### 13.3 Access to an Insecticide-Treated Net

The 2013 NDHS gathered data on the proportion of the population that could sleep under an ITN if each ITN in the household were used by up to two people. This population is referred to as having access to an ITN. Coupled with mosquito net usage, ITN access can provide useful information on the magnitude of the gap between ITN ownership and use (in other words, the population with access to an ITN but not using it). If the difference between these indicators is substantial, the programme may need to focus on behaviour change and how to identify the main drivers of and barriers to ITN use in order to design an appropriate intervention. Such an analysis would help ITN programmes determine whether they need to achieve higher ITN coverage, promote ITN use, or both. Table 13.3 shows the percent distribution of the de facto household population by the number of ITNs owned by the household, according to the number of persons who stayed in the household the night before the survey.

Nationally, 18 percent of the population in Namibia has access to an ITN. Access to ITNs fluctuates only slightly with the household size. It is lowest among households with eight or more persons (16 percent).

| Percent distribution of the de facto household population by number of ITNs the household owns, according to number of persons who stayed in the household the night before the survey, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of ITNs | Number of persons who stayed in the household the night before the survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| 0 | 82.0 | 81.1 | 77.2 | 75.9 | 72.4 | 69.7 | 69.2 | 67.7 | 72.4 |
| 1 | 15.1 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 7.6 | 10.2 |
| 2 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.7 |
| 3 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 12.2 | 7.7 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| 7+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 1,718 | 3,102 | 4,308 | 5,224 | 5,582 | 5,227 | 4,070 | 12,164 | 41,396 |
| Percent with access to an ITN ${ }^{1}$ | 18.0 | 18.9 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 19.7 | 17.6 | 16.2 | 18.1 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Percentage of the de facto household population who could sleep under an ITN if each ITN in the household were used by up to two people |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 13.1 shows the percentage of the household population with access to an ITN, by selected background characteristics. A lower percentage of urban households than rural households have access to an ITN ( 25 percent and 11 percent, respectively). By region, the percentage of the population with access to an ITN is highest in Zambezi (46 percent) and lowest in //Karas (2 percent). The percentage of the household population with access to an ITN decreases as wealth increases, from 23 percent of the population in the lowest quintile to 10 percent in the highest quintile.

Figure 13.1 Percentage of the de facto population with access to an ITN in the household


NDHS 2013

### 13.4 Use of Mosquito Nets

Community-level protection against malaria helps reduce the spread of the disease and offers an additional level of protection for those most vulnerable: children under age 5 and pregnant women. This section describes use of mosquito nets among all persons in the household, among children under age 5, and among pregnant women.

### 13.4.1 Use of Mosquito Nets by Persons in the Household

Mosquito net coverage of the entire population is necessary to accomplish large reductions in the malaria burden. Although vulnerable groups (e.g., children under age 5 and pregnant women) should still be prioritised, the communal benefits of wide-scale ITN use by older children and adults should be promoted and evaluated by national malaria control programmes (Killeen et al., 2007).

Table 13.4 shows that, overall, only 5 percent of the household population slept under a net the night before the survey; 4 percent slept under ITNs, nearly all of which are LLINs. Children under age 5 are most likely to use ITNs (6 percent). Substantial differences are observed by region, with Zambezi having the highest percentage of household members who slept under an ITN the night before the survey (19 percent), followed by Kavango (10 percent), compared with 7 percent or less of the population in the other regions. The percentage of the population sleeping under an ITN decreases with wealth.

Twenty-three percent of the household population slept under an ITN the night before the survey or in a dwelling that was sprayed during the 12 months preceding the survey. Differences in the percentage of the household population protected in this way by background characteristics are similar to those observed for the percentage of household members who slept under an ITN the night before the survey.

In households that own at least one ITN, 14 percent of household members slept under an ITN the night before the survey. Those most likely to sleep under an ITN were children under age 5 ( 18 percent), household members living in urban areas (16 percent), those living in Zambezi (32 percent), and the population living in the poorest households (18 percent).

Table 13.4 Use of mosquito nets by persons in the household
Percentage of the de facto household population who slept the night before the survey under a mosquito net (treated or untreated), under an insecticide-treated net (ITN), under a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN), and under an ITN or in a dwelling in which the interior walls have been sprayed against mosquitoes (IRS) in the past 12 months; and among the de facto household population in households with at least one ITN, the percentage who slept under an ITN the night before the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Household population |  |  |  |  | Household population in households with at least one ITN ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who slept under any net last night | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night | Percentage who slept under an LLIN last night | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night or in a dwelling sprayed with $\mathrm{IRS}^{2}$ in the past 12 months | Number | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<5$ | 7.7 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 26.4 | 5,711 | 17.8 | 1,778 |
| 5-14 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 27.0 | 10,153 | 10.1 | 3,135 |
| 15-34 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 19.5 | 14,226 | 13.9 | 3,551 |
| 35-39 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 17.9 | 6,032 | 16.6 | 1,436 |
| 50+ | 6.3 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 26.2 | 5,245 | 16.8 | 1,536 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 22.8 | 19,621 | 13.5 | 5,311 |
| Female | 5.4 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 23.0 | 21,774 | 14.8 | 6,134 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 6.6 | 19,291 | 16.4 | 3,140 |
| Rural | 6.3 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 37.2 | 22,106 | 13.4 | 8,304 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 24.3 | 19.0 | 17.4 | 48.6 | 2,165 | 31.8 | 1,294 |
| Erongo | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 3,016 | 2.6 | 156 |
| Hardap | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1,455 | 2.3 | 182 |
| //Karas | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1,473 | 17.9 | 63 |
| Kavango | 10.4 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 55.5 | 4,252 | 24.8 | 1,699 |
| Khomas | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 7,693 | 10.8 | 486 |
| Kunene | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 39.2 | 1,266 | 10.3 | 332 |
| Ohangwena | 7.1 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 30.7 | 4,857 | 11.8 | 1,907 |
| Omaheke | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 1,152 | 2.4 | 320 |
| Omusati | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 18.0 | 4,823 | 6.9 | 1,598 |
| Oshana | 8.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 39.0 | 3,324 | 15.0 | 1,508 |
| Oshikoto | 4.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 40.8 | 3,462 | 6.7 | 1,462 |
| Otjozondjupa | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 2,459 | 4.1 | 437 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 6.9 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 39.2 | 8,260 | 17.5 | 2,820 |
| Second | 6.7 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 33.5 | 8,257 | 16.9 | 2,732 |
| Middle | 5.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 23.1 | 8,288 | 10.8 | 2,714 |
| Fourth | 4.3 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 14.6 | 8,286 | 12.6 | 2,061 |
| Highest | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 8,304 | 10.3 | 1,117 |
| Total | 5.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 22.9 | 41,396 | 14.2 | 11,445 |

Note: Total includes 29 cases for which information on age is missing and 2 cases for which information on sex is missing.
${ }^{1}$ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is (1) a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment (LLIN), (2) a pretreated net obtained within the past 12 months, or (3) a net that has been soaked with insecticide within the past 12 months.
${ }^{2}$ Indoor residual spraying (IRS) is limited to spraying conducted by a government, private, or non-governmental organisation.

Figure 13.2 presents data on ownership, access, and use of ITNs in Namibia. About one-fourth of households ( 24 percent) own at least one ITN. However, only 12 percent of households have enough ITNs to cover their entire household population (assuming that one ITN is used by two persons). Eighteen percent of household members have access to an ITN, and 4 percent slept under an ITN the night before the survey. A comparison of the first two columns indicates that households in Namibia do not have a sufficient number of ITNs to cover the population sleeping in the household, and a comparison of the second two columns suggest that ITN use is much lower than ITN access.

Figure 13.2 Ownership, access, and use of ITNs


### 13.4.2 Use of Existing Mosquito Nets

Table 13.5 presents data on use of existing ITNs. Overall, 21 percent of ITNs were used by someone in the household the night before the survey. Twenty-four percent of ITNs were used in urban areas, as compared with 20 percent in rural areas. Zambezi (37 percent) had the highest levels of ITN usage, while Erongo had the lowest (4 percent).

### 13.4.3 Use of Mosquito Nets by Children under Age 5

Malaria is endemic in some regions of Namibia. Those living in areas of high malaria transmission acquire immunity to the disease over time (Doolan et al., 2009). Acquired immunity is not the same as sterile immunity; that is, acquired immunity does not prevent infection but rather protects against severe disease and death. Age is an important factor in determining levels of acquired immunity to malaria. For about six months following birth, antibodies acquired from the mother during pregnancy protect children born in areas of endemic malaria. This immunity gradually disappears, and children start to develop their own immunity. The pace at which immunity develops depends on the level of exposure to malarial infection; in highly malaria-endemic areas, children are thought to attain a high level of immunity by their fifth birthday. Such children may experience episodes of illness but usually do not suffer from severe, lifethreatening malaria. Immunity in areas of low malaria transmission is acquired more slowly. Malaria affects all age groups of the population.

Table 13.5 Use of existing ITNs
Percentage of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) that were used by anyone the night before the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background <br> characteristic | Percentage of <br> existing ITNs <br> used last night | Number of ITNs ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 24.0 | 1,263 |
| Rural | 20.0 | 3,264 |
| Region |  |  |
| Zambezi | 37.2 | 631 |
| Erongo | 4.3 | 57 |
| Hardap | 5.7 | 65 |
| l/Karas | $(26.7)$ | $(21)$ |
| Kavango | 37.3 | 630 |
| Khomas | 17.1 | 174 |
| Kunene | 18.5 | 126 |
| Ohangwena | 16.6 | 819 |
| Omaheke | 5.0 | 97 |
| Omusati | 10.2 | 558 |
| Oshana | 23.0 | 610 |
| Oshikoto | 12.0 | 594 |
| Otjozondjupa | 8.7 | 143 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |
| Lowest | 26.1 | 1,093 |
| Second | 24.9 | 1,060 |
| Middle | 17.4 | 1,033 |
| Fourth | 18.8 | 834 |
| Highest | 13.9 | 506 |
| Total | 21.1 | 4,527 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
${ }^{1}$ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is (1) a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment (LLIN), (2) a pretreated net obtained within the past 12 months, or (3) a net that has been soaked with insecticide within the past 12 months.

Table 13.6 shows the use of mosquito nets by children under age 5 . Only 8 percent of children slept under a mosquito net the night before the survey; 6 percent slept under an ITN, nearly all of which are LLIN. Additionally, 26 percent of children either slept under an ITN the night before the survey or slept within a dwelling that had been sprayed in the past 12 months. Among households with at least one ITN, 18 percent of children under age 5 slept under an ITN the night before the survey.

The percentage of children under age 5 in all the households who slept under an ITN the night before the survey decreases with age and somewhat with wealth, and it is slightly higher in rural areas than urban. The largest variation is by region, with Zambezi having the highest percentage of children under age 5 who slept under an ITN ( 24 percent) compared with 1 percent or less in several regions.

Table 13.6 Use of mosquito nets by children
Percentage of children under 5 years of age who, the night before the survey, slept under a mosquito net (treated or untreated), under an insecticidetreated net (ITN), under a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN), and under either an ITN or in a dwelling in which the interior walls have been sprayed against mosquitoes (IRS) in the past 12 months; and among children under 5 years of age in households with at least one ITN, the percentage who slept under an ITN the night before the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Children under age 5 in all households |  |  |  |  | Children under age 5 in households with at least one ITN ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who slept under any net last night | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night | Percentage who slept under an LLIN last night | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night or in a dwelling sprayed with $\mathrm{IRS}^{2}$ in the past 12 months | Number of children | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night | Number of children |
| Age (in months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <12 | 10.5 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 27.3 | 1,172 | 26.2 | 326 |
| 12-23 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 26.3 | 1,136 | 20.4 | 363 |
| 24-35 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 26.6 | 1,188 | 16.8 | 364 |
| 36-47 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 25.9 | 1,134 | 13.6 | 382 |
| 48-59 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 25.9 | 1,082 | 12.8 | 344 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 8.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 26.7 | 2,786 | 18.4 | 893 |
| Female | 7.1 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 26.2 | 2,923 | 17.3 | 886 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.9 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 2,237 | 22.5 | 444 |
| Rural | 8.1 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 37.8 | 3,474 | 16.3 | 1,335 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 31.0 | 23.5 | 21.2 | 50.7 | 355 | 38.9 | 214 |
| Erongo | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 308 | * | 20 |
| Hardap | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 205 | 3.4 | 31 |
| //Karas | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 176 | * | 9 |
| Kavango | 13.1 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 55.5 | 683 | 32.5 | 258 |
| Khomas | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 792 | (15.1) | 56 |
| Kunene | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 36.7 | 224 | 5.9 | 63 |
| Ohangwena | 9.4 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 30.3 | 845 | 14.7 | 333 |
| Omaheke | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 182 | 1.4 | 57 |
| Omusati | 4.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 18.5 | 667 | 10.0 | 220 |
| Oshana | 9.7 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 42.8 | 399 | 16.8 | 203 |
| Oshikoto | 7.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 44.5 | 501 | 10.1 | 238 |
| Otjozondjupa | 3.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 17.5 | 373 | 4.4 | 76 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 8.6 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 39.1 | 1,417 | 20.3 | 502 |
| Second | 8.3 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 34.2 | 1,307 | 19.0 | 454 |
| Middle | 7.4 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 24.8 | 1,179 | 13.2 | 395 |
| Fourth | 6.8 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 16.5 | 1,025 | 16.8 | 291 |
| Highest | 6.4 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 783 | 20.2 | 136 |
| Total | 7.7 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 26.4 | 5,711 | 17.8 | 1,778 |

Note: Table is based on children who stayed in the household the night before the interview. Total includes 1 case for whom information on age is missing. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is (1) a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment (LLIN), (2) a pretreated net obtained within the past 12 months, or (3) a net that has been soaked with insecticide within the past 12 months.
${ }^{2}$ Indoor residual spraying (IRS) is limited to spraying conducted by a government, private, or non-governmental organisation.

### 13.4.4 Use of Mosquito Nets by Pregnant Women

In malaria-endemic areas, adults usually have acquired some degree of immunity to severe, lifethreatening malaria. However, pregnancy leads to suppression of the immune system; thus, pregnant
women, especially those in their first pregnancy, have a higher risk of malarial infection. Moreover, malaria among pregnant women may be asymptomatic. Malaria during pregnancy is a major contributor to low birth weight, maternal anaemia, infant mortality, spontaneous abortion, and stillbirth. Pregnant women can reduce the risk of these adverse effects of malaria by sleeping under insecticide-treated mosquito nets.

Table 13.7 shows the use of mosquito nets by pregnant women, according to background characteristics. Overall, only 4 percent of pregnant women age $15-49$ slept under any net the night before the survey ( 4 percent slept under an ITN and 3 percent slept under an LLIN). About one in five (19 percent) of pregnant women either slept under an ITN the night before the survey or slept in a dwelling that had been sprayed during the 12 months preceding the survey. Among households with at least one ITN, 14 percent of pregnant women slept under an ITN the night before the survey.

ITN use by pregnant women is higher in rural than urban areas ( 7 percent versus 1 percent) and it is higher for women in the lowest two wealth quintiles (6-7 percent) than in the middle, fourth and highest wealth quintiles. The number of cases is too small to make meaningful comparisons by region.

Table 13.7 Use of mosquito nets by pregnant women
Percentages of pregnant women age 15-49 who, the night before the survey, slept under a mosquito net (treated or untreated), under an insecticidetreated net (ITN), under a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN), and under either an ITN or in a dwelling in which the interior walls have been sprayed against mosquitoes (IRS) in the past 12 months; and among pregnant women age 15-49 in households with at least one ITN, the percentage who slept under an ITN the night before the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Among pregnant women age 15-49 in all households |  |  |  |  | Among pregnant women age 15-49 in households with at least one ITN ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who slept under any net last night | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night | Percentage who slept under an LLIN last night | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night or in a dwelling sprayed with IRS ${ }^{2}$ in the past 12 months | Number of women | Percentage who slept under an ITN ${ }^{1}$ last night | Number of women |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 363 | 6.2 | 62 |
| Rural | 8.2 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 37.9 | 276 | 18.7 | 101 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | (38.7) | (32.2) | (29.2) | (44.8) | 22 | * | 13 |
| Erongo | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50 | * | 6 |
| Hardap | * | * | * | * | 12 | * | 1 |
| //Karas | (2.1) | (2.1) | (0.0) | (4.2) | 24 | * | 1 |
| Kavango | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 48.4 | 60 | * | 25 |
| Khomas | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 140 | * | 10 |
| Kunene | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (36.6) | 24 | * | 5 |
| Ohangwena | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 25.5 | 97 | (6.2) | 42 |
| Omaheke | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 22 | * | 6 |
| Omusati | (7.0) | (7.0) | (7.0) | (19.1) | 62 | * | 15 |
| Oshana | (8.1) | (5.3) | (5.3) | (31.1) | 51 | * | 19 |
| Oshikoto | (5.9) | (2.4) | (2.4) | (41.5) | 43 | * | 14 |
| Otjozondjupa | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (7.4) | 31 | * | 6 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 21.8 | 49 | * | 15 |
| Primary | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 21.9 | 125 | (11.4) | 38 |
| Secondary | 5.5 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 19.8 | 401 | 15.9 | 104 |
| More than secondary | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (5.6) | 64 | * | 6 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 6.8 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 37.6 | 107 | (17.0) | 36 |
| Second | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 29.7 | 129 | (22.6) | 39 |
| Middle | 3.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 13.8 | 128 | (9.1) | 30 |
| Fourth | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 15.5 | 157 | (10.3) | 46 |
| Highest | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 118 | * | 12 |
| Total | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 19.0 | 639 | 14.0 | 163 |

[^15]
### 13.5 Use of Intermittent Preventive Treatment of Malaria During Pregnancy

In line with the Namibia National Malaria Policy, chemoprophylaxis is only recommended for persons who are at risk of contracting malaria; non-immune travelers; and individuals living in malariaendemic areas for a short time, such as labour force, police, and army. The risk of severe or fatal malaria is greatest in areas of unstable transmission and can cause maternal death, abortion, still birth, premature delivery, and low birth weight in infants. Sulphadoxine/pryrimethamine/Fansidar (SP/Fansidar) is recommended for intermittent preventive treatment during the first and second pregnancies. This regimen is beneficial in low- and high-transmission areas. Chemoprophylaxis is not recommended for third and subsequent pregnancies, as it does not confer additional protection against malaria. In areas where the prevalence of HIV is documented to be greater than 10 percent, a third dose of SP is given four weeks after the second dose (MoHSS, 2005).

During antenatal care (ANC) visits, pregnant women are given the required dose of $\mathrm{SP} /$ Fansidar and urged to consume it immediately. Women in the 2013 NDHS who had a live birth in the two years preceding the survey were asked whether they took any antimalarial medications during the pregnancy leading to their most recent birth and, if so, which ones. Women were also asked whether the medicines they took were received during an antenatal care visit. It should be noted that obtaining information about medicines can be difficult because some respondents may not know or remember the name or the type of medicine that they received.

Eight percent of pregnant women with a live birth in the two years preceding the survey reported taking at least one dose of SP/Fansidar during an ANC visit, and 5 percent reported taking two or more doses, at least one of which was received during an ANC visit (Table 13.8). The highest proportion of pregnant women who took two or more doses of SP/Fansidar and received at least one dose during an ANC visit is among women living in Oshana (13 percent), among those with more than secondary education (8 percent), and women in the middle wealth quintile ( 7 percent).

### 13.6 Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Prompt Treatment of Children with Fever

The diagnosis of malaria in Namibia is based on detection of parasites in the blood using a malaria rapid diagnostic test (MRDT), widely available at all public health facilities, and microscopy available at all the district hospitals, provided by National Institute of Pathology (NIP). Prompt and effective malaria treatment is essential to prevent the disease from becoming severe. Fever is a major manifestation of malaria in young children, although it also accompanies other illnesses. In malaria areas, it is important that children experiencing fever receive prompt testing for malaria parasites, either by rapid diagnostic test
or by microscopy. The first-line treatment of choice in Namibia is artemether lumefantrine, one of the artemisinin-based combination therapies recommended for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in all age groups except children under the age of 1 year and pregnant women in their first trimester who are treated with quinine as their first line of defense against malaria (MoHSS, 2005).

Fever is a primary manifestation of malaria. Although fever occurs year round, malaria is most prevalent during the rainy season. Therefore, temporal factors must be taken into consideration when interpreting the occurrence of fever as an indicator of malaria prevalence. The Namibia Malaria Strategic Plan (2010-2016) envisioned that by 2013, 90 percent of all people with fever seek treatment within 24 hours of the onset of symptoms (MoHSS, 2010b). Malaria case management, one of the most fundamental strategic areas of malaria control, is the identification, diagnosis, and prompt treatment of all malaria cases with appropriate and effective antimalarial medicines. As almost all treatment of malarial fevers occurs at home, caregivers are often trained in providing prompt and effective management to prevent the fever from becoming severe, thus preventing severe malaria-related morbidity and mortality.

In the 2013 NDHS, mothers were asked if their children under age 5 had experienced an episode of fever in the two weeks preceding the survey and, if so, whether treatment and advice were sought. Information was also collected on the type and timing of the treatment given. Table 13.9 shows the percentage of children under age 5 who had a fever in the two weeks preceding the survey and, among those with a fever, the percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health facility, provider, or pharmacy; the percentage who had a drop of blood taken from a finger or heel (presumably for a malaria test); the percentage who took artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) or any antimalarial medicines; and the percentage who took malaria medicines on the same or next day.

Twenty-four percent of children under age 5 had a fever during the two weeks preceding the survey. The prevalence of fever is highest among children under 12 months ( 31 percent) and children in Zambezi (50 percent). Children whose mothers have no education are the least likely to have had fever in the preceding two weeks ( 16 percent) when compared with children of mothers with any education (24-26 percent). There is no clear pattern in the relationship between fever prevalence and wealth.

Advice or treatment was sought for 63 percent of children with a fever, and 22 percent had blood taken from a finger or heel for testing. Four percent of children who had a fever took ACT, and 3 percent took ACT the same or the next day. Seven percent of children with a fever took antimalarial medicines the same or next day. The differentials in treatment patterns in Table 13.9 must be interpreted with caution because of the comparatively small number of children with fever in some subgroups and the small percentage who took antimalarial medicines.

Table 13.9 Prevalence, diagnosis, and prompt treatment of children with fever
Percentage of children under age 5 with fever in the two weeks preceding the survey; and among children under age 5 with fever, the percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought, the percentage who had blood taken from a finger or heel, the percentage who took any artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT), the percentage who took ACT the same or next day following the onset of fever, the percentage who took antimalarial medicines, and the percentage who took the medicines the same or next day following the onset of fever, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Among children under age 5: |  | Among children under age 5 with fever: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage with fever in the two weeks preceding the survey | Number of children | Percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who had blood taken from a finger or heel for testing | Percentage who took any ACT | Percentage who took any ACT same or next day | Percentage who took antimalarial medicines | Percentage who took antimalarial medicines same or next day | Number of children |
| Age (in months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <12 | 30.7 | 1,012 | 64.6 | 18.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 310 |
| 12-23 | 27.7 | 938 | 71.7 | 27.9 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 260 |
| 24-35 | 24.1 | 926 | 54.3 | 23.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 223 |
| 36-47 | 21.0 | 883 | 63.4 | 15.0 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 12.0 | 9.1 | 186 |
| 48-59 | 15.4 | 830 | 59.2 | 24.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 128 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 25.0 | 2,237 | 64.3 | 23.7 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 559 |
| Female | 23.3 | 2,351 | 62.4 | 19.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 547 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.2 | 2,249 | 64.2 | 18.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 567 |
| Rural | 23.0 | 2,340 | 62.4 | 25.4 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 538 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 50.2 | 279 | 66.6 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 140 |
| Erongo | 22.6 | 320 | 71.1 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 13.8 | 72 |
| Hardap | 15.8 | 166 | 55.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 26 |
| //Karas | 20.8 | 160 | 58.6 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 33 |
| Kavango | 36.3 | 541 | 62.8 | 38.7 | 17.6 | 15.9 | 19.9 | 18.2 | 196 |
| Khomas | 26.3 | 858 | 55.4 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 225 |
| Kunene | 13.4 | 170 | 57.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 23 |
| Ohangwena | 18.8 | 561 | 66.1 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 105 |
| Omaheke | 23.4 | 143 | 61.9 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 33 |
| Omusati | 14.4 | 440 | 78.7 | 31.8 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 14.7 | 10.4 | 64 |
| Oshana | 17.5 | 300 | 77.9 | 34.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 53 |
| Oshikoto | 24.1 | 353 | 60.9 | 22.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 85 |
| Otjozondjupa | 16.7 | 298 | 55.7 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 50 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.6 | 281 | 46.9 | 12.6 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 44 |
| Primary | 26.4 | 1,061 | 60.6 | 24.0 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 14.2 | 12.4 | 280 |
| Secondary | 24.0 | 2,948 | 65.3 | 22.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 707 |
| More than secondary | 24.9 | 300 | 65.0 | 16.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 7.8 | 75 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 25.0 | 988 | 57.7 | 27.0 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 12.0 | 10.2 | 247 |
| Second | 23.2 | 1,009 | 63.0 | 22.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 234 |
| Middle | 24.8 | 952 | 69.6 | 26.7 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 236 |
| Fourth | 22.2 | 954 | 63.0 | 18.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 212 |
| Highest | 25.8 | 686 | 63.7 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 177 |
| Total | 24.1 | 4,588 | 63.3 | 21.8 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 1,106 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes market and traditional practitioner

Table 13.10 shows the sources of advice or treatment for children with fever in the two weeks preceding the survey. The public sector was the principal source for advice or treatment ( 81 percent), followed by the private sector (19 percent). Government health posts (51 percent) and government hospitals (26 percent) were the primary public sources of advice or treatment. Pharmacies (7 percent), private doctors (6 percent), and private hospitals or clinics (4 percent) were the primary private sources. Other sources accounted for treating 2 percent of children.

| Table 13.10 Source of advice or treatment for children with fever |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children under age 5 with fever in the two weeks preceding the survey for whom advice or treatment was sought from specific sources and, among children under age five with fever in the two weeks preceding the survey for whom advice or treatment was sought, the percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought from specific sources, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |
| Percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought from each source: |  |  |
| Source | Among children with fever | Among children with fever for whom advice or treatment was sought |
| Any public sector source | 51.7 | 80.6 |
| Government hospital | 16.3 | 25.5 |
| Government health centre | 3.7 | 5.7 |
| Government health post | 32.5 | 50.7 |
| Mobile clinic | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Fieldworker | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Any private sector source | 12.0 | 18.7 |
| Private hospital/clinic | 2.8 | 4.4 |
| Pharmacy | 4.5 | 7.0 |
| Private doctor | 3.9 | 6.1 |
| Mobile clinic | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Any other source | 1.3 | 2.1 |
| Shop | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Traditional practitioner | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Other | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| Number of children | 1,106 | 709 |

More than four in ten children under age 5 with a fever ( 45 percent) took ACT; 25 percent took quinine; and 48 percent took other antimalarials (data not shown due to the small numbers of children who had a fever and who took antimalarials).

### 13.7 Prevalence of Low Haemoglobin in Children

One of the objectives of the 2013 NHDS was to assess the prevalence of anaemia among children age 6-59 months. Table 12.7 in the chapter on nutrition presents the percentage of children who are anaemic (children are classified as anaemic if their haemoglobin level is below $11.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ and as severely anaemic if their haemoglobin level is below $7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). However, poor dietary intake of iron is only one of numerous causes of anaemia; malaria infection can also result in a person becoming anaemic. A haemoglobin concentration of less than $8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ is considered low and may be an indication that an individual has malaria (Korenromp et al., 2004).

Overall, only 3 percent of children age 6-59 months have a haemoglobin level less than $8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ (Table 13.11). Children age 9-17 months (7-8 percent) and those residing in Erongo (7 percent), //Kavango (6 percent), and Kunene (5 percent) are most likely to have low haemoglobin levels.

Table 13.11 Haemoglobin $<8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ in children
Percentage of children age 6-59 months with haemoglobin lower than $8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Haemoglobin $<8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (in months) |  |  |
| 6-8 | 1.6 | 135 |
| 9-11 | 8.3 | 126 |
| 12-17 | 6.6 | 252 |
| 18-23 | 2.1 | 244 |
| 24-35 | 3.2 | 559 |
| 36-47 | 0.7 | 491 |
| 48-59 | 0.9 | 490 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 2.9 | 1,136 |
| Female | 2.3 | 1,161 |
| Mother's interview status |  |  |
| Interviewed | 2.9 | 1,491 |
| Not interviewed but in household | 2.8 | 104 |
| Not interviewed and not in the household ${ }^{1}$ | 2.1 | 702 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 2.7 | 840 |
| Rural | 2.6 | 1,458 |
| Region |  |  |
| Zambezi | 4.1 | 149 |
| Erongo | 6.8 | 116 |
| Hardap | 2.5 | 87 |
| //Karas | 3.4 | 71 |
| Kavango | 6.4 | 247 |
| Khomas | 1.7 | 269 |
| Kunene | 5.2 | 89 |
| Ohangwena | 0.7 | 360 |
| Omaheke | 0.5 | 79 |
| Omusati | 1.9 | 295 |
| Oshana | 0.0 | 165 |
| Oshikoto | 2.2 | 212 |
| Otjozondjupa | 2.0 | 159 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| No education | 2.9 | 112 |
| Primary | 4.1 | 382 |
| Secondary | 2.3 | 1,014 |
| More than secondary | 4.0 | 86 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.6 | 588 |
| Second | 2.5 | 502 |
| Middle | 2.9 | 484 |
| Fourth | 3.2 | 464 |
| Highest | 1.4 | 259 |
| Total | 2.6 | 2,297 |

Note: Table is based on children who stayed in the household the night before the interview. Prevalence of anaemia is based on haemoglobin levels and is adjusted for altitude using CDC formulas (CDC, 1998) Haemoglobin is measured in grams per decilitre ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). Total includes 2 cases for which information on mother's education is missing
${ }^{1}$ Includes children whose mothers are deceased
${ }^{2}$ For women who are not interviewed, information is taken from the Household Questionnaire. Excludes children whose mothers are not listed in the Household Questionnaire.

# HIVIAIDS-RELATED KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES, AND BEHAVIOUR 

## Key Findings

- Knowledge of HIVIAIDS in Namibia is universal; almost all women and men age 15-64 have heard of AIDS.
- Overall, women are more likely than men to have comprehensive knowledge about HIVIAIDS (63 percent of women versus 49 percent of men age 15-49 and 43 percent of women versus 34 percent of men age 50-64).
- Women are more aware than men that HIV can be transmitted through breastfeeding and that this risk can be reduced by taking special drugs.
- Women age 15-49 are less likely to have multiple sexual partners than their male counterparts ( 2 percent versus 10 percent).
- Overall, 26 percent of men age 15-49 and 32 percent of those age 50-64 have been circumcised.
- Forty-two percent of women and 57 percent of men age 18-24 reported having sexual intercourse before age 18.
- Among never-married young women and men age 15-24, 52 percent each reported that they had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months. In this group of respondents, women were less likely than men to reported having used a condom during their last sexual encounter (68 percent versus 83 percent).

Acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) is caused by the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), which weakens the immune system and makes the body susceptible to and unable to recover from other opportunistic diseases. The predominant mode of HIV transmission is through heterosexual intercourse, followed by perinatal transmission, in which the mother passes the virus to her child during pregnancy, delivery, or breastfeeding. Other modes of transmission include infected blood and unsafe injections.

The Namibian response to HIV/AIDS has been aggressive and persistent. Namibia is in the fourth year of its five-year strategy to address HIV/AIDS within the country. This strategy addresses a number of factors important with respect to the future course of Namibia's HIV epidemic (Ministry of Health and Social Services [MoHSS], 2010c), including efforts to increase levels of HIV/AIDS-related knowledge among the general population, decrease social stigmatisation of people living with HIV/AIDS, and modify risk behaviours. Other goals are to improve access to high-quality services for treating sexually transmitted infections (STIs), increase the provision and uptake of HIV counselling and testing, and enhance access to care and antiretroviral therapy (ART), including prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections.

Results from the 2010-11 "Estimates and Projections of the Impact of HIV/AIDS in Namibia" report highlight a mature epidemic within the population that is indicative of the need for a continued and strengthened prevention-focused, decentralised multisectoral response that can effectively contain the spread of HIV and reduce the impact of AIDS (MoHSS, 2012a). To address the problems presented by the HIV/AIDS epidemic, substantial changes have taken place in Namibia over the past few years. These changes include increased funding; increased involvement among organisations in the public, private, and civil society sectors; expanded geographic coverage for services and programmes; and increased coverage of the needs and demands of beneficiaries. Furthermore, the system through which HIV-related
programmes in Namibia are monitored and evaluated has been strengthened and now provides critical information on programme quality and assists in identifying existing programmatic gaps.

The principal objective of this chapter is to examine levels of HIV/AIDS-related knowledge and perceptions and the prevalence of risk behaviours related to HIV infection at the national level and in geographic and socioeconomic subgroups of the population. In this way, prevention programmes can target those individuals most in need of information and most at risk for HIV infection. In this chapter, indicators for HIV/AIDS knowledge, attitudes, and related behaviours are presented for the adult population (age 1549 and age 50-64). The chapter also highlights HIV/AIDS knowledge and patterns of sexual behaviour among young people, because young adults are more likely than their older counterparts to be in the process of establishing patterns of sexual behaviours and hence are the primary target of many prevention strategies.

### 14.1 HIVIAIDS Knowledge, Transmission, and Prevention Methods

The 2013 NDHS included a series of questions that addressed women's and men's awareness of HIV/AIDS. These questions sought information on respondents' overall knowledge, their knowledge of ways to avoid the disease, and their knowledge regarding use of condoms to prevent sexually transmitted infections.

### 14.1.1 Knowledge of AIDS

According to the findings presented in Table 14.1, knowledge of AIDS is almost universal among NDHS respondents age 15-64 (98 percent or more of both women and men have heard of AIDS).

| Percentage of women and men age 15-49 who have heard of AIDS, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  |
|  | Has heard of AIDS | Number of respondents | Has heard of AIDS | Number of respondents |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 99.4 | 3,691 | 99.0 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 99.3 | 1,906 | 98.4 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 99.5 | 1,786 | 99.7 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 99.8 | 1,489 | 98.7 | 658 |
| 30-39 | 99.3 | 2,370 | 99.4 | 968 |
| 40-49 | 99.5 | 1,625 | 99.3 | 665 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 99.6 | 5,458 | 99.0 | 2,745 |
| Ever had sex | 99.8 | 4,155 | 99.2 | 2,122 |
| Never had sex | 99.0 | 1,304 | 98.3 | 623 |
| Married/living together | 99.3 | 3,121 | 99.7 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 99.6 | 597 | 96.4 | 116 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.7 | 5,190 | 99.2 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 99.2 | 3,986 | 99.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 99.1 | 457 | 100.0 | 218 |
| Erongo | 99.8 | 771 | 99.0 | 372 |
| Hardap | 98.6 | 304 | 99.2 | 152 |
| //Karas | 99.7 | 343 | 96.9 | 151 |
| Kavango | 99.4 | 835 | 99.1 | 316 |
| Khomas | 99.7 | 2,202 | 99.2 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 98.4 | 258 | 97.9 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 99.7 | 894 | 99.6 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 98.8 | 225 | 99.0 | 103 |
| Omusati | 99.7 | 884 | 99.3 | 342 |
| Oshana | 99.7 | 755 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 99.6 | 707 | 99.6 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 98.5 | 540 | 96.9 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 95.9 | 419 | 97.8 | 310 |
| Primary | 99.2 | 1,798 | 98.7 | 944 |
| Secondary | 99.9 | 6,029 | 99.4 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 99.3 | 930 | 99.2 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 99.2 | 1,429 | 98.8 | 594 |
| Second | 99.0 | 1,625 | 98.7 | 769 |
| Middle | 99.6 | 1,795 | 99.1 | 886 |
| Fourth | 99.8 | 2,116 | 99.4 | 917 |
| Highest | 99.6 | 2,211 | 99.4 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 99.5 | 9,176 | 99.1 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 98.7 | 797 | 97.6 | 460 |

### 14.1.2 Knowledge of HIV Prevention

In Namibia, HIV is transmitted among adults primarily through heterosexual contact between an infected partner and a non-infected partner (MoHSS, 2012b). Consequently, HIV prevention programmes focus their messages and efforts on promoting the following specific behaviours: use of condoms, voluntary male circumcision, limiting the number of sexual partners or staying faithful to one uninfected sexual partner, preventing mother-to-child transmission, and, for young people, delaying their first sexual intercourse (sexual debut).

Table 14.2 shows the percentage of women and men age $15-49$ who, in response to prompted questions, say that people can reduce their risk of getting HIV by using condoms every time they have sexual intercourse and having one sexual partner who is not infected and has no other partners. Eightyeight percent of women and 90 percent of men age 15-49 know that consistent use of condoms is a means of preventing the spread of HIV; these percentages are similar to those reported in the 2006-07 NDHS (84 percent of women and 87 percent of men). The proportion of respondents who know that consistent condom use is a means of preventing the spread of HIV is slightly lower among those age 50-64 (81
percent of women and 83 percent of men). Ninety-two percent of women and men age 15-49 know that limiting sexual intercourse to one faithful and uninfected partner can reduce the chances of contracting HIV; the percentages are slightly lower among women and men age 50-64 (87 percent and 90 percent, respectively).

Table 14.2 Knowledge of HIV prevention methods
Percentage of women and men age 15-49 who, in response to prompted questions, say that people can reduce the risk of getting the AIDS virus by using condoms every time they have sexual intercourse and by having one sex partner who is not infected and has no other partners, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who say HIV can be prevented by: |  |  |  | Percentage who say HIV can be prevented by: |  |  | Number of men |
|  | Using condoms ${ }^{1}$ | Limiting sexual intercourse to one uninfected partner ${ }^{2}$ | Using <br> condoms and limiting sexual intercourse to one uninfected partner ${ }^{1,2}$ | Number of women | Using condoms ${ }^{1}$ | Limiting sexual intercourse to one uninfected partner ${ }^{2}$ | Using <br> condoms and limiting sexual intercourse to one uninfected partner ${ }^{1,2}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 85.8 | 90.3 | 81.3 | 3,691 | 89.0 | 90.0 | 83.0 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 82.1 | 87.5 | 76.7 | 1,906 | 87.5 | 87.9 | 80.6 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 89.6 | 93.3 | 86.3 | 1,786 | 90.7 | 92.3 | 85.6 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 88.6 | 93.4 | 85.2 | 1,489 | 91.9 | 93.6 | 88.5 | 658 |
| 30-39 | 89.6 | 92.7 | 86.0 | 2,370 | 91.7 | 94.5 | 88.3 | 968 |
| 40-49 | 89.2 | 93.7 | 86.6 | 1,625 | 89.3 | 93.3 | 86.1 | 665 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 87.2 | 91.5 | 83.1 | 5,458 | 90.3 | 91.0 | 84.9 | 2,745 |
| Ever had sex | 89.4 | 93.1 | 85.7 | 4,155 | 91.7 | 92.8 | 87.0 | 2,122 |
| Never had sex | 80.1 | 86.3 | 74.8 | 1,304 | 85.7 | 85.0 | 77.7 | 623 |
| Married/living together | 88.4 | 92.4 | 85.0 | 3,121 | 90.4 | 95.3 | 88.1 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 90.6 | 94.7 | 88.4 | 597 | 84.5 | 88.7 | 79.3 | 116 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 90.8 | 93.4 | 86.7 | 5,190 | 91.3 | 93.6 | 87.8 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 84.0 | 90.3 | 80.7 | 3,986 | 88.7 | 90.3 | 82.9 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 87.9 | 89.6 | 81.8 | 457 | 85.6 | 95.4 | 83.1 | 218 |
| Erongo | 93.1 | 94.9 | 89.8 | 771 | 90.2 | 94.3 | 86.6 | 372 |
| Hardap | 86.5 | 91.5 | 83.0 | 304 | 89.4 | 86.3 | 78.9 | 152 |
| //Karas | 92.0 | 94.9 | 88.8 | 343 | 76.2 | 82.6 | 71.3 | 151 |
| Kavango | 87.5 | 89.9 | 83.7 | 835 | 88.0 | 88.5 | 81.8 | 316 |
| Khomas | 90.8 | 92.4 | 85.7 | 2,202 | 94.0 | 94.3 | 91.1 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 88.6 | 93.5 | 86.2 | 258 | 90.8 | 92.5 | 88.3 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 89.0 | 94.1 | 86.2 | 894 | 95.3 | 94.4 | 91.1 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 84.5 | 90.1 | 80.7 | 225 | 91.5 | 94.2 | 88.1 | 103 |
| Omusati | 76.6 | 86.5 | 74.3 | 884 | 89.5 | 92.6 | 84.6 | 342 |
| Oshana | 87.0 | 92.8 | 83.8 | 755 | 89.1 | 92.2 | 83.8 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 84.6 | 93.8 | 81.4 | 707 | 85.7 | 87.6 | 76.0 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 89.6 | 92.7 | 86.7 | 540 | 90.8 | 93.3 | 89.8 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 76.1 | 78.3 | 68.8 | 419 | 85.3 | 89.2 | 80.4 | 310 |
| Primary | 82.8 | 87.4 | 77.7 | 1,798 | 86.2 | 88.5 | 80.7 | 944 |
| Secondary | 89.2 | 93.8 | 85.9 | 6,029 | 91.9 | 93.3 | 87.4 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 94.1 | 95.6 | 91.6 | 930 | 92.8 | 97.1 | 91.4 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 81.3 | 87.8 | 77.9 | 1,429 | 87.5 | 89.9 | 82.1 | 594 |
| Second | 84.2 | 90.1 | 79.5 | 1,625 | 90.5 | 90.3 | 85.1 | 769 |
| Middle | 87.2 | 91.6 | 83.4 | 1,795 | 89.4 | 92.9 | 85.5 | 886 |
| Fourth | 91.5 | 94.0 | 88.1 | 2,116 | 89.5 | 92.3 | 84.8 | 917 |
| Highest | 91.7 | 94.6 | 88.2 | 2,211 | 93.2 | 94.6 | 89.7 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 87.8 | 92.0 | 84.1 | 9,176 | 90.2 | 92.2 | 85.7 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 81.3 | 86.7 | 76.0 | 797 | 83.1 | 90.2 | 79.0 | 460 |

${ }^{1}$ Using condoms every time they have sexual intercourse
${ }^{2}$ Partner who has no other partners

Knowledge of HIV prevention methods is lowest among women and men age 15-19 and among respondents who have never had sexual intercourse. In addition, knowledge is lower among respondents in rural than in urban areas. Women in Omusati and men in //Karas are least likely to know about HIV prevention methods.

The proportion of women and men with knowledge of HIV prevention methods increases with increasing education. For example, knowledge of both prevention methods rises from 69 percent among women with no education to 92 percent among those with more than a secondary education. Similarly, knowledge of HIV prevention methods increases with increasing wealth. These findings indicate that HIV prevention education could be strengthened further in certain groups of individuals, particularly those who are young, those who have little or no education, and those living in the poorest households.

### 14.1.3 Comprehensive Knowledge about HIVIAIDS

In addition to knowing effective ways to avoid contracting HIV, it is useful to be able to identify incorrect beliefs about HIV transmission. Common misconceptions about HIV/AIDS include the following: a healthy-looking person cannot have HIV, HIV/AIDS can be transmitted by mosquito bites, HIV/AIDS can be transmitted by supernatural means, and a person can become infected by sharing food with a person who has HIV/AIDS. Respondents were asked about these misconceptions, and the findings are presented in Tables 14.3 .1 and 14.3.2 for women and men, respectively.

Eighty-nine percent of women and 90 percent of men age 15-49 agreed that a healthy-looking person can have HIV. In terms of different misconceptions about HIV transmission, 82 percent of women and 77 percent of men said that HIV cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites; 90 percent of women and 75 men knew that HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means; and 91 percent of women and 88 percent of men said that a person cannot become infected by sharing food with a person who has AIDS.

The questions asked in the 2013 NDHS allow an assessment of comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS among respondents. Comprehensive knowledge is defined as knowing that consistent use of condoms during sexual intercourse and having just one uninfected faithful partner can reduce the chance of getting the AIDS virus, knowing that a healthy-looking person can have HIV, and rejecting the two most common local misconceptions about HIV transmission (that the AIDS virus can be transmitted by mosquito bites and that a person can become infected by sharing food with a person who has the AIDS virus). Overall, women are more likely than men to have comprehensive knowledge about HIV/AIDS (63 percent of women versus 49 percent of men age 15-49 and 43 percent of women versus 34 percent of men age 50-64). Comprehensive knowledge about HV/AIDS has decreased somewhat since the 2006-07 NDHS, which reported that 67 percent of women and 63 percent of men age 15-49 had comprehensive knowledge.

The youngest women (age 15-19), those who have never had sex, those who are currently married, and those living in rural areas are less likely than other women to have comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS. Among men, those age 40-49 and those who are widowed, separated, or divorced are least likely to have comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS. By region, comprehensive knowledge is highest among women in Erongo ( 75 percent) and men in Oshana ( 63 percent) and lowest among women in Kavango and Omaheke (46 percent and 49 percent, respectively) and men in Hardap (11 percent). Comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS increases steadily with increasing education. Among women, comprehensive knowledge also shows a notable increase with increasing wealth, from 49 percent among those in the lowest quintile to 73 percent among those in the highest quintile.

Table 14.3.1 Comprehensive knowledge about AIDS: Women
Percentage of women age $15-49$ who say that a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus and who, in response to prompted questions correctly reject local misconceptions about transmission or prevention of the AIDS virus, and the percentage with comprehensive knowledge about AIDS, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of respondents who say that: |  |  |  | Percentage who say that a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus and who reject the two most common local misconceptions ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge about AlDS $^{2}$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus | The AIDS virus cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites | The AIDS virus cannot be transmitted by supernatural means | A person cannot become infected by sharing food with a person who has the AIDS virus |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 86.6 | 84.0 | 89.5 | 91.8 | 71.6 | 61.6 | 3,691 |
| 15-19 | 83.0 | 82.4 | 87.4 | 90.8 | 68.0 | 55.9 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 90.5 | 85.8 | 91.7 | 92.8 | 75.5 | 67.8 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 90.6 | 82.1 | 89.7 | 92.3 | 73.3 | 65.0 | 1,489 |
| 30-39 | 91.2 | 80.2 | 89.9 | 90.4 | 71.4 | 63.5 | 2,370 |
| 40-49 | 90.6 | 80.7 | 89.8 | 88.9 | 71.5 | 63.7 | 1,625 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 89.8 | 84.8 | 90.7 | 92.5 | 74.9 | 64.8 | 5,458 |
| Ever had sex | 91.6 | 84.4 | 91.3 | 92.7 | 75.7 | 66.6 | 4,155 |
| Never had sex | 84.0 | 85.9 | 88.7 | 91.7 | 72.3 | 58.9 | 1,304 |
| Married/living together | 88.2 | 78.4 | 88.6 | 88.4 | 67.3 | 60.3 | 3,121 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 88.2 | 77.7 | 86.6 | 90.5 | 67.2 | 61.6 | 597 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.7 | 84.1 | 89.9 | 93.1 | 75.4 | 67.4 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 85.9 | 79.6 | 89.4 | 88.2 | 67.1 | 57.3 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 84.0 | 83.0 | 90.5 | 91.5 | 68.7 | 60.1 | 457 |
| Erongo | 93.0 | 89.4 | 94.3 | 95.4 | 81.4 | 74.9 | 771 |
| Hardap | 87.4 | 78.9 | 74.8 | 82.9 | 63.8 | 57.0 | 304 |
| //Karas | 92.2 | 82.3 | 87.7 | 93.8 | 74.2 | 68.5 | 343 |
| Kavango | 77.6 | 67.3 | 86.5 | 89.2 | 51.5 | 45.7 | 835 |
| Khomas | 92.5 | 83.9 | 87.8 | 92.4 | 75.4 | 66.7 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 89.6 | 72.2 | 82.1 | 85.1 | 66.9 | 62.3 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 91.0 | 79.7 | 92.4 | 87.6 | 71.2 | 63.3 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 82.7 | 70.1 | 84.0 | 81.4 | 55.3 | 48.5 | 225 |
| Omusati | 88.2 | 88.4 | 90.9 | 91.2 | 76.7 | 60.8 | 884 |
| Oshana | 90.5 | 87.6 | 96.2 | 95.8 | 78.1 | 67.1 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 90.8 | 84.0 | 94.6 | 92.5 | 73.8 | 61.6 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 88.1 | 82.0 | 88.7 | 87.3 | 71.1 | 66.0 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 80.5 | 67.5 | 84.8 | 85.1 | 53.7 | 45.1 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 91.6 | 87.2 | 91.7 | 94.0 | 77.4 | 67.8 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 96.0 | 91.2 | 95.5 | 94.4 | 85.1 | 79.7 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 83.7 | 72.9 | 86.8 | 84.2 | 59.3 | 49.2 | 1,429 |
| Second | 84.1 | 74.2 | 88.4 | 88.3 | 62.1 | 52.5 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 89.7 | 83.2 | 89.7 | 91.9 | 72.5 | 62.6 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 91.7 | 86.9 | 91.2 | 94.2 | 78.2 | 70.9 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 93.4 | 88.7 | 91.1 | 93.6 | 80.4 | 72.5 | 2,211 |
| Total 15-49 | 89.1 | 82.2 | 89.7 | 91.0 | 71.8 | 63.0 | 9,176 |
| 50-64 | 84.0 | 66.2 | 83.0 | 79.0 | 52.5 | 43.1 | 797 |

${ }^{1}$ Two most common local misconceptions: the AIDS virus can be transmitted by mosquito bites and a person can become infected by sharing food with a person who has the AIDS virus.
${ }^{2}$ Comprehensive knowledge means knowing that consistent use of condoms during sexual intercourse and having just one uninfected faithful partner can reduce the chance of getting the AIDS virus, knowing that a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus, and rejecting the 2 most common local misconceptions about AIDS transmission or prevention.

Table 14.3.2 Comprehensive knowledge about AIDS: Men
Percentage of men age 15-49 who say that a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus and who, in response to prompted questions, correctly reject local misconceptions about transmission or prevention of the AIDS virus, and the percentage with comprehensive knowledge about AIDS, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of respondents who say that: |  |  |  | Percentage who say that a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus and who reject the two most common local misconceptions ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge about AIDS ${ }^{2}$ | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus | The AIDS virus cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites | The AIDS virus cannot be transmitted by supernatural means | A person cannot become infected by sharing food with a person who has the AIDS virus |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 88.8 | 79.8 | 78.4 | 89.4 | 58.5 | 51.1 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 87.4 | 81.2 | 77.7 | 90.0 | 59.8 | 51.4 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 90.3 | 78.1 | 79.1 | 88.7 | 57.0 | 50.6 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 91.9 | 77.0 | 75.9 | 86.5 | 58.2 | 53.3 | 658 |
| 30-39 | 90.3 | 76.1 | 73.6 | 87.4 | 51.8 | 47.8 | 968 |
| 40-49 | 90.6 | 72.6 | 66.8 | 83.9 | 46.5 | 41.4 | 665 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 89.7 | 78.3 | 77.5 | 88.1 | 57.0 | 50.8 | 2,745 |
| Ever had sex | 90.4 | 78.4 | 77.9 | 88.4 | 57.3 | 51.6 | 2,122 |
| Never had sex | 87.5 | 77.6 | 76.0 | 87.1 | 56.0 | 48.0 | 623 |
| Married/living together | 90.9 | 75.9 | 69.6 | 86.9 | 50.2 | 45.4 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 85.5 | 67.8 | 66.3 | 80.1 | 49.1 | 42.9 | 116 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 90.8 | 82.4 | 70.5 | 90.1 | 54.0 | 49.3 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 88.8 | 70.5 | 80.7 | 84.2 | 56.0 | 48.7 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 90.7 | 81.1 | 83.5 | 91.0 | 66.1 | 55.6 | 218 |
| Erongo | 89.0 | 88.8 | 46.8 | 86.5 | 36.1 | 31.0 | 372 |
| Hardap | 86.8 | 71.0 | 25.2 | 76.7 | 16.8 | 11.4 | 152 |
| //Karas | 83.9 | 60.7 | 68.0 | 81.4 | 41.1 | 34.2 | 151 |
| Kavango | 79.3 | 84.0 | 92.2 | 93.0 | 66.2 | 57.9 | 316 |
| Khomas | 90.8 | 83.4 | 78.3 | 93.5 | 61.0 | 57.7 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 90.5 | 75.9 | 50.4 | 81.5 | 35.3 | 32.6 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 96.3 | 61.1 | 88.7 | 82.6 | 55.2 | 51.7 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 88.9 | 82.7 | 59.9 | 87.7 | 50.8 | 49.8 | 103 |
| Omusati | 94.0 | 75.0 | 89.8 | 85.1 | 67.1 | 59.0 | 342 |
| Oshana | 95.4 | 76.9 | 93.3 | 88.9 | 71.2 | 62.9 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 87.4 | 63.3 | 82.3 | 80.9 | 50.5 | 40.3 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 88.5 | 79.2 | 50.3 | 84.4 | 41.2 | 37.5 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 83.1 | 50.7 | 68.3 | 68.6 | 30.9 | 28.3 | 310 |
| Primary | 88.1 | 60.8 | 74.6 | 79.3 | 45.7 | 39.1 | 944 |
| Secondary | 90.8 | 85.0 | 74.5 | 92.2 | 58.6 | 52.8 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 95.1 | 91.3 | 83.9 | 94.2 | 73.6 | 67.2 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 85.6 | 66.5 | 81.6 | 82.0 | 51.9 | 46.2 | 594 |
| Second | 89.0 | 70.6 | 80.6 | 83.4 | 56.1 | 50.6 | 769 |
| Middle | 90.8 | 75.2 | 77.9 | 87.7 | 57.5 | 51.1 | 886 |
| Fourth | 90.5 | 82.9 | 68.7 | 90.4 | 53.8 | 46.8 | 917 |
| Highest | 92.4 | 86.9 | 68.6 | 92.0 | 54.1 | 49.9 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 89.9 | 77.3 | 74.9 | 87.5 | 54.8 | 49.0 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 88.3 | 64.0 | 61.4 | 71.9 | 39.9 | 33.9 | 460 |

${ }^{1}$ Two most common local misconceptions: the AIDS virus can be transmitted by mosquito bites and the AIDS virus can be transmitted by supernatural means.
${ }^{2}$ Comprehensive knowledge means knowing that consistent use of condoms during sexual intercourse and having just one uninfected faithfu partner can reduce the chance of getting the AIDS virus, knowing that a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus, and rejecting the two most common local misconceptions about AIDS transmission or prevention.

### 14.2 Knowledge about Mother-to-Child Transmission

In Namibia, a programme aimed at prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (PMTCT) has been in place since 2002. The programme, supported by the Global Fund and other partners, has been scaled up rapidly and is currently available in more than 90 percent of health facilities in the country (MoHSS, 2012c). In accordance with the increase in the availability of PMTCT services, increasing the level of general knowledge about HIV transmission and reducing the risk of transmission using antiretroviral drugs are critical in reducing mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) of HIV. To assess PMTCT knowledge, respondents were asked whether HIV can be transmitted from a mother to a child through breastfeeding and whether a mother with HIV can reduce the risk of transmission to her baby by taking certain drugs during pregnancy.

Table 14.4 shows that, among respondents age $15-49$, women are more aware than men that HIV can be transmitted through breastfeeding ( 86 percent versus 69 percent) and that the risk of MTCT can be reduced by taking special drugs ( 87 percent versus 67 percent). Overall, 81 percent of women and 56 percent of men age 15-49 are aware both that HIV can be transmitted through breastfeeding and that this risk can be reduced by taking special drugs; the corresponding percentages among female and male respondents age 50-64 are 70 percent and 52 percent, respectively. There has been an increase in knowledge about MTCT among women and a decrease among men in Namibia over the last six years. According to the 2006-07 NDHS, 76 percent of women and 60 percent of men age 15-49 were aware that HIV can be transmitted through breastfeeding and that this risk can be reduced by taking special drugs.

Knowledge of MTCT is highest among women and men age 25-29 and those who are married or living with a partner. There is little difference in level of MTCT knowledge by women's current pregnancy status. MTCT knowledge is higher among both women and men who live in urban areas than among those who live in rural areas. Knowledge varies widely by region; it is lowest among women in Omaheke (71 percent) and men in Hardap (34 percent) and highest among women in Kavango ( 86 percent) and men in Khomas ( 67 percent). Among both women and men, awareness that HIV can be transmitted through breastfeeding and that this risk can be reduced by taking special drugs during pregnancy increases with increasing education and, in general, increasing wealth.

Table 14.4 Knowledge of prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV
Percentage of women and men age 15-49 who know that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child by breastfeeding and that the risk of mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) of HIV can be reduced by the mother taking special drugs during pregnancy, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who know that: |  |  |  | Percentage who know that: |  |  | Number of men |
|  | HIV can be transmitted by breastfeeding | Risk of MTCT can be reduced by mother taking special drugs during pregnancy | HIV can be transmitted by breastfeeding and risk of MTCT can be reduced by mother taking special drugs during pregnancy | Number of women | HIV can be transmitted by breastfeeding | Risk of MTCT can be reduced by mother taking special drugs during pregnancy | HIV can be transmitted by breastfeeding and risk of MTCT can be reduced by mother taking special drugs during pregnancy |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 85.5 | 83.3 | 77.7 | 3,691 | 70.5 | 61.9 | 52.1 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 82.7 | 77.0 | 71.7 | 1,906 | 71.7 | 56.1 | 48.5 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 88.6 | 89.9 | 84.2 | 1,786 | 69.2 | 68.5 | 56.2 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 88.4 | 93.0 | 85.4 | 1,489 | 71.0 | 71.4 | 60.1 | 658 |
| 30-39 | 87.7 | 90.2 | 83.6 | 2,370 | 67.8 | 69.5 | 58.3 | 968 |
| 40-49 | 83.3 | 87.1 | 78.1 | 1,625 | 66.7 | 70.7 | 59.1 | 665 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 86.0 | 86.5 | 79.8 | 5,458 | 69.7 | 64.6 | 54.4 | 2,745 |
| Ever had sex | 88.3 | 90.1 | 83.4 | 4,155 | 70.5 | 68.1 | 57.0 | 2,122 |
| Never had sex | 78.5 | 74.9 | 68.3 | 1,304 | 66.9 | 52.9 | 45.6 | 623 |
| Married/living together | 86.5 | 88.5 | 81.7 | 3,121 | 68.9 | 71.2 | 59.9 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 85.9 | 88.9 | 81.5 | 597 | 64.6 | 71.8 | 56.0 | 116 |
| Currently pregnant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 89.2 | 89.8 | 84.1 | 600 | na | na | na | na |
| Not pregnant or not sure | 85.9 | 87.1 | 80.3 | 8,576 | na | na | na | na |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.6 | 88.4 | 82.1 | 5,190 | 70.7 | 71.2 | 60.2 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 84.3 | 85.8 | 78.6 | 3,986 | 67.5 | 60.9 | 50.6 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 88.9 | 87.9 | 82.5 | 457 | 84.8 | 61.8 | 55.0 | 218 |
| Erongo | 85.4 | 86.4 | 80.5 | 771 | 51.0 | 51.5 | 37.5 | 372 |
| Hardap | 80.1 | 76.2 | 71.8 | 304 | 49.7 | 35.9 | 34.3 | 152 |
| //Karas | 85.1 | 86.0 | 76.7 | 343 | 64.0 | 64.7 | 50.6 | 151 |
| Kavango | 92.1 | 89.6 | 86.1 | 835 | 53.1 | 53.3 | 43.5 | 316 |
| Khomas | 89.7 | 89.3 | 83.6 | 2,202 | 77.1 | 78.9 | 67.3 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 83.2 | 79.3 | 74.5 | 258 | 51.6 | 42.7 | 40.4 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 82.4 | 85.7 | 76.3 | 894 | 78.8 | 77.5 | 64.9 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 79.5 | 77.2 | 71.0 | 225 | 55.6 | 53.2 | 46.6 | 103 |
| Omusati | 84.6 | 90.4 | 82.9 | 884 | 75.5 | 68.7 | 56.2 | 342 |
| Oshana | 83.1 | 87.1 | 79.2 | 755 | 69.2 | 73.0 | 57.8 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 84.5 | 89.8 | 78.8 | 707 | 72.0 | 69.5 | 59.1 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 84.6 | 86.3 | 79.9 | 540 | 76.1 | 67.9 | 63.2 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 69.9 | 67.2 | 59.4 | 419 | 55.4 | 51.1 | 44.3 | 310 |
| Primary | 81.6 | 81.4 | 74.3 | 1,798 | 66.9 | 62.3 | 52.1 | 944 |
| Secondary | 88.2 | 89.7 | 83.2 | 6,029 | 71.0 | 67.7 | 57.0 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 88.9 | 92.0 | 85.0 | 930 | 76.5 | 85.2 | 69.5 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 84.1 | 85.1 | 77.8 | 1,429 | 65.9 | 59.4 | 49.6 | 594 |
| Second | 83.1 | 84.2 | 77.1 | 1,625 | 66.2 | 62.2 | 51.1 | 769 |
| Middle | 86.2 | 88.4 | 81.8 | 1,795 | 68.8 | 66.8 | 55.7 | 886 |
| Fourth | 88.4 | 88.6 | 82.7 | 2,116 | 73.2 | 70.9 | 61.8 | 917 |
| Highest | 87.5 | 88.9 | 81.8 | 2,211 | 70.8 | 71.4 | 59.3 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 86.1 | 87.3 | 80.6 | 9,176 | 69.3 | 66.7 | 56.1 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 75.1 | 78.7 | 69.5 | 797 | 62.4 | 65.4 | 52.0 | 460 |

na $=$ Not applicable

### 14.3 Attitudes toward People Living with HIVIAIDS

Widespread stigma and discrimination against those living with HIV/AIDS can adversely affect both people's willingness to be tested for HIV and their adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART). Indeed, HIV/AIDS-related stigma and discrimination undermine HIV prevention efforts by making people afraid to seek out information about how to reduce their risk of exposure to HIV and adopt safer behaviours, given the possibility that such inquiries will raise suspicion about their HIV status. With support from sponsor organisations, Namibia has campaigned against stigma and discrimination against people living with HIV (de La Torre et al., 2009). Reductions in stigma and discrimination are an important indicator of the success of programmes targeting HIV/AIDS prevention and control.

In the 2013 NDHS, respondents who had heard of AIDS were asked a number of questions to assess the level of stigma associated with HIV/AIDS. Respondents were asked about their willingness or unwillingness to buy vegetables from an infected shopkeeper or vendor, to let others know the HIV status of family members, and to take care of a member of their family with AIDS in their own household. They were also asked whether an HIV-positive female teacher who is not sick should be allowed to continue teaching. Tables 14.5.1 and 14.5.2 present the results for women and men, respectively.

Ninety-six percent of women and 90 percent of men age 15-49 said that they would be willing to care for a relative with AIDS in their home, and 95 percent of women and 91 percent of men agreed that a female teacher infected with HIV should be allowed to continue teaching. A lower percentage ( 85 percent of both women and men) indicated that they would buy vegetables from a shopkeeper with HIV. About one-third of women ( 35 percent) and four in ten men ( 39 percent) said that they would not want to keep secret that a family member was infected with HIV. Women age 50-64 have greater accepting attitudes toward those living with HIV/AIDS than their male counterparts in the same age group.

Overall, only 28 percent of women and 26 percent of men age 15-49 expressed accepting attitudes with regard to all four indicators (i.e., they would care for a family member with AIDS in their own home, they would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper with HIV, they would allow an HIV-positive female teacher to continue teaching, and they would not want to keep the HIV-positive status of a family member a secret). Over the last six years, there has been a decrease in accepting attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS. In the 2006-07 NDHS, 40 percent of women and 36 percent of men age 15-49 expressed accepting attitudes on all four indicators. This lower level of acceptance is of concern because stigma prevents or delays people from getting tested for HIV, and, among those living with HIV, stigma prevents them from seeking care and treatment services.

There are associations between accepting attitudes and some of the background characteristics of survey respondents. There are marked differences by region in the proportions of women and men expressing accepting attitudes on all four indicators. Among women, the proportion ranges from 6 percent in Zambezi to 44 percent in Omusati; among men, it ranges from 12 percent in Otjozondjupa to 41 percent in Oshana. The proportion of women who express accepting attitudes on all four indicators increases with increasing education and wealth. Among men, the proportion generally increases with increasing education and decreases somewhat with increasing wealth.

Table 14.5.1 Accepting attitudes toward those living with HIV/AIDS: Women
Among women age 15-49 who have heard of AIDS, percentage expressing specific accepting attitudes toward people with HIV/AIDS, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of women who: |  |  |  | Percentage expressing accepting attitudes on all four indicators | Number of women who have heard of AIDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Are willing to care for a family member with AIDS in the respondent's home | Would buy fresh vegetables from shopkeeper who has the AIDS virus | Say that a female teacher who has the AIDS virus but is not sick should be allowed to continue teaching | Would not want to keep secret that a family member got infected with the AIDS virus |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 96.0 | 84.4 | 93.8 | 33.3 | 26.5 | 3,670 |
| 15-19 | 95.4 | 82.7 | 92.9 | 31.4 | 23.9 | 1,893 |
| 20-24 | 96.7 | 86.1 | 94.8 | 35.3 | 29.2 | 1,777 |
| 25-29 | 95.7 | 86.8 | 95.6 | 33.0 | 25.6 | 1,486 |
| 30-39 | 96.8 | 86.6 | 95.0 | 36.4 | 30.5 | 2,355 |
| 40-49 | 97.1 | 84.6 | 94.2 | 39.2 | 30.8 | 1,618 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 96.6 | 86.3 | 95.3 | 35.6 | 29.2 | 5,435 |
| Ever had sex | 96.8 | 87.5 | 95.8 | 35.6 | 29.6 | 4,145 |
| Never had sex | 96.1 | 82.1 | 93.5 | 35.6 | 27.9 | 1,291 |
| Married/living together | 95.6 | 84.1 | 93.3 | 35.1 | 27.2 | 3,098 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 97.9 | 84.2 | 92.9 | 30.8 | 23.4 | 595 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.2 | 86.7 | 95.2 | 35.2 | 28.3 | 5,174 |
| Rural | 96.5 | 83.6 | 93.5 | 34.9 | 27.9 | 3,954 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 98.2 | 89.7 | 95.4 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 453 |
| Erongo | 96.5 | 86.3 | 95.4 | 39.8 | 30.4 | 770 |
| Hardap | 93.6 | 78.6 | 88.4 | 45.5 | 32.6 | 300 |
| //Karas | 96.5 | 84.0 | 95.6 | 32.9 | 25.4 | 342 |
| Kavango | 95.8 | 84.3 | 92.3 | 27.4 | 19.2 | 831 |
| Khomas | 95.1 | 84.8 | 96.2 | 32.9 | 26.7 | 2,195 |
| Kunene | 91.4 | 76.5 | 79.8 | 37.8 | 30.0 | 254 |
| Ohangwena | 99.1 | 86.3 | 96.5 | 37.9 | 31.2 | 892 |
| Omaheke | 92.4 | 78.0 | 88.9 | 27.9 | 19.4 | 222 |
| Omusati | 95.6 | 88.6 | 97.2 | 49.4 | 43.5 | 881 |
| Oshana | 98.9 | 92.6 | 97.5 | 39.5 | 35.8 | 753 |
| Oshikoto | 98.1 | 83.2 | 94.8 | 37.9 | 29.7 | 704 |
| Otjozondjupa | 96.6 | 82.2 | 87.6 | 31.2 | 22.1 | 532 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 90.4 | 66.8 | 76.6 | 24.9 | 12.2 | 401 |
| Primary | 95.6 | 79.0 | 88.5 | 30.5 | 21.1 | 1,783 |
| Secondary | 97.1 | 87.3 | 96.7 | 36.7 | 30.5 | 6,020 |
| More than secondary | 95.5 | 92.9 | 99.4 | 38.0 | 33.7 | 923 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 95.6 | 81.7 | 92.1 | 30.8 | 23.0 | 1,418 |
| Second | 96.9 | 83.0 | 92.8 | 34.0 | 26.1 | 1,610 |
| Middle | 97.4 | 86.4 | 94.7 | 34.8 | 29.2 | 1,787 |
| Fourth | 96.9 | 85.9 | 95.0 | 36.1 | 29.2 | 2,111 |
| Highest | 95.1 | 88.0 | 96.5 | 37.9 | 31.0 | 2,202 |
| Total 15-49 | 96.4 | 85.4 | 94.5 | 35.1 | 28.1 | 9,128 |
| 50-64 | 96.3 | 78.1 | 89.2 | 40.1 | 29.1 | 787 |

Table 14.5.2 Accepting attitudes toward those living with HIV/AIDS: Men
Among men age 15-49 who have heard of HIVIAIDS, percentage expressing specific accepting attitudes toward people with HIV/AIDS, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of men who: |  |  |  | Percentage expressing accepting attitudes on all four indicators | Number of men who have heard of AIDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Are willing to care for a family member with AIDS in the respondent's home | Would buy fresh vegetables from shopkeeper who has the AIDS virus | Say that a female teacher who has the AIDS virus but is not sick should be allowed to continue teaching | Would not want to keep secret that a family member got infected with the AIDS virus |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 92.5 | 85.1 | 88.9 | 34.6 | 23.3 | 1,713 |
| 15-19 | 92.1 | 83.8 | 86.9 | 34.1 | 22.4 | 908 |
| 20-24 | 93.0 | 86.5 | 91.1 | 35.2 | 24.3 | 805 |
| 25-29 | 90.3 | 87.9 | 93.4 | 40.4 | 29.3 | 650 |
| 30-39 | 88.5 | 84.5 | 92.7 | 44.4 | 28.2 | 962 |
| 40-49 | 87.7 | 82.0 | 89.0 | 42.9 | 26.0 | 660 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 91.9 | 85.2 | 90.4 | 38.2 | 26.3 | 2,717 |
| Ever had sex | 92.4 | 86.9 | 92.1 | 39.0 | 28.0 | 2,105 |
| Never had sex | 90.1 | 79.5 | 84.3 | 35.2 | 20.3 | 612 |
| Married/living together | 87.1 | 85.3 | 91.9 | 41.5 | 25.3 | 1,157 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 86.7 | 72.8 | 80.8 | 43.1 | 23.0 | 112 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 88.7 | 87.2 | 93.9 | 37.4 | 24.3 | 2,263 |
| Rural | 92.6 | 81.8 | 86.1 | 41.7 | 28.0 | 1,722 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 98.5 | 78.9 | 85.9 | 15.1 | 12.9 | 218 |
| Erongo | 75.1 | 85.7 | 95.2 | 47.3 | 20.8 | 368 |
| Hardap | 94.5 | 82.8 | 85.4 | 16.5 | 14.0 | 151 |
| //Karas | 83.8 | 71.2 | 81.2 | 48.2 | 31.4 | 147 |
| Kavango | 68.9 | 91.3 | 92.2 | 71.5 | 35.4 | 313 |
| Khomas | 91.2 | 89.0 | 93.7 | 32.7 | 21.8 | 1,015 |
| Kunene | 92.7 | 74.6 | 83.6 | 41.4 | 28.9 | 102 |
| Ohangwena | 99.6 | 83.9 | 90.0 | 43.1 | 32.4 | 327 |
| Omaheke | 88.8 | 71.9 | 88.9 | 29.5 | 13.0 | 102 |
| Omusati | 98.9 | 83.1 | 91.2 | 36.7 | 28.6 | 339 |
| Oshana | 98.4 | 88.7 | 94.4 | 48.8 | 41.4 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 96.5 | 82.1 | 84.3 | 46.3 | 34.5 | 334 |
| Otjozondjupa | 88.0 | 84.9 | 87.9 | 21.9 | 12.1 | 234 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 83.4 | 77.0 | 83.2 | 42.6 | 22.8 | 303 |
| Primary | 91.5 | 76.1 | 82.8 | 37.1 | 20.6 | 931 |
| Secondary | 90.6 | 88.2 | 93.5 | 39.8 | 27.8 | 2,386 |
| More than secondary | 91.9 | 91.8 | 97.3 | 38.8 | 29.3 | 365 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 89.1 | 80.9 | 85.7 | 46.7 | 27.7 | 587 |
| Second | 91.1 | 82.1 | 87.3 | 41.0 | 27.8 | 759 |
| Middle | 92.4 | 84.6 | 90.9 | 36.3 | 25.9 | 878 |
| Fourth | 90.1 | 87.5 | 92.2 | 39.5 | 25.9 | 911 |
| Highest | 88.9 | 87.6 | 94.7 | 35.5 | 23.1 | 850 |
| Total 15-49 | 90.4 | 84.9 | 90.6 | 39.3 | 25.9 | 3,985 |
| 50-64 | 88.0 | 73.6 | 85.0 | 43.3 | 23.3 | 449 |

### 14.4 Attitudes toward Negotiating Safer Sexual Relations with Husbands

Knowledge about HIV transmission and ways to prevent it is of little use if people feel powerless to negotiate safer sex practices with their partners. The high levels of sexual transmission of HIV make negotiating for safer sex indispensable, especially in marital unions in which women's status is compromised by societal expectations, thereby increasing their vulnerability to HIV transmission. In the 2013 NDHS, women and men were asked if they thought that a wife is justified in refusing to have sexual intercourse with her husband if she knows he has sex with other women or in asking that he use condoms if she knows that he has a sexually transmitted infection.

Table 14.6 shows that 88 percent of women and 85 percent of men age $15-49$ believe that a wife is justified in refusing to have sexual intercourse with her husband if she knows he has sex with other women. In addition, 93 percent of women and 91 percent of men believe that a woman has a right to ask her husband to use a condom if she knows that he has an STI.

Among those age 50-64, 85 percent of women and 82 percent of men believe that a wife is justified in refusing to have sexual intercourse with her husband if she knows he has sex with other women, and 89 percent of women and 87 percent of men believe that a woman has a right to ask her husband to use a condom if she knows that he has an STI.

## Table 14.6 Attitudes toward negotiating safer sexual relations with husband

Percentage of women and men age 15-64 who believe that a woman is justified in refusing to have sexual intercourse with her husband if she knows that he has sexual intercourse with other women, and percentage who believe that a woman is justified in asking that they use a condom if she knows that her husband has a sexually transmitted infection (STI), by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Woman is justified in: |  |  | Woman is justified in: |  | Number of men |
|  | Refusing to have sexual intercourse with her husband if she knows he has sex with other women | Asking that they use a condom if she knows that her husband has an STI | Number of women | Refusing to have sexual intercourse with her husband if she knows he has sex with other women | Asking that they use a condom if she knows that her husband has an STI |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 83.7 | 89.8 | 3,691 | 82.0 | 90.8 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 79.1 | 85.2 | 1,906 | 78.9 | 88.3 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 88.6 | 94.8 | 1,786 | 85.6 | 93.6 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 90.9 | 96.2 | 1,489 | 87.4 | 93.2 | 658 |
| 30-39 | 91.0 | 95.5 | 2,370 | 85.1 | 91.2 | 968 |
| 40-49 | 89.8 | 95.5 | 1,625 | 87.1 | 91.2 | 665 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 86.7 | 92.1 | 5,458 | 83.3 | 91.3 | 2,745 |
| Ever had sex | 90.0 | 95.6 | 4,155 | 86.1 | 93.4 | 2,122 |
| Never had sex | 76.3 | 80.9 | 1,304 | 73.7 | 84.2 | 623 |
| Married/living together | 89.5 | 95.2 | 3,121 | 87.9 | 91.7 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 89.8 | 94.8 | 597 | 79.4 | 88.9 | 116 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 90.3 | 95.6 | 5,190 | 87.3 | 91.2 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 84.7 | 90.4 | 3,986 | 80.7 | 91.6 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 73.4 | 87.6 | 457 | 71.0 | 94.6 | 218 |
| Erongo | 93.4 | 97.8 | 771 | 65.5 | 73.9 | 372 |
| Hardap | 91.8 | 92.5 | 304 | 95.6 | 96.6 | 152 |
| //Karas | 93.5 | 97.5 | 343 | 85.7 | 89.8 | 151 |
| Kavango | 85.1 | 91.7 | 835 | 82.1 | 83.6 | 316 |
| Khomas | 89.3 | 95.7 | 2,202 | 90.9 | 93.7 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 93.9 | 96.4 | 258 | 95.5 | 96.2 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 82.1 | 89.4 | 894 | 85.5 | 95.9 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 85.8 | 91.3 | 225 | 90.4 | 90.9 | 103 |
| Omusati | 83.8 | 85.7 | 884 | 80.7 | 93.7 | 342 |
| Oshana | 93.6 | 96.0 | 755 | 91.5 | 97.8 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 88.5 | 96.7 | 707 | 82.2 | 93.9 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 89.7 | 92.8 | 540 | 83.9 | 89.2 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 77.4 | 83.9 | 419 | 81.7 | 86.2 | 310 |
| Primary | 82.0 | 87.7 | 1,798 | 77.4 | 89.4 | 944 |
| Secondary | 89.6 | 94.9 | 6,029 | 86.2 | 92.4 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 92.7 | 98.4 | 930 | 93.6 | 94.2 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 79.8 | 86.5 | 1,429 | 79.1 | 91.9 | 594 |
| Second | 85.8 | 91.4 | 1,625 | 82.5 | 90.6 | 769 |
| Middle | 88.3 | 93.6 | 1,795 | 86.4 | 93.8 | 886 |
| Fourth | 90.5 | 95.9 | 2,116 | 85.3 | 91.4 | 917 |
| Highest | 91.6 | 96.5 | 2,211 | 87.1 | 89.0 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 87.9 | 93.3 | 9,176 | 84.5 | 91.4 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 84.5 | 89.1 | 797 | 82.0 | 86.8 | 460 |

The percentage of women age 15-49 who believe that a wife is justified in refusing to have sexual intercourse with her husband if she knows he has sex with other women and who believe that a woman has a right to ask her husband to use a condom if she knows that he has an STI is lowest among those in the youngest age group (15-19) ( 79 percent and 85 percent, respectively), those who have never been married and never had sex ( 76 percent and 81 percent, respectively), those in rural areas ( 85 percent and 90 percent, respectively), those in Zambezi and Omusati ( 73 percent and 86 percent, respectively), those with
no education ( 77 percent and 84 percent, respectively), and those in the lowest wealth quintile ( 80 percent and 87 percent, respectively). The same patterns are generally observed among men age 15-49.

Programme planners and implementers focusing on HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted infections should take advantage of the relatively high level of acceptance among all respondents of women as negotiators of safer sex with their husbands. This high degree of acceptance affords an opportunity to expand and further strengthen messages and interventions that promote preventive practices (e.g., use of male and female condoms) and empower women to take ownership of their sexual health.

### 14.5 Attitudes toward Condom Education for Young People

Condom use is one of the main strategies for combating the spread of HIV. However, educating young people about condoms is sometimes controversial, with some believing that it promotes early sexual experimentation. To gauge attitudes toward condom education, respondents were asked whether they thought that children age $12-14$ should be taught about using a condom to avoid getting AIDS. Because the focus is on adults' opinions, results are tabulated for respondents age 18-49.

Table 14.7 shows that 85 percent of women and 83 percent of men age 18-49 support teaching children age 12-14 about condoms. Women and men age 50-64 are less likely to support education of children on condom use ( 76 percent of women and 71 percent of men).

Among women, support for educating children about condom use is lowest among those age 40-49 and among men it is lowest among those age 18-19. Also, it is lower among respondents in rural than in urban areas. Women in Oshana (91 percent) and men in Erongo (92 percent) are most likely to support education of children on condom use, while support is lowest among women in Zambezi (79 percent) and men in Kunene (71 percent). Adult support for educating children about condom use generally increases with increasing education and wealth. For example, 72 percent of women and 74 percent of men with no education support teaching children about condom use, as compared with 86 percent of women with secondary and higher education and 91 percent of men with more than a secondary education.

### 14.6 Higher-Risk Sex

### 14.6.1 Multiple Sexual Partners

Given that most HIV infections in Namibia are contracted through heterosexual contact, information on sexual behaviour is important in designing and monitoring intervention programmes to control the spread of the epidemic. The 2013 NDHS included questions on respondents' sexual partners during their lifetimes and over the 12 months preceding the survey. Men were also asked whether they paid for sex during the 12 months preceding the interview. In addition, information was collected on women's and men's use of condoms during their most recent sexual intercourse. These questions are sensitive, and it is recognised that some respondents may have been reluctant to provide information on recent sexual behaviour. Potentially risky sexual activities relate to men and women having multiple sexual partners and failing to use condoms, particularly if they have more than one sexual partner.

Tables 14.8.1 and 14.8.2 present information collected from women and men who have ever had intercourse on the number of sexual partners they had during the 12 months before the survey and over their lifetime and, among those reporting more than one sexual partner in the past 12 months, whether they used a condom during their most recent intercourse. The data show that women age 15-49 are much less likely than their male counterparts to have multiple sexual partners in the past 12 months ( 2 percent versus 10 percent).

Among women, those in the 20-24 age groups; those who are divorced, separated, or widowed; those living in urban areas and in Kunene; those women with no education; and those in the highest two wealth quintiles are more likely than other women to report having multiple sexual partners in the past 12 months. Among men, those age 25-29 (16 percent), those who have never been married men ( 12 percent), those living in rural areas ( 11 percent), and those living in Oshana ( 16 percent) are most likely to report that they had multiple sexual partners in the past 12 months. The percentage of men with multiple sexual partners in the past 12 months increases steadily with increasing education, from 8 percent among those with no education to 16 percent among those with more than a secondary education. There is no clear pattern in the relationship of this indicator with wealth.

Seventy-two percent of men age 15-49 who had two or more sexual partners in the past 12 months reported using a condom during their last sexual intercourse. ${ }^{1}$ Men age 20-24 and those who have never been married ( 81 percent each), men living in urban areas ( 74 percent) and, and men in the highest wealth quintile ( 78 percent) are more likely than other groups to report using a condom during their last sexual intercourse.

Women age 15-49 reported an average of 2.6 lifetime sexual partners, as compared with 7.4 lifetime partners among their male counterparts. Among men, there are pronounced differences in mean number of lifetime partners by background characteristics. For example, the mean number of lifetime sexual partners is highest among men age 40-49 (10.6); those who are divorced, separated, or widowed (10.1); those living in Kunene (11.9); those with more than a secondary education (8.6); and those in the highest wealth quintile (8.5). It is notable that men age $50-64$ reported a much higher mean number of lifetime sexual partners (11.8) than those age 15-49 (7.4).

[^16]Table 14.8.1 Multiple sexual partners: Women
Among all women age 15-49, the percentage who had sexual intercourse with more than one sexual partner in the past 12 months; among those having more than one partner in the past 12 months, the percentage reporting that a condom was used at last intercourse; and the mean number of sexual partners during their lifetime for women who ever had sexual intercourse, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | All women |  | Among women who ever had sexual intercourse ${ }^{1}$ : |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who had 2+ partners in the past 12 months | Number of women | Mean number of sexual partners in lifetime | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 2.8 | 3,691 | 2.0 | 2,452 |
| 15-19 | 2.1 | 1,906 | 1.7 | 852 |
| 20-24 | 3.6 | 1,786 | 2.2 | 1,600 |
| 25-29 | 2.7 | 1,489 | 2.6 | 1,443 |
| 30-39 | 1.6 | 2,370 | 2.9 | 2,274 |
| 40-49 | 1.2 | 1,625 | 2.9 | 1,561 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 2.5 | 5,458 | 2.5 | 4,104 |
| Married/living together | 1.2 | 3,121 | 2.5 | 3,040 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 5.3 | 597 | 3.4 | 586 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.9 | 5,190 | 2.7 | 4,423 |
| Rural | 1.3 | 3,986 | 2.3 | 3,307 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 1.1 | 457 | 2.4 | 414 |
| Erongo | 3.5 | 771 | 3.2 | 673 |
| Hardap | 1.7 | 304 | 2.9 | 259 |
| //Karas | 1.4 | 343 | 2.9 | 300 |
| Kavango | 0.5 | 835 | 2.0 | 765 |
| Khomas | 3.7 | 2,202 | 2.7 | 1,844 |
| Kunene | 7.2 | 258 | 3.5 | 246 |
| Ohangwena | 0.8 | 894 | 2.2 | 710 |
| Omaheke | 5.2 | 225 | 4.0 | 203 |
| Omusati | 0.5 | 884 | 2.0 | 670 |
| Oshana | 0.9 | 755 | 2.3 | 627 |
| Oshikoto | 2.1 | 707 | 2.2 | 574 |
| Otjozondjupa | 2.1 | 540 | 3.0 | 446 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.7 | 419 | 2.9 | 395 |
| Primary | 1.3 | 1,798 | 2.5 | 1,506 |
| Secondary | 2.3 | 6,029 | 2.6 | 5,027 |
| More than secondary | 2.4 | 930 | 2.6 | 801 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 1.0 | 1,429 | 2.3 | 1,218 |
| Second | 1.4 | 1,625 | 2.5 | 1,382 |
| Middle | 1.6 | 1,795 | 2.4 | 1,549 |
| Fourth | 3.3 | 2,116 | 2.7 | 1,818 |
| Highest | 3.0 | 2,211 | 2.8 | 1,763 |
| Total 15-49 | 2.2 | 9,176 | 2.6 | 7,731 |
| 50-64 | 0.2 | 797 | 2.5 | 769 |

${ }^{1}$ Means are calculated excluding respondents who gave non-numeric responses.

Table 14.8.2 Multiple sexual partners: Men
Among all men age 15-49, the percentage who had sexual intercourse with more than one sexual partner in the past 12 months; among those having more than one partner in the past 12 months, the percentage reporting that a condom was used at last intercourse; and the mean number of sexual partners during their lifetime for men who ever had sexual intercourse, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | All men |  | Among men who had 2+ partners in the past 12 months: |  | Among men who ever had sexual intercourse ${ }^{1}$ : |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who had 2+ partners in the past 12 months | Number of men | Percentage who reported using a condom during last sexual intercourse | Number of men | Mean number of sexual partners in lifetime | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 9.2 | 1,730 | 79.4 | 160 | 4.3 | 1,124 |
| 15-19 | 4.9 | 922 | (75.1) | 46 | 3.0 | 396 |
| 20-24 | 14.1 | 808 | 81.1 | 114 | 5.0 | 728 |
| 25-29 | 15.5 | 658 | 77.5 | 102 | 7.9 | 602 |
| 30-39 | 11.0 | 968 | 58.6 | 106 | 8.9 | 882 |
| 40-49 | 7.7 | 665 | (67.2) | 51 | 10.6 | 569 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 12.0 | 2,745 | 81.0 | 331 | 6.5 | 2,013 |
| Married/living together | 6.7 | 1,160 | 35.9 | 78 | 8.8 | 1,066 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 9.8 | 116 | * | 11 | 10.1 | 98 |
| Type of union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In polygynous union | (44.9) | 25 | * | 11 | (12.4) | 24 |
| In non-polygynous union | 5.8 | 1,135 | 38.5 | 66 | 8.7 | 1,042 |
| Not currently in union | 12.0 | 2,861 | 80.4 | 342 | 6.7 | 2,112 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.8 | 2,282 | 73.6 | 223 | 7.6 | 1,864 |
| Rural | 11.3 | 1,739 | 70.6 | 197 | 7.1 | 1,314 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 12.0 | 218 | (42.9) | 26 | 5.9 | 197 |
| Erongo | 6.5 | 372 | (59.9) | 24 | 8.6 | 297 |
| Hardap | 7.7 | 152 | * | 12 | 8.0 | 126 |
| //Karas | 6.8 | 151 | * | 10 | 7.5 | 110 |
| Kavango | 9.6 | 316 | (45.0) | 30 | 7.2 | 269 |
| Khomas | 10.5 | 1,023 | (77.8) | 108 | 7.2 | 838 |
| Kunene | 12.9 | 104 | (74.7) | 13 | 11.9 | 93 |
| Ohangwena | 11.9 | 328 | (82.7) | 39 | 6.9 | 246 |
| Omaheke | 5.6 | 103 | * | 6 | 8.9 | 89 |
| Omusati | 11.9 | 342 | (81.4) | 41 | 6.8 | 191 |
| Oshana | 15.6 | 335 | (79.9) | 52 | 8.2 | 263 |
| Oshikoto | 14.1 | 335 | (77.6) | 47 | 5.5 | 262 |
| Otjozondjupa | 4.6 | 241 | * | 11 | 7.3 | 196 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.9 | 310 | (63.7) | 24 | 7.4 | 275 |
| Primary | 8.6 | 944 | 72.8 | 81 | 7.3 | 694 |
| Secondary | 10.7 | 2,400 | 71.0 | 256 | 7.2 | 1,895 |
| More than secondary | 16.0 | 368 | (80.1) | 59 | 8.6 | 313 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.5 | 594 | 67.0 | 56 | 6.2 | 474 |
| Second | 10.8 | 769 | 62.1 | 83 | 7.4 | 587 |
| Middle | 9.1 | 886 | 76.0 | 81 | 6.9 | 716 |
| Fourth | 11.1 | 917 | 75.1 | 102 | 7.6 | 727 |
| Highest | 11.4 | 855 | 77.5 | 98 | 8.5 | 673 |
| Total 15-49 | 10.4 | 4,021 | 72.2 | 420 | 7.4 | 3,177 |
| 50-64 | 6.5 | 460 | (38.6) | 30 | 11.8 | 380 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Means are calculated excluding respondents who gave non-numeric responses.

### 14.6.2 Point Prevalence and Cumulative Prevalence of Concurrent Sexual Partners

The point prevalence and cumulative prevalence of concurrent sexual partners are new concepts that were incorporated for the first time in the 2013 NDHS. The point prevalence of concurrent sexual partners is defined as the percentage of respondents who had two (or more) sexual partners concurrently at the point in time six months before the survey. The cumulative prevalence of concurrent sexual partners is defined as the percentage of respondents who had two (or more) sexual partners concurrently at any time during the 12 months preceding the survey.

Table 14.9 shows the point prevalence and cumulative prevalence of concurrent sexual partners among all respondents before the survey. It also shows the percentage of respondents who had concurrent sexual partners among those who had multiple sexual partners during the 12 months before the survey.

Among women age 15-49, both the point prevalence and the cumulative prevalence are 1 percent or less. Among men in the same age group, the point prevalence is 2 percent and the cumulative prevalence is 7 percent. Women age $50-64$ have a point prevalence and cumulative prevalence of less than 1 percent each, while men have a point prevalence of 4 percent and a cumulative prevalence of 6 percent.

Among female respondents, point prevalence and cumulative prevalence vary only marginally by background characteristics. Among men, there are some notable variations in the cumulative prevalence; it is highest among men age 25-29 (11 percent), those who have never been married ( 7 percent), and men living in rural areas (7 percent).

Table 14.9 also shows that, among all respondents age $15-49$ who had multiple partners during the 12 months preceding the survey, 54 percent of women and 65 percent of men had concurrent sexual partners.

Table 14.9 Point prevalence and cumulative prevalence of concurrent sexual partners
Percentage of all women and men age 15-49 who had concurrent sexual partners six months before the survey (point prevalence ${ }^{1}$ ), percentage of all women and all men age 15-49 who had any concurrent sexual partners during the 12 months before the survey (cumulative prevalence ${ }^{2}$ ), and among women and men age 15-49 who had multiple sexual partners during the 12 months before the survey, percentage who had concurrent sexual partners, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Among all respondents: |  |  | Among all respondents who had multiple partners during the 12 months before the survey: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Point prevalence of concurrent sexual partners ${ }^{1}$ | Cumulative prevalence of concurrent sexual partners ${ }^{2}$ | Number of respondents | Percentage who had concurrent sexual partners ${ }^{2}$ | Number of respondents |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 3,691 | 37.8 | 105 |
| 15-19 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1,906 | (20.4) | 40 |
| 20-24 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1,786 | 48.4 | 65 |
| 25-29 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1,489 | (68.1) | 40 |
| 30-39 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2,370 | (63.4) | 38 |
| 40-49 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1,625 | * | 20 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 0.3 | 1.2 | 5,458 | 49.6 | 136 |
| Married/living together | 0.5 | 0.8 | 3,121 | 67.6 | 36 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 0.7 | 3.0 | 597 | (57.6) | 31 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.4 | 1.5 | 5,190 | 51.9 | 151 |
| Rural | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3,986 | 60.3 | 52 |
| Total 15-49 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 9,176 | 54.1 | 203 |
| 50-64 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 797 | * | 1 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 1,730 | 52.1 | 160 |
| 15-19 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 922 | (37.2) | 46 |
| 20-24 | 2.2 | 8.2 | 808 | 58.0 | 114 |
| 25-29 | 2.3 | 10.9 | 658 | 70.4 | 102 |
| 30-39 | 4.0 | 8.7 | 968 | 79.4 | 106 |
| 40-49 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 665 | (61.5) | 51 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 2.2 | 7.4 | 2,745 | 61.6 | 331 |
| Married/living together | 2.4 | 5.6 | 1,160 | 83.1 | 78 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 1.3 | 2.6 | 116 | * | 11 |
| Type of union |  |  |  |  |  |
| In polygynous union | (34.9) | (35.4) | 25 | * | 11 |
| In non-polygynous union | 1.6 | 4.9 | 1,135 | 83.8 | 66 |
| Not currently in union | 2.1 | 7.2 | 2,861 | 60.4 | 342 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.2 | 6.3 | 2,282 | 64.2 | 223 |
| Rural | 2.2 | 7.4 | 1,739 | 65.1 | 197 |
| Total 15-49 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 4,021 | 64.6 | 420 |
| 50-64 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 460 | (95.0) | 30 |

Note: Two sexual partners are considered to be concurrent if the date of the most recent sexual intercourse with the earlier partner is after the date of the first sexual intercourse with the later partner. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ The percentage of respondents who had two (or more) sexual partners that were concurrent at the point in time six months before the survey
${ }^{2}$ The percentage of respondents who had two (or more) sexual partners that were concurrent anytime during the 12 months preceding the survey

### 14.7 Paid Sex

The act of paying for sex introduces an uneven negotiating ground for safer sexual intercourse. Condom use is an important indicator in efforts to ascertain the level of risk associated with sexual intercourse involving payments. Table 14.10 shows the percentage of men age 15-49 who paid for sexual intercourse ever and in the past 12 months by background characteristics.

| intercourse |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of men age 15-49 who ever paid for sexual intercourse and percentage reporting payment for sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Percentage who ever paid for sexual intercourse | Percentage who paid for sexual intercourse in the past 12 months | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 658 |
| 30-39 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 968 |
| 40-49 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 665 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
| Never married | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2,745 |
| Married/living together | 2.5 | 0.8 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 7.0 | 1.0 | 116 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.6 | 0.9 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 3.8 | 2.7 | 218 |
| Erongo | 0.7 | 0.0 | 372 |
| Hardap | 1.2 | 0.4 | 152 |
| //Karas | 4.2 | 2.8 | 151 |
| Kavango | 4.8 | 1.5 | 316 |
| Khomas | 3.5 | 1.1 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 1.3 | 0.4 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 1.3 | 0.7 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 1.7 | 0.0 | 103 |
| Omusati | 0.0 | 0.0 | 342 |
| Oshana | 2.2 | 0.6 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 1.9 | 1.3 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 0.6 | 0.3 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.4 | 1.7 | 310 |
| Primary | 0.9 | 0.4 | 944 |
| Secondary | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 5.8 | 0.7 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.0 | 0.7 | 594 |
| Second | 1.7 | 0.6 | 769 |
| Middle | 1.7 | 0.8 | 886 |
| Fourth | 3.0 | 1.3 | 917 |
| Highest | 3.0 | 1.0 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 460 |

Only 2 percent of men age 15-49 and 4 percent of those age 50-64 reported ever paying for sex; 1 percent and 2 percent, respectively, reported paying for sex during the 12 months preceding the survey. Men who are divorced, separated, or widowed ( 7 percent); those living in Kavango (5 percent); and those with more than a secondary education (6 percent) are more likely than their counterparts to have ever paid for sexual intercourse. Other variations by background characteristics are minimal.

Among men who paid for sex in the past 12 months, 67 percent reported using a condom at their last paid sexual intercourse (data are not shown separately).

### 14.8 Male Circumcision

Circumcision is a common practice in many parts of sub-Saharan Africa for traditional, health, and other reasons. Male circumcision has been associated with a lower risk of HIV transmission from women to men (Williams et al., 2006; WHO and UNAIDS, 2007). To examine the practice of circumcision at the national level, men interviewed in the 2013 NDHS were asked whether they had been circumcised and when they were circumcised. The results are presented in Table 14.11.

The data show that 26 percent of men age 15-49 and 32 percent of those age 50-64 are circumcised. There are some marked differences across background characteristics. Men age 40-49 (30 percent), those living in urban areas (30 percent), those living in Kunene ( 51 percent) and Omaheke (48 percent), and those who reported having no religious affiliation (44 percent) are more likely than men in other groups to have been circumcised.

These results are in line with previous MoHSS assessments indicating that there are gaps in attitudes and behaviours regarding circumcision practices across the country (MoHSS, 2014). The roll-out of the Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision initiative by the MoHSS will address and resolve some of the current barriers in Namibia with respect to circumcision practices.

Table 14.11 Male circumcision
Percentage of men age 15-49 who report having been circumcised, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background <br> characteristic | Percentage <br> circumcised | Number of <br> men |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |


| Age |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 15-24 | 21.8 | 1,730 |
| $15-19$ | 21.0 | 922 |
| $20-24$ | 22.8 | 808 |
| $25-29$ | 27.8 | 658 |
| $30-39$ | 27.4 | 968 |
| 40-49 | 30.3 | 665 |
| Residence |  |  |
| $\quad$ Urban | 30.0 | 2,282 |
| $\quad$ Rural | 19.7 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |
| Zambezi | 13.9 | 218 |
| Erongo | 31.1 | 372 |
| Hardap | 13.2 | 152 |
| l/Karas | 21.4 | 151 |
| Kavango | 32.6 | 316 |
| Khomas | 31.2 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 51.4 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 12.2 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 48.2 | 103 |
| Omusati | 15.6 | 342 |
| Oshana | 18.4 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 15.5 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 39.7 | 241 |
| Religion |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 23.1 | 1,137 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 33.9 | 576 |
| ELCIN | 21.8 | 1,944 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | 20.6 | 176 |
| No religion | 43.5 | 85 |
| Other | 39.0 | 553 |
| Total 15-49 | 25.5 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 31.5 | 460 |

ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

Table 14.12 shows the percent distribution of men by the person who performed the circumcision and the place where it took place. Forty-seven percent of male circumcisions were performed by a traditional practitioner or family friend and 46 percent by a health worker or professional. With respect to the place at which circumcisions occurred, 43 percent were performed at a health care facility, 23 percent were performed at the respondent's home, 10 percent each took place at the home of a health worker or professional and at a ritual site, and 8 percent took place at another person's home or elsewhere.

| Table 14.12 Provider and place of circumcision |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Among men age 15-64 who report having been circumcised, |  |
| percent distribution by person who performed the circumcision |  |
| and by place circumcised, Namibia 2013 |  |
| Person/place of circumcision |  |
| Person who performed circumcision | Percentage |
| Traditional practitioner/family friend |  |
| Health worker/professional | 47.1 |
| Other | 45.6 |
| Don't know | 0.4 |
| Missing | 6.2 |
| Total | 0.7 |
| Place of circumcision | 100.0 |
| Health care facility |  |
| Home of a health worker/health professional | 43.3 |
| Respondent's home | 9.7 |
| Ritual site | 23.2 |
| Other home/elsewhere | 9.8 |
| Don't know | 8.2 |
| Missing | 5.5 |
| Total | 0.4 |
| Number of circumcised men | 100.0 |

Table 14.13 shows attitudes toward male circumcision among men age 15-49 by background characteristics. A large majority of men age 15-49 (80 percent) and men age 50-64 (70 percent) said that they would have their baby boy circumcised. This percentage is somewhat lower among men age 40-49 (73-74 percent) than among younger respondents. By region, men in Hardap (61 percent) and //Karas (62 percent) are least likely to report that they would have their baby boy circumcised, and men in Omaheke (88 percent) are most likely to report that they would do so. The percentage of men who would have their baby boy circumcised is lowest among those with no education or a primary education ( 77 percent each) and those in the lowest wealth quintile ( 71 percent).

| Table 14.13 Attitudes toward male circumcision |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among men age 15-49, percent distribution by whether they would have their baby boy circumcised, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | No | Yes | Don't know | Missing | Total | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 18.2 | 78.8 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 14.9 | 82.1 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 13.3 | 83.2 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 17.7 | 80.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 19.0 | 78.6 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 22.6 | 73.5 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 22.7 | 73.3 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.1 | 79.2 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,494 |
| Rural | 18.6 | 77.5 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,987 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 20.8 | 71.3 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 234 |
| Erongo | 21.2 | 76.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 420 |
| Hardap | 30.4 | 61.1 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 179 |
| //Karas | 26.9 | 61.7 | 10.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 178 |
| Kavango | 25.3 | 71.7 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 347 |
| Khomas | 20.4 | 78.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,095 |
| Kunene | 9.2 | 85.3 | 5.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 120 |
| Ohangwena | 17.2 | 80.9 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 359 |
| Omaheke | 9.8 | 87.8 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 131 |
| Omusati | 10.4 | 85.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 392 |
| Oshana | 11.7 | 86.6 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 362 |
| Oshikoto | 15.0 | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 374 |
| Otjozondjupa | 15.6 | 81.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 292 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.6 | 77.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Primary | 20.0 | 76.8 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 944 |
| Secondary | 16.3 | 80.8 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 17.6 | 79.2 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 24.8 | 71.4 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 656 |
| Second | 16.3 | 80.2 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 845 |
| Middle | 15.2 | 81.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 984 |
| Fourth | 15.5 | 81.5 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,020 |
| Highest | 22.0 | 75.1 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 975 |
| Total 15-49 | 17.5 | 79.5 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 25.8 | 69.9 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 460 |

Table 14.14 shows the percent distribution of men by their opinion on whether or not there are any benefits to male circumcision, according to background characteristics. Eight in ten men age 15-49 (80 percent) and 72 percent of men age 50-64 believe that there are benefits to male circumcision. Men age 4049 (77 percent), those living in //Karas (62 percent), those with no education or only a primary education ( $76-77$ percent), and those in the lowest wealth quintile ( 75 percent) are less likely than men in other groups to believe that there are benefits to male circumcision.

| Among men age 15-49, percent distribution by whether they think that there are benefits to male circumcision, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | No | Yes | Don't know | Missing | Total | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.0 | 79.1 | 11.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 7.3 | 84.3 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 5.9 | 83.0 | 10.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 6.5 | 79.7 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 6.8 | 78.2 | 14.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 9.7 | 77.3 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 8.3 | 76.7 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.4 | 80.1 | 11.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,494 |
| Rural | 7.6 | 78.8 | 13.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,987 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 14.2 | 77.8 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 234 |
| Erongo | 10.0 | 78.4 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 420 |
| Hardap | 10.9 | 68.6 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 179 |
| //Karas | 17.9 | 62.2 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 178 |
| Kavango | 10.9 | 67.8 | 20.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 347 |
| Khomas | 9.3 | 78.0 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,095 |
| Kunene | 6.0 | 81.8 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 120 |
| Ohangwena | 3.7 | 88.7 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 359 |
| Omaheke | 4.9 | 86.0 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 131 |
| Omusati | 2.9 | 87.1 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 392 |
| Oshana | 5.1 | 87.3 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 362 |
| Oshikoto | 5.9 | 81.5 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 374 |
| Otjozondjupa | 6.0 | 82.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 292 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.5 | 76.7 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Primary | 9.4 | 75.5 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 944 |
| Secondary | 7.1 | 82.2 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 8.1 | 84.9 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.2 | 74.7 | 15.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 656 |
| Second | 6.2 | 81.4 | 12.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 845 |
| Middle | 6.1 | 81.5 | 12.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 984 |
| Fourth | 7.2 | 82.2 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,020 |
| Highest | 11.8 | 76.4 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 975 |
| Total 15-49 | 7.6 | 80.4 | 11.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 12.1 | 71.8 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 460 |

Table 14.15 shows the percentage of men age 15-64 citing specific benefits of male circumcision. Protection against HIV ( 56 percent) and protection against sexually transmitted infections ( 54 percent) are most likely to be cited as benefits of male circumcision. More than four in ten ( 42 percent) believe that male circumcision is good for health and hygiene, and one in ten (10 percent) say that it is recommended by tradition or religion.

| Table 14.15 Specific benefits of male circumcision |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Among men age 15-64 who believe that there are |  |
| benefits to male circumcision, percentage who report |  |
| specific benefits, Namibia 2013 |  |
| Benefits of male circumcision | Percentage |
| Recommended by tradition/religion | 10.0 |
| Good for health/hygiene | 42.1 |
| Protects against getting HIV | 55.7 |
| Protects against getting STIs | 53.5 |
| Increases sexual satisfaction | 1.1 |
| Easier to put on condom | 0.7 |
| Other | 1.2 |
| Don't know | 0.8 |
| Number of respondents | 3,564 |

### 14.9 Self-Reporting of Sexually Transmitted Infections

In the 2013 NDHS, respondents who had ever had sex were asked whether they had a sexually transmitted infection or symptoms of an STI (a bad-smelling, abnormal discharge from the vagina/penis or a genital sore or ulcer) in the 12 months preceding the survey.

Table 14.16 shows the self-reported prevalence of STIs and/or STI symptoms among women and men age $15-49$, by background characteristics. Women are more likely than men to report having had an STI or having experienced STI symptoms in the past 12 months ( 10 percent versus 6 percent). Five percent of women and men age $50-64$ reported having had an STI or STI symptoms in the past 12 months.

Table 14.16 Self-reported prevalence of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and STI symptoms
Among women and men age 15-49 who ever had sexual intercourse, the percentage reporting having an STI and/or symptoms of an STI in the past 12 months, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of women who reported having in the past 12 months: |  |  |  | Number of women who ever had sexual intercourse | Percentage of men who reported having in the past 12 months: |  |  |  | Number of men who ever had sexual intercourse |
|  | STI | Bad- <br> smelling/ abnormal genital discharge | Genital sore or ulcer | STI/genital discharge/ sore or ulcer |  | STI | Badsmelling/ abnormal discharge from penis | Genital sore or ulcer | STI/ abnormal discharge from penis/ sore or ulcer |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 3.9 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 11.4 | 2,467 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 1,141 |
| 15-19 | 2.4 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 10.4 | 852 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 395 |
| 20-24 | 4.6 | 8.3 | 3.9 | 11.9 | 1,615 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 745 |
| 25-29 | 4.1 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 11.1 | 1,457 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 8.4 | 639 |
| 30-39 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 8.8 | 2,328 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 953 |
| 40-49 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 1,600 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 650 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 3.4 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 9.2 | 4,155 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 2,122 |
| Married/living together | 3.9 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 10.5 | 3,104 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 1,146 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 4.7 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 12.9 | 593 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 115 |
| Male circumcision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circumcised | na | na | na | na | na | 4.2 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 8.1 | 908 |
| Not circumcised | na | na | na | na | na | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 2,462 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.2 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 11.0 | 4,510 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 2,014 |
| Rural | 3.0 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 3,342 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 1,369 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 1.7 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 420 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 204 |
| Erongo | 2.9 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 10.5 | 675 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 326 |
| Hardap | 5.4 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 12.6 | 261 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 130 |
| //Karas | 2.7 | 7.8 | 5.1 | 11.1 | 301 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 121 |
| Kavango | 5.3 | 6.3 | 11.7 | 15.0 | 774 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 8.0 | 271 |
| Khomas | 4.0 | 7.1 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 1,903 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 930 |
| Kunene | 9.4 | 8.5 | 5.3 | 14.7 | 247 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 5.7 | 94 |
| Ohangwena | 1.6 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 7.8 | 714 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 247 |
| Omaheke | 7.6 | 12.1 | 5.7 | 15.9 | 208 | 14.4 | 17.0 | 11.3 | 19.1 | 93 |
| Omusati | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 671 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 211 |
| Oshana | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 631 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 7.0 | 265 |
| Oshikoto | 2.9 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 9.4 | 582 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 8.5 | 285 |
| Otjozondjupa | 7.0 | 9.8 | 4.6 | 14.3 | 466 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 205 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.8 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 11.1 | 402 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 291 |
| Primary | 3.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 10.8 | 1,541 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 8.1 | 734 |
| Secondary | 3.9 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 9.6 | 5,091 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 6.3 | 2,012 |
| More than secondary | 2.5 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 818 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 346 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.9 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 9.1 | 1,225 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 492 |
| Second | 3.4 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 8.6 | 1,413 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 622 |
| Middle | 3.7 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 9.8 | 1,574 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 8.9 | 749 |
| Fourth | 4.6 | 8.0 | 4.6 | 11.6 | 1,844 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 5.7 | 783 |
| Highest | 3.6 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 10.1 | 1,797 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 738 |
| Total 15-49 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 10.0 | 7,852 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 3,383 |
| 50-64 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 787 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 450 |

Note: Total includes 14 men with missing information on circumcision.
na $=$ Not applicable

The prevalence of STIs or STI symptoms is somewhat higher among women and men age 20-29 and those who are divorced, separated, or widowed than among their counterparts. Circumcised men have a slightly higher prevalence of STIs or STI symptoms than uncircumcised men (8 percent and 6 percent, respectively). The prevalence of STIs or STI symptoms is higher among urban than rural women (11 percent versus 9 percent), while among men the proportions are similar in urban (6 percent) and rural (7 percent) areas. By region, the proportion of women reporting an STI or STI symptoms ranges from 3 percent in Omusati to 16 percent in Omaheke. Among men, the proportion is lowest in Hardap (1 percent) and highest in Omaheke (19 percent). There is no clear overall pattern in the prevalence of STIs or STI symptoms by education or wealth. Among men, however, the prevalence is lowest among those with more than a secondary education (3 percent) and those in the highest wealth quintile (4 percent).

There has been a slight increase since the 2006-07 NDHS in the percentage of respondents age 1549 who had an STI or STI symptoms in the preceding 12 months, from 7 percent to 10 percent of women and from 4 percent to 6 percent of men.

Figure 14.1 shows that more than six in ten women ( 63 percent) and men ( 62 percent) who had an STI or STI symptoms sought advice or treatment from a clinic, hospital, private doctor, or other health professional. Few respondents sought advice or treatment from a shop or pharmacy (3 percent of women and less than 1 percent of men) or any other source ( 1 percent each). About three in ten women (29 percent) and one in four men (26 percent) did not seek any treatment when they had an STI or STI symptoms.

Figure 14.1 Women and men seeking advice for treatment of STIs

$\square$ Women ■Men
NDHS 2013

### 14.10 Injections

Injection overuse in a health care setting can contribute to the transmission of blood-borne pathogens because it amplifies the effect of unsafe practices such as reuse of injection equipment. To measure the potential risk of transmission of HIV associated with medical injections, NDHS respondents were asked whether they had received any injections from a health worker in the 12 months preceding the survey and, if so, whether their last injection was administered with a syringe from a new, unopened package. It should be noted that self-administered medical injections (e.g., insulin injections for diabetes) were not included in the calculations.

Table 14.17 shows the reported prevalence of injections and of safe injection practices. Thirtyseven percent of women and 17 percent of men age 15-49 reported receiving an injection from a health worker during the 12 months preceding the survey. Among respondents age 50-64, 23 percent of women and 20 percent of men had received an injection from a health worker in the past 12 months.

Table 14.17 Prevalence of medical injections
Percentage of women and men age 15-49 who received at least one medical injection in the past 12 months, the average number of medical injections per person in the past 12 months, and among those who received a medical injection, the percentage of last medical injections for which the syringe and needle were taken from a new, unopened package, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who received a medical injection in the past 12 months | Average number of medical injections per person in the past 12 months | Number of women | For last injection, syringe and needle taken from a new, unopened package | Number of women receiving medical injections in the past 12 months | Percentage who received a medical injection in the past 12 months | Average number of medical injections per person in the past 12 months | Number of men | For last injection, syringe and needle taken from a new, unopened package | Number of men receiving medical injections in the past 12 months |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 39.6 | 1.1 | 3,691 | 97.4 | 1,462 | 16.6 | 0.4 | 1,730 | 95.8 | 287 |
| 15-19 | 41.2 | 1.0 | 1,906 | 96.7 | 784 | 19.0 | 0.4 | 922 | 94.6 | 175 |
| 20-24 | 37.9 | 1.2 | 1,786 | 98.3 | 677 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 808 | 97.6 | 112 |
| 25-29 | 41.7 | 1.5 | 1,489 | 98.2 | 622 | 16.4 | 0.6 | 658 | 96.1 | 108 |
| 30-39 | 34.1 | 1.3 | 2,370 | 97.8 | 807 | 16.8 | 0.5 | 968 | 98.7 | 163 |
| 40-49 | 28.0 | 1.1 | 1,625 | 96.8 | 456 | 18.6 | 0.8 | 665 | 97.3 | 124 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 37.6 | 1.1 | 5,458 | 97.7 | 2,050 | 16.4 | 0.4 | 2,745 | 95.4 | 451 |
| Ever had sex | 37.5 | 1.2 | 4,155 | 97.7 | 1,559 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 2,122 | 95.7 | 335 |
| Never had sex | 37.7 | 0.8 | 1,304 | 97.8 | 491 | 18.7 | 0.4 | 623 | 94.8 | 116 |
| Married/living together | 35.3 | 1.3 | 3,121 | 97.3 | 1,101 | 17.8 | 0.5 | 1,160 | 99.3 | 207 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 32.8 | 1.5 | 597 | 97.5 | 196 | 20.5 | 1.7 | 116 | * | 24 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 35.9 | 1.3 | 5,190 | 97.9 | 1,862 | 17.4 | 0.5 | 2,282 | 99.2 | 397 |
| Rural | 37.2 | 1.1 | 3,986 | 97.1 | 1,484 | 16.4 | 0.5 | 1,739 | 93.5 | 284 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 52.7 | 1.9 | 457 | 98.7 | 240 | 17.2 | 0.4 | 218 | 98.3 | 37 |
| Erongo | 33.8 | 1.1 | 771 | 98.0 | 261 | 15.3 | 0.8 | 372 | 97.3 | 57 |
| Hardap | 36.8 | 1.3 | 304 | 98.0 | 112 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 152 | (97.4) | 16 |
| //Karas | 42.3 | 1.3 | 343 | 98.3 | 145 | 17.1 | 0.4 | 151 | 100.0 | 26 |
| Kavango | 33.4 | 1.2 | 835 | 96.0 | 279 | 19.3 | 0.5 | 316 | 94.9 | 61 |
| Khomas | 34.2 | 1.3 | 2,202 | 98.9 | 753 | 18.8 | 0.4 | 1,023 | 100.0 | 192 |
| Kunene | 35.5 | 1.2 | 258 | 96.0 | 92 | 8.6 | 0.2 | 104 | * | 9 |
| Ohangwena | 37.7 | 1.1 | 894 | 97.9 | 337 | 19.5 | 0.9 | 328 | (89.3) | 64 |
| Omaheke | 34.2 | 1.2 | 225 | 89.6 | 77 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 103 | * | 4 |
| Omusati | 39.2 | 1.1 | 884 | 99.3 | 347 | 12.7 | 0.3 | 342 | (94.0) | 43 |
| Oshana | 35.9 | 1.1 | 755 | 97.2 | 271 | 17.3 | 0.5 | 335 | (94.9) | 58 |
| Oshikoto | 39.4 | 1.2 | 707 | 95.5 | 279 | 25.5 | 0.5 | 335 | 97.6 | 85 |
| Otjozondjupa | 28.5 | 1.3 | 540 | 95.0 | 154 | 11.9 | 0.3 | 241 | (97.8) | 29 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 21.2 | 0.6 | 419 | 93.7 | 89 | 13.7 | 0.5 | 310 | (95.1) | 42 |
| Primary | 34.6 | 1.2 | 1,798 | 97.0 | 622 | 16.5 | 0.5 | 944 | 93.7 | 156 |
| Secondary | 37.8 | 1.3 | 6,029 | 97.7 | 2,281 | 16.9 | 0.5 | 2,400 | 97.9 | 406 |
| More than secondary | 38.2 | 1.2 | 930 | 99.0 | 355 | 21.1 | 0.6 | 368 | 98.3 | 78 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 36.9 | 1.2 | 1,429 | 97.7 | 528 | 15.0 | 0.6 | 594 | 93.0 | 89 |
| Second | 36.7 | 1.3 | 1,625 | 96.7 | 597 | 18.8 | 0.5 | 769 | 92.0 | 144 |
| Middle | 37.5 | 1.1 | 1,795 | 97.2 | 673 | 13.5 | 0.3 | 886 | 99.3 | 120 |
| Fourth | 37.1 | 1.2 | 2,116 | 98.0 | 786 | 18.0 | 0.4 | 917 | 99.3 | 165 |
| Highest | 34.5 | 1.3 | 2,211 | 98.0 | 763 | 19.2 | 0.7 | 855 | 98.7 | 164 |
| Total 15-49 | 36.5 | 1.2 | 9,176 | 97.6 | 3,346 | 17.0 | 0.5 | 4,021 | 96.8 | 682 |
| 50-64 | 22.5 | 1.0 | 797 | 96.2 | 179 | 19.9 | 1.1 | 460 | 91.5 | 92 |

Note: Medical injections are those given by a doctor, nurse, pharmacist, dentist, or other health worker. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

The percentage of women who received medical injections is highest among those age 25-29 (42 percent). This percentage varies by region, ranging from a high of 53 percent in Zambezi to a low of 29 percent in Otjozondjupa. Among men, there are slight variations by age. By region, the percentage of men who received a medical injection in the past 12 months is lowest among those in Omaheke ( 4 percent) and highest among those in Oshikoto ( 26 percent). In the case of both women and men, the proportion who
received medical injections in the past 12 months is highest among those with more than a secondary education. There is no clear association with wealth.

Table 14.17 further shows that, on average, respondents age 15-49 received about one medical injection in the preceding 12 months.

More than 9 in 10 women and men age 15-49 ( 98 percent of women and 97 percent of men) reported that their last injection was given with a syringe and needle taken from a new, unopened package. There are no major variations by background characteristics.

### 14.11 HIVIAIDS-Related Knowledge and Behaviour among Young People

This section addresses HIV/AIDS-related knowledge among young Namibians age 15-24 and assesses the extent to which young people are engaged in behaviours that may place them at risk of contracting HIV.

### 14.11.1 Knowledge about HIVIAIDS and Source for Condoms

Knowledge of how HIV is transmitted is crucial to enabling people to avoid HIV infection, and this is especially true for young people, who are often at greater risk because they may have shorter relationships with more partners or engage in other risky behaviours. Table 14.18 shows the level of comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS among young people and the percentage of young people who know a source for condoms. As discussed earlier, comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS is defined as knowing that condom use and limiting sexual intercourse to one uninfected partner are HIV prevention methods, knowing that a healthy-looking person can have HIV, and rejecting the two most common local misconceptions about HIV transmission.

Table 14.18 shows that 62 percent of young women and 51 percent of young men have comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS. In the case of young women, comprehensive knowledge about AIDS is lowest among those age 15-17 (52 percent), while among young men it varies only slightly by age. Never-married youth who have had sex and those who live in urban areas are more likely than those in other groups to have comprehensive knowledge about AIDS. For example, 67 percent of urban young women have comprehensive knowledge about AIDS, as compared with 55 percent of those in rural areas. Among both young women and young men, the percentage with comprehensive knowledge about AIDS increases with increasing education.

Knowledge of a source for condoms is very high among Namibian youth. Ninety-one percent of young women and 94 percent of young men know a place where they can obtain a condom.

Table 14.18 Comprehensive knowledge about AIDS and of a source of condoms among youth
Percentage of young women and young men age 15-24 with comprehensive knowledge about AIDS and percentage with knowledge of a source of condoms, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women age 15-24 |  |  | Men age 15-24 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge of AIDS ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who know a condom source ${ }^{2}$ | Number of women | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge of AIDS ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who know a condom source ${ }^{2}$ | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 55.9 | 85.3 | 1,906 | 51.4 | 90.0 | 922 |
| 15-17 | 52.2 | 80.5 | 1,076 | 49.5 | 86.2 | 576 |
| 18-19 | 60.7 | 91.6 | 830 | 54.5 | 96.4 | 346 |
| 20-24 | 67.8 | 96.6 | 1,786 | 50.6 | 98.4 | 808 |
| 20-22 | 67.8 | 95.9 | 1,136 | 51.7 | 97.7 | 494 |
| 23-24 | 67.7 | 98.0 | 650 | 49.0 | 99.5 | 314 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 63.4 | 90.2 | 3,184 | 51.9 | 93.6 | 1,642 |
| Ever had sex | 66.0 | 95.5 | 1,963 | 53.6 | 97.9 | 1,054 |
| Never had sex | 59.2 | 81.5 | 1,221 | 48.8 | 85.9 | 588 |
| Ever married | 50.7 | 94.8 | 507 | 35.6 | 100.0 | 88 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 67.3 | 95.9 | 2,008 | 51.8 | 97.8 | 858 |
| Rural | 54.9 | 84.7 | 1,683 | 50.3 | 90.1 | 872 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.7 | 72.2 | 66 | 20.6 | 88.1 | 63 |
| Primary | 38.2 | 78.4 | 638 | 36.9 | 82.5 | 409 |
| Secondary | 66.0 | 93.1 | 2,620 | 56.0 | 97.7 | 1,159 |
| More than secondary | 78.0 | 99.4 | 368 | 71.1 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Total 15-24 | 61.6 | 90.8 | 3,691 | 51.1 | 93.9 | 1,730 |

${ }^{1}$ Comprehensive knowledge means knowing that consistent use of condoms during sexual intercourse and having just one uninfected faithful partner can reduce the chance of getting the AIDS virus, knowing that a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus, and rejecting the 2 most common local misconceptions about AIDS transmission or prevention of the AIDS virus. The components of comprehensive knowledge are presented in Tables 14.2, 14.3.1, and 14.3.2.
${ }^{2}$ For this table, the following responses are not considered a source for condoms: friends, family members, and home.

### 14.11.2 First Sex

Age at first sex is an important indicator of exposure to the risk of pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections. Young people who initiate sex at an early age are typically at higher risk of becoming pregnant or contracting an STI than young people who delay the onset of sexual activity. Consistent condom use can reduce such risks.

Among respondents age 15-24, a higher percentage of young men (13 percent) than young women (5 percent) have had sex before age 15 (Table 14.19). Similarly, 42 percent of women and 57 percent of men age 18-19 had sexual intercourse before age 18 .

As expected, the proportion of youth age 15-24 initiating sexual intercourse by age 15 is higher among those who have ever been married than among those who were not yet married at the time of the survey. This percentage is also higher among youth who know of a source of condoms than among those who do not. Rural women age 15-24 are more likely than their urban counterparts to have initiated sex before age 15 ( 7 percent and 4 percent, respectively). The difference is less pronounced among young men (12 percent in urban areas versus 14 percent in rural areas). Young people with no formal education are most likely to have had sexual intercourse by age 15 ( 21 percent of women and 18 percent of men), and those with more than a secondary education are least likely to have done so (less than 1 percent of women and 7 percent of men). Similarly, among women age 18-24, those with no formal education are more than three times as likely to have had sex for the first time before age 18 than those with more than a secondary education ( 67 percent versus 21 percent). By contrast, the proportion of young men age 18-24 who initiated sexual intercourse before age 18 shows little difference by educational status.

Table 14.19 Age at first sexual intercourse among young people
Percentage of young women and young men age $15-24$ who had sexual intercourse before age 15 and percentage of young women and young men age 18-24 who had sexual intercourse before age 18, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women age 15-24 |  | Women age 18-24 |  | Men age 15-24 |  | Men age 18-24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who had sexual intercourse before age 15 | Number of women | Percentage who had sexual intercourse before age 18 | Number of women | Percentage who had sexual intercourse before age 15 | Number of men | Percentage who had sexual intercourse before age 18 | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.8 | 1,906 | na | na | 13.4 | 922 | na | na |
| 15-17 | 8.2 | 1,076 | na | na | 13.3 | 576 | na | na |
| 18-19 | 5.0 | 830 | 47.4 | 830 | 13.5 | 346 | 59.4 | 346 |
| 20-24 | 3.9 | 1,786 | 39.7 | 1,786 | 12.7 | 808 | 55.2 | 808 |
| 20-22 | 4.0 | 1,136 | 41.2 | 1,136 | 12.8 | 494 | 56.1 | 494 |
| 23-24 | 3.8 | 650 | 36.9 | 650 | 12.5 | 314 | 53.8 | 314 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 4.3 | 3,184 | 37.0 | 2,142 | 12.8 | 1,642 | 56.7 | 1,067 |
| Ever married | 12.2 | 507 | 65.3 | 474 | 17.1 | 88 | 53.2 | 87 |
| Knows condom source ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 5.5 | 3,351 | 42.3 | 2,486 | 13.4 | 1,625 | 56.5 | 1,129 |
| No | 4.8 | 340 | 38.2 | 130 | 8.6 | 105 | (54.7) | 26 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.7 | 2,008 | 37.0 | 1,553 | 12.2 | 858 | 57.4 | 631 |
| Rural | 7.4 | 1,683 | 49.6 | 1,063 | 13.9 | 872 | 55.3 | 524 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 21.3 | 66 | 67.2 | 50 | 18.4 | 63 | 59.1 | 53 |
| Primary | 13.8 | 638 | 66.2 | 300 | 14.0 | 409 | 52.7 | 199 |
| Secondary | 3.7 | 2,620 | 41.6 | 1,903 | 13.0 | 1,159 | 57.2 | 801 |
| More than secondary | 0.4 | 368 | 21.4 | 363 | 6.8 | 100 | 56.7 | 100 |
| Total | 5.4 | 3,691 | 42.1 | 2,616 | 13.1 | 1,730 | 56.5 | 1,154 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
na $=$ Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ For this table, the following responses are not considered a source for condoms: friends, family members, and home.

Figure 14.2 shows trends in age at first sexual intercourse among young people between 2000 and 2013. The percentage of young women age 15-19 who had sex before age 15 decreased slightly between the 2000 and 2006-07 NDHS surveys, from 10 percent to 7 percent, and remained at 7 percent in 2013. Among young men age 15-19, there has been a steady decrease over the last 13 years in the proportion who had sex before age 15, from 31 percent in 2000 to 19 percent in 2006-07 and 13 percent in 2013. The percentage of women 18-19 who had sex before age 18 has decreased steadily over time, from 59 percent in 2000 to 47 percent in 2013. The percentage has decreased among young men as well, from 74 percent in 2000 to 59 percent in 2013.

Figure 14.2 Trends in age at first sexual intercourse
Percent


### 14.11.3 Premarital Sex

Table 14.20 shows the percentage of never-married women and men age $15-24$ who have never had sex, the percentage who engaged in sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, and, among those who had sexual intercourse within the past 12 months, the percentage who used a condom during their most recent sexual encounter.

Overall, 38 percent of women and 36 percent of men age 15-24 have never had sexual intercourse. Never-married young women and men age 15-19 have a relatively high level of abstinence ( 59 percent and 58 percent, respectively). Youth who do not know of a condom source, those who live in rural areas, and those with a primary education are more likely to have never had sex than youth in other groups.

Table 14.20 further shows that, among never-married young women age 15-24, 52 percent had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months. Among these women, only 68 percent reported using a condom during their last sexual encounter. Among never-married young men in this age group, again 52 percent reported having a sexual encounter in the past 12 months. More than eight in ten of these young men ( 83 percent) used a condom during their last sexual intercourse. The percentage of never-married youth who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months increases with increasing age, as expected. However, among both young women and young men, the percentage who used a condom during their last sexual intercourse varies only slightly according to age.

The percentage of never-married youth who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months is slightly higher among those living in urban ( 55 percent of women and 57 percent of men) than rural ( 47 percent of women and 48 percent of men) areas. There is no clear pattern with respect to education in the percentage of young men and women who have had sex in the past 12 months. On the other hand, there is a clear and increasing correlation between educational attainment and the percentage of never-married women and men who used a condom during their last sexual intercourse, with respondents at higher levels of education being more likely than those at lower levels to report having used a condom.

Among never-married women and men age 15-24, the percentage who have never had sexual intercourse, the percentage who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, and, among those who had premarital sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, the percentage who used a condom at the last sexual intercourse, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who have never had sexual intercourse | Percentage who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months | Number of nevermarried women | Women who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months |  | Percentage who have never had sexual intercourse | Percentage who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months | Number of nevermarried men | Men who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage who used a condom at last sexual intercourse | Number of women |  |  |  | Percentage who used a condom at last sexual intercourse | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 58.7 | 34.1 | 1,793 | 68.1 | 612 | 57.5 | 32.5 | 915 | 82.4 | 297 |
| 15-17 | 72.1 | 23.7 | 1,042 | 66.1 | 248 | 72.3 | 20.5 | 575 | 75.9 | 118 |
| 18-19 | 40.2 | 48.5 | 751 | 69.5 | 364 | 32.7 | 52.7 | 340 | 86.7 | 179 |
| 20-24 | 12.0 | 73.9 | 1,391 | 67.9 | 1,027 | 8.4 | 76.9 | 727 | 82.8 | 559 |
| 20-22 | 14.9 | 71.3 | 933 | 65.6 | 665 | 11.8 | 73.8 | 462 | 82.6 | 341 |
| 23-24 | 6.2 | 79.1 | 458 | 72.1 | 362 | 2.5 | 82.2 | 265 | 83.1 | 218 |
| Knows condom source ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 34.7 | 55.0 | 2,871 | 68.9 | 1,578 | 32.9 | 54.8 | 1,537 | 83.2 | 842 |
| No | 72.0 | 19.5 | 313 | 44.2 | 61 | 78.7 | 13.3 | 105 | * | 14 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 35.2 | 55.3 | 1,724 | 72.8 | 953 | 29.7 | 56.9 | 804 | 84.8 | 457 |
| Rural | 42.0 | 47.0 | 1,461 | 61.3 | 686 | 41.6 | 47.6 | 838 | 80.2 | 399 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.1 | 68.5 | 43 | (42.9) | 29 | 18.5 | 62.2 | 55 | (61.2) | 34 |
| Primary | 48.9 | 41.3 | 497 | 54.1 | 205 | 49.9 | 39.0 | 392 | 66.3 | 153 |
| Secondary | 38.0 | 51.0 | 2,306 | 69.3 | 1,175 | 33.4 | 54.3 | 1,098 | 87.2 | 597 |
| More than secondary | 27.0 | 67.8 | 338 | 77.2 | 229 | 15.6 | 74.2 | 98 | 90.0 | 73 |
| Total 15-24 | 38.3 | 51.5 | 3,184 | 68.0 | 1,639 | 35.8 | 52.1 | 1,642 | 82.6 | 856 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ For this table, the following responses are not considered a source for condoms: friends, family members, and home

### 14.11.4 Multiple Sexual Partners among Youth

The most common means of transmission of HIV in Namibia is through unprotected sex with an infected person. To prevent HIV transmission, it is important that young people practice safe sex. Tables 14.21.1 and 14.21.2 present data on the percentage of young people who engaged in sexual intercourse with more than one partner in the 12 months before the survey and the percentage who used a condom during their last sexual encounter.

Young men are more likely than young women to report having multiple sexual partners in the 12 months preceding the survey ( 9 percent and 3 percent, respectively). In general, the percentage of young men and young women who reported having sexual intercourse with more than one partner in the past 12 months increases with increasing age; in addition, it is higher among ever-married youth, those who know of a source of condoms, and those living in urban areas. The percentage of young women with multiple sexual partners is highest among those with no formal education (12 percent), while among young men the percentage is highest among those with more than a secondary education (15 percent).

Among young women and men who had multiple partners in the past 12 months, 68 percent and 79 percent, respectively, reported using a condom during their last sexual intercourse.

There has been a notable decrease over the last six years in the percentage of young men age 1524 who reported having more than one partner in the past 12 months, from 16 percent in 2006-07 to 9 percent in 2013. The percentage of young men with multiple partners who reported using a condom during their last sexual intercourse has increased from 74 percent to 79 percent over the same period.

Table 14.21.1 Multiple sexual partners in the past 12 months among young people: Women
Among all young women age 15-24, the percentage who had sexual intercourse with more than one sexual partner in the past 12 months, and among those having more than one partner in the past 12 months, the percentage reporting that a condom was used at last intercourse, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women age 15-24 |  | Women age 15-24 who had $2+$ partners in the past 12 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who had 2+ partners in the past 12 months | Number of women | Percentage who reported using a condom at last intercourse | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.1 | 1,906 | (61.4) | 40 |
| 15-17 | 1.2 | 1,076 | * | 13 |
| 18-19 | 3.3 | 830 | (74.1) | 27 |
| 20-24 | 3.6 | 1,786 | 71.8 | 65 |
| 20-22 | 3.8 | 1,136 | (69.6) | 43 |
| 23-24 | 3.4 | 650 | * | 22 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 2.7 | 3,184 | 73.6 | 87 |
| Ever married | 3.5 | 507 | * | 18 |
| Knows condom source ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 2.9 | 3,351 | 71.0 | 99 |
| No | 1.9 | 340 | * | 6 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.8 | 2,008 | 73.1 | 77 |
| Rural | 1.7 | 1,683 | (53.5) | 28 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 11.9 | 66 | * | 8 |
| Primary | 2.1 | 638 | * | 13 |
| Secondary | 2.7 | 2,620 | 76.0 | 72 |
| More than secondary | 3.2 | 368 | * | 12 |
| Total 15-24 | 2.8 | 3,691 | 67.8 | 105 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ For this table, the following responses are not considered a source for condoms: friends, family members, and home.

Table 14.21.2 Multiple sexual partners in the past 12 months among young people: Men

Among all young men age 15-24, the percentage who had sexual intercourse with more than one sexual partner in the past 12 months, and among those having more than one partner in the past 12 months, the percentage reporting that a condom was used at last intercourse, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Men age 15-24 |  | Men age 15-24 who had 2+ partners in the past 12 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who had 2+ partners in the past 12 months | Number of men | Percentage who reported using a condom at last intercourse | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.9 | 922 | (75.1) | 46 |
| 15-17 | 2.6 | 576 | * | 15 |
| 18-19 | 8.9 | 346 | (82.8) | 31 |
| 20-24 | 14.1 | 808 | 81.1 | 114 |
| 20-22 | 11.3 | 494 | 82.6 | 56 |
| 23-24 | 18.5 | 314 | 79.8 | 58 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 9.1 | 1,642 | 81.0 | 149 |
| Ever married | 12.1 | 88 | * | 11 |
| Knows condom source ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 9.8 | 1,625 | 79.4 | 159 |
| No | 0.2 | 105 | * | 0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.0 | 858 | 84.0 | 86 |
| Rural | 8.5 | 872 | 74.1 | 74 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 8.1 | 63 | * | 5 |
| Primary | 7.3 | 409 | (66.0) | 30 |
| Secondary | 9.4 | 1,159 | 81.8 | 109 |
| More than secondary | 15.1 | 100 | * | 15 |
| Total 15-24 | 9.2 | 1,730 | 79.4 | 160 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ For this table, the following responses are not considered a source for condoms: friends, family members, and home.

### 14.11.5 Age Mixing in Sexual Relationships

Research from around the world shows a steady and significant increase in rates of HIV infection among women, particularly women in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Caribbean. A substantial proportion of HIV/AIDS cases occur among young women age 15-29, indicating that many of these women were infected with HIV as adolescents. In many societies, young women have sexual relationships with men who are considerably older than they are. This practice can contribute to the spread of HIV and other STIs because if a younger, uninfected partner has sex with an older, infected partner, this can introduce the virus into a younger, uninfected cohort.

This section examines the prevalence of sexual intercourse between partners with large age differences. Women age 15-19 who had higher-risk sexual intercourse in the past 12 months were asked the age of all of their partners. In the event they did not know a partner's exact age, they were asked if the partner was older or younger than they were and, if older, whether the partner was 10 or more years older.

Table 14.22 shows that, in the year preceding the survey, 6 percent of young women age 15-19 who had sexual intercourse had sex with a man 10 or more years older. A higher percentage of young women who had sexual intercourse with an older man resided in rural than urban areas. The likelihood of a woman having higher-risk sexual intercourse with an older man does not change with age. Sexual intercourse between women age 15-19 and men 10 or more years older appears to decrease with increasing education.

Young men age 15-19 who reported that they had a sexual partner in the past 12 months were also asked the age of the partner. Less than 1 percent of young men reported having a partner 10 or more years older.

Table 14.22 Age mixing in sexual relationships among women and men age 15-19
Among women and men age 15-19 who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, percentage who had sexual intercourse with a partner who was 10 or more years older than themselves, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women age 15-19 who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months |  | Men age 15-19 who had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who had sexual intercourse with a man 10+ years older | Number of women | Percentage who had sexual intercourse with a woman 10+ years older | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 5.4 | 279 | 0.0 | 119 |
| 18-19 | 5.8 | 441 | 0.3 | 185 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 4.0 | 612 | 0.0 | 297 |
| Ever married | 14.9 | 107 | * | 7 |
| Knows condom source ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 5.5 | 675 | 0.2 | 294 |
| No | (8.6) | 45 | * | 10 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.2 | 333 | 0.0 | 140 |
| Rural | 6.9 | 386 | 0.3 | 164 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | (11.2) | 21 | * | 7 |
| Primary | 9.3 | 182 | 0.7 | 70 |
| Secondary | 4.4 | 482 | 0.0 | 217 |
| More than secondary | * | 34 | * | 9 |
| Total | 5.7 | 719 | 0.2 | 304 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ For this table, the following responses are not considered a source for condoms: friends, family members, and home.

## Key Findings

- In Namibia, 14.0 percent of adults age 15-49 and 16.4 percent of those age 50-64 are infected with HIV.
- HIV prevalence among respondents age 15-49 is 16.9 percent for women and 10.9 percent for men. HIV prevalence rates among women and men age 50-64 are similar (16.7 percent and 16.0 percent, respectively).
- HIV prevalence peaks in the 35-39 age group for both women and men ( 30.9 percent and 22.6 percent, respectively). It is lowest among respondents age 15-24 (2.5-6.4 percent for women and 2.0-3.4 percent for men).
- Among respondents age $15-49$, HIV prevalence is highest for women and men in Zambezi ( 30.9 percent and 15.9 percent, respectively) and lowest for women in Omaheke ( 6.9 percent) and men in Ohangwena (6.6 percent).
- Among women and men age 5-49, the percentage HIV positive decreases with education and it generally decreases with wealth.
- More than half of widowed women (51.7 percent) are infected with the AIDS virus.
- Men age 15-49 with a sexually transmitted infection (STI) or STI symptoms in the past 12 months are much more likely to test HIV positive than those who did not have an STI or STI symptoms (24.8 percent versus11.7 percent).
- In 76.4 percent of the 1,007 cohabiting couples who were tested for HIV in the 2013 NDHS, both partners were HIV negative; in 10.1 percent of the couples, both partners were HIV positive; and 13.5 percent of the couples were discordant (that is, one partner was infected with HIV and the other was not).

Information about the magnitude of and trends in national HIV prevalence in Namibia is obtained from sentinel surveillance of HIV among pregnant women attending antenatal care (ANC) clinics. The national HIV prevalence among pregnant women was estimated at 18.2 percent according to the 2012 HIV Antenatal Clinic Sentinel Surveillance Report (MoHSS, 2012e). In addition, Namibia is currently conducting its first Integrated Bio-Behavioural Surveillance Survey with high-risk populations, namely men who have sex with men and female sex workers. However, these surveillance data do not provide an estimate of the HIV prevalence among the general population in Namibia. In the absence of populationbased data, data from ANC sentinel surveillance are used (via the Spectrum model) to estimate the national HIV prevalence. Based on this model, the 2011/2012 HIV prevalence among adult population age 15-49 was estimated at 13.4 percent (MoHSS, 2012b).

The 2013 NDHS is the first nationally representative survey to provide direct HIV prevalence estimates for the general population in Namibia. The survey included HIV testing of a nationally representative sample of women and men age 15-64 in half of the selected survey households (the same households selected for the male survey). HIV prevalence is disaggregated by various background characteristics, such as age, residence, region, education, and wealth. In addition, HIV prevalence is
analysed according to demographic characteristics and sexual behaviour to identify factors associated with the epidemic.

Test results will be used to further refine HIV prevalence estimates based on the sentinel surveillance system and allow better monitoring of the epidemic. HIV prevalence estimates will also be used to project the future path of the HIV epidemic in Namibia and to target prevention, care, and treatment interventions effectively and efficiently.

The methodology for HIV testing is described in detail in Chapter 1. This chapter presents the results of the testing and provides information on HIV testing coverage rates among eligible survey respondents.

### 15.1 Participation Rates for HiV Testing

Tables 15.1.1 and 15.1.2 show the distributions of respondents age 15-49 and age 50-64, respectively, who were eligible for HIV testing by background characteristics, residence, and region. Overall, 79 percent of NDHS respondents age 15-49 who were eligible for testing were both interviewed and tested and 2 percent were tested but not interviewed. Among respondents age 50-64, 80 percent were interviewed and tested and 3 percent were tested but not interviewed.

Among respondents age 15-49 and 50-64 eligible for HIV testing, 8 percent each refused to provide blood. Six percent of respondents age 15-49 and 4 percent of respondents age 50-64 were absent at the time of blood collection.

HIV testing rate does not vary much by age for women. Among men, it ranges from 68 percent among those age 40-44 to 80 percent among those age 15-19. Participation of all eligible respondents age $15-49$ in HIV testing was higher among rural ( 84 percent) than urban residents ( 74 percent). By region, testing rates among respondents age 15-49 ranges from 60 percent in Khomas to 90 percent in Ohangwena. HIV testing rates among all respondents age 15-49 were lowest for respondents with more than secondary education ( 65 percent) and for those in the highest wealth quintile ( 68 percent).

Table 15.1.1 Coverage of HIV testing by background characteristics: Respondents age 15-49
Percent distribution of women and men age 15-49 eligible for HIV testing by testing status, according to background characteristics (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Testing status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DBS tested ${ }^{1}$ |  | Refused to provide blood |  | Absent at the time of blood collection |  | Other/missing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed |  |  |
| WOMEN 15-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 85.6 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 991 |
| 20-24 | 83.3 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 974 |
| 25-29 | 81.4 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 813 |
| 30-34 | 82.5 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 699 |
| 35-39 | 82.8 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 656 |
| 40-44 | 84.1 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 491 |
| 45-49 | 81.7 | 2.1 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 431 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 78.7 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 2,724 |
| Rural | 88.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2,331 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 79.5 | 2.7 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 371 |
| Erongo | 80.3 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 476 |
| Hardap | 88.4 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 344 |
| //Karas | 87.2 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 430 |
| Kavango | 79.9 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 413 |
| Khomas | 66.1 | 1.8 | 11.0 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 563 |
| Kunene | 82.4 | 2.0 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 307 |
| Ohangwena | 94.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 386 |
| Omaheke | 82.8 | 1.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 296 |
| Omusati | 89.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 377 |
| Oshana | 88.8 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 374 |
| Oshikoto | 86.4 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 346 |
| Otjozondjupa | 84.9 | 1.9 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 372 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 76.9 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 329 |
| Primary | 86.5 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,052 |
| Secondary | 84.6 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 3,281 |
| More than secondary | 68.9 | 0.8 | 11.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 389 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 88.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 777 |
| Second | 87.3 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 882 |
| Middle | 87.9 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,007 |
| Fourth | 81.7 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1,262 |
| Highest | 73.8 | 1.8 | 9.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 1,127 |
| Total 15-49 | 83.2 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 5,055 |
| MEN 15-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 79.6 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 989 |
| 20-24 | 73.5 | 2.3 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 73.6 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 728 |
| 30-34 | 69.6 | 2.3 | 8.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 616 |
| 35-39 | 71.3 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 561 |
| 40-44 | 67.8 | 3.9 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 488 |
| 45-49 | 75.1 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 354 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 67.7 | 2.7 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 2,425 |
| Rural | 80.0 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 2,195 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 69.2 | 3.3 | 9.6 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 334 |
| Erongo | 71.7 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 488 |
| Hardap | 78.5 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 326 |
| //Karas | 78.3 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 392 |
| Kavango | 75.0 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 332 |
| Khomas | 53.8 | 2.5 | 11.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 11.8 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 558 |
| Kunene | 74.7 | 1.4 | 13.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 277 |
| Ohangwena | 84.6 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 279 |
| Omaheke | 77.6 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 295 |
| Omusati | 80.2 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 303 |
| Oshana | 75.3 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 324 |
| Oshikoto | 76.9 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 346 |
| Otjozondjupa | 76.8 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 366 |

Continued...

Table 15.1.1—Continued

| Background characteristic | Testing status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DBS tested ${ }^{1}$ |  | Refused to provide blood |  | Absent at the time of blood collection |  | Other/missing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 72.7 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 469 |
| Primary | 78.8 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 1,118 |
| Secondary | 73.8 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 2,655 |
| More than secondary | 60.2 | 1.9 | 11.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 9.9 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 362 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 16 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 80.9 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 674 |
| Second | 80.0 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 867 |
| Middle | 77.1 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 1,035 |
| Fourth | 72.0 | 2.8 | 7.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 1,080 |
| Highest | 60.6 | 2.4 | 9.9 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 964 |
| Total 15-49 | 73.6 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 4,620 |
| TOTAL 15-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 82.6 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1,980 |
| 20-24 | 78.6 | 2.1 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 1,858 |
| 25-29 | 77.7 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1,541 |
| 30-34 | 76.5 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 1,315 |
| 35-39 | 77.5 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 1,217 |
| 40-44 | 76.0 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 979 |
| 45-49 | 78.7 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 785 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 73.5 | 2.4 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 5,149 |
| Rural | 84.4 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 4,526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 74.6 | 3.0 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 705 |
| Erongo | 75.9 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 964 |
| Hardap | 83.6 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 670 |
| //Karas | 83.0 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 822 |
| Kavango | 77.7 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 745 |
| Khomas | 59.9 | 2.1 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 1,121 |
| Kunene | 78.8 | 1.7 | 10.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 584 |
| Ohangwena | 90.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 665 |
| Omaheke | 80.2 | 2.4 | 6.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 591 |
| Omusati | 85.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 680 |
| Oshana | 82.5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 698 |
| Oshikoto | 81.6 | 0.1 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 692 |
| Otjozondjupa | 80.9 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 738 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 74.4 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 798 |
| Primary | 82.5 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 2,170 |
| Secondary | 79.8 | 1.9 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 5,936 |
| More than secondary | 64.7 | 1.3 | 11.3 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 751 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 20 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 85.0 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,451 |
| Second | 83.7 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1,749 |
| Middle | 82.4 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 2,042 |
| Fourth | 77.2 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 2,342 |
| Highest | 67.7 | 2.1 | 9.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 2,091 |
| Total 15-49 | 78.6 | 2.1 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 9,675 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes all dried blood samples (DBS) tested at the lab and for which there is a result (i.e., positive, negative, or indeterminate). Indeterminate means that the sample went through the entire algorithm, but the final result was inconclusive.
${ }^{2}$ Includes (1) other results of blood collection (e.g., technical problem in the field), (2) lost specimens, (3) non-corresponding bar codes, and (4) other lab results such as blood not tested for technical reason, not enough blood to complete the algorithm, etc.

Table 15.1.2 Coverage of HIV testing by background characteristics: Respondents age 50-64
Percent distribution of women and men age 50-64 eligible for HIV testing by testing status, according to background characteristics (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Testing status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DBS tested ${ }^{1}$ |  | Refused to provide blood |  | Absent at the time of blood collection |  | Other/missing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed |  |  |
| WOMEN 50-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 86.2 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 407 |
| 55-59 | 82.0 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 60-64 | 85.0 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 240 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 77.7 | 3.6 | 8.4 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 359 |
| Rural | 89.1 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 560 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 79.2 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 53 |
| Erongo | 86.7 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 60 |
| Hardap | 73.3 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 75 |
| //Karas | 91.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Kavango | 78.8 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Khomas | 64.6 | 4.6 | 13.8 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 65 |
| Kunene | 84.3 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 70 |
| Ohangwena | 91.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 67 |
| Omaheke | 91.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 62 |
| Omusati | 90.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 106 |
| Oshana | 90.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 63 |
| Oshikoto | 92.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 67 |
| Otjozondjupa | 84.1 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 81.1 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 201 |
| Primary | 90.5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 401 |
| Secondary | 84.2 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 241 |
| More than secondary | 67.1 | 4.1 | 13.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 73 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 3 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 87.0 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 192 |
| Second | 88.9 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Middle | 86.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 158 |
| Fourth | 89.2 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 204 |
| Highest | 72.2 | 1.5 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 194 |
| Total 50-64 | 84.7 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 919 |
| MEN 50-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 70.3 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 273 |
| 55-59 | 76.1 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 197 |
| 60-64 | 73.5 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 181 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 65.3 | 4.0 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 297 |
| Rural | 79.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 354 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 58.6 | 6.9 | 13.8 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 100.0 | 29 |
| Erongo | 74.6 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 67 |
| Hardap | 82.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 61 |
| //Karas | 81.0 | 3.2 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 63 |
| Kavango | 64.3 | 14.3 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 42 |
| Khomas | 44.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 24.1 | 1.9 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Kunene | 81.8 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 44 |
| Ohangwena | 79.3 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 100.0 | 29 |
| Omaheke | 77.1 | 1.4 | 8.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 70 |
| Omusati | 76.1 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Oshana | 74.1 | 3.7 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 27 |
| Oshikoto | 75.6 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 45 |
| Otjozondjupa | 73.0 | 1.4 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 74 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 78.0 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 141 |
| Primary | 80.7 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 223 |
| Secondary | 69.0 | 2.4 | 10.0 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 210 |
| More than secondary | 58.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 8 |

Continued...

| Table 15.1.2—Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Testing status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
|  | DBS tested ${ }^{1}$ |  | Refused to provide blood |  | Absent at the time of blood collection |  | Other/missing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed | Interviewed | Not interviewed |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 80.3 | 7.9 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 76 |
| Second | 78.6 | 2.7 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Middle | 83.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 124 |
| Fourth | 70.4 | 1.3 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Highest | 61.1 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 10.6 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 180 |
| Total 50-64 | 73.0 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 651 |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL | -64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 79.9 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 680 |
| 55-59 | 79.5 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 469 |
| 60-64 | 80.0 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 421 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 72.1 | 3.8 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 656 |
| Rural | 85.3 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 914 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 72.0 | 2.4 | 12.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Erongo | 80.3 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Hardap | 77.2 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 136 |
| //Karas | 86.4 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Kavango | 73.8 | 11.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 122 |
| Khomas | 55.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 11.8 | 1.7 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 119 |
| Kunene | 83.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 114 |
| Ohangwena | 87.5 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Omaheke | 84.1 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Omusati | 86.2 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 152 |
| Oshana | 85.6 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 90 |
| Oshikoto | 85.7 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Otjozondjupa | 78.8 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 79.8 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Primary | 87.0 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 624 |
| Secondary | 77.2 | 1.6 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 451 |
| More than secondary | 62.7 | 4.2 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 2.8 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 142 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 100.0 | 11 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 85.1 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 268 |
| Second | 84.8 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 283 |
| Middle | 85.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 282 |
| Fourth | 81.0 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 363 |
| Highest | 66.8 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 374 |
| Total 50-64 | 79.8 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 1,570 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes all dried blood samples (DBS) tested at the lab and for which there is a result (i.e., positive, negative, or indeterminate). Indeterminate means that the sample went through the entire algorithm, but the final result was inconclusive.
${ }^{2}$ Includes (1) other results of blood collection (e.g., technical problem in the field), (2) lost specimens, (3) non-corresponding bar codes, and (4) other lab results such as blood not tested for technical reason, not enough blood to complete the algorithm, etc.

### 15.2 HIV Prevalence

### 15.2.1 HIV Prevalence by Age

Table 15.2 shows that the overall HIV prevalence among eligible respondents age 15-49 is 14.0 percent and among those age 50-64 it is 16.4 percent. Among respondents age $15-49$, the prevalence rate is 16.9 percent for women and 10.9 percent for men. HIV prevalence rates for women and men age 50-64 are similar (16.7 percent and 16.0 percent, respectively).

HIV prevalence peaks in the 35-39 age group for both women and men (30.9 percent and 22.6 percent, respectively), while the lowest rates are among respondents age 15-24 (2.5-6.4 percent for women and 2.0-3.4 percent for men). HIV prevalence for the $15-24$ age group is assumed to represent newer infections and therefore serves as a proxy for HIV incidence. The low HIV prevalence in this age group according to the 2013 NDHS HIV testing indicates a low recent infection rate among youth.

Table 15.2 HIV prevalence by age
Among de facto women and men age 15-64 who were interviewed and tested, the percentage HIV positive, by age, Namibia 2013

| Age | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| 15-19 | 2.5 | 835 | 2.0 | 860 | 2.3 | 1,695 |
| 20-24 | 6.4 | 815 | 3.4 | 734 | 5.0 | 1,548 |
| 25-29 | 16.3 | 647 | 9.4 | 614 | 13.0 | 1,261 |
| 30-34 | 28.0 | 566 | 16.6 | 465 | 22.8 | 1,031 |
| 35-39 | 30.9 | 513 | 22.6 | 429 | 27.1 | 942 |
| 40-44 | 27.1 | 376 | 21.9 | 313 | 24.8 | 689 |
| 45-49 | 28.6 | 300 | 21.8 | 265 | 25.4 | 565 |
| 50-54 | 22.0 | 320 | 16.7 | 177 | 20.1 | 497 |
| 55-59 | 15.5 | 187 | 19.4 | 141 | 17.2 | 327 |
| 60-64 | 8.7 | 183 | 11.0 | 121 | 9.6 | 303 |
| Total 15-49 | 16.9 | 4,051 | 10.9 | 3,680 | 14.0 | 7,731 |
| 50-64 | 16.7 | 689 | 16.0 | 438 | 16.4 | 1,127 |

### 15.2.2 HIV Prevalence by Socioeconomic Characteristics

Table 15.3.1 shows the variation in HIV prevalence among respondents age 15-49 by various socioeconomic characteristics (religion, employment, residence, region, educational level, and wealth quintile). Respondents who were employed in the last 12 months ( 16.5 percent) are more likely than those who were not employed (11.2 percent) to be HIV positive (in this chapter, HIV positive refers to positive for HIV-1). This pattern is more pronounced among women, where 20.8 percent of employed women are HIV positive compared with 13.8 percent of unemployed women.

Women in rural areas (19.3 percent) are more likely than those in urban areas ( 15.0 percent) to be HIV positive. However, the opposite is true for men; rural residents have a slightly lower HIV prevalence than their urban counterparts ( 10.1 percent versus 11.5 percent). There are substantial variations in HIV prevalence by region. Only 6.9 percent of women age 15-49 in Omaheke are HIV positive compared with 30.9 of those in Zambezi. Among men, HIV prevalence is lowest in Ohangwena ( 6.6 percent) and highest in Zambezi ( 15.9 percent). HIV prevalence among women and men decreases with education and it generally decreases with wealth. Women and men with no education have the highest HIV prevalence ( 26.6 percent and 15.8 percent, respectively) and those with more than a secondary education have the lowest prevalence rates ( 5.6 percent and 6.0 percent, respectively). Men and women in the highest wealth quintile are the least likely to be HIV positive ( 5.5 percent and 3.0 percent, respectively).

Table 15.3.1 HIV prevalence by socioeconomic characteristics: Respondents age 15-49
Percentage HIV positive among women and men age 15-49 who were tested, by socioeconomic characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 19.2 | 790 | 12.1 | 969 | 15.3 | 1,759 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 17.2 | 861 | 8.0 | 463 | 14.0 | 1,324 |
| ELCIN | 16.8 | 1,782 | 12.2 | 1,620 | 14.6 | 3,402 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | 23.1 | 207 | 15.4 | 139 | 20.0 | 346 |
| No religion | (4.8) | 50 | 3.0 | 53 | 3.9 | 103 |
| Other | 9.5 | 351 | 5.9 | 430 | 7.6 | 780 |
| Employment (last 12 months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 13.8 | 2,255 | 7.2 | 1,398 | 11.2 | 3,653 |
| Employed | 20.8 | 1,794 | 13.2 | 2,281 | 16.5 | 4,075 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.0 | 2,280 | 11.5 | 2,088 | 13.3 | 4,367 |
| Rural | 19.3 | 1,771 | 10.1 | 1,592 | 15.0 | 3,364 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 30.9 | 212 | 15.9 | 197 | 23.7 | 409 |
| Erongo | 14.6 | 332 | 10.4 | 342 | 12.5 | 674 |
| Hardap | 8.8 | 151 | 7.5 | 138 | 8.2 | 289 |
| //Karas | 15.0 | 155 | 9.5 | 139 | 12.4 | 294 |
| Kavango | 19.8 | 364 | 13.5 | 290 | 17.0 | 654 |
| Khomas | 12.2 | 940 | 11.6 | 927 | 11.9 | 1,868 |
| Kunene | 8.9 | 112 | 10.6 | 95 | 9.7 | 206 |
| Ohangwena | 22.1 | 420 | 6.6 | 304 | 15.6 | 724 |
| Omaheke | 6.9 | 104 | 7.7 | 96 | 7.3 | 199 |
| Omusati | 21.9 | 380 | 12.1 | 316 | 17.4 | 695 |
| Oshana | 20.3 | 352 | 11.3 | 308 | 16.1 | 660 |
| Oshikoto | 16.4 | 299 | 10.5 | 306 | 13.4 | 605 |
| Otjozondjupa | 14.2 | 231 | 9.7 | 223 | 12.0 | 454 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 26.6 | 193 | 15.8 | 295 | 20.0 | 488 |
| Primary | 25.8 | 807 | 14.0 | 897 | 19.6 | 1,704 |
| Secondary | 15.0 | 2,698 | 9.6 | 2,191 | 12.6 | 4,889 |
| More than secondary | 5.6 | 353 | 6.0 | 297 | 5.8 | 650 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 23.8 | 631 | 11.8 | 552 | 18.2 | 1,182 |
| Second | 24.4 | 739 | 15.7 | 743 | 20.0 | 1,481 |
| Middle | 20.4 | 791 | 14.1 | 839 | 17.2 | 1,630 |
| Fourth | 14.4 | 989 | 9.5 | 839 | 12.1 | 1,828 |
| Highest | 5.5 | 901 | 3.0 | 708 | 4.4 | 1,609 |
| Total 15-49 | 16.9 | 4,051 | 10.9 | 3,680 | 14.0 | 7,731 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. Total includes 2 women with missing information on employment in the last 12 months.
ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

Table 15.3.2 shows the variation in HIV prevalence among women and men age 50-64 by socioeconomic characteristics. Women in rural areas ( 15.7 percent) are less likely to be HIV positive than those in urban areas (18.2 percent), while rural men are more likely than urban men to be HIV positive (18.3 percent versus 13.5 percent). The regional differentials are notable, with HIV prevalence being highest in Zambezi (29.4 percent) and Oshana ( 27.3 percent), and lowest in Hardap ( 4.9 percent). Similar to respondents age 15-49, HIV prevalence for those age 50-64 generally decreases with education and wealth, although the patterns are not linear.

Table 15.3.2 HIV prevalence by socioeconomic characteristics: Respondents age 50-64
Percentage HIV positive among women and men age 50-64 who were tested, by socioeconomic characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 21.2 | 157 | 20.1 | 86 | 20.8 | 244 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 11.2 | 139 | 10.4 | 64 | 10.9 | 203 |
| ELCIN | 18.1 | 309 | 20.0 | 191 | 18.8 | 500 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | (23.8) | 25 | * | 14 | 16.7 | 40 |
| No religion | * | 10 | * | 13 | (1.6) | 23 |
| Other | 8.2 | 48 | 9.0 | 67 | 8.7 | 115 |
| Employment (last 12 months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 15.8 | 399 | 14.9 | 159 | 15.5 | 559 |
| Employed | 18.0 | 290 | 16.7 | 279 | 17.3 | 568 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.2 | 265 | 13.5 | 206 | 16.1 | 471 |
| Rural | 15.7 | 424 | 18.3 | 232 | 16.6 | 656 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | (37.6) | 31 | * | 14 | 29.4 | 45 |
| Erongo | 11.4 | 41 | 21.8 | 45 | 16.8 | 86 |
| Hardap | 6.0 | 29 | 3.7 | 26 | 4.9 | 56 |
| //Karas | 9.4 | 27 | 10.1 | 24 | 9.7 | 51 |
| Kavango | 10.2 | 69 | (20.8) | 29 | 13.3 | 98 |
| Khomas | (13.9) | 93 | * | 80 | 11.5 | 172 |
| Kunene | 8.9 | 25 | (5.6) | 16 | 7.6 | 41 |
| Ohangwena | 13.9 | 68 | * | 27 | 18.6 | 95 |
| Omaheke | 7.3 | 22 | 9.0 | 25 | 8.2 | 48 |
| Omusati | 20.7 | 113 | (23.6) | 45 | 21.5 | 158 |
| Oshana | 32.8 | 57 | * | 25 | 27.3 | 82 |
| Oshikoto | 20.2 | 62 | (29.0) | 38 | 23.5 | 99 |
| Otjozondjupa | 12.5 | 51 | 13.3 | 45 | 12.9 | 96 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 14.3 | 133 | 20.1 | 87 | 16.6 | 220 |
| Primary | 17.7 | 325 | 21.2 | 166 | 18.9 | 491 |
| Secondary | 18.2 | 182 | 10.8 | 143 | 15.0 | 325 |
| More than secondary | (10.7) | 49 | (5.1) | 42 | 8.2 | 91 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 17.9 | 159 | 15.4 | 59 | 17.2 | 219 |
| Second | 18.9 | 134 | 22.3 | 72 | 20.1 | 206 |
| Middle | 17.0 | 120 | 24.4 | 93 | 20.2 | 213 |
| Fourth | 18.4 | 149 | 19.7 | 96 | 18.9 | 245 |
| Highest | 10.5 | 127 | 2.9 | 117 | 6.8 | 244 |
| Total 50-64 | 16.7 | 689 | 16.0 | 438 | 16.4 | 1,127 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 2 women with missing information on employment in the last 12 months. ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

### 15.2.3 HIV Prevalence by Demographic and Health Characteristics

Tables 15.4.1 and 15.4.2 show HIV prevalence among respondents age 15-49 and 50-64, respectively, by demographic characteristics.

Widowed women age 15-49 are notably more likely to be HIV positive ( 51.7 percent) than women with different marital status (13.1-37.1 percent). HIV prevalence is higher among women in polygynous unions (22.6 percent) than those in non-polygynous unions (17.8 percent) and women not in union (16.1 percent). Number of times away from home has an inverse relationship with HIV prevalence among women; 18.3 percent of women who have not slept away from home in the past 12 months are HIV positive compared with 7.1 percent of those who have slept away five or more times. The amount of time spent away from home does not appear to be associated with HIV prevalence among women.

Women who were not pregnant or unsure of their pregnancy status at the time of the survey have a higher HIV prevalence than those who were pregnant ( 17.2 percent compared with 12.8 percent). Women who received antenatal care (ANC) from a public sector provider in the three years preceding the survey are more likely to be infected with HIV (18.3 percent) than those who did not receive ANC or did not have
a birth in the last three years ( 16.7 percent) and those who received ANC from a source other than the public sector ( 5.4 percent).

Among men, HIV prevalence is highest for divorced or separated men (19.8 percent) and those currently married or cohabiting at the time of the survey (19.1 percent) compared with men who never married ( 7.2 percent). Men who spent more than one month away from home have lower HIV prevalence (8.8 percent) when compared with those who spent less than one month away (12.1 percent) and those who did not spend any time away from home (11.0 percent).

Male circumcision reduces the risk of HIV infection, in part because of physiological differences that decrease the susceptibility to HIV infection among circumcised men. Three randomised controlled clinical trials conducted in Uganda, South Africa, and Kenya demonstrated that medical circumcision reduces the risk of HIV transmission among heterosexual men by 60-70 percent (Auvert et al., 2005). Table 15.4.1 shows that uncircumcised men are more likely to be HIV positive (11.9 percent) than men who have been circumcised (8.0 percent).

Table 15.4.1 HIV prevalence by demographic characteristics: Respondents age 15-49
Percentage HIV positive among women and men age 15-49 who were tested, by demographic characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Demographic characteristic | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 13.1 | 2,403 | 7.2 | 2,526 | 10.1 | 4,929 |
| Ever had sexual intercourse | 16.2 | 1,870 | 8.9 | 1,951 | 12.5 | 3,820 |
| Never had sexual intercourse | 2.2 | 534 | 1.4 | 576 | 1.8 | 1,109 |
| Married/living together | 18.4 | 1,366 | 19.1 | 1,057 | 18.7 | 2,423 |
| Divorced or separated | 37.1 | 193 | 19.8 | 92 | 31.5 | 285 |
| Widowed | 51.7 | 88 | * | 5 | 48.7 | 94 |
| Type of union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In polygynous union | 22.6 | 87 | * | 23 | 18.7 | 110 |
| In non-polygynous union | 17.8 | 1,045 | 19.5 | 1,033 | 18.6 | 2,079 |
| Not currently in union | 16.1 | 2,685 | 7.6 | 2,623 | 11.9 | 5,308 |
| Times slept away from home in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 18.3 | 2,579 | 11.0 | 2,106 | 15.1 | 4,685 |
| 1-2 | 16.4 | 959 | 10.3 | 598 | 14.1 | 1,557 |
| 3-4 | 14.1 | 232 | 11.4 | 310 | 12.6 | 542 |
| $5+$ | 7.1 | 278 | 10.8 | 644 | 9.6 | 922 |
| Time away in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Away for more than 1 month | 16.0 | 746 | 8.8 | 637 | 12.7 | 1,383 |
| Away for less than 1 month | 12.7 | 719 | 12.1 | 913 | 12.4 | 1,631 |
| Not away | 18.3 | 2,581 | 11.0 | 2,106 | 15.0 | 4,687 |
| Currently pregnant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 12.8 | 279 | na | na | na | na |
| Not pregnant or not sure | 17.2 | 3,772 | na | na | na | na |
| ANC for last birth in past 3 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANC provided by the public sector | 18.3 | 1,104 | na | na | na | na |
| ANC provided by other than the public sector | 5.4 | 91 | na | na | na | na |
| No ANC/no birth in last 3 years | 16.7 | 2,853 | na | na | na | na |
| Male circumcision |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circumcised | na | na | 8.0 | 919 | na | na |
| Not circumcised | na | na | 11.9 | 2,745 | na | na |
| Total 15-49 | 16.9 | 4,051 | 10.9 | 3,680 | 14.0 | 7,731 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 234 women with missing information on type of union, 2 women and 22 men with missing information on times slept away from home in the past 12 months, 6 women and 24 men with missing information on time away in the past 12 months, 3 women with missing information on ANC for last birth in the past 3 years, and 17 men with missing information on circumcision.
na $=$ Not applicable

Table 15.4.2 shows that HIV prevalence among respondents age 50-64 is highest for those who are widowed ( 25.2 percent) and divorced or separated ( 23.9 percent) and lowest among those who are married/living together (12.0 percent). Respondents who are not currently in union (23.4 percent) are more likely than other men to be HIV positive. There is no clear pattern in the relationship of HIV prevalence among respondents age 50-64 and the number of times or the amount of time spent away from home.

Table 15.4.2 HIV prevalence by demographic characteristics: Respondents age 50-64
Percentage HIV positive among women and men age 50-64 who were tested, by demographic characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Demographic characteristic | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 21.4 | 121 | 20.6 | 49 | 21.2 | 170 |
| Ever had sexual intercourse | 21.4 | 121 | 21.1 | 48 | 21.3 | 169 |
| Never had sexual intercourse | * | 0 | * | 1 | * | 1 |
| Married/living together | 9.4 | 338 | 14.5 | 349 | 12.0 | 687 |
| Divorced or separated | 27.3 | 64 | (16.6) | 30 | 23.9 | 94 |
| Widowed | 24.1 | 166 | * | 11 | 25.2 | 176 |
| Type of union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In polygynous union | (15.0) | 30 | * | 15 | (17.0) | 45 |
| In non-polygynous union | 7.8 | 273 | 14.2 | 334 | 11.3 | 607 |
| Not currently in union | 23.7 | 351 | 21.9 | 89 | 23.4 | 440 |
| Times slept away from home in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 20.1 | 447 | 14.2 | 194 | 18.3 | 641 |
| 1-2 | 9.0 | 132 | 18.2 | 79 | 12.5 | 211 |
| 3-4 | 12.2 | 50 | (35.0) | 42 | 22.6 | 91 |
| $5+$ | 12.0 | 59 | 11.1 | 123 | 11.4 | 182 |
| Time away in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Away for more than 1 month | 11.7 | 99 | 19.0 | 103 | 15.5 | 202 |
| Away for less than 1 month | 9.5 | 142 | 16.5 | 140 | 13.0 | 282 |
| Not away | 20.1 | 448 | 14.2 | 194 | 18.3 | 642 |
| Male circumcision |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circumcised | na | na | 16.1 | 136 | na | na |
| Not circumcised | na | na | 16.0 | 301 | na | na |
| Total 50-64 | 16.7 | 689 | 16.0 | 438 | 16.4 | 1,127 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 35 women with missing information on type of union, 1 man with missing information on times slept away from home in the past 12 months, 2 men with missing information on time away in the past 12 months, and 1 man with missing information on circumcision.
na $=$ Not applicable

### 15.2.4 HIV Prevalence by Sexual Risk Behaviour

Although HIV knowledge in the general population is relatively high, risky behaviours, including lack of condom use, are common and therefore remain a significant public health concern, as shown in Chapter 14. Tables 15.5 .1 and 15.5.2 present HIV prevalence by sexual behaviour characteristics among respondents age 15-49 and 50-64, respectively, who have ever had sexual intercourse. In reviewing these results, it is important to remember that responses about sexual risk behaviours may be subject to reporting bias. Also, sexual behaviour in the 12 months preceding the survey may not adequately reflect lifetime sexual risk. Nor is it possible to know the sequence of events (e.g., whether any reported condom use occurred before or after HIV transmission).

Table 15.5 .1 shows that among all respondents age $15-49$ who had ever had sex and were tested for HIV, 16.1 percent are HIV positive (19.1 percent of women and 12.6 percent of men). Among women, HIV prevalence is higher among those who had their first sexual intercourse before the age of 20 (18.919.9 percent) when compared with women whose age at first sex was age 20 or older ( 16.5 percent). By contrast, HIV prevalence among men increases with increasing age at sexual debut, from 8.4 percent of men who had sexual intercourse before age 16 to 18.0 percent among those whose first sexual encounter was at age 20 or older.

Caution should be used when interpreting HIV prevalence levels by number of sexual partners and partner concurrency in the past 12 months among women, because very few women report more than one
partner. HIV prevalence is higher among women who had no sexual partners ( 26.3 percent) in the past 12 months than among those who had one or more partners ( 17.9 percent and 17.6 percent, respectively). Among men, those with one sexual partner in the past 12 months ( 13.3 percent) are more likely to be infected with HIV than those with no partners or more than one partner ( 9.1 percent and 9.9 percent, respectively) in the past 12 months. Among men with multiple partners, those who had concurrent partners were more likely to be HIV positive (10.6 percent) than those who did not (8.7 percent).

Table 15.5 .1 shows no clear correlation between condom use at last sexual intercourse and HIV status among women or men. HIV prevalence is higher among women who did not have sexual intercourse in the past 12 months ( 25.9 percent) and those who used a condom during their most recent sexual encounter (20.6 percent) than among women who did not use a condom during their last sexual intercourse (15.3 percent). In contrast, men who did not use a condom during their most recent sexual intercourse (13.7 percent) are more likely to be HIV positive than men who used a condom (12.1 percent) or those who did not have sexual intercourse in the past 12 months (11.6 percent).

HIV prevalence generally increases with increasing number of lifetime partners for both women and men.

The number of men who paid for sexual intercourse is too small for meaningful data interpretation and conclusions and is not shown separately in Tables 15.5.1 and 15.5.2.

| Table 15.5.1 HIV prevalence by sexual behaviour: Respondents age 15-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage HIV positive among women and men age 15-49 who ever had sex and were tested for HIV, by sexual behaviour characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sexual behaviour characteristic | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Age at first sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <16 | 19.9 | 572 | 8.4 | 844 | 13.1 | 1,416 |
| 16-17 | 19.7 | 958 | 11.9 | 855 | 16.0 | 1,812 |
| 18-19 | 18.9 | 906 | 13.2 | 761 | 16.3 | 1,667 |
| 20+ | 16.5 | 854 | 18.0 | 613 | 17.2 | 1,467 |
| Multiple sexual partners and partner concurrency in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 26.3 | 533 | 9.9 | 381 | 19.5 | 915 |
| 1 | 17.9 | 2,863 | 13.3 | 2,314 | 15.8 | 5,177 |
| 2+ | 17.6 | 104 | 9.1 | 379 | 11.0 | 483 |
| Had concurrent partners ${ }^{1}$ | * | 14 | 10.6 | 87 | 12.4 | 102 |
| None of the partners were concurrent | 16.8 | 90 | 8.7 | 291 | 10.6 | 381 |
| Condom use at last sexual intercourse in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Used condom | 20.6 | 1,441 | 12.1 | 1,655 | 16.1 | 3,096 |
| Did not use condom | 15.3 | 1,523 | 13.7 | 1,035 | 14.7 | 2,558 |
| No sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 25.9 | 546 | 11.6 | 401 | 19.8 | 947 |
| Number of lifetime partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8.6 | 1,075 | 7.5 | 448 | 8.3 | 1,523 |
| 2 | 18.6 | 1,033 | 10.6 | 472 | 16.1 | 1,505 |
| 3-4 | 27.9 | 1,057 | 10.3 | 704 | 20.9 | 1,762 |
| 5-9 | 24.5 | 252 | 12.3 | 690 | 15.6 | 942 |
| 10+ | 25.5 | 38 | 18.4 | 598 | 18.8 | 636 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | na | na | (16.8) | 35 | na | na |
| Used condom | na | na | * | 26 | na | na |
| Did not use condom | na | na | * | 9 | na | na |
| Total 15-49 | 19.1 | 3,513 | 12.6 | 3,094 | 16.1 | 6,607 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 223 women and 21 men with missing information on age at first sexual intercourse, 12 women and 20 men with missing information on multiple sexual partners and partner concurrency in the past 12 months, 3 women and 3 men with missing information on condom use at last sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, and 56 women and 182 men with missing information on number of lifetime partners. na $=$ Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ A respondent is considered to have had concurrent partners if he or she had overlapping sexual partnerships with 2 or more people during the 12 months before the survey. (Respondents with concurrent partners include polygynous men who had overlapping sexual partnerships with 2 or more wives.)

Table 15.5.2 shows that HIV prevalence among respondents age 50-64 who have ever had sex is 16.3 percent among women and 15.7 percent among men. The overall HIV prevalence among women and men in this age group is higher among individuals who used a condom at their last sexual encounter (35.0 percent) than among those who did not have sexual intercourse in the past 12 months ( 18.1 percent) or who did not use a condom during their last sexual intercourse ( 9.7 percent). HIV prevalence is lowest among respondents who have only one lifetime partner ( 9.8 percent) when compared with those with two or more partners (16.2-20.5 percent).

Table 15.5.2 HIV prevalence by sexual behaviour: Respondents age 50-64
Percentage HIV positive among women and men age 50-64 who ever had sex and were tested for HIV, by sexual behaviour characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Sexual behaviour characteristic | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Age at first sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <16 | 14.4 | 78 | (13.7) | 35 | 14.2 | 114 |
| 16-17 | 13.3 | 97 | 14.1 | 86 | 13.7 | 183 |
| 18-19 | 18.5 | 153 | 14.8 | 116 | 16.9 | 269 |
| 20+ | 17.1 | 343 | 17.4 | 193 | 17.2 | 536 |
| Multiple sexual partners and partner concurrency in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 18.8 | 382 | 14.2 | 88 | 17.9 | 470 |
| 1 | 13.5 | 294 | 13.8 | 308 | 13.6 | 602 |
| 2+ | * | 1 | (34.8) | 29 | (33.4) | 30 |
| Had concurrent partners ${ }^{1}$ | * | 1 | * | 15 | * | 15 |
| None of the partners were concurrent | * | 0 | * | 14 | * | 14 |
| Condom use at last sexual intercourse in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Used condom | 27.1 | 56 | 41.6 | 67 | 35.0 | 123 |
| Did not use condom | 10.2 | 239 | 9.2 | 270 | 9.7 | 509 |
| No sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 18.6 | 386 | 15.9 | 94 | 18.1 | 480 |
| Number of lifetime partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11.5 | 247 | 2.1 | 54 | 9.8 | 301 |
| 2 | 20.0 | 193 | (8.6) | 41 | 18.0 | 233 |
| 3-4 | 19.7 | 162 | 7.7 | 68 | 16.2 | 230 |
| 5-9 | 12.9 | 52 | 25.7 | 76 | 20.5 | 128 |
| 10+ | * | 14 | 20.9 | 118 | 19.7 | 132 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | na | na | * | 5 | na | na |
| Used condom | na | na | * | 2 | na | na |
| Did not use condom | na | na | * | 3 | na | na |
| Total 50-64 | 16.3 | 681 | 15.7 | 431 | 16.1 | 1,112 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 9 women and 1 man with missing information on age at first sexual intercourse, 4 women and 6 men with missing information on multiple sexual partners and partner concurrency in the past 12 months, and 15 women and 73 men with missing information on number of lifetime partners.
na = Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ A respondent is considered to have had concurrent partners if he or she had overlapping sexual partnerships with 2 or more people during the 12 months before the survey. (Respondents with concurrent partners include polygynous men who had overlapping sexual partnerships with 2 or more wives.)

In summary, the results presented in Tables 15.5 .1 and 15.5.2 do not demonstrate a consistent relationship between sexual risk behaviours and HIV prevalence. Additional analysis may be necessary to understand such relationships because they are often confounded by other factors associated with both sexual behaviours and HIV prevalence.

### 15.3 HIV Prevalence among Young People

As specified in the United Nations General Assembly Special Session (UNGASS) on HIV and AIDS, young people in the 15-24 age range are an important group to monitor with regard to reductions in HIV incidence at the population level (UN General Assembly, 2001).

Table 15.6 shows that HIV prevalence among youth age $15-24$ is 3.6 percent (4.4 percent among young women and 2.7 percent among young men). Given the low overall HIV prevalence among youth, there are no major variations in HIV prevalence levels by most background characteristics.

| Table 15.6 HIV prevalence among young people by background characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage HIV positive among women and men age 15-24 who were tested for HIV, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| Background characteristic | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.5 | 835 | 2.0 | 860 | 2.3 | 1,695 |
| 15-17 | 2.4 | 465 | 1.9 | 535 | 2.1 | 1,000 |
| 18-19 | 2.8 | 370 | 2.2 | 325 | 2.5 | 695 |
| 20-24 | 6.4 | 815 | 3.4 | 734 | 5.0 | 1,548 |
| 20-22 | 4.5 | 494 | 2.4 | 442 | 3.5 | 937 |
| 23-24 | 9.3 | 320 | 5.0 | 292 | 7.2 | 612 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 4.0 | 1,401 | 2.5 | 1,517 | 3.3 | 2,918 |
| Ever had sex | 5.1 | 900 | 3.3 | 968 | 4.1 | 1,868 |
| Never had sex | 2.2 | 501 | 1.2 | 549 | 1.7 | 1,051 |
| Married/living together | 6.6 | 225 | 5.9 | 74 | 6.4 | 298 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | (6.3) | 24 | * | 3 | (5.6) | 27 |
| Currently pregnant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 3.5 | 128 | na | na | na | na |
| Not pregnant or not sure | 4.5 | 1,521 | na | na | na | na |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.5 | 903 | 2.9 | 804 | 3.8 | 1,707 |
| Rural | 4.4 | 746 | 2.4 | 790 | 3.3 | 1,536 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 19.2 | 87 | 12.8 | 74 | 16.3 | 161 |
| Erongo | 3.5 | 124 | 4.8 | 116 | 4.1 | 240 |
| Hardap | 3.8 | 55 | 2.4 | 44 | 3.2 | 98 |
| //Karas | 3.0 | 50 | 2.7 | 57 | 2.8 | 107 |
| Kavango | 4.6 | 166 | 1.9 | 132 | 3.4 | 298 |
| Khomas | 2.8 | 385 | 1.8 | 334 | 2.3 | 719 |
| Kunene | 2.2 | 40 | 1.8 | 32 | 2.0 | 73 |
| Ohangwena | 2.7 | 189 | 0.0 | 174 | 1.4 | 363 |
| Omaheke | 2.7 | 36 | 3.9 | 36 | 3.3 | 72 |
| Omusati | 3.8 | 158 | 3.6 | 209 | 3.7 | 367 |
| Oshana | 5.9 | 145 | 1.2 | 158 | 3.4 | 303 |
| Oshikoto | 3.5 | 128 | 1.8 | 142 | 2.6 | 270 |
| Otjozondjupa | 4.6 | 86 | 3.0 | 86 | 3.8 | 172 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | (8.5) | 28 | 2.1 | 59 | 4.1 | 87 |
| Primary | 7.6 | 282 | 2.3 | 385 | 4.5 | 666 |
| Secondary | 3.6 | 1,174 | 2.7 | 1,062 | 3.2 | 2,236 |
| More than secondary | 4.0 | 166 | 4.8 | 88 | 4.3 | 254 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 3.6 | 251 | 2.6 | 241 | 3.1 | 492 |
| Second | 6.5 | 293 | 3.2 | 317 | 4.8 | 610 |
| Middle | 5.1 | 300 | 4.4 | 378 | 4.7 | 678 |
| Fourth | 3.8 | 411 | 2.1 | 346 | 3.0 | 757 |
| Highest | 3.5 | 395 | 0.7 | 312 | 2.3 | 706 |
| Total 15-24 | 4.4 | 1,649 | 2.7 | 1,594 | 3.6 | 3,243 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
na $=$ Not applicable

In general, HIV prevalence among young women and men increases with age. Young people who are married or living together with a partner are more likely to be infected with HIV than those who have never been married. By region, Zambezi has the highest HIV prevalence among young people (16.3 percent), while Ohangwena has the lowest prevalence (1.4 percent). There is no clear pattern in the
relationship between HIV prevalence and education. Young respondents age $15-24$ in the second and middle wealth quintiles (4.7-4.8 percent) are somewhat more likely to be infected with HIV than those in the other quintiles (2.3-3.1 percent).

Table 15.7 shows HIV prevalence among young people by sexual behaviour. HIV prevalence among respondents age $15-24$ who have ever had sex is 4.5 percent ( 5.4 percent for women and 3.4 percent for men). HIV prevalence is lowest among women and men with two or more sexual partners (4.1 percent and 1.5 percent, respectively). There are too few young people age $15-24$ with concurrent partners in the past 12 months to allow for meaningful interpretations regarding the relationship between HIV prevalence and this indicator.

Young women and men who did not use a condom during their most recent sexual intercourse are more likely to be HIV positive ( 6.2 percent and 6.0 percent, respectively) than those who reported using a condom during their last sexual intercourse ( 4.6 percent and 2.8 percent, respectively).


Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 4 women and 2 men with missing information on multiple sexual partners and partner concurrency in the past 12 months and 3 women with missing information on condom use at last sexual intercourse in the past 12 months.
${ }^{1}$ A respondent is considered to have had concurrent partners if he or she had overlapping sexual partnerships with 2 or more people during the 12 months before the survey. (Respondents with concurrent partners include polygynous men who had overlapping sexual partnerships with 2 or more wives.)

### 15.4 HIV Prevalence by Other Characteristics Related to HIV Risk

A strong link exists between sexually transmitted infections and sexual transmission of HIV. Many studies have demonstrated that STIs are a co-factor in HIV transmission. Management and treatment of STIs can play an important role in the reduction of HIV transmission. The 2013 NDHS asked respondents who had ever had sex if they had contracted a disease through sexual contact in the past 12 months or if they had any symptoms associated with STIs (an abnormal discharge from the vagina or penis or a genital sore or ulcer).

Table 15.8 shows HIV prevalence among respondents age 15-64 who have ever had sex and were tested for HIV by whether they had an STI or symptoms in the past 12 months and by prior HIV testing. Data show that for women age 15-49, there is no notable difference in HIV prevalence by whether or not the woman had an STI or STI symptoms in the past 12 months. Among men age 15-49, however, the percentage HIV positive is notably higher among those who had an STI or STI symptoms in the past 12 months (24.8 percent) than those who did not have an STI or STI symptoms (11.7 percent). Respondents who had been tested for HIV previously are more likely to be HIV positive than those who had not been tested previously (18.3 percent and 6.6 percent, respectively). Among respondents who had been tested
previously for HIV, the prevalence was somewhat higher for those who had not received the test results (20.1 percent) than among individuals who had received the results of their last test (18.2 percent).

Similar patterns are observed for respondents age 50-64, as shown in the bottom panel of Table 15.8.

Table 15.8 HIV prevalence by other characteristics: Respondents age 15-64
Percentage HIV positive among women and men age $15-64$ who ever had sex and were tested for HIV, by whether they had an STI or STI symptoms in the past 12 months and by prior testing for HIV, Namibia 2013

| Characteristic | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| 15-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sexually transmitted infection in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Had STI or STI symptoms | 20.4 | 348 | 24.8 | 207 | 22.1 | 555 |
| No STI, no symptoms | 19.1 | 3,138 | 11.7 | 2,856 | 15.6 | 5,994 |
| Prior HIV testing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever tested | 20.6 | 3,157 | 14.9 | 2,202 | 18.3 | 5,359 |
| Received results | 20.6 | 3,099 | 14.9 | 2,134 | 18.2 | 5,233 |
| Did not receive results | 24.3 | 58 | 16.5 | 68 | 20.1 | 126 |
| Never tested | 6.0 | 335 | 6.8 | 892 | 6.6 | 1,226 |
| Total 15-49 | 19.1 | 3,513 | 12.6 | 3,094 | 16.1 | 6,607 |
| 50-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sexually transmitted infection in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Had STI or STI symptoms | (33.7) | 34 | (31.1) | 23 | 32.7 | 57 |
| No STI, no symptoms | 15.6 | 639 | 14.8 | 402 | 15.3 | 1,040 |
| Prior HIV testing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever tested | 21.1 | 468 | 21.1 | 301 | 21.1 | 769 |
| Received results | 21.3 | 457 | 20.9 | 288 | 21.2 | 746 |
| Did not receive results | * | 10 | * | 13 | (18.5) | 24 |
| Never tested | 6.0 | 213 | 2.8 | 129 | 4.8 | 342 |
| Total 50-64 | 16.3 | 681 | 15.7 | 431 | 16.1 | 1,112 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 35 women and 37 men with missing information on sexually transmitted infections in the past 12 months and 21 women with missing information on prior HIV testing.

Table 15.9 shows HIV prevalence among men age $15-49$ by circumcision status, according to background characteristics. As mentioned earlier, HIV prevalence for men age 15-49 is lower among circumcised ( 8.0 percent) than among uncircumcised men (11.9 percent). The pattern of lower HIV prevalence among circumcised than uncircumcised men is observed across most background characteristics. For each age group, circumcised men have lower HIV prevalence than those who are not circumcised; the difference is especially pronounced for men age 35-39 and 45-49 (11.7 percentage points each). The difference in HIV prevalence between uncircumcised and circumcised men is larger among urban than rural men ( 5.2 percentage points versus 2.1 percentage points).

For all regions, with the exception of //Karas, Kavango, and Ohangwena, HIV prevalence is lower among circumcised men than uncircumcised men. Among uncircumcised men age 15-49, the highest HIV prevalence was recorded in Zambezi (17.6 percent) and the lowest in Ohangwena ( 5.2 percent). Among circumcised men, there are too few cases who are HIV-positive to allow for a robust analysis of HIV prevalence by region.

By education and wealth, the largest gap in HIV prevalence between uncircumcised and circumcised men is observed among those with no education (11.2 percentage points higher) and men in the middle wealth quintile ( 9.5 percentage points higher).

| Among men age 15-49 who were tested for HIV, the percentage HIV positive by whether circumcised, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Circumcised |  | Not circumcised |  |
|  | Percentage <br> HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 171 | 2.5 | 682 |
| 20-24 | 1.8 | 167 | 3.9 | 566 |
| 25-29 | 8.4 | 170 | 9.9 | 443 |
| 30-34 | 10.9 | 125 | 18.7 | 340 |
| 35-39 | 14.1 | 114 | 25.8 | 313 |
| 40-44 | 17.5 | 88 | 23.7 | 226 |
| 45-49 | 13.7 | 84 | 25.4 | 176 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 10.2 | 208 | 12.8 | 753 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 8.2 | 159 | 7.9 | 302 |
| ELCIN | 7.9 | 333 | 13.3 | 1,283 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | * | 28 | 18.2 | 110 |
| No religion | (0.0) | 27 | (6.2) | 26 |
| Other | 7.4 | 162 | 5.1 | 267 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.8 | 605 | 13.0 | 1,471 |
| Rural | 8.5 | 313 | 10.6 | 1,273 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | (7.7) | 31 | 17.6 | 165 |
| Erongo | 6.4 | 104 | 12.2 | 238 |
| Hardap | (3.4) | 18 | 8.1 | 120 |
| //Karas | 10.9 | 30 | 9.1 | 107 |
| Kavango | 14.7 | 93 | 12.2 | 195 |
| Khomas | 6.8 | 268 | 13.7 | 652 |
| Kunene | 9.4 | 47 | 11.8 | 47 |
| Ohangwena | (16.2) | 38 | 5.2 | 265 |
| Omaheke | 6.7 | 44 | 8.7 | 51 |
| Omusati | (11.2) | 51 | 12.3 | 264 |
| Oshana | (6.6) | 62 | 12.5 | 246 |
| Oshikoto | (2.1) | 46 | 11.9 | 260 |
| Otjozondjupa | 5.6 | 89 | 12.5 | 135 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.6 | 81 | 18.8 | 213 |
| Primary | 13.2 | 187 | 14.3 | 706 |
| Secondary | 7.7 | 551 | 10.3 | 1,630 |
| More than secondary | 0.7 | 100 | 8.0 | 195 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 13.1 | 86 | 11.6 | 464 |
| Second | 11.6 | 170 | 17.0 | 570 |
| Middle | 6.5 | 160 | 16.0 | 677 |
| Fourth | 11.7 | 245 | 8.7 | 587 |
| Highest | 1.4 | 257 | 3.6 | 448 |
| Total 15-49 | 8.0 | 919 | 11.9 | 2,745 |
| 50-64 | 16.1 | 136 | 16.0 | 301 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

### 15.5 HIV Prevalence among Couples

A total of 1,007 cohabiting couples were tested for HIV in the 2013 NDHS. The results shown in Table 15.10 indicate that, among 76.4 percent of cohabiting couples, both partners tested negative for HIV. Both partners were HIV positive in 10.1 percent of cohabiting couples, while 13.5 percent of couples were discordant (i.e., one partner was infected and the other was not). In 8.1 percent of the couples, the male partner was infected and the woman was not, while in 5.4 percent of the couples, the woman was infected and the man was not. Differences by background characteristics exist. The percentage who are discordant is highest among couples where the female and the male partner are age 30-39 (17.0 percent and 18.4 percent, respectively), where the man is older than the woman by 15 years or more ( 20.8 percent), in couples where the woman or the man has no education ( 16.8 percent and 17.4 percent, respectively), and among couples in the second wealth quintile ( 21.5 percent).

Table 15.10 HIV prevalence among couples
Percent distribution of couples living in the same household, both of whom were tested for HIV, by HIV status, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Both <br> HIV positive | Man HIV positive, woman HIV negative | Woman HIV positive, man HIV negative | Both <br> HIV negative | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woman's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (2.0) | (0.0) | (1.3) | (96.7) | 100.0 | 48 |
| 20-29 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 82.3 | 100.0 | 330 |
| 30-39 | 14.8 | 10.7 | 6.3 | 68.2 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 40-49 | 9.4 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 76.9 | 100.0 | 252 |
| Man's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 5 |
| 20-29 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 166 |
| 30-39 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 8.3 | 71.7 | 100.0 | 318 |
| 40-49 | 14.8 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 288 |
| 50-64 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 3.7 | 80.6 | 100.0 | 229 |
| Age difference between partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woman older | 8.2 | 7.4 | 9.2 | 75.2 | 100.0 | 153 |
| Same age/man older by 0-4 years | 8.5 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 78.6 | 100.0 | 377 |
| Man older by 5-9 years | 9.2 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 81.3 | 100.0 | 302 |
| Man older by 10-14 years | 15.0 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 67.7 | 100.0 | 105 |
| Man older by 15+ years | 20.3 | 14.7 | 6.1 | 59.0 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Type of union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-polygynous | 10.1 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 76.3 | 100.0 | 819 |
| Polygynous | (12.2) | (3.2) | (4.0) | (80.7) | 100.0 | 53 |
| Multiple partners in past 12 months ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both no | 9.3 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 77.4 | 100.0 | 910 |
| Man yes, woman no | 13.9 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 73.7 | 100.0 | 55 |
| Woman yes, man no | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 7 |
| Both yes | * | * | * | * | 100.0 |  |
| Either missing | (28.8) | (11.5) | (2.4) | (57.3) | 100.0 | 34 |
| Concurrent sexual partners in past 12 months $^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both no | 10.2 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 76.4 | 100.0 | 981 |
| Man yes, woman no | (8.5) | (16.0) | (1.9) | (73.7) | 100.0 | 24 |
| Woman yes, man no | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 2 |
| Both yes | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.4 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 610 |
| Rural | 11.2 | 9.1 | 5.4 | 74.3 | 100.0 | 397 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 9.6 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 69.3 | 100.0 | 63 |
| Erongo | 13.0 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 110 |
| Hardap | 5.3 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 83.8 | 100.0 | 60 |
| //Karas | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 86.0 | 100.0 | 55 |
| Kavango | 14.7 | 9.0 | 5.0 | 71.4 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Khomas | 7.2 | 9.4 | 3.5 | 79.9 | 100.0 | 233 |
| Kunene | 2.5 | 10.2 | 6.3 | 81.1 | 100.0 | 36 |
| Ohangwena | (13.2) | (19.6) | (4.7) | (62.5) | 100.0 | 42 |
| Omaheke | 3.8 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 89.6 | 100.0 | 39 |
| Omusati | (24.9) | (5.0) | (12.1) | (57.9) | 100.0 | 55 |
| Oshana | (11.7) | (14.5) | (5.8) | (68.0) | 100.0 | 46 |
| Oshikoto | 15.1 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 73.7 | 100.0 | 61 |
| Otjozondjupa | 6.6 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 81.5 | 100.0 | 97 |
| Woman's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 8.7 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 74.5 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Primary | 11.8 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 73.6 | 100.0 | 260 |
| Secondary | 11.4 | 7.9 | 5.0 | 75.7 | 100.0 | 550 |
| More than secondary | 0.0 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 89.7 | 100.0 | 97 |
| Man's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.7 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 69.9 | 100.0 | 128 |
| Primary | 15.2 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 71.1 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Secondary | 8.8 | 8.6 | 4.5 | 78.0 | 100.0 | 506 |
| More than secondary | 1.8 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 88.2 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 16.2 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 65.7 | 100.0 | 162 |
| Second | 13.3 | 14.2 | 7.3 | 65.2 | 100.0 | 179 |
| Middle | 17.0 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 66.1 | 100.0 | 208 |
| Fourth | 4.0 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 86.4 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Highest | 3.3 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 232 |
| Total couples | 10.1 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 76.4 | 100.0 | 1,007 |

Note: The table is based on couples for whom a valid test result (positive or negative) is available for both partners. Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 135 couples with missing information on type of union.
${ }^{1}$ A respondent is considered to have had multiple sexual partners in the past 12 months if he or she had sexual intercourse with 2 or more people during this time period. (Respondents with multiple partners include polygynous men who had sexual intercourse with 2 or more wives.) ${ }^{2}$ A respondent is considered to have had concurrent partners if he or she had overlapping sexual partnerships with 2 or more people during the 12 months before the survey. (Respondents with concurrent partners include polygynous men who had overlapping sexual partnerships with 2 or more wives.)

## SELF-REPORTED PRIOR HIV TESTING AND TREATMENT

## Key Findings

- Forty-nine percent of women and 38 percent of men age 15-49 were tested for HIV in the year preceding the survey and received the test results. This is a notable increase since the 2006-07 NDHS, when the corresponding percentages were 29 percent and 18 percent.
- Young women age 15-24 who have had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months are much more likely than their male counterparts in the same age group to have been tested for HIV and to have received the results of their test (58 percent versus 39 percent).
- The majority of HIV testing occurs at public health facilities ( 84 percent of women and 76 percent of men age 15-64).
- Only 61 percent of women and 37 percent of men age 15-49 who tested HIV positive in the 2013 NDHS reported that they were HIV positive based on previous testing.
- Among women age 15-64 who tested positive for HIV in the 2013 NDHS, only slightly more than half (51 percent) reported they are HIV positive and are currently taking ARVs.
- Ninety-four percent of women had an HIV test either during antenatal care or during labour and received the results for their most recent birth.

TIhis chapter presents information related to prior HIV testing and treatment among 2013 NDHS respondents and provides insight into the coverage of HIV programmes in Namibia. The Namibian government has instituted programmes that provide voluntary counselling and HIV testing to the country's general population and, specifically, pregnant women. Also, programmes are in place, in which drugs that suppress opportunistic infections (e.g., cotrimoxazole) and anti-retroviral drugs are provided to people living with HIV when their condition warrants such treatment. Finally, the government encourages safe medical circumcision of men, based on research indicating that it reduces the risk of HIV acquisition.

### 16.1 Coverage of HIV Testing Services

Knowledge of HIV status is important for helping individuals decide to adopt safer sex practices to reduce the risk of becoming infected or transmitting HIV. For those who are HIV-positive, knowledge of their HIV status allows them to take measures to protect their sexual partners and to access treatment services. To assess awareness and coverage of prior HIV testing behaviour, respondents were asked if they knew where to get an HIV test and whether they had ever been tested for HIV. If they said they had been tested for HIV, respondents were asked if they had received the results of their last test. Tables 16.1.1 and 16.1.2 present information on prior testing among women and men, respectively.

Overall, 97 percent of women age 15-49 and 95 percent of women age 50-64 know a place where they can get an HIV test (Table 16.1.1). Women age 15-19 (93 percent) and those who have not yet initiated sexual activity ( 91 percent) are less likely than other women to know of a place to obtain an HIV test. Knowledge of a place to obtain an HIV test increases with increasing education, from 89 percent among women with no education to 99 percent among those with a secondary education or higher. There is little variation by residence, region, or wealth.

More than eight in ten women age 15-49 in Namibia (81 percent) have been tested for HIV. This percentage is notably lower among women age 50-64 (68 percent). Only 2 percent of women age 15-49 and 1 percent of those age 50-64 have been tested for HIV and did not receive the test results.

The percentage of women who have been tested for HIV is higher among those age 25-39, those currently or previously married, those in urban areas, and those in Oshana. The likelihood of women having been tested for HIV increases with increasing education. Women in the highest wealth quintile are less likely to have been tested ( 77 percent) than women in the lowest four quintiles (81-84 percent).

About half of women age 15-49 (49 percent) and about three in ten women age 50-64 (27 percent) had been tested in the past 12 months and received the results of their last test.

Table 16.1.2 shows that 94 percent of men know where to get an HIV test. Variations by background characteristics are similar to those observed for women. More than six in ten men age 15-49 (63 percent) and more than seven in ten of those age 50-64 (71 percent) have been tested for HIV. A small proportion of men age 15-64 have been tested for HIV and did not receive the results (2-3 percent).

The percentage of men age 15-49 who have been tested for HIV is highest among those age 30-39 (81 percent) and those currently married (82 percent). Men in urban areas ( 71 percent) are much more likely than those in rural areas ( 53 percent) to have ever been tested for HIV. By region, this percentage ranges from 47 percent in Omusati to 74 percent each in Erongo and Khomas. The percentage of men who have been tested for HIV generally increases with increasing education and wealth. For example, 57 percent of men with no education have been tested for HIV, as compared with 86 percent of men with more than a secondary education.

Thirty-eight percent of men age 15-49 and 31 percent of those age $50-64$ had been tested in the past 12 months and received the results of their last test.

Coverage of HIV testing has shown a remarkable increase in the last six years, from 55 percent of women and 34 percent of men age 15-49 in the 2006-07 NDHS survey to 81 percent and 63 percent, respectively, in 2013.

Percentage of women age $15-49$ who know where to get an HIV test, percent distribution of women age $15-49$ by testing status and by whether they received the results of the last test, the percentage of women ever tested, and the percentage of women age 15-49 who were tested in the past 12 months and received the results of the last test, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage who know where to get an HIV test | Percent distribution of women by testing status and by whether they received the results of the last test |  |  | Total | Percentage ever tested | Percentage who have been tested for HIV in the past 12 months and received the results of the last test | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ever tested and received results | Ever tested, did not receive results | Never tested ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 95.4 | 62.0 | 1.9 | 36.1 | 100.0 | 63.9 | 43.4 | 3,691 |
| 15-19 | 93.2 | 41.7 | 1.7 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 43.4 | 28.5 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 97.7 | 83.6 | 2.1 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 59.3 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 98.5 | 93.4 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 61.9 | 1,489 |
| 30-39 | 98.7 | 91.5 | 2.4 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 54.6 | 2,370 |
| 40-49 | 98.6 | 87.6 | 2.5 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 90.2 | 42.5 | 1,625 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 96.8 | 72.4 | 1.7 | 25.9 | 100.0 | 74.1 | 47.6 | 5,458 |
| Ever had sex | 98.6 | 86.3 | 1.9 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 88.2 | 57.5 | 4,155 |
| Never had sex | 91.0 | 28.1 | 1.1 | 70.8 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 16.1 | 1,304 |
| Married/living together | 98.0 | 89.0 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 91.9 | 51.4 | 3,121 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 99.1 | 90.8 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 93.5 | 51.1 | 597 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.3 | 80.5 | 2.0 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 82.6 | 49.7 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 96.1 | 77.6 | 2.4 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 48.4 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 96.7 | 78.6 | 4.7 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 49.2 | 457 |
| Erongo | 98.3 | 82.7 | 1.2 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 83.9 | 50.2 | 771 |
| Hardap | 97.7 | 74.2 | 2.0 | 23.8 | 100.0 | 76.2 | 41.3 | 304 |
| //Karas | 99.2 | 80.7 | 2.8 | 16.5 | 100.0 | 83.5 | 49.8 | 343 |
| Kavango | 96.1 | 79.7 | 4.5 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 84.1 | 52.9 | 835 |
| Khomas | 98.2 | 79.2 | 1.9 | 18.9 | 100.0 | 81.1 | 47.7 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 94.8 | 79.9 | 1.8 | 18.3 | 100.0 | 81.7 | 49.8 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 96.1 | 79.6 | 1.7 | 18.6 | 100.0 | 81.4 | 53.1 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 95.3 | 81.6 | 2.1 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 50.3 | 225 |
| Omusati | 96.9 | 74.2 | 0.7 | 25.1 | 100.0 | 74.9 | 46.3 | 884 |
| Oshana | 98.9 | 84.9 | 1.3 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 51.4 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 98.0 | 79.6 | 2.5 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 82.2 | 50.4 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 95.3 | 74.5 | 2.8 | 22.6 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 44.3 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 88.8 | 73.3 | 4.1 | 22.6 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 40.0 | 419 |
| Primary | 94.4 | 75.0 | 2.8 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 42.6 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 98.6 | 80.2 | 1.9 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 82.1 | 51.0 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 98.7 | 83.9 | 2.3 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 53.9 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 95.9 | 77.7 | 3.6 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 48.8 | 1,429 |
| Second | 96.0 | 80.2 | 2.5 | 17.3 | 100.0 | 82.7 | 50.4 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 97.7 | 82.0 | 1.7 | 16.4 | 100.0 | 83.6 | 53.3 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 98.1 | 81.4 | 1.5 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 82.9 | 51.0 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 98.2 | 75.2 | 2.2 | 22.6 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 43.3 | 2,211 |
| Total 15-49 | 97.3 | 79.2 | 2.2 | 18.6 | 100.0 | 81.4 | 49.1 | 9,176 |
| 50-64 | 95.0 | 67.0 | 1.3 | 31.7 | 100.0 | 68.3 | 26.8 | 797 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes "don't know/missing"

Percentage of men age 15-49 who know where to get an HIV test, percent distribution of men age 15-49 by testing status and by whether they received the results of the last test, the percentage of men ever tested, and the percentage of men age 15-49 who were tested in the past 12 months and received the results of the last test, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage who know where to get an HIV test | Percent distribution of men by testing status and by whether they received the results of the last test |  |  | Total | Percentage ever tested | Percentage who have been tested for HIV in the past 12 months and received the results of the last test | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ever tested and received results | Ever tested, did not receive results | Never tested ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 90.9 | 39.9 | 1.3 | 58.8 | 100.0 | 41.2 | 26.1 | 1,730 |
| 15-19 | 86.9 | 24.6 | 1.2 | 74.2 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 13.9 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 95.5 | 57.3 | 1.5 | 41.2 | 100.0 | 58.8 | 40.0 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 96.3 | 75.1 | 2.7 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 47.5 | 658 |
| 30-39 | 97.1 | 79.3 | 2.1 | 18.6 | 100.0 | 81.4 | 50.9 | 968 |
| 40-49 | 97.3 | 76.5 | 3.1 | 20.5 | 100.0 | 79.5 | 41.7 | 665 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 93.3 | 53.2 | 1.8 | 45.0 | 100.0 | 55.0 | 34.3 | 2,745 |
| Ever had sex | 96.4 | 63.7 | 1.8 | 34.5 | 100.0 | 65.5 | 42.0 | 2,122 |
| Never had sex | 82.7 | 17.7 | 1.7 | 80.6 | 100.0 | 19.4 | 8.1 | 623 |
| Married/living together | 97.1 | 79.1 | 2.8 | 18.1 | 100.0 | 81.9 | 46.5 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 91.6 | 69.8 | 0.3 | 29.8 | 100.0 | 70.2 | 45.7 | 116 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.2 | 69.0 | 1.9 | 29.0 | 100.0 | 71.0 | 44.3 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 90.6 | 50.9 | 2.2 | 46.9 | 100.0 | 53.1 | 30.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 98.1 | 59.6 | 2.1 | 38.3 | 100.0 | 61.7 | 31.0 | 218 |
| Erongo | 97.3 | 71.2 | 2.7 | 26.0 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 46.7 | 372 |
| Hardap | 97.5 | 66.4 | 2.5 | 31.1 | 100.0 | 68.9 | 32.0 | 152 |
| //Karas | 91.8 | 52.8 | 3.9 | 43.3 | 100.0 | 56.7 | 33.7 | 151 |
| Kavango | 85.9 | 46.2 | 2.9 | 50.9 | 100.0 | 49.1 | 31.4 | 316 |
| Khomas | 97.9 | 72.4 | 1.9 | 25.7 | 100.0 | 74.3 | 47.0 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 91.2 | 54.4 | 0.7 | 44.9 | 100.0 | 55.1 | 30.3 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 92.2 | 57.2 | 0.8 | 42.0 | 100.0 | 58.0 | 36.0 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 94.7 | 64.9 | 2.8 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 67.7 | 44.1 | 103 |
| Omusati | 91.0 | 45.7 | 1.7 | 52.6 | 100.0 | 47.4 | 26.2 | 342 |
| Oshana | 97.0 | 62.8 | 1.2 | 35.9 | 100.0 | 64.1 | 38.9 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 90.8 | 49.9 | 2.5 | 47.6 | 100.0 | 52.4 | 30.2 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 92.3 | 63.4 | 1.6 | 35.0 | 100.0 | 65.0 | 39.9 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 84.2 | 54.4 | 2.1 | 43.5 | 100.0 | 56.5 | 36.5 | 310 |
| Primary | 89.4 | 51.1 | 2.3 | 46.6 | 100.0 | 53.4 | 29.4 | 944 |
| Secondary | 96.9 | 62.8 | 1.7 | 35.5 | 100.0 | 64.5 | 39.9 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 98.8 | 82.2 | 3.6 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 85.8 | 50.2 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 88.9 | 48.9 | 2.0 | 49.1 | 100.0 | 50.9 | 27.9 | 594 |
| Second | 91.4 | 54.9 | 1.9 | 43.3 | 100.0 | 56.7 | 34.8 | 769 |
| Middle | 94.5 | 59.6 | 1.7 | 38.7 | 100.0 | 61.3 | 37.4 | 886 |
| Fourth | 96.9 | 67.8 | 1.7 | 30.6 | 100.0 | 69.4 | 44.4 | 917 |
| Highest | 97.8 | 70.0 | 2.9 | 27.0 | 100.0 | 73.0 | 42.4 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 94.3 | 61.2 | 2.0 | 36.8 | 100.0 | 63.2 | 38.1 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 93.5 | 67.9 | 3.0 | 29.1 | 100.0 | 70.9 | 31.1 | 460 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes "don't know/missing"

### 16.2 HIV Testing among Youth

Obtaining an HIV test can be more difficult for youth than for adults because many youth lack experience or face barriers in accessing health services. Table 16.2 shows that 80 percent of young women and 55 percent of young men age 15-24 who were sexually active in the 12 months before the survey have been tested for HIV and received the results.

The percentage of young women and men who have been tested for HIV and received the test results increases steadily with age and peaks among those age 23-24 ( 91 percent of women and 72 percent of men). Ever-married youth are more likely to have had an HIV test and received the results than those who have never been married. Young women and men in urban areas are more likely to have been tested for HIV than their rural counterparts, the gap being much more pronounced among young men (62 percent
versus 47 percent). The percentage of young women and men who have been tested and received the results increases with increasing education. For example, only 67 percent of young women with no education have been tested for HIV and received the results, as compared with 85 percent of those with more than a secondary education.

Table 16.2 further shows that 58 percent of sexually active young women and 39 percent of sexually active young men had been tested for HIV in the past 12 months and received the results of their last test. Differentials by background characteristics are similar to those observed with respect to the percentage of young women and men who had ever been tested and received the results.

| Table 16.2 Recent HIV tests among youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among young women and young men age 15-24 who have had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, the percentage who were tested for HIV in the past 12 months and received the results of the last test, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Women age 15-24 who have had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months: |  |  | Men age 15-24 who have had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months: |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Percentage who have ever been tested for HIV and received results | Percentage who have been tested for HIV in the past 12 months and received the results of the last test | Number of women | Percentage who have ever been tested for HIV and received results | Percentage who have been tested for HIV in the past 12 months and received the results of the last test | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 64.7 | 48.4 | 719 | 41.5 | 27.6 | 304 |
| 15-17 | 52.7 | 39.3 | 279 | 41.9 | 27.2 | 119 |
| 18-19 | 72.3 | 54.1 | 441 | 41.2 | 27.8 | 185 |
| 20-24 | 87.2 | 63.1 | 1,402 | 61.2 | 43.6 | 638 |
| 20-22 | 85.0 | 60.3 | 854 | 53.6 | 36.9 | 371 |
| 23-24 | 90.6 | 67.4 | 548 | 71.8 | 53.0 | 267 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 77.3 | 58.1 | 1,639 | 54.4 | 37.9 | 856 |
| Ever married | 87.2 | 58.1 | 481 | 59.2 | 44.3 | 86 |
| Knows condom source ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 80.5 | 58.9 | 2,032 | 55.2 | 38.8 | 928 |
| No | 57.4 | 39.5 | 89 | * | * | 14 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 80.4 | 59.2 | 1,225 | 61.7 | 44.8 | 511 |
| Rural | 78.4 | 56.5 | 896 | 46.7 | 30.9 | 431 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 67.4 | 41.5 | 52 | 31.9 | 19.8 | 42 |
| Primary | 70.2 | 49.2 | 334 | 38.5 | 23.1 | 169 |
| Secondary | 81.2 | 60.2 | 1,478 | 59.5 | 42.2 | 656 |
| More than secondary | 84.9 | 60.9 | 257 | 63.8 | 51.1 | 75 |
| Total 15-24 | 79.6 | 58.1 | 2,121 | 54.8 | 38.5 | 942 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ For this table, the following responses are not considered a source for condoms: friends, family members, and home.

### 16.3 Couple Counselling and Testing

Respondents who indicated that they had been tested for HIV were asked whether they received HIV counselling and testing individually or as a couple. Those who received individual HIV counselling and testing were asked if they would consider counselling and testing as a couple in the future. Results are shown in Tables 16.3.1 and 16.3.2.

Among women and men age 15-49 who have ever been tested for HIV and who ever had sex, onefourth (25 percent each) received HIV counselling and testing as a couple. Respondents age 15-19 (17 percent of women and 9 percent of men) were the least likely to have been counselled and tested as a couple (Table 16.3.1). As expected, currently married women and men were most likely to have been counselled and tested as a couple ( 32 percent of women and 38 percent of men). Urban women were slightly more likely to have been counselled and tested as a couple than those in rural areas ( 26 percent versus 23 percent). Among women, the percentage who received counselling and testing as a couple ranged from 20 percent in Ohangwena to 30 percent in Omaheke. Among men, the percentage was lowest
in Hardap (17 percent) and highest in Omusati ( 36 percent). Women with more than a secondary education ( 30 percent) and those in the highest wealth quintile ( 28 percent) were most likely to have been counselled and tested as a couple. Differentials by education and wealth did not follow a clear pattern among men.

Table 16.3.2 shows that a large majority of respondents age 15-49 who received HIV counselling and testing individually reported that they would consider HIV counselling and testing as a couple in the future (88 percent of women and 90 percent of men, respectively). This percentage tends to decrease with age among both women and men. It is highest among never-married women and men who have ever had sex ( 89 percent and 92 percent, respectively) and among urban respondents ( 91 percent each). The percentage of women who would consider HIV counselling and testing as a couple in the future ranges from 73 percent in Omusati to 97 percent in Otjozondjupa, while among men it is lowest in Kavango (57 percent) and highest in Omaheke and Oshana ( 98 percent each). This percentage is highest among women and men with more than secondary education ( 94 percent and 95 percent, respectively) and among women in the highest wealth quintile and men in the highest two wealth quintiles ( 93 percent each).

Among women and men age 50-64 who were tested individually, 59 percent and 88 percent, respectively, would consider HIV counselling and testing as a couple in the future.

Table 16.3.1 Couple counselling and testing
Among women and men age 15-49 who have who ever had sex and who have ever been tested for HIV, percentage who received HIV counselling and testing as a couple, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who received HIV counselling and testing as a couple | Number | Percentage who received HIV counselling and testing as a couple | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 21.4 | 2,027 | 12.4 | 608 |
| 15-19 | 17.1 | 577 | 8.7 | 153 |
| 20-24 | 23.1 | 1,450 | 13.7 | 455 |
| 25-29 | 26.4 | 1,404 | 26.1 | 501 |
| 30-34 | 24.4 | 1,175 | 27.4 | 414 |
| 35-39 | 27.3 | 1,024 | 33.4 | 367 |
| 40-44 | 27.6 | 835 | 33.6 | 302 |
| 45-49 | 24.2 | 608 | 31.3 | 218 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 19.6 | 3,666 | 17.0 | 1,390 |
| Married/living together | 32.4 | 2,853 | 38.3 | 939 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 18.6 | 555 | 20.3 | 82 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.7 | 4,067 | 25.3 | 1,569 |
| Rural | 23.4 | 3,007 | 25.6 | 841 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 27.4 | 370 | 35.1 | 131 |
| Erongo | 27.5 | 619 | 29.9 | 267 |
| Hardap | 27.8 | 225 | 17.4 | 101 |
| //Karas | 25.1 | 274 | 24.3 | 82 |
| Kavango | 20.4 | 682 | 24.5 | 145 |
| Khomas | 26.9 | 1,701 | 21.6 | 740 |
| Kunene | 20.3 | 209 | 23.8 | 56 |
| Ohangwena | 19.7 | 677 | 26.6 | 171 |
| Omaheke | 29.5 | 183 | 31.1 | 69 |
| Omusati | 25.6 | 612 | 35.6 | 133 |
| Oshana | 21.1 | 593 | 27.1 | 197 |
| Oshikoto | 27.4 | 530 | 18.9 | 168 |
| Otjozondjupa | 23.2 | 399 | 27.4 | 149 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.3 | 321 | 30.7 | 173 |
| Primary | 22.8 | 1,342 | 24.0 | 465 |
| Secondary | 24.6 | 4,658 | 24.8 | 1,473 |
| More than secondary | 29.7 | 754 | 27.5 | 300 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 23.5 | 1,104 | 26.3 | 281 |
| Second | 22.8 | 1,279 | 24.1 | 419 |
| Middle | 23.5 | 1,436 | 28.1 | 509 |
| Fourth | 24.9 | 1,665 | 25.2 | 610 |
| Highest | 28.0 | 1,590 | 23.8 | 590 |
| Total 15-49 | 24.7 | 7,074 | 25.4 | 2,410 |
| 50-64 | 19.6 | 541 | 28.7 | 320 |

Table 16.3.2 Consideration of couple counselling and testing in the future
Among women and men age 15-49 who have ever been tested for HIV and who were tested individually, percentage who would consider HIV counselling and testing as a couple in the future, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who would consider HIV counselling and testing as couple in future | Number | Percentage who would consider HIV counselling and testing as couple in future | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 88.2 | 1,904 | 85.2 | 635 |
| 15-19 | 82.7 | 718 | 76.3 | 225 |
| 20-24 | 91.6 | 1,186 | 90.2 | 410 |
| 25-29 | 92.8 | 1,045 | 93.0 | 381 |
| 30-34 | 91.7 | 888 | 91.6 | 301 |
| 35-39 | 88.8 | 755 | 90.4 | 248 |
| 40-44 | 85.7 | 614 | 92.7 | 202 |
| 45-49 | 74.4 | 471 | 94.0 | 155 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 86.2 | 3,294 | 89.1 | 1,273 |
| Ever had sex | 88.8 | 2,927 | 91.6 | 1,153 |
| Never had sex | 65.0 | 366 | 65.2 | 120 |
| Married/living together | 95.0 | 1,929 | 92.9 | 583 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 75.0 | 455 | 80.0 | 65 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 90.9 | 3,210 | 91.4 | 1,214 |
| Rural | 84.8 | 2,468 | 87.5 | 707 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 96.4 | 274 | 93.9 | 87 |
| Erongo | 92.9 | 476 | 94.5 | 194 |
| Hardap | 86.7 | 167 | 96.0 | 87 |
| //Karas | 94.4 | 218 | 92.1 | 66 |
| Kavango | 87.2 | 563 | 56.5 | 115 |
| Khomas | 91.1 | 1,312 | 90.5 | 601 |
| Kunene | 94.8 | 167 | 94.9 | 44 |
| Ohangwena | 80.9 | 593 | 90.2 | 145 |
| Omaheke | 87.2 | 132 | 98.0 | 48 |
| Omusati | 73.3 | 502 | 74.5 | 115 |
| Oshana | 86.3 | 517 | 97.7 | 161 |
| Oshikoto | 89.9 | 434 | 96.9 | 144 |
| Otjozondjupa | 96.5 | 323 | 94.3 | 115 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 84.8 | 250 | 85.8 | 122 |
| Primary | 83.3 | 1,089 | 88.5 | 391 |
| Secondary | 89.2 | 3,768 | 90.0 | 1,179 |
| More than secondary | 93.5 | 570 | 94.5 | 229 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 83.4 | 898 | 86.6 | 228 |
| Second | 85.3 | 1,046 | 85.6 | 334 |
| Middle | 88.9 | 1,159 | 87.3 | 398 |
| Fourth | 89.3 | 1,328 | 93.2 | 481 |
| Highest | 92.5 | 1,247 | 93.4 | 479 |
| Total 15-49 | 88.3 | 5,678 | 89.9 | 1,921 |
| 50-64 | 58.8 | 438 | 87.9 | 233 |

### 16.4 Place of Last Hiv Test

Table 16.4 shows the place where women and men age 15-64 who had been tested for HIV received their last test. The majority of respondents ( 84 percent of women and 76 percent of men) were tested at a public sector facility; only 14 percent of women and 19 percent of men were tested at a private sector facility.

With respect to specific types of public facilities, 37 percent of women and 40 percent of men were tested in a government hospital, and 39 percent of women and 21 percent of men were tested in a government primary health care clinic. In the private sector, women (10 percent) and men (13 percent) were most likely to have received their last test in a private hospital, clinic, or doctor's office.

### 16.5 HIV Prevalence by Prior Hiv Test Results

Respondents who said that they had ever been tested for HIV were asked to provide the result of their last HIV test. Tables 16.5 .1 and 16.5 .2 show the percentage of respondents age $15-49$ and $50-64$, respectively, who tested positive in the 2013 NDHS, according to their self-reported HIV status.

Among respondents age 15-49 who were previously tested and who reported that their last HIV test result was positive, 91 percent of women and 84 percent of men tested positive in the 2013 NDHS. Among respondents age 50-64, the respective percentages were 90 percent and 86 percent. This means that 9-10 percent of women and 14-16 percent of men who reported in the interview that they were HIVpositive had negative or indeterminate HIV test results in the 2013 NDHS. The possible reasons for these differences cannot be fully explained without further investigation. A combination of false positives with regard to previous testing and false negatives with regard to testing in the 2013 NDHS may have contributed to the differences among these respondents. Due to the high sensitivity and specificity of the HIV tests used, this is likely to be a small number of cases. However, these possibilities are hypotheses and cannot be verified because of the limitations of anonymous testing within the context of a large-scale, population-based survey, which does not allow for follow-up interviews and subsequent HIV testing among respondents that would elicit additional information.

Seven percent of women and 8 percent of men age 15-49 who reported that their last HIV test result prior to the survey was negative tested HIV positive in the 2013 NDHS. These percentages were 6 percent and 8 percent, respectively, among women and men age 50-64. There are a few possible reasons that could explain this difference. First, respondents could have seroconverted since their last HIV test. Second, respondents could have knowingly reported a false negative HIV status due to discomfort about disclosing that they are HIV positive to the survey interviewer. Third, respondents could have received a false negative on their prior HIV test or a false positive on their NDHS test. The likelihood of the third possibility is very small given the high sensitivity and specificity of HIV tests. The proportion of respondents who seroconverted between their last HIV test and the survey is also likely to be small given the estimated incidence rates of HIV and the relatively short duration between the date of respondents' last HIV test and the 2013 NDHS. Again, these are only hypotheses that are difficult to verify without further follow-up interviews and subsequent HIV testing.

Table 16.5.1 also shows that 35 percent of women and 21 percent of men age 15-49 who declined to disclose their status or who reported that their last HIV test result was indeterminate, or for whom privacy was not obtained, had positive HIV test results in the 2013 NDHS.

Table 16.5.1 HIV prevalence by self-reported prior HIV testing: Respondents 15-49
Among women and men age 15-49 who were tested in the 2013 NDHS, the percentage who tested positive for HIV in the 2013 NDHS, by prior testing for HIV and self-reported HIV status, Namibia 2013

| Self-reported HIV status | Women |  | Men |  | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |  |  |
| Previously tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Received results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive | 90.7 | 456 | 84.0 | 175 | 88.8 | 630 |
| Negative | 7.3 | 2,713 | 8.0 | 1,951 | 7.6 | 4,664 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ | 34.9 | 69 | 21.1 | 110 | 26.4 | 180 |
| Did not receive results | 21.3 | 98 | 14.1 | 79 | 18.1 | 178 |
| Not previously tested | 4.0 | 694 | 4.7 | 1,365 | 4.5 | 2,058 |
| Total 15-49 | 16.9 | 4,051 | 10.9 | 3,680 | 14.0 | 7,731 |

Note: Total includes 21 women with missing information on prior HIV testing.
${ }^{1}$ Includes respondents who reported their test result as indeterminate, respondents who declined to disclose their test result, missing responses, and respondents for whom privacy was not obtained to ask the question on result of last HIV test

Table 16.5.2 HIV prevalence by self-reported prior HIV testing: Respondents age 50-64
Among women and men age 50-64 who were tested in the 2013 NDHS, the percentage who tested positive for HIV in the 2013 NDHS, by prior testing for HIV and self-reported HIV status, Namibia 2013

| Self-reported HIV status | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number | Percentage HIV positive | Number |
| Previously tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Received results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive | 90.2 | 83 | (86.2) | 44 | 88.8 | 126 |
| Negative | 5.6 | 371 | 8.3 | 239 | 6.7 | 610 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ | * | 6 | * | 9 | * | 15 |
| Did not receive result of last test | * | 10 | * | 14 | (18.1) | 24 |
| Not previously tested | 7.0 | 219 | 2.7 | 132 | 5.4 | 351 |
| Total 50-64 | 16.7 | 689 | 16.0 | 438 | 16.4 | 1,127 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Includes respondents who reported their test result as indeterminate, respondents who declined to disclose their test result, missing responses, and respondents for whom privacy was not obtained to ask the question on result of last HIV test

Tables 16.6.1 and 16.6.2 show the percent distribution of HIV positive and HIV negative women and men age 15-49 and 50-64, respectively, by self-reported HIV status. Tables 16.6.1 and 16.6.2 differ from Tables 16.5.1 and 16.5 .2 in that the denominators and numerators represent different groups of people. In Tables 16.5.1 and 16.5.2, the numerators include the number of respondents who are HIV positive, and the denominators include the number of respondents in the various categories of prior HIV testing and self-reported test results. For example, as mentioned above, among women age 15-49 who selfreported their HIV status as positive, 91 percent are HIV positive according to the 2013 NDHS testing. In Tables 16.6 .1 and 16.6.2, the denominators are respondents who are HIV positive or HIV negative based on the 2013 NDHS testing, and the numerators include the number of respondents in the various prior HIV testing categories.

Table 16.6 .1 shows that 61 percent of women and 37 percent of men age 15-49 who tested positive in the 2013 NDHS actually reported that they were HIV positive based on prior testing. These percentages are somewhat higher among women and men age $50-64$ ( 65 percent and 54 percent, respectively) (Table 16.6.2). Among women and men age 15-49 who were HIV positive according to the 2013 NDHS testing, 29 percent and 39 percent, respectively, reported that they had been tested for HIV prior to the survey and that the result of their last HIV test was negative. The proportions for women and
men age 50-64 were 18 percent and 28 percent, respectively. It is possible that some respondents knew they were HIV positive but were unwilling to disclose their status to the interviewer. Other possible explanations for this discrepancy between self-reported and actual HIV status include some respondents seroconversion since the most recent HIV test, receiving a false negative result on the prior HIV test, or receiving a false positive result on the 2013 NDHS test. These explanations, however, are only possibilities that can be neither ruled out nor verified.

Remarkably, only four percent of HIV-positive women and 16 percent of HIV-positive men age 15-49 reported that they had never been tested for HIV prior to the survey. Among respondents age 50-64, the respective percentages were 13 percent and 5 percent.

Among HIV-negative women age 15-49, 75 percent had had an HIV test with a negative result, and 20 percent had never been tested for HIV prior to the survey; among women age 50-64, these percentages were 61 percent and 36 percent, respectively. Fifty-five percent of HIV-negative men age 1549 and 60 percent of those age 50-64 had had an HIV test with a negative result. An additional 40 percent of HIV-negative men age 15-49 and 35 percent of HIV-negative men age 50-64 had not been previously tested.

Table 16.6.1 Prior HIV testing by current HIV status: Respondents 15-49
Percent distribution of women and men age $15-49$ by self-reported HIV status, according to HIV status from the 2013 NDHS HIV test result, Namibia 2013

| Self-reported HIV status | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HIV positive | HIV negative | HIV positive | HIV negative | HIV positive | HIV negative |
| Previously tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Received results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive | 60.5 | 1.3 | 36.6 | 0.9 | 51.6 | 1.1 |
| Negative | 28.8 | 74.7 | 38.8 | 54.7 | 32.5 | 64.9 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ | 3.5 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.0 |
| Did not receive results | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.2 |
| Not previously tested | 4.1 | 19.8 | 16.0 | 39.7 | 8.5 | 29.6 |
| Total 15-49 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 683 | 3,367 | 401 | 3,279 | 1,085 | 6,646 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes respondents who reported their test result as indeterminate, respondents who declined to disclose their test result, missing responses, and respondents for whom privacy was not obtained to ask the question on result of last HIV test

Table 16.6.2 Prior HIV testing by current HIV status: Respondents 50-64
Percent distribution of women and men age 50-64 by self-reported HIV status, according to HIV status from the 2013 NDHS HIV test result, Namibia 2013

| Self-reported HIV status | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HIV positive | HIV negative | HIV positive | HIV negative | HIV positive | HIV negative |
| Previously tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Received results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive | 64.9 | 1.4 | 53.7 | 1.6 | 60.6 | 1.5 |
| Negative | 18.1 | 61.0 | 28.2 | 59.7 | 21.9 | 60.5 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ | 2.9 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 0.7 |
| Did not receive results | 0.9 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| Not previously tested | 13.2 | 35.5 | 5.1 | 34.9 | 10.1 | 35.2 |
| Total 50-64 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 115 | 574 | 70 | 368 | 185 | 942 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes respondents who reported their test result as indeterminate, respondents who declined to disclose their test result, missing responses, and respondents for whom privacy was not obtained to ask the question on result of last HIV test

Given the amount of discordance between the 2013 NDHS HIV test results and the self-reported information on HIV status among respondents who said they had been tested prior to the survey and knew their test result, and the high percentage of respondents who have been tested within the 12 months
preceding the survey (see Tables 16.1.1 and 16.1.2) leaving little time for seroconversion, the information on self-reported HIV status in the 2013 NDHS should be interpreted with caution.

### 16.6 Self-Reported Use of Antiretroviral Medications (ARVs)

In the 2013 NDHS, respondents who reported that the result of their last HIV test was positive were asked whether they were taking ARVs daily at the time of the survey. Table 16.7 presents the percentage of women age 15-64 who have been previously tested for HIV and received the result of their last test and the percent distribution of women who have been tested for HIV and received the test results by the self-reported result of their last HIV test. It also shows the percentage of women who reported that they are HIV positive and that they were taking ARVs daily at the time of the survey.

It can be seen in Table 16.7 that 79 percent of women age 15-49 and 95 percent of women age 5064 who reported they are HIV positive are currently taking ARVs. As shown in the bottom half of the table, the results on self-reported ARV use among the subsample of respondents who were eligible for and participated in the 2014 NDHS HIV test are similar to those for the entire survey. However, as presented in Tables 16.6 .1 and 16.6.2, the group with self-reported positive results accounts for only 61-65 percent of all women who tested positive for HIV in the survey.

Table 16.7 Self-reported HIV status and ARV use: Women
Percentage of women age 15-64 who have ever been tested for HIV and received the result of their last test, percent distribution of women who have ever been tested for HIV and received the test results by the self-reported result of the last HIV test, and among women who reported that they are HIV positive, the percentage who were taking ARVs daily at the time of the survey, according to age, Namibia 2013

|  | Among all women |  | Among women who have ever been tested for HIV and received the result of the last HIV test: |  |  |  | Number | Among respondents who reported that they were HIV positive: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Ever tested for HIV and received the result of the last test | Number | Positive | Negative | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Total |  | Percentage currently taking ARVs daily | Number |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49 | 79.2 | 9,176 | 12.6 | 84.4 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 7,271 | 79.3 | 918 |
| 50-64 | 67.0 | 797 | 17.0 | 81.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 534 | 95.4 | 91 |
| WOMEN TESTED FOR HIV IN THE 2013 NDHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49 | 79.9 | 4,051 | 14.1 | 83.8 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 3,238 | 80.0 | 456 |
| 50-64 | 66.7 | 689 | 18.0 | 80.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 460 | 95.5 | 83 |

ARV = antiretroviral
${ }^{1}$ Includes respondents who reported their test result as indeterminate, respondents who declined to disclose their test result, missing responses, and respondents for whom privacy was not obtained to ask the question on result of last HIV test

Figure 16.1 presents the percent distribution of all women age 15-64 who tested positive for HIV in the 2013 NDHS according to their self-reported HIV status and current ARV use. The figure shows that, among women age 15-64 who had a positive HIV test result in the 2013 NDHS, only slightly more than half (51 percent) are currently taking ARVs. If some respondents knew they were HIV positive and were taking ARVs but did not report that they were HIV positive during the interview, then they would be misclassified as non-users, and the percentage of HIV-positive women taking ARVs could be underestimated.

Figure 16.1 Self-reported ARV use and HIV status among HIV-positive women age 15-64


* Includes women who reported their test result as indeterminate, women who declined to disclose their test result, missing responses, and women for whom privacy was not obtained to ask the question on result of last HIV test


### 16.7 HIV Testing during Pregnancy

Table 16.8 presents information on HIV screening during pregnancy among women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey. This service is a key tool in reducing HIV transmission from mother to child. According to Table 16.8, 83 percent of women who gave birth during the two years preceding the survey received HIV counselling during antenatal care (ANC) visits (i.e., someone talked with the respondent about all three of the following topics: (1) babies getting the AIDS virus from their mother, (2) preventing the virus, and (3) getting tested for the virus). More than eight in ten women who were tested for HIV received the test results and post-test counselling ( 82 percent), and about one in ten (11 percent) received the results but did not receive post-test counselling. Less than 1 percent of women were tested for HIV during an antenatal care visit but did not receive their test results.

Eighty-one percent of women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey received preand post-test counselling on HIV, an HIV test during ANC, and the test results. Women age 25-29 (87 percent) and never-married women ( 84 percent) are more likely than other women to have been counselled and tested for HIV during ANC and to have received the test results. This percentage increases with increasing education, from 57 percent among women with no education to 84 percent among those with a secondary education or higher. Wealth does not appear to have a clear relationship with counselling and testing for HIV during ANC among women with a birth in the two years preceding the survey.

Ninety-four percent of women had an HIV test either during antenatal care or during labour for their most recent birth and received the results, and 87 percent of women received the test results and disclosed them to their partner. Forty-five percent of women who received ANC for their last birth in the past two years reported that their partner was tested for HIV during any of their ANC visits.

Table 16.8 Pregnant women counselled and tested for HIV
Among all women age 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey, the percentage who received HIV counselling, the percentage who received an HIV test during antenatal care for their most recent birth by whether they received their results and pre- and post-test counselling, and percentage who received an HIV test at the time during ANC or labour for their most recent birth by whether they received their test results, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage who received counselling on HIV during antenatal care ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who were tested for HIV during antenatal care and who: |  |  | Percentage who received counselling on HIV and an HIV test during ANC, and the results | Percentage who had an HIV test during ANC or labour and who: ${ }^{2}$ |  | Received results and disclosed them to their partner | Number of women who gave birth in the past two years ${ }^{3}$ | Percentage of women who received ANC care for their last birth in the past two years whose partner was tested for HIV during any of the ANC visits | Number of women who received ANC care for their last birth in the past two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Received results and received pre- and post-test counselling | Received results and did not receive pre- and post-test counselling | Did not receive results |  | Received results | Did not receive results |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 79.8 | 77.8 | 14.1 | 1.4 | 77.9 | 93.2 | 1.2 | 83.8 | 704 | 40.5 | 681 |
| 15-19 | 73.7 | 76.0 | 16.6 | 1.9 | 71.6 | 94.4 | 1.9 | 82.6 | 209 | 34.4 | 201 |
| 20-24 | 82.4 | 78.6 | 13.0 | 1.2 | 80.6 | 92.7 | 1.0 | 84.3 | 496 | 43.0 | 481 |
| 25-29 | 87.9 | 83.9 | 11.0 | 0.7 | 87.0 | 95.8 | 0.7 | 90.3 | 497 | 48.3 | 486 |
| 30-39 | 83.4 | 84.5 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 81.7 | 94.1 | 0.1 | 88.8 | 631 | 46.4 | 608 |
| 40-49 | 79.4 | 84.1 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 77.3 | 93.2 | 0.7 | 87.7 | 115 | 48.4 | 108 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 86.0 | 83.4 | 10.5 | 0.9 | 84.4 | 95.5 | 0.6 | 86.5 | 1,009 | 44.4 | 987 |
| Married/living together | 80.7 | 79.8 | 11.6 | 0.8 | 78.9 | 92.7 | 0.8 | 88.7 | 860 | 45.6 | 824 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 70.6 | 85.8 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 70.1 | 91.8 | 0.0 | 82.6 | 78 | 43.2 | 73 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 81.7 | 80.1 | 13.2 | 0.1 | 81.0 | 94.6 | 0.1 | 89.0 | 925 | 45.0 | 899 |
| Rural | 84.2 | 83.6 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 81.8 | 93.7 | 1.3 | 85.8 | 1,022 | 44.8 | 985 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 84.7 | 89.3 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 82.2 | 93.7 | 1.4 | 89.0 | 112 | 38.9 | 109 |
| Erongo | 86.8 | 82.9 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 86.5 | 95.9 | 0.0 | 88.2 | 136 | 36.5 | 135 |
| Hardap | 80.1 | 81.7 | 7.5 | 2.0 | 77.5 | 89.9 | 2.0 | 83.4 | 73 | 36.6 | 70 |
| //Karas | 86.1 | 83.4 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 86.1 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 94.5 | 61 | 55.4 | 60 |
| Kavango | 79.6 | 82.9 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 76.8 | 91.6 | 1.5 | 83.6 | 231 | 31.2 | 221 |
| Khomas | 75.9 | 71.7 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 75.5 | 94.5 | 0.0 | 90.8 | 344 | 47.5 | 333 |
| Kunene | 75.7 | 78.1 | 11.5 | 1.3 | 73.5 | 90.4 | 1.3 | 81.3 | 69 | 35.3 | 65 |
| Ohangwena | 92.2 | 89.3 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 90.8 | 97.7 | 0.9 | 89.0 | 254 | 51.5 | 250 |
| Omaheke | 69.0 | 78.8 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 89.2 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 59 | 40.1 | 54 |
| Omusati | 90.5 | 89.2 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 86.9 | 94.5 | 1.4 | 82.9 | 189 | 45.4 | 186 |
| Oshana | 91.8 | 84.3 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 91.8 | 98.3 | 0.0 | 95.8 | 127 | 56.4 | 127 |
| Oshikoto | 82.3 | 81.8 | 13.3 | 1.2 | 80.9 | 97.1 | 0.5 | 95.4 | 154 | 57.3 | 152 |
| Otjozondjupa | 76.5 | 75.7 | 7.0 | 0.6 | 74.6 | 87.0 | 0.6 | 75.9 | 137 | 42.3 | 123 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.8 | 73.5 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 56.9 | 79.7 | 2.7 | 70.3 | 110 | 29.2 | 96 |
| Primary | 81.6 | 80.2 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 78.2 | 90.6 | 1.5 | 83.8 | 438 | 42.0 | 416 |
| Secondary | 85.4 | 84.4 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 84.3 | 96.6 | 0.3 | 89.6 | 1,295 | 46.9 | 1,268 |
| More than secondary | 84.2 | 67.1 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 84.2 | 93.9 | 0.0 | 91.8 | 105 | 46.4 | 105 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 80.7 | 81.9 | 8.9 | 1.6 | 78.6 | 91.9 | 1.0 | 83.8 | 415 | 44.6 | 393 |
| Second | 83.7 | 84.1 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 81.7 | 93.6 | 1.8 | 86.8 | 439 | 44.1 | 425 |
| Middle | 86.4 | 86.0 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 84.5 | 95.8 | 0.3 | 88.7 | 423 | 42.9 | 415 |
| Fourth | 85.0 | 83.3 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 84.4 | 95.3 | 0.1 | 89.1 | 389 | 47.4 | 378 |
| Highest | 77.3 | 70.5 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 76.3 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 88.7 | 281 | 46.0 | 274 |
| Total 15-49 | 83.0 | 81.9 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 81.4 | 94.2 | 0.7 | 87.3 | 1,947 | 44.9 | 1,884 |

${ }^{1}$ In this context, "pre-test counselling" means that someone talked with the respondent about all three of the following topics: (1) babies getting the AIDS virus from their mother, (2) preventing the virus, and (3) getting tested for the virus
${ }^{2}$ Women were asked whether they were tested for HIV during labour only if they were not tested for HIV during ANC
${ }^{3}$ The denominator for percentages includes women who did not receive antenatal care for their last birth in the past two years

### 16.8 Early Infant Diagnosis

Women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey were asked about the HIV testing status of their last-born child; the results are shown in Table 16.9. Twenty-eight percent of women age 15-49 with a birth in the two years preceding the survey reported that their lastborn child was tested during the first eight weeks of his/her life, an additional 1 percent reported that their child was not tested during the first eight weeks but was tested during the first 18 months of his/her life. Fifteen percent of women reported that their last-born child was tested more than once during the first 18 months.

Among the 752 unweighted women with a birth in the two years preceding the survey whose last-born child was tested for HIV, only 18 reported that their child received a positive test result. This number of cases is too few to investigate coverage of ARVs among HIV-positive children.

## BLOOD PRESSURE AND BLOOD GLUCOSE

## Key Findings

- Among eligible respondents age 35-64, more than 4 in 10 women (44 percent) and men ( 45 percent) have elevated blood pressure or are currently taking medicine to lower their blood pressure.
- Forty-nine percent of women and 61 percent of men are not aware that they have elevated blood pressure.
- Forty-three percent of women and 34 percent of men with hypertension are taking medication for their condition.
- Only 29 percent of women and 20 percent of men with hypertension are taking medication and have their blood pressure under control.
- Six percent of women and 7 percent of men are diabetic; that is, they have elevated fasting plasma glucose values or report that they are taking diabetes medication. An additional 7 percent of women and 6 percent of men are prediabetic.
- Sixty-seven percent of women and 74 percent of men with diabetes are taking medication to lower their blood glucose.
- Women and men with a higher-than-normal body mass index (25.0 or higher) are more likely to have elevated blood pressure and elevated fasting blood glucose.

Around the world, whether in developed or developing countries, the rapid increase in noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) is becoming a challenge to achieving global progress in improving population health. This group of chronic diseases-that is, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, and chronic respiratory disease-contributes to almost 60 percent of the death toll around the world, and 80 percent of these deaths occur in developing countries, including Namibia. With each passing day, this death toll will rise unless proper measures are taken. Based on current trends, by 2020 NCDs will account for 73 percent of deaths and 60 percent of the disease burden in developing countries (WHO, 2010b).

In most cases, these NCD-associated risk factors are modifiable and preventable. Hence, early identification and prevention of high blood pressure and elevated plasma lipid and blood glucose levels can reduce people's risk of developing coronary heart disease and stroke by 80 percent and their risk of type 2 diabetes by 90 percent (CDC, 2009). As in many countries throughout the world, NCDs such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, cancer and chronic respiratory diseases are the leading cause of death in Namibia, accounting for 43 percent of all deaths. ${ }^{1}$

### 17.1 Coverage Rates for Blood Pressure and Blood Glucose Measurement

The 2013 NDHS is the first national survey in Namibia to include biomarker measurements of blood pressure and fasting blood glucose. These biomarkers were collected in an effort to provide information on the prevalence of high blood pressure and elevated fasting blood glucose among a subsample of women and men age 35-64 in half of the survey households (the same households selected for the male survey). Blood pressure and blood glucose levels were measured among consenting respondents. Table 17.1 shows that 2,584 women and 2,163 men age $35-64$ were eligible for these tests.

[^17]Among these individuals, 81 percent of women and 71 percent of men had their blood pressure measured, and 75 percent of women and 64 percent of men had their blood glucose measured.

Table 17.1 Coverage of testing for blood pressure and fasting blood glucose measurement among women and men age 35-64
Percentage of women and men age 35-64 eligible for blood pressure and blood glucose measurements, by testing status, according to selected background characteristics (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage measured for blood pressure ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage measured for fasting blood glucose | Number of women | Percentage measured for blood pressure ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage measured for fasting blood glucose | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 76.0 | 69.9 | 674 | 66.8 | 58.2 | 581 |
| 40-44 | 81.8 | 74.9 | 506 | 66.0 | 60.6 | 515 |
| 45-49 | 83.4 | 77.8 | 446 | 72.9 | 64.2 | 377 |
| 50-54 | 83.1 | 78.0 | 432 | 70.7 | 65.2 | 290 |
| 55-59 | 81.9 | 75.8 | 281 | 80.0 | 73.2 | 205 |
| 60-64 | 80.8 | 77.6 | 245 | 81.0 | 75.9 | 195 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 77.5 | 70.9 | 1,249 | 63.7 | 56.7 | 1,172 |
| Rural | 83.7 | 78.8 | 1,335 | 79.0 | 72.1 | 991 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 77.6 | 73.3 | 161 | 64.2 | 57.5 | 120 |
| Erongo | 84.3 | 77.9 | 204 | 66.4 | 61.4 | 259 |
| Hardap | 81.6 | 77.0 | 196 | 75.9 | 73.8 | 191 |
| //Karas | 84.0 | 77.3 | 238 | 75.3 | 67.1 | 219 |
| Kavango | 83.9 | 77.8 | 180 | 80.0 | 68.1 | 135 |
| Khomas | 60.6 | 50.6 | 241 | 48.5 | 38.1 | 239 |
| Kunene | 86.6 | 79.3 | 179 | 81.3 | 71.5 | 144 |
| Ohangwena | 84.6 | 79.8 | 188 | 73.8 | 66.7 | 84 |
| Omaheke | 83.1 | 79.7 | 172 | 74.7 | 70.2 | 198 |
| Omusati | 83.5 | 81.9 | 243 | 72.1 | 67.2 | 122 |
| Oshana | 85.1 | 70.1 | 174 | 70.5 | 54.5 | 112 |
| Oshikoto | 81.3 | 80.1 | 176 | 76.2 | 69.8 | 126 |
| Otjozondjupa | 77.6 | 74.1 | 232 | 73.4 | 70.6 | 214 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 78.1 | 72.5 | 375 | 76.8 | 69.7 | 366 |
| Primary | 86.0 | 82.1 | 827 | 76.2 | 70.1 | 608 |
| Secondary | 80.8 | 74.0 | 1,132 | 69.2 | 62.4 | 931 |
| More than secondary | 68.1 | 60.3 | 229 | 60.3 | 49.6 | 224 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 87.9 | 82.6 | 447 | 82.9 | 76.7 | 275 |
| Second | 83.0 | 78.1 | 466 | 77.7 | 69.0 | 355 |
| Middle | 84.7 | 79.0 | 471 | 74.2 | 65.7 | 434 |
| Fourth | 78.7 | 73.7 | 596 | 66.9 | 61.5 | 538 |
| Highest | 72.4 | 65.1 | 604 | 61.3 | 54.7 | 561 |
| 50-64 | 82.2 | 77.2 | 958 | 76.4 | 70.6 | 690 |
| Total 35-64 | 80.7 | 75.0 | 2,584 | 70.7 | 63.8 | 2,163 |

Note: Total includes 21 women (unweighted) and 34 men (unweighted) with missing data on education.

### 17.2 High Blood Pressure

High blood pressure, or hypertension, is among the major risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Health facility-based records indicate that hypertension is the leading cause of disability among adults in Namibia. According to the Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS) Health Information System (2007), heart failure, hypertension, and stroke collectively were responsible for 8 percent of all health facility deaths.

NDHS respondents were asked several questions to determine their history of hypertension, including whether they had ever been told by a doctor or other health worker that they had high blood pressure and, if so, whether they had been told that on two or more occasions. If they reported being told one or more times that they had high blood pressure, they were asked additional questions about specific actions they were taking at the time of the survey to lower their blood pressure.

### 17.2.1 History and Treatment of High Blood Pressure

In addition to the NDHS blood pressure measurement, women and men age $35-64$ were asked questions related to their experiences with blood pressure measurement and treatment or advice received to lower their blood pressure.

Tables 17.2 and 17.3 summarise the findings. Overall, 20 percent of women and 13 percent of men age 35-64 reported that they were told by a health professional that they have high blood pressure or hypertension. As might be expected, these percentages generally increase with age and are higher among respondents who are obese or overweight. Women and men in urban areas are more likely than those in rural areas to have been told they have high blood pressure or hypertension by a health professional. By region, this percentage ranges from 11 percent in Ohangwena to 31 percent in Kunene among women and from 7 percent in Oshana to 20 percent in Kunene and Erongo among men. Overall, the percentage of women who have been told that they have high blood pressure or hypertension decreases with increasing education, while the percentage increases among men. The percentage of respondents who have been told by a health professional that they have high blood pressure or hypertension tends to increase with increasing wealth, with the relationship being more linear among men than among women.

Table 17.2 History of hypertension
Percentage of women and men age 35-64 who were ever told by a health professional that they have high blood pressure or hypertension, according to selected background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of women ever told by a health professional they had hypertension or high blood pressure | Number of women | Percentage of men ever told by a health professional they had hypertension or high blood pressure | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 7.8 | 713 | 5.7 | 577 |
| 40-44 | 18.2 | 523 | 8.3 | 503 |
| 45-49 | 21.3 | 435 | 10.7 | 365 |
| 50-54 | 26.7 | 442 | 18.2 | 274 |
| 55-59 | 34.1 | 264 | 28.2 | 198 |
| 60-64 | 28.5 | 243 | 34.1 | 173 |
| Nutritional status ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Thin (BMI <18.5) | 7.4 | 213 | 7.8 | 246 |
| Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) | 16.1 | 894 | 13.0 | 831 |
| Overweight (BMI 25-29.9) | 24.2 | 515 | 32.4 | 264 |
| Obese ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30.0$ ) | 41.5 | 538 | 40.7 | 151 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 22.7 | 1,314 | 14.6 | 1,229 |
| Rural | 17.0 | 1,307 | 11.5 | 862 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 16.4 | 120 | 10.7 | 90 |
| Erongo | 23.7 | 185 | 20.0 | 234 |
| Hardap | 25.6 | 110 | 13.7 | 105 |
| //Karas | 23.3 | 115 | 14.8 | 100 |
| Kavango | 17.6 | 206 | 8.7 | 151 |
| Khomas | 21.7 | 530 | 14.9 | 514 |
| Kunene | 30.6 | 85 | 20.3 | 64 |
| Ohangwena | 11.0 | 264 | 7.6 | 118 |
| Omaheke | 20.2 | 79 | 9.8 | 90 |
| Omusati | 21.9 | 323 | 15.2 | 162 |
| Oshana | 12.5 | 213 | 7.2 | 142 |
| Oshikoto | 16.8 | 204 | 11.9 | 146 |
| Otjozondjupa | 26.0 | 187 | 11.5 | 174 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 23.7 | 348 | 11.4 | 309 |
| Primary | 20.9 | 816 | 12.5 | 575 |
| Secondary | 18.3 | 1,164 | 13.9 | 900 |
| More than secondary | 18.8 | 268 | 16.9 | 273 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 11.2 | 476 | 6.2 | 278 |
| Second | 18.5 | 468 | 10.7 | 322 |
| Middle | 21.0 | 465 | 11.6 | 401 |
| Fourth | 26.0 | 560 | 15.2 | 507 |
| Highest | 21.0 | 652 | 17.8 | 583 |
| 50-64 | 29.2 | 950 | 25.5 | 645 |
| Total 35-64 | 19.9 | 2,621 | 13.3 | 2,091 |

Note: Total includes 26 women and 34 men with missing information on education.
${ }^{1}$ Body mass index is expressed as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

Table 17.3 shows that three-fourths of women and men who were told that they had high blood pressure were taking prescribed medication to control their blood pressure. More than six in ten respondents ( 64 percent of both women and men) received advice to reduce their salt intake, 34 percent of women and 38 percent of men received advice or treatment to lose weight, 26 percent of women and 31 percent of men received advice or treatment to stop smoking, and 42 percent of women and 51 percent of men received advice to start exercising or do more exercise. In addition, 11 percent of women and 8 percent of men reported that they were taking herbal or traditional remedies.

Table 17.3 Actions taken or advice received to lower blood pressure
Among respondents age 15-64 who were ever told by a health professional that they have high blood pressure or hypertension, the percentage taking specific actions or who received specific advice to lower blood pressure, Namibia 2013

| Actions taken/advice received to lower | Women | Men |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| blood pressure | 75.3 | 75.0 |
| Prescribed medication | 64.4 | 64.4 |
| Advice to reduce salt intake <br> Advice/treatment to lose weight | 33.6 | 38.0 |
| Advice/treatment to stop smoking <br> Advice to start/do more exercise | 26.3 | 30.9 |
| Taking any herbal or traditional <br> remedies | 11.3 | 51.0 |
| Number of respondents told they have <br> high blood pressure or hypertension by <br> a health provider | 521 | 279 |

### 17.2.2 Prevalence of High Blood Pressure

The 2013 NDHS Woman's Questionnaire and Man's Questionnaire included questions to determine if respondents had been diagnosed as hypertensive and if they were taking medication to control their blood pressure. Respondents were also asked if their blood pressure could be measured as part of the survey. It should be noted that the blood pressure measurements taken in the survey are not intended to provide a medical diagnosis of the disease and are regarded only as a statistical description of the survey population.

To measure blood pressure, the survey interviewers were provided with a fully automatic, digital device with automatic upper-arm inflation and automatic pressure release. Interviewers were trained in the use of this device according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Three measurements of systolic and diastolic blood pressure (measured in millimetres of mercury [ mmHg ]) were taken during the survey interview, with an interval of at least 10 minutes between measurements. The average of the second and third measurements was used to classify individuals with respect to hypertension, following internationally recommended categories (WHO, 1999). Individuals were classified as hypertensive if their systolic blood pressure was 140 mmHg or higher or if their diastolic blood pressure was 90 mmHg or higher. Elevated blood pressure was classified as mild, moderate, or severe according to the cutoff points recommended by the World Health Organization and the National Institutes of Health (WHO, 1999; NIH, 1997).

| Blood pressure status | $\underline{\text { Systolic }(\mathrm{mmHg})}$ |  | Diastolic $(\mathrm{mmHg})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Optimal | $<120$ | $\underline{\text { and }}$ | $<80$ |
| Normal | $120-129$ | $\underline{\text { or }}$ | $80-84$ |
| High normal | $130-139$ | $\underline{\text { or }}$ | $85-89$ |
| Level of hypertension |  |  |  |
| Grade 1, mild | $140-159$ | $\underline{\text { or }}$ | $90-99$ |
| Grade 2, moderate | $160-179$ | $\underline{\text { or }}$ | $100-109$ |
| Grade 3, severe | $180+$ | $\underline{\text { or }}$ | $110+$ |

Following internationally recommended guidelines, individuals were considered hypertensive if they had a normal average blood pressure reading but were taking antihypertensive medication.

Tables 17.4 .1 and 17.4 .2 show the prevalence of hypertension among survey respondents age 35 64. Forty-four percent of women and 45 percent of men were classified as hypertensive; that is, they had a systolic blood pressure of at least 140 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mmHg at the time of the survey or they were currently taking antihypertensive medication to control their blood pressure. The term "hypertension" as used in this report is not meant to be a clinical diagnosis of the disease; rather, it is intended to provide an indication of the disease burden in the population at the time of the survey.

As expected, the prevalence of hypertension is associated with age; it is lowest among respondents age 35-39 and highest among those age 55-64. Fifty-one percent of urban women and men are considered hypertensive, as compared with 38 percent of rural respondents. The prevalence of hypertension is highest among women and men living in Khomas ( 57 percent each), women with no formal education (53 percent), and men with more than a secondary education ( 59 percent). The prevalence of hypertension tends to increase with increasing wealth among both women and men, although the relationship is not linear.

Although overall rates of hypertension among adults in Namibia are relatively low, hypertension is a serious health problem among adults age 45 and older and those who are obese. A first step toward bringing hypertension under control is awareness by individuals of their condition and its implications in terms of premature disability and death. Educating the population about the adverse effects of hypertension and promoting blood pressure screening, particularly for older individuals, should be an important focus of health programmes.
Table 17.4.1 Blood pressure status: Women


| Background characteristic | Prevalence of hypertension ${ }^{1}$ | Classification of blood pressure |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Normal blood pressure and taking medicine | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal |  |  | Elevated |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Optimal <120 and 80 mmHg | $\begin{gathered} \text { Normal 120-129/ } \\ 80-84 \mathrm{mmHg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High normal } \\ & 130-139 / \\ & 85-89 \mathrm{mmHg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mildly elevated } \\ & \text { (Grade 1) } \\ & 140-159 / \\ & 90-99 \mathrm{mmHg} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moderately } \\ \text { elevated } \\ \text { (Grade 2) } 160-179 / \\ 100-109 \mathrm{mmHg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Severely elevated (Grade 3) $180+/ 110+\mathrm{mmHg}$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 26.6 | 41.2 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 514 |
| 40-44 | 40.6 | 34.4 | 18.8 | 13.2 | 23.1 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 412 |
| 45-49 | 48.8 | 27.1 | 12.4 | 18.0 | 27.9 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 354 |
| 50-54 | 51.3 | 23.8 | 14.4 | 19.8 | 23.9 | 13.3 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 361 |
| 55-59 | 62.1 | 20.6 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 29.3 | 16.2 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 210 |
| 60-64 | 55.4 | 26.2 | 12.9 | 23.1 | 22.8 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 196 |
| Nutritional status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thin (BMI <18.5) | 24.6 | 46.5 | 17.5 | 13.6 | 15.8 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 174 |
| Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) | 37.5 | 36.1 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 20.8 | 8.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 820 |
| Overweight (BMI 25-29.9) | 46.3 | 25.9 | 13.5 | 22.6 | 25.5 | 8.9 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 480 |
| Obese ( BMI ³0.0) | 62.0 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 18.2 | 29.2 | 13.3 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 496 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.6 | 26.7 | 14.3 | 18.2 | 25.2 | 12.6 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 9.9 | 953 |
| Rural | 38.3 | 34.3 | 17.3 | 16.4 | 21.3 | 7.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 1,094 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 38.8 | 32.5 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 19.5 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 94 |
| Erongo | 48.2 | 31.3 | 11.2 | 23.8 | 21.5 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 14.4 | 156 |
| Hardap | 52.1 | 21.9 | 14.6 | 19.1 | 27.2 | 14.7 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 91 |
| //Karas | 45.7 | 28.1 | 16.8 | 18.7 | 22.8 | 10.2 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 96 |
| Kavango | 37.1 | 42.3 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 18.3 | 9.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 172 |
| Khomas | 57.3 | 25.2 | 13.2 | 13.6 | 26.6 | 18.3 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 319 |
| Kunene | 41.9 | 30.3 | 23.6 | 14.6 | 18.4 | 9.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 74 |
| Ohangwena | 35.5 | 30.9 | 20.2 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 222 |
| Omaheke | 51.0 | 22.7 | 23.0 | 15.8 | 27.7 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 66 |
| Omusati | 39.8 | 32.4 | 12.7 | 19.9 | 22.7 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 270 |
| Oshana | 32.6 | 35.5 | 17.5 | 15.9 | 19.9 | 8.2 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 182 |
| Oshikoto | 41.0 | 36.6 | 18.9 | 11.3 | 26.3 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 161 |
| Otjozondjupa | 52.2 | 23.6 | 13.5 | 21.6 | 28.0 | 10.3 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 145 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 52.8 | 27.0 | 14.4 | 12.4 | 24.2 | 15.6 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 6.6 | 263 |
| Primary | 42.9 | 28.6 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 24.1 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 697 |
| Secondary | 41.7 | 34.0 | 15.3 | 17.6 | 22.4 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 904 |
| More than secondary | 45.2 | 29.4 | 18.2 | 20.6 | 19.5 | 11.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 13.5 | 172 |


| Table 17.4.1-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Prevalence of hypertension ${ }^{1}$ | Classification of blood pressure |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Normal blood pressure and taking medicine | Number of women |
|  |  | Normal |  |  | Elevated |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Optimal }<120 \text { and } \\ 80 \mathrm{mmHg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Normal } 120-129 / \\ 80-84 \mathrm{mmHg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { High normal } \\ 130-139 / \\ 85-89 \mathrm{mmHg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mildly elevated } \\ \text { (Grade 1) } \\ 140-159 / \\ 90-99 \mathrm{mmHg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Moderately elevated (Grade 2) $160-179 /$ $100-109 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | Severely elevated (Grade 3) $180+/ 110+\mathrm{mmHg}$ |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 32.5 | 39.0 | 19.5 | 13.0 | 19.0 | 6.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 418 |
| Second | 41.2 | 32.0 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 22.3 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 384 |
| Middle | 44.6 | 28.0 | 14.8 | 18.0 | 25.5 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 5.5 | 386 |
| Fourth | 52.9 | 25.5 | 11.5 | 20.4 | 27.2 | 11.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 432 |
| Highest | 48.4 | 29.5 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 21.5 | 12.4 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 427 |
| 50-64 | 55.3 | 23.5 | 14.1 | 19.3 | 25.1 | 13.1 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 12.3 | 768 |
| Total 35-64 | 44.0 | 30.8 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 23.1 | 9.6 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 2,048 |


 population at the time of the survey.
$2^{2}$ Body mass index is expressed as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.
Table 17.4.2 Blood pressure status: Men


| Background characteristic | Prevalence of hypertension ${ }^{1}$ | Classification of blood pressure |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Normal blood pressure and taking medicine | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal |  |  | Elevated |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Optimal <120 and 80 mmHg | $\begin{gathered} \text { Normal } \\ 120-129 / \\ 80-84 \mathrm{mmHg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | High normal $130-$ $139 /$ $85-89 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mildly elevated } \\ & \text { (Grade 1) } \\ & 140-159 / \\ & 90-99 \mathrm{mmHg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moderately } \\ \text { elevated } \\ \text { (Grade 2) } \\ 160-179 / \\ 100-109 \mathrm{mmHg} \end{gathered}$ | Severely elevated (Grade 3) <br> $180+/ 110+\mathrm{mmHg}$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 30.8 | 32.9 | 24.2 | 14.3 | 18.8 | 7.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 366 |
| 40-44 | 40.2 | 28.9 | 19.5 | 15.5 | 22.9 | 9.5 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 312 |
| 45-49 | 41.7 | 31.5 | 17.6 | 13.2 | 21.9 | 12.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 250 |
| 50-54 | 51.8 | 22.2 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 29.5 | 12.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 184 |
| 55-59 | 63.7 | 25.7 | 9.1 | 13.9 | 29.6 | 16.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 154 |
| 60-64 | 65.2 | 19.5 | 13.3 | 17.0 | 25.2 | 11.2 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 15.0 | 140 |
| Nutritional status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thin (BMI <18.5) | 29.2 | 44.2 | 19.0 | 10.7 | 20.5 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 214 |
| Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) | 39.1 | 31.4 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 21.2 | 10.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 776 |
| Overweight (BMI 25-29.9) | 58.5 | 17.4 | 19.0 | 17.2 | 24.3 | 14.8 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 249 |
| Obese (BMI $\geq 30.0$ ) | 69.8 | 8.8 | 17.0 | 15.6 | 37.4 | 16.4 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 146 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.8 | 23.5 | 18.8 | 14.4 | 25.7 | 12.2 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 7.6 | 731 |
| Rural | 37.8 | 33.4 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 21.1 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 675 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 46.7 | 20.8 | 25.3 | 13.7 | 30.9 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 59 |
| Erongo | 53.1 | 18.5 | 22.6 | 18.6 | 23.0 | 11.3 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 12.7 | 158 |
| Hardap | 42.5 | 27.4 | 19.3 | 16.0 | 21.4 | 11.7 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 79 |
| //Karas | 47.1 | 25.7 | 17.2 | 14.6 | 28.2 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 74 |
| Kavango | 30.4 | 42.7 | 17.2 | 12.8 | 21.4 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 121 |
| Khomas | 56.5 | 21.6 | 16.8 | 13.9 | 25.8 | 14.8 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 253 |
| Kunene | 39.0 | 28.4 | 16.6 | 21.2 | 20.2 | 9.7 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 51 |
| Ohangwena | 43.6 | 30.9 | 19.2 | 9.1 | 22.0 | 16.2 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 84 |
| Omaheke | 45.1 | 25.0 | 17.2 | 16.6 | 24.2 | 12.9 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 3.9 | 69 |
| Omusati | 43.0 | 30.9 | 15.6 | 12.9 | 25.3 | 9.6 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 117 |
| Oshana | 35.0 | 34.4 | 22.8 | 11.6 | 21.6 | 8.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 102 |
| Oshikoto | 33.4 | 41.2 | 13.8 | 16.7 | 16.3 | 7.9 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 111 |
| Otjozondjupa | 44.3 | 26.9 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 24.6 | 9.8 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 127 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 43.4 | 34.0 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 22.2 | 11.2 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 236 |
| Primary | 43.1 | 31.4 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 22.7 | 10.5 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 422 |
| Secondary | 42.9 | 25.8 | 21.0 | 14.7 | 22.7 | 10.7 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 594 |
| More than secondary | 58.8 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 14.8 | 31.4 | 11.6 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 147 |


| Table 17.4.2-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Prevalence of hypertension ${ }^{1}$ | Classification of blood pressure |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Normal blood pressure and taking medicine | Number of men |
|  |  |  | Normal |  |  | Elevated |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Optimal <120 and 80 mmHg | $\begin{gathered} \text { Normal } \\ 120-129 / \\ 80-84 \mathrm{mmHg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { High normal 130- } \\ 139 / \\ 85-89 \mathrm{mmHg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mildly elevated } \\ & \text { (Grade 1) } \\ & 140-159 / \\ & 90-99 \mathrm{mmHg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Moderately } \\ \text { elevated } \\ \text { (Grade 2) } \\ 160-179 / \\ 100-109 \mathrm{mmHg} \end{gathered}$ | Severely elevated <br> (Grade 3) <br> $180+/ 110+\mathrm{mmHg}$ |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 30.3 | 39.3 | 20.2 | 12.3 | 20.8 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 230 |
| Second | 43.8 | 30.6 | 15.8 | 14.4 | 24.3 | 12.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 248 |
| Middle | 40.9 | 28.3 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 20.9 | 12.2 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 287 |
| Fourth | 50.5 | 27.4 | 15.4 | 14.1 | 23.0 | 11.8 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 7.3 | 325 |
| Highest | 52.9 | 19.2 | 22.3 | 15.8 | 27.7 | 10.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 10.2 | 316 |
| 50-64 | 59.6 | 22.6 | 13.1 | 15.6 | 28.3 | 13.1 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 478 |
| Total 35-64 | 44.6 | 28.3 | 18.2 | 14.8 | 23.5 | 10.8 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 1,406 |

[^18]Figure 17.1 shows the level of awareness and treatment status of hypertensive women and men. About half of women ( 49 percent) and about six in ten men ( 61 percent) who have high blood pressure reported that they are unaware of their condition. Twenty-nine percent of hypertensive women and 20 percent of hypertensive men are being treated and have brought their blood pressure under control, and 14 percent each are being treated but still have elevated blood pressure. Eight percent of hypertensive women and 6 percent of hypertensive men are aware that they have elevated blood pressure.

Figure 17.1 Awareness of high blood pressure and treatment status among women and men age $35-64$ with high blood pressure ${ }^{2}$



### 17.3 DIABETES

Diabetes is a chronic disease characterised by chronic hyperglycaemia that requires lifelong treatment. Over time, diabetes can damage the heart, blood vessels, eyes, kidneys, and nerves. The global spread of diabetes has given it the characteristics of a pandemic. WHO estimates that 347 million people worldwide have diabetes. In 2004, an estimated 3.4 million people died from consequences of fasting high blood sugar. More than 80 percent of diabetes deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries. ${ }^{3}$ WHO estimated that in 2008 diabetes caused 4 percent of adult deaths in Namibia. ${ }^{4}$

As mentioned above, all women and men age 35-64 in the subsample of households selected for the male survey for the 2013 NDHS were eligible to have their blood glucose levels tested. Respondents were asked if they had eaten or drunk anything at all (except water) from the time they had awakened in the morning until the time of the glucose testing. If respondents were fasting at the time of the interview, a capillary blood sample was obtained from their middle or ring finger. If they were not fasting at the time of the interview, an appointment was made for the next morning to collect and test a fasting capillary blood sample (as described below).

Blood glucose was measured using the HemoCue 201+ blood glucose analyser in capillary whole blood obtained from adults’ middle or ring finger after an overnight fast. The finger was cleaned with a swab containing 70 percent isopropyl alcohol, allowed to dry, and pricked with a retractable, non-reusable lancet. The first two drops of blood were wiped away, and the third drop was drawn into the glucose microcuvette by capillary action after placing the tip of the microcuvette in the middle of the blood drop. The outside of the microcuvette was wiped clean with gauze and placed in the HemoCue 201+ analyser to obtain a glucose measurement. The analyser displayed blood glucose measurements in millimoles per litre ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ).

[^19]The WHO cutoff points for measuring fasting plasma glucose were used (WHO, 2006b). These cutoff points correspond to the clinical classifications of normal fasting plasma glucose levels, prediabetes, and diabetes. Fasting plasma glucose values between 3.9 and $6.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ are considered to be normal. A fasting plasma glucose value of 6.1 to $6.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ is classified as prediabetes, and values of $7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ or above are considered to be diabetes. The chart below summarises fasting plasma glucose values as they relate to diabetes classifications.

The data are presented according to the fasting plasma glucose measurements obtained from the respondents. These measurements provide a cross-sectional assessment of the prevalence of diabetes in the surveyed population at the time of the NDHS interviews and do not represent a medical diagnosis of diabetes. Although the results of the fasting plasma glucose measurements are regarded only as a statistical description of the survey population, they are useful in providing insight into the size and characteristics of the population at risk for diabetes. For the purposes of the survey, fasting plasma glucose values are not presented using the diagnostic terms prediabetes and diabetes. In a clinical setting, an individual's fasting plasma glucose would be measured and the levels monitored over a prolonged period of time, with a clinical history for that individual prior to diagnosing whether he or she had diabetes. In the survey setting, an individual's fasting plasma glucose was measured for one day only, and the value was recorded to provide information on the national status of this important NCD.

### 17.3.1 History of Diabetes

In addition to the NDHS blood glucose measurement, women and men age $35-64$ were asked questions related to their experiences with blood glucose measurement and treatment or advice to lower their blood glucose.

Table 17.5 presents the findings. Overall, only 3 percent of women and men age $35-64$ reported that they were told by a health professional that they had high blood sugar levels or diabetes prior to the survey.

Table 17.5 History of diabetes
Percentage of women and men age $35-64$ who were ever told by a health professional that they have high blood sugar or diabetes, according to selected background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of women ever told by a health professional they had high blood sugar or diabetes | Number of women | Percentage of men ever told by a health professional they had high blood sugar or diabetes | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 1.4 | 713 | 0.5 | 577 |
| 40-44 | 1.9 | 523 | 1.4 | 503 |
| 45-49 | 2.9 | 435 | 1.2 | 365 |
| 50-54 | 4.0 | 442 | 4.7 | 274 |
| 55-59 | 3.7 | 264 | 6.7 | 198 |
| 60-64 | 3.4 | 243 | 7.8 | 173 |
| Nutritional status ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Thin (BMI <18.5) | 0.1 | 225 | 0.5 | 263 |
| Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) | 0.8 | 1,048 | 0.8 | 1,051 |
| Overweight (BMI 25-29.9) | 3.2 | 609 | 5.2 | 407 |
| Obese (BMI $\geq 30.0$ ) | 6.0 | 652 | 6.1 | 327 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.8 | 1,314 | 3.3 | 1,229 |
| Rural | 1.4 | 1,307 | 1.6 | 862 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 3.2 | 120 | 2.6 | 90 |
| Erongo | 2.2 | 185 | 4.7 | 234 |
| Hardap | 9.8 | 110 | 5.9 | 105 |
| //Karas | 6.5 | 115 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Kavango | 0.5 | 206 | 1.4 | 151 |
| Khomas | 3.0 | 530 | 2.1 | 514 |
| Kunene | 10.7 | 85 | 4.5 | 64 |
| Ohangwena | 1.7 | 264 | 0.0 | 118 |
| Omaheke | 1.7 | 79 | 0.9 | 90 |
| Omusati | 0.8 | 323 | 1.6 | 162 |
| Oshana | 0.6 | 213 | 2.1 | 142 |
| Oshikoto | 0.0 | 204 | 1.5 | 146 |
| Otjozondjupa | 3.5 | 187 | 3.5 | 174 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.5 | 348 | 0.5 | 309 |
| Primary | 2.1 | 816 | 1.4 | 575 |
| Secondary | 2.9 | 1,164 | 3.1 | 900 |
| More than secondary | 3.8 | 268 | 6.0 | 273 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 0.4 | 476 | 0.4 | 278 |
| Second | 1.0 | 468 | 0.6 | 322 |
| Middle | 1.6 | 465 | 0.8 | 401 |
| Fourth | 4.5 | 560 | 2.3 | 507 |
| Highest | 4.5 | 652 | 6.2 | 583 |
| 50-64 | 3.7 | 950 | 6.2 | 645 |
| Total 35-64 | 2.6 | 2,621 | 2.6 | 2,091 |

Note: Total includes 26 women and 34 men with missing information on education.
${ }^{1}$ Body mass index is expressed as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

Table 17.6 shows that 67 percent of women and 74 percent of men who were told they had high blood glucose or diabetes were taking prescribed medication to lower their blood glucose. More than seven in ten respondents (76 percent of women and 73 percent of men) received advice on a special diet, 58 percent of women and 72 percent of men received advice or treatment to lose weight, 48 percent of women and 53 percent of men received advice or treatment to stop smoking, and 64 percent of women and 75 percent of men received advice to start exercising or do more exercise. Also, 14 percent of women and 20 percent of men reported that they were taking herbal or traditional remedies.

Table 17.6 Actions taken or advice received to lower high blood glucose or diabetes
Among respondents who were ever told by a health professional that they have high blood glucose or diabetes, the percentage taking specific actions or who received specific advice to lower blood glucose, Namibia 2013

| Actions taken/advice received |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| to lower high blood glucose/diabetes | Women | Men |
| Prescribed medication | 66.6 | 73.8 |
| Advice on special diet | 75.9 | 72.7 |
| Advice/treatment to lose weight | 58.2 | 71.6 |
| Advice/treatment to stop smoking | 48.2 | 53.2 |
| Advice to start/do more exercise | 64.4 | 75.5 |
| Taking any herbal or traditional remedies | 14.2 | 20.0 |
| Number of respondents told they have high <br> $\quad$ blood glucose or diabetes by a health provider | 68 | 54 |

### 17.3.2 Prevalence and Treatment of Diabetes

The fasting whole blood glucose measurements taken in the survey provide a cross-sectional assessment of elevated fasting plasma values in the surveyed population at the time of the NDHS interviews and do not represent a medical diagnosis of diabetes. Tables 17.7.1 and 17.7.2 present fasting plasma glucose levels among women and men, respectively.

The data show that 6 percent of women and 7 percent of men have diabetes; that is, they either have fasting plasma glucose (FPG) values of $7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ or higher or report that they are currently taking diabetes medication. Similar to "hypertension," the term "diabetes" in this report is not meant to be a clinical diagnosis of the disease; rather, it provides an indication of the disease burden in the population at the time of the survey. The findings also show that 7 percent of women and 6 percent of men are prediabetic (i.e., their FPG values are 6.1-6.9 mmol/L). Only 1 percent of women and men are taking medication for diabetes.

Table 17.7.1 shows that, among women, diabetes increases with age; 3 percent of women age 35 39 have elevated FPG values or are currently taking diabetes medicine, as compared with 8 percent of women age 55-59. Obese women (12 percent) are much more likely than other women to have high blood glucose or diabetes. The data further show that urban women are twice as likely as rural women to be classified as having diabetes (8 percent versus 4 percent). By region, women in Hardap have the highest prevalence of diabetes (19 percent), and women in Kavango have the lowest prevalence ( 1 percent). The prevalence of diabetes is highest among women with more than a secondary education (7 percent) and women in the highest wealth quintile (9 percent).

Table 17.7.2 shows that men age 60-64 have the highest prevalence of diabetes (13 percent). Similar to women, the prevalence is highest among obese men (19 percent) and is higher among urban (8 percent) than rural (5 percent) men. Men in Hardap are most likely to have diabetes (14 percent) and men in Kavango least likely (3 percent). Diabetes prevalence increases with increasing education, ranging from 2 percent among men with no education to 15 percent among those with more than a secondary education. The prevalence of diabetes generally increases with increasing wealth.

Table 17.7.1 Prevalence of diabetes by background characteristics: Women
Among women age 35-64, prevalence of diabetes, percent distribution by fasting plasma glucose (FPG) values, and percentage with normal fasting plasma glucose level and taking medication, according to selected background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Prevalence of diabetes ${ }^{1}$ | Fasting plasma glucose values |  |  |  | Total | Normal FPG and taking medicine | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<3.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ (below normal) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.9-6.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L} \\ \text { (normal) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.1-6.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L} \\ & \text { (prediabetic) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\geq 7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ (elevated) |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 2.9 | 5.9 | 85.5 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 465 |
| 40-44 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 87.5 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 370 |
| 45-49 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 82.7 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 321 |
| 50-54 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 84.0 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 337 |
| 55-59 | 7.6 | 2.4 | 82.3 | 9.3 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 192 |
| 60-64 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 75.7 | 10.3 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 187 |
| Nutritional status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thin (BMI <18.5) | 1.7 | 11.3 | 76.4 | 10.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 168 |
| Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) | 3.3 | 4.5 | 85.3 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 760 |
| Overweight (BMI 25-29.9) | 5.7 | 2.7 | 87.9 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 438 |
| Obese ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30.0$ ) | 11.5 | 2.1 | 79.8 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 444 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.0 | 4.7 | 82.2 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 839 |
| Rural | 3.7 | 3.8 | 85.2 | 7.7 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 1,034 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 5.7 | 1.8 | 89.2 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 88 |
| Erongo | 4.7 | 2.7 | 86.5 | 7.5 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 143 |
| Hardap | 19.4 | 5.9 | 71.6 | 8.3 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 86 |
| //Karas | 9.5 | 5.8 | 82.9 | 3.6 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 89 |
| Kavango | 0.7 | 4.4 | 84.3 | 10.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 159 |
| Khomas | 6.3 | 6.4 | 82.5 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 261 |
| Kunene | 11.0 | 6.7 | 81.3 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 68 |
| Ohangwena | 6.6 | 3.1 | 83.0 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 207 |
| Omaheke | 2.9 | 6.2 | 81.0 | 10.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 63 |
| Omusati | 2.6 | 5.1 | 85.5 | 7.3 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 265 |
| Oshana | 6.5 | 1.7 | 83.4 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 147 |
| Oshikoto | 3.1 | 3.6 | 88.6 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 161 |
| Otjozondjupa | 4.9 | 2.5 | 83.3 | 9.8 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 135 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.1 | 5.3 | 81.7 | 8.3 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 248 |
| Primary | 5.1 | 4.3 | 84.2 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 660 |
| Secondary | 5.8 | 4.1 | 85.1 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 803 |
| More than secondary | 7.3 | 2.8 | 81.0 | 10.9 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 149 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 1.5 | 4.8 | 86.0 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 394 |
| Second | 3.6 | 5.3 | 84.7 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 362 |
| Middle | 4.2 | 3.7 | 86.2 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 356 |
| Fourth | 9.4 | 3.3 | 82.6 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 393 |
| Highest | 9.3 | 3.9 | 79.8 | 8.8 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 369 |
| 50-64 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 81.4 | 8.8 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 717 |
| Total 35-64 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 83.8 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 1,873 |

Note: Total includes 12 women with missing information on education.
${ }^{1}$ An individual was classified as having diabetes if he/she had a fasting plasma glucose of $7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ or above at the time of the survey or was currently taking medication to manage diabetes. The term "diabetes" as used in this table is not meant to be a clinical diagnosis of the disease; rather, it provides an indication of the disease burden in the population at the time of the survey.
${ }^{2}$ Body mass index is expressed as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

Table 17.7.2 Prevalence of diabetes by socioeconomic characteristics: Men
Among men age 35-64, prevalence of diabetes, percent distribution by fasting plasma glucose (FPG) values, and percentage with normal fasting plasma glucose level and taking medication, according to selected background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Prevalence of diabetes ${ }^{1}$ | Fasting plasma glucose values |  |  |  | Total | Normal FPG and taking medicine | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<3.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ (below normal) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.9-6.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L} \\ \text { (normal) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.1-6.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L} \\ & \text { (prediabetic) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\geq 7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ (elevated) |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 4.2 | 9.0 | 83.2 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 308 |
| 40-44 | 5.2 | 10.2 | 80.1 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 269 |
| 45-49 | 2.9 | 6.2 | 83.5 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 214 |
| 50-54 | 12.3 | 8.2 | 72.2 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 163 |
| 55-59 | 8.1 | 14.2 | 72.4 | 9.5 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 136 |
| 60-64 | 13.3 | 7.7 | 77.3 | 4.9 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 131 |
| Nutritional status ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thin (BMI <18.5) | 4.3 | 12.5 | 77.3 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 192 |
| Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) | 3.6 | 9.5 | 81.9 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 674 |
| Overweight (BMI 25-29.9) | 11.1 | 8.2 | 78.2 | 4.2 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 221 |
| Obese ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30.0$ ) | 19.0 | 3.4 | 69.5 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 5.5 | 121 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.4 | 9.3 | 78.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 608 |
| Rural | 4.9 | 8.9 | 80.5 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 613 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 4.4 | 13.2 | 80.5 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 52 |
| Erongo | 8.2 | 6.2 | 82.0 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 2.6 | 146 |
| Hardap | 14.4 | 12.0 | 71.6 | 6.5 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 76 |
| //Karas | 7.0 | 5.3 | 81.2 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 68 |
| Kavango | 3.1 | 5.8 | 84.8 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 103 |
| Khomas | 7.5 | 11.2 | 75.1 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 187 |
| Kunene | 6.7 | 10.9 | 75.9 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 45 |
| Ohangwena | 4.6 | 7.6 | 78.5 | 9.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 73 |
| Omaheke | 3.5 | 6.5 | 83.4 | 7.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 64 |
| Omusati | 6.1 | 11.3 | 79.9 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 110 |
| Oshana | 4.0 | 11.3 | 79.0 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 75 |
| Oshikoto | 8.7 | 7.0 | 79.0 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 102 |
| Otjozondjupa | 5.9 | 10.8 | 80.2 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 120 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.7 | 8.4 | 84.3 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 212 |
| Primary | 4.9 | 10.3 | 79.0 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 376 |
| Secondary | 8.2 | 9.3 | 79.1 | 4.5 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 509 |
| More than secondary | 14.6 | 6.3 | 70.9 | 14.2 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 118 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 5.1 | 9.0 | 81.3 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 213 |
| Second | 1.2 | 12.2 | 78.5 | 8.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 208 |
| Middle | 4.6 | 8.8 | 84.0 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 248 |
| Fourth | 6.9 | 8.0 | 81.9 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 283 |
| Highest | 13.8 | 8.2 | 71.0 | 10.7 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 269 |
| 50-64 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 73.8 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 431 |
| Total 35-64 | 6.7 | 9.1 | 79.3 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 1,221 |

Note: Total includes 7 men with missing information on education
${ }^{1}$ An individual was classified as having diabetes if he/she had a fasting plasma glucose of $7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ or above at the time of the survey or was currently taking medication to manage diabetes. The term "diabetes" as used in this table is not meant to be a clinical diagnosis of the disease; rather, it provides an indication of the disease burden in the population at the time of the survey.
${ }^{2}$ Body mass index is expressed as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

## Key Findings

- Knowledge of tuberculosis (TB) among women and men age 15-49 is nearly universal ( 99 percent and 98 percent, respectively).
- Eighty-six percent of women and 81 percent of men age 15-49 correctly responded that TB is spread through the air by coughing.
- Thirty percent of women and 31 percent of men would want to keep a family member's TB status a secret.
- Only 4 percent of women age $15-49$ smoke cigarettes, as compared with 19 percent of men.
- Forty-four percent of women and 62 percent of men age 15-49 always use seatbelts, whereas 20 percent of young women and 13 percent of young men age 15-19 never use seatbelts.
- Five percent of women and 12 percent of men age 15-49 are physically active at work, while 16 percent of women and 32 percent of men engage in non-work-related physical activity.
- Most men who are physically active exercise five to seven days each week in both urban and rural areas.

Ahealthy population is an end in itself, along with being one of the most basic requirements for quality of life and a basic foundation for a country's economic growth and development. It is important for the population to live a healthy lifestyle, free from communicable and noncommunicable diseases and free from use of destructive substances. Healthy eating habits and positive mental health are also associated with improved health outcomes.

Around the world, whether in developed or developing countries, the rapid increases in noncommunicable diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer are becoming a challenge in achieving global progress. Namibia, similar to other countries that are in an epidemiological transition, is experiencing an increase in noncommunicable diseases, obesity, and other conditions associated with urbanisation and modern, less active lifestyles, combined with new and reemerging infectious diseases such as HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted infections. This imposes a double burden on the country, with Namibia facing exposure to diseases characteristic of both developed and developing societies.

This chapter presents information on adult health issues in Namibia such as cancer screening, knowledge of and attitudes concerning tuberculosis, fruit and vegetable consumption, mental health, use of tobacco and alcohol, and health insurance coverage.

### 18.1 Knowledge of and Attitudes toward Tuberculosis

Tuberculosis (TB) is a communicable disease that is of public health concern in Namibia. Since TB primarily affects economically productive age groups, it has a negative socioeconomic impact on individuals, families, and society at large (Ministry of Health and Social Services [MoHSS], 2010d). TB is caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis, whose transmission is mainly airborne through droplets coughed or sneezed out by infected persons. The infection is primarily concentrated in the lungs, but in some cases it can be transmitted to other areas of the body. With a case notification rate of 529 cases per 100,000 inhabitants in 2012, Namibia continues to experience TB in epidemic proportions (MoHSS, 2013a). Namibia developed the Second Medium Term Strategic Plan (MTP) for Tuberculosis and Leprosy
covering the period 2010-2015; this plan focuses on fighting TB through prevention and treatment efforts at the national, regional, and district levels. Namibia's first drug resistance TB survey, conducted in 2008, showed a multidrug-resistant TB prevalence of 4 percent among patients who had not previously been treated for TB and 17 percent among those who had previously received at least one month of TB treatment. If the second MTP is to succeed, it is vital that the strategies put in place also address community knowledge and attitudes.

The 2013 NDHS collected information from women and men age 15-64 on knowledge of and attitudes toward TB. Specifically, respondents were asked whether they had ever heard of the illness, how it spreads from one person to another, whether it can be cured, and whether they would want to keep the information secret if a member of their family contracted TB. This information is useful in policy formulation and implementation of programmes designed to combat and limit the spread of the disease and address issues of discrimination. The findings are presented in Tables 18.1.1 and 18.1.2.

Table 18.1.1 Knowledge of and attitudes concerning tuberculosis: Women
Percentage of women age 15-49 who have heard of tuberculosis (TB), and among women who have heard of TB, the percentage who know that TB is spread through the air by coughing, the percentage who believe that TB can be cured, and the percentage who would want to keep secret that a family member has TB, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | All women |  | Women who have heard of TB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who have heard of TB | Number of women | Percentage who report that TB is spread through the air by coughing | Percentage who believe that TB can be cured | Percentage who would want a family member's TB kept secret | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 98.2 | 1,906 | 82.0 | 86.9 | 40.1 | 1,871 |
| 20-24 | 98.7 | 1,786 | 86.0 | 92.9 | 28.9 | 1,763 |
| 25-29 | 99.2 | 1,489 | 86.3 | 95.2 | 28.9 | 1,477 |
| 30-34 | 99.2 | 1,260 | 88.3 | 96.2 | 27.1 | 1,250 |
| 35-39 | 98.7 | 1,110 | 85.0 | 96.2 | 26.3 | 1,096 |
| 40-44 | 98.8 | 917 | 87.5 | 96.6 | 22.6 | 906 |
| 45-49 | 99.8 | 708 | 85.6 | 95.0 | 24.2 | 707 |
| 50-54 | 99.0 | 797 | 78.8 | 94.4 | 25.4 | 789 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 5,503 | 89.0 | 95.4 | 25.9 | 5,432 |
| Rural | 99.1 | 4,470 | 80.0 | 91.2 | 33.6 | 4,427 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 97.2 | 494 | 85.2 | 92.8 | 45.5 | 480 |
| Erongo | 99.5 | 820 | 90.3 | 96.3 | 19.1 | 815 |
| Hardap | 97.7 | 341 | 89.5 | 88.5 | 27.5 | 333 |
| //Karas | 99.2 | 374 | 91.3 | 96.7 | 27.8 | 371 |
| Kavango | 99.0 | 913 | 84.4 | 88.8 | 48.4 | 905 |
| Khomas | 97.9 | 2,317 | 89.8 | 95.7 | 28.0 | 2,269 |
| Kunene | 98.6 | 286 | 84.0 | 92.3 | 23.2 | 282 |
| Ohangwena | 99.8 | 974 | 72.0 | 93.9 | 28.9 | 971 |
| Omaheke | 98.7 | 249 | 88.5 | 93.3 | 24.4 | 246 |
| Omusati | 99.6 | 1,013 | 77.9 | 91.5 | 24.8 | 1,009 |
| Oshana | 99.5 | 822 | 82.6 | 95.7 | 24.5 | 818 |
| Oshikoto | 99.4 | 774 | 84.4 | 93.6 | 34.4 | 769 |
| Otjozondjupa | 98.9 | 596 | 89.7 | 89.6 | 21.4 | 590 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 96.7 | 572 | 69.3 | 84.0 | 33.8 | 554 |
| Primary | 98.8 | 2,168 | 77.7 | 90.0 | 37.4 | 2,141 |
| Secondary | 99.1 | 6,238 | 87.5 | 95.0 | 27.9 | 6,180 |
| More than secondary | 98.9 | 995 | 93.6 | 97.0 | 18.3 | 985 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 98.8 | 1,614 | 75.4 | 89.3 | 40.4 | 1,595 |
| Second | 98.6 | 1,776 | 80.4 | 92.0 | 33.7 | 1,751 |
| Middle | 98.6 | 1,927 | 83.4 | 93.3 | 29.9 | 1,901 |
| Fourth | 99.0 | 2,285 | 88.7 | 95.4 | 25.2 | 2,263 |
| Highest | 99.1 | 2,371 | 92.6 | 95.8 | 22.0 | 2,349 |
| Total 15-49 | 98.8 | 9,176 | 85.5 | 93.4 | 29.7 | 9,070 |
| 50-64 | 99.0 | 797 | 78.8 | 94.4 | 25.4 | 789 |

Table 18.1.2 Knowledge of and attitudes concerning tuberculosis: Men
Percentage of men age 15-49 who have heard of tuberculosis (TB), and among men who have heard of TB, the percentage who know that TB is spread through the air by coughing, the percentage who believe that TB can be cured, and the percentage who would want to keep secret that a family member has TB, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | All men |  | Men who have heard of TB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who have heard of TB | Number of men | Percentage who report that TB is spread through the air by coughing | Percentage who believe that TB can be cured | Percentage who would want a family member's TB kept secret | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 97.6 | 922 | 77.7 | 88.9 | 40.4 | 900 |
| 20-24 | 98.4 | 808 | 81.4 | 92.4 | 34.6 | 795 |
| 25-29 | 98.1 | 658 | 81.7 | 96.4 | 29.0 | 646 |
| 30-34 | 98.0 | 520 | 82.2 | 94.7 | 22.8 | 510 |
| 35-39 | 98.9 | 448 | 83.2 | 97.2 | 23.9 | 443 |
| 40-44 | 98.0 | 376 | 84.2 | 96.4 | 31.1 | 368 |
| 45-49 | 99.4 | 289 | 83.8 | 96.1 | 25.9 | 287 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.3 | 2,282 | 86.3 | 95.3 | 31.1 | 2,243 |
| Rural | 98.2 | 1,739 | 74.8 | 91.7 | 31.6 | 1,707 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 98.0 | 218 | 83.4 | 84.2 | 37.0 | 213 |
| Erongo | 97.9 | 372 | 90.7 | 95.8 | 19.3 | 364 |
| Hardap | 99.2 | 152 | 77.8 | 81.6 | 32.8 | 151 |
| //Karas | 93.2 | 151 | 84.5 | 92.5 | 25.0 | 141 |
| Kavango | 98.8 | 316 | 93.1 | 94.3 | 11.8 | 312 |
| Khomas | 98.2 | 1,023 | 85.1 | 97.1 | 34.0 | 1,004 |
| Kunene | 95.0 | 104 | 83.2 | 94.2 | 41.3 | 99 |
| Ohangwena | 99.6 | 328 | 63.7 | 97.2 | 34.5 | 327 |
| Omaheke | 98.5 | 103 | 91.1 | 96.3 | 45.1 | 102 |
| Omusati | 98.9 | 342 | 67.2 | 92.7 | 32.1 | 338 |
| Oshana | 99.0 | 335 | 79.9 | 93.6 | 26.0 | 331 |
| Oshikoto | 99.0 | 335 | 74.3 | 92.0 | 29.8 | 332 |
| Otjozondjupa | 97.2 | 241 | 85.6 | 90.6 | 56.8 | 234 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 96.8 | 310 | 67.0 | 91.0 | 28.8 | 300 |
| Primary | 97.3 | 944 | 72.2 | 90.2 | 35.0 | 918 |
| Secondary | 98.8 | 2,400 | 85.2 | 95.1 | 31.6 | 2,372 |
| More than secondary | 97.9 | 368 | 91.4 | 96.4 | 22.3 | 360 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 97.6 | 594 | 71.4 | 91.7 | 28.9 | 580 |
| Second | 98.1 | 769 | 77.5 | 91.8 | 32.8 | 754 |
| Middle | 98.6 | 886 | 78.0 | 93.7 | 31.8 | 874 |
| Fourth | 98.9 | 917 | 83.8 | 94.8 | 30.7 | 906 |
| Highest | 97.7 | 855 | 92.5 | 95.8 | 31.9 | 835 |
| Total 15-49 | 98.2 | 4,021 | 81.3 | 93.7 | 31.3 | 3,950 |
| 50-64 | 97.7 | 460 | 83.8 | 96.7 | 26.0 | 449 |

Nearly all women and men have heard of TB. Eighty-six percent of women and 81 percent of men age 15-49 correctly responded that TB is spread through the air by coughing. A lower proportion of women age 50-64 (79 percent) responded that TB is spread through the air by coughing, when compared with men in the same age group ( 84 percent). Knowledge increases with education and wealth among both women and men. For example, seven in ten women and men age 15-49 with no education report that TB is spread through the air by coughing, compared with more than nine in ten women and men with more than a secondary education. More than 90 percent of both women and men believe that TB can be cured, with small differences across subgroups. When asked whether they would want to keep a family member's TB status a secret, 30 percent of women and 31 percent of men age 15-49 responded that they would. This is a noticeable increase since the 2006-07 NDHS survey, when 15 percent of women and 18 percent of men reported that they would want to keep a family member's TB status a secret. Fear of discrimination is highest among young women and men age 15-19.

### 18.2 Cancer Screening

### 18.2.1 Breast Cancer and Cervical Cancer Screening

Breast self-examination (BSE) for cancer is a very important part of every adult woman's personal health regimen. BSE should be performed monthly beginning at age 20 and should continue each month
throughout a woman's lifetime. In addition to BSE, adult women should undergo regular clinical breast examinations performed by a health professional. Table 18.2 shows the percentage of women who have performed a breast cancer self-exam or had an exam by a health professional (doctor or nurse/midwife). Questions on BSE and clinical breast exams were included for the first time in the 2013 NDHS.

About one-third of women (33 percent) age 15-49 have ever had a breast cancer examination; 31 percent have performed a self-exam, and 23 percent have had a clinical exam. Women age 45-49, those who have 3-4 children, women who are divorced, separated, or widowed, those with more than a secondary education, and those in the highest wealth quintile are more likely to have performed a breast cancer self-exam or to have had an examination by a health professional than other women. Thirty nine percent of women in urban areas have ever had a breast cancer self-exam compared with 18 percent of women in rural areas. More than half of the women in Erongo ( 52 percent) have ever had a breast cancer self-exam compared with only one in ten women in Kavango.

The 2013 NDHS also included questions on cervical cancer screening. The Pap test checks for changes in the cells of the cervix (the lower part of the uterus/womb that opens into the birth canal) that show cervical cancer or conditions that may develop into cervical cancer. Pre-cancerous changes are usually caused by the sexually transmitted human papillomavirus (HPV). The Pap test aims to detect and prevent the progression of HPV-induced cervical cancer and other abnormalities in the female genital tract. If detected early, cervical cancer can be cured. All women who are age 21 or older or who are sexually active should have an annual Pap test. During the survey, all women age 15-64 were asked whether they had ever heard of cervical cancer and whether they had had an exam for cervical cancer. Women who reported having had a cervical cancer exam were asked about the type of exam they had.

Table 18.2 shows that 66 percent of women age 15-49 have heard of cervical cancer and 25 percent have had a cervical cancer exam. Women age 35 and older, those with 3-4 children, women who are married or living together with a partner, urban women and those living in Oshana, women with more than a secondary education, and those in the highest wealth quintile are more likely than their counterparts in the other categories to have had a cervical cancer exam.

Percentage of women age 15-49 who have ever performed a breast cancer self-examination or had an examination by a health professional, percentage who have heard of cervical cancer, and percentage who have ever had a cervical cancer test or examination by type, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

Among women age 15-49 who have had a cervical cancer exam, the vast majority ( 93 percent) have had a Pap test; 2 percent have had a visual exam with acetic acid.

### 18.2.2 Prostate Cancer Screening

Prostate cancer starts in the prostate gland, which is a small, walnut-sized structure that makes up part of a man's reproductive system. Prostate cancer can be detected through a digital rectal exam. Also, the blood level of prostate-specific antigen (PSA), a protein that is produced by the prostate, can be tested.

All men age 40-64 were asked whether they had ever heard of prostate cancer. Men who had heard of prostate cancer were also asked if they had ever had a prostate cancer test or exam.

Table 18.3 shows that 64 percent of men age 40-64 have heard of prostate cancer. Men age 50-54, urban men, men in Omaheke, those with more than a secondary education, and men in the highest wealth quintile are most likely to have heard of prostate cancer.

Twenty-seven percent of men 4064 reported that they have had a test or exam for prostate cancer. This percentage increases with age, from 23 percent among men age 40-44 to 31 percent among men age 55-64. Urban men are nearly twice as likely as rural men to report having had a test or exam ( 29 percent versus 17 percent). Forty-two percent of men in //Karas report having had a test or exam for prostate cancer, as compared with 10 percent of men in Kunene. The percentage of men who have had a prostate cancer test or exam increases with increasing education and wealth. Men with more than a secondary education are nearly seven times as likely as men with no education to have had a test or exam (40 percent compared with 6 percent). Similarly, men in the highest wealth quintile are more than three times as likely as men in the lowest quintile to report having had a test or exam for prostate cancer.

| Table 18.3 Knowledge of and testing for prostate cancer |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of men age 40-64 who have heard of prostate cancer, and among men who have heard of prostate cancer, the percentage who have ever had an examination or test, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Heard of prostate cancer | Number of men | Ever had a test/exam for prostate cancer | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 53.3 | 376 | 22.9 | 200 |
| 45-49 | 63.0 | 289 | 25.9 | 182 |
| 50-54 | 75.1 | 184 | 29.0 | 138 |
| 55-59 | 73.1 | 152 | 31.0 | 111 |
| 60-64 | 68.0 | 124 | 31.4 | 84 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.4 | 2,282 | 28.9 | 237 |
| Rural | 8.4 | 1,739 | 16.8 | 146 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 6.7 | 218 | 24.1 | 15 |
| Erongo | 10.6 | 372 | 27.1 | 40 |
| Hardap | 12.7 | 152 | 20.6 | 19 |
| //Karas | 11.5 | 151 | 41.5 | 17 |
| Kavango | 7.4 | 316 | 24.4 | 24 |
| Khomas | 10.2 | 1,023 | 28.5 | 105 |
| Kunene | 7.4 | 104 | 9.7 | 8 |
| Ohangwena | 6.4 | 328 | 27.9 | 21 |
| Omaheke | 18.0 | 103 | 18.2 | 19 |
| Omusati | 8.2 | 342 | 16.6 | 28 |
| Oshana | 10.0 | 335 | 10.7 | 33 |
| Oshikoto | 7.1 | 335 | 15.4 | 24 |
| Otjozondjupa | 12.9 | 241 | 32.3 | 31 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.6 | 310 | 6.3 | 30 |
| Primary | 10.7 | 944 | 13.3 | 101 |
| Secondary | 7.4 | 2,400 | 26.9 | 176 |
| More than secondary | 20.6 | 368 | 40.0 | 76 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 8.1 | 594 | 13.5 | 48 |
| Second | 6.9 | 769 | 10.4 | 53 |
| Middle | 6.0 | 886 | 11.0 | 53 |
| Fourth | 11.2 | 917 | 21.8 | 103 |
| Highest | 14.6 | 855 | 42.0 | 125 |
| Total 40-64 | 63.7 | 1,125 | 27.1 | 716 |

### 18.3 Use of Tobacco

Smoking has a powerful negative impact on population health. Smoking is a known risk factor for cardiovascular disease; it causes lung cancer and other forms of cancer and contributes to the severity of pneumonia, emphysema, and chronic bronchitis. It may also have an impact on individuals who are exposed to secondhand smoke. For example, inhaling secondhand smoke may adversely affect children's growth and cause childhood illnesses, especially respiratory diseases. Because smoking is an acquired behaviour, all morbidity and mortality caused by smoking is preventable.

On 29 May 2007 in Geneva, the World Health Organization (WHO) signalled the urgent need for countries to make all indoor public places and workplaces 100 percent smoke-free with the release of its new policy recommendations on protection from exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke. ${ }^{1}$ The Namibian government's Tobacco Control Act (Act No. 1 of 2010) aims to control the use of tobacco products. Tobacco use is addictive, affects the health of persons of all ages, and negates the achievements gained through the programs of the Namibian Health Policy Framework.

[^20]According to WHO, tobacco kills more than 6 million people worldwide each year, among whom more than 10 percent are non-users exposed to secondhand smoke. Tobacco smoking is responsible for 90 percent of lung cancer, 70 percent of chronic respiratory illnesses, and 25 percent of heart disease. More than 80 percent of the world's smokers live in low- and middle-income countries. Because there is a lag of several years between when people start using tobacco and when their health suffers, the health consequences are not felt immediately.

Women and men interviewed in the 2013 NDHS were asked about their smoking habits. Tables 18.4.1 and 18.4.2 show the percentage of women and men who smoke cigarettes or tobacco and the percent distribution of male cigarette smokers by number of cigarettes smoked in the preceding 24 hours, according to background characteristics. Due to the small numbers of female smokers, a breakdown by number of cigarettes smoked by background characteristics is not shown separately.

Table 18.4.1 Use of tobacco: Women
Percentage of women age 15-49 who smoke cigarettes or a pipe or use other tobacco products, and the percentage who use tobacco daily among tobacco users, according to background characteristics and maternity status, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Uses tobacco |  |  | Does not usetobacco | Number of women | $\begin{gathered} \text { Smokes } \\ \text { tobacco } \\ \text { products daily } \end{gathered}$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cigarettes | Pipe | Other tobacco |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 98.1 | 1,906 | (5.7) | 36 |
| 20-24 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 96.1 | 1,786 | 13.1 | 70 |
| 25-29 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 95.1 | 1,489 | 18.4 | 73 |
| 30-34 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 94.6 | 1,260 | 33.1 | 68 |
| 35-39 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 93.1 | 1,110 | 23.4 | 77 |
| 40-44 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 89.9 | 917 | 33.4 | 93 |
| 45-49 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 92.6 | 708 | 39.9 | 53 |
| Maternity status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 96.6 | 600 | (23.3) | 21 |
| Breastfeeding (not pregnant) | 4.6 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 94.4 | 1,234 | 25.6 | 69 |
| Neither | 4.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 94.8 | 7,342 | 24.9 | 380 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.5 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 93.5 | 5,190 | 18.5 | 336 |
| Rural | 2.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 96.6 | 3,986 | 41.1 | 134 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 97.7 | 457 | * | 11 |
| Erongo | 7.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 92.2 | 771 | 14.9 | 60 |
| Hardap | 15.8 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 82.4 | 304 | 20.2 | 53 |
| //Karas | 12.2 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 87.0 | 343 | 20.5 | 45 |
| Kavango | 3.6 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 95.6 | 835 | (45.4) | 37 |
| Khomas | 4.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 94.4 | 2,202 | 12.7 | 123 |
| Kunene | 5.8 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 90.9 | 258 | 33.0 | 24 |
| Ohangwena | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 98.5 | 894 | * | 14 |
| Omaheke | 9.1 | 1.0 | 7.2 | 84.3 | 225 | 42.8 | 35 |
| Omusati | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.5 | 884 | * | 4 |
| Oshana | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.5 | 755 | * | 12 |
| Oshikoto | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 96.9 | 707 | * | 22 |
| Otjozondjupa | 4.8 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 94.3 | 540 | (42.8) | 31 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.0 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 83.8 | 419 | 49.0 | 68 |
| Primary | 4.9 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 93.4 | 1,798 | 44.6 | 119 |
| Secondary | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 95.8 | 6,029 | 12.2 | 255 |
| More than secondary | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.0 | 930 | (0.0) | 28 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 3.4 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 95.4 | 1,429 | 56.9 | 66 |
| Second | 3.0 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 95.7 | 1,625 | 43.5 | 70 |
| Middle | 2.6 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 96.5 | 1,795 | 29.2 | 64 |
| Fourth | 5.1 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 94.3 | 2,116 | 17.2 | 121 |
| Highest | 5.9 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 93.3 | 2,211 | 6.6 | 149 |
| Total 15-49 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 94.9 | 9,176 | 25.0 | 470 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
Table 18.4.2 Use of tobacco: Men
Percentage of men age 15-49 who smoke cigarettes or a pipe or use other tobacco products, the percentage who use tobacco daily among tobacco users, and the percent distribution of cigarette
smokers by number of cigarettes smoked in the preceding 24 hours, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Uses tobacco |  |  | Does not use tobacco | Number of men | Percent distribution of men who smoke cigarettes by number of cigarettes smoked in the past 24 hours |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of men | Smokes tobacco products daily | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cigarettes | Pipe | Other tobacco |  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10+ | Don't know/ missing |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 93.7 | 922 | 10.1 | 32.4 | 32.7 | 14.9 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 51 | 24.3 | 58 |
| 20-24 | 19.7 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 79.2 | 808 | 4.3 | 31.3 | 33.2 | 15.6 | 13.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 159 | 10.4 | 168 |
| 25-29 | 23.7 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 75.2 | 658 | 7.9 | 27.7 | 37.1 | 15.0 | 9.8 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 156 | 13.0 | 163 |
| 30-34 | 24.2 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 73.7 | 520 | 5.9 | 25.5 | 38.4 | 15.2 | 14.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 126 | 9.1 | 137 |
| 35-39 | 23.8 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 74.6 | 448 | 3.5 | 36.4 | 25.3 | 8.9 | 22.1 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 107 | 3.9 | 114 |
| 40-44 | 23.1 | 2.0 | 5.9 | 72.8 | 376 | 2.3 | 12.8 | 47.8 | 18.2 | 13.9 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 87 | 10.1 | 102 |
| 45-49 | 22.2 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 74.6 | 289 | 5.1 | 18.8 | 33.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 64 | 8.8 | 73 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.1 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 78.7 | 2,282 | 4.5 | 28.0 | 32.7 | 18.0 | 14.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 460 | 9.0 | 487 |
| Rural | 16.7 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 81.1 | 1,739 | 6.9 | 25.9 | 39.6 | 10.6 | 13.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 290 | 13.0 | 329 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 32.4 | 0.4 | 6.9 | 67.6 | 218 | 7.4 | 29.7 | 38.2 | 10.0 | 13.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 71 | 1.2 | 71 |
| Erongo | 19.5 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 78.9 | 372 | 2.1 | 32.4 | 33.1 | 13.2 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 72 | 13.3 | 78 |
| Hardap | 38.6 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 58.6 | 152 | 3.9 | 23.9 | 42.9 | 16.4 | 11.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 59 | 19.4 | 63 |
| //Karas | 29.0 | 2.2 | 13.5 | 64.4 | 151 | 6.3 | 29.6 | 31.5 | 13.2 | 18.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 44 | 13.2 | 54 |
| Kavango | 19.5 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 78.7 | 316 | 3.8 | 26.3 | 47.3 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 62 | 12.0 | 67 |
| Khomas | 17.1 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 81.7 | 1,023 | 4.0 | 29.6 | 28.2 | 22.9 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 175 | 2.6 | 187 |
| Kunene | 34.8 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 62.4 | 104 | 4.1 | 14.3 | 41.2 | 17.3 | 17.2 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 36 | 10.9 | 39 |
| Ohangwena | 7.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 90.4 | 328 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 25 | * | 32 |
| Omaheke | 35.9 | 3.3 | 11.1 | 61.6 | 103 | 1.9 | 15.6 | 51.6 | 18.6 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 37 | 22.4 | 40 |
| Omusati | 7.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 92.5 | 342 | * | * | * | . |  | * | 100.0 | 24 | * | 26 |
| Oshana | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 89.1 | 335 | (4.0) | (18.0) | (42.9) | (18.8) | (13.5) | (2.8) | 100.0 | 36 | (0.0) | 36 |
| Oshikoto | 11.7 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 85.6 | 335 | (21.4) | (32.7) | (29.6) | (8.9) | (4.3) | (3.0) | 100.0 | 39 | (13.4) | 48 |
| Otjozondjupa | 28.8 | 0.9 | 5.8 | 69.0 | 241 | 0.7 | 21.6 | 30.3 | 13.5 | 15.6 | 18.3 | 100.0 | 69 | 12.7 | 75 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 20.4 | 3.1 | 7.7 | 73.5 | 310 | 6.6 | 28.3 | 43.4 | 12.1 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 63 | 19.4 | 82 |
| Primary | 18.6 | 1.5 | 5.4 | 79.1 | 944 | 6.4 | 25.0 | 35.6 | 16.9 | 12.4 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 176 | 13.1 | 197 |
| Secondary | 19.3 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 79.7 | 2,400 | 4.6 | 28.1 | 35.6 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 464 | 9.0 | 486 |
| More than secondary | 12.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 86.5 | 368 | (8.4) | (24.3) | (21.1) | (12.0) | (31.0) | (3.3) | 100.0 | 47 | (1.4) | 50 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 17.5 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 79.1 | 594 | 8.2 | 32.4 | 36.2 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 104 | 12.4 | 124 |
| Second | 18.2 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 79.2 | 769 | 7.2 | 25.3 | 38.7 | 15.7 | 11.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 140 | 18.4 | 160 |
| Middle | 15.4 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 83.9 | 886 | 4.0 | 26.5 | 41.0 | 11.5 | 12.8 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 137 | 18.5 | 143 |
| Fourth | 22.4 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 76.8 | 917 | 4.7 | 23.0 | 35.8 | 20.1 | 12.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 206 | 5.2 | 213 |
| Highest | 19.1 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 79.4 | 855 | 4.2 | 31.2 | 26.7 | 13.0 | 22.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 163 | 2.5 | 176 |
| Total 15-49 | 18.6 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 79.7 | 4,021 | 5.4 | 27.2 | 35.4 | 15.1 | 14.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 750 | 10.6 | 815 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

Only 4 percent of women age 15-49 smoke cigarettes, less than 1 percent smoke a pipe, and 2 percent smoke other tobacco. Older women are more likely to smoke than younger women; 1 percent of women age 15-19 smoke cigarettes, as compared with 8 percent of women age 40-44. Women in the oldest age group are also more likely to use tobacco other than cigarettes or pipes, and 40 percent of women age 45-49 smoke tobacco products daily. One in six women in Hardap (16 percent) smoke cigarettes, and 5 percent use tobacco in other forms. On the other hand, 1 percent or less of women in Ohangwena and Omusati use either cigarettes or other types of tobacco. Seven percent of women in Omaheke use any type of tobacco, and 43 percent smoke tobacco products daily. Women's level of education and wealth status are related to their propensity to smoke. Women with no education (10 percent) and women in the highest wealth quintile (6 percent) are more likely to smoke cigarettes than other women.

Among women who smoke, 29 percent smoked 3-5 cigarettes in the past 24 hours, 13 percent smoked 6-9 cigarettes, and 23 percent smoked 10 or more cigarettes (data not shown separately). Smoking is more popular among urban than rural women.

Table 18.4.2 shows that smoking is more common among Namibian men than women; 19 percent of men smoke cigarettes or pipe, as compared with 5 percent of women. The likelihood of a man smoking cigarettes or pipe increases with age, from 6 percent among those age 15-19 to 21-24 percent among older men. Across regions, men in Hardap are most likely to smoke cigarettes or pipe ( 39 percent) and men in Omusati least likely (8 percent). There is little variation in tobacco use among men by residence, level of education, or wealth quintile.

Among men who smoke cigarettes, 27 percent smoked 1-2 cigarettes, 35 percent smoked 3-5 cigarettes, 15 percent smoked 6-9 cigarettes, and 14 percent smoked 10 or more cigarettes within the past 24 hours. Heavy smoking ( 10 cigarettes or more in the past 24 hours) is more prevalent among men age 35-39 (22 percent) than among men age 15-19 ( 6 percent). The proportion of men who smoke cigarettes is relatively higher in Hardap than in the other regions ( 39 percent). However, only 12 percent of smokers in Hardap smoked 10 or more cigarettes in the past 24 hours, with the majority ( 43 percent) smoking 3-5 cigarettes. Tobacco use among men varies somewhat by wealth status. For example, men in the lowest wealth quintile are least likely to have smoked 10 or more cigarettes in the past 24 hours ( 9 percent), and men in the highest wealth quintile are most likely to have done so (23 percent).

Average ages at first use among tobacco users are 21 years for men and 34 years for women (data not shown separately).

### 18.4 Alcohol Consumption

Tables 18.5 .1 and 18.5 .2 show the percentage of respondents age $15-49$ who had ever consumed alcoholic drinks and the percent distribution by the number of days they had consumed alcohol in the last two weeks, according to background characteristics. One in two women ( 50 percent) and almost three in five men age 15-49 (57 percent) reported drinking alcohol at some point in their lives. Women age 25-39 are more likely to have ever consumed alcohol than women in the other age groups. Two in three women (68 percent) in Oshikoto report that they have ever consumed alcohol. Women with more than a secondary education (63 percent) and those in the highest wealth quintile are more likely than their counterparts in the other categories to report ever having consumed alcohol. The percentage of men who have ever consumed alcoholic drinks is highest among those age 25-29 (66 percent), among men in Oshana ( 80 percent), those with more than a secondary education (68 percent), and among men in the highest wealth quintile (60 percent).
Table 18.5.1 Use of alcohol: Women
Percentage of women age 15-49 who have ever consumed alcohol, the percent distribution of alcohol users by number of days at least one alcoholic drink was consumed in the preceding two weeks, and the percent
distribution of alcohol users by number of drinks consumed in the preceding two weeks, according to background characteristics and maternity status, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Ever consumed alcohol | Number of women | Number of days consumed alcohol in the past two weeks |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Number of drinks consumed per day |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | $5+$ | Don't know/ missing |  |  | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5+ | Don't know/ missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 36.9 | 1,906 | 75.1 | 15.6 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 704 | 52.7 | 28.4 | 14.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 170 |
| 20-24 | 52.8 | 1,786 | 54.4 | 30.7 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 943 | 45.2 | 22.0 | 24.9 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 410 |
| 25-29 | 54.2 | 1,489 | 48.1 | 30.4 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 807 | 45.1 | 24.5 | 24.1 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 394 |
| 30-34 | 53.6 | 1,260 | 47.5 | 28.2 | 11.3 | 9.6 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 675 | 44.6 | 22.8 | 18.6 | 14.1 | 100.0 | 331 |
| 35-39 | 54.4 | 1,110 | 54.3 | 24.7 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 604 | 45.7 | 28.0 | 20.6 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 268 |
| 40-44 | 50.5 | 917 | 47.3 | 24.1 | 11.5 | 13.1 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 463 | 39.3 | 21.4 | 25.0 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 45-49 | 49.4 | 708 | 46.8 | 29.7 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 350 | 46.3 | 26.1 | 18.6 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 173 |
| 50-54 | 52.6 | 797 | 52.4 | 23.0 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 420 | 51.7 | 15.8 | 19.0 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 177 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.9 | 5,503 | 53.2 | 27.9 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 2,802 | 44.1 | 25.9 | 22.9 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 1,219 |
| Rural | 48.4 | 4,470 | 55.0 | 23.8 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 2,163 | 47.8 | 20.6 | 19.5 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 929 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 14.2 | 494 | 56.5 | 21.3 | 3.3 | 10.8 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 70 | (38.8) | (22.0) | (20.9) | (18.3) | 100.0 | 25 |
| Erongo | 47.8 | 820 | 47.8 | 34.6 | 10.0 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 392 | 39.6 | 30.3 | 22.6 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Hardap | 42.4 | 341 | 52.7 | 21.6 | 11.0 | 12.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 145 | 23.4 | 30.9 | 36.0 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 66 |
| //Karas | 42.7 | 374 | 52.1 | 33.4 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 160 | 41.4 | 28.6 | 28.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 75 |
| Kavango | 36.8 | 913 | 52.7 | 22.3 | 9.6 | 14.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 336 | 33.7 | 15.1 | 19.1 | 32.2 | 100.0 | 155 |
| Khomas | 52.9 | 2,317 | 51.3 | 28.8 | 8.6 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 1,225 | 45.2 | 24.9 | 22.1 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 539 |
| Kunene | 48.2 | 286 | 69.0 | 17.2 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 138 | 27.9 | 22.9 | 37.3 | 11.9 | 100.0 | 41 |
| Ohangwena | 54.3 | 974 | 52.8 | 21.6 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 529 | 47.5 | 20.5 | 19.8 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Omaheke | 46.5 | 249 | 55.5 | 26.4 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 116 | 32.1 | 23.0 | 33.7 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 49 |
| Omusati | 58.5 | 1,013 | 55.1 | 26.7 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 593 | 56.8 | 23.7 | 13.7 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 259 |
| Oshana | 61.8 | 822 | 58.4 | 21.4 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 508 | 51.7 | 26.4 | 19.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 201 |
| Oshikoto | 67.9 | 774 | 60.0 | 24.8 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 525 | 63.3 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 204 |
| Otjozondjupa | 38.4 | 596 | 48.8 | 29.5 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 229 | 33.7 | 25.1 | 30.2 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 47.2 | 572 | 51.6 | 17.7 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 270 | 36.4 | 20.7 | 16.5 | 26.4 | 100.0 | 116 |
| Primary | 46.8 | 2,168 | 52.2 | 22.2 | 11.4 | 10.8 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1,015 | 41.4 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 451 |
| Secondary | 49.0 | 6,238 | 55.1 | 26.8 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 3,054 | 45.8 | 25.4 | 21.6 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 1,300 |
| More than secondary | 62.8 | 995 | 52.6 | 32.9 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 625 | 56.2 | 22.5 | 20.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 282 |
| Maternity status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 51.1 | 600 | 69.8 | 18.0 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 307 | 54.2 | 21.5 | 16.7 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 87 |
| Breastfeeding (not pregnant) | 49.4 | 1,234 | 55.7 | 23.5 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 610 | 41.6 | 20.7 | 24.7 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 260 |
| Neither | 49.7 | 8,139 | 52.5 | 27.1 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 4,048 | 45.9 | 24.1 | 21.2 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 1,801 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 46.5 | 1,614 | 49.6 | 21.8 | 10.0 | 15.3 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 751 | 41.0 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 20.5 | 100.0 | 354 |
| Second | 46.7 | 1,776 | 55.1 | 23.9 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 830 | 46.5 | 25.0 | 19.3 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 348 |
| Middle | 50.1 | 1,927 | 56.4 | 25.8 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 966 | 48.5 | 23.3 | 20.7 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 402 |
| Fourth | 48.8 | 2,285 | 54.2 | 25.9 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1,116 | 40.4 | 26.4 | 25.4 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 485 |
| Highest | 54.9 | 2,371 | 53.9 | 30.4 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 1,301 | 50.9 | 22.9 | 21.6 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 560 |
| Total 15-49 | 49.5 | 9,176 | 54.1 | 26.4 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 4,545 | 45.2 | 24.3 | 21.7 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 1,971 |
| 50-64 | 52.6 | 797 | 52.4 | 23.0 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 420 | 51.7 | 15.8 | 19.0 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 177 |

Table 18.5.2 Use of alcohol: Men
Percentage of men age 15-49 who have ever consumed alcohol, the percent distribution of alcohol users by number of days at least one alcoholic drink was consumed in the preceding two weeks, and the percent distribution of alcohol users by number of drinks consumed in the preceding two weeks, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Ever consumed alcohol | Number of men | Number of days consumed alcohol in the past two weeks |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of men | Number of drinks consumed per day |  |  |  | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5+ | Don't know/ missing |  |  | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5+ | Don't know/ missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 38.5 | 1,009 | 54.5 | 29.7 | 8.4 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 388 | 56.9 | 24.0 | 13.4 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 174 |
| 20-24 | 64.1 | 854 | 32.4 | 34.2 | 19.6 | 12.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 547 | 36.9 | 28.2 | 24.9 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 361 |
| 25-29 | 65.5 | 709 | 28.8 | 32.7 | 20.1 | 17.4 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 465 | 31.1 | 28.7 | 31.5 | 8.7 | 100.0 | 326 |
| 30-34 | 64.4 | 545 | 30.6 | 32.9 | 16.0 | 19.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 351 | 34.3 | 30.4 | 28.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 239 |
| 35-39 | 63.3 | 467 | 31.2 | 29.0 | 21.8 | 16.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 296 | 37.2 | 25.1 | 32.1 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 200 |
| 40-44 | 55.6 | 394 | 23.5 | 34.2 | 22.7 | 16.5 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 219 | 39.1 | 23.6 | 30.5 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 161 |
| 45-49 | 58.5 | 301 | 32.4 | 22.6 | 30.3 | 13.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 176 | 33.0 | 35.7 | 25.6 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 118 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 58.3 | 2,386 | 30.2 | 35.5 | 20.3 | 13.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,390 | 35.7 | 27.9 | 28.6 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 956 |
| Rural | 55.5 | 1,895 | 39.0 | 26.3 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1,053 | 40.2 | 27.8 | 24.5 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 621 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 51.5 | 225 | 31.6 | 27.3 | 17.7 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 116 | 16.0 | 13.7 | 41.7 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 67 |
| Erongo | 41.7 | 393 | 30.1 | 40.1 | 18.7 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 164 | 29.9 | 23.0 | 41.3 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Hardap | 50.2 | 165 | 36.6 | 37.5 | 17.5 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83 | 20.4 | 18.2 | 58.4 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 52 |
| //Karas | 53.6 | 164 | 38.5 | 34.8 | 18.9 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 88 | 28.2 | 24.5 | 34.2 | 13.1 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Kavango | 42.3 | 333 | 57.5 | 25.6 | 8.6 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 141 | 28.4 | 30.5 | 41.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 56 |
| Khomas | 64.3 | 1,054 | 27.1 | 36.7 | 22.1 | 13.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 678 | 35.5 | 28.7 | 28.5 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 491 |
| Kunene | 38.4 | 115 | 41.6 | 23.4 | 21.8 | 11.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 44 | 31.9 | 37.2 | 25.9 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 25 |
| Ohangwena | 62.6 | 364 | 33.8 | 21.3 | 21.0 | 23.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 228 | 46.6 | 30.8 | 21.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Omaheke | 36.9 | 119 | 34.7 | 33.5 | 13.2 | 16.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 44 | 23.1 | 23.7 | 52.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 28 |
| Omusati | 52.1 | 378 | 20.5 | 30.6 | 20.0 | 25.5 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 197 | 41.0 | 37.0 | 15.7 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Oshana | 79.5 | 354 | 46.6 | 24.2 | 13.9 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 282 | 60.4 | 29.7 | 8.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Oshikoto | 72.7 | 357 | 37.8 | 31.6 | 17.9 | 11.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 260 | 47.9 | 28.0 | 15.4 | 8.7 | 100.0 | 157 |
| Otjozondjupa | 46.1 | 259 | 30.0 | 35.5 | 20.6 | 12.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 119 | 22.4 | 21.0 | 31.4 | 25.2 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 51.7 | 338 | 33.6 | 23.7 | 18.0 | 22.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 175 | 30.4 | 24.5 | 35.8 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Primary | 54.4 | 1,018 | 40.6 | 26.7 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 554 | 39.7 | 28.8 | 26.8 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 320 |
| Secondary | 57.1 | 2,544 | 32.9 | 34.1 | 18.1 | 13.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,454 | 36.9 | 28.6 | 26.3 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 955 |
| More than secondary | 68.4 | 381 | 26.8 | 32.6 | 29.5 | 10.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 260 | 40.8 | 24.9 | 25.9 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 189 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 55.4 | 645 | 39.4 | 24.6 | 15.9 | 18.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 357 | 39.7 | 26.6 | 29.4 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 211 |
| Second | 55.8 | 812 | 41.3 | 25.2 | 17.5 | 14.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 453 | 38.5 | 33.0 | 23.2 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 259 |
| Middle | 57.1 | 952 | 32.6 | 30.9 | 20.2 | 14.3 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 544 | 45.2 | 24.2 | 22.0 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 356 |
| Fourth | 56.2 | 971 | 33.1 | 34.7 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 546 | 28.6 | 31.9 | 29.0 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 359 |
| Highest | 60.3 | 900 | 26.8 | 38.8 | 20.8 | 12.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 543 | 36.7 | 24.8 | 30.9 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 393 |
| Total 15-49 | 57.1 | 4,281 | 34.0 | 31.5 | 18.7 | 14.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 2,443 | 37.5 | 27.9 | 27.0 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 1,577 |
| 50-64 | 54.2 | 548 | 35.2 | 25.7 | 12.4 | 25.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 297 | 40.7 | 29.1 | 23.7 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 189 |

Fifty-four percent of women and 34 percent of men age 15-49 reported that they had not consumed alcohol in the past two weeks. Twenty-six percent of women and 32 percent of men reported that they had consumed alcohol on 1-2 days during the last two weeks; 9 percent and 19 percent, respectively, had consumed alcohol on 3-4 days during the last two weeks, and 8 percent and 14 percent, respectively, had consumed alcohol on 5 or more days.

Women and men who reported that they had consumed alcohol on at least one day in the two weeks before the survey were also asked to report on the number of drinks they consumed on average per day. The data show that 45 percent of women and 38 percent of men consumed 1-2 drinks per day, 24 percent of women and 28 percent of men consumed 3-4 drinks per day, and 22 percent of women and 27 percent of men consumed 5 or more drinks per day. Women age 20-24 and 40-44, urban women, women who live in Kunene, those with a primary education, breastfeeding women, and women in the fourth wealth quintile are more likely than their counterparts to have consumed five or more drinks per day. Alcohol consumption is also very high (five or more drinks per day) among men age 25-29, 35-39, and 4044 (about one in three men), urban men, men in Hardap, men with no education and those in the highest wealth quintile.

### 18.5 Use of Seatbelts

Seatbelt use is of high priority in Namibia, which has the highest per capita motor vehicle mortality rate in the world (WHO, 2013). ${ }^{2}$ In the 2013 NDHS, women and men age 15-64 were asked whether they had used a seatbelt in the last 30 days while they were seated in a vehicle as either a driver or a passenger and how often they had used a seatbelt.

Table 18.6 shows that 44 percent of women and 62 percent of men age $15-49$ always used seatbelts. Among women who had been in a vehicle in the last 30 days, the proportion who always used seatbelts ranged from a high of 53 percent in the 40-44 age group to a low of 30 percent in the 15-19 age group. Use among men was higher, ranging from a high of 72 percent in the 35-39 age group to a low of 43 percent in the 15-19 age group. The lowest seatbelt usage by far among men and women is in the youngest age group 15-19. Seatbelt usage is much higher in urban areas than rural areas. Fifty-six percent of women in urban areas always used seatbelts in the past 30 days, as compared with 29 percent of women in rural areas.; Among men, 76 percent of those in urban areas always used seatbelts in the past 30 days, compared with 43 percent of in rural areas.

[^21]Table 18.6 Use of seatbelts
Percent distribution of women and men age 15-49 by whether they used a seatbelt in the last 30 days, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Used seatbelt in the last 30 days |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All of the time | Sometimes | Never | Have not been in vehicle in last 30 days | No seatbelt in car | Don't know/ not sure/ missing |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 30.4 | 39.6 | 20.3 | 7.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 43.4 | 37.8 | 12.2 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 44.2 | 38.4 | 10.9 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 50.9 | 31.5 | 10.0 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 47.1 | 34.8 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 52.9 | 29.5 | 9.1 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 52.5 | 27.5 | 11.7 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 708 |
| 50-54 | 42.8 | 28.5 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 797 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 55.6 | 34.3 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5,503 |
| Rural | 29.4 | 35.6 | 19.5 | 11.8 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,470 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Erongo | 54.2 | 37.2 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 820 |
| Hardap | 51.9 | 30.9 | 5.7 | 10.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 341 |
| //Karas | 58.7 | 26.6 | 9.4 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 374 |
| Kavango | 14.8 | 32.2 | 39.0 | 10.4 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 913 |
| Khomas | 59.0 | 34.7 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,317 |
| Kunene | 36.5 | 29.9 | 12.0 | 20.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 286 |
| Ohangwena | 39.2 | 25.7 | 16.9 | 15.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 974 |
| Omaheke | 38.9 | 30.9 | 10.1 | 17.3 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 249 |
| Omusati | 27.3 | 55.8 | 8.4 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,013 |
| Oshana | 43.7 | 42.0 | 11.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 822 |
| Oshikoto | 44.6 | 22.4 | 15.5 | 13.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 774 |
| Otjozondjupa | 54.1 | 29.4 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 596 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 21.3 | 25.3 | 24.0 | 23.7 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 572 |
| Primary | 27.1 | 35.5 | 21.5 | 12.3 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,168 |
| Secondary | 47.5 | 36.7 | 10.6 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6,238 |
| More than secondary | 70.4 | 27.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 995 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 17.2 | 31.6 | 28.1 | 17.8 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,614 |
| Second | 28.7 | 40.0 | 17.6 | 10.6 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,776 |
| Middle | 37.7 | 41.8 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,927 |
| Fourth | 53.2 | 36.0 | 7.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,285 |
| Highest | 69.4 | 26.4 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,371 |
| Total 15-49 | 44.0 | 35.4 | 12.7 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 9,176 |
| 50-64 | 42.8 | 28.5 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 797 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 42.9 | 29.0 | 13.4 | 10.6 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 59.6 | 24.2 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 68.7 | 18.6 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 71.4 | 17.2 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 71.5 | 16.1 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 70.2 | 18.5 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 71.4 | 16.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 76.1 | 17.9 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 43.4 | 26.2 | 11.5 | 13.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 55.2 | 23.6 | 14.5 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 218 |
| Erongo | 73.1 | 18.6 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 372 |
| Hardap | 70.2 | 19.1 | 1.3 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 152 |
| //Karas | 68.7 | 17.2 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Kavango | 41.9 | 32.1 | 14.0 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Khomas | 74.7 | 20.1 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 73.7 | 6.0 | 1.7 | 13.8 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 38.5 | 25.9 | 14.5 | 11.9 | 8.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 48.7 | 22.1 | 3.2 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 103 |
| Omusati | 38.2 | 31.3 | 15.4 | 12.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Oshana | 76.7 | 15.5 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 50.0 | 21.7 | 6.3 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 75.9 | 14.3 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 50.2 | 20.3 | 9.0 | 17.4 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Primary | 47.4 | 22.9 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 944 |
| Secondary | 66.4 | 21.7 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 80.0 | 17.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile 24.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 34.0 | 23.9 | 17.9 | 15.4 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 594 |
| Second | 51.4 | 24.4 | 7.8 | 12.0 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 769 |
| Middle | 62.2 | 23.4 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 886 |
| Fourth | 73.4 | 19.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 917 |
| Highest | 78.5 | 17.4 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 62.0 | 21.5 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 71.7 | 11.3 | 5.0 | 9.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 460 |

Among the regions, women in Khomas and //Karas (59 percent) and men in Oshana (77 percent) were most likely to always use seatbelts. In general, seatbelt use increases with increasing education. Twenty-one percent of women with no education reported always using a seatbelt, as compared with 70 percent of women with more than a secondary education; the corresponding percentages among men were 50 percent and 80 percent. Likewise, seatbelt use increases with increasing household wealth among both women and men.

### 18.6 Physical Activity

The World Health Organization defines physical activity as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure, including activities undertaken while working, playing, carrying out household chores, travelling, and engaging in recreational pursuits. ${ }^{3}$ The term "physical activity" should not be confused with "exercise," which is a subcategory of physical activity that is planned, structured, and repetitive and aims to improve or maintain one or more components of physical fitness. In order to be beneficial for cardiorespiratory health, all activity should be performed in bouts of at least 10 minutes in duration. WHO recommends regular and adequate levels of physical activity to reduce the risk of hypertension, coronary heart disease, stroke, diabetes, breast and colon cancer, and depression. Physical activity also aids in weight control and increases people's chances of living longer.

In the 2013 NDHS, women and men age 15-64 were asked about their physical activity and, among those who engaged in non-work-related physical activities, the number of days they engaged in such activities for at least 10 minutes continuously per day. Tables 18.7.1 and 18.7.2 show data on physical activity.

[^22]Table 18.7.1 Physical activity: Women
Percent distribution of women age $15-49$ by whether they are physically active, and among women who did non-work-related physical activity, the percent distribution of women by the number of days they did non-work-related physical activity for at least 10 minutes continuously per day, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Physical activity ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Number of women | Number of days in the last week non-work-related physical activity done for at least 10 minutes per day continuously |  |  |  |  | Total $\begin{gathered}\text { Number of } \\ \text { women }\end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Physically active at work | Did non-workrelated physical activity | No | Missing | Total |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-7 | Don't know/ unsure/ missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.4 | 19.6 | 78.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,906 | 6.2 | 52.0 | 18.0 | 20.6 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 374 |
| 20-24 | 3.7 | 16.1 | 80.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,786 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 17.8 | 17.4 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 291 |
| 25-29 | 5.6 | 14.1 | 80.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,489 | 3.4 | 38.8 | 23.3 | 30.6 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 210 |
| 30-34 | 7.2 | 11.7 | 81.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,260 | 6.9 | 39.9 | 24.8 | 22.9 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 148 |
| 35-39 | 6.4 | 13.7 | 79.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,110 | 8.7 | 34.2 | 18.9 | 32.1 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 156 |
| 40-44 | 6.1 | 14.3 | 79.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 917 | 9.8 | 29.6 | 20.7 | 32.1 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 133 |
| 45-49 | 5.6 | 16.9 | 77.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 708 | 11.0 | 26.9 | 21.4 | 37.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 119 |
| 50-54 | 5.7 | 18.7 | 75.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 797 | 9.0 | 26.5 | 20.4 | 38.0 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.9 | 15.8 | 78.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5,503 | 8.2 | 41.7 | 19.5 | 25.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 878 |
| Rural | 3.8 | 15.7 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4,470 | 6.5 | 39.7 | 20.8 | 28.5 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 702 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 3.8 | 29.7 | 66.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 494 | 1.7 | 58.8 | 19.3 | 15.1 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 147 |
| Erongo | 4.4 | 16.9 | 78.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 820 | 2.0 | 41.1 | 19.4 | 30.0 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Hardap | 1.4 | 14.4 | 84.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 341 | 4.2 | 32.0 | 21.3 | 41.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 49 |
| //Karas | 7.2 | 13.7 | 79.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 374 | 6.4 | 40.6 | 24.6 | 27.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 51 |
| Kavango | 2.1 | 17.2 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 913 | 3.7 | 62.7 | 16.6 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 157 |
| Khomas | 7.6 | 16.1 | 76.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,317 | 8.5 | 39.0 | 19.1 | 27.1 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 376 |
| Kunene | 11.9 | 9.2 | 78.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 286 | 3.3 | 43.7 | 29.9 | 21.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 26 |
| Ohangwena | 2.1 | 17.2 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 974 | 18.1 | 28.7 | 16.7 | 32.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 167 |
| Omaheke | 10.6 | 16.7 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 249 | 5.6 | 18.7 | 22.9 | 40.1 | 12.7 | 100.0 | 42 |
| Omusati | 1.8 | 15.8 | 82.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,013 | 1.4 | 30.7 | 28.3 | 34.8 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 161 |
| Oshana | 3.1 | 9.7 | 87.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 822 | 19.0 | 49.0 | 14.1 | 16.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 79 |
| Oshikoto | 8.9 | 10.0 | 81.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 774 | 11.8 | 36.0 | 14.3 | 32.0 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 78 |
| Otjozondjupa | 3.5 | 17.5 | 78.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 596 | 9.0 | 33.9 | 25.9 | 19.6 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.1 | 11.5 | 83.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 572 | 10.8 | 25.5 | 17.7 | 35.9 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 67 |
| Primary | 2.9 | 13.3 | 83.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,168 | 8.8 | 39.8 | 20.3 | 25.5 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 291 |
| Secondary | 5.2 | 16.0 | 78.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6,238 | 6.9 | 43.5 | 19.4 | 26.1 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 1,001 |
| More than secondary | 8.0 | 21.8 | 69.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 995 | 7.2 | 34.8 | 23.6 | 26.9 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 221 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 3.2 | 15.2 | 81.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,614 | 7.1 | 37.0 | 23.5 | 29.5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 245 |
| Second | 3.9 | 16.1 | 79.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,776 | 8.8 | 42.4 | 19.1 | 26.1 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 288 |
| Middle | 5.0 | 13.9 | 81.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,927 | 6.2 | 43.1 | 19.0 | 27.0 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 270 |
| Fourth | 4.7 | 13.2 | 82.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,285 | 7.8 | 42.1 | 16.2 | 28.6 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Highest | 7.2 | 19.8 | 72.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,371 | 7.3 | 39.7 | 22.1 | 23.8 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 474 |
| Total 15-49 | 4.9 | 15.5 | 79.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 9,176 | 7.3 | 42.3 | 20.1 | 25.4 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 1,431 |
| 50-64 | 5.7 | 18.7 | 75.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 797 | 9.0 | 26.5 | 20.4 | 38.0 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 149 |

${ }^{1}$ Physical activity is defined as exercise that causes an increase in heart rate for at least 10 minutes continuously.

Percent distribution of men age 15-49 by whether they are physically active, and among men who did non-work-related physical activity, the percent distribution of men by the number of days they did non-work-related physical activity for at least 10 minutes continuously per day, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Physical activity ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | TotalNumber <br> of respon- <br> dents |  | Number of days in the last week non-work-related physical activity done for at least 10 minutes per day continuously |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Physically active at work | Did non-workrelated physical activity | No | Missing |  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-7 | Don't know/ unsure/ missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.3 | 42.5 | 55.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 922 | 6.5 | 26.0 | 24.0 | 42.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 392 |
| 20-24 | 10.3 | 36.2 | 53.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 808 | 9.9 | 25.6 | 26.1 | 36.2 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 293 |
| 25-29 | 16.7 | 31.1 | 52.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 658 | 10.1 | 29.6 | 28.2 | 28.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 30-34 | 12.0 | 28.7 | 59.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 520 | 6.9 | 31.5 | 24.5 | 34.4 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 35-39 | 17.2 | 22.1 | 60.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 448 | 10.8 | 29.8 | 36.3 | 20.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 99 |
| 40-44 | 17.3 | 19.1 | 63.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 376 | 2.3 | 32.0 | 24.5 | 39.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 72 |
| 45-49 | 14.6 | 22.5 | 62.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 289 | 16.2 | 28.5 | 30.7 | 24.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 66 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 12.7 | 31.7 | 55.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,282 | 4.6 | 31.9 | 27.6 | 33.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 724 |
| Rural | 9.8 | 31.7 | 58.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,739 | 13.6 | 22.6 | 25.2 | 37.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 553 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 2.6 | 75.1 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 218 | 0.5 | 32.1 | 28.0 | 36.9 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 163 |
| Erongo | 9.1 | 31.0 | 59.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 372 | 3.3 | 26.8 | 35.5 | 33.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Hardap | 12.0 | 25.2 | 62.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 152 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 48.7 | 26.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 38 |
| //Karas | 16.2 | 46.9 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 151 | 1.6 | 31.5 | 28.7 | 35.2 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Kavango | 4.4 | 33.1 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 316 | 15.3 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 38.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 105 |
| Khomas | 16.0 | 33.3 | 50.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,023 | 3.9 | 39.9 | 22.9 | 30.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Kunene | 21.0 | 23.0 | 56.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 104 | 7.6 | 20.0 | 29.2 | 41.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 24 |
| Ohangwena | 5.3 | 51.7 | 42.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 328 | 22.1 | 19.3 | 17.6 | 40.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Omaheke | 15.0 | 22.7 | 62.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 103 | 2.4 | 25.1 | 52.0 | 18.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 23 |
| Omusati | 8.1 | 13.4 | 78.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 342 | 21.3 | 11.1 | 28.5 | 36.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Oshana | 13.9 | 7.3 | 78.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 335 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 28.8 | 46.6 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 24 |
| Oshikoto | 13.2 | 28.4 | 58.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 335 | 16.9 | 21.7 | 28.1 | 32.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Otjozondjupa | 11.9 | 24.7 | 63.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 241 | 4.4 | 19.6 | 24.3 | 47.2 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 60 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 23.6 | 17.2 | 59.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 310 | 33.9 | 10.7 | 20.2 | 34.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 53 |
| Primary | 10.3 | 24.1 | 65.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 944 | 9.9 | 26.7 | 27.9 | 34.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 228 |
| Secondary | 10.7 | 35.5 | 53.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,400 | 7.5 | 28.0 | 25.6 | 36.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 854 |
| More than secondary | 9.2 | 38.6 | 52.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 368 | 2.6 | 35.5 | 32.3 | 28.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 142 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 10.1 | 35.0 | 54.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 594 | 19.1 | 27.8 | 21.3 | 30.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 209 |
| Second | 10.9 | 28.9 | 60.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 769 | 9.3 | 22.3 | 26.5 | 40.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 222 |
| Middle | 13.7 | 24.9 | 61.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 886 | 5.7 | 30.4 | 28.7 | 31.9 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 220 |
| Fourth | 13.2 | 32.3 | 54.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 917 | 6.6 | 24.4 | 27.5 | 38.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 297 |
| Highest | 8.8 | 38.5 | 52.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 855 | 4.8 | 33.1 | 27.6 | 33.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 329 |
| Total 15-49 | 11.5 | 31.7 | 56.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4,021 | 8.5 | 27.9 | 26.5 | 34.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,277 |
| 50-64 | 11.9 | 20.2 | 67.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 460 | 16.3 | 32.1 | 23.8 | 24.2 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 93 |

${ }^{1}$ Physical activity is defined as exercise that causes an increase in heart rate for at least 10 minutes continuously.

Five percent of women and 12 percent of men age 15-49 were physically active at work, while 16 percent of women and 32 percent of men engaged in non-work-related physical activity. The vast majority of women ( 80 percent) and men (57 percent) neither were physically active at work nor engaged in non-work-related physical activity. Non-work-related physical activity is highest among women and men age 15-19, those in Zambezi, women and men with a secondary education or higher, and those in the highest wealth quintile.

Among women who engaged in non-work-related physical activity, 42 percent were physically active on 1-2 days in the week prior to the survey, 20 percent were physically active on 3-4 days, and 25 percent were physically active on 5-7 days. Seven percent reported that they had not exercised in the last week. Among men, 28 percent engaged in physical activity on 1-2 days, 27 percent on 3-4 days, and 35 percent on 5-7 days. Nine percent did not engage in any physical activity in the week prior to the survey. Continuous non-work-related physical activity (5-7 days per week) is highest among women older than age 40, women in Hardap and Omaheke, women with no education, and those in the lowest wealth quintile. Among men, continuous physical activity is highest among those age $15-19$, men in rural areas, those in

Oshana and Otjozondjupa, men with a secondary education or lower, and those in the second and fourth wealth quintiles.

### 18.7 Consumption of Water, Fruits, and Vegetables

Water is a human body's principal chemical component and makes up about 60 percent of body weight. Every system in a human body depends on water. Each day a person loses water through breathing, perspiration, and urine and bowel movements. If their body is to function properly, people must replenish its water supply by consuming beverages and foods that contain water. Lack of water can lead to dehydration, a condition that occurs when the body does not have enough water to carry out normal functions. Even mild dehydration can drain people's energy and make them tired. Eating enough fruits and vegetables has its own health benefits as well. According to WHO, at least 400 grams of fruits and vegetables (about five 80-gram portions) are needed to meet people's daily nutritional requirements and protect them from diseases. In fact, five portions each day is the minimum. Nevertheless, it appears that the more fruits and vegetables we eat, the greater our protection from diet-related diseases (World Health Report, 2002). A diet rich in vegetables and fruits can lower blood pressure, reduce the risk of heart disease and stroke, prevent some types of cancer, lower the risk of eye and digestive problems, and have a positive effect on blood sugar, which can help people keep their appetite in check.

In the 2013 NHDS, women and men age 15-64 were asked about their consumption of water, fruits, and vegetables, including the number of glasses of water they consumed per day, the average number of days each week they ate fruits and vegetables, and the average number of times per day they ate fruits and vegetables (Tables 18.8.1 and 18.8.2).

Women age 15-49 consume an average of four glasses of water per day. Women age 50-64 consume slightly more glasses of water on average per day than women age 15-49. The average number of days each week that women age 15-49 consume fruits and vegetables is two and three, respectively, and they do so on average only once per day. Men age 15-49 and 50-64 consume, on average, one glass of water more per day than women in the same age groups (about five glasses). Similar to women, men consume fruits and vegetables on two and three days per week, respectively, and do so once a day on average.

Overall, consumption of water increases with age among both women and men. The prevalence of fruit and vegetable consumption is higher among urban women than among rural women. Water consumption among women is highest in Kunene, among those with more than a secondary education, and among women in the highest wealth quintile. Older women (age 45-64) are more likely to consume water than younger women.

Number of glasses of water consumed per day among women and men does not vary extensively by residence, level of education, or wealth quintile. However, while women and men in urban areas eat fruit and vegetables three days a week on average, their counterparts in rural areas eat fruit only one day a week and vegetables two days a week.

Table 18.8.1 Consumption of water, fruits, and vegetables: Women
Among women age 15-49, the average number of glasses of water consumed per day, the average number of days per week fruits are consumed, the average number of times per day fruits are consumed, the average number of days per week vegetables are consumed, and the average number of times per day vegetables are consumed, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Average number of glasses of water consumed per day | Average number of days per week fruits consumed | Average number of times per day fruits consumed | Average number of days per week vegetables consumed | Average number of times per day vegetables consumed | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 708 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.2 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 3.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 4.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 457 |
| Erongo | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 771 |
| Hardap | 5.3 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 304 |
| //Karas | 4.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 343 |
| Kavango | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 835 |
| Khomas | 4.3 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 5.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 4.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 225 |
| Omusati | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 884 |
| Oshana | 4.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 3.8 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 4.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 419 |
| Primary | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 4.0 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 4.2 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 3.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1,429 |
| Second | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 4.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 4.2 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 4.3 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 2,211 |
| Total 15-49 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 9,176 |
| 50-64 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 797 |

Among men age 15-49, the average number of glasses of water consumed per day, the average number of days per week fruits are consumed, the average number of times per day fruits are consumed, the average number of days per week vegetables are consumed, and the average number of times per day vegetables are consumed, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Average number of glasses of water consumed per day | Average number of days per week fruits consumed | Average number of times per day fruits consumed | Average number of days per week vegetables consumed | Average number of times per day vegetables consumed | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.9 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 4.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 5.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 218 |
| Erongo | 5.2 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 372 |
| Hardap | 4.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 152 |
| //Karas | 5.3 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 151 |
| Kavango | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 316 |
| Khomas | 4.8 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 6.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 5.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 5.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 103 |
| Omusati | 3.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 342 |
| Oshana | 5.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 4.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 5.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.3 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 310 |
| Primary | 4.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 944 |
| Secondary | 4.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 5.3 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 4.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 594 |
| Second | 4.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 769 |
| Middle | 4.7 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 886 |
| Fourth | 4.9 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 917 |
| Highest | 5.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 4,021 |
| 50-64 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 460 |

Women in Hardap and Kunene consume five glasses of water per day on average, whereas women in the other regions consume three to four glasses per day. Among men, water consumption is highest in Kunene, Ohangwena, and Otjozondjupa. Women in Khomas eat fruit four days a week on average, as compared with one to three days a week among women in the other regions. Women in Ohangwena and Omaheke are less likely to eat vegetables (only two days per week on average) than women in the other regions.

Consumption of fruits and vegetables is higher among women with more than a secondary education than among women with no education.

### 18.8 Mental Health

Mental health refers to a broad array of activities directly or indirectly related to the mental wellbeing component included in the WHO definition of health ${ }^{4}$ : "a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being, and not merely the absence of disease." It is related to the promotion of well-being, the prevention of mental disorders, and the treatment and rehabilitation of people affected by mental disorders.

[^23]Mental disorders comprise a broad range of problems, with different symptoms. They are generally characterised by some combination of abnormal thoughts, emotions, behaviours, and relationships with others. Mental illness, on the other hand, is characterised by alterations in thinking, mood, or behaviour (or some combination thereof) associated with distress and/or impaired functioning. Most of these disorders can be successfully treated.

In the health care and public health arena, increased emphasis and resources are being devoted to screening, diagnosis, and treatment of mental illness.

The 2013 NDHS collected information from women and men age 15-49 on whether they have ever seen or heard things that are actually not there, whether they felt worthless or hopeless or wished they were dead during the past 12 months, the average number of days in the past two weeks they had little interest or pleasure in doing things; and the average number of days in the past two weeks they had felt low in energy, been in a bad mood, or been sad. Tables 18.9.1 and 18.9.2 present the results of the data collected on mental health.

Table 18.9.1 Mental health: Women
Percentage of women age 15-49 who have ever seen or heard things that are actually not there; the percentage who, in the past 12 months, felt seriously worthless, hopeless, or wished to be dead; the average number of days in the past two weeks women felt little interest or pleasure in doing things; the average number of days in the past two weeks women felt low in energy, had been in a bad mood, or had been sad all of the time; and among women who had experienced any mental health issue, ${ }^{1}$ the percentage who sought medical care, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^24]Table 18.9.2 Mental health: Men
Percentage of men age $15-49$ who have ever seen or heard things that are actually not there; the percentage who, in the past 12 months, felt seriously worthless, hopeless, or wished to be dead; the average number of days in the past two weeks men felt little interest or pleasure in doing things; the average number of days in the past two weeks men felt low in energy, had been in a bad mood, or had been sad all of the time; and among men who had experienced any mental health issue, ${ }^{1}$ the percentage who sought medical care, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Ever seen or heard things that are actually not there | Felt seriously worthless, hopeless, or wished to be dead in the past 12 months | Average number of days felt little interest or pleasure in doing things in the past 2 weeks | Average number of days felt low in energy, been in a bad mood, or been sad all of the time in the past 2 weeks | Number of men | Sought medical care | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 922 | 7.6 | 297 |
| 20-24 | 15.7 | 9.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 808 | 9.5 | 279 |
| 25-29 | 11.6 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 658 | 8.8 | 194 |
| 30-34 | 11.2 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 520 | 10.5 | 136 |
| 35-39 | 9.6 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 448 | 8.9 | 96 |
| 40-44 | 11.7 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 376 | 5.2 | 76 |
| 45-49 | 16.8 | 11.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 289 | 6.6 | 86 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.2 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2,282 | 8.3 | 608 |
| Rural | 15.6 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1,739 | 8.7 | 556 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 16.6 | 17.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 218 | 9.6 | 79 |
| Erongo | 4.7 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 372 | 11.2 | 46 |
| Hardap | 6.0 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 152 | (4.6) | 19 |
| //Karas | 11.6 | 14.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 151 | 9.7 | 60 |
| Kavango | 13.8 | 12.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 316 | 9.8 | 100 |
| Khomas | 13.8 | 10.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1,023 | 7.6 | 318 |
| Kunene | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 104 | * | 7 |
| Ohangwena | 14.4 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 328 | 6.6 | 157 |
| Omaheke | 4.8 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 103 | (7.5) | 12 |
| Omusati | 14.7 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 342 | 8.0 | 100 |
| Oshana | 19.9 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 335 | 11.5 | 120 |
| Oshikoto | 14.1 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 335 | 9.4 | 114 |
| Otjozondjupa | 7.2 | 9.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 241 | (5.3) | 32 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 11.5 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 310 | 2.1 | 75 |
| Primary | 15.4 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 944 | 6.6 | 293 |
| Secondary | 11.8 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2,400 | 9.4 | 691 |
| More than secondary | 10.7 | 8.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 368 | 12.2 | 104 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 14.5 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 594 | 8.6 | 213 |
| Second | 12.7 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 769 | 9.4 | 215 |
| Middle | 14.7 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 886 | 9.4 | 266 |
| Fourth | 13.8 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 917 | 3.8 | 250 |
| Highest | 7.2 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 855 | 11.6 | 219 |
| Total 15-49 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4,021 | 8.5 | 1,164 |
| 50-64 | 10.4 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 460 | 7.2 | 96 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Refers to men who had ever seen or heard things that are actually not there; who, in the past 12 months, had ever felt seriously worthless, hopeless, or wished to be dead; who, in the past 2 weeks, felt that they had little interest or pleasure in doing things; or who, in the past 2 weeks, felt low in energy, had been in a bad mood, or had been sad all of the time

Fourteen percent of women age 15-49 reported that they had seen or heard things that were actually not there in the two weeks prior to the survey. Thirteen percent of women reported that they felt worthless or hopeless or wished that they were dead in the 12 months prior to the survey. The average number of days that women felt little interest or pleasure in doing things in the past two weeks was less than one, as was the average number of days women felt low in energy, had been in a bad mood, or had been sad. Among women who reported experiencing at least one of these four mental health issues, 18 percent sought medical care. Nearly twice as many women age 50-64 as women age 15-49 sought medical help for their symptoms ( 33 percent versus 18 percent).

Women age 45-49, rural women and those living in Oshikoto, women with a primary education, and those in the lowest wealth quintile were most likely to report that they had seen or heard things that were actually not there in the two weeks before the survey. Women age 20-24, urban women, women in //Karas, women with more than a secondary education, and women in the highest wealth quintile were
more likely than their counterparts to report that they had felt worthless or hopeless or wished that they were dead in the past 12 months. There were minimal differences by background characteristics in the average number of days women felt little interest or pleasure in doing things in the past two weeks and the average number of days women felt low in energy, had been in a bad mood, or had been sad.

Thirteen percent of men age 15-49 reported that they had seen or heard things that were actually not there in the two weeks prior to the survey. Eight percent of men reported that they felt worthless or hopeless or that they wished they were dead in the 12 months prior to the survey. Similar to women, the average number of days that men felt little interest or pleasure in doing things in the past two weeks was less than one, as was the average number of days they felt low in energy, had been in a bad mood, or had been sad. Among men who reported experiencing at least one of these four issues, 9 percent sought medical care.

Men age 45-49, rural men, men in Oshana, men with a primary education, and men in the fourth and middle wealth quintiles were most likely to report that they had seen or heard things that were actually not there in the two weeks before the survey. Men age 45-49, urban men, men in Zambezi, men with more than a secondary education, and men in the highest wealth quintile were more likely than their counterparts to report that they had felt worthless or hopeless or wished that they were dead in the past 12 months. As with women, there were minimal differences by background characteristics in the average number of days men felt little interest or pleasure in doing things in the past two weeks and the average number of days they felt low in energy, had been in a bad mood, or had been sad.

### 18.9 Health Insurance

Namibia does not have a national health insurance scheme. The vast majority of the population (85 percent) is uninsured and relies on the public sector to provide health coverage (Brockmeyer and Stiftung, 2012). Public health services generally charge a flat user fee that is highly subsidised and therefore affordable. Health care is also provided through the private sector from private medical aid funds; this type of coverage is unaffordable for the majority of the country's residents. In the 2013 NDHS, respondents were asked whether they are covered under any health insurance scheme. Those who answered in the affirmative were asked about the type of health insurance coverage they have. Tables 18.10.1 and 18.10 .2 show the percentage of women and men age $15-49$ by the specific health insurance coverage they carry, according to background characteristics.

The vast majority of women (82 percent) and men age 15-49 (80 percent) do not have any health insurance. Eleven percent of women and 9 percent of men have some type of employer-based health insurance. Men are twice as likely as women (8 percent and 4 percent, respectively) to have social security. In addition, 3 percent of women and 5 percent of men have privately purchased commercial health insurance, while 2 percent and 3 percent of women and men, respectively, carry some other type of health insurance.

In general, health insurance coverage increases with age among both women and men. Coverage is higher in urban than rural areas and is higher in Khomas than in the other regions. Among both women and men, health insurance coverage increases with increasing education and wealth. Women and men in the highest wealth quintile are more than twice as likely to have health insurance coverage as women and men in the lowest wealth quintile.

Table 18.10.1 Health insurance coverage: Women
Percentage of women age $15-49$ with specific types of health insurance coverage, according to background characteristics Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Social security | Employer-based insurance | Privately purchased commercial insurance | Other | None | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 88.2 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 1.7 | 7.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 87.8 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 3.8 | 9.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 85.7 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 6.7 | 11.3 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 79.2 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 4.1 | 15.3 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 79.2 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 6.3 | 17.4 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 73.4 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 6.6 | 19.7 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 69.1 | 708 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.8 | 16.2 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 75.0 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 1.8 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 92.1 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 5.1 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 90.9 | 457 |
| Erongo | 4.6 | 11.9 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 76.6 | 771 |
| Hardap | 0.5 | 12.7 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 83.2 | 304 |
| //Karas | 3.7 | 15.1 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 78.9 | 343 |
| Kavango | 3.5 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 93.1 | 835 |
| Khomas | 4.5 | 20.5 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 69.1 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 3.0 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 91.4 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 1.8 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 93.7 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 2.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 85.4 | 225 |
| Omusati | 3.8 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 89.1 | 884 |
| Oshana | 6.3 | 11.3 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 84.0 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 1.1 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 84.3 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 0.9 | 11.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 83.7 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 97.7 | 419 |
| Primary | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 97.0 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 3.2 | 10.0 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 83.8 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 12.2 | 40.5 | 12.1 | 7.4 | 38.7 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 98.3 | 1,429 |
| Second | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 96.6 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 3.1 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 91.1 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 4.4 | 12.4 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 82.1 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 6.4 | 28.3 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 55.1 | 2,211 |
| Total 15-49 | 3.5 | 11.0 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 82.4 | 9,176 |

Table 18.10.2 Health insurance coverage: Men
Percentage of men age 15-49 with specific types of health insurance coverage, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Social security | Employer-based insurance | Privately purchased commercial insurance | Other | None | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 87.8 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 86.1 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 82.4 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 7.6 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 78.5 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 75.7 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 14.2 | 13.1 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 68.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 10.9 | 4.9 | 61.8 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.9 | 13.2 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 71.2 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 4.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 92.2 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 5.9 | 5.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 89.2 | 218 |
| Erongo | 10.5 | 15.4 | 3.0 | 6.1 | 72.9 | 372 |
| Hardap | 11.4 | 8.6 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 74.1 | 152 |
| //Karas | 18.5 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 67.8 | 151 |
| Kavango | 0.8 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 96.5 | 316 |
| Khomas | 7.2 | 15.5 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 67.4 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 3.3 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 90.7 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 1.9 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 10.7 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 83.2 | 103 |
| Omusati | 7.9 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 89.1 | 342 |
| Oshana | 10.5 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 85.4 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 1.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 89.3 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 16.9 | 7.6 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 74.3 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 94.5 | 310 |
| Primary | 3.7 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 93.4 | 944 |
| Secondary | 7.9 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 79.4 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 17.9 | 36.3 | 14.6 | 7.3 | 40.7 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.8 | 594 |
| Second | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 94.9 | 769 |
| Middle | 6.6 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 88.2 | 886 |
| Fourth | 10.6 | 9.7 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 77.8 | 917 |
| Highest | 13.5 | 24.9 | 13.6 | 8.7 | 48.8 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 80.3 | 4,021 |

## WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT AND DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH OUTCOMES

## Key Findings

- Fifty-four percent of currently married women and 84 percent of currently married men were employed in the 12 months preceding the survey.
- Forty percent of married women and 28 percent of married men say they decide on their own how to use their earnings.
- The majority of Namibian women and men do not own a house or land.
- Forty-six percent of women indicate that they have sole decision-making power with respect to their own health care.
- Twenty-eight percent of women and 22 percent of men believe that a husband is justified in beating his wife for any of five specified reasons.
- There is a positive relationship between women's empowerment and contraceptive use. Also, empowered women are more likely to have their contraceptive needs met than women who are not empowered.
- Empowered women are more likely to seek out and use health services, enabling them to better meet their reproductive health goals, including safe motherhood.

TThis chapter discusses women's empowerment in terms of earnings, control over earnings, and magnitude of earnings relative to those of their partners. In addition, responses to specific questions are used to define two different indicators of women's empowerment: women's participation in household decision making and women's attitudes toward wife beating. The extent to which women's empowerment influences maternal health and contraceptive use is also examined.

### 19.1 Women's and Men's Employment

In the 2013 NDHS, respondents were asked a number of questions about their employment status at the time of the survey and the continuity of their employment in the 12 months prior to the survey. Measurement of women's employment is sometimes difficult, because some of the activities that women do, especially work on family farms, in family businesses, or in the informal sector, are often not perceived by women themselves as employment and hence are not reported as such.

To avoid underestimating women's employment, women were asked several questions to ascertain their employment status. First, women were asked: "Aside from your own housework, have you done any work in the last seven days?" Women who answered no to this question were then asked: "As you know, some women take up jobs for which they are paid in cash or in-kind. Others sell things, have a small business, or work on the family farm or in the family business. In the last seven days, have you done any of these things or any other work?" Women who answered no to this question were asked: "Although you did not work in the last seven days, do you have any job or business from which you were absent for leave, illness, vacation, maternity leave, or any other such reason?" Women are considered currently employed if they answered yes to either of the first two questions. Women who answered yes to the third question are considered not to be currently employed but to have worked in the past 12 months. Table 19.1 presents data on employment of women and men in the past 12 months and type of earnings (cash only, cash and in-kind, in-kind only, no earnings).

| Percentage of currently married women and men age 15-49 who were employed at any time in the past 12 months and the percent distribution of currently married women and men employed in the past 12 months by type of earnings, according to age, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Among currently married respondents: |  | Percent distribution of currently married respondents employed in the past 12 months, by type of earnings |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
|  | Percentage employed in past 12 months | Number of respondents | Cash only | Cash and inkind | In-kind only | Not paid | Missing/ don't know |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 19.3 | 103 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 |
| 20-24 | 37.3 | 349 | 86.9 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 130 |
| 25-29 | 50.9 | 558 | 91.0 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 284 |
| 30-34 | 61.6 | 634 | 89.6 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 390 |
| 35-39 | 55.9 | 593 | 87.8 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 331 |
| 40-44 | 60.7 | 497 | 85.9 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 302 |
| 45-49 | 57.8 | 386 | 89.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 223 |
| Total 15-49 | 53.9 | 3,121 | 88.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,681 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 77.2 | 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 5 |
| 20-24 | 80.1 | 76 | 91.5 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 61 |
| 25-29 | 83.6 | 165 | 96.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 138 |
| 30-34 | 86.9 | 225 | 94.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 195 |
| 35-39 | 86.6 | 245 | 93.6 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 212 |
| 40-44 | 81.8 | 238 | 93.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 195 |
| 45-49 | 79.2 | 205 | 96.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 163 |
| Total 15-49 | 83.5 | 1,160 | 94.4 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 968 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

Fifty-four percent of currently married women reported being employed in the 12 months preceding the survey, a decline since the 2006-07 NDHS, when 61 percent of married women were employed in the preceding 12 months. Among employed women, 89 percent received cash earnings, as compared with 71 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS. There was a marked decline from the 2006-07 NDHS in the proportion of employed women who did not receive any payment from 24 percent to 8 percent. The percentage of women who are employed increases with age, from 19 percent among those age 15-19 to a peak of 62 percent among those age 30-34.

Table 19.1 further indicates that 84 percent of currently married men reported being employed in the 12 months preceding the survey, also a decrease from the 2006-07 NDHS ( 90 percent). The proportion of men receiving cash as payment has increased since 2006-07, from 83 percent to 94 percent. It is also worth noting that the proportion of men not receiving any payment has decreased markedly, from 13 percent in 2006-07 to 2 percent in 2013. The percentage of currently married men who are employed increases from 77 percent among those age 15-19 to a peak of 87 percent among those age 30-39 before decreasing in the older age groups.

### 19.2 Women's Control over Their Own Earnings and Relative Magnitude of Women's EARNINGS

To assess women's autonomy, currently married women who had earned cash for their work in the 12 months preceding the survey were asked who the main decision maker is with regard to the use of their earnings. This information allows an assessment of women's control over their own earnings. Women who earned cash for their work were also asked the relative magnitude of their earnings compared with those of their husband. It is expected that employment and earnings are more likely to empower women if women themselves control their own earnings and perceive them as significant relative to those of their husband.

Table 19.2.1 shows the degree of control women have over the use of their earnings and their perception of the magnitude of their earnings relative to those of their husband, by background characteristics. Forty percent of women indicated that they decide how their cash earnings are used, while

8 percent indicated that their husband decides how their earnings are used. Fifty-one percent of women decide jointly with their husbands on how their cash earnings are spent.

| Table 19.2.1 Control over women's cash earnings and relative magnitude of women's cash earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 who received cash earnings for employment in the 12 months preceding the survey by person who decides how wife's cash earnings are used and by whether she earned more or less than her husband, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Person who decides how the wife's cash earnings are used: |  |  |  |  |  | Wife's cash earnings compared with husband's cash earnings: |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
|  | Mainly wife | Wife and husband jointly | Mainly husband | Other | Missing | Total | More | Less | About the same | Husband has no earnings | Don't know/ Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 16 |
| 20-24 | 39.2 | 50.9 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 6.6 | 73.4 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 115 |
| 25-29 | 38.2 | 50.1 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 9.5 | 73.5 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 266 |
| 30-34 | 38.9 | 51.2 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 10.6 | 65.9 | 13.5 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 364 |
| 35-39 | 45.2 | 47.6 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 14.1 | 68.0 | 11.1 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 305 |
| 40-44 | 35.6 | 55.1 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 12.9 | 65.5 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 45-49 | 38.2 | 51.6 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 16.1 | 62.2 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 34.3 | 58.9 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 60.1 | 14.6 | 8.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 136 |
| 1-2 | 38.7 | 52.1 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 12.9 | 67.0 | 11.1 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 740 |
| 3-4 | 39.1 | 49.9 | 9.3 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 71.9 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 490 |
| 5+ | 48.4 | 41.9 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 64.5 | 7.1 | 15.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 175 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.2 | 52.8 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 11.7 | 68.5 | 10.7 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1,164 |
| Rural | 40.6 | 45.0 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 13.0 | 65.0 | 8.3 | 10.4 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 376 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 44.3 | 41.9 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 12.9 | 65.2 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 58 |
| Erongo | 39.0 | 56.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 69.8 | 10.8 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 204 |
| Hardap | 25.8 | 59.0 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 14.2 | 71.8 | 8.8 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 68 |
| //Karas | 30.4 | 59.4 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 13.6 | 70.2 | 8.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 88 |
| Kavango | 50.1 | 36.1 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 13.5 | 61.7 | 5.7 | 15.5 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 91 |
| Khomas | 38.1 | 55.9 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 14.4 | 64.3 | 11.0 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 493 |
| Kunene | 34.6 | 53.4 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 51.9 | 25.7 | 11.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 35 |
| Ohangwena | 42.7 | 48.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 78.9 | 2.6 | 9.9 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 79 |
| Omaheke | 41.4 | 45.9 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 16.3 | 57.3 | 14.2 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 45 |
| Omusati | 47.3 | 44.2 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 21.5 | 49.6 | 22.1 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 55 |
| Oshana | 32.8 | 50.6 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 10.5 | 72.4 | 10.2 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 97 |
| Oshikoto | 54.6 | 38.2 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 76.6 | 5.0 | 9.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 121 |
| Otjozondjupa | 35.2 | 43.9 | 18.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 77.1 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 42.9 | 39.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 68.1 | 6.1 | 16.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 59 |
| Primary | 46.4 | 39.1 | 13.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 65.8 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 200 |
| Secondary | 39.9 | 50.6 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 72.1 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 969 |
| More than secondary | 33.2 | 61.5 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 17.4 | 55.1 | 19.4 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 312 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 45.6 | 33.1 | 17.6 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 7.2 | 67.4 | 1.9 | 17.9 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 87 |
| Second | 50.9 | 32.9 | 14.7 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 67.6 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 164 |
| Middle | 46.5 | 42.7 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 69.1 | 6.4 | 9.5 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 294 |
| Fourth | 43.4 | 48.4 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 69.4 | 10.4 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 381 |
| Highest | 29.9 | 63.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 13.6 | 66.0 | 13.2 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 614 |
| Total | 39.5 | 50.9 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 67.7 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1,540 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed

Women age 35-39 (45 percent) are more likely than women in the other age groups to report that they mainly decide themselves how to spend their cash earnings. Women age 25-29 and 45-49 are most likely to say that their husbands mainly decide how their cash earnings are spent (10 percent each). Women with five or more children have more independent decision-making power (48 percent) over how they spend their cash earnings than women with less than five children. Nine percent of women having three or more children indicated that their husbands mainly decide on how their cash is spent.

Women age 45-49 are most likely to earn more cash than their husbands, while women age 20-24 are least likely to earn more than their husbands. Women with more than five children are most likely to report that their husband has no cash earnings (16 percent).

Rural women are more likely than urban women to report that their husbands take the lead role in making decisions about their cash earnings (13 percent and 7 percent, respectively). Fifty-three percent of women in urban areas make joint decisions with their husbands on how their cash earnings are spent, as compared with 45 percent in rural areas.

The percentage of women who make independent decisions on their earnings varies across regions, ranging from 26 percent in Hardap to 55 percent in Oshikoto. Nineteen percent of women in Otjozondjupa report that their husbands mainly decide how their earnings are spent. Women in Omusati are most likely to earn more cash than their husbands (22 percent).

Women with a primary education are most likely to make independent decisions on the use of their earnings ( 46 percent), while husbands of women with no education are most likely to decide how their wives' earnings are spent ( 17 percent). It is also worth noting that women with no education (17 percent) are most likely to report that their husbands have no cash earnings.

Table 19.2.2 shows who decides how a husband's cash earnings are used, as reported by currently married men and women age 15-49. Ten percent of men indicated that their wife mainly decides how their earnings are used. This is a decrease from 2006-07, when 16 percent of men reported that their wife mainly decides how their earnings are used. Sixty-one percent of men indicated that they decide jointly with their wife on how their earnings are spent.

Men age 25-29 are most likely to report that their wife decides how to spend their earnings (14 percent). In contrast, men age 20-24 are most likely to solely decide on how they spend their earnings (39 percent.

There has been a marked increase in the proportion of men with five or more children who jointly decide with their wife on how their earnings should be spent, from 51 percent in the 2006-07 NDHS to 71 percent in the 2013 NDHS. Forty-two percent of men with no children solely decide how to spend their earnings, as compared with 32 percent in 2006-07. Men in rural areas are more likely to decide how their husband's earnings are spent than men in urban areas (12 percent and 9 percent, respectively).

Men with no education and men with more than a secondary education are more likely to decide jointly with their wife on how to spend their earnings ( 64 percent and 72 percent, respectively) than men with a primary or secondary education ( 57 percent and 59 percent, respectively). Men with a primary education are most likely to decide on their own how their earnings are spent ( 34 percent). Sole decision making is highest among men in the second wealth quintile ( 34 percent). At the same time, men in the second wealth quintile are most likely to report that their wife independently decides how to spend their earnings (13 percent). Men in the lowest and highest wealth quintiles are more likely than their counterparts to decide jointly with their wives on how their earnings are spent ( 69 percent and 70 percent, respectively).

Table 19.2.2 Control over men's cash earnings
Percent distributions of currently married men age 15-49 who receive cash earnings and of currently married women age 15-49 whose husbands receive cash earnings, by person who decides how husband's cash earnings are used, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Men |  |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mainly wife | Husband and wife jointly | Mainly husband | Missing | Total | Number | Mainly wife | Husband and wife jointly | Mainly husband | Other | Missing | Total | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 5 | 35.2 | 36.8 | 22.4 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 91 |
| 20-24 | 8.2 | 53.0 | 38.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 58 | 20.2 | 59.4 | 19.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 314 |
| 25-29 | 13.7 | 58.0 | 25.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 136 | 18.0 | 54.0 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 520 |
| 30-34 | 11.6 | 59.8 | 27.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 191 | 15.4 | 55.4 | 27.2 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 591 |
| 35-39 | 7.2 | 64.0 | 27.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 207 | 13.6 | 55.1 | 31.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 549 |
| 40-44 | 9.8 | 63.4 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 191 | 11.9 | 61.5 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 455 |
| 45-49 | 9.3 | 63.3 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 161 | 15.5 | 49.7 | 34.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 343 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 6.0 | 49.1 | 41.9 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 92 | 13.8 | 58.9 | 26.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 232 |
| 1-2 | 11.3 | 57.9 | 29.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 373 | 16.1 | 56.9 | 24.8 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 1,257 |
| 3-4 | 11.5 | 62.6 | 25.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 300 | 15.5 | 54.7 | 28.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 928 |
| 5+ | 6.5 | 70.5 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 186 | 18.6 | 49.6 | 31.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 445 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.2 | 60.4 | 29.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 668 | 12.5 | 58.9 | 27.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,718 |
| Rural | 11.7 | 62.4 | 25.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 283 | 21.6 | 49.8 | 27.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,144 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 21.9 | 54.2 | 22.2 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 64 | 18.6 | 61.8 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 144 |
| Erongo | 3.5 | 69.6 | 25.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 126 | 9.2 | 63.3 | 26.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 287 |
| Hardap | 9.1 | 55.1 | 35.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 59 | 18.8 | 58.6 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 126 |
| //Karas | 19.1 | 53.8 | 25.3 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 49 | 15.1 | 65.3 | 17.1 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Kavango | 5.2 | 89.9 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 67 | 52.3 | 36.4 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 394 |
| Khomas | 12.3 | 48.7 | 37.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 285 | 7.2 | 60.9 | 30.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 694 |
| Kunene | 11.1 | 43.4 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 29 | 9.0 | 67.0 | 23.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 98 |
| Ohangwena | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 26 | 4.2 | 50.0 | 45.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Omaheke | 22.5 | 55.3 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 30 | 18.1 | 56.9 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 99 |
| Omusati | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 27 | 9.7 | 54.7 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 181 |
| Oshana | (0.0) | (73.8) | (26.2) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 49 | 7.5 | 53.3 | 37.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Oshikoto | (11.0) | (72.9) | (16.1) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 54 | 11.0 | 55.5 | 31.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 190 |
| Otjozondjupa | 6.8 | 64.5 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 87 | 12.6 | 47.7 | 38.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 211 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.0 | 63.8 | 26.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83 | 19.7 | 46.2 | 32.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 199 |
| Primary | 9.1 | 56.5 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 192 | 24.5 | 42.2 | 32.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 646 |
| Secondary | 11.1 | 59.3 | 28.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 532 | 14.3 | 59.1 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,676 |
| More than secondary | 6.6 | 71.7 | 20.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 145 | 6.9 | 66.3 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 341 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.8 | 69.2 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98 | 30.7 | 41.9 | 25.8 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 461 |
| Second | 13.4 | 52.6 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 149 | 14.8 | 49.4 | 34.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 481 |
| Middle | 11.5 | 57.1 | 29.2 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 189 | 17.2 | 52.1 | 29.2 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 555 |
| Fourth | 11.4 | 56.4 | 31.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 250 | 16.6 | 58.8 | 23.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 587 |
| Highest | 5.5 | 69.8 | 24.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 266 | 7.3 | 66.3 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 779 |
| Total 15-49 | 9.9 | 61.0 | 28.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 951 | 16.1 | 55.2 | 27.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2,862 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

Among currently married women who earned cash in the past 12 months, Table 19.3 presents information on the person who decides how their cash earnings are used, according to the relative magnitude of the earnings of women and their husbands. The table also shows who decides how the husband's cash earnings are used. Fifty-one percent of women decide jointly with their husband on how their cash earnings are spent, and 40 percent decide on their own. Eight percent of women report that their husband decides mainly how their cash earnings are spent. Women whose husbands have no earnings are most likely to solely decide on how their earnings are used ( 49 percent), while women who earn as much as their husbands are most likely to decide jointly with their husbands on how their cash earnings are spent (65 percent). Among women who earn less than their husband, the husband is more likely to decide how their cash earnings are spent ( 9 percent).

More than half of currently married women (55 percent) indicate that they decide jointly with their husband on how their husband's cash earnings are spent, while 27 percent of women report that their husband mainly decides on how his own earnings are spent; 16 percent of women report that they mainly decide how their husband's cash earnings are spent. Women who earn less than their husband are most
likely to report that their husband mainly decides how his cash earnings are spent. Women with the same income as their husband are mostly likely to decide together with their husband on use of the husband's cash earnings.

Table 19.3 Women's control over their own earnings and over those of their husbands
Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 with cash earnings in the last 12 months by person who decides how the wife's cash earnings are used and percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 whose husbands have cash earnings by person who decides how the husband's cash earnings are used, according to the relation between wife's and husband's cash earnings, Namibia 2013

|  | Person who decides how the wife's cash earnings are used: |  |  |  |  | Person who decides how the husband's cash earnings are used: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women's earnings relative to husband's earnings | Mainly wife | Wife and husband jointly | Mainly husband | Other | Missing | Total | Number | Mainly wife | Wife and husband jointly | Mainly husband | Other | Missing | Total | Number of women |
| More than husband | 40.9 | 52.2 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 185 | 15.5 | 59.1 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 185 |
| Less than husband | 40.3 | 50.2 | 9.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,042 | 12.5 | 56.9 | 30.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,042 |
| Same as husband | 28.9 | 65.2 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 156 | 11.9 | 71.4 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Husband has no cash earnings or did not work | 49.3 | 41.9 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 104 | na | na | na | na | na | na | 0 |
| Woman worked but has no cash earnings | na | na | na | na | na | na | 0 | 20.6 | 57.3 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 136 |
| Woman did not work | na | na | na | na | na | na | 0 | 19.5 | 51.7 | 27.1 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,290 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 39.5 | 50.9 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,540 | 16.1 | 55.2 | 27.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2,862 |

na $=$ Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ Includes cases where a woman does not know whether she earned more or less than her husband

### 19.3 Women's Ownership of Assets

The 2013 NDHS included questions on ownership of houses and land. Asset ownership, particularly of housing and land, has beneficial effects for households. Among women, asset ownership is a source of financial empowerment.

Table 19.4.1 shows the percent distribution of women age 15-49 by ownership of housing and land, according to background characteristics. The vast majority of women do not own a house or land (69 percent and 79 percent, respectively). The proportion of women who own a house and land alone, as well as jointly, increases with age. Only 3 percent of women age 15-19 own a house, as compared with 36 percent of women age 45-49; 2 percent and 24 percent of women age 15-19 and age 45-49 own land, respectively.

Women in rural areas are more likely than those in urban areas to not own a house ( 71 percent and 68 percent, respectively), while women in urban areas are more likely than their rural counterparts to not own land ( 81 percent versus 76 percent). Omusati has the highest proportion of women who do not own a house ( 80 percent). Home and land ownership is highest in Zambezi, with only 46 percent and 54 percent of women, respectively, not owning either asset. Land ownership is lowest in Hardap and Khomas, where about 85 percent of women each do not own land.

Women with a secondary education and women in the fourth wealth quintile are most likely not to own a house or land. Surprisingly, women with no education and those in the lowest wealth quintile are more likely to solely own a house than their counterparts in the other categories.


Table 19.4.2 shows ownership of housing and land by men age 15-49, according to background characteristics. Seventy-three percent of men do not own a house and 80 percent do not own land, figures that are comparable with the proportion of women who do not own a house or land. As with women, sole ownership of a house increases with age, ranging from 1 percent among men age 15-19 to 36 percent among men age 40 and above. Sole ownership of land also increases with age, reaching a peak among men age 35-39.

Men in Omusati are most likely to not own a house (86 percent), while men in Kunene are most likely to not own land (93 percent).

As with women, men with a secondary education are most likely not to own a house ( 76 percent). Men with more than a secondary education are most likely to own a house or land, as are men in the lowest wealth quintile.

Table 19.4.2 Ownership of assets: Men
Percent distribution of men age 15-49 by ownership of housing and land, according to background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage who own a house: |  |  |  |  | Total | Percentage who own land: |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alone | Jointly | Alone and jointly | Percentage who do not own a house | Missing |  | Alone | Jointly | Alone and jointly | Percentage who do not own land | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 97.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 92.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 17.9 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 74.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 79.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 22.7 | 10.4 | 3.6 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 76.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 34.8 | 11.6 | 5.0 | 48.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 27.2 | 7.9 | 2.9 | 61.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 36.1 | 16.2 | 8.2 | 39.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 21.7 | 12.3 | 6.4 | 59.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 36.1 | 20.5 | 5.0 | 38.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 26.2 | 14.1 | 4.6 | 55.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 289 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.1 | 8.9 | 2.3 | 68.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 14.4 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 77.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 13.2 | 5.7 | 2.7 | 78.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 84.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 39.9 | 12.4 | 4.0 | 43.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 16.6 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 70.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 218 |
| Erongo | 17.4 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 73.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12.1 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 372 |
| Hardap | 9.0 | 21.7 | 1.0 | 68.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 84.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 152 |
| //Karas | 12.4 | 8.4 | 5.5 | 73.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 81.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Kavango | 20.4 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 72.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Khomas | 23.5 | 11.2 | 1.3 | 64.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 8.0 | 1.1 | 74.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 12.6 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 85.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 92.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 11.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 84.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 14.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 84.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 12.9 | 9.2 | 0.2 | 77.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 85.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 103 |
| Omusati | 4.3 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 85.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 86.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Oshana | 17.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 79.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 79.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 6.3 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 82.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 86.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 17.6 | 5.9 | 1.1 | 75.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 11.8 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 82.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.9 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 71.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 82.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Primary | 18.9 | 6.4 | 3.1 | 71.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 78.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 944 |
| Secondary | 15.2 | 7.2 | 1.8 | 75.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 82.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 23.5 | 13.8 | 4.6 | 58.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.7 | 12.4 | 3.5 | 68.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 18.3 | 9.0 | 3.6 | 68.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 13.6 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 78.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 594 |
| Second | 18.4 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 82.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 769 |
| Middle | 20.5 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 71.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12.8 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 79.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 886 |
| Fourth | 14.1 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 75.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12.1 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 80.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 917 |
| Highest | 14.9 | 9.6 | 2.8 | 72.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 80.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 17.1 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 72.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 80.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,021 |

### 19.4 Women’s and Men’s Participation in Decision Making

Decision making can be a complex process, and the ability of women and men to make decisions that affect their personal circumstances is essential to their status in their household and in society. The number of decisions in which a woman either alone or jointly with her husband has the final say is assumed to be directly related to women's empowerment and reflects the degree of decision-making control the woman is able to exercise in areas that affect her life and environment.

To assess women's decision-making autonomy, the 2013 NDHS collected information on women's participation in three types of household decisions: their own health care, making major household purchases, and visits to family or relatives. Similarly, men were asked about their participation in two types of household decisions: their own health care and making major household purchases. Table 19.5 shows the percent distribution of currently married women and men age 15-49 according to the person in the household who usually makes decisions concerning these matters. Women and men are considered to participate in decision making if they make decisions alone or jointly with their spouse.

Table 19.5 Participation in decision making
Percent distribution of currently married women and currently married men age 15-49 by person who usually makes decisions about various issues, Namibia 2013

| Decision | Mainly wife | Wife and husband jointly | Mainly husband | Someone else | Other | Missing | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own health care | 46.3 | 41.5 | 10.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3,121 |
| Major household purchases | 26.1 | 56.2 | 16.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 3,121 |
| Visits to her family or relatives | 32.0 | 55.4 | 11.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3,121 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own health care | 10.3 | 55.6 | 32.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,160 |
| Major household purchases | 17.7 | 60.7 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,160 |

In Namibia, the majority of currently married women report that they make joint decisions with their husband on major household purchases and visits to family or relatives ( 56 percent and 55 percent, respectively). The majority of women make independent decisions about their own health care (46 percent). Nevertheless, in the case of 11 percent of women, decisions on their health care are made solely by their husband. It is also important to point out that, among one in ten men ( 10 percent), decisions on their health care are made solely by their wife.

Table 19.6.1 shows married women's participation in decision making either by themselves or jointly with their husbands, according to background characteristics. The majority ( 75 percent) of currently married women are involved in all three decisions. The proportion of women who are involved in all three decisions increases with age, from 54 percent in the youngest age group to 78 percent in the oldest age group.

Women who work for cash are more likely to be involved in all three decisions (81 percent) than women who are not employed or employed but not for cash (69 percent each). Women living in urban areas ( 78 percent) are more likely to be involved in all three decisions than women in rural areas (70 percent). A high percentage of women in Hardap (82 percent), Kunene and Erongo (81 percent each), and Khomas and Oshikoto (80 percent each) are involved in all three decisions, while Otjozondjupa has the lowest percentage of women involved in all three decisions (59 percent).

The proportion of women involved in all three decisions increases with increasing education, from 56 percent among those with no education to 88 percent among those with more than a secondary education. The percentage of women involved in all three decisions also increases with increasing wealth, from 66-68 percent in the lowest two wealth quintiles to 86 percent in the highest quintile.

Table 19.6.1 Women's participation in decision making by background characteristics
Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 who usually make specific decisions either by themselves or jointly with their husband, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Specific decisions |  |  | All three decisions | None of the three decisions | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Woman's own health care | Making major household purchases | Visits to her family or relatives |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 68.7 | 64.8 | 78.8 | 54.1 | 13.2 | 103 |
| 20-24 | 84.2 | 83.0 | 83.7 | 71.3 | 7.3 | 349 |
| 25-29 | 87.5 | 81.2 | 85.9 | 73.2 | 6.1 | 558 |
| 30-34 | 87.5 | 81.4 | 87.8 | 74.4 | 7.3 | 634 |
| 35-39 | 89.3 | 84.8 | 90.9 | 77.6 | 3.5 | 593 |
| 40-44 | 91.8 | 82.9 | 89.1 | 76.5 | 4.9 | 497 |
| 45-49 | 89.1 | 84.3 | 87.2 | 78.4 | 7.5 | 386 |
| Employment (past 12 months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 83.4 | 76.7 | 84.7 | 68.6 | 8.3 | 1,437 |
| Employed for cash | 92.1 | 88.2 | 90.2 | 80.9 | 4.0 | 1,540 |
| Employed not for cash | 85.9 | 76.2 | 86.1 | 68.7 | 6.9 | 138 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 86.3 | 80.2 | 86.4 | 73.7 | 7.1 | 255 |
| 1-2 | 87.4 | 84.1 | 86.8 | 75.6 | 6.6 | 1,347 |
| 3-4 | 88.3 | 81.3 | 88.2 | 73.7 | 5.5 | 999 |
| 5+ | 88.3 | 80.0 | 88.1 | 74.2 | 6.3 | 520 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.9 | 85.9 | 88.7 | 78.1 | 5.2 | 1,819 |
| Rural | 84.8 | 77.2 | 85.7 | 69.8 | 7.7 | 1,301 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 88.0 | 93.4 | 80.2 | 74.4 | 4.1 | 204 |
| Erongo | 91.1 | 89.5 | 91.8 | 80.6 | 1.3 | 305 |
| Hardap | 89.8 | 88.9 | 89.6 | 82.4 | 5.1 | 131 |
| //Karas | 87.1 | 88.7 | 90.7 | 78.6 | 4.2 | 133 |
| Kavango | 79.4 | 77.6 | 83.3 | 67.8 | 9.1 | 429 |
| Khomas | 93.4 | 86.7 | 91.5 | 80.1 | 3.0 | 727 |
| Kunene | 87.0 | 85.7 | 88.8 | 80.9 | 6.5 | 108 |
| Ohangwena | 94.0 | 71.3 | 95.4 | 69.2 | 1.2 | 184 |
| Omaheke | 88.6 | 84.6 | 88.6 | 76.3 | 4.5 | 110 |
| Omusati | 87.9 | 72.5 | 89.0 | 68.6 | 7.7 | 187 |
| Oshana | 85.1 | 71.2 | 87.9 | 69.4 | 9.8 | 164 |
| Oshikoto | 95.4 | 88.7 | 88.4 | 80.4 | 2.6 | 208 |
| Otjozondjupa | 69.6 | 65.4 | 69.3 | 59.2 | 25.4 | 231 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 75.3 | 67.8 | 73.7 | 56.4 | 15.9 | 233 |
| Primary | 82.5 | 76.7 | 84.5 | 67.5 | 8.3 | 718 |
| Secondary | 89.8 | 84.5 | 88.9 | 77.1 | 4.8 | 1,808 |
| More than secondary | 95.6 | 91.4 | 94.6 | 88.3 | 2.8 | 362 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 82.2 | 75.9 | 84.1 | 68.2 | 8.3 | 558 |
| Second | 82.9 | 74.7 | 85.7 | 66.0 | 7.5 | 539 |
| Middle | 86.5 | 80.4 | 84.8 | 70.7 | 7.6 | 598 |
| Fourth | 90.1 | 84.9 | 88.8 | 76.8 | 5.2 | 623 |
| Highest | 94.0 | 91.0 | 91.7 | 86.1 | 3.6 | 802 |
| Total | 87.7 | 82.2 | 87.4 | 74.6 | 6.2 | 3,121 |

Note: Total includes 6 women with missing information on employment.

The total number of decisions in which a woman participates is one simple measure of her empowerment. Figure 19.1 shows the distribution of currently married women age 15-49 according to the number of decisions in which they participate either alone or jointly with their husband. Seventy-five percent of women participate in all three household decisions, 14 percent participate in two decisions, 5 percent participate in one decision, and 6 percent do not participate in any decisions.

Figure 19.1 Number of decisions in which currently married women participate, Namibia 2013


Table 19.6.2 shows the percentage of currently married men age 15-49 who report that they participate in specific household decisions, according to background characteristics. The vast majority of men make decisions either by themselves or jointly with their wives on matters pertaining to their own health care ( 89 percent) and making major household purchases ( 81 percent). Seventy-eight percent of men make both types of decisions, while 9 percent do not participate in either type of decision. Men who are not employed ( 92 percent) tend to be more involved in both decisions than men who are employed for cash ( 76 percent). Table 19.6.2 further indicates that men with no children are more likely to be involved in both decisions ( 87 percent).

Men in rural areas are slightly more likely than men in urban areas to be involved in both decisions ( 81 percent and 77 percent, respectively). It is worth noting that men with no education and men in the lowest wealth quintile are most likely to be involved in both decisions ( 83 percent and 84 percent, respectively).

Table 19.6.2 Men's participation in decision making by background characteristics
Percentage of currently married men age 15-49 who usually make specific decisions either alone or jointly with their wife, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Specific decisions |  | Both decisions | Neither of the two decisions | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Man's own health | Making major household purchases |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | 7 |
| 20-24 | 89.0 | 80.6 | 80.4 | 10.9 | 76 |
| 25-29 | 85.6 | 80.8 | 77.9 | 11.5 | 165 |
| 30-34 | 86.8 | 77.9 | 74.5 | 9.9 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 89.2 | 84.2 | 78.5 | 5.1 | 245 |
| 40-44 | 91.1 | 81.2 | 79.3 | 7.0 | 238 |
| 45-49 | 88.8 | 81.3 | 79.8 | 9.8 | 205 |
| Employment (past 12 months) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 94.5 | 93.6 | 91.7 | 3.6 | 191 |
| Employed for cash | 87.5 | 79.2 | 76.0 | 9.3 | 951 |
| Employed not for cash | * | * | * | * | 17 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 91.3 | 87.2 | 87.0 | 8.5 | 109 |
| 1-2 | 86.2 | 76.8 | 74.3 | 11.4 | 440 |
| 3-4 | 88.0 | 82.2 | 77.2 | 7.0 | 360 |
| 5+ | 92.4 | 85.1 | 83.1 | 5.6 | 251 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 86.5 | 80.6 | 76.9 | 9.9 | 745 |
| Rural | 92.3 | 82.5 | 80.8 | 6.0 | 415 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 95.7 | 68.1 | 66.6 | 2.9 | 78 |
| Erongo | 94.3 | 94.4 | 91.3 | 2.5 | 137 |
| Hardap | 74.9 | 61.6 | 60.0 | 23.6 | 63 |
| //Karas | 77.1 | 71.8 | 63.0 | 14.1 | 53 |
| Kavango | 97.4 | 84.1 | 82.5 | 1.1 | 126 |
| Khomas | 81.9 | 73.2 | 69.8 | 14.8 | 307 |
| Kunene | 87.7 | 86.9 | 85.3 | 10.8 | 39 |
| Ohangwena | (89.4) | (92.4) | (84.2) | (2.3) | 42 |
| Omaheke | 79.5 | 77.8 | 75.7 | 18.4 | 37 |
| Omusati | (100.0) | (85.9) | (85.9) | (0.0) | 45 |
| Oshana | (97.5) | (100.0) | (97.5) | (0.0) | 50 |
| Oshikoto | 94.9 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 5.1 | 66 |
| Otjozondjupa | 89.1 | 89.3 | 85.5 | 7.1 | 117 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 91.5 | 86.2 | 82.8 | 5.1 | 122 |
| Primary | 92.4 | 82.1 | 78.7 | 4.1 | 252 |
| Secondary | 85.8 | 79.9 | 77.1 | 11.3 | 635 |
| More than secondary | 91.3 | 81.4 | 79.2 | 6.5 | 151 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 96.6 | 85.1 | 83.9 | 2.3 | 175 |
| Second | 92.4 | 79.0 | 77.2 | 5.9 | 196 |
| Middle | 86.6 | 84.2 | 79.6 | 8.7 | 226 |
| Fourth | 83.0 | 77.9 | 74.1 | 13.1 | 285 |
| Highest | 88.1 | 81.4 | 78.9 | 9.3 | 277 |
| Total 15-49 | 88.6 | 81.2 | 78.3 | 8.5 | 1,160 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that an estimate is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Total includes 1 man with missing information on employment.

### 19.5 Attitudes toward Wife Beating

The critical problems that women face are many and diverse. One of these problems, and among the most serious, is the issue of violence against women. Domestic violence is described by the Namibia National Plan of Action on Gender-Based Violence as a range of violent conduct that takes place within a domestic relationship, such as between spouses, intimate partners, or family members. It further indicates that although both men and women can suffer domestic violence, in practice the victims and survivors are overwhelmingly women, which has implications for sexual and reproductive health (Ministry of Gender Equality and Child Welfare, 2012).

To assess women's and men's attitudes toward wife beating, respondents were asked whether a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife in each of the following five situations: if she burns the
food, if she argues with him, if she goes out without telling him, if she neglects the children, and if she refuses to have sexual intercourse with him.

Table 19.7.1 shows the percentage of women age $15-49$ who agree that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife for specific reasons, according to background characteristics. More than one in four women ( 28 percent) agree that a husband is justified in beating his wife for at least one specified reason. Ten percent of women agree that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife if she burns the food, 12 percent if she argues with him, 13 percent if she goes out without telling him, 20 percent if she neglects the children, and 8 percent if she refuses to have sexual intercourse with him. There has been an improvement in women's attitudes toward wife beating since the 2006-07 NDHS, when 35 percent of women agreed that wife beating is justified for at least one of the specified reasons.

| Percentage of all women age 15-49 who agree that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife for specific reasons, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife if she: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who agree with at least one specified reason | Number |
|  | Burns the food | Argues with him | Goes out without telling him | Neglects the children | Refuses to have sexual intercourse with him |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 12.9 | 18.7 | 6.2 | 28.3 | 1,906 |
| 20-24 | 8.8 | 10.4 | 10.6 | 19.5 | 6.1 | 27.1 | 1,786 |
| 25-29 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 12.5 | 19.4 | 9.5 | 27.8 | 1,489 |
| 30-34 | 10.7 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 22.2 | 9.1 | 30.6 | 1,260 |
| 35-39 | 11.0 | 13.9 | 15.6 | 22.9 | 9.4 | 29.4 | 1,110 |
| 40-44 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 12.6 | 19.8 | 7.4 | 28.2 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 14.1 | 15.9 | 8.8 | 25.8 | 708 |
| Employment (past 12 months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 12.1 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 21.5 | 8.9 | 31.2 | 4,982 |
| Employed for cash | 6.5 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 17.1 | 5.9 | 23.5 | 3,826 |
| Employed not for cash | 18.9 | 14.2 | 17.8 | 28.1 | 13.3 | 38.5 | 351 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 9.8 | 16.5 | 4.8 | 24.0 | 3,034 |
| 1-2 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 20.2 | 8.2 | 28.3 | 3,606 |
| 3-4 | 11.6 | 14.2 | 15.7 | 22.4 | 9.0 | 30.9 | 1,750 |
| 5+ | 16.4 | 18.6 | 20.2 | 25.7 | 15.3 | 38.7 | 785 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 8.6 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 18.4 | 5.6 | 26.3 | 5,458 |
| Married or living together | 12.0 | 14.9 | 15.6 | 21.2 | 10.7 | 30.1 | 3,121 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 12.7 | 16.8 | 21.1 | 26.5 | 13.2 | 36.5 | 597 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.6 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 15.1 | 4.9 | 21.5 | 5,190 |
| Rural | 15.8 | 15.7 | 18.6 | 26.1 | 11.6 | 37.0 | 3,986 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 19.7 | 20.1 | 28.4 | 32.4 | 12.9 | 46.1 | 457 |
| Erongo | 3.0 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 11.0 | 3.0 | 14.5 | 771 |
| Hardap | 3.6 | 13.7 | 8.7 | 12.5 | 4.9 | 24.0 | 304 |
| //Karas | 5.5 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 16.8 | 3.5 | 23.2 | 343 |
| Kavango | 34.4 | 40.9 | 34.7 | 37.1 | 36.9 | 56.7 | 835 |
| Khomas | 3.3 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 13.7 | 3.1 | 19.0 | 2,202 |
| Kunene | 5.2 | 16.9 | 21.1 | 22.7 | 9.1 | 30.0 | 258 |
| Ohangwena | 15.2 | 11.6 | 17.5 | 26.0 | 6.7 | 35.6 | 894 |
| Omaheke | 5.0 | 10.1 | 11.0 | 14.0 | 4.5 | 24.0 | 225 |
| Omusati | 7.7 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 13.4 | 2.1 | 18.7 | 884 |
| Oshana | 9.5 | 9.7 | 12.5 | 20.3 | 6.2 | 29.2 | 755 |
| Oshikoto | 13.0 | 9.5 | 15.7 | 30.8 | 7.5 | 40.1 | 707 |
| Otjozondjupa | 4.3 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 13.3 | 3.8 | 19.7 | 540 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 20.3 | 23.2 | 23.3 | 29.6 | 17.4 | 43.5 | 419 |
| Primary | 16.6 | 19.9 | 20.4 | 27.8 | 14.4 | 40.2 | 1,798 |
| Secondary | 8.7 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 19.0 | 6.1 | 26.4 | 6,029 |
| More than secondary | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 9.8 | 930 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 21.0 | 22.8 | 24.8 | 32.2 | 18.1 | 46.2 | 1,429 |
| Second | 15.3 | 14.4 | 18.2 | 26.3 | 10.4 | 36.8 | 1,625 |
| Middle | 12.1 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 23.9 | 8.5 | 32.9 | 1,795 |
| Fourth | 4.8 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 15.4 | 4.3 | 22.2 | 2,116 |
| Highest | 2.4 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 12.2 | 2,211 |
| Total | 10.0 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 19.9 | 7.8 | 28.2 | 9,176 |

Note: Total includes 17 women with missing information on employment.

Women who are employed but not for cash, women with five or more children, those who are divorced, separated, or widowed, rural women and those living in Kavango are more likely than their counterparts in the other categories to agree that wife beating is justified for at least one specified reason. The proportion of women who agree that wife beating is justified for at least one specified reason decreases with increasing education and wealth.

Table 19.7.2 shows the percentage of men age 15-49 who agree that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife for each of the specified reasons, according to background characteristics. Twenty-two percent of men agree that a man is justified in beating his wife for at least one specified reason. Five percent agree that he is justified in hitting or beating his wife if she burns the food, 9 percent if she argues with him, 10 percent if she goes out without telling him, 14 percent if she neglects the children, and 3 percent if she refuses to have sexual intercourse with him. Similar to women, there has been a marked improvement in men's attitudes toward wife beating since the 2006-07 NDHS, when 41 percent of men agreed that wife beating is justified for at least one specified reason.

Table 19.7.2 Attitude toward wife beating: Men
Percentage of all men age $15-49$ who agree that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife for specific reasons, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife if she: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who agree with at least one specified reason | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Burns the food | Argues with him | Goes out without telling him | Neglects the children | Refuses to have sexual intercourse with him |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.6 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 19.1 | 4.3 | 29.5 | 922 |
| 20-24 | 5.5 | 9.9 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 23.6 | 808 |
| 25-29 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 11.3 | 3.1 | 17.2 | 658 |
| 30-34 | 3.3 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 13.0 | 3.1 | 20.3 | 520 |
| 35-39 | 4.1 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 14.9 | 2.3 | 20.3 | 448 |
| 40-44 | 1.8 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 1.1 | 18.4 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 10.6 | 0.9 | 14.1 | 289 |
| Employment (past 12 months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 5.1 | 11.0 | 9.8 | 14.1 | 3.3 | 23.8 | 1,547 |
| Employed for cash | 4.1 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 14.2 | 2.5 | 20.3 | 2,397 |
| Employed not for cash | 10.2 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 24.6 | 7.1 | 35.9 | 74 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5.6 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 15.8 | 3.9 | 25.6 | 2,094 |
| 1-2 | 4.0 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 14.3 | 2.1 | 19.0 | 1,077 |
| 3-4 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 9.6 | 2.0 | 15.5 | 544 |
| 5+ | 3.1 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 13.2 | 0.4 | 18.5 | 305 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 5.5 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 16.1 | 3.6 | 25.0 | 2,745 |
| Married or living together | 2.1 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 1.2 | 14.5 | 1,160 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 7.0 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 23.0 | 116 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.7 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 11.8 | 1.8 | 17.1 | 2,282 |
| Rural | 7.2 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 17.7 | 4.4 | 28.3 | 1,739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 7.5 | 11.2 | 14.6 | 11.4 | 2.9 | 24.1 | 218 |
| Erongo | 1.4 | 7.7 | 12.4 | 22.1 | 3.1 | 24.1 | 372 |
| Hardap | 0.6 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 152 |
| //Karas | 4.0 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 14.4 | 151 |
| Kavango | 6.7 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 13.3 | 3.2 | 24.7 | 316 |
| Khomas | 2.4 | 9.3 | 6.8 | 11.1 | 2.1 | 17.5 | 1,023 |
| Kunene | 1.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 104 |
| Ohangwena | 11.3 | 20.6 | 15.4 | 25.3 | 4.4 | 38.6 | 328 |
| Omaheke | 2.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 10.6 | 103 |
| Omusati | 10.2 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 20.3 | 6.2 | 31.2 | 342 |
| Oshana | 3.5 | 9.7 | 16.7 | 18.5 | 2.8 | 26.3 | 335 |
| Oshikoto | 5.6 | 9.7 | 12.9 | 16.1 | 4.4 | 27.2 | 335 |
| Otjozondjupa | 1.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 7.2 | 241 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.4 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 14.9 | 1.1 | 21.6 | 310 |
| Primary | 7.7 | 11.7 | 13.9 | 19.6 | 5.4 | 29.5 | 944 |
| Secondary | 3.6 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 13.2 | 2.1 | 20.4 | 2,400 |
| More than secondary | 1.0 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 12.8 | 368 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 8.8 | 12.8 | 13.8 | 23.4 | 4.5 | 33.1 | 594 |
| Second | 6.5 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 15.8 | 4.4 | 26.3 | 769 |
| Middle | 5.3 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 13.3 | 2.3 | 20.5 | 886 |
| Fourth | 2.7 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 11.7 | 2.6 | 18.7 | 917 |
| Highest | 1.4 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 10.6 | 1.4 | 15.1 | 855 |
| Total 15-49 | 4.6 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 14.3 | 2.9 | 21.9 | 4,021 |

[^25]Men age 15-19, men employed but not for cash, men with no living children, never-married men, rural men, men from Ohangwena, men with a primary education, and men in the lowest wealth quintile are more likely to agree that wife beating is justified for at least one specified reason than their counterparts in the other categories.

### 19.6 Women's Empowerment Indicators

The two sets of empowerment indicators, namely women's participation in decision making and their attitude toward wife beating, can be summarised in two indices.

The first index shows the number of decisions (see Table 19.6.1 for the list of decisions) in which women participate either alone or jointly with their husbands. This index ranges from 0 to 3 and reflects the degree of decision-making control that women are able to exercise in areas that affect their own lives and their level of empowerment.

The second index, which ranges from 0 to 5 , is the number of reasons (see Table 19.7.1 for a list of reasons) for which a woman thinks that a husband is justified in beating his wife. A low score on this indicator is interpreted as reflecting higher status for women both in their household and in society.

Table 19.8 shows how the two indices relate to each other. Women who participate in all three decisions are most likely to agree that wife beating is not justified for any reason ( 73 percent). On the other hand, women who do not participate in any household decisions are more likely to disagree with all of the reasons justifying wife beating (67 percent) than women who participate in 1-2 household decisions (59 percent).

The relationship between women's attitude toward wife beating and their participation in decisions is more consistent. The data indicate that the proportion of women who participate in all decision making decreases as the number of reasons for which wife beating is justified increases, from 78 percent to 61 percent.

| Table 19.8 Indicators of women's empowerment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 who participate in all decision making and the percentage who disagree with all of the reasons justifying wife beating, by value on each of the indicators of women's empowerment, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
| Empowerment indicator | Percentage who participate in all decision making | Percentage who disagree with all the reasons justifying wifebeating | Number of women |
| Number of decisions in which women participate ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | na | 58.5 | 598 |
| 3 | na | 73.1 | 2,328 |
| Number of reasons for which wife beating is justified ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 78.0 | na | 2,183 |
| 1-2 | 70.7 | na | 547 |
| 3-4 | 61.3 | na | 254 |
| 5 | 60.6 | na | 138 |

na = Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ See Table 19.6.1 for the list of decisions.
${ }^{2}$ See Table 19.7.1 for the list of reasons.

### 19.7 Current Use of Contraception by Women’s Empowerment

A woman's desire and ability to control her fertility and her choice of contraceptive methods are in part affected by her status in the household and her own sense of empowerment. A woman who feels that she is unable to control her life may be less likely to feel she can make and carry out decisions about
her fertility. Table 19.9 shows the percent distribution of currently married women by the contraceptive method used, according to the two empowerment indicators.

There is a positive relationship between women's empowerment and use of contraception. Use of any method and any modern method increases as the number of decisions in which women participate increases. Likewise, use of any method and any modern method decreases with increases in the number of reasons women agree that wife beating is justified. In terms of specific methods, the data show that as women's empowerment increases, so does the use of female sterilisation (and male sterilisation to a smaller degree). The data also show that empowered women are less likely to use traditional methods. To some degree, empowerment has a positive impact on the use of male condoms. For example, 13 percent of women who participate in all decisions use male condoms, as compared with 9 percent of women who do not participate in any decision making. Similarly, 13 percent of women who agree that wife beating is not justified for any reason use male condoms, in contrast to 7 percent of women who agree that wife beating is justified for any reason. The relationship between women's empowerment and use of temporary modern methods, however, is less straightforward.

Table 19.9 Current use of contraception by women's empowerment
Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 by current contraceptive method, according to selected indicators of women's status, Namibia 2013

| Empowerment indicator | Any method | Any modern method | Modern methods |  |  |  | Any traditional method | Notcurrentlyusing | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female sterilisation | Male sterilisation | Temporary modern female methods ${ }^{1}$ | Male condom |  |  |  |  |
| Number of decisions in which women participate ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 41.7 | 40.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 9.3 | 1.6 | 58.3 | 100.0 | 194 |
| 1-2 | 54.5 | 52.8 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 36.7 | 11.3 | 1.7 | 45.5 | 100.0 | 598 |
| 3 | 57.7 | 57.2 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 37.3 | 12.5 | 0.6 | 42.3 | 100.0 | 2,328 |
| Number of reasons for which wife beating is justified ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 58.2 | 57.6 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 37.2 | 12.6 | 0.7 | 41.8 | 100.0 | 2,183 |
| 1-2 | 53.6 | 52.7 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 14.0 | 0.9 | 46.4 | 100.0 | 547 |
| 3-4 | 49.1 | 48.7 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 50.9 | 100.0 | 254 |
| 5 | 45.4 | 41.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 31.9 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 54.6 | 100.0 | 138 |
| Total | 56.3 | 55.3 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 36.6 | 12.0 | 0.8 | 43.9 | 100.0 | 3,121 |

Note: If more than one method is used, only the most effective method is considered in this tabulation.
${ }^{1}$ Pill, IUD, injectables, implants, female condom, diaphragm, foam/jelly, and lactational amenorrhoea method
${ }^{2}$ See Table 19.6.1 for the list of decisions.
${ }^{3}$ See Table 19.7.1 for the list of reasons.

### 19.8 Ideal Family Size and Unmet Need by Women’s Empowerment

A woman's fertility preferences-for example, her preference with respect to ideal number of children—are typically lower than those of her husband (see Chapter 6, Table 6.3). As a woman becomes more empowered to negotiate fertility decision making, she has more control over her ability to access and use contraceptives to space and limit her family size. Women who have a desire to space or limit their births but who are not using family planning are defined as having an unmet need for family planning. Table 19.10 shows how women's ideal family size and their unmet need for family planning vary by the two empowerment indicators.

Women's mean ideal number of children increases with the number of reasons they justify for wife beating. However, the relationship between mean ideal number of children and decision making is not consistent. The data also show that empowered women are more likely to have their contraceptive needs met than women who are not empowered. Unmet need for family planning decreases as women's participation in decision making increases. Likewise, unmet need for family planning generally increases as the number of reasons women agree with wife beating increases.

Table 19.10 Ideal number of children and unmet need for family planning by women's empowerment
Mean ideal number of children for women 15-49 and the percentage of currently married women age 15-49 with an unmet need for family planning, by indicators of women's empowerment, Namibia 2013

| Empowerment indicator | Mean ideal number of children ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women | Percentage of currently married women with an unmet need for family planning ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | For spacing | For limiting | Total |  |
| Number of decisions in which women participate ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 3.5 | 190 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 24.5 | 194 |
| 1-2 | 4.0 | 595 | 12.8 | 9.1 | 21.9 | 598 |
| 3 | 3.6 | 2,304 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 15.8 | 2,328 |
| Number of reasons for which wife beating is justified ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.1 | 6,501 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 15.7 | 2,183 |
| 1-2 | 3.3 | 1,696 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 21.9 | 547 |
| 3-4 | 3.5 | 605 | 13.2 | 7.4 | 20.5 | 254 |
| 5 | 3.9 | 248 | 9.5 | 13.5 | 23.0 | 138 |
| Total | 3.2 | 9,050 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 17.5 | 3,121 |

${ }^{1}$ Mean excludes respondents who gave non-numeric responses.
${ }^{2}$ See Table 7.8 for the definition of unmet need for family planning.
${ }^{3}$ Restricted to currently married women. See Table 15.6.1 for the list of decisions.
${ }^{4}$ See Table 15.7.1 for the list of reasons.

### 19.9 Women’s Empowerment and Reproductive Health Care

Table 19.11 shows women's use of antenatal, delivery, and postnatal care services by level of empowerment, as measured by the two empowerment indicators. The data indicate that empowered women are more likely to seek out and use health services, enabling them to better meet their reproductive health goals, including safe motherhood. This relationship is more obvious with respect to care from skilled providers at delivery and during the postpartum period. For example, the percentage of women receiving delivery care from skilled birth attendants increases from 79 percent among those who do not participate in any decision making to 89 percent among those who participate in all three decisions. Similarly, the percentage of women receiving skilled delivery care decreases from 93 percent among those who believe that wife beating is not justified for any reason to 73 percent among women who agree that wife beating is justified for any of the five reasons. Because the vast majority of Namibian women receive antenatal care from a skilled provider, the relationship between women's empowerment and skilled antenatal care is less pronounced. The percentage of women with a postnatal checkup in the first two days after birth is lowest for women who do not participate in any decision. In addition, postnatal checkup in the first two days is highest for women who believe that wife beating is not justified for any reason.
Table 19.11 Reproductive health care by women's empowerment
Percentage of women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey who received
antenatal care, delivery assistance, and postnatal care from health personnel for the most recent birth, by
indicators of women's empowerment, Namibia 2013

[^26]${ }^{2}$ Includes women who received a postnatal checkup from a doctor, nurse, midwife, community health worker or traditional birth attendant (TBA) in the first two days after the birth. Includes women who gave birth in a health facility and those who did not give birth in a health facility.
${ }^{3}$ Restricted to currently married women. See Table 15.6.1 for the list of decisions.
${ }^{4}$ See Table 15.7.1 for the list of reasons.

## Key Findings

- Thirty-two percent of ever-married women age 15-49 have experienced physical violence at least once since age 15 , and 14 percent experienced physical violence within the 12 months prior to the survey.
- Overall, 33 percent of ever-married women age 15-49 report ever having experienced physical, sexual, and/or emotional violence from their spouse, and 28 percent report having experienced such violence in the past 12 months.
- Among ever-married women who had experienced spousal physical violence in the past 12 months, 36 percent reported experiencing physical injuries.
- Six percent of women reported experiencing violence during pregnancy.
- Fifteen percent of Namibian women who have experienced violence have never sought help and never told anyone about the violence.

Domestic violence, also known as domestic abuse, spousal violence, family violence, and intimate partner violence, is broadly defined as a pattern of abusive behaviours by one or both partners in an intimate relationship. Domestic violence, so defined, has many forms, including physical aggression (hitting, kicking, biting, shoving, restraining, slapping, or throwing objects) as well as threats, sexual and emotional abuse, controlling or domineering behaviours, intimidation, stalking, and passive or covert abuse (e.g., neglect or economic deprivation).

Domestic violence is an endemic problem in Namibia and may be the most underreported form of violence against both women and men and girls and boys residing in the country. As a result of the escalating numbers of domestic violence cases in the country, the government has enacted several laws to protect survivors and punish perpetrators of domestic violence. These laws include, among others, the Married Persons Equality Act (No. 1 of 1996), the Combating of Rape Act (No. 8 of 2000), the Combating of Domestic Violence Act (No. 4 of 2003), the Maintenance Act (No. 9 of 2003), and the Children Status Act (No. 6 of 2006) (Ministry of Gender Equality and Child Welfare [MGECW], 2012).

### 20.1 Valid Measures of Domestic Violence

The domestic violence module was included for the first time in the 2013 NDHS. Collecting accurate domestic violence data is an issue in most countries, and Namibia is no exception. Collection of valid, reliable, and ethical data on domestic violence involves particular challenges because what constitutes violence or abuse varies across cultures and individuals, and a culture of silence usually affects reporting of violence. Moreover, the sensitivity of the topic must be addressed. Responses to these challenges in the 2013 NDHS are described below.

### 20.1.1 Use of Valid Measures of Violence

In the 2013 NDHS, information was obtained from never-married women, ever-married women, as well as divorced, separated, or widowed women age 15-49 on violence committed by their current and former spouses and by others. Since international research shows that intimate partner violence is one of the most common forms of violence against women, spousal violence was measured in more detail than violence committed by other perpetrators. These detailed measurements were made using a shortened and modified version of the Conflict Tactics Scale (Straus, 1990). Specifically, spousal physical violence by
the husband for currently married women and the most recent husband for formerly married women was measured by asking all ever-married women the following set of questions.

Does (did) your (last) husband ever:
(a) Push you, shake you, or throw something at you?
(b) Slap you?
(c) Twist your arm or pull your hair?
(d) Punch you with his fist or with something that could hurt you?
(e) Kick you, drag you, or beat you up?
(f) Try to choke you or burn you on purpose?
(g) Threaten or attack you with a knife, gun, or any other weapon?

For every question that a woman answered "yes," she was asked about the frequency of the act in the 12 months preceding the survey. A yes answer to one or more of items (a) to (g) above constitutes evidence of physical violence.

Similarly, emotional violence among ever-married women was measured with the following questions.

Does (did) your (last) husband ever:
(a) Say or do something to humiliate you in front of others?
(b) Threaten to hurt or harm you or someone close to you?
(c) Insult you or make you feel bad about yourself?

This approach of asking about specific acts to measure different forms of violence has the advantage of not being affected by different understandings of what constitutes a summary term such as violence. By including a wide range of acts, the approach has the additional advantage of giving the respondent multiple opportunities to disclose any experience of violence.

In addition to these questions, women were asked about physical violence from persons other than the current or most recent spouse. Respondents who answered this question in the affirmative were asked who committed the violence against them and the frequency of such violence during the 12 months preceding the survey. Although this approach to questioning is generally considered to be optimal, the possibility of underreporting of violence cannot be entirely ruled out in any survey, and this survey is no exception.

### 20.1.2 Ethical Considerations for the Domestic Violence Module in the 2013 NDHS

In recognition of the challenges in collecting data on violence, the interviewers in the 2013 NDHS were given special training. The training focused on how to ask sensitive questions, ensure privacy, and build rapport between interviewer and respondent. Rapport with the interviewer, confidentiality, and privacy are all keys to building respondents' confidence so that they can safely share their experiences with the interviewer. Also, placement of the violence questions at the end of the questionnaire provided time for the interviewer to develop a certain degree of intimacy that should have further encouraged respondents to share their experiences of violence, if any. In addition, the following protections were built into the survey in keeping with the World Health Organization’s ethical and safety recommendations for research on domestic violence (WHO, 2001):

1. Only one woman per household was administered the questions on violence to maintain confidentiality. One in every three households was preselected for an interview on violence, and in the selected household one female respondent was randomly selected to be administered questions on domestic violence. The random selection of one woman was done
through a simple selection procedure based on the Kish grid, which was built into the Household Questionnaire (Kish, 1965).
2. As a means of obtaining additional consent beyond the initial consent provided at the start of the interview, the respondent was informed that the questions could be sensitive and was reassured regarding the confidentiality of her responses.
3. The violence module was implemented only if privacy could be obtained. The interviewers were instructed to skip the module, thank the respondent, and end the interview if they could not maintain privacy.

### 20.1.3 Subsample for the Violence Module

The domestic violence module was implemented only in the subsample of households selected for the men's survey. Furthermore (as mentioned above), in keeping with ethical requirements, only one woman per household was selected for the module. A total of 2,226 women were successfully interviewed. Specially constructed weights were used to adjust for the selection of only one woman per household and to ensure that the domestic violence subsample was nationally representative.

### 20.2 Experience of Physical Violence

Table 20.1 shows that about one in three ( 32 percent) women age 15-49 have experienced physical violence since age 15 and that 14 percent experienced physical violence in the 12 months prior to the survey. Overall, 3 percent of women reported that they had experienced physical violence often in the past 12 months, and 11 percent said they had experienced physical violence sometimes during that period.

Experience of physical violence varies substantially by background characteristics. Women age 20-24 are slightly more likely than other women to have experienced physical violence since age 15 (35 percent).

Rural women ( 32 percent) are slightly more likely to have ever experienced physical violence than urban women ( 31 percent). Fourteen percent of both rural and urban women experienced physical violence in the 12 months prior to the survey. Kavango has the highest percentage of women who have ever experienced physical violence ( 49 percent), followed by Omaheke ( 42 percent). The reported prevalence of violence is also relatively high in //Karas and Kunene ( 41 percent and 36 percent, respectively) but is lowest in Omusati (19 percent). The regional pattern of women's experience of physical violence in the 12 months prior to the survey is generally similar to the pattern among women who have ever experienced physical violence.

Fifty percent of women who are divorced, separated, or widowed and 37 percent of women who are currently married or living together with a partner have experienced physical violence since age 15. Currently married women are less likely to have experienced physical violence in the past 12 months (21 percent) than formerly married women (23 percent).

Experiences of physical violence among women increase with the number of living children. While 29 percent of women with no children report having ever experienced physical violence, this percentage increases to 37 percent among those with five or more children. Experiences of physical violence in the past 12 months follow a similar pattern, ranging from 13 percent among women with no children to 19 percent among women with five or more children.

Women who are employed not for cash are more likely than other women to have experienced physical violence since age 15 ( 34 percent), but they are less likely to have experienced violence during the 12 months preceding the survey ( 9 percent).

Table 20.1 Experience of physical violence
Percentage of women age 15-49 who have ever experienced physical violence since age 15 and percentage who have experienced violence during the 12 months preceding the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage who } \\ \text { have ever } \\ \text { experienced }\end{array} & \text { Percentage who have experienced physical violence in the } \\ \text { past 12 months }\end{array}\right)$

Note: Total includes 1 woman with missing information on employment.
ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia
${ }^{1}$ Includes violence in the past 12 months. For women who were married before age 15 and who reported physical violence by a spouse, the violence could have occurred before age 15.
${ }^{2}$ Includes women for whom frequency in the past 12 months is not known

Women with no education are more likely than women with at least some education to have experienced physical violence since age 15 ( 43 percent) and to have experienced physical violence in the 12 months preceding the survey ( 25 percent).

In general, the percentage of women who have experienced physical violence since age 15 decreases with increasing wealth. There is no clear pattern in the relationship between wealth and experiences of physical violence in the past 12 months

### 20.3 Perpetrators of Physical Violence

Table 20.2 shows the percentage of ever-married women reporting any physical violence since age 15 by the person or persons who committed the acts of violence against them. The most commonly reported perpetrator of physical violence among ever-married women is their current husband (50 percent), indicating a high level of spousal violence. Twenty percent of women reported their former husband or partner as the perpetrator, and 10 percent reported others. Women were more likely to report mothers or stepmothers (9 percent) than fathers or stepfathers as perpetrators.

| Among women age 15-49 who have experienced physical violence since age 15, percentage who report specific persons who committed the violence, according to the respondent's current marital status, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marital status |  |  |
| Person | Ever married | Never married | Total |
| Current husband/partner | 49.9 | na | 26.3 |
| Former husband/partner | 19.5 | na | 10.2 |
| Current boyfriend | 0.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| Former boyfriend | 6.9 | 5.3 | 6.1 |
| Father/stepfather | 5.0 | 15.9 | 10.2 |
| Mother/stepmother | 9.4 | 22.6 | 15.7 |
| Sister/brother | 6.8 | 15.9 | 11.1 |
| Daughter/ son | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other relative | 9.1 | 15.9 | 12.3 |
| Mother-in-law | 0.0 | na | 0.2 |
| Father-in-law | 0.0 | na | 0.1 |
| Other in-law | 0.1 | na | 0.0 |
| Teacher | 4.1 | 11.8 | 7.7 |
| Employer/someone at work | 3.8 | 10.5 | 7.0 |
| Police/soldier | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other | 10.4 | 19.0 | 14.5 |
| Number of women who have experienced physical violence since age 15 | 369 | 333 | 701 |
| na $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |

### 20.4 Experience of Sexual Violence

Table 20.3 shows that 7 percent of women age 15-49 have experienced sexual violence since age 15, and 4 percent experienced sexual violence in the 12 months prior to the survey. Experience of sexual violence varies substantially by background characteristics. Women age 20-24 (5 percent) are the least likely to have ever experienced sexual violence and those age $30-39$ are the most likely ( 9 percent). Experience of sexual violence is lowest among women who practice ELCIN religion (5 percent) and highest among those with no religion (12 percent). Urban women (8 percent) are slightly more likely to have ever experienced sexual violence than rural women ( 7 percent). Four percent of both rural and urban women experienced sexual violence in the 12 months prior to the survey. Omaheke has the highest percentage of women who have ever experienced sexual violence (13 percent), followed by Hardap and Kavango (12 percent each). The prevalence of sexual violence is also relatively high in //Karas, Otjozondjupa, and Khomas (8-9 percent) but is lower in Oshana, Omusati, and Ohangwena (4 percent each). The regional pattern of women's experience of sexual violence in the 12 months prior to the survey is generally similar to the pattern among women who have ever experienced sexual violence.

Nineteen percent of women who are divorced, separated, or widowed and 11 percent of women who are currently married or living together with a partner have experienced sexual violence since age 15.

Currently married women are less likely to have experienced sexual violence in the past 12 months (6 percent) than formerly married women (12 percent).

Experience of sexual violence increases with the number of living children. While 6 percent of women with no children report having ever experienced sexual violence, this percentage increases to 10 percent among women with five or more children. Experience of sexual violence in the past 12 months follows a similar pattern, ranging from 2 percent among women with no children to 5 percent among women with five or more children.

Women who are not employed are more likely than other women to have experienced sexual violence since age 15 and during the 12 months preceding the survey (8 percent and 4 percent, respectively). Experience of sexual violence since age 15 generally shows a decrease with increasing education, from 11 percent among women with no education to 8 percent among women with more than a secondary education. Similarly, the percentage of women who experienced sexual violence in the 12 months preceding the survey decreases with increasing education.

There is no clear pattern in the relationship between wealth and experience of sexual violence since age 15. Percentage of women who experienced sexual violence in the past 12 months is lowest among women in the highest wealth quintile.

## Table 20.3 Experience of sexual violence

Percentage of women age 15-49 who have ever experienced sexual violence and percentage who have experienced sexual violence in the 12 months preceding the survey, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage who have experienced sexual violence: |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever ${ }^{1}$ | In the past 12 months |  |

Ag

| Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-19$ | 7.5 | 4.5 | 426 |
| $20-24$ | 4.8 | 1.9 | 401 |
| $25-29$ | 6.4 | 3.7 | 365 |
| $30-39$ | 8.7 | 4.0 | 605 |
| $40-49$ | 7.5 | 4.2 |  |

Religion Roman Catholic
Protestant/Anglican ELCIN Seventh-Day Adventist No religion Other
Residence Urban Rural
Region
Zambezi

| Zambezi | 7.9 | 4.0 | 146 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Erongo | 7.4 | 4.4 | 266 |
| Hardap | 12.4 | 7.2 | 62 |
| /lKaras | 9.0 | 5.6 | 80 |
| Kavango | 11.8 | 10.0 | 174 |
| Khomas | 8.1 | 3.5 | 450 |
| Kunene | 6.0 | 1.4 | 64 |
| Ohangwena | 4.3 | 1.5 | 207 |
| Omaheke | 12.8 | 7.8 | 50 |
| Omusati | 3.9 | 1.5 | 228 |
| Oshana | 4.4 | 1.4 | 187 |
| Oshinoto | 5.6 | 3.1 | 178 |
| $\quad$ Otjozondjupa | 7.5 | 2.3 | 134 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Never married | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1,263 |
| $\quad$ Married/living together | 11.3 | 6.3 | 841 |
| $\quad$ Divorced/separated/ |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ widowed | 18.6 | 11.9 | 122 |
| Employment |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Employed for cash | 7.0 | 3.3 | 982 |
| Employed not for cash | 3.9 | 1.8 | 81 |
| Not employed | 7.5 | 4.2 | 1,161 |

Number of living children

| 0 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 653 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1-2 | 7.0 | 3.6 | 930 |
| 3-4 | 8.9 | 5.1 | 455 |
| 5+ | 9.5 | 5.1 | 188 |
| $\quad$ |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Education | 11.2 | 8.1 | 92 |
| $\quad$ No education | 9.2 | 5.7 | 456 |
| $\quad$ Primary | 6.2 | 3.3 | 1,458 |
| Secondary | 7.7 | 0.6 | 220 |
| $\quad$ More than secondary |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Wealth quintile | 6.9 | 4.3 | 380 |
| $\quad$ Lowest | 6.5 | 3.3 | 404 |
| Second | 8.2 | 4.9 | 451 |
| Middle | 8.2 | 4.0 | 461 |
| Fourth | 6.1 | 2.3 | 530 |
| $\quad$ Highest | 7.2 | 3.7 | 2,226 |
| Total 15-49 |  |  |  |

Note: Total includes 2 women with missing information on religion and 1 woman with missing information on employment.
ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia
${ }^{1}$ Includes violence in the past 12 months

### 20.5 Perpetrators of Sexual Violence

Table 20.4 shows the percentage of ever-married women reporting any sexual violence since age 15 by the person or persons who committed the acts of violence against them. The most commonly reported perpetrator of sexual violence among ever-married women is their current husband (46 percent), again indicating a high level of spousal violence. Twenty-four percent of women reported their former husband or partner as the perpetrator, and 12 percent reported strangers. Seven percent of women reported experiencing violence from others and 6 percent from other relatives. Nevermarried women most often reported strangers and others (27 percent and 21 percent, respectively) as perpetrators of sexual violence.

| Among women age 15-49 who have experienced sexual violence, percentage who report specific persons who committed the violence according to the respondent's current marital status, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marital status |  |  |
| Person | Ever married | Never married | Total |
| Current husband/partner | 46.0 | na | 34.1 |
| Former husband/partner | 24.3 | na | 18.0 |
| Current/former boyfriend | 2.7 | 10.3 | 4.7 |
| Father/stepfather | 1.6 | 4.4 | 2.3 |
| Brother/stepbrother | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| Other relative | 6.4 | 19.8 | 9.9 |
| In-law | 0.0 | na | 0.9 |
| Own friend/acquaintance | 2.4 | 12.5 | 5.0 |
| Family friend | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Teacher | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Stranger | 11.6 | 27.0 | 15.6 |
| Other | 7.0 | 20.6 | 10.5 |
| Number of women who have experienced sexual violence | 118 | 41 | 159 |
| na $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |

### 20.6 Experience of Different Forms of Violence

Table 20.5 presents information on the experience of various forms of violence among women age 15-49. Thirty-three percent of women had experienced physical or sexual violence, with 26 percent having experienced only physical violence and 2 percent having experienced only sexual violence. The percentages of women who have experienced physical or sexual violence are high in all age groups, ranging from 30 to 36 percent.

Table 20.5 Experience of different forms of violence
Percentage of women age 15-49 who have ever experienced different forms of violence by current age, Namibia 2013

|  | Physical <br> violence only | Sexual <br> violence only | Physical and <br> sexual <br> violence | Physical or <br> sexual <br> violence | Number of <br> women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 26.9 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 34.4 | 426 |
| $15-17$ | 25.6 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 34.1 | 254 |
| $18-19$ | 28.8 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 34.9 | 173 |
| $20-24$ | 31.3 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 36.1 | 401 |
| $25-29$ | 23.5 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 365 |
| $30-39$ | 25.4 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 34.1 | 605 |
| $40-49$ | 24.3 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 31.7 | 429 |
| Total | 26.2 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 33.4 | 2,226 |

### 20.7 Violence during Pregnancy

Respondents who had ever been pregnant were asked specifically whether they had ever experienced physical violence while pregnant and, if so, who the perpetrators of the violence were. Table 20.6 shows that 6 percent of women experienced physical violence during a pregnancy. Although there is no clear pattern between age and violence during pregnancy, younger women (age 15-24) are more likely than older women to report having experienced such violence.

The proportion of women experiencing violence during pregnancy is higher in rural areas (7 percent) than in urban areas (5 percent). Among regions, the percentage is highest in Otjozondjupa (10 percent), followed by //Karas and Zambezi (9 percent each). Erongo, Omusati, Oshana, Oshikoto, and Kunene have the lowest percentage of women who have experienced physical violence during pregnancy (4 percent each).

Women who are divorced, separated, or widowed are slightly more likely to report experiencing violence during pregnancy ( 7 percent) than women who are currently married (6 percent). The proportion of physical violence during pregnancy is higher among women with five or more living children (8 percent) than among women with two or fewer children living children (5 percent).

Violence during pregnancy decreases with increasing education. Twelve percent of women with no education reported experiencing physical violence during pregnancy, as compared with only 1 percent of women with more than a secondary education. Women in the lowest wealth quintile are more likely than those in the highest wealth quintile to have experienced violence during pregnancy.

Table 20.6 Experience of violence during pregnancy
Among women age 15-49 who have ever been pregnant, percentage who have ever experienced physical violence during pregnancy, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

|  | Percentage who <br> experienced <br> violence during <br> pregnancy | Number of women <br> who have ever <br> been pregnant |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Background <br> characteristic |  |  |



| Age |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | 7.7 | 84 |
| $20-24$ | 8.0 | 275 |
| $25-29$ | 4.1 | 321 |
| $30-39$ | 5.2 | 578 |
| $40-49$ | 4.7 | 396 |

Religion
Roman Catholic

| 7.3 | 353 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5.4 |  | Protestant/Anglican Seventh-Day Adventist

No religion Other
Residence
Urban $4.5 \quad 904$

Rural
$6.7 \quad 748$

## Region

 ZambeziErongo
Hardap
//Karas
Kavango
Khomas
Kunene Ohangwena
Omaheke
Omusati
Oshana
Oshikoto
Otjozondjupa
Marital status

| Never married <br> Married/living together <br> Divorced/separated/ <br> widowed | 4.2 | 731 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number of living <br> children | 6.4 | 802 |
| 0 | 7.0 | 119 |
| 1-2 |  |  |
| 3-4 | 4.6 | 79 |
| 5+ | 4.5 | 930 |
| Education | 6.8 | 455 |
| $\quad$ No education | 7.6 | 188 |
| Primary |  |  |
| Secondary | 11.9 | 85 |
| More than secondary | 8.0 | 373 |
| Wealth quintile | 4.7 | 1,055 |
| $\quad 0.8$ | 139 |  |
| Lowest |  |  |
| Second | 9.9 | 301 |
| Middle | 5.6 | 316 |
| Fourth | 5.5 | 353 |
| Highest | 4.4 | 345 |
| Total 15-49 | 2.6 | 338 |

Note: Total includes 2 women with missing information on religion.
ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

### 20.8 Marital Control by Husband

Attempts by husbands to closely control and monitor their wives’ behaviour are known to be an important warning sign and precursor of violence in a relationship. A series of questions were included in the 2013 NDHS to elicit the degree of marital control exercised by husbands over wives. Controlling behaviours most often manifest themselves in terms of extreme possessiveness, jealousy, and attempts to isolate the wife from her family and friends. To determine the degree of marital control husbands exercise over their wives, ever-married women age 15-49 were asked whether their current or former husband exhibited each of the following controlling behaviours: (1) he is jealous or gets angry if she talks to other men, (2) he frequently accuses her of being unfaithful, (3) he does not permit her to meet her female friends, (4) he tries to limit contact with her family, (5) he insists on knowing where she is at all times, and (6) he does not trust her with money. Because the concentration of such behaviours is more significant than the display of any single behaviour, the proportion of women whose husbands display at least three of the specified behaviours is highlighted.

Table 20.7 presents the percentage of ever-married women whose husbands display each of the listed behaviours, by selected background characteristics. The main controlling behaviours women experienced from their husbands were insisting on knowing where they are at all times ( 40 percent) and jealousy or anger if they talked to other men ( 38 percent). Other common behaviours were frequently accusing her of being unfaithful ( 25 percent), trying to limit her contact with female friends ( 19 percent), not trusting her with money (16 percent), and trying to limit her contact with her family (11 percent).

Twenty-seven percent of ever-married women indicated that their husbands display three or more of these controlling behaviours, and 45 percent reported that their husbands display none of the behaviours. Twenty-six percent of currently married women reported that their husbands display at least three controlling behaviours, as compared with 34 percent of women who are divorced, separated, or widowed. The percentage of women whose husband displays at least three controlling behaviours tends to decrease with increasing wealth and educational attainment. Women who are afraid of their husbands most of the time are more likely to report controlling behaviour than women who are never afraid of their husbands.

Table 20.7 Marital control exercised by husbands
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 whose husbands/partners have ever demonstrated specific types of controlling behaviours, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage of women whose husband/partner: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is jealous or angry if she talks to other men | Frequently accuses her of being unfaithful | Does not permit her to meet her female friends | Tries to limit her contact with her family | Insists on knowing where she is at all times | Does not trust her with money | Displays 3 or more of the specific behaviours | Displays none of the specific behaviours | Number of evermarried women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (65.6) | (40.2) | (53.3) | (23.0) | (58.5) | (48.5) | (59.9) | (25.3) | 23 |
| 20-24 | 39.5 | 28.2 | 17.4 | 14.2 | 38.8 | 12.4 | 27.3 | 47.1 | 108 |
| 25-29 | 38.6 | 26.4 | 23.7 | 8.7 | 48.2 | 14.3 | 31.5 | 41.1 | 169 |
| 30-39 | 38.1 | 24.4 | 18.4 | 10.6 | 38.5 | 19.3 | 26.4 | 43.1 | 371 |
| 40-49 | 34.1 | 22.2 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 35.1 | 12.3 | 21.7 | 51.2 | 292 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 45.7 | 32.6 | 26.1 | 12.6 | 51.4 | 19.1 | 34.8 | 32.6 | 212 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 32.6 | 20.8 | 17.2 | 10.9 | 34.3 | 12.6 | 22.2 | 51.5 | 208 |
| ELCIN | 35.2 | 22.4 | 16.6 | 9.6 | 36.8 | 16.2 | 24.3 | 48.0 | 359 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | 51.4 | 36.5 | 15.9 | 12.9 | 44.3 | 21.6 | 35.7 | 35.0 | 64 |
| No religion | (27.8) | (23.5) | (17.6) | (12.8) | (6.6) | (2.7) | (17.6) | (68.1) | 13 |
| Other | 33.2 | 18.3 | 14.2 | 5.8 | 37.4 | 15.2 | 23.0 | 52.7 | 104 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 36.8 | 23.8 | 18.1 | 10.3 | 40.3 | 16.1 | 27.0 | 45.9 | 564 |
| Rural | 39.2 | 26.4 | 19.4 | 10.9 | 38.8 | 16.5 | 26.5 | 44.3 | 398 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 55.6 | 28.1 | 22.2 | 6.0 | 45.2 | 13.8 | 29.4 | 31.6 | 73 |
| Erongo | 31.2 | 23.5 | 16.3 | 7.3 | 36.8 | 8.2 | 23.5 | 54.2 | 122 |
| Hardap | 23.8 | 23.5 | 15.1 | 11.7 | 26.7 | 14.9 | 20.7 | 63.6 | 39 |
| //Karas | 36.1 | 18.0 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 34.3 | 6.8 | 21.4 | 51.3 | 38 |
| Kavango | 60.9 | 50.8 | 35.9 | 22.8 | 67.4 | 15.6 | 49.2 | 19.7 | 121 |
| Khomas | 33.1 | 21.8 | 16.2 | 9.3 | 36.7 | 24.1 | 27.0 | 47.2 | 199 |
| Kunene | 23.1 | 17.6 | 12.1 | 6.2 | 19.9 | 18.1 | 13.5 | 60.8 | 34 |
| Ohangwena | 34.5 | 10.4 | 16.5 | 1.6 | 24.2 | 7.4 | 13.3 | 58.2 | 56 |
| Omaheke | 34.7 | 29.3 | 22.0 | 11.8 | 33.7 | 13.2 | 29.4 | 49.2 | 33 |
| Omusati | 17.9 | 5.6 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 22.6 | 24.9 | 12.8 | 59.1 | 56 |
| Oshana | 31.5 | 20.8 | 15.4 | 10.2 | 36.4 | 4.7 | 21.8 | 52.2 | 66 |
| Oshikoto | 49.3 | 21.2 | 14.7 | 7.9 | 59.4 | 19.6 | 27.2 | 24.4 | 60 |
| Otjozondjupa | 36.4 | 28.4 | 15.7 | 11.3 | 34.7 | 26.0 | 28.6 | 49.2 | 67 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married/living together | 37.1 | 23.7 | 18.2 | 10.3 | 38.9 | 15.2 | 25.8 | 46.0 | 841 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 42.8 | 33.1 | 21.9 | 12.0 | 45.6 | 23.5 | 34.0 | 40.0 | 122 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 36.0 | 19.5 | 22.1 | 10.8 | 39.9 | 18.0 | 28.7 | 43.4 | 67 |
| 1-2 | 34.8 | 24.5 | 16.8 | 9.7 | 36.0 | 16.6 | 25.4 | 49.4 | 440 |
| 3-4 | 40.4 | 26.2 | 21.7 | 12.9 | 47.1 | 16.7 | 29.3 | 39.5 | 313 |
| 5+ | 42.2 | 25.7 | 16.0 | 7.5 | 34.7 | 13.3 | 24.8 | 45.7 | 143 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed for cash | 33.3 | 22.4 | 15.7 | 9.3 | 39.7 | 14.2 | 24.2 | 47.3 | 481 |
| Employed not for cash | (44.1) | (13.4) | (17.4) | (5.1) | (47.8) | (13.3) | (24.3) | (36.0) | 39 |
| Not employed | 42.3 | 28.7 | 22.0 | 12.4 | 39.1 | 18.8 | 29.9 | 43.6 | 442 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 45.4 | 32.2 | 32.6 | 21.2 | 43.7 | 13.6 | 36.1 | 35.2 | 62 |
| Primary | 43.7 | 30.9 | 20.6 | 7.7 | 43.5 | 15.0 | 30.8 | 43.1 | 216 |
| Secondary | 38.4 | 24.0 | 19.1 | 10.9 | 40.3 | 17.2 | 27.1 | 44.0 | 574 |
| More than secondary | 18.9 | 13.7 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 26.8 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 61.1 | 111 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 48.3 | 32.9 | 23.5 | 13.6 | 46.0 | 17.6 | 32.7 | 36.3 | 171 |
| Second | 45.2 | 27.6 | 22.6 | 9.9 | 42.2 | 18.8 | 32.0 | 42.1 | 167 |
| Middle | 38.2 | 24.8 | 19.3 | 10.5 | 34.9 | 17.7 | 27.0 | 46.0 | 199 |
| Fourth | 40.7 | 28.7 | 23.4 | 14.3 | 50.6 | 13.0 | 32.0 | 38.9 | 183 |
| Highest | 22.8 | 14.5 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 29.2 | 14.7 | 14.9 | 57.7 | 242 |
| Woman afraid of husband/ partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Afraid most of the time | 74.1 | 64.8 | 38.5 | 31.6 | 72.7 | 22.5 | 63.2 | 15.5 | 100 |
| Sometimes afraid | 54.1 | 40.3 | 27.5 | 13.6 | 54.0 | 29.2 | 40.9 | 24.0 | 210 |
| Never afraid | 26.8 | 13.9 | 12.7 | 6.0 | 29.9 | 11.1 | 16.4 | 56.7 | 648 |
| Total | 37.8 | 24.9 | 18.6 | 10.5 | 39.7 | 16.2 | 26.8 | 45.2 | 963 |

Note: Husband/partner refers to the current husband/partner for currently married women and the most recent husband/partner for divorced, separated, or widowed women. Total includes 2 women with missing information on religion, 1 woman with missing information on employment, and 4 women with missing information on whether they are afraid of their husband/partner. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

### 20.9 Forms of Spousal Violence

Different types of violence are not mutually exclusive, and women may report multiple forms of violence. Research suggests that physical violence in intimate relationships is often accompanied by psychological abuse (Krug et al., 2002). Table 20.8 shows the percentage of ever-married women age 1549 who have experienced various forms of violence by their husbands over the course of the marriage and in the 12 months preceding the survey. Women who are currently married reported on violence committed by their current husband, and women who are widowed, divorced, or separated reported on violence committed by their most recent husband.

Table 20.8 Forms of spousal violence
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 who have experienced various forms of violence ever or in the 12 months preceding the survey committed by their husband/partner, Namibia 2013

| Type of violence | Ever | In the past 12 months |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Often | Sometimes | Often or sometimes |
| SPOUSAL VIOLENCE COMMITTED BY CURRENT OR MOST RECENT HUSBAND/PARTNER |  |  |  |  |
| Physical violence |  |  |  |  |
| Any physical violence | 23.4 | 5.0 | 13.7 | 18.7 |
| Pushed her, shook her, or threw something at her | 14.0 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 10.7 |
| Slapped her | 18.4 | 3.5 | 10.9 | 14.5 |
| Twisted her arm or pulled her hair | 9.3 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 7.3 |
| Punched her with his fist or with something that could hurt her | 12.7 | 2.8 | 7.3 | 10.1 |
| Kicked her, dragged her, or beat her up | 11.4 | 2.7 | 6.0 | 8.8 |
| Tried to choke her or burn her on purpose | 3.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 3.0 |
| Threatened her or attacked her with a knife, gun, or other weapon | 4.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.4 |
| Sexual violence |  |  |  |  |
| Any sexual violence | 7.6 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 6.6 |
| Physically forced her to have sexual intercourse with him when she did not want to | 7.0 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 6.0 |
| Physically forced her to perform any other sexual acts she did not want to | 4.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 3.4 |
| Forced her with threats or in any other way to perform sexual acts she did not want to | 3.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 3.0 |
| Emotional violence |  |  |  |  |
| Any emotional violence | 25.0 | 6.1 | 14.9 | 21.0 |
| Said or did something to humiliate her in front of others | 12.8 | 3.1 | 7.0 | 10.1 |
| Threatened to hurt or harm her or someone she cared about | 12.2 | 3.2 | 6.6 | 9.7 |
| Insulted her or made her feel bad about herself | 19.7 | 3.9 | 12.1 | 16.1 |
| Any form of physical and/or sexual violence | 25.0 | 6.3 | 13.9 | 20.2 |
| Any form of emotional and/or physical and/or sexual violence | 33.3 | 8.5 | 19.3 | 27.8 |
| SPOUSAL VIOLENCE COMMITTED BY ANY HUSBAND/PARTNER |  |  |  |  |
| Physical violence | 24.9 | na | na | 18.7 |
| Sexual violence | 8.5 | na | na | 6.6 |
| Physical and/or sexual violence | 26.7 | na | na | 20.2 |
| Number of ever- married women | 963 | 963 | 963 | 963 |

na $=$ Not applicable

Twenty-three percent of ever-married women reported ever experiencing physical violence from their husband, 8 percent reported ever experiencing sexual violence, and 25 percent reported experiencing emotional violence. Overall, 33 percent of women experienced physical, emotional, or sexual violence from their husband. Slapping is the most common form of spousal violence, experienced by 18 percent of women. Fourteen percent of women reported having been pushed, shaken, or something thrown at them. The most common form of emotional violence reported by women were their husband insulting them or making them feel bad about themselves ( 20 percent). The majority of women who had ever experienced each of these forms of violence had also experienced the same type of violence in the past 12 months. Twenty-five percent of women reported having experienced physical violence from any husband (current or former).

Twenty-eight percent of ever-married women reported experiencing spousal physical, sexual, and/or emotional violence in the past 12 months, with 19 percent having experienced violence sometimes and 9 percent having experienced it often.

### 20.10 Spousal Violence by Background Characteristics

Table 20.9 shows the percentage of ever-married women age $15-49$ who have experienced spousal emotional, physical, or sexual violence by selected background characteristics. Women's experience of each type of spousal violence by age or number of children does not follow a consistent pattern. Women who are not employed are more likely than other women to have ever experienced physical, sexual or emotional violence. Formerly married women are more likely to have experienced physical, sexual, or emotional spousal violence ( 50 percent) than currently married women ( 31 percent). Women's experience of emotional, physical, or sexual violence differs only slightly by urban-rural residence ( 33 percent and 34 percent, respectively). At the regional level, women in Kavango are most likely to have experienced physical, sexual, or emotional violence (51 percent) while the lowest proportion is reported in Oshana (12 percent).

Women's experience of most forms of violence decreases sharply with increasing education. For example, 47 percent of women with no education have experienced spousal physical, sexual, or emotional violence, as compared with 26 percent of women who have more than a secondary education.

Table 20.9 Spousal violence by background characteristics
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 who have ever experienced emotional, physical, or sexual violence committed by their husband/partner, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Emotional violence | Physical violence | Sexual violence | Physical and sexual | Physical, sexual, and emotional | Physical or sexual | Physical, sexual, or emotional | Number of ever-married women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (37.4) | (52.0) | (31.8) | (29.6) | (25.1) | (54.2) | (60.8) | 23 |
| 20-24 | 23.4 | 26.3 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 28.6 | 33.4 | 108 |
| 25-29 | 23.4 | 23.3 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 25.3 | 31.5 | 169 |
| 30-39 | 24.1 | 21.3 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 22.8 | 33.2 | 371 |
| 40-49 | 26.5 | 22.8 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 24.2 | 32.1 | 292 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 28.6 | 30.0 | 10.5 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 32.7 | 42.1 | 212 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 21.8 | 18.2 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 20.3 | 30.2 | 208 |
| ELCIN | 25.3 | 22.1 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 23.4 | 31.3 | 359 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | 26.6 | 33.1 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 33.7 | 37.5 | 64 |
| No religion | (16.7) | (20.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (20.0) | (23.1) | 13 |
| Other | 23.3 | 19.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 20.6 | 27.6 | 104 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.5 | 22.7 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 24.6 | 32.8 | 564 |
| Rural | 25.6 | 24.5 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 25.7 | 33.9 | 398 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambezi | 23.2 | 30.9 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 32.3 | 35.9 | 73 |
| Erongo | 22.6 | 20.2 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 21.3 | 27.9 | 122 |
| Hardap | 22.2 | 23.5 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 25.8 | 31.8 | 39 |
| //Karas | 25.1 | 34.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 34.1 | 37.9 | 38 |
| Kavango | 31.8 | 36.7 | 14.3 | 9.0 | 6.5 | 42.0 | 50.6 | 121 |
| Khomas | 27.1 | 20.1 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 22.0 | 34.4 | 199 |
| Kunene | 21.3 | 17.6 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 18.5 | 24.8 | 34 |
| Ohangwena | 19.5 | 18.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 18.2 | 19.5 | 56 |
| Omaheke | 28.0 | 27.8 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 28.6 | 38.3 | 33 |
| Omusati | 14.2 | 8.3 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 9.9 | 17.1 | 56 |
| Oshana | 11.5 | 10.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 66 |
| Oshikoto | 35.7 | 24.7 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 25.4 | 43.2 | 60 |
| Otjozondjupa | 31.4 | 29.9 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 30.7 | 42.9 | 67 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married/living together | 22.6 | 21.7 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 23.5 | 30.9 | 841 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 41.5 | 35.0 | 15.5 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 35.7 | 49.9 | 122 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 14.4 | 17.8 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 18.7 | 25.9 | 67 |
| 1-2 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 24.8 | 32.2 | 440 |
| 3-4 | 29.0 | 23.1 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 24.1 | 35.3 | 313 |
| 5+ | 21.6 | 27.6 | 7.3 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 30.8 | 35.5 | 143 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed for cash | 25.0 | 20.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 22.0 | 31.7 | 481 |
| Employed not for cash | (24.0) | (24.7) | (4.4) | (2.1) | (1.4) | (27.0) | (35.3) | 39 |
| Not employed | 25.1 | 26.3 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 28.3 | 34.9 | 442 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 32.1 | 36.2 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 36.6 | 47.1 | 62 |
| Primary | 26.5 | 27.0 | 11.0 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 30.3 | 37.5 | 216 |
| Secondary | 23.8 | 22.7 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 24.2 | 31.6 | 574 |
| More than secondary | 24.0 | 12.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 12.8 | 26.1 | 111 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 27.7 | 27.1 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 28.6 | 37.2 | 171 |
| Second | 21.2 | 26.9 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 28.8 | 31.8 | 167 |
| Middle | 23.9 | 20.2 | 8.9 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 22.6 | 30.0 | 199 |
| Fourth | 28.6 | 28.7 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 30.5 | 40.1 | 183 |
| Highest | 23.9 | 17.0 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 17.8 | 29.0 | 242 |
| Total 15-49 | 25.0 | 23.4 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 25.0 | 33.3 | 963 |

Note: Husband/partner refers to the current husband/partner for currently married women and the most recent husband/partner for divorced, separated, or widowed women. Total includes 2 women with missing information on religion and 1 woman with missing information on employment. Figures in parentheses are based on 2549 unweighted cases.
ELCIN $=$ Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

### 20.11 Violence by Spousal Characteristics and Women’s Empowerment Indicators

Table 20.10 presents information on ever-married women's experience of spousal emotional, physical, and sexual violence according to husbands' characteristics and women's empowerment indicators. The table shows that spousal violence decreases with increasing in husbands' educational attainment. For example, 36 percent of women whose spouses have no education have experienced emotional, physical, or sexual violence, as compared with 20 percent of women whose spouses have more than a secondary education. Spousal violence is much higher ( 36 percent) among couples in which the husband is better educated than among couples in which both partners have the same level of education (28 percent).

There is a very strong relationship between women's experience of emotional, physical, and sexual violence and their husband's alcohol use. Women whose husbands get drunk often are much more likely to experience physical, sexual, or emotional spousal violence ( 71 percent) than women whose husbands drink but never gets drunk (10 percent) or whose husbands do not drink at all (22 percent). Women who are the same age as their spouse are least likely to experience any type of violence (20 percent) than women who are older than their spouse or 5-9 years younger (33 percent).

Spousal violence increases linearly with the number of controlling behaviours displayed by the husband. Among women whose husbands exhibit five or six types of controlling behaviours, 78 percent have experienced one or more forms of violence. In contrast, 11 percent of women whose husbands display none of the six controlling behaviours have experienced some form of spousal violence. There is an inconsistent relationship between women's participation in household decisions and their experience of violence. Women who participate in one or two decisions (42 percent) are more likely to experience violence than those with no participation in decision making (31 percent) and those who participate in three decisions (28 percent).

Women who justify wife beating for any of the six reasons have a higher prevalence of emotional, physical, or sexual violence. Women who reject all of the reasons experience less violence ( 29 percent) than women who agree with one to two reasons ( 37 percent), three to four reasons ( 52 percent), or five reasons (59 percent).

It is often stated that violence perpetuates violence. Table 12.10 shows that a family history of domestic violence is associated with a respondent's own experience of domestic violence. Among women whose fathers beat their mothers, 45 percent have experienced emotional, physical, or sexual violence, as compared with 29 percent of women whose fathers did not beat their mothers.

Women who report being afraid of their husbands most of the time are more likely to suffer spousal violence ( 72 percent) than women who are afraid only sometimes ( 55 percent) and those who are never afraid (20 percent).

Table 20.10 Spousal violence by husband's characteristics and empowerment indicators
Percentage of ever-married women age15-49 who have ever experienced emotional, physical, or sexual violence committed by their husband/partner, by husband's characteristics and empowerment indicators, Namibia 2013
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll}\hline \text { Background } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Emotional } \\ \text { violence }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Physical } \\ \text { violence }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sexual } \\ \text { violence }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Physical and } \\ \text { sexual }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Physical, } \\ \text { sexual, and } \\ \text { emotional }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Physical, } \\ \text { Physical or } \\ \text { sexual }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { ever-married } \\ \text { emotional }\end{array} \\ \text { women }\end{array}\right]$

Note: Husband/partner refers to the current husband/partner for currently married women and the most recent husband/partner for divorced, separated, or widowed women. Total includes 42 women with missing information on husband's/partner's education, 51 women with missing information on spousal education difference, 17 women with missing information on spousal age difference, and 4 women with missing information on whether they are afraid of their husband/partner.
${ }^{1}$ Includes only women who have been married only once
${ }^{2}$ According to the wife's report. See Table 20.7 for list of behaviours.
${ }^{3}$ According to the wife's report. See Table 19.6.1 for list of decisions.
${ }^{4}$ According to the wife's report. See Table 19.7.1 for list of reasons.

### 20.12 Recent Spousal Violence

Recent experience of spousal violence is an indicator of the extent to which domestic violence is a current problem. Table 20.11 shows that, overall, 20 percent of ever-married women experienced physical or sexual violence perpetrated by their current or most recent husband in the 12 months preceding the survey.

Women's likelihood of experiencing physical or sexual violence in the past 12 months decreases with age and, in general, increases with number of children. Women who are not employed are more likely than women in the other employment categories to have experienced physical or sexual violence in the past 12 months. Urban women (19 percent) are less likely than rural women (22 percent) to have experienced physical or sexual violence in the past 12 months. By region, women in Kavango (40 percent) are most likely than other regions to have experienced violence in the past 12 months, while women in Omusati (8 percent) and in Oshana (10 percent) are the least likely. The prevalence of recent spousal physical or sexual violence decreases with increasing education and, in general, increasing wealth. Women who are divorced, separated, or widowed are more likely to have experienced recent spousal physical violence than currently married women. Women who are never afraid of their husbands are least likely to report experiencing recent spousal physical violence.

### 20.13 Onset of Spousal Violence

To obtain information on the onset of marital violence, currently married women were asked when the first episode of violence took place, if ever. Table 20.12 shows the interval between marriage and the first episode of sexual or physical violence by the current husband. Seventyeight percent of women have never experienced spousal sexual or physical violence from their current husband. One in five women ( 20 percent) first experienced spousal sexual or physical violence at 10 years of marriage, 18 percent at five years of marriage, and 12 percent at two years of marriage. In addition, 4 percent of currently married women experienced violence from their spouse even before marriage. Women who have been married for 10 years or more are most likely to have never faced violence from their husbands (80 percent).

Twelve percent of women who had been married for two to four years or five to nine years first experienced spousal physical or sexual violence during their second year of marriage. Among women who had been married for more than 10 years, 7 percent first experienced violence during their second year of marriage, 12 percent first experienced it during their fifth year of marriage, and 16 percent first experienced it during their tenth year of marriage.

Table 20.11 Physical or sexual violence in the past 12 months by any husband/partner

Percentage of ever-married women who have experienced physical or sexual violence by any husband/partner in the past 12 months, by background characteristics, Namibia 2013

|  | Percentage of |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | women who have |  |
|  | experienced |  |
|  | physical or sexual |  |
|  | violence in the past |  |
| Background | 12 months from | Number of |
| characteristic | any husband/ | ever-married |
|  | partner | women |


| Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | (52.0) | 23 |
| 20-24 | 25.5 | 108 |
| 25-29 | 20.5 | 169 |
| 30-39 | 18.5 | 371 |
| 40-49 | 17.9 | 292 |
| Religion |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 27.5 | 212 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 16.8 | 208 |
| ELCIN | 20.1 | 359 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | 20.1 | 64 |
| No religion | (17.0) | 13 |
| Other | 13.5 | 104 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 19.3 | 564 |
| Rural | 21.5 | 398 |
| Region |  |  |
| Zambezi | 26.5 | 73 |
| Erongo | 17.7 | 122 |
| Hardap | 20.3 | 39 |
| //Karas | 24.9 | 38 |
| Kavango | 39.6 | 121 |
| Khomas | 16.0 | 199 |
| Kunene | 11.9 | 34 |
| Ohangwena | 16.5 | 56 |
| Omaheke | 26.4 | 33 |
| Omusati | 8.4 | 56 |
| Oshana | 9.5 | 66 |
| Oshikoto | 16.1 | 60 |
| Otjozondjupa | 21.5 | 67 |
| Marital status |  |  |
| Married/living together | 19.8 | 841 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 23.2 | 122 |
| Number of living children |  |  |
| 0 | 16.6 | 67 |
| 1-2 | 19.9 | 440 |
| 3-4 | 18.5 | 313 |
| 5+ | 26.8 | 143 |
| Employment |  |  |
| Employed for cash | 17.3 | 481 |
| Employed not for cash | (15.9) | 39 |
| Not employed | 23.8 | 442 |
| Education |  |  |
| No education | 32.5 | 62 |
| Primary | 25.4 | 216 |
| Secondary | 19.6 | 574 |
| More than secondary | 6.8 | 111 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |
| Lowest | 24.8 | 171 |
| Second | 24.7 | 167 |
| Middle | 19.0 | 199 |
| Fourth | 25.1 | 183 |
| Highest | 11.3 | 242 |
| Woman afraid of husband/partner |  |  |
| Afraid most of the time | 54.1 | 100 |
| Sometimes afraid | 32.6 | 210 |
| Never afraid | 10.8 | 648 |
| Total 15-49 | 20.2 | 963 |


| Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | (52.0) | 23 |
| 20-24 | 25.5 | 108 |
| 25-29 | 20.5 | 169 |
| 30-39 | 18.5 | 371 |
| 40-49 | 17.9 | 292 |
| Religion |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 27.5 | 212 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 16.8 | 208 |
| ELCIN | 20.1 | 359 |
| Seventh-Day Adventist | 20.1 | 64 |
| No religion | (17.0) | 13 |
| Other | 13.5 | 104 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 19.3 | 564 |
| Rural | 21.5 | 398 |
| Region |  |  |
| Zambezi | 26.5 | 73 |
| Erongo | 17.7 | 122 |
| Hardap | 20.3 | 39 |
| //Karas | 24.9 | 38 |
| Kavango | 39.6 | 121 |
| Khomas | 16.0 | 199 |
| Kunene | 11.9 | 34 |
| Ohangwena | 16.5 | 56 |
| Omaheke | 26.4 | 33 |
| Omusati | 8.4 | 56 |
| Oshana | 9.5 | 66 |
| Oshikoto | 16.1 | 60 |
| Otjozondjupa | 21.5 | 67 |
| Marital status |  |  |
| Married/living together | 19.8 | 841 |
| Divorced/separated/ widowed | 23.2 | 122 |
| Number of living children |  |  |
| 0 | 16.6 | 67 |
| 1-2 | 19.9 | 440 |
| 3-4 | 18.5 | 313 |
| 5+ | 26.8 | 143 |
| Employment |  |  |
| Employed for cash | 17.3 | 481 |
| Employed not for cash | (15.9) | 39 |
| Not employed | 23.8 | 442 |
| Education |  |  |
| No education | 32.5 | 62 |
| Primary | 25.4 | 216 |
| Secondary | 19.6 | 574 |
| More than secondary | 6.8 | 111 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |
| Lowest | 24.8 | 171 |
| Second | 24.7 | 167 |
| Middle | 19.0 | 199 |
| Fourth | 25.1 | 183 |
| Highest | 11.3 | 242 |
| Woman afraid of husband/partner |  |  |
| Afraid most of the time | 54.1 | 100 |
| Sometimes afraid | 32.6 | 210 |
| Never afraid | 10.8 | 648 |
| Total 15-49 | 20.2 | 963 |

Note: Any husband/partner includes all current, most recent, and former husbands/partners. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. Total includes 2 women with missing information on religion, 1 woman with missing information on employment, and 4 women with missing information on whether they are afraid of their husband/partner.
ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

Table 20.12 Experience of spousal violence by duration of marriage
Among currently married women age 15-49 who have been married only once, the percentage who first experienced physical or sexual violence committed by their current husband/partner by specific exact years since marriage, according to marital duration, Namibia 2013

| Duration of marriage | Percentage who first experienced spousal physical or sexualviolence by exact marital duration: |  |  |  | Percentage who have not experienced spousal sexual or physical violence | Number of currently married women who have been married only once |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before marriage | 2 years | 5 years | 10 years |  |  |
| Years since marriage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 | 8.0 | na | na | na | 73.1 | 108 |
| 2-4 | 2.5 | 11.8 | na | na | 78.0 | 147 |
| 5-9 | 4.7 | 11.6 | 19.6 | na | 77.0 | 184 |
| 10+ | 1.9 | 7.0 | 11.5 | 15.8 | 80.0 | 300 |
| Total | 3.6 | 11.9 | 17.8 | 20.3 | 77.8 | 740 |
| na $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 20.14 Physical Consequences of Spousal Violence

In the 2013 NDHS, ever-married women age 15-49 were asked whether they had sustained some form of injury as a result of physical violence inflicted by their husband. Among women who had experienced any physical violence from their spouse, 24 percent reported that they suffered cuts, bruises, or aches; 19 percent had eye injuries, sprains, dislocations, or burns; and 13 percent had deep wounds, broken bones, broken teeth, or other serious injuries (Table 20.13). Overall, 33 percent of women who had ever experienced spousal physical violence suffered one or more of these injuries. Women who had experienced violence in the past 12 months were more likely than women who had ever experienced spousal violence to have suffered one or more of these injuries.

Table 20.13 Injuries to women due to spousal violence
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 who have experienced specific types of spousal violence by types of injuries resulting from the violence, according to the type of violence and whether they experienced the violence ever and in the 12 months preceding the survey, Namibia 2013
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\hline & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of ever- } \\ \text { married women who } \\ \text { have ever }\end{array} \\ \text { experienced any } \\ \text { physical or sexual } \\ \text { violence }\end{array}\right]$

Note: Husband/partner refers to the current husband/partner for currently married women and the most recent husband/partner for divorced, separated, or widowed women.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes women who reported violence only in response to a direct question on violence during pregnancy
${ }^{2}$ Includes violence in the past 12 months

Women were also asked whether they had sustained some form of injury as a result of sexual violence inflicted by their husband. Among women who had experienced sexual violence from their spouse, 27 percent reported that they suffered cuts, bruises, or aches or sustained eye injuries, sprains, dislocations, or burns; and 24 percent reported that they had deep wounds, broken bones, broken teeth, or other serious injuries (Table 20.13). Overall, 41 percent of women who had ever experienced spousal sexual violence suffered one or more of these injuries. Women who had experienced sexual violence in the past 12 months were more likely than women who had ever experienced sexual violence to have suffered one or more of these injuries ( 44 percent and 41 percent, respectively).

In addition, women were asked whether they had sustained some form of injury as a result of either physical or sexual violence inflicted by their husband. Table 20.13 shows that among women who had experienced any physical or sexual violence from their spouse, 23 percent reported that they suffered cuts, bruises, or aches; 18 percent had eye injuries, sprains, dislocations, or burns; and 13 percent had deep wounds, broken bones, broken teeth, or other serious injuries. Overall, 32 percent of women who had ever experienced spousal physical or sexual violence suffered one or more of these injuries. Again, women who had experienced spousal violence in the past 12 months were more likely than women who had ever experienced spousal violence to have suffered one or more of these injuries ( 35 percent and 32 percent, respectively).

### 20.15 Women's Violence Against Their Husbands

In cases of domestic violence, either person (husband or wife) can be the perpetrator of violence. In the 2013 NDHS, ever-married women were asked about instances when they were the instigator of spousal violence. Specifically, they were asked whether they had initiated physical violence against their husband or partner when he was not already hitting or beating them.

Table 20.14 shows the percentage of ever-married women age $15-49$ who reported initiating physical violence against their spouse ever and in the 12 months prior to the survey. Overall, 6 percent of women reported that they had initiated physical violence against their husbands, and 5 percent had done so in the past 12 months. Women who have been physically abused by their husband ever and in the past 12 months, urban women, women in Hardap, women who are married or living together, women who are not employed, those with no formal education, and women in the highest wealth quintile are more likely to commit physical violence against their husbands or partners than their counterparts in the other categories.

Table 20.14 Women's violence against their spouse
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 who have committed physical violence against their current or most recent husband/partner when he was not already beating or physically hurting her, ever and in the past 12 months, according to women's own experience of spousal violence and background characteristics, Namibia 2013

|  | Percentage who have committed <br> physical violence against their <br> husband/partner |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In the past 12 |  |
| months |  |  |$\quad$| Number of ever- |
| :---: |
| married women |

Note: Husband/partner refers to the current husband/partner for currently married women and the most recent husband/partner for divorced, separated, or widowed women. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. Total includes 2 women with missing information on religion and 1 woman with missing information on employment.
ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia
${ }^{1}$ Includes violence in the past 12 months

Table 20.15 shows that women's violence against their spouse ever or in the past 12 months is substantially higher when their spouse gets drunk very often ( 13 percent and 11 percent, respectively), among those whose husbands display five or more controlling behaviours ( 14 percent and 10 percent, respectively) and when she is afraid of her spouse most of the time (12 percent and 9 percent, respectively).

Table 20.15 Women's violence against their spouse by husband's characteristics and empowerment indicators

Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 who have committed physical violence against their current or most recent husband/partner when he was not already beating or physically hurting her, ever and in the past 12 months, according to their husband's characteristics and empowerment indicators, Namibia 2013

| Background characteristic | Percentage who have committed physical violence against their husband/partner |  | Number of evermarried women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever ${ }^{1}$ | In the past 12 months |  |
| Husband's/partner's education |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.1 | 4.5 | 112 |
| Primary | 6.2 | 5.3 | 177 |
| Secondary | 7.3 | 5.5 | 517 |
| More than secondary | 4.0 | 0.9 | 115 |
| Husband's/partner's alcohol consumption |  |  |  |
| Does not drink | 5.0 | 3.5 | 407 |
| Drinks/never gets drunk | 1.5 | 1.5 | 37 |
| Gets drunk sometimes | 6.1 | 4.5 | 410 |
| Gets drunk very often | 13.2 | 10.8 | 109 |
| Spousal education difference |  |  |  |
| Husband better educated | 7.1 | 5.5 | 355 |
| Wife better educated | 5.1 | 4.5 | 328 |
| Both equally educated | 8.3 | 4.7 | 203 |
| Neither educated | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26 |
| Spousal age difference ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| Wife older | 5.8 | 3.8 | 114 |
| Wife is same age | 8.3 | 5.5 | 51 |
| Wife is 1-4 years younger | 4.8 | 4.3 | 257 |
| Wife is 5-9 years younger | 8.0 | 6.0 | 228 |
| Wife is $10+$ years younger | 7.1 | 5.4 | 173 |
| Number of marital control behaviours displayed by husband/partner ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 435 |
| 1-2 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 269 |
| 3-4 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 180 |
| 5-6 | 14.3 | 10.4 | 78 |
| Number of decisions in which women participate ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 45 |
| 1-2 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 147 |
| 3 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 648 |
| Number of reasons for which wife beating is justified ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 666 |
| 1-2 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 179 |
| 3-4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 83 |
| 5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 35 |
| Woman's father beat her mother |  |  |  |
| Yes | 7.0 | 5.7 | 231 |
| No | 5.9 | 4.2 | 608 |
| Don't know/missing | 6.6 | 5.2 | 124 |
| Woman afraid of husband/partner |  |  |  |
| Afraid most of the time | 12.0 | 9.3 | 100 |
| Sometimes afraid | 8.2 | 6.7 | 210 |
| Never afraid | 4.5 | 3.1 | 648 |
| Total | 6.2 | 4.6 | 963 |

Note: Husband/partner refers to the current husband/partner for currently married women and the most recent husband/partner for divorced, separated, or widowed women. Total includes 42 women with missing information on husband's/partner's education, 51 women with missing information on spousal education difference, 17 women with missing information on spousal age difference, and 4 women with missing information on whether they are afraid of their husband/partner.
${ }^{1}$ Includes violence in the past 12 months
${ }^{2}$ Includes only women who have been married only once
${ }^{3}$ According to the wife's report. See Table 20.7 for list of behaviours.
${ }^{4}$ According to the wife's report. See Table 19.6.1 for list of decisions
${ }^{5}$ According to the wife's report. See Table 19.7.1 for list of reasons.

### 20.16 Help-Seeking Behaviour by Women Who Experience Violence

Table 20.16 shows the percent distribution of women who have ever experienced physical or sexual violence committed by anyone, according to whether they sought help to stop the violence and, among those who did not seek help, whether or not they told anyone about the violence. Overall, only 21 percent of women in Namibia who have ever experienced any form of physical violence have sought help from any source.

| Table 20.16 Help seeking to stop violence |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percent distribution of women age 15-49 who have ever experienced physical or sexual violence by their help-seeking behaviour, according to type of |  |  |  |  |  |
| violence and background characteristics, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^27]Fifteen percent of women who have experienced any type of physical violence have never sought help and never told anyone about the violence and 9 percent have never sought help but have told someone that they were victims of violence.

Help-seeking behaviour consistently decreases with age and number of children. A much higher proportion of never-married women ( 36 percent) than currently married women ( 7 percent) or formerly married women (12 percent) have sought help to stop violence.

Among the regions, the proportion of women seeking help varies from a high of 36 percent in Ohangwena to a low of 7 percent in Otjozondjupa. Women with some education are more likely than women with no education to seek help as are women with no children than women with any children.

### 20.17 Sources of Help to Stop Violence

Table 20.17 shows information on sources of help. The most common source of help for physical or sexual violence is the woman's own family. Forty-eight percent of abused women who sought help did so from their own family, 15 percent did so from the police, 8 percent did so from their friends and 7 percent from a doctor or medical personnel. Social work organisations are a source of help for only 5 percent of abused women. One in five women sought help from other unspecified sources. Notably few women seek help from neighbours, religious leaders, or their husband/partner.

| Table 20.17 Sources of help to stop the violence |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women age 15-49 who have experienced physical or sexual violence and sought help by sources from which they sought help, according to the type of violence that women reported, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |
|  | Type of exper | violence enced | Physical or |
| Person | Physical only | Physical and sexual | sexual violence |
| Own family | 51.8 | 34.2 | 48.4 |
| Husband/partner's family | 0.0 | 12.1 | 2.2 |
| Husband/partner | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Friend | 7.8 | 10.8 | 8.2 |
| Neighbour | 0.4 | 8.6 | 1.9 |
| Religious leader | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.7 |
| Doctor/medical personnel | 8.7 | 0.6 | 7.1 |
| Police | 9.4 | 35.3 | 14.9 |
| Social work organisation | 4.9 | 7.2 | 5.3 |
| Other | 23.7 | 8.0 | 20.4 |
| Number of women who have experienced violence and sought help | 125 | 29 | 156 |

Note: Women can report more than one source from which they sought help.
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## SAMPLE SELECTION

## A. 1 Introduction

The 2013 Namibia Demographic and Health Survey (2013 NDHS) follows similar surveys conducted in 1992, 2000, and 2006-07. A nationally representative sample of about 11,000 households was selected. All women age 15-49 who were usual residents of the selected households, or who slept in the households the night before the survey, were eligible to participate. The survey resulted in about 10,500 interviews of women age 15-49. As with prior surveys, the main objectives of the 2013 NDHS were to provide up-to-date information on fertility and childhood mortality levels; fertility preferences; awareness, approval, and use of family planning methods; maternal and child health; and knowledge and attitudes toward HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs). HIV testing was implemented for the first time in the 2013 Namibia DHS to provide a measure of HIV prevalence among the general population. The survey produced representative results for the country as a whole, for the urban and the rural areas separately, and for each of the 13 administrative regions.

A male survey was conducted at the same time as the female survey in a subsample consisting of half of all the selected survey households. All men age 15-64 who were usual residents of the selected households, or who slept in the households the night before the survey, were eligible for the male survey. The survey collected information on their basic demographic and social status, on their knowledge and use of family planning methods, and on their knowledge and attitudes toward HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted infections. The survey resulted in about 4,366 interviews of men age $15-64$. In this subsample, all male and female respondents age15-64 were eligible for HIV testing and anaemia testing; all women and men 35-64 were eligible for blood pressure measurements and blood glucose testing; and all children under age 5 were eligible for anthropometry measurement and anaemia testing.

## A. 2 Sampling Frame

The sampling frame used for the 2013 NDHS is the preliminary frame of the Namibia Population and Housing Census conducted in 2011 (NPHC 2011), with partial updating of the frame provided by the Namibia Statistics Agency (NSA). The sampling frame is a complete list of all enumeration areas (EAs) in the country. An EA is a geographical area covering a specified number of households that serves as the counting unit for the population census. In the rural area, an EA is either a natural village, a part of a large village, or a group of small villages; in the urban area, an EA is usually a city block. An electronic file contains a complete list of 6,102 EAs. In this file, each EA has an identification code; list of administrative areas, such as region and constituency, to which it belongs; residence type, namely urban or rural; other socioeconomic and geographical information; and a measure of size equivalent to the number of households in the EA. The 2011 NPHC also produced a digitalized map for each of the EAs, which serves as the primary source material for EA identification. The distribution of the number of EAs and their average size in number of households by region and by residence type is given in Table A.1..Among the 6,102 EAs, 2,818 are in urban areas, and 3,284 are in rural areas. The average EA size is 86 households in urban areas and 74 households in rural areas, with an overall average of 79 households per EA. This is an adequate size for a primary sampling unit in surveys with a sample take of 20 households per EA.

Administratively, Namibia is divided into 13 regions, and each region is subdivided into 107 constituencies. Each constituency is subdivided into lower-level administrative units. An EA is the smallest identifiable entity without administrative specification, and is numbered sequentially within each constituency. Table A. 2 gives the distribution of households by region and by rural or urban residence. The most populated region in Namibia is Khomas, which represents 19 percent of total households. The smallest region is Omaheke, which represents only 4 percent of the total households. The urban proportion in Namibia is 50 percent, with a large variation in the proportion urban at the regional level. The most urbanized region is Khomas ( 94 percent urban) which includes the capital city Windhoek, and the least urbanized region is Omusati, which is only 9 percent urban.

| Distribution of EAs and their average size in the sampling frame by region and residence, Namibia 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Number of EAs in the sample frame |  |  | Average EA size |  |  |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Zambezi | 77 | 228 | 305 | 93 | 75 | 80 |
| Erongo | 499 | 81 | 580 | 84 | 75 | 83 |
| Hardap | 160 | 123 | 283 | 84 | 67 | 77 |
| //Karas | 163 | 141 | 304 | 87 | 66 | 77 |
| Kavango | 138 | 323 | 461 | 83 | 79 | 80 |
| Khomas | 1,009 | 81 | 1,090 | 86 | 69 | 85 |
| Kunene | 75 | 195 | 270 | 81 | 68 | 71 |
| Ohangwena | 76 | 492 | 568 | 85 | 76 | 77 |
| Omaheke | 76 | 153 | 229 | 82 | 72 | 76 |
| Omusati | 50 | 592 | 642 | 83 | 74 | 75 |
| Oshana | 229 | 236 | 465 | 86 | 75 | 80 |
| Oshikoto | 70 | 441 | 511 | 86 | 73 | 75 |
| Otjozondjupa | 196 | 198 | 394 | 92 | 76 | 84 |
| Namibia | 2,818 | 3,284 | 6,102 | 86 | 74 | 79 |

Table A. 2 Distribution of households in the sampling frame
Distribution of households in the sampling frame by region and residence, Namibia 2013

|  | Number households |  |  |  | Proportion |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region | Urban | Rural | Total |  | Urban | Rural |
| Zambezi | 7,130 | 17,173 | 24,303 |  | 29.3 | 5.0 |
| Erongo | 42,154 | 6,040 | 48,194 |  | 87.5 | 10.0 |
| Hardap | 13,469 | 8,294 | 21,763 |  | 61.9 | 4.5 |
| I/Karas | 14,234 | 9,291 | 23,525 |  | 60.5 | 4.9 |
| Kavango | 11,431 | 25,449 | 36,880 |  | 31.0 | 7.6 |
| Khomas | 86,634 | 5,632 | 92,266 |  | 93.9 | 19.1 |
| Kunene | 6,044 | 13,163 | 19,207 |  | 31.5 | 4.0 |
| Ohangwena | 6,447 | 37,256 | 43,703 |  | 14.8 | 9.0 |
| Omaheke | 6,202 | 11,089 | 17,291 |  | 35.9 | 3.6 |
| Omusati | 4,168 | 43,721 | 47,889 |  | 8.7 | 9.9 |
| Oshana | 19,669 | 17,637 | 37,306 |  | 52.7 | 7.7 |
| Oshikoto | 6,015 | 32,263 | 38,278 |  | 15.7 | 7.9 |
| Otjozondjupa | 17,982 | 14,975 | 32,957 |  | 54.6 | 6.8 |
| Namibia | 241,579 | 241,983 | 483,562 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 |

## A. 3 Sampling Procedure and Sample Allocation

The sample for the 2013 NDHS was a stratified sample selected in two stages. In the first stage, 554 EAs were selected with a stratified probability proportional to size within the sampling frame. The EA size is the number of households residing in the EA and recorded in the 2011 NPHC. Stratification was achieved by separating each region into urban and rural areas. Therefore, the 13 regions were stratified into 26 sampling strata: 13 rural strata, and 13 urban strata. Samples were selected independently in each stratum, with a predetermined number of EAs selected as shown in Table A.3. Implicit stratification with proportional allocation was achieved at each of the lower administrative unit levels by sorting the sampling frame before the sample selection. Sorting was done according to the constituency and the EA code within a sampling stratum, and by using a probability proportional-to-size selection procedure.

After the selection of EAs and before the main survey, a household listing operation was carried out in all selected EAs, and the resulting lists of households served as a sampling frame for the selection of households in the second stage. Some of the selected EAs may large. To limit the amount of work done to list each household, selected EAs with more than 200 households were segmented by the listing team in the field before the household listing. Only one segment was selected for the survey, with probability proportional to the segment size. Household listing was conducted only in the selected segment (see detailed instructions for segmentation in the DHS Manual for Household Listing). So a 2013 NDHS cluster is either an EA or a segment of an EA. In the second-stage selection, a fixed number of 20 households was selected in every urban cluster and rural cluster, by equal probability systematic sampling. A spreadsheet indicating the selected household numbers for each cluster was prepared. The survey interviewers interviewed only the pre-selected households. To prevent bias, no replacements and no changes of the pre-
selected households were allowed in the implementing stages. In half of the selected households where there was no male survey, all women age 15-49 were interviewed; in the other half of the selected households where there was a male survey, all males and females age 15-64 were interviewed.

Table A. 3 shows the sample allocation of clusters and households by region and by type of residence. The sample allocation is a power allocation, which takes into account the size of the region and the urban-rural distribution. A proportional allocation was not applied because of the large disparity in population size among regions. Otherwise, small regions such as Omaheke would have received a sample size too small to allow the calculation of reliable estimates for indicators such as total fertility rates and child mortality rates. Among the 554 clusters selected, 269 clusters were in urban areas, and 285 clusters were in rural areas. The total number of households selected in the 2013 NDHS was 11,080 (20 households per cluster) of which 5,380 households were from urban areas and 5,700 households were from rural areas. The urban areas were slightly undersampled because of the oversampling in the small regions that are mainly rural and the undersampling of the largest region, Khomas, which is mainly urban. Neither the oversampling of the rural area nor the undersampling of the urban area caused any problems because sampling weights were applied in the data analysis using the NDHS data. Table A. 4 shows the expected number of completed interviews with women and men, by region and by type of residence. A total of 10,504 women age 15-49 were interviewed, with 4,741 in urban areas and 5,763 in rural areas. We expected to interview 4,366 men age 15-49, of which 2,127 would be from urban areas and 2,239 would be from rural areas.

Table A. 3 Sample allocation of clusters and households
Sample allocation of clusters and households by region and residence, Namibia 2013

|  | Allocation of EAs |  |  |  | Allocation of households |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Regiona | Urban | Rural | Total |  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Caprivi | 12 | 27 | 39 |  | 240 | 540 | 780 |
| Erongo | 53 | 7 | 60 |  | 1,060 | 140 | 1,200 |
| Hardap | 25 | 15 | 40 |  | 500 | 300 | 800 |
| Karas | 30 | 19 | 49 |  | 600 | 380 | 980 |
| Kavango | 11 | 24 | 35 |  | 220 | 480 | 700 |
| Khomas | 50 | 3 | 53 |  | 1,000 | 60 | 1,060 |
| Kunene | 14 | 30 | 44 |  | 280 | 600 | 880 |
| Ohangwena | 5 | 29 | 34 |  | 100 | 580 | 680 |
| Omaheke | 15 | 26 | 41 |  | 300 | 520 | 820 |
| Omusati | 3 | 35 | 38 |  | 60 | 700 | 760 |
| Oshana | 19 | 17 | 36 |  | 380 | 340 | 720 |
| Oshikoto | 6 | 32 | 38 |  | 120 | 640 | 760 |
| Otjozondjupa | 26 | 21 | 47 |  | 520 | 420 | 940 |
| Namibia | 269 | 285 | 554 |  | 5,380 | 5,700 | 11,080 |

Table A. 4 Sample allocation of expected number of interviews with women and men
Sample allocation of expected number of completed interviews with women and men by region and residence, Namibia 2013

|  | Women interviewed |  |  |  | Men interviewed |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region | Urban | Rural | Total |  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Caprivi | 228 | 529 | 757 |  | 95 | 212 | 307 |
| Erongo | 763 | 104 | 867 |  | 419 | 55 | 474 |
| Hardap | 449 | 278 | 727 |  | 198 | 117 | 315 |
| Karas | 456 | 298 | 754 |  | 237 | 149 | 386 |
| Kavango | 253 | 570 | 823 |  | 87 | 189 | 276 |
| Khomas | 923 | 57 | 980 |  | 396 | 24 | 420 |
| Kunene | 225 | 499 | 724 |  | 111 | 236 | 347 |
| Ohangwena | 120 | 720 | 840 |  | 39 | 228 | 267 |
| Omaheke | 257 | 458 | 715 |  | 118 | 204 | 322 |
| Omusati | 65 | 787 | 852 |  | 24 | 275 | 299 |
| Oshana | 433 | 400 | 833 |  | 150 | 134 | 284 |
| Oshikoto | 126 | 693 | 819 |  | 47 | 251 | 298 |
| Otjozondjupa | 443 | 370 | 813 |  | 206 | 165 | 371 |
| Namibia | 4,741 | 5,763 | 10,504 |  | 2,127 | 2,239 | 4,366 |

* Male survey will be conducted in a sub-sample of 50 percent of the sampled households

The calculations in Table A. 4 of expected number of interviews were based on the survey results obtained from NDHS 2006-07: in Namibia, there were 1.12 women age 15-49 per household, and this number varied by region, from 0.84 in Erongo region to 1.40 in Ohangwena region; the household gross response rate was 92.3 percent for both urban and rural areas; the women's individual response rate was 92.9 percent for urban areas and 96.2 percent for rural areas; there were 1.02 men age 15-49 per household in urban areas and 0.93 men age 15-49 per household in rural areas; the men's individual response rate was 83.9 percent in urban areas and 91.5 percent in rural areas.

## A. 4 Sampling Probabilities

Due to the nonproportional allocation of the sample to the different regions and the possible differences in response rates, sampling weights were required for any analysis using 2013 NDHS data to ensure the actual representativeness of the survey results at the national and regional levels. Because the 2013 NDHS sample is a two-stage stratified cluster sample, sampling probabilities were calculated separately for each sampling stage and for each cluster. We used the following notations:
$P_{1 h i}$ : first stage's sampling probability of the $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster in stratum $h$
$P_{2 h i}$ : second-stage's sampling probability within the $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster (households)
$P_{h i}$ : overall sampling probability of any household of the $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster in stratum $h$

Let $\mathrm{n}_{h}$ be the number of clusters selected in stratum $h, M_{h i}$ the number of households according to the sampling frame in the $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster, and $\sum M_{h i}$ the total number of households in the stratum $h$. The probability of selecting the $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster in stratum $h$ is calculated as follows:

$$
P_{1 h i}=\frac{n_{h} M_{h i}}{\sum M_{h i}}
$$

Let $s_{h i}$ be the proportion of households in the selected segment compared with the total number of households in EA $i$ in stratum $h$ if the EA is segmented, otherwise $S_{h i}=1$. Let $L_{h i}$ be the number of households listed in the household listing operation in cluster $i$ in stratum $h$, let $m_{h i}$ be the number of households selected in the cluster. The second stage's selection probability for each household in the cluster is calculated as follows:

$$
P_{2 h i}=\frac{s_{h i}}{L_{h i}} \times m_{h i}
$$

The overall selection probability of each household in cluster $i$ of stratum $h$ is therefore the production of the selection probabilities:

$$
P_{h i}=P_{1 h i} \times P_{2 h i}
$$

Therefore the sampling weight for each household in cluster $i$ of stratum $h$ is the inverse of its overall selection probability:

$$
W_{h i}=1 / P_{h i}
$$

A spreadsheet containing all sampling parameters and selection probabilities was prepared to facilitate the calculation of the design weights. Design weights were adjusted for household non-response and for individual non-response to get the sampling weights for women's and men's surveys, respectively. The differences between the household sampling weights and the individual sampling weights were
introduced by individual non-response. The final sampling weights were normalized to give the total number of unweighted cases equal to the total number of weighted cases at the national level, for both household weights and individual weights, respectively. The normalized weights are relative weights, which are valid for estimating means, proportions, and ratios, but not valid for estimating population totals and pooled data. The sampling weights for HIV testing were calculated in a similar way, but the normalization of the HIV sampling weights differed compared with the individual survey weights. The HIV weights were normalized for men and women together at the national level, so that the HIV prevalence calculated for men and women together was valid.
 Namibia 2013

| Result | Residence |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Zambezi | Erongo | Hardap | //Karas | Kavango | Khomas | Kunene | Ohangwena | Omaheke | Omusati | Oshana | Oshikoto | Otjozondjupa |  |
| Selected households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (C) | 89.2 | 89.8 | 93.8 | 85.9 | 86.3 | 86.8 | 92.6 | 87.7 | 87.8 | 93.7 | 87.7 | 93.9 | 91.4 | 92.6 | 88.4 | 89.5 |
| Household present but no competent respondent at home (HP) | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Postponed (P) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Refused (R) | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Household absent (HA) | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 3.2 |
| Dwelling vacant/address not a dwelling (DV) | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Other (O) | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of sampled households | 5,343 | 5,661 | 761 | 1,160 | 800 | 980 | 700 | 1,062 | 880 | 680 | 820 | 760 | 720 | 761 | 920 | 11,004 |
| Household response rate $(H R R)^{1}$ | 95.8 | 97.9 | 98.8 | 93.8 | 97.5 | 97.0 | 99.1 | 93.9 | 97.8 | 97.7 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 96.2 | 98.5 | 97.5 | 96.9 |
| Eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EWC) | 90.8 | 93.8 | 92.0 | 91.4 | 93.2 | 94.0 | 87.9 | 85.1 | 94.5 | 95.3 | 95.0 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 94.0 | 93.7 | 92.2 |
| Not at home (EWNH) | 4.9 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.9 |
| Postponed (EWP) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Refused (EWR) | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Partly completed (EWPC) | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Incapacitated (EWI) | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Other (EWO) | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,686 | 5,175 | 758 | 1,000 | 710 | 903 | 922 | 1,222 | 687 | 794 | 625 | 875 | 777 | 765 | 823 | 10,861 |
| Eligible women response rate (EWRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 90.8 | 93.8 | 92.0 | 91.4 | 93.2 | 94.0 | 87.9 | 85.1 | 94.5 | 95.3 | 95.0 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 94.0 | 93.7 | 92.2 |
| Overall women response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 87.0 | 91.9 | 90.8 | 85.7 | 90.9 | 91.2 | 87.0 | 79.9 | 92.4 | 93.1 | 92.5 | 91.7 | 90.5 | 92.5 | 91.3 | 89.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as: $C+H P+P+R+D N F$
${ }^{2}$ The eligible women response rate (EWRR) is equivalent to the percentage of interviews completed (EWC)
${ }^{3}$ The overall women response rate (OWRR) is calculated as:
Table A. 6 Sample implementation: Men
 2013

| Result | Residence |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Zambezi | Erongo | Hardap | //Karas | Kavango | Khomas | Kunene | Ohangwena | Omaheke | Omusati | Oshana | Oshikoto | Otjozondjupa |  |
| Selected households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (C) | 89.9 | 89.1 | 93.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.9 | 91.7 | 89.4 | 87.7 | 92.1 | 88.3 | 92.4 | 91.4 | 93.4 | 88.5 | 89.5 |
| Household present but no competent respondent at home (HP) | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Postponed (P) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Refused (R) | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Household absent (HA) | 2.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 6.8 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 3.1 |
| Dwelling vacant/address not a dwelling (DV) | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Other (O) | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of sampled households | 2,667 | 2,827 | 381 | 579 | 400 | 489 | 350 | 526 | 439 | 340 | 410 | 380 | 360 | 381 | 459 | 5,494 |
| Household response rate $(H R R)^{1}$ | 96.5 | 97.5 | 98.9 | 95.0 | 96.5 | 96.5 | 99.1 | 94.8 | 97.7 | 97.5 | 97.3 | 96.2 | 96.8 | 99.4 | 97.4 | 97.0 |
| Eligible men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EMC) | 81.7 | 88.5 | 86.2 | 86.1 | 91.0 | 85.7 | 82.9 | 72.2 | 90.7 | 91.2 | 86.8 | 86.2 | 84.6 | 86.4 | 84.3 | 85.0 |
| Not at home (EMNH) | 9.9 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 18.6 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 11.4 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 8.0 |
| Postponed (EMP) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Refused (EMR) | 3.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 2.9 |
| Partly completed (EMPC) | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Incapacitated (EMI) | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| Other (EMO) | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 2,722 | 2,549 | 363 | 555 | 387 | 455 | 374 | 612 | 321 | 308 | 365 | 349 | 351 | 391 | 440 | 5,271 |
| Eligible men response rate (EMRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 81.7 | 88.5 | 86.2 | 86.1 | 91.0 | 85.7 | 82.9 | 72.2 | 90.7 | 91.2 | 86.8 | 86.2 | 84.6 | 86.4 | 84.3 | 85.0 |
| Overall men response rate $(\mathrm{ORR})^{3}$ | 78.8 | 86.3 | 85.3 | 81.9 | 87.7 | 82.7 | 82.1 | 68.4 | 88.6 | 89.0 | 84.5 | 82.9 | 81.9 | 86.0 | 82.1 | 82.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:
$C+H P+P+R+D N F$
${ }^{2}$ The eligible men response rate (EMRR) is equivalent to the percentage of interviews completed (EMC)
${ }^{3}$ The overall men response rate (OMRR) is calculated as:

Table A. 7 Coverage of HIV testing by social and demographic characteristics: Women
Percent distribution of interviewed women age $15-64$ by HIV testing status, according to social and demographic characteristics (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Characteristic | HIV test status |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DBS tested ${ }^{1}$ | Refused to provide blood | Absent at the time of blood collection | Other/missing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 90.3 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 2,783 |
| Ever had sexual intercourse | 90.6 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 2,197 |
| Never had sexual intercourse | 89.2 | 6.3 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 586 |
| Married/living together | 90.4 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2,125 |
| Divorced or separated | 92.5 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 306 |
| Widowed | 91.4 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 291 |
| Type of union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In polygynous union | 92.9 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 126 |
| In non-polygynous union | 90.5 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,703 |
| Not currently in union | 90.6 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 3,380 |
| Don't know/missing | 88.9 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 296 |
| Ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 90.8 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 4,898 |
| No | 89.7 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 573 |
| Missing | 73.5 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 34 |
| Currently pregnant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 91.5 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 295 |
| Not pregnant or not sure | 90.1 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 4,368 |
| Missing | 92.6 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 842 |
| Times slept away from home in the past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 91.4 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 3,410 |
| 1-2 | 91.3 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,258 |
| 3-4 | 88.1 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 370 |
| $5+$ | 84.4 | 10.4 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 461 |
| Missing | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6 |
| Time away in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Away for more than 1 month | 89.7 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 991 |
| Away for less than 1 month | 88.7 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,097 |
| No away | 91.4 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 3,413 |
| Missing | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 91.1 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,140 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 90.6 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,253 |
| ELCIN | 92.6 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2,249 |
| Seventh-day Adventist | 85.4 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 323 |
| No religion | 87.5 | 9.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 72 |
| Other | 83.1 | 11.0 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 456 |
| Total 15-64 | 90.5 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 5,505 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes all dried blood samples (DBSs) tested at the lab for which there was a result, i.e., positive, negative, or indeterminate. Indeterminate means that the sample went through the entire algorithm, but the final result was inconclusive.
${ }^{2}$ Includes 1) other results of blood collection (e.g., technical problem in the field), 2) lost specimens, 3) noncorresponding bar codes, and 4) other lab results, such as blood not tested for technical reason, not enough blood to complete the algorithm.

Table A. 8 Coverage of HIV testing by social and demographic characteristics: Men
Percent distribution of interviewed men $15-64$ by HIV testing status, according to social and demographic characteristics (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Characteristic | HIV test status |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DBS tested ${ }^{1}$ | Refused to provide blood | Absent at the time of blood collection | Other/missing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 86.7 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 2,641 |
| Ever had sexual intercourse | 85.8 | 8.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 2,026 |
| Never had sexual intercourse | 89.6 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 615 |
| Married/living together | 86.2 | 7.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 1,661 |
| Divorced or separated | 85.7 | 9.1 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 154 |
| Widowed | 84.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 25 |
| Type of union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In polygynous union | 80.9 | 12.8 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 47 |
| In non-polygynous union | 86.3 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 1,614 |
| Not currently in union | 86.6 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 2,820 |
| Ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 86.1 | 8.2 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 3,840 |
| No | 89.6 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 606 |
| Missing | 71.4 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 35 |
| Male circumcision |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circumcised | 84.8 | 10.1 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1,174 |
| Not circumcised | 87.1 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 3,283 |
| Don't know/missing | 75.0 | 12.5 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 24 |
| Times slept away from home in the past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 86.4 | 7.9 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 2,457 |
| 1-2 | 88.2 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 746 |
| 3-4 | 85.5 | 9.1 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 386 |
| 5+ | 85.8 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 867 |
| Missing | 76.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 25 |
| Time away in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Away for more than 1 month | 85.3 | 8.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 834 |
| Away for less than 1 month | 87.6 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 1,161 |
| No away | 86.4 | 7.9 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 2,457 |
| Missing | 79.3 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 100.0 | 29 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roman Catholic | 88.5 | 6.8 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1,140 |
| Protestant/Anglican | 86.2 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 595 |
| ELCIN | 87.7 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 1,786 |
| Seventh-day Adventist | 76.4 | 10.8 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 212 |
| No religion | 77.9 | 13.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Other | 84.3 | 11.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 625 |
| Total 15-64 | 86.5 | 7.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 4,481 |

[^28]Table A. 9 Coverage of HIV testing by sexual behaviour characteristics: Women
Percent distribution of interviewed women age 15-64 who ever had sexual intercourse by HIV test status, according to sexual behaviour characteristics (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Sexual behaviour characteristic | HIV test status |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DBS Tested ${ }^{1}$ | Refused to provide blood | Absent at the time of blood collection | Other/missing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Age at first sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <16 | 91.3 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 803 |
| 16-17 | 91.8 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,261 |
| 18-19 | 90.1 | 5.7 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,226 |
| 20+ | 89.9 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1,314 |
| Missing | 91.2 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 294 |
| Multiple sexual partners and partner concurrency in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 92.7 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,063 |
| 1 | 90.4 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 3,692 |
| 2+ | 91.5 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 118 |
| Had concurrent partners ${ }^{3}$ | 81.5 | 14.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 27 |
| None of the partners were concurrent | 94.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 91 |
| Missing | 64.0 | 24.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 25 |
| Condom use at last sexual intercourse in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Used condom | 89.3 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1,604 |
| Did not use condom | 91.2 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2,203 |
| No sexual intercourse in last 12 months | 92.0 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,088 |
| Don't know/missing | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 3 |
| Number of lifetime partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 90.1 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,490 |
| 2 | 91.3 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,385 |
| 3-4 | 92.4 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,437 |
| 5-9 | 89.1 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 412 |
| 10+ | 85.6 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 90 |
| Missing | 79.8 | 15.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 84 |
| Prior HIV testing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever tested | 91.2 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 4,175 |
| Received results | 91.2 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 4,085 |
| Did not receive results | 93.3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90 |
| Never tested | 88.3 | 7.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 698 |
| Missing | 80.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 25 |
| Total 15-64 | 90.8 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 4,898 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes all dried blood samples (DBSs) tested at the lab and for which there is a result, i.e., positive, negative, or indeterminate. Indeterminate means that the sample went through the entire algorithm, but the final result was inconclusive.
${ }^{2}$ Includes 1) other results of blood collection (e.g., technical problem in the field), 2) lost specimens, 3 ) noncorresponding bar codes, and 4) other lab results, such as blood not tested for technical reason and not enough blood to complete the algorithm.
${ }^{3}$ A respondent is considered to have had concurrent partners if he or she had overlapping sexual partnerships with two or more people during the 12 months before the survey

Table A. 10 Coverage of HIV testing by sexual behaviour characteristics: Men
Percent distribution of interviewed men age 15-64 who ever had sexual intercourse by HIV test status, according to sexual behaviour characteristics (unweighted), Namibia 2013

| Sexual behaviour characteristic | HIV test status |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DBS Tested ${ }^{1}$ | Refused to provide blood | Absent at the time of blood collection | Other/missing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Age at first sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <16 | 85.4 | 9.3 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 962 |
| 16-17 | 86.9 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1,038 |
| 18-19 | 85.5 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 952 |
| 20+ | 86.8 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 859 |
| Missing | 75.9 | 17.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 29 |
| Multiple sexual partners and partner concurrency in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 88.1 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 523 |
| 1 | 85.9 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 2,881 |
| $2+$ | 84.5 | 9.9 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 414 |
| Had concurrent partners ${ }^{2}$ | 84.3 | 9.8 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 102 |
| None of the partners were concurrent | 84.6 | 9.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 312 |
| Missing | 90.9 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 22 |
| Condom use at last sexual intercourse in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Used condom | 84.5 | 9.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 1,774 |
| Did not use condom | 87.2 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1,519 |
| No sexual intercourse in last 12 months | 88.3 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 545 |
| Don't know/missing | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 92.9 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 42 |
| Used condom | 95.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 22 |
| Did not use condom | 90.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 20 |
| No/no sexual intercourse in past |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of lifetime partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 边 | 86.5 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 496 |
| 2 | 84.9 | 8.5 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 551 |
| 3-4 | 86.4 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 852 |
| 5-9 | 87.2 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 874 |
| 10+ | 86.2 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 805 |
| Missing | 82.8 | 9.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 262 |
| Prior HIV testing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever tested | 85.7 | 8.1 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 2,675 |
| Received results | 85.4 | 8.3 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 2,582 |
| Did not receive results | 94.6 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93 |
| Never tested | 87.0 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1,164 |
| Missing | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 |
| Total 15-64 | 86.1 | 8.2 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 3,840 |

[^29]TThe estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: nonsampling errors and sampling errors. Nonsampling errors are the results of mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, misunderstanding of the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, misinformation from respondents, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts were made during the implementation of the 2013 Namibia Demographic and Health Survey (2013 NDHS) to minimise nonsampling errors, such errors are difficult to avoid and evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected in the 2013 NDHS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. Although the degree of variability is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results.

Sampling error is usually measured in terms of the standard error for a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. This represents the accuracy with which a sample represents a population. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample of respondents had been selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use straightforward formulas for calculating sampling errors. However, the 2013 NDHS sample is the result of a multi-stage stratified design, and, consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulas. Sampling errors are computed using SAS programmes developed by ICF International. These programmes use the Taylor linearisation method of variance estimation for survey estimates that are means, proportions, or ratios. The Jackknife repeated replication method is used for variance estimation of more complex statistics such as fertility and mortality rates.

The Taylor linearisation method treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, $r=y / x$, where $y$ represents the total sample value for variable $y$, and $x$ represents the total number of cases in the group or subgroup under consideration. The variance of $r$ is computed using the formula given below, with the standard error being the square root of the variance:

$$
S E^{2}(r)=\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{h i}^{2}-\frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}}\right)\right]
$$

in which

$$
z_{h i}=y_{h i}-r x_{h i}, \text { and } z_{h}=y_{h}-r x_{h}
$$

where $h \quad$ represents the stratum which varies from 1 to $H$,
$m_{h} \quad$ is the total number of clusters selected in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$y_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the weighted values of variable $y$ in the $i^{\text {ih }}$ cluster in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$x_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the weighted number of cases in the $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster in the $h^{h \text { th }}$ stratum, and
$f$ is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that it is ignored.

The Jackknife repeated replication method derives estimates of complex rates from each of several replications of the parent sample, and calculates standard errors for these estimates using simple formulas. Each replication considers all but one cluster in the calculation of the estimates. Pseudo-independent replications are thus created. In the 2013 NDHS, there were 550 non-empty clusters. Hence, 550 replications were created. The variance of a rate $r$ is calculated as follows:

$$
S E^{2}(r)=\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(r_{i}-r\right)^{2}
$$

in which

$$
r_{i}=k r-(k-1) r_{(i)}
$$

where $r$ is the estimate computed from the full sample of 550 clusters,
$r_{(i)} \quad$ is the estimate computed from the reduced sample of 549 clusters ( $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster excluded), and
$k \quad$ is the total number of clusters.
In addition to the standard error, the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate is also calculated The design effect is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design. Relative standard errors and confidence limits for the estimates are also calculated.

Sampling errors for the 2013 NDHS are calculated for selected variables considered to be of primary interest. The results are presented in this appendix for the country as a whole, for urban and rural areas separately, and for each of the thirteen administrative regions. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are given in Table B.1. Tables B. 2 through B. 17 present the value of the statistic (R), its standard error (SE), the number of unweighted ( N ) and weighted (WN) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error (SE/R), and the 95 percent confidence limits ( $\mathrm{R} \pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ), for each variable. The sampling errors for mortality rates are presented for the five-year period preceding the survey for the whole country and for the ten-year period preceding the survey by residence and region. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error considering a simple random sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1 ). In the case of the total fertility rate, the number of unweighted cases is not relevant, as there is no known unweighted value for woman-years of exposure to childbearing.

The confidence interval (e.g., as calculated for children ever born to women age 40-49) can be interpreted as follows: the overall average from the national sample is 3.738 , and its standard error is 0.068 . Therefore, to obtain the 95 percent confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, i.e., $3.738 \pm 2 \times 0.068$. There is a high probability ( 95 percent) that the true average number of children ever born to all women age 40-49 is between 3.602 and 3.874.

For the total sample, the value of the DEFT, averaged over all variables, is 1.27 . This means that, due to multi-stage clustering of the sample, the average standard error is increased by a factor of 1.27 over that in an equivalent simple random sample.

Table B. 1 List of selected variables for sampling errors, Namibia 2013

| Variable | Estimate | Base population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |
| Urban residence | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| No education | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Secondary education or higher | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Never married/in union | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Currently married/in union | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Married before age 20 | Proportion | All women 20-49 |
| Had sexual intercourse before age 18 | Proportion | All women 20-49 |
| Currently pregnant | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Children ever born | Mean | All women 15-49 |
| Children surviving | Mean | All women 15-49 |
| Children ever born to women age 40-49 | Mean | All women 40-49 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using any method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using a modern method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using pill | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using IUD | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using condoms | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using injectables | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using public sector source | Proportion | Current users of modern method |
| Want no more children | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Ideal number of children | Mean | All women 15-49 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | Proportion | Women with a live birth in last five years |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | Proportion | Women with a live birth in last five years |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | Proportion | Births occurring 1-59 months before survey |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | Proportion | Children under 5 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | Proportion | Children under 5 with diarrhoea in past 2 weeks |
| Taken to health provider | Proportion | Children under 5 with diarrhoea in past 2 weeks |
| Having health card, seen | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received BCG vaccination | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received measles vaccination | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Fully immunised | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | Proportion | Children under 5 who are measured |
| Weight-for-height (below-2SD) | Proportion | Children under 5 who are measured |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | Proportion | Children under 5 who are measured |
| Anaemia children | Proportion | Children under 5 who were tested |
| Anaemia women | Proportion | women 15-49 who were tested |
| BMI <18.5 | Proportion | All women 15-49 who were measured |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Condom use at last sex | Proportion | Women 15-49 with $2+$ partners in past 12 months |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | Proportion | Never-married women 15-24 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | Proportion | Never-married women 15-24 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | Proportion | All women who have heard of HIVIAIDS |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | Rate | Women-years of exposure to childbearing |
| Neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Rate | Children exposed to the risk of mortality |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Rate | Children exposed to the risk of mortality |
| Infant mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Rate | Children exposed to the risk of mortality |
| Child mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Rate | Children exposed to the risk of mortality |
| Under-five mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Rate | Children exposed to the risk of mortality |
| HIV prevalence among all women 15-49 | Proportion | All interviewed women 15-49 with DBS tested at the lab |
| HIV prevalence among all women 50-64 | Proportion | All interviewed women 50-64 with DBS tested at the lab |
| HIV prevalence among all women 15-24 | Proportion | All interviewed women 15-24 with DBS tested at the lab |
| MEN |  |  |
| Urban residence | Proportion | All men 15-49 |
| No education | Proportion | All men 15-49 |
| Secondary or higher education | Proportion | All men 15-49 |
| Never married (never in union) | Proportion | All men 15-49 |
| Currently married (in union) | Proportion | All men 15-49 |
| Want no more children | Proportion | Currently married men 15-49 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | Proportion | Currently married men 15-49 |
| Ideal number of children | Mean | All men 15-49 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | Proportion | All men 15-49 |
| Condom use at last sex | Proportion | Men 15-49 with 2+ partners in past 12 months |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | Proportion | Never-married men 15-24 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | Proportion | Never-married men 15-24 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | Proportion | All men 15-49 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | Proportion | All men 15-49 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | Proportion | All men who have heard of HIVIAIDS |
| HIV prevalence among all men 15-49 | Proportion | All interviewed men 15-49 with DBS tested at the lab |
| HIV prevalence among all men 50-64 | Proportion | All interviewed men 50-64 with DBS tested at the lab |
| HIV prevalence among all men 15-24 | Proportion | All interviewed men 15-24 with DBS tested at the lab |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |
| HIV prevalence among all men and women 15-49 | Proportion | All interviewed men and women 15-49 with DBS tested at the lab |
| HIV prevalence among all men and women 50-64 | Proportion | All interviewed men and women 50-64 with DBS tested at the lab |
| HIV prevalence among all men and women 15-24 | Proportion | All interviewed men and women 15-24 with DBS tested at the lab |

[^30]| Variable | Value(R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.566 | 0.010 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.903 | 0.017 | 0.546 | 0.585 |
| No education | 0.046 | 0.003 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.438 | 0.069 | 0.039 | 0.052 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.758 | 0.008 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.730 | 0.010 | 0.743 | 0.774 |
| Never married/in union | 0.595 | 0.007 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.427 | 0.012 | 0.580 | 0.609 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.340 | 0.007 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.476 | 0.021 | 0.325 | 0.355 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.360 | 0.008 | 7,319 | 7,270 | 1.421 | 0.022 | 0.344 | 0.376 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.143 | 0.006 | 5,599 | 5,485 | 1.238 | 0.040 | 0.132 | 0.155 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.065 | 0.003 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.102 | 0.043 | 0.060 | 0.071 |
| Children ever born | 1.850 | 0.029 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.407 | 0.016 | 1.792 | 1.907 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 3.738 | 0.068 | 1,696 | 1,625 | 1.220 | 0.018 | 3.602 | 3.874 |
| Children surviving | 1.730 | 0.027 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.418 | 0.016 | 1.675 | 1.785 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.998 | 0.001 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.180 | 0.001 | 0.995 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.998 | 0.001 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.180 | 0.001 | 0.995 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.561 | 0.011 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.242 | 0.019 | 0.540 | 0.582 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.553 | 0.011 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.274 | 0.020 | 0.531 | 0.574 |
| Currently using pill | 0.070 | 0.005 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.243 | 0.078 | 0.059 | 0.080 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.012 | 0.002 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.228 | 0.192 | 0.007 | 0.017 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.120 | 0.007 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.270 | 0.059 | 0.106 | 0.135 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.268 | 0.010 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.302 | 0.037 | 0.249 | 0.288 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.064 | 0.005 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.187 | 0.078 | 0.054 | 0.074 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.002 | 0.001 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 0.997 | 0.386 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| Using public sector source | 0.730 | 0.011 | 4,573 | 4,549 | 1.656 | 0.015 | 0.708 | 0.752 |
| Want no more children | 0.520 | 0.010 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.196 | 0.020 | 0.499 | 0.541 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.203 | 0.008 | 3,366 | 3,121 | 1.201 | 0.041 | 0.186 | 0.220 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.220 | 0.028 | 9,056 | 9,050 | 1.350 | 0.009 | 3.165 | 3.276 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.966 | 0.003 | 3,974 | 3,842 | 1.139 | 0.003 | 0.960 | 0.973 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.659 | 0.011 | 3,974 | 3,842 | 1.492 | 0.017 | 0.636 | 0.681 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.882 | 0.008 | 5,046 | 4,804 | 1.420 | 0.009 | 0.867 | 0.897 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.174 | 0.007 | 4,818 | 4,588 | 1.183 | 0.038 | 0.161 | 0.188 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.716 | 0.021 | 810 | 800 | 1.255 | 0.029 | 0.675 | 0.757 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.637 | 0.022 | 810 | 800 | 1.273 | 0.035 | 0.593 | 0.681 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.695 | 0.017 | 991 | 938 | 1.120 | 0.024 | 0.662 | 0.729 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.942 | 0.010 | 991 | 938 | 1.338 | 0.011 | 0.922 | 0.962 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.835 | 0.016 | 991 | 938 | 1.380 | 0.020 | 0.802 | 0.868 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.743 | 0.016 | 991 | 938 | 1.115 | 0.021 | 0.712 | 0.775 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.895 | 0.012 | 991 | 938 | 1.241 | 0.014 | 0.870 | 0.919 |
| Fully immunised | 0.684 | 0.017 | 991 | 938 | 1.144 | 0.025 | 0.650 | 0.718 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.238 | 0.011 | 2,292 | 2,287 | 1.156 | 0.045 | 0.216 | 0.259 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.062 | 0.006 | 2,292 | 2,287 | 1.097 | 0.091 | 0.051 | 0.074 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.134 | 0.009 | 2,292 | 2,287 | 1.204 | 0.065 | 0.116 | 0.151 |
| Anaemia children | 0.475 | 0.013 | 2,312 | 2,297 | 1.203 | 0.028 | 0.448 | 0.501 |
| Anaemia women | 0.207 | 0.007 | 4,327 | 4,242 | 1.161 | 0.035 | 0.192 | 0.221 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.139 | 0.007 | 4,008 | 3,922 | 1.294 | 0.051 | 0.125 | 0.153 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.022 | 0.002 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.331 | 0.092 | 0.018 | 0.026 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.681 | 0.038 | 214 | 203 | 1.203 | 0.057 | 0.604 | 0.758 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.383 | 0.012 | 3,010 | 3,184 | 1.312 | 0.030 | 0.360 | 0.407 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.515 | 0.011 | 3,010 | 3,184 | 1.169 | 0.021 | 0.494 | 0.536 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.491 | 0.007 | 9,176 | 9,176 | 1.302 | 0.014 | 0.478 | 0.505 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.281 | 0.006 | 9,110 | 9,128 | 1.374 | 0.023 | 0.268 | 0.294 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 3.647 | 0.094 | 25,856 | 25,857 | 1.355 | 0.026 | 3.458 | 3.836 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-4 years) | 19.717 | 2.611 | 5,091 | 4,849 | 1.174 | 0.132 | 14.494 | 24.939 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-4 years) | 19.160 | 2.211 | 5,065 | 4,818 | 1.048 | 0.115 | 14.738 | 23.582 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-4 years) | 38.876 | 3.453 | 5,097 | 4,855 | 1.124 | 0.089 | 31.970 | 45.783 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-4 years) | 16.221 | 2.228 | 4,840 | 4,611 | 1.086 | 0.137 | 11.764 | 20.678 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-4 years) | 54.467 | 4.108 | 5,116 | 4,872 | 1.114 | 0.075 | 46.250 | 62.683 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.169 | 0.008 | 4,204 | 4,051 | 1.331 | 0.046 | 0.153 | 0.184 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.167 | 0.015 | 780 | 689 | 1.119 | 0.090 | 0.137 | 0.197 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.044 | 0.006 | 1,659 | 1,649 | 1.171 | 0.134 | 0.032 | 0.056 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.568 | 0.012 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.526 | 0.021 | 0.543 | 0.592 |
| Literacy | 0.905 | 0.006 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.275 | 0.007 | 0.893 | 0.917 |
| No education | 0.077 | 0.006 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.369 | 0.075 | 0.065 | 0.089 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.688 | 0.012 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.645 | 0.018 | 0.664 | 0.713 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.683 | 0.010 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.312 | 0.014 | 0.663 | 0.702 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.288 | 0.010 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.326 | 0.033 | 0.269 | 0.308 |
| Want no more children | 0.415 | 0.019 | 1,244 | 1,160 | 1.372 | 0.046 | 0.377 | 0.454 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.177 | 0.015 | 1,244 | 1,160 | 1.352 | 0.083 | 0.148 | 0.206 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.897 | 0.068 | 3,905 | 3,980 | 1.362 | 0.018 | 3.760 | 4.034 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.104 | 0.007 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.388 | 0.065 | 0.091 | 0.118 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.722 | 0.027 | 388 | 420 | 1.178 | 0.037 | 0.668 | 0.776 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.358 | 0.016 | 1,559 | 1,642 | 1.285 | 0.044 | 0.327 | 0.389 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.521 | 0.016 | 1,559 | 1,642 | 1.301 | 0.032 | 0.488 | 0.554 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.009 | 0.002 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.208 | 0.201 | 0.005 | 0.013 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.381 | 0.011 | 3,950 | 4,021 | 1.397 | 0.028 | 0.360 | 0.403 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.259 | 0.010 | 3,904 | 3,985 | 1.459 | 0.039 | 0.239 | 0.280 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.109 | 0.009 | 3,399 | 3,680 | 1.599 | 0.078 | 0.092 | 0.126 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.160 | 0.022 | 475 | 438 | 1.295 | 0.136 | 0.117 | 0.204 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.027 | 0.005 | 1,437 | 1,594 | 1.097 | 0.175 | 0.017 | 0.036 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.140 | 0.006 | 7,603 | 7,731 | 1.547 | 0.044 | 0.128 | 0.153 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.164 | 0.012 | 1,255 | 1,127 | 1.168 | 0.074 | 0.140 | 0.189 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.036 | 0.004 | 3,096 | 3,243 | 1.186 | 0.111 | 0.028 | 0.044 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 4,843 | 5,190 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.031 | 0.004 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.473 | 0.119 | 0.023 | 0.038 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.843 | 0.010 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.945 | 0.012 | 0.823 | 0.863 |
| Never married/in union | 0.584 | 0.010 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.438 | 0.017 | 0.563 | 0.604 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.351 | 0.010 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.517 | 0.030 | 0.330 | 0.371 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.322 | 0.011 | 3,992 | 4,289 | 1.500 | 0.034 | 0.300 | 0.344 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.119 | 0.007 | 3,025 | 3,182 | 1.257 | 0.062 | 0.104 | 0.133 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.067 | 0.004 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.154 | 0.062 | 0.059 | 0.076 |
| Children ever born | 1.581 | 0.037 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.545 | 0.023 | 1.507 | 1.654 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 3.160 | 0.086 | 871 | 875 | 1.325 | 0.027 | 2.987 | 3.332 |
| Children surviving | 1.492 | 0.035 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.537 | 0.023 | 1.423 | 1.562 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.998 | 0.001 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.321 | 0.001 | 0.995 | 1.001 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.998 | 0.001 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.321 | 0.001 | 0.995 | 1.001 |
| Currently using any method | 0.611 | 0.015 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.279 | 0.024 | 0.581 | 0.640 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.605 | 0.015 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.272 | 0.024 | 0.575 | 0.634 |
| Currently using pill | 0.080 | 0.008 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.254 | 0.101 | 0.064 | 0.096 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.016 | 0.004 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.204 | 0.220 | 0.009 | 0.024 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.125 | 0.010 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.330 | 0.083 | 0.104 | 0.146 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.270 | 0.014 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.327 | 0.052 | 0.242 | 0.297 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.086 | 0.008 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.183 | 0.091 | 0.071 | 0.102 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 0.878 | 0.451 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| Using public sector source | 0.660 | 0.016 | 2,632 | 2,850 | 1.712 | 0.024 | 0.628 | 0.692 |
| Want no more children | 0.515 | 0.014 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.205 | 0.028 | 0.486 | 0.543 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.210 | 0.012 | 1,783 | 1,819 | 1.234 | 0.057 | 0.186 | 0.234 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.034 | 0.036 | 4,808 | 5,151 | 1.472 | 0.012 | 2.962 | 3.105 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.967 | 0.005 | 1,887 | 1,970 | 1.122 | 0.005 | 0.958 | 0.976 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.655 | 0.016 | 1,887 | 1,970 | 1.452 | 0.024 | 0.623 | 0.687 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.949 | 0.006 | 2,290 | 2,347 | 1.178 | 0.006 | 0.937 | 0.961 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.147 | 0.009 | 2,198 | 2,249 | 1.189 | 0.063 | 0.128 | 0.165 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.754 | 0.035 | 303 | 330 | 1.368 | 0.046 | 0.685 | 0.823 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.638 | 0.037 | 303 | 330 | 1.306 | 0.057 | 0.565 | 0.711 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.595 | 0.026 | 455 | 467 | 1.108 | 0.043 | 0.544 | 0.647 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.918 | 0.018 | 455 | 467 | 1.406 | 0.020 | 0.882 | 0.955 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.783 | 0.028 | 455 | 467 | 1.452 | 0.036 | 0.727 | 0.840 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.664 | 0.025 | 455 | 467 | 1.137 | 0.038 | 0.613 | 0.715 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.857 | 0.022 | 455 | 467 | 1.304 | 0.025 | 0.813 | 0.900 |
| Fully immunised | 0.581 | 0.027 | 455 | 467 | 1.152 | 0.046 | 0.527 | 0.635 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.167 | 0.017 | 843 | 836 | 1.201 | 0.102 | 0.133 | 0.201 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.050 | 0.009 | 843 | 836 | 1.157 | 0.184 | 0.031 | 0.068 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.091 | 0.012 | 843 | 836 | 1.127 | 0.128 | 0.067 | 0.114 |
| Anaemia children | 0.466 | 0.023 | 843 | 840 | 1.245 | 0.049 | 0.421 | 0.512 |
| Anaemia women | 0.192 | 0.010 | 2,239 | 2,303 | 1.180 | 0.052 | 0.172 | 0.212 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.106 | 0.009 | 2,075 | 2,133 | 1.361 | 0.089 | 0.087 | 0.125 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.029 | 0.003 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.334 | 0.111 | 0.023 | 0.036 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.720 | 0.045 | 124 | 151 | 1.121 | 0.063 | 0.629 | 0.811 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.352 | 0.017 | 1,542 | 1,724 | 1.407 | 0.049 | 0.318 | 0.387 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.553 | 0.015 | 1,542 | 1,724 | 1.212 | 0.028 | 0.522 | 0.584 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.497 | 0.010 | 4,843 | 5,190 | 1.335 | 0.019 | 0.478 | 0.517 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.283 | 0.009 | 4,825 | 5,174 | 1.383 | 0.032 | 0.265 | 0.301 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 2.932 | 0.105 | 13,816 | 14,879 | 1.429 | 0.036 | 2.722 | 3.142 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 15.741 | 2.323 | 4,303 | 4,385 | 1.077 | 0.148 | 11.095 | 20.387 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 19.089 | 2.343 | 4,294 | 4,376 | 1.029 | 0.123 | 14.402 | 23.776 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 34.830 | 3.265 | 4,307 | 4,391 | 1.030 | 0.094 | 28.299 | 41.361 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 19.768 | 3.266 | 4,155 | 4,247 | 1.226 | 0.165 | 13.237 | 26.300 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 53.910 | 4.547 | 4,319 | 4,403 | 1.021 | 0.084 | 44.816 | 63.004 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.150 | 0.012 | 2,145 | 2,280 | 1.496 | 0.077 | 0.127 | 0.173 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.182 | 0.026 | 279 | 265 | 1.116 | 0.142 | 0.131 | 0.234 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.045 | 0.009 | 824 | 903 | 1.197 | 0.193 | 0.028 | 0.062 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1,998 | 2,282 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Literacy | 0.947 | 0.006 | 1,998 | 2,282 | 1.214 | 0.006 | 0.935 | 0.960 |
| No education | 0.049 | 0.007 | 1,998 | 2,282 | 1.350 | 0.133 | 0.036 | 0.062 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.808 | 0.014 | 1,998 | 2,282 | 1.642 | 0.018 | 0.779 | 0.837 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.644 | 0.015 | 1,998 | 2,282 | 1.356 | 0.023 | 0.615 | 0.673 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.327 | 0.014 | 1,998 | 2,282 | 1.374 | 0.044 | 0.298 | 0.355 |
| Want no more children | 0.412 | 0.025 | 695 | 745 | 1.317 | 0.060 | 0.363 | 0.461 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.169 | 0.020 | 695 | 745 | 1.418 | 0.119 | 0.129 | 0.210 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.518 | 0.098 | 1,982 | 2,266 | 1.543 | 0.028 | 3.322 | 3.714 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.098 | 0.010 | 1,998 | 2,282 | 1.487 | 0.101 | 0.078 | 0.117 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.736 | 0.041 | 183 | 223 | 1.261 | 0.056 | 0.653 | 0.818 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.297 | 0.022 | 710 | 804 | 1.294 | 0.075 | 0.253 | 0.342 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.569 | 0.026 | 710 | 804 | 1.378 | 0.045 | 0.518 | 0.620 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.009 | 0.003 | 1,998 | 2,282 | 1.300 | 0.301 | 0.004 | 0.015 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.443 | 0.014 | 1,998 | 2,282 | 1.301 | 0.033 | 0.414 | 0.472 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.243 | 0.014 | 1,979 | 2,263 | 1.499 | 0.060 | 0.214 | 0.272 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.115 | 0.014 | 1,642 | 2,088 | 1.720 | 0.118 | 0.088 | 0.142 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.135 | 0.033 | 194 | 206 | 1.325 | 0.242 | 0.070 | 0.200 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.029 | 0.007 | 638 | 804 | 1.121 | 0.255 | 0.014 | 0.044 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.133 | 0.010 | 3,787 | 4,367 | 1.747 | 0.073 | 0.114 | 0.152 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.161 | 0.020 | 473 | 471 | 1.182 | 0.124 | 0.121 | 0.202 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.038 | 0.006 | 1,462 | 1,707 | 1.260 | 0.167 | 0.025 | 0.050 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4,333 | 3,986 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| No education | 0.065 | 0.005 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 1.416 | 0.082 | 0.054 | 0.076 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.648 | 0.011 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 1.528 | 0.017 | 0.626 | 0.671 |
| Never married/in union | 0.609 | 0.010 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 1.403 | 0.017 | 0.589 | 0.630 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.326 | 0.010 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 1.400 | 0.031 | 0.307 | 0.346 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.415 | 0.011 | 3,327 | 2,981 | 1.237 | 0.025 | 0.394 | 0.436 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.178 | 0.009 | 2,574 | 2,303 | 1.198 | 0.051 | 0.160 | 0.196 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.063 | 0.004 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 0.998 | 0.058 | 0.056 | 0.070 |
| Children ever born | 2.200 | 0.044 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 1.286 | 0.020 | 2.111 | 2.288 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.413 | 0.101 | 825 | 750 | 1.151 | 0.023 | 4.212 | 4.614 |
| Children surviving | 2.039 | 0.042 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 1.308 | 0.021 | 1.955 | 2.123 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.997 | 0.002 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.054 | 0.002 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.997 | 0.002 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.054 | 0.002 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.492 | 0.015 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.186 | 0.030 | 0.462 | 0.522 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.480 | 0.016 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.272 | 0.033 | 0.448 | 0.512 |
| Currently using pill | 0.055 | 0.007 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.171 | 0.122 | 0.042 | 0.069 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.006 | 0.002 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.126 | 0.368 | 0.002 | 0.010 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.114 | 0.009 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.115 | 0.078 | 0.096 | 0.132 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.267 | 0.014 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.236 | 0.052 | 0.239 | 0.294 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.033 | 0.005 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.069 | 0.146 | 0.023 | 0.042 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.181 | 0.706 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| Using public sector source | 0.847 | 0.011 | 1,941 | 1,698 | 1.326 | 0.013 | 0.825 | 0.869 |
| Want no more children | 0.527 | 0.015 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.160 | 0.028 | 0.498 | 0.556 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.193 | 0.011 | 1,583 | 1,301 | 1.105 | 0.057 | 0.171 | 0.215 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.467 | 0.042 | 4,248 | 3,899 | 1.225 | 0.012 | 3.384 | 3.551 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.965 | 0.005 | 2,087 | 1,871 | 1.163 | 0.005 | 0.956 | 0.975 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.663 | 0.016 | 2,087 | 1,871 | 1.540 | 0.024 | 0.631 | 0.695 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.817 | 0.013 | 2,756 | 2,457 | 1.516 | 0.016 | 0.791 | 0.844 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.201 | 0.010 | 2,620 | 2,340 | 1.207 | 0.048 | 0.182 | 0.221 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.690 | 0.025 | 507 | 471 | 1.193 | 0.036 | 0.640 | 0.740 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.637 | 0.027 | 507 | 471 | 1.262 | 0.043 | 0.582 | 0.691 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.794 | 0.019 | 536 | 471 | 1.082 | 0.024 | 0.756 | 0.833 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.965 | 0.008 | 536 | 471 | 1.058 | 0.009 | 0.948 | 0.982 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.886 | 0.016 | 536 | 471 | 1.145 | 0.018 | 0.855 | 0.918 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.821 | 0.017 | 536 | 471 | 1.004 | 0.020 | 0.788 | 0.855 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.932 | 0.011 | 536 | 471 | 0.990 | 0.012 | 0.911 | 0.954 |
| Fully immunised | 0.786 | 0.019 | 536 | 471 | 1.046 | 0.024 | 0.748 | 0.823 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.278 | 0.013 | 1,449 | 1,451 | 1.146 | 0.048 | 0.251 | 0.305 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.069 | 0.007 | 1,449 | 1,451 | 1.091 | 0.104 | 0.055 | 0.084 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.158 | 0.012 | 1,449 | 1,451 | 1.266 | 0.075 | 0.135 | 0.182 |
| Anaemia children | 0.480 | 0.016 | 1,469 | 1,458 | 1.197 | 0.034 | 0.447 | 0.512 |
| Anaemia women | 0.224 | 0.010 | 2,088 | 1,938 | 1.142 | 0.046 | 0.203 | 0.245 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.178 | 0.011 | 1,933 | 1,788 | 1.218 | 0.059 | 0.157 | 0.200 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.013 | 0.002 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 1.183 | 0.157 | 0.009 | 0.017 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.567 | 0.064 | 90 | 52 | 1.210 | 0.112 | 0.439 | 0.694 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.420 | 0.015 | 1,468 | 1,461 | 1.189 | 0.036 | 0.389 | 0.451 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.470 | 0.014 | 1,468 | 1,461 | 1.112 | 0.031 | 0.441 | 0.499 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.484 | 0.009 | 4,333 | 3,986 | 1.240 | 0.019 | 0.465 | 0.502 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.279 | 0.009 | 4,285 | 3,954 | 1.352 | 0.033 | 0.261 | 0.298 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.678 | 0.124 | 12,040 | 10,978 | 1.219 | 0.027 | 4.430 | 4.926 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 21.565 | 2.578 | 5,113 | 4,523 | 1.103 | 0.120 | 16.410 | 26.720 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 24.530 | 2.716 | 5,089 | 4,497 | 1.178 | 0.111 | 19.097 | 29.963 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 46.095 | 3.602 | 5,116 | 4,526 | 1.077 | 0.078 | 38.892 | 53.299 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 18.425 | 2.396 | 4,853 | 4,273 | 1.123 | 0.130 | 13.633 | 23.217 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 63.671 | 4.275 | 5,133 | 4,544 | 1.086 | 0.067 | 55.121 | 72.221 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.193 | 0.009 | 2,059 | 1,771 | 1.085 | 0.049 | 0.174 | 0.212 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.157 | 0.018 | 501 | 424 | 1.110 | 0.115 | 0.121 | 0.194 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.044 | 0.008 | 835 | 746 | 1.112 | 0.181 | 0.028 | 0.059 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1,952 | 1,739 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Literacy | 0.849 | 0.011 | 1,952 | 1,739 | 1.329 | 0.013 | 0.828 | 0.871 |
| No education | 0.114 | 0.010 | 1,952 | 1,739 | 1.405 | 0.089 | 0.094 | 0.134 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.531 | 0.018 | 1,952 | 1,739 | 1.632 | 0.035 | 0.494 | 0.567 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.734 | 0.012 | 1,952 | 1,739 | 1.166 | 0.016 | 0.710 | 0.757 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.238 | 0.011 | 1,952 | 1,739 | 1.176 | 0.048 | 0.216 | 0.261 |
| Want no more children | 0.422 | 0.030 | 549 | 415 | 1.440 | 0.072 | 0.361 | 0.482 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.190 | 0.019 | 549 | 415 | 1.133 | 0.100 | 0.152 | 0.228 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.398 | 0.091 | 1,923 | 1,715 | 1.154 | 0.021 | 4.217 | 4.579 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.113 | 0.009 | 1,952 | 1,739 | 1.208 | 0.077 | 0.096 | 0.130 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.706 | 0.032 | 205 | 197 | 1.018 | 0.046 | 0.641 | 0.771 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.416 | 0.021 | 849 | 838 | 1.240 | 0.050 | 0.374 | 0.458 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.476 | 0.020 | 849 | 838 | 1.164 | 0.042 | 0.436 | 0.516 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.009 | 0.002 | 1,952 | 1,739 | 0.996 | 0.237 | 0.005 | 0.013 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.300 | 0.015 | 1,952 | 1,739 | 1.418 | 0.049 | 0.271 | 0.330 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.280 | 0.014 | 1,925 | 1,722 | 1.397 | 0.051 | 0.252 | 0.309 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.101 | 0.008 | 1,757 | 1,592 | 1.174 | 0.083 | 0.084 | 0.118 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.183 | 0.029 | 281 | 232 | 1.245 | 0.157 | 0.125 | 0.241 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.024 | 0.005 | 799 | 790 | 1.011 | 0.229 | 0.013 | 0.035 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.150 | 0.007 | 3,816 | 3,364 | 1.169 | 0.045 | 0.136 | 0.163 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.166 | 0.015 | 782 | 656 | 1.146 | 0.092 | 0.136 | 0.197 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.033 | 0.005 | 1,634 | 1,536 | 1.031 | 0.137 | 0.024 | 0.043 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.356 | 0.024 | 647 | 457 | 1.285 | 0.068 | 0.307 | 0.404 |
| No education | 0.050 | 0.013 | 647 | 457 | 1.514 | 0.261 | 0.024 | 0.076 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.778 | 0.025 | 647 | 457 | 1.554 | 0.033 | 0.728 | 0.829 |
| Never married/in union | 0.448 | 0.024 | 647 | 457 | 1.224 | 0.053 | 0.400 | 0.496 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.447 | 0.022 | 647 | 457 | 1.148 | 0.050 | 0.402 | 0.492 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.508 | 0.024 | 514 | 362 | 1.086 | 0.047 | 0.460 | 0.556 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.305 | 0.028 | 386 | 271 | 1.180 | 0.091 | 0.250 | 0.361 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.045 | 0.008 | 647 | 457 | 0.990 | 0.180 | 0.029 | 0.061 |
| Children ever born | 2.044 | 0.066 | 647 | 457 | 0.876 | 0.032 | 1.912 | 2.177 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.351 | 0.150 | 104 | 74 | 0.745 | 0.034 | 4.051 | 4.650 |
| Children surviving | 1.863 | 0.061 | 647 | 457 | 0.886 | 0.033 | 1.740 | 1.986 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.998 | 0.003 | 291 | 204 | 0.858 | 0.003 | 0.993 | 1.003 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.998 | 0.003 | 291 | 204 | 0.858 | 0.003 | 0.993 | 1.003 |
| Currently using any method | 0.504 | 0.032 | 291 | 204 | 1.088 | 0.063 | 0.440 | 0.568 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.498 | 0.031 | 291 | 204 | 1.041 | 0.061 | 0.437 | 0.560 |
| Currently using pill | 0.051 | 0.016 | 291 | 204 | 1.264 | 0.322 | 0.018 | 0.083 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.000 | 0.000 | 291 | 204 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.030 | 0.010 | 291 | 204 | 1.044 | 0.349 | 0.009 | 0.051 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.410 | 0.033 | 291 | 204 | 1.142 | 0.080 | 0.344 | 0.476 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.000 | 0.000 | 291 | 204 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.005 | 0.005 | 291 | 204 | 1.237 | 0.997 | 0.000 | 0.016 |
| Using public sector source | 0.908 | 0.023 | 317 | 226 | 1.390 | 0.025 | 0.862 | 0.953 |
| Want no more children | 0.344 | 0.027 | 291 | 204 | 0.963 | 0.078 | 0.290 | 0.397 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.276 | 0.023 | 291 | 204 | 0.869 | 0.083 | 0.230 | 0.322 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.699 | 0.065 | 639 | 450 | 0.851 | 0.017 | 3.570 | 3.828 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.971 | 0.010 | 333 | 239 | 1.085 | 0.010 | 0.951 | 0.991 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.834 | 0.021 | 333 | 239 | 1.034 | 0.025 | 0.792 | 0.876 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.861 | 0.021 | 412 | 297 | 1.014 | 0.025 | 0.818 | 0.904 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.323 | 0.033 | 387 | 279 | 1.347 | 0.101 | 0.258 | 0.388 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.697 | 0.047 | 122 | 90 | 1.094 | 0.068 | 0.602 | 0.792 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.634 | 0.057 | 122 | 90 | 1.278 | 0.091 | 0.519 | 0.749 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.741 | 0.053 | 78 | 57 | 1.065 | 0.072 | 0.635 | 0.847 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 1.000 | 0.000 | 78 | 57 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.888 | 0.035 | 78 | 57 | 0.999 | 0.040 | 0.818 | 0.959 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.817 | 0.050 | 78 | 57 | 1.153 | 0.062 | 0.717 | 0.918 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.917 | 0.026 | 78 | 57 | 0.836 | 0.028 | 0.865 | 0.969 |
| Fully immunised | 0.783 | 0.051 | 78 | 57 | 1.100 | 0.066 | 0.680 | 0.886 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.186 | 0.033 | 190 | 150 | 1.107 | 0.178 | 0.120 | 0.252 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.057 | 0.020 | 190 | 150 | 1.113 | 0.343 | 0.018 | 0.097 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.105 | 0.026 | 190 | 150 | 1.003 | 0.250 | 0.053 | 0.158 |
| Anaemia children | 0.566 | 0.039 | 191 | 149 | 1.077 | 0.068 | 0.489 | 0.643 |
| Anaemia women | 0.263 | 0.031 | 304 | 219 | 1.248 | 0.119 | 0.201 | 0.326 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.109 | 0.019 | 288 | 208 | 1.072 | 0.179 | 0.070 | 0.148 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.011 | 0.004 | 647 | 457 | 0.865 | 0.323 | 0.004 | 0.018 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.734 | 0.172 | 7 | 5 | 0.960 | 0.234 | 0.390 | 1.078 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.216 | 0.031 | 201 | 143 | 1.058 | 0.142 | 0.155 | 0.278 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.657 | 0.034 | 201 | 143 | 1.020 | 0.052 | 0.589 | 0.725 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.492 | 0.025 | 647 | 457 | 1.256 | 0.050 | 0.442 | 0.541 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.059 | 0.010 | 642 | 453 | 1.050 | 0.165 | 0.040 | 0.079 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.229 | 0.242 | 1,813 | 1,276 | 0.996 | 0.057 | 3.745 | 4.713 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 23.193 | 9.028 | 719 | 513 | 1.217 | 0.389 | 5.137 | 41.248 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 30.265 | 8.666 | 718 | 513 | 1.231 | 0.286 | 12.933 | 47.597 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 53.457 | 11.833 | 719 | 513 | 1.191 | 0.221 | 29.791 | 77.124 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 20.548 | 5.972 | 680 | 485 | 0.988 | 0.291 | 8.605 | 32.492 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 72.907 | 12.989 | 722 | 515 | 1.155 | 0.178 | 46.928 | 98.886 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.309 | 0.027 | 294 | 212 | 0.993 | 0.087 | 0.255 | 0.363 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.376 | 0.077 | 43 | 31 | 1.028 | 0.204 | 0.222 | 0.530 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.192 | 0.040 | 122 | 87 | 1.112 | 0.207 | 0.113 | 0.272 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.339 | 0.041 | 291 | 218 | 1.457 | 0.120 | 0.257 | 0.420 |
| Literacy | 0.883 | 0.019 | 291 | 218 | 1.021 | 0.022 | 0.845 | 0.922 |
| No education | 0.045 | 0.014 | 291 | 218 | 1.109 | 0.300 | 0.018 | 0.072 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.712 | 0.029 | 291 | 218 | 1.097 | 0.041 | 0.654 | 0.771 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.586 | 0.029 | 291 | 218 | 1.016 | 0.050 | 0.527 | 0.645 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.359 | 0.033 | 291 | 218 | 1.179 | 0.093 | 0.292 | 0.425 |
| Want no more children | 0.210 | 0.032 | 106 | 78 | 0.800 | 0.151 | 0.147 | 0.274 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.431 | 0.051 | 106 | 78 | 1.055 | 0.118 | 0.329 | 0.533 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.568 | 0.218 | 291 | 218 | 0.990 | 0.048 | 4.131 | 5.005 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.120 | 0.020 | 291 | 218 | 1.065 | 0.170 | 0.079 | 0.160 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.429 | 0.101 | 36 | 26 | 1.199 | 0.236 | 0.227 | 0.631 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.149 | 0.031 | 111 | 83 | 0.914 | 0.208 | 0.087 | 0.211 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.696 | 0.044 | 111 | 83 | 1.009 | 0.064 | 0.608 | 0.785 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.027 | 0.009 | 291 | 218 | 0.997 | 0.353 | 0.008 | 0.046 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.310 | 0.035 | 291 | 218 | 1.274 | 0.112 | 0.240 | 0.379 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.129 | 0.029 | 291 | 218 | 1.492 | 0.228 | 0.070 | 0.188 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.159 | 0.031 | 231 | 197 | 1.280 | 0.195 | 0.097 | 0.221 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.109 | 0.072 | 17 | 14 | 0.929 | 0.661 | 0.000 | 0.253 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.128 | 0.042 | 88 | 74 | 1.167 | 0.328 | 0.044 | 0.211 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.237 | 0.026 | 525 | 409 | 1.397 | 0.110 | 0.185 | 0.289 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.294 | 0.059 | 60 | 45 | 1.001 | 0.202 | 0.175 | 0.413 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.163 | 0.031 | 210 | 161 | 1.219 | 0.192 | 0.100 | 0.225 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.939 | 0.013 | 858 | 771 | 1.576 | 0.014 | 0.914 | 0.965 |
| No education | 0.010 | 0.005 | 858 | 771 | 1.425 | 0.491 | 0.000 | 0.019 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.857 | 0.019 | 858 | 771 | 1.623 | 0.023 | 0.818 | 0.896 |
| Never married/in union | 0.543 | 0.025 | 858 | 771 | 1.449 | 0.045 | 0.494 | 0.592 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.396 | 0.024 | 858 | 771 | 1.433 | 0.061 | 0.348 | 0.444 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.367 | 0.025 | 723 | 652 | 1.416 | 0.069 | 0.317 | 0.418 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.132 | 0.014 | 546 | 492 | 0.997 | 0.109 | 0.103 | 0.161 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.061 | 0.010 | 858 | 771 | 1.207 | 0.162 | 0.041 | 0.080 |
| Children ever born | 1.645 | 0.073 | 858 | 771 | 1.296 | 0.044 | 1.500 | 1.790 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 3.315 | 0.196 | 152 | 137 | 1.321 | 0.059 | 2.924 | 3.707 |
| Children surviving | 1.563 | 0.068 | 858 | 771 | 1.267 | 0.044 | 1.427 | 1.700 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 340 | 305 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 340 | 305 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.632 | 0.027 | 340 | 305 | 1.013 | 0.042 | 0.579 | 0.685 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.622 | 0.027 | 340 | 305 | 1.019 | 0.043 | 0.568 | 0.675 |
| Currently using pill | 0.090 | 0.018 | 340 | 305 | 1.175 | 0.203 | 0.054 | 0.127 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.010 | 0.006 | 340 | 305 | 1.130 | 0.611 | 0.000 | 0.022 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.112 | 0.018 | 340 | 305 | 1.026 | 0.157 | 0.076 | 0.147 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.296 | 0.029 | 340 | 305 | 1.176 | 0.098 | 0.238 | 0.355 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.090 | 0.019 | 340 | 305 | 1.197 | 0.207 | 0.053 | 0.127 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.005 | 0.004 | 340 | 305 | 0.931 | 0.699 | 0.000 | 0.012 |
| Using public sector source | 0.705 | 0.031 | 474 | 430 | 1.456 | 0.043 | 0.643 | 0.766 |
| Want no more children | 0.521 | 0.025 | 340 | 305 | 0.931 | 0.048 | 0.471 | 0.572 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.228 | 0.025 | 340 | 305 | 1.097 | 0.110 | 0.178 | 0.278 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.060 | 0.078 | 851 | 766 | 1.204 | 0.026 | 2.903 | 3.216 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.986 | 0.007 | 309 | 285 | 1.002 | 0.007 | 0.973 | 1.000 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.575 | 0.038 | 309 | 285 | 1.351 | 0.066 | 0.499 | 0.650 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.979 | 0.009 | 364 | 334 | 0.966 | 0.010 | 0.960 | 0.998 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.101 | 0.017 | 349 | 320 | 1.048 | 0.169 | 0.067 | 0.136 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.693 | 0.096 | 36 | 32 | 1.232 | 0.139 | 0.501 | 0.886 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.635 | 0.089 | 36 | 32 | 1.090 | 0.140 | 0.457 | 0.814 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.609 | 0.054 | 75 | 70 | 0.969 | 0.089 | 0.501 | 0.717 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.916 | 0.038 | 75 | 70 | 1.187 | 0.041 | 0.840 | 0.991 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.804 | 0.059 | 75 | 70 | 1.301 | 0.074 | 0.685 | 0.922 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.719 | 0.062 | 75 | 70 | 1.211 | 0.087 | 0.594 | 0.844 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.933 | 0.033 | 75 | 70 | 1.155 | 0.035 | 0.867 | 0.999 |
| Fully immunised | 0.657 | 0.064 | 75 | 70 | 1.177 | 0.097 | 0.530 | 0.785 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.152 | 0.036 | 127 | 119 | 1.106 | 0.235 | 0.081 | 0.224 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.081 | 0.031 | 127 | 119 | 1.275 | 0.378 | 0.020 | 0.143 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.099 | 0.027 | 127 | 119 | 1.051 | 0.272 | 0.045 | 0.153 |
| Anaemia children | 0.461 | 0.053 | 124 | 116 | 1.097 | 0.114 | 0.356 | 0.567 |
| Anaemia women | 0.211 | 0.027 | 399 | 356 | 1.302 | 0.127 | 0.158 | 0.265 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.072 | 0.016 | 368 | 326 | 1.186 | 0.223 | 0.040 | 0.105 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.035 | 0.008 | 858 | 771 | 1.293 | 0.231 | 0.019 | 0.052 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.574 | 0.085 | 30 | 27 | 0.923 | 0.147 | 0.405 | 0.744 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.370 | 0.043 | 264 | 232 | 1.428 | 0.115 | 0.285 | 0.456 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.547 | 0.041 | 264 | 232 | 1.340 | 0.075 | 0.464 | 0.629 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.502 | 0.022 | 858 | 771 | 1.263 | 0.043 | 0.459 | 0.545 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.304 | 0.021 | 856 | 770 | 1.314 | 0.068 | 0.263 | 0.346 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 2.927 | 0.198 | 2,436 | 2,191 | 1.156 | 0.068 | 2.531 | 3.322 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 19.077 | 6.182 | 732 | 672 | 1.046 | 0.324 | 6.713 | 31.440 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 18.076 | 5.921 | 732 | 671 | 1.194 | 0.328 | 6.234 | 29.918 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 37.152 | 7.158 | 732 | 672 | 0.945 | 0.193 | 22.837 | 51.468 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 16.390 | 5.093 | 708 | 651 | 1.044 | 0.311 | 6.204 | 26.577 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 52.934 | 8.045 | 734 | 674 | 0.894 | 0.152 | 36.844 | 69.024 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.146 | 0.026 | 382 | 332 | 1.429 | 0.177 | 0.094 | 0.198 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.114 | 0.057 | 52 | 41 | 1.276 | 0.501 | 0.000 | 0.228 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.035 | 0.014 | 142 | 124 | 0.919 | 0.405 | 0.007 | 0.064 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.923 | 0.016 | 421 | 372 | 1.203 | 0.017 | 0.891 | 0.954 |
| Literacy | 0.954 | 0.013 | 421 | 372 | 1.227 | 0.013 | 0.929 | 0.979 |
| No education | 0.048 | 0.011 | 421 | 372 | 1.009 | 0.218 | 0.027 | 0.070 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.801 | 0.024 | 421 | 372 | 1.243 | 0.030 | 0.752 | 0.849 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.603 | 0.027 | 421 | 372 | 1.133 | 0.045 | 0.548 | 0.657 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.369 | 0.026 | 421 | 372 | 1.099 | 0.070 | 0.317 | 0.420 |
| Want no more children | 0.403 | 0.048 | 156 | 137 | 1.217 | 0.119 | 0.307 | 0.499 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.132 | 0.037 | 156 | 137 | 1.373 | 0.284 | 0.057 | 0.207 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.851 | 0.188 | 416 | 367 | 1.258 | 0.049 | 3.476 | 4.226 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.065 | 0.015 | 421 | 372 | 1.269 | 0.235 | 0.035 | 0.096 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.599 | 0.106 | 25 | 24 | 1.060 | 0.178 | 0.386 | 0.811 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.414 | 0.054 | 122 | 108 | 1.207 | 0.131 | 0.306 | 0.523 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.505 | 0.058 | 122 | 108 | 1.272 | 0.115 | 0.389 | 0.621 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.000 | 0.000 | 421 | 372 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.467 | 0.028 | 421 | 372 | 1.145 | 0.060 | 0.412 | 0.523 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.208 | 0.027 | 416 | 368 | 1.348 | 0.129 | 0.155 | 0.262 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.104 | 0.016 | 350 | 342 | 0.987 | 0.155 | 0.072 | 0.137 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.218 | 0.075 | 50 | 45 | 1.257 | 0.342 | 0.069 | 0.367 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.048 | 0.022 | 116 | 116 | 1.125 | 0.468 | 0.003 | 0.093 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.125 | 0.019 | 732 | 674 | 1.567 | 0.153 | 0.087 | 0.163 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.168 | 0.048 | 102 | 86 | 1.298 | 0.288 | 0.071 | 0.265 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.041 | 0.013 | 258 | 240 | 1.077 | 0.323 | 0.015 | 0.068 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative } \\ & \text { error } \\ & \text { (SE/R) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.721 | 0.036 | 595 | 304 | 1.955 | 0.050 | 0.649 | 0.793 |
| No education | 0.032 | 0.010 | 595 | 304 | 1.333 | 0.301 | 0.013 | 0.051 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.769 | 0.029 | 595 | 304 | 1.649 | 0.037 | 0.712 | 0.826 |
| Never married/in union | 0.493 | 0.027 | 595 | 304 | 1.320 | 0.055 | 0.439 | 0.548 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.432 | 0.026 | 595 | 304 | 1.295 | 0.061 | 0.380 | 0.485 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.336 | 0.026 | 492 | 252 | 1.204 | 0.076 | 0.285 | 0.387 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.168 | 0.021 | 379 | 194 | 1.117 | 0.128 | 0.125 | 0.211 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.038 | 0.009 | 595 | 304 | 1.191 | 0.246 | 0.019 | 0.056 |
| Children ever born | 1.915 | 0.070 | 595 | 304 | 0.965 | 0.037 | 1.774 | 2.056 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 3.385 | 0.179 | 128 | 66 | 1.094 | 0.053 | 3.027 | 3.744 |
| Children surviving | 1.837 | 0.068 | 595 | 304 | 0.971 | 0.037 | 1.701 | 1.974 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.993 | 0.005 | 260 | 131 | 0.933 | 0.005 | 0.983 | 1.003 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.993 | 0.005 | 260 | 131 | 0.933 | 0.005 | 0.983 | 1.003 |
| Currently using any method | 0.578 | 0.033 | 260 | 131 | 1.070 | 0.057 | 0.512 | 0.644 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.578 | 0.033 | 260 | 131 | 1.070 | 0.057 | 0.512 | 0.644 |
| Currently using pill | 0.094 | 0.019 | 260 | 131 | 1.021 | 0.197 | 0.057 | 0.131 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.007 | 0.005 | 260 | 131 | 0.917 | 0.682 | 0.000 | 0.016 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.050 | 0.027 | 260 | 131 | 1.958 | 0.536 | 0.000 | 0.103 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.268 | 0.038 | 260 | 131 | 1.360 | 0.140 | 0.193 | 0.344 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.145 | 0.023 | 260 | 131 | 1.058 | 0.160 | 0.098 | 0.191 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 260 | 131 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using public sector source | 0.818 | 0.031 | 298 | 151 | 1.371 | 0.038 | 0.756 | 0.879 |
| Want no more children | 0.648 | 0.035 | 260 | 131 | 1.187 | 0.054 | 0.577 | 0.718 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.099 | 0.022 | 260 | 131 | 1.159 | 0.217 | 0.056 | 0.142 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.397 | 0.083 | 592 | 303 | 1.373 | 0.035 | 2.230 | 2.564 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.968 | 0.010 | 261 | 133 | 0.938 | 0.011 | 0.947 | 0.988 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.807 | 0.026 | 261 | 133 | 1.069 | 0.032 | 0.755 | 0.860 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.953 | 0.018 | 338 | 173 | 1.260 | 0.019 | 0.918 | 0.989 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.075 | 0.016 | 326 | 166 | 1.109 | 0.217 | 0.042 | 0.107 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.638 | 0.095 | 24 | 12 | 0.967 | 0.148 | 0.449 | 0.827 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.585 | 0.092 | 24 | 12 | 0.920 | 0.158 | 0.400 | 0.769 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.828 | 0.046 | 69 | 35 | 0.988 | 0.055 | 0.736 | 0.919 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.987 | 0.013 | 69 | 35 | 0.933 | 0.013 | 0.961 | 1.013 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.975 | 0.017 | 69 | 35 | 0.910 | 0.018 | 0.941 | 1.010 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.878 | 0.037 | 69 | 35 | 0.934 | 0.042 | 0.804 | 0.953 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.975 | 0.017 | 69 | 35 | 0.893 | 0.017 | 0.941 | 1.009 |
| Fully immunised | 0.878 | 0.037 | 69 | 35 | 0.934 | 0.042 | 0.804 | 0.953 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.291 | 0.047 | 151 | 85 | 1.264 | 0.162 | 0.197 | 0.386 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.082 | 0.022 | 151 | 85 | 1.011 | 0.268 | 0.038 | 0.126 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.178 | 0.034 | 151 | 85 | 1.084 | 0.194 | 0.109 | 0.247 |
| Anaemia children | 0.394 | 0.056 | 156 | 87 | 1.426 | 0.143 | 0.281 | 0.506 |
| Anaemia women | 0.146 | 0.024 | 314 | 159 | 1.188 | 0.163 | 0.099 | 0.194 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.145 | 0.021 | 294 | 149 | 1.016 | 0.145 | 0.103 | 0.186 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.017 | 0.006 | 595 | 304 | 1.189 | 0.373 | 0.004 | 0.029 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.323 | 0.210 | 11 | 5 | 1.360 | 0.651 | 0.000 | 0.744 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.423 | 0.048 | 170 | 87 | 1.262 | 0.114 | 0.327 | 0.519 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.484 | 0.050 | 170 | 87 | 1.307 | 0.104 | 0.383 | 0.585 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.413 | 0.025 | 595 | 304 | 1.258 | 0.062 | 0.363 | 0.464 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.326 | 0.024 | 585 | 300 | 1.218 | 0.072 | 0.279 | 0.373 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 3.710 | 0.246 | 1,704 | 869 | 0.969 | 0.066 | 3.219 | 4.201 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 10.968 | 3.882 | 589 | 303 | 0.896 | 0.354 | 3.205 | 18.732 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 18.333 | 6.045 | 583 | 299 | 1.165 | 0.330 | 6.243 | 30.424 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 29.302 | 7.070 | 589 | 303 | 0.971 | 0.241 | 15.162 | 43.441 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 8.859 | 4.294 | 545 | 280 | 0.932 | 0.485 | 0.272 | 17.446 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 37.901 | 9.047 | 590 | 303 | 1.070 | 0.239 | 19.807 | 55.995 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.088 | 0.018 | 304 | 151 | 1.096 | 0.203 | 0.052 | 0.124 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.060 | 0.028 | 55 | 29 | 0.885 | 0.477 | 0.003 | 0.117 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.038 | 0.024 | 112 | 55 | 1.288 | 0.613 | 0.000 | 0.086 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.653 | 0.034 | 299 | 152 | 1.231 | 0.052 | 0.585 | 0.721 |
| Literacy | 0.906 | 0.024 | 299 | 152 | 1.411 | 0.026 | 0.859 | 0.954 |
| No education | 0.054 | 0.015 | 299 | 152 | 1.158 | 0.282 | 0.023 | 0.084 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.690 | 0.037 | 299 | 152 | 1.382 | 0.054 | 0.616 | 0.765 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.537 | 0.036 | 299 | 152 | 1.260 | 0.068 | 0.464 | 0.610 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.412 | 0.039 | 299 | 152 | 1.366 | 0.095 | 0.334 | 0.490 |
| Want no more children | 0.572 | 0.054 | 126 | 63 | 1.210 | 0.094 | 0.465 | 0.679 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.176 | 0.030 | 126 | 63 | 0.886 | 0.171 | 0.116 | 0.236 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.205 | 0.182 | 299 | 152 | 1.410 | 0.057 | 2.840 | 3.569 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.077 | 0.018 | 299 | 152 | 1.188 | 0.238 | 0.040 | 0.114 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.628 | 0.107 | 23 | 12 | 1.041 | 0.171 | 0.413 | 0.843 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.456 | 0.064 | 83 | 44 | 1.166 | 0.141 | 0.328 | 0.585 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.467 | 0.066 | 83 | 44 | 1.192 | 0.141 | 0.335 | 0.598 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.004 | 0.004 | 299 | 152 | 1.039 | 0.983 | 0.000 | 0.011 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.320 | 0.034 | 299 | 152 | 1.269 | 0.107 | 0.252 | 0.389 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.140 | 0.026 | 297 | 151 | 1.295 | 0.186 | 0.088 | 0.193 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.075 | 0.020 | 256 | 138 | 1.205 | 0.266 | 0.035 | 0.114 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.037 | 0.026 | 50 | 26 | 0.966 | 0.707 | 0.000 | 0.088 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.024 | 0.017 | 80 | 44 | 1.009 | 0.725 | 0.000 | 0.059 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.082 | 0.016 | 560 | 289 | 1.389 | 0.197 | 0.049 | 0.114 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.049 | 0.019 | 105 | 56 | 0.906 | 0.392 | 0.011 | 0.087 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.032 | 0.019 | 192 | 98 | 1.508 | 0.602 | 0.000 | 0.071 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.657 | 0.036 | 782 | 343 | 2.142 | 0.055 | 0.584 | 0.730 |
| No education | 0.017 | 0.005 | 782 | 343 | 1.081 | 0.295 | 0.007 | 0.027 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.796 | 0.029 | 782 | 343 | 2.022 | 0.037 | 0.738 | 0.855 |
| Never married/in union | 0.541 | 0.022 | 782 | 343 | 1.225 | 0.040 | 0.497 | 0.584 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.388 | 0.018 | 782 | 343 | 1.043 | 0.047 | 0.352 | 0.424 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.346 | 0.025 | 662 | 291 | 1.377 | 0.074 | 0.295 | 0.397 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.126 | 0.020 | 519 | 226 | 1.391 | 0.161 | 0.086 | 0.167 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.064 | 0.011 | 782 | 343 | 1.254 | 0.172 | 0.042 | 0.086 |
| Children ever born | 1.954 | 0.072 | 782 | 343 | 1.176 | 0.037 | 1.809 | 2.098 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 3.364 | 0.150 | 171 | 75 | 1.084 | 0.045 | 3.063 | 3.665 |
| Children surviving | 1.854 | 0.068 | 782 | 343 | 1.168 | 0.037 | 1.718 | 1.990 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 306 | 133 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 306 | 133 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.679 | 0.026 | 306 | 133 | 0.986 | 0.039 | 0.627 | 0.732 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.670 | 0.026 | 306 | 133 | 0.968 | 0.039 | 0.618 | 0.722 |
| Currently using pill | 0.062 | 0.014 | 306 | 133 | 0.998 | 0.222 | 0.035 | 0.090 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.007 | 0.005 | 306 | 133 | 1.073 | 0.755 | 0.000 | 0.017 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.117 | 0.022 | 306 | 133 | 1.170 | 0.184 | 0.074 | 0.160 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.332 | 0.032 | 306 | 133 | 1.186 | 0.096 | 0.268 | 0.396 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.138 | 0.024 | 306 | 133 | 1.212 | 0.174 | 0.090 | 0.186 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.005 | 0.005 | 306 | 133 | 1.203 | 1.006 | 0.000 | 0.014 |
| Using public sector source | 0.808 | 0.030 | 452 | 200 | 1.633 | 0.038 | 0.747 | 0.868 |
| Want no more children | 0.610 | 0.035 | 306 | 133 | 1.254 | 0.057 | 0.540 | 0.680 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.119 | 0.018 | 306 | 133 | 0.998 | 0.156 | 0.082 | 0.155 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.864 | 0.103 | 781 | 343 | 1.801 | 0.036 | 2.657 | 3.070 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.971 | 0.009 | 305 | 136 | 0.958 | 0.009 | 0.953 | 0.989 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.776 | 0.031 | 305 | 136 | 1.304 | 0.040 | 0.715 | 0.838 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.933 | 0.020 | 371 | 165 | 1.396 | 0.021 | 0.894 | 0.973 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.096 | 0.018 | 357 | 160 | 1.151 | 0.184 | 0.061 | 0.131 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.759 | 0.056 | 38 | 15 | 0.766 | 0.073 | 0.648 | 0.870 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.559 | 0.091 | 38 | 15 | 1.077 | 0.162 | 0.378 | 0.741 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.664 | 0.059 | 74 | 33 | 1.077 | 0.089 | 0.546 | 0.782 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.972 | 0.019 | 74 | 33 | 0.990 | 0.019 | 0.934 | 1.010 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.814 | 0.056 | 74 | 33 | 1.243 | 0.069 | 0.702 | 0.927 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.687 | 0.060 | 74 | 33 | 1.105 | 0.087 | 0.567 | 0.806 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.918 | 0.031 | 74 | 33 | 0.971 | 0.034 | 0.855 | 0.980 |
| Fully immunised | 0.650 | 0.062 | 74 | 33 | 1.115 | 0.095 | 0.526 | 0.774 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.270 | 0.054 | 149 | 69 | 1.368 | 0.199 | 0.162 | 0.377 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.056 | 0.021 | 149 | 69 | 1.105 | 0.375 | 0.014 | 0.098 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.121 | 0.029 | 149 | 69 | 1.069 | 0.240 | 0.063 | 0.179 |
| Anaemia children | 0.574 | 0.043 | 154 | 71 | 1.020 | 0.075 | 0.488 | 0.660 |
| Anaemia women | 0.209 | 0.020 | 382 | 167 | 0.975 | 0.097 | 0.168 | 0.250 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.082 | 0.015 | 361 | 158 | 1.040 | 0.184 | 0.052 | 0.112 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.014 | 0.005 | 782 | 343 | 1.167 | 0.353 | 0.004 | 0.024 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.805 | 0.148 | 11 | 5 | 1.164 | 0.184 | 0.508 | 1.102 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.372 | 0.035 | 225 | 100 | 1.077 | 0.093 | 0.303 | 0.442 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.545 | 0.035 | 225 | 100 | 1.040 | 0.064 | 0.475 | 0.614 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.498 | 0.025 | 782 | 343 | 1.413 | 0.051 | 0.448 | 0.549 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.254 | 0.015 | 779 | 342 | 0.971 | 0.060 | 0.224 | 0.285 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 3.374 | 0.185 | 2,237 | 981 | 0.901 | 0.055 | 3.005 | 3.744 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 16.830 | 5.765 | 745 | 330 | 1.033 | 0.343 | 5.301 | 28.359 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 18.705 | 6.429 | 742 | 329 | 1.267 | 0.344 | 5.848 | 31.563 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 35.536 | 8.870 | 745 | 330 | 1.098 | 0.250 | 17.796 | 53.275 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 8.542 | 3.210 | 731 | 323 | 0.987 | 0.376 | 2.122 | 14.962 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 43.774 | 10.288 | 746 | 331 | 1.147 | 0.235 | 23.199 | 64.349 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.150 | 0.024 | 375 | 155 | 1.303 | 0.160 | 0.102 | 0.199 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.094 | 0.041 | 63 | 27 | 1.111 | 0.439 | 0.011 | 0.176 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.030 | 0.015 | 122 | 50 | 0.972 | 0.506 | 0.000 | 0.059 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.688 | 0.031 | 333 | 151 | 1.230 | 0.045 | 0.626 | 0.751 |
| Literacy | 0.937 | 0.018 | 333 | 151 | 1.367 | 0.020 | 0.900 | 0.973 |
| No education | 0.030 | 0.015 | 333 | 151 | 1.607 | 0.501 | 0.000 | 0.061 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.753 | 0.042 | 333 | 151 | 1.778 | 0.056 | 0.668 | 0.837 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.620 | 0.030 | 333 | 151 | 1.112 | 0.048 | 0.561 | 0.680 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.351 | 0.029 | 333 | 151 | 1.097 | 0.082 | 0.294 | 0.409 |
| Want no more children | 0.434 | 0.054 | 120 | 53 | 1.190 | 0.125 | 0.326 | 0.542 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.131 | 0.031 | 120 | 53 | 1.009 | 0.238 | 0.069 | 0.194 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.338 | 0.167 | 329 | 149 | 1.170 | 0.050 | 3.004 | 3.672 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.068 | 0.015 | 333 | 151 | 1.085 | 0.220 | 0.038 | 0.099 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.738 | 0.089 | 24 | 10 | 0.974 | 0.121 | 0.560 | 0.917 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.482 | 0.041 | 124 | 56 | 0.904 | 0.084 | 0.401 | 0.564 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.395 | 0.039 | 124 | 56 | 0.892 | 0.100 | 0.316 | 0.473 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.028 | 0.011 | 333 | 151 | 1.215 | 0.392 | 0.006 | 0.050 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.337 | 0.035 | 333 | 151 | 1.334 | 0.103 | 0.268 | 0.406 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.314 | 0.032 | 323 | 147 | 1.219 | 0.101 | 0.250 | 0.377 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.095 | 0.020 | 307 | 139 | 1.174 | 0.207 | 0.056 | 0.135 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.101 | 0.047 | 51 | 24 | 1.105 | 0.468 | 0.006 | 0.195 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.027 | 0.015 | 123 | 57 | 1.013 | 0.551 | 0.000 | 0.057 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.124 | 0.017 | 682 | 294 | 1.364 | 0.139 | 0.090 | 0.159 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.097 | 0.031 | 114 | 51 | 1.120 | 0.321 | 0.035 | 0.160 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.028 | 0.012 | 245 | 107 | 1.110 | 0.418 | 0.005 | 0.052 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative } \\ & \text { error } \\ & \text { (SE/R) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.353 | 0.034 | 743 | 835 | 1.926 | 0.096 | 0.285 | 0.421 |
| No education | 0.066 | 0.011 | 743 | 835 | 1.228 | 0.169 | 0.044 | 0.089 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.511 | 0.032 | 743 | 835 | 1.754 | 0.063 | 0.447 | 0.576 |
| Never married/in union | 0.376 | 0.024 | 743 | 835 | 1.343 | 0.064 | 0.328 | 0.423 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.513 | 0.026 | 743 | 835 | 1.404 | 0.050 | 0.462 | 0.565 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.638 | 0.021 | 564 | 635 | 1.044 | 0.033 | 0.596 | 0.681 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.450 | 0.029 | 416 | 471 | 1.174 | 0.064 | 0.393 | 0.507 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.068 | 0.009 | 743 | 835 | 1.022 | 0.139 | 0.049 | 0.087 |
| Children ever born | 2.387 | 0.115 | 743 | 835 | 1.342 | 0.048 | 2.156 | 2.617 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.380 | 0.309 | 105 | 116 | 1.263 | 0.057 | 4.763 | 5.998 |
| Children surviving | 2.149 | 0.114 | 743 | 835 | 1.460 | 0.053 | 1.922 | 2.376 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.994 | 0.004 | 378 | 429 | 1.024 | 0.004 | 0.986 | 1.002 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.994 | 0.004 | 378 | 429 | 1.024 | 0.004 | 0.986 | 1.002 |
| Currently using any method | 0.442 | 0.028 | 378 | 429 | 1.099 | 0.064 | 0.385 | 0.498 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.417 | 0.034 | 378 | 429 | 1.339 | 0.082 | 0.349 | 0.485 |
| Currently using pill | 0.045 | 0.013 | 378 | 429 | 1.229 | 0.293 | 0.018 | 0.071 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.003 | 0.003 | 378 | 429 | 1.065 | 0.998 | 0.000 | 0.009 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.020 | 0.006 | 378 | 429 | 0.774 | 0.281 | 0.009 | 0.031 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.336 | 0.034 | 378 | 429 | 1.405 | 0.102 | 0.268 | 0.405 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.014 | 0.006 | 378 | 429 | 1.067 | 0.469 | 0.001 | 0.026 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 378 | 429 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using public sector source | 0.899 | 0.022 | 298 | 328 | 1.252 | 0.024 | 0.855 | 0.942 |
| Want no more children | 0.425 | 0.033 | 378 | 429 | 1.278 | 0.077 | 0.360 | 0.490 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.252 | 0.023 | 378 | 429 | 1.032 | 0.092 | 0.206 | 0.298 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.261 | 0.115 | 737 | 829 | 1.444 | 0.035 | 3.032 | 3.490 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.963 | 0.012 | 398 | 448 | 1.230 | 0.012 | 0.940 | 0.987 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.612 | 0.037 | 398 | 448 | 1.496 | 0.060 | 0.539 | 0.686 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.750 | 0.038 | 513 | 577 | 1.686 | 0.051 | 0.673 | 0.827 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.318 | 0.021 | 481 | 541 | 0.972 | 0.068 | 0.275 | 0.361 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.783 | 0.043 | 152 | 172 | 1.161 | 0.055 | 0.696 | 0.869 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.720 | 0.051 | 152 | 172 | 1.285 | 0.071 | 0.618 | 0.823 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.778 | 0.045 | 96 | 108 | 1.056 | 0.058 | 0.688 | 0.868 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.947 | 0.024 | 96 | 108 | 1.043 | 0.025 | 0.898 | 0.995 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.806 | 0.052 | 96 | 108 | 1.268 | 0.064 | 0.703 | 0.909 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.780 | 0.042 | 96 | 108 | 0.996 | 0.054 | 0.695 | 0.865 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.890 | 0.034 | 96 | 108 | 1.052 | 0.038 | 0.822 | 0.957 |
| Fully immunised | 0.734 | 0.049 | 96 | 108 | 1.076 | 0.067 | 0.636 | 0.832 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.239 | 0.027 | 222 | 259 | 0.907 | 0.111 | 0.186 | 0.292 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.085 | 0.017 | 222 | 259 | 0.879 | 0.201 | 0.051 | 0.120 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.150 | 0.028 | 222 | 259 | 1.147 | 0.186 | 0.094 | 0.206 |
| Anaemia children | 0.629 | 0.051 | 211 | 247 | 1.453 | 0.081 | 0.527 | 0.730 |
| Anaemia women | 0.329 | 0.028 | 335 | 377 | 1.108 | 0.087 | 0.272 | 0.386 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.176 | 0.023 | 301 | 339 | 1.048 | 0.131 | 0.130 | 0.222 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.005 | 0.002 | 743 | 835 | 0.906 | 0.453 | 0.001 | 0.010 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.488 | 0.264 | 4 | 4 | 0.935 | 0.541 | 0.000 | 1.016 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.243 | 0.033 | 214 | 236 | 1.118 | 0.135 | 0.177 | 0.308 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.535 | 0.031 | 214 | 236 | 0.902 | 0.058 | 0.473 | 0.597 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.529 | 0.026 | 743 | 835 | 1.418 | 0.049 | 0.477 | 0.581 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.192 | 0.015 | 739 | 831 | 1.036 | 0.078 | 0.162 | 0.222 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.639 | 0.331 | 2,051 | 2,310 | 1.327 | 0.071 | 3.978 | 5.300 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 27.119 | 6.106 | 950 | 1,069 | 1.037 | 0.225 | 14.908 | 39.331 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 34.466 | 8.019 | 946 | 1,064 | 1.198 | 0.233 | 18.429 | 50.504 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 61.585 | 8.821 | 951 | 1,071 | 0.981 | 0.143 | 43.943 | 79.228 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 38.014 | 9.331 | 901 | 1,017 | 1.175 | 0.245 | 19.353 | 56.676 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 97.259 | 12.651 | 957 | 1,078 | 1.016 | 0.130 | 71.957 | 122.560 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.198 | 0.018 | 329 | 364 | 0.829 | 0.092 | 0.162 | 0.235 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.102 | 0.040 | 64 | 69 | 1.047 | 0.392 | 0.022 | 0.182 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.046 | 0.016 | 151 | 166 | 0.949 | 0.353 | 0.014 | 0.078 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.311 | 0.038 | 281 | 316 | 1.381 | 0.123 | 0.234 | 0.388 |
| Literacy | 0.820 | 0.028 | 281 | 316 | 1.203 | 0.034 | 0.764 | 0.875 |
| No education | 0.121 | 0.024 | 281 | 316 | 1.223 | 0.197 | 0.074 | 0.169 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.584 | 0.034 | 281 | 316 | 1.143 | 0.058 | 0.517 | 0.652 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.551 | 0.033 | 281 | 316 | 1.104 | 0.060 | 0.486 | 0.617 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.398 | 0.034 | 281 | 316 | 1.157 | 0.085 | 0.330 | 0.466 |
| Want no more children | 0.378 | 0.075 | 110 | 126 | 1.596 | 0.197 | 0.229 | 0.528 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.142 | 0.042 | 110 | 126 | 1.267 | 0.299 | 0.057 | 0.227 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.357 | 0.160 | 279 | 314 | 0.979 | 0.037 | 4.036 | 4.677 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.096 | 0.020 | 281 | 316 | 1.124 | 0.207 | 0.056 | 0.135 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.450 | 0.098 | 28 | 30 | 1.022 | 0.218 | 0.254 | 0.646 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.286 | 0.056 | 119 | 132 | 1.336 | 0.195 | 0.175 | 0.398 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.636 | 0.057 | 119 | 132 | 1.287 | 0.090 | 0.522 | 0.750 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.015 | 0.007 | 281 | 316 | 1.001 | 0.480 | 0.001 | 0.030 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.314 | 0.035 | 281 | 316 | 1.254 | 0.111 | 0.245 | 0.384 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.354 | 0.034 | 278 | 313 | 1.193 | 0.097 | 0.286 | 0.423 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.135 | 0.023 | 249 | 290 | 1.049 | 0.169 | 0.089 | 0.180 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.208 | 0.087 | 27 | 29 | 1.090 | 0.418 | 0.034 | 0.383 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.019 | 0.014 | 114 | 132 | 1.073 | 0.734 | 0.000 | 0.046 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.170 | 0.017 | 578 | 654 | 1.071 | 0.098 | 0.137 | 0.204 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.133 | 0.036 | 91 | 98 | 1.009 | 0.271 | 0.061 | 0.206 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.034 | 0.011 | 265 | 298 | 0.955 | 0.314 | 0.013 | 0.055 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.983 | 0.009 | 986 | 2,202 | 2.225 | 0.009 | 0.965 | 1.002 |
| No education | 0.020 | 0.004 | 986 | 2,202 | 0.998 | 0.220 | 0.011 | 0.029 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.892 | 0.016 | 986 | 2,202 | 1.593 | 0.018 | 0.861 | 0.924 |
| Never married/in union | 0.612 | 0.019 | 986 | 2,202 | 1.191 | 0.030 | 0.575 | 0.649 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.330 | 0.019 | 986 | 2,202 | 1.299 | 0.059 | 0.291 | 0.369 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.260 | 0.019 | 818 | 1,827 | 1.265 | 0.075 | 0.221 | 0.299 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.080 | 0.013 | 585 | 1,309 | 1.132 | 0.159 | 0.054 | 0.105 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.064 | 0.007 | 986 | 2,202 | 0.907 | 0.110 | 0.050 | 0.078 |
| Children ever born | 1.371 | 0.064 | 986 | 2,202 | 1.327 | 0.047 | 1.243 | 1.499 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 2.712 | 0.130 | 161 | 362 | 0.965 | 0.048 | 2.451 | 2.973 |
| Children surviving | 1.306 | 0.062 | 986 | 2,202 | 1.343 | 0.048 | 1.182 | 1.431 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.997 | 0.003 | 330 | 727 | 0.978 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 1.003 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.997 | 0.003 | 330 | 727 | 0.978 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 1.003 |
| Currently using any method | 0.610 | 0.030 | 330 | 727 | 1.124 | 0.050 | 0.550 | 0.671 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.605 | 0.030 | 330 | 727 | 1.110 | 0.050 | 0.545 | 0.665 |
| Currently using pill | 0.071 | 0.016 | 330 | 727 | 1.118 | 0.222 | 0.040 | 0.103 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.032 | 0.008 | 330 | 727 | 0.856 | 0.260 | 0.015 | 0.048 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.153 | 0.022 | 330 | 727 | 1.093 | 0.142 | 0.110 | 0.197 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.221 | 0.026 | 330 | 727 | 1.132 | 0.117 | 0.169 | 0.273 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.090 | 0.014 | 330 | 727 | 0.915 | 0.161 | 0.061 | 0.118 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 330 | 727 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using public sector source | 0.567 | 0.031 | 544 | 1,227 | 1.434 | 0.054 | 0.506 | 0.628 |
| Want no more children | 0.480 | 0.027 | 330 | 727 | 0.974 | 0.056 | 0.426 | 0.534 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.229 | 0.023 | 330 | 727 | 1.011 | 0.102 | 0.182 | 0.276 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.976 | 0.065 | 980 | 2,190 | 1.266 | 0.022 | 2.846 | 3.105 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.957 | 0.010 | 348 | 771 | 0.891 | 0.010 | 0.938 | 0.977 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.622 | 0.034 | 348 | 771 | 1.315 | 0.055 | 0.554 | 0.691 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.962 | 0.009 | 400 | 887 | 0.911 | 0.009 | 0.944 | 0.980 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.164 | 0.018 | 387 | 858 | 0.969 | 0.113 | 0.127 | 0.201 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.755 | 0.068 | 65 | 141 | 1.203 | 0.090 | 0.620 | 0.890 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.565 | 0.069 | 65 | 141 | 1.067 | 0.123 | 0.426 | 0.703 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.466 | 0.050 | 76 | 165 | 0.869 | 0.108 | 0.365 | 0.567 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.834 | 0.046 | 76 | 165 | 1.059 | 0.055 | 0.742 | 0.926 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.644 | 0.068 | 76 | 165 | 1.225 | 0.106 | 0.507 | 0.780 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.526 | 0.053 | 76 | 165 | 0.906 | 0.100 | 0.421 | 0.632 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.751 | 0.051 | 76 | 165 | 1.011 | 0.068 | 0.649 | 0.853 |
| Fully immunised | 0.396 | 0.050 | 76 | 165 | 0.886 | 0.128 | 0.295 | 0.497 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.128 | 0.032 | 123 | 265 | 1.019 | 0.249 | 0.064 | 0.192 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.035 | 0.014 | 123 | 265 | 0.873 | 0.402 | 0.007 | 0.063 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.091 | 0.026 | 123 | 265 | 1.035 | 0.281 | 0.040 | 0.142 |
| Anaemia children | 0.427 | 0.047 | 125 | 269 | 1.109 | 0.111 | 0.333 | 0.522 |
| Anaemia women | 0.158 | 0.017 | 397 | 889 | 0.945 | 0.109 | 0.124 | 0.193 |
| $\mathrm{BMI}<18.5$ | 0.097 | 0.020 | 376 | 838 | 1.281 | 0.202 | 0.058 | 0.136 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.037 | 0.006 | 986 | 2,202 | 1.071 | 0.174 | 0.024 | 0.050 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.770 | 0.067 | 34 | 82 | 0.919 | 0.087 | 0.635 | 0.904 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.338 | 0.033 | 351 | 787 | 1.290 | 0.097 | 0.272 | 0.403 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.586 | 0.028 | 351 | 787 | 1.049 | 0.047 | 0.530 | 0.641 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.477 | 0.018 | 986 | 2,202 | 1.130 | 0.038 | 0.441 | 0.513 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.267 | 0.014 | 982 | 2,195 | 1.008 | 0.053 | 0.238 | 0.295 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 2.601 | 0.193 | 2,859 | 6,389 | 1.351 | 0.074 | 2.215 | 2.987 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 11.849 | 3.959 | 739 | 1,649 | 0.923 | 0.334 | 3.930 | 19.768 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 14.914 | 3.851 | 735 | 1,640 | 0.856 | 0.258 | 7.211 | 22.616 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 26.762 | 5.987 | 741 | 1,652 | 0.971 | 0.224 | 14.788 | 38.736 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 14.991 | 4.606 | 710 | 1,595 | 0.974 | 0.307 | 5.779 | 24.204 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 41.352 | 6.360 | 742 | 1,652 | 0.803 | 0.154 | 28.632 | 54.072 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.122 | 0.023 | 372 | 940 | 1.374 | 0.192 | 0.075 | 0.169 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.139 | 0.054 | 42 | 93 | 1.001 | 0.389 | 0.031 | 0.247 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.028 | 0.014 | 151 | 385 | 1.031 | 0.496 | 0.000 | 0.056 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.981 | 0.003 | 415 | 1,023 | 0.445 | 0.003 | 0.975 | 0.987 |
| Literacy | 0.966 | 0.009 | 415 | 1,023 | 1.047 | 0.010 | 0.948 | 0.985 |
| No education | 0.041 | 0.011 | 415 | 1,023 | 1.139 | 0.271 | 0.019 | 0.063 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.827 | 0.028 | 415 | 1,023 | 1.512 | 0.034 | 0.771 | 0.884 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.667 | 0.027 | 415 | 1,023 | 1.167 | 0.041 | 0.613 | 0.721 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.300 | 0.027 | 415 | 1,023 | 1.179 | 0.088 | 0.247 | 0.354 |
| Want no more children | 0.454 | 0.044 | 129 | 307 | 0.998 | 0.097 | 0.367 | 0.542 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.185 | 0.037 | 129 | 307 | 1.068 | 0.198 | 0.112 | 0.258 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.155 | 0.177 | 412 | 1,016 | 1.463 | 0.056 | 2.801 | 3.509 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.105 | 0.019 | 415 | 1,023 | 1.276 | 0.183 | 0.067 | 0.144 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.778 | 0.066 | 43 | 108 | 1.032 | 0.085 | 0.646 | 0.911 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.252 | 0.039 | 136 | 341 | 1.046 | 0.155 | 0.174 | 0.331 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.598 | 0.047 | 136 | 341 | 1.122 | 0.079 | 0.503 | 0.693 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.011 | 0.005 | 415 | 1,023 | 1.073 | 0.501 | 0.000 | 0.022 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.470 | 0.026 | 415 | 1,023 | 1.074 | 0.056 | 0.417 | 0.523 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.218 | 0.025 | 412 | 1,015 | 1.211 | 0.113 | 0.169 | 0.268 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.116 | 0.028 | 300 | 927 | 1.492 | 0.239 | 0.061 | 0.171 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.087 | 0.055 | 24 | 80 | 0.943 | 0.634 | 0.000 | 0.198 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.018 | 0.012 | 104 | 334 | 0.952 | 0.698 | 0.000 | 0.042 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.119 | 0.020 | 672 | 1,868 | 1.565 | 0.165 | 0.080 | 0.158 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.115 | 0.037 | 66 | 172 | 0.935 | 0.321 | 0.041 | 0.189 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.023 | 0.011 | 255 | 719 | 1.145 | 0.466 | 0.002 | 0.045 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.425 | 0.043 | 584 | 258 | 2.090 | 0.101 | 0.339 | 0.511 |
| No education | 0.219 | 0.033 | 584 | 258 | 1.914 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 0.284 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.559 | 0.035 | 584 | 258 | 1.696 | 0.062 | 0.489 | 0.629 |
| Never married/in union | 0.534 | 0.029 | 584 | 258 | 1.398 | 0.054 | 0.477 | 0.592 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.418 | 0.027 | 584 | 258 | 1.341 | 0.066 | 0.363 | 0.472 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.528 | 0.026 | 494 | 218 | 1.156 | 0.049 | 0.476 | 0.580 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.166 | 0.031 | 386 | 170 | 1.653 | 0.189 | 0.103 | 0.229 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.085 | 0.013 | 584 | 258 | 1.090 | 0.148 | 0.060 | 0.111 |
| Children ever born | 2.514 | 0.103 | 584 | 258 | 1.139 | 0.041 | 2.307 | 2.720 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.612 | 0.254 | 107 | 46 | 1.148 | 0.055 | 4.104 | 5.119 |
| Children surviving | 2.409 | 0.095 | 584 | 258 | 1.090 | 0.040 | 2.219 | 2.600 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.996 | 0.003 | 254 | 108 | 0.703 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 1.002 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.996 | 0.003 | 254 | 108 | 0.703 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 1.002 |
| Currently using any method | 0.519 | 0.039 | 254 | 108 | 1.247 | 0.076 | 0.441 | 0.598 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.516 | 0.038 | 254 | 108 | 1.222 | 0.075 | 0.439 | 0.592 |
| Currently using pill | 0.075 | 0.019 | 254 | 108 | 1.121 | 0.248 | 0.038 | 0.112 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.005 | 0.005 | 254 | 108 | 1.086 | 0.981 | 0.000 | 0.014 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.149 | 0.032 | 254 | 108 | 1.409 | 0.212 | 0.085 | 0.212 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.274 | 0.032 | 254 | 108 | 1.153 | 0.118 | 0.209 | 0.338 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.010 | 0.007 | 254 | 108 | 1.105 | 0.707 | 0.000 | 0.023 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 254 | 108 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using public sector source | 0.849 | 0.025 | 297 | 134 | 1.194 | 0.029 | 0.799 | 0.899 |
| Want no more children | 0.613 | 0.036 | 254 | 108 | 1.171 | 0.059 | 0.541 | 0.685 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.207 | 0.030 | 254 | 108 | 1.164 | 0.144 | 0.147 | 0.266 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.542 | 0.155 | 575 | 254 | 1.524 | 0.044 | 3.232 | 3.853 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.952 | 0.014 | 313 | 133 | 1.155 | 0.015 | 0.923 | 0.980 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.770 | 0.031 | 313 | 133 | 1.301 | 0.041 | 0.707 | 0.833 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.740 | 0.030 | 430 | 179 | 1.164 | 0.041 | 0.680 | 0.800 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.124 | 0.021 | 408 | 170 | 1.184 | 0.168 | 0.082 | 0.166 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.594 | 0.070 | 50 | 21 | 0.939 | 0.118 | 0.454 | 0.734 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.531 | 0.079 | 50 | 21 | 1.034 | 0.149 | 0.373 | 0.689 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.529 | 0.047 | 80 | 32 | 0.813 | 0.090 | 0.434 | 0.624 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.916 | 0.034 | 80 | 32 | 1.060 | 0.037 | 0.847 | 0.985 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.787 | 0.060 | 80 | 32 | 1.244 | 0.076 | 0.667 | 0.906 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.607 | 0.062 | 80 | 32 | 1.088 | 0.102 | 0.482 | 0.731 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.882 | 0.043 | 80 | 32 | 1.154 | 0.049 | 0.796 | 0.969 |
| Fully immunised | 0.560 | 0.066 | 80 | 32 | 1.136 | 0.118 | 0.428 | 0.692 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.194 | 0.030 | 203 | 93 | 0.994 | 0.153 | 0.135 | 0.253 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.061 | 0.020 | 203 | 93 | 1.129 | 0.333 | 0.020 | 0.101 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.119 | 0.024 | 203 | 93 | 0.983 | 0.199 | 0.072 | 0.166 |
| Anaemia children | 0.612 | 0.042 | 193 | 89 | 1.121 | 0.068 | 0.529 | 0.695 |
| Anaemia women | 0.158 | 0.021 | 266 | 120 | 0.965 | 0.135 | 0.116 | 0.201 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.120 | 0.025 | 244 | 109 | 1.209 | 0.208 | 0.070 | 0.170 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.072 | 0.019 | 584 | 258 | 1.807 | 0.268 | 0.034 | 0.111 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.463 | 0.087 | 48 | 19 | 1.193 | 0.188 | 0.289 | 0.638 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.154 | 0.029 | 150 | 68 | 0.989 | 0.190 | 0.095 | 0.212 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.704 | 0.049 | 150 | 68 | 1.300 | 0.069 | 0.606 | 0.801 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.498 | 0.027 | 584 | 258 | 1.287 | 0.054 | 0.445 | 0.552 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.300 | 0.036 | 572 | 254 | 1.856 | 0.119 | 0.228 | 0.371 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.478 | 0.336 | 1,688 | 746 | 1.110 | 0.075 | 3.807 | 5.149 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 24.726 | 7.310 | 827 | 343 | 1.278 | 0.296 | 10.105 | 39.346 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 14.110 | 4.898 | 831 | 344 | 1.033 | 0.347 | 4.314 | 23.906 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 38.836 | 7.917 | 827 | 343 | 1.091 | 0.204 | 23.001 | 54.671 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 6.096 | 2.608 | 805 | 332 | 0.903 | 0.428 | 0.880 | 11.312 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 44.695 | 8.577 | 827 | 343 | 1.093 | 0.192 | 27.541 | 61.849 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.089 | 0.022 | 253 | 112 | 1.209 | 0.244 | 0.046 | 0.133 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.089 | 0.034 | 59 | 25 | 0.902 | 0.379 | 0.021 | 0.156 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.022 | 0.016 | 88 | 40 | 1.004 | 0.716 | 0.000 | 0.054 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.351 | 0.038 | 252 | 104 | 1.272 | 0.109 | 0.274 | 0.427 |
| Literacy | 0.712 | 0.038 | 252 | 104 | 1.325 | 0.053 | 0.636 | 0.788 |
| No education | 0.302 | 0.036 | 252 | 104 | 1.256 | 0.121 | 0.229 | 0.374 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.446 | 0.043 | 252 | 104 | 1.354 | 0.095 | 0.361 | 0.531 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.607 | 0.032 | 252 | 104 | 1.038 | 0.053 | 0.543 | 0.671 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.370 | 0.034 | 252 | 104 | 1.102 | 0.091 | 0.303 | 0.437 |
| Want no more children | 0.459 | 0.081 | 91 | 39 | 1.534 | 0.177 | 0.296 | 0.621 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.123 | 0.039 | 91 | 39 | 1.117 | 0.314 | 0.046 | 0.201 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.186 | 0.262 | 246 | 102 | 1.284 | 0.082 | 2.661 | 3.711 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.129 | 0.031 | 252 | 104 | 1.459 | 0.240 | 0.067 | 0.191 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.747 | 0.082 | 35 | 13 | 1.092 | 0.109 | 0.583 | 0.910 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.266 | 0.056 | 84 | 35 | 1.155 | 0.211 | 0.154 | 0.378 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.570 | 0.069 | 84 | 35 | 1.270 | 0.122 | 0.431 | 0.709 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.004 | 0.004 | 252 | 104 | 1.009 | 0.984 | 0.000 | 0.012 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.303 | 0.038 | 252 | 104 | 1.297 | 0.124 | 0.228 | 0.378 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.289 | 0.055 | 246 | 102 | 1.875 | 0.189 | 0.180 | 0.398 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.106 | 0.023 | 207 | 95 | 1.079 | 0.219 | 0.060 | 0.152 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.056 | 0.053 | 36 | 16 | 1.356 | 0.956 | 0.000 | 0.162 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.018 | 0.018 | 69 | 32 | 1.110 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.054 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.097 | 0.018 | 460 | 206 | 1.281 | 0.183 | 0.061 | 0.132 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.076 | 0.027 | 95 | 41 | 0.984 | 0.354 | 0.022 | 0.130 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.020 | 0.012 | 157 | 73 | 1.063 | 0.593 | 0.000 | 0.044 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative } \\ & \text { error } \\ & \text { (SE/R) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.162 | 0.032 | 695 | 894 | 2.284 | 0.198 | 0.098 | 0.226 |
| No education | 0.048 | 0.012 | 695 | 894 | 1.470 | 0.248 | 0.024 | 0.072 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.659 | 0.027 | 695 | 894 | 1.500 | 0.041 | 0.605 | 0.713 |
| Never married/in union | 0.736 | 0.021 | 695 | 894 | 1.278 | 0.029 | 0.693 | 0.779 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.206 | 0.019 | 695 | 894 | 1.246 | 0.093 | 0.168 | 0.244 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.385 | 0.031 | 504 | 649 | 1.426 | 0.080 | 0.323 | 0.447 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.067 | 0.012 | 383 | 494 | 0.974 | 0.187 | 0.042 | 0.092 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.098 | 0.011 | 695 | 894 | 1.007 | 0.116 | 0.075 | 0.121 |
| Children ever born | 2.297 | 0.120 | 695 | 894 | 1.270 | 0.052 | 2.057 | 2.536 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.213 | 0.246 | 123 | 156 | 1.024 | 0.047 | 4.722 | 5.705 |
| Children surviving | 2.095 | 0.107 | 695 | 894 | 1.243 | 0.051 | 1.882 | 2.309 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.993 | 0.007 | 145 | 184 | 1.029 | 0.007 | 0.978 | 1.007 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 0.993 | 0.007 | 145 | 184 | 1.029 | 0.007 | 0.978 | 1.007 |
| Currently using any method | 0.503 | 0.042 | 145 | 184 | 0.999 | 0.083 | 0.419 | 0.586 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.503 | 0.042 | 145 | 184 | 0.999 | 0.083 | 0.419 | 0.586 |
| Currently using pill | 0.055 | 0.017 | 145 | 184 | 0.875 | 0.301 | 0.022 | 0.089 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.000 | 0.000 | 145 | 184 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.181 | 0.030 | 145 | 184 | 0.943 | 0.167 | 0.120 | 0.241 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.221 | 0.034 | 145 | 184 | 0.992 | 0.155 | 0.153 | 0.290 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.034 | 0.014 | 145 | 184 | 0.958 | 0.426 | 0.005 | 0.063 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 145 | 184 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using public sector source | 0.808 | 0.027 | 271 | 349 | 1.122 | 0.033 | 0.754 | 0.862 |
| Want no more children | 0.568 | 0.040 | 145 | 184 | 0.970 | 0.071 | 0.488 | 0.648 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.181 | 0.030 | 145 | 184 | 0.925 | 0.164 | 0.121 | 0.240 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.105 | 0.115 | 660 | 851 | 1.132 | 0.028 | 3.875 | 4.336 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.981 | 0.007 | 341 | 440 | 0.970 | 0.007 | 0.966 | 0.995 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.603 | 0.032 | 341 | 440 | 1.213 | 0.053 | 0.539 | 0.667 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.859 | 0.025 | 467 | 598 | 1.401 | 0.029 | 0.809 | 0.910 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.150 | 0.017 | 438 | 561 | 1.018 | 0.114 | 0.116 | 0.184 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.665 | 0.059 | 66 | 84 | 0.987 | 0.089 | 0.547 | 0.784 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.677 | 0.059 | 66 | 84 | 1.008 | 0.087 | 0.559 | 0.795 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.741 | 0.054 | 94 | 123 | 1.235 | 0.073 | 0.632 | 0.850 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.970 | 0.017 | 94 | 123 | 0.985 | 0.018 | 0.935 | 1.004 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.926 | 0.027 | 94 | 123 | 0.998 | 0.029 | 0.873 | 0.980 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.791 | 0.040 | 94 | 123 | 0.972 | 0.050 | 0.711 | 0.870 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.957 | 0.020 | 94 | 123 | 0.941 | 0.020 | 0.918 | 0.996 |
| Fully immunised | 0.747 | 0.054 | 94 | 123 | 1.238 | 0.072 | 0.639 | 0.856 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.365 | 0.034 | 261 | 371 | 1.096 | 0.092 | 0.298 | 0.433 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.054 | 0.013 | 261 | 371 | 0.963 | 0.240 | 0.028 | 0.081 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.163 | 0.025 | 261 | 371 | 1.137 | 0.154 | 0.113 | 0.213 |
| Anaemia children | 0.351 | 0.029 | 255 | 360 | 0.889 | 0.084 | 0.292 | 0.410 |
| Anaemia women | 0.165 | 0.020 | 365 | 469 | 1.054 | 0.124 | 0.124 | 0.205 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.236 | 0.029 | 323 | 414 | 1.208 | 0.121 | 0.179 | 0.293 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.008 | 0.003 | 695 | 894 | 0.968 | 0.402 | 0.002 | 0.015 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.435 | 0.311 | 5 | 7 | 1.189 | 0.714 | 0.000 | 1.058 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.435 | 0.030 | 304 | 390 | 1.053 | 0.069 | 0.375 | 0.495 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.437 | 0.029 | 304 | 390 | 1.032 | 0.067 | 0.378 | 0.496 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.531 | 0.020 | 695 | 894 | 1.064 | 0.038 | 0.491 | 0.572 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.312 | 0.019 | 693 | 892 | 1.077 | 0.061 | 0.274 | 0.350 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 5.311 | 0.310 | 1,899 | 2,444 | 1.145 | 0.058 | 4.692 | 5.931 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 21.839 | 6.797 | 847 | 1,071 | 1.210 | 0.311 | 8.245 | 35.433 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 31.196 | 4.439 | 844 | 1,068 | 0.760 | 0.142 | 22.319 | 40.074 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 53.035 | 8.135 | 849 | 1,074 | 1.020 | 0.153 | 36.766 | 69.305 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 27.941 | 8.643 | 809 | 1,024 | 1.144 | 0.309 | 10.656 | 45.226 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 79.494 | 11.107 | 855 | 1,082 | 1.090 | 0.140 | 57.281 | 101.707 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.221 | 0.026 | 365 | 420 | 1.175 | 0.116 | 0.170 | 0.272 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.139 | 0.038 | 61 | 68 | 0.853 | 0.273 | 0.063 | 0.215 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.027 | 0.012 | 164 | 189 | 0.927 | 0.433 | 0.004 | 0.051 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.195 | 0.032 | 255 | 328 | 1.297 | 0.165 | 0.131 | 0.260 |
| Literacy | 0.826 | 0.026 | 255 | 328 | 1.072 | 0.031 | 0.775 | 0.877 |
| No education | 0.136 | 0.027 | 255 | 328 | 1.256 | 0.199 | 0.082 | 0.190 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.568 | 0.039 | 255 | 328 | 1.252 | 0.069 | 0.490 | 0.645 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.858 | 0.026 | 255 | 328 | 1.178 | 0.030 | 0.806 | 0.909 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.128 | 0.025 | 255 | 328 | 1.170 | 0.191 | 0.079 | 0.178 |
| Want no more children | 0.452 | 0.098 | 31 | 42 | 1.071 | 0.216 | 0.257 | 0.647 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.138 | 0.066 | 31 | 42 | 1.056 | 0.484 | 0.005 | 0.270 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.885 | 0.256 | 254 | 327 | 1.201 | 0.052 | 4.372 | 5.397 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.119 | 0.020 | 255 | 328 | 0.977 | 0.167 | 0.079 | 0.158 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.827 | 0.078 | 30 | 39 | 1.109 | 0.095 | 0.670 | 0.983 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.410 | 0.048 | 148 | 188 | 1.180 | 0.117 | 0.314 | 0.505 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.464 | 0.048 | 148 | 188 | 1.160 | 0.103 | 0.369 | 0.560 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.007 | 0.005 | 255 | 328 | 0.899 | 0.668 | 0.000 | 0.017 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.360 | 0.034 | 255 | 328 | 1.114 | 0.093 | 0.293 | 0.427 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.324 | 0.038 | 254 | 327 | 1.301 | 0.118 | 0.247 | 0.400 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.066 | 0.015 | 236 | 304 | 0.957 | 0.236 | 0.035 | 0.097 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.307 | 0.101 | 23 | 27 | 1.029 | 0.330 | 0.104 | 0.509 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 137 | 174 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.156 | 0.016 | 601 | 724 | 1.086 | 0.103 | 0.123 | 0.188 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.186 | 0.042 | 84 | 95 | 0.981 | 0.225 | 0.102 | 0.270 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.014 | 0.006 | 301 | 363 | 0.869 | 0.417 | 0.002 | 0.026 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.447 | 0.043 | 535 | 225 | 2.015 | 0.097 | 0.360 | 0.534 |
| No education | 0.172 | 0.024 | 535 | 225 | 1.465 | 0.139 | 0.124 | 0.220 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.590 | 0.030 | 535 | 225 | 1.407 | 0.051 | 0.530 | 0.649 |
| Never married/in union | 0.453 | 0.031 | 535 | 225 | 1.431 | 0.068 | 0.391 | 0.515 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.487 | 0.035 | 535 | 225 | 1.615 | 0.072 | 0.417 | 0.557 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.487 | 0.027 | 440 | 185 | 1.121 | 0.055 | 0.434 | 0.541 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.192 | 0.020 | 358 | 152 | 0.938 | 0.102 | 0.153 | 0.231 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.086 | 0.014 | 535 | 225 | 1.174 | 0.165 | 0.058 | 0.115 |
| Children ever born | 2.486 | 0.111 | 535 | 225 | 1.225 | 0.045 | 2.265 | 2.707 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.222 | 0.270 | 121 | 53 | 1.438 | 0.064 | 3.682 | 4.761 |
| Children surviving | 2.340 | 0.100 | 535 | 225 | 1.169 | 0.043 | 2.140 | 2.540 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 267 | 110 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 267 | 110 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.570 | 0.030 | 267 | 110 | 0.992 | 0.053 | 0.509 | 0.630 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.570 | 0.030 | 267 | 110 | 0.992 | 0.053 | 0.509 | 0.630 |
| Currently using pill | 0.068 | 0.015 | 267 | 110 | 0.981 | 0.223 | 0.037 | 0.098 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.003 | 0.003 | 267 | 110 | 0.946 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.121 | 0.027 | 267 | 110 | 1.337 | 0.222 | 0.067 | 0.174 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.256 | 0.033 | 267 | 110 | 1.238 | 0.129 | 0.190 | 0.323 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.091 | 0.024 | 267 | 110 | 1.378 | 0.267 | 0.043 | 0.140 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 267 | 110 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using public sector source | 0.866 | 0.033 | 296 | 123 | 1.660 | 0.038 | 0.800 | 0.932 |
| Want no more children | 0.664 | 0.027 | 267 | 110 | 0.940 | 0.041 | 0.609 | 0.718 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.135 | 0.026 | 267 | 110 | 1.215 | 0.188 | 0.084 | 0.186 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.054 | 0.102 | 531 | 224 | 1.306 | 0.034 | 2.849 | 3.258 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.888 | 0.024 | 256 | 107 | 1.218 | 0.027 | 0.840 | 0.936 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.741 | 0.029 | 256 | 107 | 1.060 | 0.039 | 0.683 | 0.800 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.762 | 0.036 | 348 | 149 | 1.348 | 0.047 | 0.691 | 0.833 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.147 | 0.017 | 333 | 143 | 0.903 | 0.119 | 0.112 | 0.182 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.823 | 0.067 | 50 | 21 | 1.263 | 0.081 | 0.689 | 0.957 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.534 | 0.079 | 50 | 21 | 1.094 | 0.148 | 0.376 | 0.691 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.693 | 0.060 | 68 | 27 | 1.049 | 0.087 | 0.573 | 0.813 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.944 | 0.026 | 68 | 27 | 0.922 | 0.028 | 0.892 | 0.997 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.879 | 0.047 | 68 | 27 | 1.170 | 0.054 | 0.785 | 0.974 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.782 | 0.056 | 68 | 27 | 1.101 | 0.072 | 0.669 | 0.894 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.873 | 0.046 | 68 | 27 | 1.113 | 0.053 | 0.780 | 0.965 |
| Fully immunised | 0.738 | 0.060 | 68 | 27 | 1.109 | 0.082 | 0.617 | 0.859 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.269 | 0.038 | 154 | 73 | 0.993 | 0.142 | 0.192 | 0.345 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.104 | 0.027 | 154 | 73 | 1.096 | 0.263 | 0.049 | 0.159 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.181 | 0.035 | 154 | 73 | 1.146 | 0.195 | 0.110 | 0.251 |
| Anaemia children | 0.377 | 0.033 | 165 | 79 | 0.831 | 0.087 | 0.312 | 0.443 |
| Anaemia women | 0.206 | 0.032 | 259 | 114 | 1.296 | 0.155 | 0.142 | 0.270 |
| $\mathrm{BMI}<18.5$ | 0.137 | 0.021 | 235 | 103 | 0.941 | 0.151 | 0.095 | 0.178 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.052 | 0.009 | 535 | 225 | 0.966 | 0.178 | 0.034 | 0.071 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.628 | 0.125 | 27 | 12 | 1.301 | 0.199 | 0.378 | 0.878 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.330 | 0.048 | 122 | 51 | 1.113 | 0.144 | 0.235 | 0.425 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.545 | 0.060 | 122 | 51 | 1.311 | 0.109 | 0.426 | 0.664 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.503 | 0.028 | 535 | 225 | 1.302 | 0.056 | 0.447 | 0.560 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.194 | 0.023 | 529 | 222 | 1.350 | 0.120 | 0.147 | 0.240 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.620 | 0.359 | 1,529 | 644 | 1.476 | 0.078 | 3.901 | 5.338 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 29.582 | 7.450 | 664 | 282 | 0.935 | 0.252 | 14.682 | 44.482 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 11.708 | 4.958 | 658 | 279 | 1.177 | 0.423 | 1.793 | 21.623 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 41.290 | 7.412 | 664 | 282 | 0.843 | 0.180 | 26.466 | 56.114 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 5.051 | 2.462 | 646 | 274 | 0.828 | 0.488 | 0.126 | 9.975 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 46.132 | 7.666 | 664 | 282 | 0.836 | 0.166 | 30.799 | 61.465 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.069 | 0.016 | 245 | 104 | 1.004 | 0.236 | 0.037 | 0.102 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.073 | 0.029 | 57 | 22 | 0.848 | 0.403 | 0.014 | 0.132 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.027 | 0.015 | 87 | 36 | 0.870 | 0.557 | 0.000 | 0.058 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.352 | 0.032 | 256 | 103 | 1.081 | 0.092 | 0.287 | 0.416 |
| Literacy | 0.711 | 0.037 | 256 | 103 | 1.292 | 0.052 | 0.638 | 0.785 |
| No education | 0.192 | 0.028 | 256 | 103 | 1.148 | 0.148 | 0.135 | 0.248 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.489 | 0.045 | 256 | 103 | 1.450 | 0.093 | 0.398 | 0.580 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.559 | 0.039 | 256 | 103 | 1.252 | 0.070 | 0.481 | 0.637 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.357 | 0.034 | 256 | 103 | 1.136 | 0.096 | 0.289 | 0.425 |
| Want no more children | 0.585 | 0.064 | 91 | 37 | 1.223 | 0.109 | 0.458 | 0.713 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.107 | 0.031 | 91 | 37 | 0.953 | 0.290 | 0.045 | 0.169 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.556 | 0.223 | 254 | 103 | 0.998 | 0.063 | 3.110 | 4.003 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.056 | 0.018 | 256 | 103 | 1.247 | 0.322 | 0.020 | 0.092 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.439 | 0.197 | 14 | 6 | 1.378 | 0.448 | 0.046 | 0.832 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.268 | 0.063 | 79 | 31 | 1.245 | 0.234 | 0.143 | 0.393 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.647 | 0.074 | 79 | 31 | 1.352 | 0.114 | 0.500 | 0.794 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.000 | 0.000 | 256 | 103 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.441 | 0.039 | 256 | 103 | 1.244 | 0.088 | 0.363 | 0.518 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.130 | 0.020 | 253 | 102 | 0.942 | 0.154 | 0.090 | 0.170 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.077 | 0.019 | 229 | 96 | 1.056 | 0.242 | 0.040 | 0.115 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.090 | 0.040 | 54 | 25 | 1.008 | 0.441 | 0.011 | 0.169 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.039 | 0.021 | 86 | 36 | 0.995 | 0.538 | 0.000 | 0.080 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.073 | 0.013 | 474 | 199 | 1.120 | 0.184 | 0.046 | 0.100 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.082 | 0.024 | 111 | 48 | 0.908 | 0.289 | 0.034 | 0.129 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.033 | 0.012 | 173 | 72 | 0.914 | 0.377 | 0.008 | 0.058 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.062 | 0.022 | 725 | 884 | 2.490 | 0.362 | 0.017 | 0.107 |
| No education | 0.044 | 0.013 | 725 | 884 | 1.660 | 0.287 | 0.019 | 0.070 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.710 | 0.022 | 725 | 884 | 1.326 | 0.031 | 0.666 | 0.755 |
| Never married/in union | 0.747 | 0.018 | 725 | 884 | 1.111 | 0.024 | 0.711 | 0.783 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.211 | 0.019 | 725 | 884 | 1.240 | 0.089 | 0.174 | 0.249 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.266 | 0.025 | 524 | 632 | 1.293 | 0.094 | 0.216 | 0.316 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.075 | 0.015 | 413 | 496 | 1.161 | 0.202 | 0.045 | 0.105 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.063 | 0.008 | 725 | 884 | 0.835 | 0.120 | 0.048 | 0.078 |
| Children ever born | 1.841 | 0.094 | 725 | 884 | 1.200 | 0.051 | 1.653 | 2.029 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 3.579 | 0.241 | 148 | 176 | 1.174 | 0.067 | 3.098 | 4.061 |
| Children surviving | 1.740 | 0.092 | 725 | 884 | 1.221 | 0.053 | 1.557 | 1.923 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 157 | 187 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 157 | 187 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.430 | 0.050 | 157 | 187 | 1.270 | 0.117 | 0.329 | 0.531 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.423 | 0.048 | 157 | 187 | 1.202 | 0.112 | 0.328 | 0.519 |
| Currently using pill | 0.029 | 0.014 | 157 | 187 | 1.070 | 0.496 | 0.000 | 0.058 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.014 | 0.010 | 157 | 187 | 1.079 | 0.718 | 0.000 | 0.035 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.151 | 0.029 | 157 | 187 | 1.015 | 0.193 | 0.092 | 0.209 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.201 | 0.029 | 157 | 187 | 0.913 | 0.146 | 0.143 | 0.260 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.023 | 0.011 | 157 | 187 | 0.908 | 0.478 | 0.001 | 0.044 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.007 | 0.006 | 157 | 187 | 0.991 | 0.978 | 0.000 | 0.019 |
| Using public sector source | 0.832 | 0.028 | 273 | 328 | 1.214 | 0.033 | 0.777 | 0.887 |
| Want no more children | 0.528 | 0.039 | 157 | 187 | 0.988 | 0.075 | 0.449 | 0.607 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.122 | 0.028 | 157 | 187 | 1.084 | 0.233 | 0.065 | 0.179 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.298 | 0.078 | 717 | 873 | 0.984 | 0.024 | 3.142 | 3.454 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.992 | 0.005 | 287 | 350 | 1.008 | 0.005 | 0.982 | 1.003 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.640 | 0.050 | 287 | 350 | 1.756 | 0.078 | 0.540 | 0.739 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.870 | 0.023 | 372 | 454 | 1.182 | 0.026 | 0.825 | 0.915 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.192 | 0.022 | 361 | 440 | 1.006 | 0.114 | 0.148 | 0.236 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.671 | 0.068 | 69 | 85 | 1.167 | 0.102 | 0.534 | 0.807 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.702 | 0.060 | 69 | 85 | 1.102 | 0.085 | 0.583 | 0.822 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.904 | 0.035 | 72 | 89 | 1.017 | 0.039 | 0.834 | 0.974 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.988 | 0.012 | 72 | 89 | 0.940 | 0.012 | 0.963 | 1.012 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.930 | 0.033 | 72 | 89 | 1.103 | 0.035 | 0.864 | 0.996 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.918 | 0.035 | 72 | 89 | 1.074 | 0.038 | 0.848 | 0.987 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.917 | 0.037 | 72 | 89 | 1.139 | 0.040 | 0.844 | 0.991 |
| Fully immunised | 0.847 | 0.045 | 72 | 89 | 1.064 | 0.053 | 0.758 | 0.937 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.242 | 0.029 | 206 | 283 | 0.983 | 0.119 | 0.185 | 0.300 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.060 | 0.018 | 206 | 283 | 1.045 | 0.305 | 0.024 | 0.097 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.146 | 0.031 | 206 | 283 | 1.275 | 0.216 | 0.083 | 0.209 |
| Anaemia children | 0.467 | 0.040 | 218 | 295 | 1.162 | 0.085 | 0.388 | 0.547 |
| Anaemia women | 0.254 | 0.026 | 337 | 409 | 1.107 | 0.104 | 0.201 | 0.306 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.186 | 0.023 | 318 | 386 | 1.032 | 0.121 | 0.141 | 0.231 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.005 | 0.003 | 725 | 884 | 1.036 | 0.559 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| Condom use at last sex | 1.000 | 0.000 | 3 | 4 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.540 | 0.035 | 306 | 381 | 1.236 | 0.065 | 0.470 | 0.611 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.407 | 0.032 | 306 | 381 | 1.152 | 0.080 | 0.342 | 0.472 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.463 | 0.022 | 725 | 884 | 1.171 | 0.047 | 0.419 | 0.506 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.435 | 0.028 | 723 | 881 | 1.509 | 0.064 | 0.379 | 0.491 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.165 | 0.219 | 1,977 | 2,403 | 0.970 | 0.053 | 3.727 | 4.603 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 10.717 | 3.658 | 678 | 823 | 0.940 | 0.341 | 3.402 | 18.033 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 19.669 | 6.121 | 673 | 818 | 1.123 | 0.311 | 7.428 | 31.910 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 30.386 | 6.420 | 678 | 823 | 0.984 | 0.211 | 17.545 | 43.227 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 14.942 | 5.191 | 640 | 775 | 1.057 | 0.347 | 4.560 | 25.325 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 44.874 | 7.193 | 681 | 827 | 0.917 | 0.160 | 30.488 | 59.261 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.219 | 0.016 | 338 | 380 | 0.717 | 0.074 | 0.187 | 0.251 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.207 | 0.041 | 96 | 113 | 0.988 | 0.198 | 0.125 | 0.289 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.038 | 0.019 | 138 | 158 | 1.170 | 0.505 | 0.000 | 0.076 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.052 | 0.021 | 262 | 342 | 1.521 | 0.403 | 0.010 | 0.094 |
| Literacy | 0.946 | 0.016 | 262 | 342 | 1.124 | 0.017 | 0.915 | 0.978 |
| No education | 0.035 | 0.010 | 262 | 342 | 0.861 | 0.280 | 0.015 | 0.054 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.552 | 0.045 | 262 | 342 | 1.461 | 0.082 | 0.462 | 0.642 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.865 | 0.023 | 262 | 342 | 1.097 | 0.027 | 0.818 | 0.911 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.131 | 0.023 | 262 | 342 | 1.117 | 0.178 | 0.085 | 0.178 |
| Want no more children | 0.380 | 0.096 | 35 | 45 | 1.147 | 0.252 | 0.189 | 0.572 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.160 | 0.060 | 35 | 45 | 0.951 | 0.373 | 0.041 | 0.280 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.535 | 0.301 | 259 | 339 | 1.297 | 0.066 | 3.934 | 5.137 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.119 | 0.024 | 262 | 342 | 1.196 | 0.202 | 0.071 | 0.167 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.814 | 0.080 | 31 | 41 | 1.118 | 0.098 | 0.654 | 0.973 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.564 | 0.044 | 171 | 223 | 1.145 | 0.077 | 0.477 | 0.652 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.379 | 0.041 | 171 | 223 | 1.099 | 0.108 | 0.298 | 0.461 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.000 | 0.000 | 262 | 342 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.262 | 0.026 | 262 | 342 | 0.967 | 0.100 | 0.210 | 0.315 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.286 | 0.034 | 260 | 339 | 1.203 | 0.118 | 0.218 | 0.353 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.121 | 0.024 | 243 | 316 | 1.132 | 0.197 | 0.073 | 0.168 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.236 | 0.088 | 35 | 45 | 1.195 | 0.372 | 0.061 | 0.411 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.036 | 0.014 | 162 | 209 | 0.960 | 0.393 | 0.008 | 0.064 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.174 | 0.014 | 581 | 695 | 0.903 | 0.082 | 0.146 | 0.203 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.215 | 0.039 | 131 | 158 | 1.072 | 0.180 | 0.138 | 0.293 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.037 | 0.010 | 300 | 367 | 0.947 | 0.281 | 0.016 | 0.057 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.591 | 0.024 | 671 | 755 | 1.262 | 0.041 | 0.543 | 0.639 |
| No education | 0.009 | 0.004 | 671 | 755 | 0.965 | 0.382 | 0.002 | 0.017 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.875 | 0.014 | 671 | 755 | 1.068 | 0.016 | 0.848 | 0.902 |
| Never married/in union | 0.742 | 0.016 | 671 | 755 | 0.971 | 0.022 | 0.709 | 0.775 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.217 | 0.017 | 671 | 755 | 1.099 | 0.081 | 0.182 | 0.252 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.234 | 0.018 | 529 | 601 | 0.980 | 0.077 | 0.197 | 0.270 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.053 | 0.010 | 405 | 459 | 0.898 | 0.188 | 0.033 | 0.074 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.062 | 0.009 | 671 | 755 | 0.984 | 0.148 | 0.043 | 0.080 |
| Children ever born | 1.509 | 0.061 | 671 | 755 | 0.933 | 0.041 | 1.386 | 1.632 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 3.110 | 0.186 | 117 | 129 | 0.972 | 0.060 | 2.739 | 3.481 |
| Children surviving | 1.421 | 0.059 | 671 | 755 | 0.959 | 0.042 | 1.303 | 1.539 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 143 | 164 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 143 | 164 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.693 | 0.041 | 143 | 164 | 1.064 | 0.060 | 0.610 | 0.775 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.681 | 0.044 | 143 | 164 | 1.116 | 0.064 | 0.594 | 0.769 |
| Currently using pill | 0.098 | 0.026 | 143 | 164 | 1.022 | 0.260 | 0.047 | 0.149 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.000 | 0.000 | 143 | 164 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.252 | 0.034 | 143 | 164 | 0.930 | 0.134 | 0.184 | 0.320 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.210 | 0.036 | 143 | 164 | 1.057 | 0.172 | 0.138 | 0.283 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.103 | 0.033 | 143 | 164 | 1.280 | 0.319 | 0.037 | 0.168 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.006 | 0.006 | 143 | 164 | 0.912 | 1.023 | 0.000 | 0.017 |
| Using public sector source | 0.687 | 0.036 | 378 | 434 | 1.494 | 0.052 | 0.615 | 0.758 |
| Want no more children | 0.557 | 0.045 | 143 | 164 | 1.070 | 0.080 | 0.468 | 0.646 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.207 | 0.045 | 143 | 164 | 1.308 | 0.215 | 0.118 | 0.296 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.240 | 0.051 | 668 | 752 | 0.897 | 0.016 | 3.138 | 3.342 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.987 | 0.008 | 234 | 261 | 1.039 | 0.008 | 0.971 | 1.002 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.571 | 0.030 | 234 | 261 | 0.918 | 0.052 | 0.511 | 0.631 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.948 | 0.016 | 279 | 310 | 0.998 | 0.017 | 0.916 | 0.981 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.102 | 0.023 | 270 | 300 | 1.260 | 0.224 | 0.056 | 0.148 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.783 | 0.081 | 29 | 31 | 1.024 | 0.103 | 0.621 | 0.945 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.640 | 0.100 | 29 | 31 | 1.089 | 0.156 | 0.440 | 0.841 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.633 | 0.072 | 55 | 60 | 1.053 | 0.114 | 0.489 | 0.778 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.942 | 0.034 | 55 | 60 | 1.069 | 0.037 | 0.873 | 1.010 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.809 | 0.058 | 55 | 60 | 1.073 | 0.072 | 0.693 | 0.926 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.665 | 0.068 | 55 | 60 | 1.007 | 0.102 | 0.529 | 0.801 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.898 | 0.045 | 55 | 60 | 1.086 | 0.050 | 0.808 | 0.988 |
| Fully immunised | 0.622 | 0.067 | 55 | 60 | 0.966 | 0.107 | 0.489 | 0.755 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.198 | 0.042 | 142 | 169 | 1.155 | 0.210 | 0.115 | 0.281 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.045 | 0.022 | 142 | 169 | 1.241 | 0.486 | 0.001 | 0.088 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.082 | 0.025 | 142 | 169 | 1.043 | 0.312 | 0.031 | 0.132 |
| Anaemia children | 0.421 | 0.046 | 137 | 165 | 1.067 | 0.110 | 0.329 | 0.513 |
| Anaemia women | 0.208 | 0.020 | 338 | 382 | 0.895 | 0.095 | 0.169 | 0.248 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.145 | 0.024 | 309 | 349 | 1.221 | 0.168 | 0.096 | 0.194 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.009 | 0.005 | 671 | 755 | 1.327 | 0.533 | 0.000 | 0.019 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.852 | 0.104 | 6 | 7 | 0.691 | 0.123 | 0.643 | 1.061 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.414 | 0.031 | 260 | 289 | 1.006 | 0.074 | 0.353 | 0.476 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.494 | 0.034 | 260 | 289 | 1.084 | 0.068 | 0.426 | 0.561 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.514 | 0.018 | 671 | 755 | 0.922 | 0.035 | 0.478 | 0.549 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.358 | 0.029 | 669 | 753 | 1.577 | 0.082 | 0.300 | 0.417 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 2.718 | 0.174 | 1,897 | 2,144 | 0.928 | 0.064 | 2.370 | 3.066 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 13.443 | 4.712 | 509 | 571 | 0.941 | 0.351 | 4.019 | 22.866 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 23.603 | 7.910 | 506 | 567 | 1.036 | 0.335 | 7.783 | 39.423 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 37.046 | 9.212 | 509 | 571 | 0.917 | 0.249 | 18.622 | 55.470 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 9.591 | 4.368 | 494 | 557 | 0.998 | 0.455 | 0.855 | 18.327 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 46.282 | 10.798 | 509 | 571 | 0.978 | 0.233 | 24.686 | 67.878 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.203 | 0.023 | 332 | 352 | 1.033 | 0.113 | 0.157 | 0.248 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.328 | 0.052 | 57 | 57 | 0.833 | 0.159 | 0.224 | 0.432 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.059 | 0.024 | 136 | 145 | 1.195 | 0.412 | 0.010 | 0.107 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.514 | 0.034 | 274 | 335 | 1.110 | 0.065 | 0.447 | 0.582 |
| Literacy | 0.958 | 0.018 | 274 | 335 | 1.453 | 0.018 | 0.923 | 0.994 |
| No education | 0.021 | 0.014 | 274 | 335 | 1.655 | 0.684 | 0.000 | 0.050 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.741 | 0.037 | 274 | 335 | 1.398 | 0.050 | 0.666 | 0.815 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.834 | 0.023 | 274 | 335 | 1.026 | 0.028 | 0.788 | 0.880 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.151 | 0.023 | 274 | 335 | 1.057 | 0.152 | 0.105 | 0.197 |
| Want no more children | 0.370 | 0.093 | 40 | 50 | 1.196 | 0.251 | 0.184 | 0.556 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.280 | 0.068 | 40 | 50 | 0.940 | 0.241 | 0.145 | 0.415 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.873 | 0.146 | 274 | 335 | 0.952 | 0.038 | 3.581 | 4.165 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.156 | 0.023 | 274 | 335 | 1.062 | 0.150 | 0.109 | 0.202 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.799 | 0.061 | 44 | 52 | 1.002 | 0.077 | 0.676 | 0.921 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.359 | 0.044 | 144 | 172 | 1.087 | 0.122 | 0.272 | 0.446 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.535 | 0.039 | 144 | 172 | 0.929 | 0.072 | 0.457 | 0.612 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.006 | 0.004 | 274 | 335 | 0.934 | 0.719 | 0.000 | 0.015 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.389 | 0.034 | 274 | 335 | 1.167 | 0.088 | 0.321 | 0.458 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.414 | 0.044 | 274 | 335 | 1.486 | 0.107 | 0.325 | 0.503 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.113 | 0.022 | 244 | 308 | 1.068 | 0.192 | 0.070 | 0.156 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.149 | 0.086 | 20 | 25 | 1.045 | 0.574 | 0.000 | 0.320 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.012 | 0.008 | 128 | 158 | 0.849 | 0.691 | 0.000 | 0.028 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.161 | 0.017 | 576 | 660 | 1.092 | 0.104 | 0.127 | 0.194 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.273 | 0.044 | 77 | 82 | 0.866 | 0.162 | 0.185 | 0.362 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.034 | 0.013 | 264 | 303 | 1.136 | 0.372 | 0.009 | 0.060 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.203 | 0.023 | 656 | 707 | 1.455 | 0.113 | 0.157 | 0.248 |
| No education | 0.052 | 0.014 | 656 | 707 | 1.590 | 0.267 | 0.024 | 0.079 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.745 | 0.036 | 656 | 707 | 2.118 | 0.049 | 0.672 | 0.817 |
| Never married/in union | 0.657 | 0.029 | 656 | 707 | 1.543 | 0.044 | 0.599 | 0.714 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.294 | 0.027 | 656 | 707 | 1.509 | 0.091 | 0.241 | 0.348 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.341 | 0.029 | 490 | 530 | 1.368 | 0.086 | 0.282 | 0.400 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.107 | 0.021 | 380 | 409 | 1.325 | 0.197 | 0.065 | 0.149 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.057 | 0.008 | 656 | 707 | 0.909 | 0.144 | 0.041 | 0.074 |
| Children ever born | 1.924 | 0.125 | 656 | 707 | 1.505 | 0.065 | 1.674 | 2.175 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 3.959 | 0.341 | 113 | 123 | 1.408 | 0.086 | 3.276 | 4.642 |
| Children surviving | 1.776 | 0.110 | 656 | 707 | 1.425 | 0.062 | 1.556 | 1.997 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 191 | 208 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 191 | 208 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.538 | 0.035 | 191 | 208 | 0.958 | 0.064 | 0.468 | 0.607 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.538 | 0.035 | 191 | 208 | 0.958 | 0.064 | 0.468 | 0.607 |
| Currently using pill | 0.083 | 0.017 | 191 | 208 | 0.852 | 0.205 | 0.049 | 0.118 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.020 | 0.010 | 191 | 208 | 0.984 | 0.495 | 0.000 | 0.041 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.168 | 0.029 | 191 | 208 | 1.065 | 0.172 | 0.110 | 0.225 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.204 | 0.030 | 191 | 208 | 1.026 | 0.147 | 0.144 | 0.264 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.041 | 0.013 | 191 | 208 | 0.875 | 0.306 | 0.016 | 0.066 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 191 | 208 | na | na | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using public sector source | 0.699 | 0.039 | 318 | 347 | 1.500 | 0.055 | 0.621 | 0.776 |
| Want no more children | 0.596 | 0.039 | 191 | 208 | 1.093 | 0.065 | 0.518 | 0.674 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.201 | 0.027 | 191 | 208 | 0.921 | 0.133 | 0.148 | 0.255 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.166 | 0.072 | 634 | 683 | 0.981 | 0.023 | 3.022 | 3.310 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.974 | 0.014 | 270 | 290 | 1.408 | 0.014 | 0.947 | 1.002 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.698 | 0.028 | 270 | 290 | 1.008 | 0.041 | 0.641 | 0.754 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.897 | 0.022 | 350 | 373 | 1.261 | 0.024 | 0.854 | 0.941 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.147 | 0.025 | 332 | 353 | 1.203 | 0.168 | 0.098 | 0.196 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.644 | 0.067 | 48 | 52 | 0.933 | 0.105 | 0.509 | 0.779 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.567 | 0.061 | 48 | 52 | 0.854 | 0.108 | 0.444 | 0.689 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.813 | 0.054 | 73 | 78 | 1.155 | 0.066 | 0.706 | 0.920 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.987 | 0.012 | 73 | 78 | 0.929 | 0.012 | 0.963 | 1.012 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.908 | 0.032 | 73 | 78 | 0.938 | 0.035 | 0.844 | 0.972 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.838 | 0.045 | 73 | 78 | 1.026 | 0.054 | 0.748 | 0.928 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.987 | 0.013 | 73 | 78 | 0.969 | 0.013 | 0.962 | 1.013 |
| Fully immunised | 0.825 | 0.046 | 73 | 78 | 1.030 | 0.056 | 0.732 | 0.918 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.263 | 0.039 | 181 | 204 | 1.142 | 0.149 | 0.185 | 0.342 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.085 | 0.024 | 181 | 204 | 1.099 | 0.282 | 0.037 | 0.133 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.207 | 0.032 | 181 | 204 | 1.063 | 0.157 | 0.142 | 0.271 |
| Anaemia children | 0.491 | 0.043 | 186 | 212 | 1.087 | 0.087 | 0.405 | 0.577 |
| Anaemia women | 0.212 | 0.028 | 307 | 330 | 1.213 | 0.134 | 0.155 | 0.269 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.146 | 0.021 | 288 | 309 | 0.984 | 0.140 | 0.105 | 0.188 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.021 | 0.005 | 656 | 707 | 0.964 | 0.255 | 0.010 | 0.032 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.633 | 0.162 | 15 | 15 | 1.232 | 0.255 | 0.310 | 0.956 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.431 | 0.028 | 257 | 276 | 0.909 | 0.065 | 0.374 | 0.487 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.484 | 0.030 | 257 | 276 | 0.957 | 0.062 | 0.424 | 0.544 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.504 | 0.020 | 656 | 707 | 1.015 | 0.039 | 0.465 | 0.544 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.297 | 0.020 | 654 | 704 | 1.096 | 0.066 | 0.258 | 0.336 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.151 | 0.300 | 1,799 | 1,942 | 1.141 | 0.072 | 3.550 | 4.752 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 26.603 | 7.053 | 663 | 707 | 0.969 | 0.265 | 12.498 | 40.709 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 20.494 | 5.066 | 664 | 709 | 0.929 | 0.247 | 10.362 | 30.626 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 47.097 | 7.882 | 665 | 709 | 0.829 | 0.167 | 31.332 | 62.862 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 21.755 | 6.202 | 627 | 667 | 1.002 | 0.285 | 9.352 | 34.159 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 67.828 | 8.905 | 668 | 713 | 0.815 | 0.131 | 50.018 | 85.637 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.164 | 0.026 | 299 | 299 | 1.193 | 0.156 | 0.113 | 0.215 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.202 | 0.065 | 62 | 62 | 1.258 | 0.322 | 0.072 | 0.331 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.035 | 0.017 | 129 | 128 | 1.038 | 0.485 | 0.001 | 0.068 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.194 | 0.034 | 302 | 335 | 1.477 | 0.174 | 0.127 | 0.262 |
| Literacy | 0.858 | 0.032 | 302 | 335 | 1.606 | 0.038 | 0.793 | 0.923 |
| No education | 0.137 | 0.033 | 302 | 335 | 1.680 | 0.244 | 0.070 | 0.204 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.528 | 0.057 | 302 | 335 | 1.969 | 0.108 | 0.414 | 0.642 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.790 | 0.033 | 302 | 335 | 1.416 | 0.042 | 0.723 | 0.857 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.195 | 0.033 | 302 | 335 | 1.429 | 0.167 | 0.130 | 0.261 |
| Want no more children | 0.420 | 0.089 | 56 | 66 | 1.322 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.597 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.184 | 0.093 | 56 | 66 | 1.745 | 0.504 | 0.000 | 0.370 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.691 | 0.268 | 291 | 323 | 1.051 | 0.057 | 4.155 | 5.227 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.141 | 0.019 | 302 | 335 | 0.952 | 0.135 | 0.103 | 0.179 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.776 | 0.073 | 41 | 47 | 1.101 | 0.094 | 0.631 | 0.922 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.299 | 0.043 | 143 | 153 | 1.109 | 0.143 | 0.214 | 0.384 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.504 | 0.048 | 143 | 153 | 1.143 | 0.095 | 0.408 | 0.600 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.013 | 0.006 | 302 | 335 | 0.954 | 0.482 | 0.000 | 0.025 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.302 | 0.045 | 302 | 335 | 1.679 | 0.148 | 0.213 | 0.391 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.345 | 0.029 | 301 | 334 | 1.072 | 0.085 | 0.286 | 0.404 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.105 | 0.022 | 266 | 306 | 1.166 | 0.210 | 0.061 | 0.148 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.290 | 0.093 | 34 | 38 | 1.175 | 0.322 | 0.103 | 0.477 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.018 | 0.011 | 128 | 142 | 0.926 | 0.600 | 0.000 | 0.041 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.134 | 0.017 | 565 | 605 | 1.204 | 0.129 | 0.099 | 0.168 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.235 | 0.053 | 96 | 99 | 1.222 | 0.227 | 0.129 | 0.342 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.026 | 0.009 | 257 | 270 | 0.937 | 0.358 | 0.007 | 0.045 |


| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.737 | 0.025 | 699 | 540 | 1.488 | 0.034 | 0.687 | 0.786 |
| No education | 0.095 | 0.017 | 699 | 540 | 1.531 | 0.179 | 0.061 | 0.129 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.670 | 0.028 | 699 | 540 | 1.565 | 0.042 | 0.614 | 0.725 |
| Never married/in union | 0.474 | 0.029 | 699 | 540 | 1.530 | 0.061 | 0.416 | 0.532 |
| Currently married/in union | 0.427 | 0.030 | 699 | 540 | 1.581 | 0.069 | 0.368 | 0.487 |
| Had sex before age of 18 | 0.424 | 0.026 | 565 | 437 | 1.233 | 0.061 | 0.372 | 0.475 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.194 | 0.021 | 443 | 340 | 1.116 | 0.108 | 0.152 | 0.236 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.054 | 0.008 | 699 | 540 | 0.884 | 0.140 | 0.039 | 0.070 |
| Children ever born | 2.063 | 0.069 | 699 | 540 | 0.974 | 0.033 | 1.926 | 2.201 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.013 | 0.155 | 146 | 113 | 1.027 | 0.039 | 3.703 | 4.323 |
| Children surviving | 1.968 | 0.065 | 699 | 540 | 0.951 | 0.033 | 1.838 | 2.097 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 304 | 231 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 304 | 231 | na | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Currently using any method | 0.603 | 0.026 | 304 | 231 | 0.931 | 0.043 | 0.551 | 0.656 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.591 | 0.026 | 304 | 231 | 0.914 | 0.044 | 0.539 | 0.643 |
| Currently using pill | 0.099 | 0.018 | 304 | 231 | 1.054 | 0.182 | 0.063 | 0.136 |
| Currently using IUD | 0.002 | 0.002 | 304 | 231 | 0.873 | 1.011 | 0.000 | 0.007 |
| Currently using condoms | 0.118 | 0.018 | 304 | 231 | 0.968 | 0.152 | 0.082 | 0.153 |
| Currently using injectables | 0.287 | 0.019 | 304 | 231 | 0.749 | 0.068 | 0.248 | 0.326 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.075 | 0.016 | 304 | 231 | 1.081 | 0.218 | 0.042 | 0.108 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.003 | 0.003 | 304 | 231 | 0.984 | 0.996 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| Using public sector source | 0.813 | 0.031 | 357 | 272 | 1.501 | 0.038 | 0.751 | 0.875 |
| Want no more children | 0.601 | 0.034 | 304 | 231 | 1.192 | 0.056 | 0.533 | 0.668 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.154 | 0.023 | 304 | 231 | 1.096 | 0.148 | 0.108 | 0.199 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.103 | 0.071 | 691 | 534 | 1.031 | 0.023 | 2.961 | 3.245 |
| Mothers received prenatal care for last birth | 0.917 | 0.016 | 319 | 248 | 1.070 | 0.018 | 0.884 | 0.950 |
| Mothers protected against tetanus for last birth | 0.718 | 0.031 | 319 | 248 | 1.216 | 0.043 | 0.657 | 0.779 |
| Mothers received medical asisstance at delivery | 0.861 | 0.031 | 402 | 308 | 1.501 | 0.037 | 0.798 | 0.924 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.149 | 0.021 | 389 | 298 | 1.137 | 0.144 | 0.106 | 0.192 |
| Treated with oral rehydration salts (ORS) | 0.627 | 0.066 | 61 | 44 | 1.013 | 0.106 | 0.495 | 0.759 |
| Taken to health provider | 0.576 | 0.063 | 61 | 44 | 0.947 | 0.110 | 0.449 | 0.702 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.769 | 0.051 | 81 | 63 | 1.091 | 0.067 | 0.666 | 0.871 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.991 | 0.009 | 81 | 63 | 0.886 | 0.009 | 0.972 | 1.010 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.935 | 0.028 | 81 | 63 | 1.033 | 0.030 | 0.879 | 0.992 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.835 | 0.044 | 81 | 63 | 1.070 | 0.053 | 0.747 | 0.923 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.909 | 0.040 | 81 | 63 | 1.135 | 0.044 | 0.828 | 0.990 |
| Fully immunised | 0.776 | 0.055 | 81 | 63 | 1.137 | 0.071 | 0.666 | 0.885 |
| Height-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.201 | 0.034 | 183 | 147 | 1.085 | 0.171 | 0.132 | 0.269 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | 0.043 | 0.018 | 183 | 147 | 1.151 | 0.407 | 0.008 | 0.079 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.065 | 0.024 | 183 | 147 | 1.334 | 0.365 | 0.018 | 0.113 |
| Anaemia children | 0.538 | 0.043 | 197 | 159 | 1.148 | 0.080 | 0.452 | 0.625 |
| Anaemia women | 0.191 | 0.026 | 324 | 249 | 1.187 | 0.136 | 0.139 | 0.243 |
| BMI <18.5 | 0.133 | 0.024 | 303 | 233 | 1.242 | 0.183 | 0.085 | 0.182 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.021 | 0.007 | 699 | 540 | 1.379 | 0.359 | 0.006 | 0.036 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.875 | 0.109 | 13 | 11 | 1.125 | 0.124 | 0.658 | 1.092 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.457 | 0.036 | 186 | 145 | 0.995 | 0.080 | 0.384 | 0.530 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.399 | 0.033 | 186 | 145 | 0.923 | 0.083 | 0.333 | 0.466 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.443 | 0.020 | 699 | 540 | 1.060 | 0.045 | 0.403 | 0.483 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.221 | 0.026 | 687 | 532 | 1.639 | 0.118 | 0.169 | 0.273 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.148 | 0.231 | 1,968 | 1,519 | 0.940 | 0.056 | 3.686 | 4.611 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 15.386 | 4.461 | 754 | 574 | 0.997 | 0.290 | 6.465 | 24.307 |
| Post-neonatal mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 14.140 | 4.149 | 751 | 571 | 0.948 | 0.293 | 5.842 | 22.439 |
| Infant mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 29.526 | 6.338 | 754 | 574 | 1.037 | 0.215 | 16.850 | 42.203 |
| Child mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 22.014 | 5.809 | 712 | 540 | 0.982 | 0.264 | 10.396 | 33.632 |
| Under-five mortality rate (last 0-9 years) | 50.891 | 9.050 | 757 | 577 | 1.086 | 0.178 | 32.791 | 68.991 |
| HIV prevalence (women 15-49) | 0.142 | 0.019 | 316 | 231 | 0.980 | 0.136 | 0.103 | 0.180 |
| HIV prevalence (women 50-64) | 0.125 | 0.037 | 69 | 51 | 0.915 | 0.293 | 0.052 | 0.198 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (women 15-24) | 0.046 | 0.025 | 117 | 86 | 1.268 | 0.540 | 0.000 | 0.095 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban residence | 0.694 | 0.030 | 309 | 241 | 1.142 | 0.043 | 0.634 | 0.754 |
| Literacy | 0.886 | 0.021 | 309 | 241 | 1.143 | 0.023 | 0.845 | 0.928 |
| No education | 0.117 | 0.018 | 309 | 241 | 1.000 | 0.156 | 0.080 | 0.154 |
| Secondary or higher education | 0.696 | 0.032 | 309 | 241 | 1.238 | 0.047 | 0.631 | 0.761 |
| Never married (never in union) | 0.494 | 0.029 | 309 | 241 | 1.019 | 0.059 | 0.436 | 0.552 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.486 | 0.028 | 309 | 241 | 0.996 | 0.058 | 0.429 | 0.543 |
| Want no more children | 0.360 | 0.048 | 153 | 117 | 1.240 | 0.134 | 0.263 | 0.456 |
| Want to delay next birth at least 2 years | 0.108 | 0.035 | 153 | 117 | 1.386 | 0.323 | 0.038 | 0.179 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.856 | 0.212 | 301 | 235 | 1.291 | 0.055 | 3.432 | 4.281 |
| Had 2+ sexual partners in past 12 months | 0.046 | 0.014 | 309 | 241 | 1.168 | 0.303 | 0.018 | 0.074 |
| Condom use at last sex | 0.775 | 0.111 | 14 | 11 | 0.959 | 0.143 | 0.553 | 0.996 |
| Abstinence among never-married youth (never had sex) | 0.416 | 0.076 | 95 | 78 | 1.492 | 0.183 | 0.264 | 0.569 |
| Sexually active in past 12 months among never-married youth | 0.428 | 0.069 | 95 | 78 | 1.342 | 0.161 | 0.290 | 0.565 |
| Paid for sexual intercourse in past 12 months | 0.003 | 0.003 | 309 | 241 | 1.033 | 1.009 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| Had an HIV test and received results in past 12 months | 0.399 | 0.027 | 309 | 241 | 0.957 | 0.067 | 0.346 | 0.452 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people with HIV | 0.121 | 0.028 | 299 | 234 | 1.456 | 0.228 | 0.066 | 0.176 |
| HIV prevalence (men 15-49) | 0.097 | 0.019 | 281 | 223 | 1.061 | 0.193 | 0.060 | 0.135 |
| HIV prevalence (men 50-64) | 0.133 | 0.045 | 54 | 45 | 0.963 | 0.338 | 0.043 | 0.222 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men 15-24) | 0.030 | 0.016 | 102 | 86 | 0.965 | 0.543 | 0.000 | 0.063 |
| MEN AND WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 15-49) | 0.120 | 0.014 | 597 | 454 | 1.018 | 0.113 | 0.093 | 0.147 |
| HIV prevalence (men and women 50-64) | 0.129 | 0.026 | 123 | 96 | 0.869 | 0.205 | 0.076 | 0.181 |
| HIV prevalence for youth (men and women 15-24) | 0.038 | 0.015 | 219 | 172 | 1.168 | 0.398 | 0.008 | 0.068 |

Table B. 18 Sampling errors for adult and maternal mortality rates, Namibia 2013

| Variable | Value (R) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Standard } \\ & \text { error } \\ & \text { (SE) } \end{aligned}$ | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| 15-19 | 1.560 | 0.318 | 18,392 | 18,880 | 1.074 | 0.204 | 0.923 | 2.197 |
| 20-24 | 2.285 | 0.403 | 21,033 | 21,473 | 1.190 | 0.176 | 1.480 | 3.091 |
| 25-29 | 4.707 | 0.666 | 20,181 | 20,435 | 1.383 | 0.141 | 3.376 | 6.038 |
| 30-34 | 6.740 | 0.800 | 17,546 | 17,652 | 1.279 | 0.119 | 5.141 | 8.340 |
| 35-39 | 7.714 | 0.871 | 13,726 | 13,437 | 1.146 | 0.113 | 5.971 | 9.456 |
| 40-44 | 6.465 | 0.946 | 8,935 | 8,656 | 1.068 | 0.146 | 4.573 | 8.358 |
| 45-49 | 6.438 | 1.354 | 6,206 | 5,849 | 1.295 | 0.210 | 3.731 | 9.145 |
| Female adult mortality rate (last 0-6 years) | 4.534 | 0.290 | 106,020 | 106,383 | 1.212 | 0.064 | 3.954 | 5.115 |
| Female ${ }_{35} \mathrm{Q}_{15}$ (last 0-6 years) | 164 | 10.043 | 106,020 | 106,383 | 1.440 | 0.061 | 144 | 185 |
| Female ${ }_{35} \mathrm{Q}_{15}$ (last 0-6 years) (2006-07) | 318 | 12.841 | 121,828 | 120,922 | 1.643 | 0.040 | 292 | 344 |
| 15-19 | 0.185 | 0.135 | 18,392 | 18,880 | 1.359 | 0.727 | 0.000 | 0.455 |
| 20-24 | 0.271 | 0.109 | 21,033 | 21,473 | 0.974 | 0.403 | 0.052 | 0.489 |
| 25-29 | 0.415 | 0.212 | 20,181 | 20,435 | 1.490 | 0.512 | 0.000 | 0.840 |
| 30-34 | 0.650 | 0.207 | 17,546 | 17,652 | 1.079 | 0.318 | 0.236 | 1.063 |
| 35-39 | 1.064 | 0.390 | 13,726 | 13,437 | 1.391 | 0.367 | 0.283 | 1.844 |
| 40-44 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 8,935 | 8,656 | 0.683 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.161 |
| 45-49 | 0.352 | 0.351 | 6,206 | 5,849 | 1.431 | 0.997 | 0.000 | 1.055 |
| Maternal mortality rate (last 0-6 years) | 0.409 | 0.078 | 106,020 | 106,383 | 1.297 | 0.192 | 0.252 | 0.566 |
| Maternal mortality ratio (last 0-6 years) | 358 | 68.256 | 106,020 | 106,383 | 1.297 | 0.191 | 222 | 495 |
| Maternal mortality ratio (last 0-6 years) (2006-07) | 508 | 75.570 | 121,828 | 120,922 | 1.193 | 0.149 | 357 | 659 |
| 15-19 | 1.857 | 0.398 | 18,001 | 18,201 | 1.207 | 0.214 | 1.061 | 2.652 |
| 20-24 | 3.099 | 0.438 | 20,212 | 20,758 | 1.118 | 0.141 | 2.224 | 3.975 |
| 25-29 | 5.784 | 0.740 | 19,752 | 20,052 | 1.377 | 0.128 | 4.304 | 7.265 |
| 30-34 | 7.973 | 0.797 | 17,508 | 17,787 | 1.194 | 0.100 | 6.379 | 9.567 |
| 35-39 | 10.971 | 1.163 | 13,560 | 13,474 | 1.263 | 0.106 | 8.645 | 13.296 |
| 40-44 | 12.955 | 1.368 | 8,794 | 8,436 | 1.106 | 0.106 | 10.218 | 15.691 |
| 45-49 | 13.153 | 1.994 | 5,223 | 4,956 | 1.181 | 0.152 | 9.165 | 17.140 |
| Male adult mortality rate (last 0-6 years) | 6.659 | 0.372 | 103,051 | 103,665 | 1.201 | 0.056 | 5.915 | 7.403 |
| Male ${ }_{35} \mathrm{Q}_{15}$ (last 0-6 years) | 244 | 12.474 | 103,051 | 103,665 | 1.449 | 0.051 | 219 | 269 |
| Male ${ }_{35} \mathrm{Q}_{15}$ (last 0-6 years) (2006-07) | 404 | 15.363 | 112,704 | 111,786 | 1.760 | 0.038 | 373 | 435 |

Table C. 1 Household age distribution
Single-year age distribution of the de facto household population by sex (weighted), Namibia 2013

| Age | Male |  | Female |  | Age | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 | 589 | 2.7 | 602 | 3.1 | 36 | 266 | 1.2 | 228 | 1.2 |
| 1 | 618 | 2.8 | 512 | 2.6 | 37 | 262 | 1.2 | 218 | 1.1 |
| 2 | 623 | 2.9 | 552 | 2.8 | 38 | 253 | 1.2 | 222 | 1.1 |
| 3 | 534 | 2.5 | 608 | 3.1 | 39 | 235 | 1.1 | 219 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 555 | 2.5 | 518 | 2.6 | 40 | 256 | 1.2 | 215 | 1.1 |
| 5 | 522 | 2.4 | 575 | 2.9 | 41 | 204 | 0.9 | 173 | 0.9 |
| 6 | 548 | 2.5 | 537 | 2.7 | 42 | 214 | 1.0 | 193 | 1.0 |
| 7 | 555 | 2.6 | 516 | 2.6 | 43 | 185 | 0.8 | 190 | 1.0 |
| 8 | 511 | 2.3 | 518 | 2.6 | 44 | 196 | 0.9 | 162 | 0.8 |
| 9 | 497 | 2.3 | 463 | 2.4 | 45 | 171 | 0.8 | 169 | 0.9 |
| 10 | 479 | 2.2 | 487 | 2.5 | 46 | 157 | 0.7 | 156 | 0.8 |
| 11 | 459 | 2.1 | 447 | 2.3 | 47 | 163 | 0.8 | 173 | 0.9 |
| 12 | 455 | 2.1 | 479 | 2.4 | 48 | 170 | 0.8 | 115 | 0.6 |
| 13 | 491 | 2.3 | 548 | 2.8 | 49 | 159 | 0.7 | 134 | 0.7 |
| 14 | 597 | 2.7 | 465 | 2.4 | 50 | 215 | 1.0 | 122 | 0.6 |
| 15 | 402 | 1.8 | 444 | 2.3 | 51 | 161 | 0.7 | 119 | 0.6 |
| 16 | 419 | 1.9 | 436 | 2.2 | 52 | 139 | 0.6 | 94 | 0.5 |
| 17 | 383 | 1.8 | 429 | 2.2 | 53 | 155 | 0.7 | 113 | 0.6 |
| 18 | 463 | 2.1 | 386 | 2.0 | 54 | 155 | 0.7 | 90 | 0.5 |
| 19 | 481 | 2.2 | 415 | 2.1 | 55 | 120 | 0.5 | 81 | 0.4 |
| 20 | 433 | 2.0 | 398 | 2.0 | 56 | 106 | 0.5 | 59 | 0.3 |
| 21 | 429 | 2.0 | 380 | 1.9 | 57 | 123 | 0.6 | 79 | 0.4 |
| 22 | 427 | 2.0 | 353 | 1.8 | 58 | 109 | 0.5 | 66 | 0.3 |
| 23 | 431 | 2.0 | 408 | 2.1 | 59 | 87 | 0.4 | 79 | 0.4 |
| 24 | 332 | 1.5 | 354 | 1.8 | 60 | 111 | 0.5 | 78 | 0.4 |
| 25 | 364 | 1.7 | 353 | 1.8 | 61 | 90 | 0.4 | 66 | 0.3 |
| 26 | 354 | 1.6 | 275 | 1.4 | 62 | 95 | 0.4 | 48 | 0.2 |
| 27 | 368 | 1.7 | 315 | 1.6 | 63 | 81 | 0.4 | 86 | 0.4 |
| 28 | 323 | 1.5 | 317 | 1.6 | 64 | 97 | 0.4 | 55 | 0.3 |
| 29 | 315 | 1.4 | 266 | 1.4 | 65 | 103 | 0.5 | 69 | 0.4 |
| 30 | 320 | 1.5 | 325 | 1.7 | 66 | 59 | 0.3 | 56 | 0.3 |
| 31 | 267 | 1.2 | 221 | 1.1 | 67 | 83 | 0.4 | 64 | 0.3 |
| 32 | 310 | 1.4 | 269 | 1.4 | 68 | 78 | 0.4 | 57 | 0.3 |
| 33 | 286 | 1.3 | 255 | 1.3 | 69 | 57 | 0.3 | 47 | 0.2 |
| 34 | 271 | 1.2 | 271 | 1.4 |  | 951 | 4.4 | 542 | 2.8 |
| 35 | 282 | 1.3 | 273 | 1.4 | Don't know/ missing | 13 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 21,774 | 100.0 | 19,621 | 100.0 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who stayed in the household the night before the interview.

Table C.2.1 Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women
De facto household population of women age 10-54, interviewed women age 15-49; and percent distribution and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age groups, Namibia 2013

|  | Household <br> population of <br> women age 10-54 | Interviewed women age 15-49 | Percentage of <br> eligible women <br> interviewed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age group | 2,481 | Number | Percentage |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who stayed in the household the night before the interview. Weights for both household population of women and interviewed women are household weights. Age is based on the household questionnaire.
na = Not applicable

Table C.2.2 Age distribution of eligible and interviewed men
De facto household population of men age 10-69, interviewed men age 15-64 and percent of eligible men who were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age groups, Namibia 2013
$\begin{array}{lccrr}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Household } \\ \text { population of } \\ \text { men age 10-69 }\end{array} & & \text { Number } & \text { Percentage }\end{array}$ Interviewed men age 15-64 $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of } \\ \text { eligible men } \\ \text { interviewed }\end{array}\right]$

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who stayed in the household the night before the interview. Weights for both household population of men and interviewed men are household weights. Age is based on the household questionnaire.
na $=$ Not applicable

Table C. 3 Completeness of reporting
Percentage of observations missing information for selected demographic and health questions (weighted), Namibia 2013

| Subject | Reference group | Percentage with information missing | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth date | Births in the 15 years preceding the survey |  |  |
| Month Only |  | 0.31 | 12,152 |
| Month and Year |  | 0.31 | 12,152 |
| Age at Death | Deceased children born in the 15 years preceding the survey | 0.47 | 719 |
| Age/date at first union ${ }^{1}$ | Ever married women age 15-49 | 1.58 | 3,718 |
| Age/date at first union | Ever married men age 15-49 | 1.47 | 1,687 |
| Respondent's education | All women age 15-49 | 0.04 | 9,176 |
| Respondent's education | All men age 15-64 | 0.15 | 4,481 |
| Diarrhoea in last 2 weeks | Living children 0-59 months | 8.48 | 4,588 |
| Anthropometry of children | Living children age 0-59 months from the Household Questionnaire |  |  |
| Height |  | 5.42 | 2,840 |
| Weight |  | 6.40 | 2,840 |
| Height or weight |  | 6.69 | 2,840 |
| Anthropometry of women | Women age 15-64 from the household questionnaire |  |  |
| Height |  | 12.02 | 6,210 |
| Weight |  | 11.95 | 6,210 |
| Height or weight |  | 12.15 | 6,210 |
| Anthropometry of men | Men age 15-64 from the household questionnaire |  |  |
| Height |  | 20.70 | 5,319 |
| Weight |  | 20.65 | 5,319 |
| Height or weight |  | 20.93 | 5,319 |
| Anaemia | Living children age 6-59 months from the Household Questionnaire |  |  |
| Children |  | 9.71 | 2,544 |
| Women | All women from the Household Questionnaire | 13.01 | 6,210 |
| Men | All men from the Household Questionnaire | 22.98 | 5,319 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Both year and age missing |  |  |  |

## Table C. 4 Births by calendar years

Number of births, percentage with complete birth date, sex ratio at birth, and calendar year ratio by calendar year, according to living (L), dead (D), and total (T) children (weighted), Namibia 2013

| Calendar year | Number of births |  |  | Percentage with complete birth date ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Sex ratio at birth ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Calendar year ratio ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T |
| 2013 | 591 | 19 | 609 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.0 | 241.2 | 95.6 | na | na | na |
| 2012 | 987 | 35 | 1,022 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 61.4 | 92.8 | na | na | na |
| 2011 | 918 | 38 | 957 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 126.9 | 97.9 | 94.6 | 90.7 | 94.4 |
| 2010 | 955 | 49 | 1,005 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 99.4 | 98.9 | 109.5 | 101.9 | 109.1 |
| 2009 | 825 | 59 | 884 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 98.3 | 88.7 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 129.8 | 97.6 |
| 2008 | 767 | 41 | 808 | 99.8 | 98.1 | 99.7 | 91.5 | 126.9 | 93.1 | 88.7 | 78.1 | 88.1 |
| 2007 | 903 | 47 | 950 | 99.1 | 92.6 | 98.8 | 105.0 | 74.8 | 103.3 | 117.9 | 103.3 | 117.1 |
| 2006 | 765 | 49 | 815 | 99.3 | 89.4 | 98.7 | 94.5 | 153.1 | 97.3 | 95.7 | 87.0 | 95.2 |
| 2005 | 695 | 67 | 762 | 99.5 | 94.3 | 99.1 | 87.1 | 125.0 | 89.9 | 91.3 | 140.9 | 94.2 |
| 2004 | 759 | 45 | 804 | 99.5 | 95.4 | 99.3 | 92.7 | 125.2 | 94.3 | 113.6 | 80.7 | 111.1 |
| 2009-2013 | 4,277 | 200 | 4,476 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.4 | 100.3 | 96.6 | na | na | na |
| 2004-2008 | 3,889 | 249 | 4,139 | 99.4 | 93.8 | 99.1 | 94.5 | 118.4 | 95.8 | na | na | na |
| 1999-2003 | 3,030 | 257 | 3,287 | 99.2 | 95.2 | 98.9 | 102.4 | 129.3 | 104.3 | na | na | na |
| 1994-1998 | 2,380 | 164 | 2,544 | 98.9 | 95.2 | 98.7 | 87.6 | 85.5 | 87.5 | na | na | na |
| <1994 | 2,298 | 228 | 2,526 | 99.2 | 94.1 | 98.7 | 93.2 | 129.4 | 95.9 | na | na | na |
| All | 15,874 | 1,097 | 16,971 | 99.4 | 95.5 | 99.2 | 95.2 | 113.7 | 96.3 | na | na | na |

[^31]Table C. 5 Reporting of age at death in days
Distribution of reported deaths under one month of age by age at death in days and the percentage of neonatal deaths reported to occur at ages 0-6 days, for five-year periods of birth preceding the survey (weighted), Namibia 2013

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age at death (days) | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | Total 0-19 |
| $<1$ | 26 | 16 | 25 | 11 | 78 |
| 1 | 35 | 29 | 23 | 15 | 101 |
| 2 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 23 |
| 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 7 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 25 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 14 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 15 |
| 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total 0-30 | 94 | 71 | 83 | 45 | 293 |
| Percentage early neonatal ${ }^{1}$ | 81.6 | 85.9 | 71.9 | 75.6 | 79.0 |

${ }^{1} 0-6$ days

Table C. 6 Reporting of age at death in months
Distribution of reported deaths under two years of age by age at death in months and the percentage of infant deaths reported to occur at age under one month, for five-year periods of birth preceding the survey, Namibia 2013

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age at death (months) | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | Total 0-19 |
| $<1^{\text {a }}$ | 94 | 71 | 83 | 45 | 293 |
| 1 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 6 | 49 |
| 2 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 44 |
| 3 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 1 | 38 |
| 4 | 5 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 33 |
| 5 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 24 |
| 6 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 21 |
| 7 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 23 |
| 8 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 24 |
| 9 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 30 |
| 10 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| 11 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 12 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 17 | 80 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 18 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 Year | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 |
| Total 0-11 | 174 | 171 | 159 | 92 | 596 |
| Percentage neonatal ${ }^{1}$ | 54.1 | 41.5 | 52.2 | 49.0 | 49.2 |

[^32]Table C. 7 Nutritional status of children based on the NCHS/CDC/WHO International Reference Population
Percentage of children under five years classified as malnourished according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, by background characteristics, based on the NCHS/CDC/WHO International Reference Population, Namibia 2013


Continued...

Table C. 7 Nutritional status of children based on the NCHS/CDC/WHO International Reference Population—Continued

| Background characteristic | Height-for-age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Weight-for-height |  |  |  | Weight-for-age |  |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage below -3 SD | Percentage below -2 $S^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean Z- } \\ \text { score (SD) } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage below-3 SD | Percentage below -2 $S^{2}$ | Percentage above +2 SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean Z- } \\ \text { score (SD) } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage below-3 SD | Percentage below -2 $S^{2}$ | Percentage above +2 SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean Z- } \\ \text { score (SD) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.9 | 26.3 | (1.1) | 4.5 | 14.8 | 1.7 | (0.7) | 6.7 | 29.6 | 0.5 | (1.3) | 141 |
| Primary | 6.1 | 23.3 | (1.2) | 0.8 | 6.7 | 2.2 | (0.6) | 4.5 | 22.7 | 0.4 | (1.2) | 434 |
| Secondary | 3.9 | 14.8 | (0.9) | 1.1 | 7.1 | 3.3 | (0.6) | 2.2 | 14.5 | 1.5 | (1.0) | 1,215 |
| More than secondary | 3.6 | 6.8 | (0.5) | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3.1 | (0.2) | 0.5 | 5.7 | 3.1 | (0.3) | 104 |
| Missing | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 3 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 8.4 | 24.9 | (1.3) | 0.9 | 9.4 | 1.2 | (0.8) | 4.7 | 23.6 | 0.7 | (1.3) | 649 |
| Second | 8.1 | 24.6 | (1.2) | 0.7 | 5.1 | 2.3 | (0.5) | 2.4 | 18.8 | 0.5 | (1.1) | 576 |
| Middle | 3.6 | 18.8 | (1.1) | 1.1 | 8.1 | 2.4 | (0.6) | 3.4 | 17.9 | 0.8 | (1.1) | 529 |
| Fourth | 1.7 | 11.8 | (0.8) | 1.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | (0.5) | 1.6 | 12.0 | 1.3 | (0.8) | 531 |
| Highest | 1.9 | 5.5 | (0.9) | 0.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | (0.7) | 1.0 | 7.5 | 4.3 | (0.9) | 314 |
| Total | 5.2 | 18.6 | (1.1) | 1.0 | 6.4 | 2.6 | (0.6) | 2.8 | 17.0 | 1.2 | (1.1) | 2,599 |

Note: Table is based on children who slept in the household the night before the interview. Each of the indices is expressed in standard deviation units (SD) from the median of the NCHS/CDC/WHO International Reference Population. Table is based on children with valid dates of birth (month and year) and valid measurement of both height and weight.
${ }^{1}$ Recumbent length is measured for children under age 2 , or in the few cases when the age of the child is unknown and the child is less than 85 cm ; standing height is measured for "all other children" to be consistent with table 11.1.1
${ }^{2}$ Includes children who are below -3 standard deviations (SD) from the International Reference Population median
${ }^{3}$ Excludes children whose mothers were not interviewed
${ }^{4}$ First born twins (triplets, etc.) are counted as first births because they do not have a previous birth interval
${ }^{5}$ Includes children whose mothers are deceased
${ }^{6}$ Excludes children whose mothers were not interviewed, children whose mothers were not weighed and measured, and children whose mothers are pregnant or gave birth within the preceding 2 months. Mother's nutritional status in terms of BMI (Body Mass Index) is presented in Table 11.10.1
${ }^{7}$ For women who are not interviewed, information is taken from the Household Questionnaire. Excludes children whose mothers are not listed in the Household Questionnaire.

Table C. 8 Completeness of information on siblings
Completeness of data on survival status of sisters and brothers reported by interviewed women, age of living siblings and age at death (AD) and years since death (YSD) of dead siblings (unweighted), Country 2011

|  | Sisters |  | Brothers |  | All siblings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All siblings | 22,372 | 100.0 | 22,433 | 100.0 | 44,805 | 100.0 |
| Living | 19,597 | 87.6 | 19,086 | 85.1 | 38,683 | 86.3 |
| Dead | 2,758 | 12.3 | 3,324 | 14.8 | 6,082 | 13.6 |
| Survival status unknown | 17 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.1 | 40 | 0.1 |
| Living siblings | 19,597 | 100.0 | 19,086 | 100.0 | 38,683 | 100.0 |
| Age reported | 19,013 | 97.0 | 18,473 | 96.8 | 37,486 | 96.9 |
| Age missing | 584 | 3.0 | 613 | 3.2 | 1,197 | 3.1 |
| Dead siblings | 2,758 | 100.0 | 3,324 | 100.0 | 6,082 | 100.0 |
| AD and YSD reported | 2,429 | 88.1 | 2,842 | 85.5 | 5,271 | 86.7 |
| Missing only AD | 80 | 2.9 | 150 | 4.5 | 230 | 3.8 |
| Missing only YSD | 34 | 1.2 | 50 | 1.5 | 84 | 1.4 |
| Missing AD and YSD | 215 | 7.8 | 282 | 8.5 | 497 | 8.2 |

Table C. 9 Sibship size and sex ratio of siblings
Mean sibship size and sex ratio of siblings at birth, Country 2011

| Age of respondents | Mean sibship <br> size $^{1}$ | Sex ratio of <br> siblings at birth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 5.0 | 98.2 |
| $20-24$ | 5.5 | 99.6 |
| $25-29$ | 5.9 | 101.0 |
| $30-34$ | 6.4 | 103.7 |
| $35-39$ | 6.6 | 100.4 |
| $40-44$ | 6.8 | 98.8 |
| $45-49$ | 6.7 | 103.3 |
| Total | 5.9 | 100.5 |

1
${ }^{1}$ Includes the respondent
${ }^{2}$ Excludes the respondent
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MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
2013 NAMIBIA DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEY HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE


THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Hello. My name is $\qquad$ . I am working with the Ministry of Health and Social Services. We are conducting a survey about health all over Namibia. The information we collect will help the government to plan health services. Your household was selected for the survey. I would like to ask you some questions about your household. The questions usually take about 15 to 20 minutes. All of the answers you give will be confidential, are protected by the statistics law, and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. You don't have to be in the survey, participation is voluntary, but we hope you will agree to answer the questions since your views are important. If I ask you any question you don't want to answer, just let me know and I will go on to the next question or you can stop the interview at any time.
In case you need more information about the survey, you may contact the person(s) listed on this card.

## GIVE CARD WITH CONTACT INFORMATION

Do you have any questions?
May I begin the interview now?

SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWER:
DATE: $\qquad$

RESPONDENT AGREES TO BE INTERVIEWED . . 1 RESPONDENT DOES NOT AGREE TO BE INTERVIEWED . . $2 \rightarrow$ END
$\downarrow$

HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | IF AGE 15 OR OLDER | IF AGE 0-17 YEARS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE <br> NO. | USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS | RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD | SEX | RESIDENCE |  | AGE | MARITAL STATUS | SURVIVORSHIP AND RESIDENCE OF BIOLOGICAL PARENTS |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | Please give me the names of the persons who usually live in your household and guests of the household who stayed here last night, starting with the head of the household. <br> AFTER LISTING THE NAMES AND RECORDING THE RELATIONSHIP AND SEX FOR EACH PERSON, ASK QUESTIONS 2A-2C TO BE SURE THAT THE LISTING IS COMPLETE. <br> THEN ASK APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS IN COLUMNS 5-24 FOR EACH PERSON. | What is the relationship of (NAME) to the head of the household? <br> SEE CODES BELOW. | Is (NAME) male or female? | Does <br> (NAME) <br> usually live here? | Did <br> (NAME) <br> stay here last night? | How old is (NAME)? <br> IF 95 <br> OR MORE, RECORD '95'. | What is (NAME)'s current marital status? <br> $1=$ MARRIED <br> OR LIVING <br> TOGETHER <br> 2 = DIVORCED/ <br> SEPARATED <br> 3 = WIDOWED <br> 4 = NEVER- <br> MARRIED <br> AND <br> NEVER <br> LIVED <br> TOGETHER | Is (NAME)'s natural mother alive? | Does (NAME)'s natural mother usually live in this household or was she a guest last night? <br> IF YES: <br> What is her name? <br> RECORD <br> MOTHER'S <br> LINE <br> NUMBER. <br> IF NO, RECORD '00'. | Is (NAME)'s natural father alive? | Does (NAME)'s natural father usually live in this household or was he a guest last night? <br> IF YES: <br> What is his name? <br> RECORD <br> FATHER'S <br> LINE <br> NUMBER. <br> IF NO, RECORD '00'. |
| 01 |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} M & F \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} Y & N \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{~N} \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | IN YEARS |  | $\begin{array}{llr} Y & N & \text { DK } \\ 1 & 2 & 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 02 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 03 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 1 & 2 \mp^{\square} 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}1 & 2 \prod^{\square} 8 \\ & 8 \text { TO } 13\end{array}$ |  |
| 04 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 05 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 2 \mp^{2} 8 \\ & 8 \text { TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}1 & 2 \prod^{2} 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 13\end{array}$ |  |
| 06 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | 1 $\begin{gathered} 2 \mp_{\square}^{\square} 8 \\ \text { GO TO } 11 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 2 \prod^{7} 8 \\ & 8 O \text { TO } 13\end{array}$ |  |
| 07 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 1 & 2 \text { T } \\ & 8 \\ \text { GO TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 2 \prod^{2} & 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 13\end{array}$ |  |
| 08 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  | $\begin{array}{rl} 1 & 2 \text { T } \\ & 8 \\ \text { GO TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 2 & 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 13\end{array}$ |  |
| 09 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 1 & 2 \text { T } \\ & 8 \\ \text { GO TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 2 \prod^{2} & 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 13\end{array}$ |  |
| 10 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |

CODES FOR Q. 3: RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD

01 = HEAD
02 =WIFE/HUSBAND/PARTNER
03 = SON OR DAUGHTER
04 = SON-IN-LAW OR
DAUGHTER-IN-LAW
$05=$ GRANDCHILD
$06=$ PARENT
$07=$ PARENT-IN-LAW

08 = BROTHER OR SISTER
09 = OTHER RELATIVE 10 = ADOPTED/FOSTER/

STEPCHILD
11 = NOT RELATED
$98=$ DON'T KNOW

|  | IF AGE 5 YEARS OR OLDER |  | IF AGE 5-24 YEARS |  | IF AGE 0-4 YEARS | ELIGIBILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE NO. | EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL |  | CURRENT/RECENT SCHOOL ATTENDANCE |  | BIRTH <br> REGIS- <br> TRATION | WOMEN | IF HOUSEHOLD SELECTED FOR MAN'S SURVEY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
|  | Has <br> (NAME) <br> ever <br> attended <br> school? | What is the highest level of school (NAME) has attended? <br> SEE CODES <br> BELOW. <br> What is the highest grade (NAME) completed at that level? <br> SEE CODES BELOW. | Did (NAME) attend school at any time during the 2013 school year? | During this school year, what level and grade is (NAME) attending? BELOW. | Does (NAME) have a birth certificate? <br> IF NO, PROBE: Has (NAME)'s birth ever been registered with the civil authority? <br> $1=$ HAS <br> CERTIFICATE <br> 2 = REGISTERED <br> 3 = HAS ONLY <br> HOSPITAL <br> CARD <br> 4 = NEITHER <br> CERTIFICATE <br> NOR REGISTERED $8=\text { DON'T }$ KNOW | CIRCLE <br> LINE <br> NUMBER <br> OF ALL <br> WOMEN <br> AGE <br> 15-49 | CIRCLE <br> LINE <br> NUMBER <br> OF ALL <br> WOMEN <br> AGE <br> 15-64 | CIRCLE <br> LINE <br> NUMBER <br> OF ALL <br> WOMEN <br> AGE <br> 35-64 | CIRCLE <br> LINE <br> NUMBER <br> OF ALL <br> MEN <br> AGE <br> 15-49 | CIRCLE <br> LINE <br> NUMBER <br> OF ALL <br> MEN <br> AGE <br> 15-64 | CIRCLE <br> LINE <br> NUMBER <br> OF ALL <br> MEN <br> AGE <br> 35-64 | CIRCLE LINE <br> NUMBER OF ALL CHILDREN AGE 0-5 YEARS |
| 01 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Y } & \mathrm{N} \\ 1 & 2 \\ & \downarrow \\ \text { GO TO } & 18 \end{array}$ | LEVEL GRADE | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{~N} \\ 1 & 2 \\ & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{GO} & \downarrow \mathrm{TO} \\ 18 \end{array}$ | LEVEL GRADE |  | 01 | 01 | 01 | 01 | 01 | 01 | 01 |
| 02 |  |  |  |  |  | 02 | 02 | 02 | 02 | 02 | 02 | 02 |
| 03 |  |  |  |  |  | 03 | 03 | 03 | 03 | 03 | 03 | 03 |
| 04 |  |  |  |  |  | 04 | 04 | 04 | 04 | 04 | 04 | 04 |
| 05 |  |  |  |  |  | 05 | 05 | 05 | 05 | 05 | 05 | 05 |
| 06 |  |  |  |  |  | 06 | 06 | 06 | 06 | 06 | 06 | 06 |
| 07 |  |  |  |  |  | 07 | 07 | 07 | 07 | 07 | 07 | 07 |
| 08 |  |  |  |  |  | 08 | 08 | 08 | 08 | 08 | 08 | 08 |
| 09 |  |  |  |  |  | 09 | 09 | 09 | 09 | 09 | 09 | 09 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

CODES FOR Qs. 14 AND 16: EDUCATION

| LEVEL | GRADE |
| :--- | :---: |
| $1=$ PRIMARY | $00=$ LESS THAN 1 YEAR COMPLETED |
| $2=$ SECONDARY | (USE '00' FOR Q. 14 ONLY. |
| $3=$ HIGHER | THIS CODE IS NOT ALLOWED |
| $6=$ PRE-PRIMARY | FOR Q. 16) |
| $8=$ DON'T KNOW | $98=$ DON'T KNOW |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | IF AGE 15 OR OLDER | IF AGE 0-17 YEARS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE NO. | USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS | RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD | SEX | RESIDENCE |  | AGE | MARITAL STATUS | SURVIVORSHIP AND RESIDENCE OF BIOLOGICAL PARENTS |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | Please give me the names of the persons who usually live in your household and guests of the household who stayed here last night, starting with the head of the household. <br> AFTER LISTING THE NAMES AND RECORDING THE RELATIONSHIP AND SEX FOR EACH PERSON, ASK QUESTIONS 2A-2C TO BE SURE THAT THE LISTING IS COMPLETE. <br> THEN ASK APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS IN COLUMNS 5-24 FOR EACH PERSON. | What is the relationship of (NAME) to the head of the household? <br> SEE CODES BELOW. | Is (NAME) male or female? | Does (NAME) usually live here? | Did (NAME) stay here last night? | How old is (NAME)? <br> IF 95 <br> OR MORE, RECORD '95'. | What is (NAME)'s current marital status? <br> 1 = MARRIED OR LIVING TOGETHER 2 = DIVORCED/ SEPARATED 3 = WIDOWED 4 = NEVERMARRIED AND NEVER LIVED TOGETHER | Is (NAME)'s natural mother alive? | Does (NAME)'s natural mother usually live in this household or was she a guest last night? <br> IF YES: <br> What is her name? <br> RECORD <br> MOTHER'S <br> LINE <br> NUMBER. <br> IF NO, <br> RECORD <br> '00'. | Is (NAME)'s natural father alive? | Does (NAME)'s natural father usually live in this household or was he a guest last night? <br> IF YES: <br> What is his name? <br> RECORD <br> FATHER'S <br> LINE <br> NUMBER. <br> IF NO, <br> RECORD <br> '00'. |
| 11 |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} M & F \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{~N} \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} Y & N \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | IN YEARS |  | $\begin{array}{llr} Y & \text { N } & \text { DK } \\ 1 & 2 & T^{2} \\ & \text { GO TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | $1$ | $\square$ | 1 <br> $2 \tau_{\text {GO TO }} 11$ |  | 1 |  |
| 13 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 1 & 2 \mp^{\circ} 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 2 \prod^{\downarrow} & 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 13\end{array}$ |  |
| 14 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 2 \mp^{\square} 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 11 \end{array}$ |  | 1 |  |
| 15 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 16 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 17 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | $\square$ |
| 18 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 19 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 2 \mp_{\text {GO TO }}^{11} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 2 \prod^{7} 8 \\ & \\ & \text { GO TO } 13\end{array}$ | $\square$ |
| 20 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 2 \mp_{\text {GO TO }}^{\square} & 8 \\ & 11 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 2 \prod^{\square} 8 \\ & \text { GO TO } 13\end{array}$ | $1$ |

tick here if Continuation sheet user
2A) Just to make sure that I have a complete listing: are there any other persons such as small children or infants hat we have not listed?

2B) Are there any other people who may not be members of your family, such as domestic servants, lodgers, or friends who usually live here?

2C) Are there any guests or temporary visitors staying here, or anyone else who stayed here ast night, who have not been listed?


CODES FOR Q. 3: RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD

## 01 = HEAD

02 =WIFE/HUSBAND/PARTNER
03 = SON OR DAUGHTER
$04=$ SON-IN-LAW OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW
$05=$ GRANDCHILD
$06=$ PARENT
$07=$ PARENT-IN-LAW
$08=$ BROTHER OR SISTER
09 = OTHER RELATIVE
$10=$ ADOPTED/FOSTER
STEPCHILD
11 = NOT RELATED 98 = DON'T KNOW


CODES FOR Qs. 14 AND 16: EDUCATION
LEVEL
1 = PRIMARY
2 = SECONDARY
3 = HIGHER
$6=$ PRE-PRIMARY
8 = DON'T KNOW
$00=$ LESS THAN 1 YEAR COMPLETED
(USE '00' FOR Q. 14 ONLY.
THIS CODE IS NOT ALLOWED
FOR Q. 16)
$98=$ DON'T KNOW

## HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | How often does anyone smoke inside your house? Would you say daily, weekly, monthly, less than monthly, or never? |  |  |
| 102 | What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? |  | $105$ $\longmapsto 105$ |
| 103 | Where is that water source located? |  | $105$ |
| 104 | How long does it take to go there, get water, and come back? |  |  |
| 105 | Do you do anything to the water to make it safer to drink? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 107$ |
| 106 | What do you usually do to make the water safer to drink? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 | What kind of toilet facility do members of your household usually use? | FLUSH OR POUR FLUSH TOILET <br> FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM ............................ 11 <br> FLUSH TO SEPTIC TANK ............... 12 <br> FLUSH TO PIT LATRINE ............... 13 <br> FLUSH TO SOMEWHERE ELSE . . . . . . . 14 <br> FLUSH, DON'T KNOW WHERE ........ 15 <br> PIT LATRINE <br> VENTILATED IMPROVED <br> PIT LATRINE ......................... . . 21 <br> PIT LATRINE WITH SLAB . ............. . 22 <br> PIT LATRINE WITHOUT SLAB/ <br> OPEN PIT ............................ . 23 <br> COMPOSTING TOILET .................... . 31 <br> BUCKET TOILET <br> HANGING TOILET/HANGING <br> LATRINE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 51 <br> NO FACILITY/BUSH/FIELD ............... 61 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ | $\rightarrow 110$ |
| 108 | Do you share this toilet facility with other households? |  | $\rightarrow 110$ |
| 109 | How many households use this toilet facility? |  |  |
| 110 | Does your household have: <br> Electricity? <br> A radio? <br> A television? <br> A cell phone? <br> A landline/telephone? <br> A refrigerator/freezer? <br> A computer/laptop? <br> A stove? <br> A microwave? <br> Home internet connectivity? <br> A wardrobe? <br> A sofa? <br> A bed? <br> A table and chairs? <br> Windows with glass? |  |  |
| 111 | What type of fuel does your household mainly use for cooking? |  | $\rightarrow 114$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112 | Is the cooking usually done in the house, in a separate building, or outdoors? |  | $114$ |
| 113 | Do you have a separate room which is used as a kitchen? |  |  |
| 114 | MAIN MATERIAL OF THE FLOOR. RECORD OBSERVATION. |  |  |
| 115 | MAIN MATERIAL OF THE ROOF. RECORD OBSERVATION. |  |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123 | Does any member of this household have an active bank account? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 123A | Have you ever heard of an illness called malaria? |  | $\longrightarrow 124$ |
| 123B | What are the signs of malaria? PROBE: Any other signs? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 123C | What causes malaria? <br> PROBE: Any other causes? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 123D | What would you do if you suspected that you have malaria? |  |  |
| 123E | What do you do to prevent getting malaria? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 124 | At any time in the past 12 months, has anyone come into your dwelling to spray the interior walls against mosquitoes? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | $\longrightarrow 126$ |
| 125 | Who sprayed the dwelling? |  |  |
| 126 | Does your household have any mosquito nets that can be used while sleeping? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\longrightarrow 137$ |
| 127 | How many mosquito nets does your household have? <br> IF 7 OR MORE NETS, RECORD '7'. | NUMBER OF NETS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |


|  |  | NET \#1 | NET \#2 | NET \#3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | ASK THE RESPONDENT TO SHOW YOU ALL THE NETS IN THE HOUSEHOLD <br> IF MORE THAN 3 NETS, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S) | OBSERVED ..... 1 <br> NOT OBSERVED . . 2 | OBSERVED $\ldots . .$. 1 <br> NOT OBSERVED . . 2 | OBSERVED ..... 1 <br> NOT OBSERVED . . 2 |
| 129 | How many months ago did your household get the mosquito net? <br> IF LESS THAN ONE MONTH AGO, RECORD '00'. | MONTHS <br> AGO <br> MORE THAN 36 <br> MONTHS AGO . . . 95 <br> NOT SURE $\qquad$ 98 | MONTHS <br> AGO <br> MORE THAN 36 <br> MONTHS AGO . . . 95 <br> NOT SURE | MONTHS <br> AGO <br> MORE THAN 36 <br> MONTHS AGO . . . 95 <br> NOT SURE ....... 98 |
| 130 | OBSERVE OR ASK THE BRAND/ TYPE OF MOSQUITO NET. <br> IF BRAND IS UNKNOWN AND YOU CANNOT OBSERVE THE NET, SHOW PICTURES OF TYPICAL NET TYPES/BRANDS TO RESPONDENT. |  |  |  |
| 131 | When you got the net, was it already treated with an insecticide to kill or repel mosquitoes? | YES $\quad \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2  <br> NOT SURE .................... 8  | YES $\ldots . . . . . .$. 1 <br> NO $\ldots . . . . . .$. 2 <br> NOT SURE . . . . . . 8 | YES $\quad . . . . . . . . . .$. 1 <br> NO $\ldots . . . . . .$. 2 <br> NOT SURE . . . . . . 8 |
| 132 | Since you got the net, was it ever soaked or dipped in a liquid to kill or repel mosquitoes? |  |  | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots \ldots$ 2 <br>  $\ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ |
| 133 | How many months ago was the net last soaked or dipped? <br> IF LESS THAN ONE MONTH AGO, RECORD '00'. | MONTHS <br> AGO <br> MORE THAN 24 <br> MONTHS AGO . . . 95 <br> NOT SURE $\qquad$ 98 | MONTHS AGO <br> MORE THAN 24 <br> MONTHS AGO . . . 95 <br> NOT SURE | MONTHS <br> AGO <br> MORE THAN 24 <br> MONTHS AGO . . . 95 <br> NOT SURE ....... 98 |
| 134 | Did anyone sleep under this mosquito net last night? |  |  |  |



HEALTH EXPENDITURES


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148 | What is the name of the household member who last received care from a health provider, a pharmacy, or a traditional healer without staying overnight? <br> RECORD NAME AND LINE NUMBER FROM COLS 1 AND 2 IN THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. | LINE <br> NUMBER <br> NAME $\qquad$ |  |
| 149 | Now I would like to ask some questions about (NAME in 148), who consulted a provider for health care in the last four weeks, without having stayed overnight. From what type of health provider did (NAME in 148) get care most recently without staying overnight? |  |  |
| 150 | How much money was spent by your household on (NAME in 148)'s treatment and services received from (NAME OF PROVIDER IN 149)? Please include the consulting fee and any expenses for other items including drugs and tests. |  |  |
| 151 | What was the main reason for (NAME in 148) to seek care this most recent time? |  |  |
| 152 | In total, how many times did (NAME in 148) get care from a health provider in the last four weeks, without staying overnight? | NUMBER OF OUTPATIENT VISITS DON'T KNOW |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 153 | How many times in the last four weeks was money spent by your household for care (NAME in 148) received (without staying overnight)? | NUMBER OF OUTPATIENT VISITS FOR WHICH MONEY WAS SPENT DON'T KNOW |  |
| 154 | ASK RESPONDENT FOR A TEASPOONFUL OF COOKING SALT. EXPLAIN THAT YOU WILL TEST SALT FOR IODINE, AN IMPORTANT MICRONUTRIENT. <br> TEST SALT FOR IODINE. | IODINE PRESENT <br> NO IODINE <br> NO SALT IN HOUSEHOLD <br> SALT NOT TESTED | $\begin{array}{cc} \ldots . & 1  \tag{98}\\ \ldots & 2 \\ \ldots & 3 \\ & 6 \end{array}$ |

## TABLE FOR SELECTION OF WOMEN FOR THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE QUESTIONS

HOUSEHOLD SELECTED FOR MAN'S SURVEY?
NO


YES


NEXT SECTION

LOOK AT THE LAST DIGIT OF THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE SERIAL NUMBER ON THE COVER PAGE. THIS IS THE ROW NUMBER YOU SHOULD GO TO. CHECK THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE WOMEN (COLUMN 18) IN THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. THIS IS THE COLUMN NUMBER YOU SHOULD GO TO. FOLLOW THE SELECTED ROW AND COLUMN TO THE CELL WHERE THEY MEET AND CIRCLE THE NUMBER IN THE CELL. THIS IS THE NUMBER OF THE WOMAN SELECTED FOR THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE QUESTIONS FROM THE LIST OF ELIGIBLE WOMEN IN COLUMN 18 OF THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. WRITE THE NAME AND LINE NUMBER OF THE SELECTED WOMAN IN THE SPACE BELOW THE TABLE.

EXAMPLE: THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE SERIAL NUMBER IS ‘716’ AND THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE COLUMN 18 SHOWS THAT THERE ARE THREE ELIGIBLE WOMEN AGE 15-49 IN THE HOUSEHOLD (LINE NUMBERS 02, 04, AND 05). SINCE THE LAST DIGIT OF THE HOUSEHOLD SERIAL NUMBER IS '6' GO TO ROW '6' AND SINCE THERE ARE THREE ELIGIBLE WOMEN IN THE HOUSEHOLD, GO TO COLUMN '3'. FOLLOW THE ROW AND COLUMN AND FIND THE NUMBER IN THE CELL WHERE THEY MEET ('2') AND CIRCLE THE NUMBER. NOW GO TO THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE AND FIND THE SECOND WOMAN WHO IS ELIGIBLE FOR THE WOMAN'S INTERVIEW (LINE NUMBER '04' IN THIS EXAMPLE). WRITE HER NAME AND LINE NUMBER IN THE SPACE BELOW THE TABLE.

| LAST DIGIT OF THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE SERIAL NUMBER | TOTAL NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE WOMEN AGE 15-49 IN HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE COLUMN 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| NAME OF SELECTED WOMAN 15-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | H L | US | EC | AN |  |  |  |



LOOK AT THE LAST DIGIT OF THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE SERIAL NUMBER ON THE COVER PAGE. THIS IS THE ROW NUMBER YOU SHOULD GO TO. CHECK THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE MEN (COLUMN 21) IN THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. THIS IS THE COLUMN NUMBER YOU SHOULD GO TO. FOLLOW THE SELECTED ROW AND COLUMN TO THE CELL WHERE THEY MEET AND CIRCLE THE NUMBER IN THE CELL. THIS IS THE NUMBER OF THE MAN SELECTED FOR THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE QUESTIONS FROM THE LIST OF ELIGIBLE MEN IN COLUMN 21 OF THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. WRITE THE NAME AND LINE NUMBER OF THE SELECTED MAN IN THE SPACE BELOW THE TABLE.

EXAMPLE: THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE SERIAL NUMBER IS ‘716’ AND THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE COLUMN 21 SHOWS THAT THERE ARE THREE ELIGIBLE MEN AGE 15-49 IN THE HOUSEHOLD (LINE NUMBERS 02, 04, AND 05). SINCE THE LAST DIGIT OF THE HOUSEHOLD SERIAL NUMBER IS '6' GO TO ROW '6' AND SINCE THERE ARE three eligible men in the household, go to column ' 3 '. Follow the row and column and find the NUMBER IN THE CELL WHERE THEY MEET ('2') AND CIRCLE THE NUMBER. NOW GO TO THE HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE AND FIND THE SECOND MAN WHO IS ELIGIBLE FOR THE MAN'S INTERVIEW (LINE NUMBER '04' IN THIS EXAMPLE). WRITE HIS NAME AND LINE NUMBER IN THE SPACE BELOW THE TABLE.


WEIGHT, HEIGHT AND HAEMOGLOBIN MEASUREMENT FOR CHILDREN AGE 0-5 YEARS

| HOUSEHOLD SELECTED FOR MAN'S SURVEY? YES ......... 1 |  |  |  |  | END |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 | CHECK COLUMN 24 IN HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. RECORD THE LINE NUMBER AND NAME FOR ALL ELIGIBLE CHILDREN 0-5 YEARS IN QUESTION 202. IF MORE THAN SIX CHILDREN, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S). |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CHILD 1 | CHILD 2 | CHILD 3 |  |
| 202 | LINE NUMBER FROM COLUMN 24 <br> NAME FROM COLUMN 2 | LINE NUMBER $\square$ <br> NAME $\qquad$ | LINE NUMBER NAME $\qquad$ | LINE <br> NUMBER <br> NAME $\qquad$ |  |
| 203 | IF MOTHER INTERVIEWED, COPY MONTH AND YEAR OF BIRTH FROM BIRTH HISTORY AND ASK DAY; IF MOTHER NOT INTERVIEWED, ASK: What is (NAME)'s birth date? |  |  | DAY . <br> MONTH <br> YEAR | $-1$ |
| 204 | CHECK 203: <br> CHILD BORN IN JANUARY 2008 OR LATER? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$(GO TO 203 FOR NEXTCHILD OR, IF NO <br> MORE CHILDREN, <br> GO TO 300)G$l$ | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ (GO TO 203 FOR NEXT CHILD OR, IF NO MORE CHILDREN, GO TO 300) | YES ..... NO (GO TO 2 CHILD OR MORE CH GO TO 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \cdots \\ & \text { R NEXT } \\ & \text { NO } \\ & \text { EN, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 205 | WEIGHT IN KILOGRAMS |  |  | KG. $\square$ NOT PRE REFUSED OTHER |  |
| 206 | HEIGHT IN CENTIMETERS |  |  | CM. $\square$ <br> NOT PRES REFUSED OTHER | $\square$. $\square$ <br> .. <br> 9994 <br> ..... 9995 <br> ..... 9996 |
| 207 | MEASURED LYING DOWN OR STANDING UP? | $\begin{array}{llll} \text { LYING DOWN } \ldots \ldots . . & 1 \\ \text { STANDING UP ......... } & 2 \\ \text { NOT MEASURED . . . . . } & 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { LYING DOWN } \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \text { STANDING UP .......... } & 2 \\ \text { NOT MEASURED ....... } & 3\end{array}$ | LYING DOW STANDING NOT MEAS | $\begin{array}{ccc}  & \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ D \ldots \ldots & 3 \end{array}$ |
| 208 | CHECK 203: <br> IS CHILD AGE 0-5 MONTHS, I.E., WAS CHILD BORN IN MONTH OF INTERVIEW OR FIVE PREVIOUS MONTHS? | O-5 MONTHS ........ (GO TO 203 FOR NEXT CHILD OR, IF NO MORE CHILDREN, GO TO 300) OLDER ............. O |  | 0-5 MONTH (GO TO 2 CHILD OR MORE CH GO TO 30 OLDER |  |
| 209 | LINE NUMBER OF PARENT/ OTHER ADULT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CHILD (FROM COLUMN 1 OF HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE). RECORD 'OO' IF NOT LISTED. | LINE NUMBER $\square$ | LINE NUMBER $\square$ | LINE <br> NUMBER | $7$ |
| 210 | ASK CONSENT FOR ANAEMIA TEST FROM PARENT/OTHER ADULT IDENTIFIED IN 209 AS RESPONSIBLE FOR CHILD. | As part of this survey, we are asking people all over the country to take an anaemia test. Anaemia is a serious health problem that usually results from poor nutrition, infection, or chronic disease. This survey will assist the government to develop programs to prevent and treat anemia. <br> We ask that all children born in 2008 or later take part in anaemia testing in this survey and give a few drops of blood from a finger or heel. The equipment used to take the blood is clean and completely safe. It has never been used before and will be thrown away after each test. <br> SHOW UNOPENED PACKAGE. <br> The blood will be tested for anaemia immediately, and the result will be told to you right away for further follow up, if necessary. The result will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. <br> You can say yes to the test, or you can say no. It is up to you to decide. <br> Do you have any questions? If you have any questions about the procedure at any time, please ask me. For more information about the procedure, you may contact the person(s) listed on this card. <br> Will you allow (NAME OF CHILD) to participate in the anaemia test? |  |  |  |
| 211 | CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME. |  |  | GRANTED $\qquad$ (SI REFUSED | $\left.\ldots\right\|_{2} ^{\ldots \ldots \ldots}{ }_{2}^{1}$ |
| 212 | RECORD HAEMOGLOBIN LEVEL HERE AND IN THE ANAEMIA PAMPHLET. |  |  | G/DL <br> NOT PRES REFUSED OTHER | $\square$ $\begin{array}{r} \text {. . . . } 994 \\ \ldots . . . .995 \\ \ldots . . . . .996 \end{array}$ |
| 213 | GO BACK TO 203 IN NEXT COLUMN OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE OR IN THE FIRST COLUMN OF THE NEXT PAGE; IF NO MORE CHILDREN, GO TO 300. |  |  |  |  |

WEIGHT, HEIGHT AND HAEMOGLOBIN MEASUREMENT FOR CHILDREN AGE 0-5 YEARS

|  |  | CHILD 4 | CHILD 5 | CHILD 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 202 | LINE NUMBER FROM COLUMN 24 <br> NAME FROM COLUMN 2 | LINE NUMBER $\square$ NAME $\qquad$ | LINE NUMBER $\square$ NAME $\qquad$ | LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ NAME $\qquad$ |
| 203 | IF MOTHER INTERVIEWED, COPY MONTH AND YEAR OF BIRTH FROM BIRTH HISTORY AND ASK DAY; IF MOTHER NOT INTERVIEWED, ASK: What is (NAME)'s birth date? |  |  |  |
| 204 | CHECK 203: CHILD BORN IN JANUARY 2008 OR LATER? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ NO .................................. (GO TO 203 FOR NEXT CHILD OR, IF NO MORE CHILDREN, GO TO 300) | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$(GO TO 203 FOR NEXTCHILD OR, IF NO <br> MORE CHILDREN, <br> GO TO 300) |  |
| 205 | WEIGHT IN KILOGRAMS |  |  |  |
| 206 | HEIGHT IN CENTIMETERS |  |  |  |
| 207 | MEASURED LYING DOWN OR STANDING UP? | LYING DOWN ....... 1 <br> STANDING UP . . . . . 2 <br> NOT MEASURED . . . 3 | LYING DOWN ....... 1 <br> STANDING UP . . . . . 2 <br> NOT MEASURED . . . 3 | LYING DOWN ....... 1 <br> STANDING UP . . . . . . 2 <br> NOT MEASURED . . . 3 |
| 208 | CHECK 203: <br> IS CHILD AGE 0-5 MONTHS, I.E., WAS CHILD BORN IN MONTH OF INTERVIEW OR FIVE PREVIOUS MONTHS? | O-5 MONTHS ........ $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { (GO TO 203 FOR NEXT } \\ & \text { CHILD OR, IF NO } \\ & \text { MORE CHILDREN, } \\ & \text { GO TO 300) } \\ & \text { OLDER ............. } 2\end{aligned}{ }^{2}$ | 0-5 MONTHS ........ 1 (GO TO 203 FOR NEXT CHILD OR, IF NO MORE CHILDREN, GO TO 300) OLDER $\qquad$ | O-5 MONTHS ........ 1 (GO TO 203 FOR NEXT CHILD OR, IF NO MORE CHILDREN, GO TO 300) OLDER .............. 2 |
| 209 | LINE NUMBER OF PARENT/ OTHER ADULT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CHILD (FROM COLUMN 1 OF HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE). RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED. | LINE <br> NUMBER | LINE <br> NUMBER | LINE <br> NUMBER |
| 210 | ASK CONSENT FOR ANAEMIA TEST FROM PARENT/OTHER ADULT IDENTIFIED IN 209 AS RESPONSIBLE FOR CHILD. | As part of this survey, we are asking people all over the country to take an anaemia test. Anaemia is a serious health problem that usually results from poor nutrition, infection, or chronic disease. This survey will assist the government to develop programs to prevent and treat anemia. <br> We ask that all children born in 2008 or later take part in anaemia testing in this survey and give a few drops of blood from a finger or heel. The equipment used to take the blood is clean and completely safe. It has never been used before and will be thrown away after each test. <br> SHOW UNOPENED PACKAGE. <br> The blood will be tested for anaemia immediately, and the result will be told to you right away for further follow up, if necessary. The result will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. <br> You can say yes to the test, or you can say no. It is up to you to decide. <br> Do you have any questions? If you have any questions about the procedure at any time, please ask me. For more information about the procedure, you may contact the person(s) listed on this card. <br> Will you allow (NAME OF CHILD) to participate in the anaemia test? |  |  |
| 211 | CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME. |  |  |  |
| 212 | RECORD HAEMOGLOBIN LEVEL HERE AND IN THE ANAEMIA PAMPHLET. |  |  |  |
| 213 | GO BACK TO 203 IN NEXT COLUMN OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE OR IN THE FIRST COLUMN OF THE NEXT PAGE; IF NO MORE CHILDREN, GO TO 300. |  |  |  |

BLOOD PRESSURE AND BLOOD GLUCOSE FOR WOMEN AGE 35-64

|  | HOUSEHOLD SELECTED FOR M | YRVEY? YES ........ $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | END |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | CHECK COLUMN 20 IN HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. RECORD THE LINE NUMBER AND NAME OF ALL ELIGIBLE WOMEN AGE 35-64 FOR BLOOD GLUCOSE AND BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS. <br> IF THERE ARE MORE THAN THREE WOMEN, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S). |  |  |  |
|  |  | WOMAN 1 | WOMAN 2 | WOMAN 3 |
| 301 | LINE NUMBER FROM COLUMN 20 <br> NAME FROM COLUMN 2. | LINE <br> NUMBER ...... <br> NAME | LINE <br> NUMBER . . . . . . . . . . <br> NAME | LINE $\qquad$ <br> NAME |
| 301A | PREGNANCY STATUS: <br> CHECK 226 <br> IN WOMAN'S QUESTIONNAIRE OR ASK: <br> Are you pregnant? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> DK . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . DK . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 302 | Now I am going to ask you to participate in several physical measurements or tests. I will explain each measurement or test before starting the procedure. You will be free to say yes or no to each one. Before taking the measurements, I am going to ask a few questions about yourself. |  |  |  |
| 303 | AGE <br> How old were you at your last birthday? | YEARS | YEARS | YEARS |
| 304 | MARITAL STATUS <br> What is your current marital status? | CURRENTLY IN UNION $\ldots$. 1  <br> DIVORCED/SEPARATED $\ldots$ 2  <br> WIDOWED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$  3  <br> NEVER MARRIED/    <br> NEVER IN UNION $\ldots .$. 4  | CURRENTLY IN UNION $\ldots$. 1  <br> DIVORCEDISEPARATED  $\ldots$ 2 <br> WIDOWED ............  3  <br> NEVER MARRIED/    <br> NEVER IN UNION $\ldots .$. 4  | CURRENTLY IN UNION $\ldots$. 1  <br> DIVORCED/SEPARATED $\ldots$ 2  <br> WIDOWED $\ldots \ldots . . \ldots$.  3  <br> NEVER MARRIED/    <br> NEVER IN UNION $\ldots . .$. 4  |
| 305 | EDUCATION <br> Have you ever attended school? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . (GO TO 307) |  | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . (GO TO 307) |
| 306 | What is the highest level of school you attended: primary, secondary, or higher? |  |  |  |
| 307 | WORK <br> Are you currently working? |  |  |  |
| 308 | What is your occupation, that is what is the kind of work you mainly do? |  | $\qquad$ |  |
| 309 | ASK CONSENT FOR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT <br> I would like to measure your blood pressure. This will be done three times during the interview and it will take about ten minutes for each measurement. This is a harmless procedure. It is used to find out if a person has high blood pressure. If it is not treated, high blood pressure may eventually cause serious damage to the heart and may lead to stroke and death. <br> The results of this blood pressure measurement will be given to you after the measurement process is completed for further follow up if necessary. I will explain the meaning of your blood pressure numbers. If your blood pressure is high, we will suggest that you consult a health facility or doctor since we cannot provide any further testing or treatment during the survey. The result will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. <br> You can say yes or no to having the blood pressure measurement now. You can also decide at anytime not to participate in the blood pressure measures. <br> Do you have any questions about the blood pressure measurement so far? If you have any questions about the procedure at any time, please ask me. For more information, you may also contact the person(s) on the card that was given out at the beginning. <br> Will you undergo the blood pressure measurements? |  |  |  |
| 310 | CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME. <br> (IF 'NOT PRESENT' MAKE 2 MORE CALL BACKS TO FIND THE RESPONDENT) | GRANTED . . . . . . . . . . . . . .REFUSED <br> (SIGN AND GO TO 317)SIGN <br> RESP. NOT PRESENT <br> (GO TO 365)(G) |  |  |







| HOUSEHOLD SELECTED FOR MALE SURVEY? YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \stackrel{1}{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 337 | CHECK COLUMN 19 IN HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. RECORD THE LINE NUMBER AND NAME FOR ALL ELIGIBLE WOMEN AGE 15-64 IN 215. IF THERE ARE MORE THAN THREE WOMEN, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S). |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | WOMAN 1 | WOMAN |  | WOMAN 3 |  |
| 338 | LINE NUMBER <br> FROM COLUMN 19 <br> NAME FROM COLUMN 2 | LINE <br> NUMBER <br> NAME | LINE NUMBER <br> NAME |  | LINE <br> NUMBER <br> NAME |  |
| 339 | WEIGHT <br> IN KILOGRAMS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340 | HEIGHT <br> IN CENTIMETERS | CM. $\square$ <br> NOT PRESENT REFUSED OTHER | CM. <br> NOT PRESENT REFUSED OTHER | . $\qquad$ <br> 9994 <br> 9995 <br> 9996 | CM. $\square$ <br> NOT PRESENT REFUSED OTHER | $\begin{aligned} & 7 . \\ & \\ & \ldots 9994 \\ & \text { ․ } 9995 \\ & \text { ․ } 9996 \end{aligned}$ |
| 341 | AGE: CHECK COLUMN 7. | 15-17 YEARS <br> 18-64 YEARS | 15-17 YEARS 18-64 YEARS | $\begin{array}{ll} \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ 346) \end{array}$ | 15-17 YEARS <br> 18-64 YEARS | $\begin{array}{ll} \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots & 2 \\ 6 \end{array}$ |
| 342 | MARITAL STATUS: CHECK COLUMN 8. | CODE 4 (NEVER IN UN OTHER | CODE 4 (NEVER IN UN OTHER | $\begin{array}{rr} \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots . & 2 \\ 346) \end{array}$ | CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNI OTHER | $\begin{array}{cc} \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots & 2 \\ 46) \end{array}$ |
| 343 | RECORD LINE NUMBER OF PARENT/OTHER ADULT RESPONSIBLE FOR ADOLESCENT. RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED. | LINE NUMBER OF PARENT OR OTHER RESPONSIBLE ADULT | LINE NUMBER OF PARENT OR OTHER RESPONSIBLE ADULT |  | LINE NUMBER OF PARENT OR OTHER RESPONSIBLE ADULT |  |
| 344 | ASK CONSENT FOR <br> ANAEMIA TEST FROM PARENT/ OTHER ADULT IDENTIFIED IN 343 AS RESPONSIBLE FOR NEVER IN UNION WOMEN AGE 15-17. | As part of this survey, w usually results from poor and treat anaemia. <br> For the anaemia testing, safe. It has never been result will be told to you confidential and will not b <br> SHOW UNOPENED PA You can say yes to the t <br> Do you have any questio may also contact the per <br> Will you allow (NAME O | ll over the country to take chronic disease. This s <br> ops of blood from a finge be thrown away after each ESCENT) right away for other than members of <br> OLESCENT), or you can questions about the proce at was given out at the be ake the anaemia test? | anaemia tes y will assist <br> he equipmen t. The blood her follow up survey team. <br> no. It is up to at any time ning. | naemia is a serious health overnment to develop pro <br> d to take the blood is clea be tested for anaemia imm ecessary. The result will be <br> to decide. <br> ase ask me. For more info | m that to preve <br> complet ly, and th strictly <br> n, you |
| 345 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE AND <br> SIGN <br> YOUR NAME. | GRANTED PARENT/OTHER RESP ADULT REFUSED .. <br> (SIGN) <br> (IF REFUSED, | GRANTED . ........ PARENT/OTHER RESP ADULT REFUSED . <br> (SIGN) <br> (IF REFUSED, | SIBLE <br> ........ 2 $\qquad$ <br> O 351) | GRANTED PARENT/OTHER RESP ADULT REFUSED $\qquad$ <br> (SIGN) <br> (IF REFUSED, G | LE <br> .... 2 <br> 351) |
| 346 | ASK CONSENT FOR <br> ANAEMIA TEST FROM RESPONDENT. | As part of this survey, we are asking people all over the country to take an anaemia test. anaemia is a serious health problem that usually results from poor nutrition, infection, or chronic disease. This survey will assist the government to develop programs to prevent and treat anaemia. <br> For the anaemia testing, we will need a few drops of blood from a finger. The equipment used to take the blood is clean and completely safe. It has never been used before and will be thrown away after each test. The blood will be tested for anaemia immediately, and the result will be told to you right away for further follow up, if necessary. The result will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. <br> SHOW UNOPENED PACKAGE. <br> You can say yes or no to the test. It is up to you to decide. <br> Do you have any questions? If you have any questions about the procedure at any time, please ask me. For more information, you may also contact the person(s) on the card that was given out at the beginning. <br> Will you take the anaemia test? |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | WOMAN 1 | WOMAN 2 | WOMAN 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NAME FROM COLUMN 2 | NAME | NAME | NAME |
| 347 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE AND <br> SIGN <br> YOUR NAME. |  |  <br> (IF REFUSED, GO TO 349) |  |
| 348 | PREGNANCY <br> STATUS: CHECK 226 IN WOMAN'S QUESTIONNAIRE OR ASK: <br> Are you pregnant? |  |  |  |
| 349 | AGE: CHECK COLUMN 7. | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { 15-17 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \text { 18-64 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ & & \text { (GO TO 353) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 15-17 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ \text { 18-64 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \\ & \text { (GO TO 353) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rcc}\text { 15-17 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ 18-64 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ & & (\text { GO TO 353) }\end{array}$ |
| 350 | MARITAL STATUS: CHECK COLUMN 8. |  |  |  |
| 351 | ASK CONSENT FOR <br> DBS COLLECTION <br> FROM PARENT/ <br> OTHER ADULT <br> IDENTIFIED IN 343 <br> AS RESPONSIBLE <br> FOR <br> NEVER IN UNION <br> WOMEN AGE 15-17. | As part of the survey we also are asking people all over the country to take an HIV test. HIV is the virus that causes AIDS. AIDS is a very serious illness. The HIV test is being done to determine the HIV prevalence in Namibia. <br> For the HIV test, we need to collect a few (more) drops of blood from a finger to be tested later at a lab for HIV. The equipment used to take the blood is clean and completely safe. It has never been used before and will be thrown away after each test. No names will be attached so we will not be able to tell you the test results. No one else will be able to know (NAME OF ADOLESCENT)'s test results either. If (NAME OF ADOLESCENT) wants to know her HIV status, I can provide a list of [nearby] facilities offering counseling and testing for HIV. I will also give her a voucher for free services that can be used at any of these facilities. <br> You can say yes to the test for (NAME OF ADOLESCENT), or you can say no. It is up to you to decide. <br> Do you have any questions? If you have any questions about the procedure at any time, please ask me. For more information, you may also contact the person(s) on the card that was given out at the beginning. <br> Will you allow (NAME OF ADOLESCENT) to take the HIV test? |  |  |
| 352 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE AND <br> SIGN <br> YOUR NAME. | GRANTED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$PARENT/OTHER RESPONSIBLE <br> ADULT REFUSED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$(IF REFUSED, GO TO 362) | GRANTED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> PARENT/OTHER RESPONSIBLE <br> ADULT REFUSED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$$\left.\frac{1}{} \begin{array}{l}\text { (SIGN) } \\ \text { (IF REFUSED, GO TO 362) }\end{array}\right]$ | GRANTED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$PARENT/OTHER RESPONSIBLE <br> ADULT REFUSED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$$\frac{1}{}$(IF REFUSED, GO TO 362) |
| 353 | ASK CONSENT <br> FOR <br> DBS COLLECTION <br> FROM <br> RESPONDENT. | As part of the survey we also are asking pe very serious illness. The HIV test is being d <br> For the HIV test, we need a few (more) dro safe. It has never been used before and will you the test results. No one else will be able you with a list of [nearby] facilities offering for your partner if you want) that you can us <br> You can say yes or no to the test. It is up to <br> Do you have any questions? If you have any also contact the person(s) on the card that <br> Will you take the HIV test? | ple all over the country to take an HIV test. HIV ne to determine the HIV prevalence in Namib <br> s blood from a finger. The equipment used be thrown away after each test. No names will to know your test results either. If you want to unseling and testing for HIV. I will also give at any of these facilities. <br> you to decide. <br> questions about the procedure at any time, p as given out at the beginning. | is the virus that causes AIDS. AIDS is a <br> take the blood is clean and completely be attached so we will not be able to tell now whether you have HIV, I can provide a voucher for free services for you (and <br> ase ask me. For more information, you may |
| 354 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE, SIGN <br> YOUR NAME, AND <br> ENTER YOUR <br> INTERVIEWER <br> NUMBER. |  |  |  |


|  |  | WOMAN 1 | WOMAN 2 | WOMAN 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NAME FROM COLUMN 2 | NAME | NAME | NAME |
| 355 | AGE: CHECK COLUMN 7. | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { 15-17 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \text { 18-64 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ & \text { (GO TO 359) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { 15-17 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 18-64 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \\ & \text { (GO TO 359) }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 15-17 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 18-64 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \\ & \text { (GO TO 359) }\end{aligned}$ |
| 356 | MARITAL STATUS: CHECK COLUMN 8. |  |  |  |
| 357 | ASK CONSENT <br> FOR <br> ADDITIONAL <br> TESTING FROM <br> PARENT/OTHER <br> ADULT <br> IDENTIFIED IN 343 <br> AS RESPONSIBLE <br> FOR <br> NEVER IN UNION <br> WOMEN AGE 15-17. | We ask you to allow the Ministry of Health and Social Services to store part of the blood sample at the laboratory for additional tests or research. <br> You can say yes or no to storing the blood of (NAME OF ADOLESCENT) for additional testing. The blood sample will not have any name or other data attached that could identify (NAME OF ADOLESCENT). You do not have to agree. If you do not want the blood sample stored for additional testing (NAME OF ADOLESCENT) can still participate in the HIV testing in this survey. Will you allow us to keep the blood sample stored for additional testing? |  |  |
| 358 | CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME. | (SIGN)GRANTED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> PADENT/OTHER RESPONSIBLE <br> (IF REFUSED, GO TO 361) | GRANTED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> PARENT/OTHER RESPONSIBLE <br> ADULT REFUSED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> (SIGN) <br>  <br> (IF REFUSED, GO TO 361) | GRANTED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$PARENT/OTHER RESPONSIBLE <br> ADULT REFUSED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$$\frac{1}{}$(IIGN)(IF REFUSED, GO TO 361) |
| 359 | ASK CONSENT FOR ADDITIONAL TESTING FROM RESPONDENT. | We ask you to allow the Ministry of Health and Social Services to store part of the blood sample at the laboratory for additional tests or research. We are not certain about what additional tests might be done. <br> You can say yes or no to storing your blood for additional testing. The blood sample will not have any name or other data attached that could identify you. You do not have to agree. If you do not want the blood sample stored for additional testing, you can still participate in the HIV testing in this survey. Will you allow us to keep the blood sample stored for additional testing? |  |  |
| 360 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE AND <br> SIGN <br> YOUR NAME. |  |  |  |
| 361 | ADDITIONAL TESTS | CHECK 358 AND 360: <br> IF CONSENT HAS NOT BEEN GRANTED WRITE "NO ADDITIONAL TEST" ON THE FILTER PAPER. | CHECK 358 AND 360: <br> IF CONSENT HAS NOT BEEN GRANTED WRITE "NO ADDITIONAL TEST" ON THE FILTER PAPER. | CHECK 358 AND 360: <br> IF CONSENT HAS NOT BEEN GRANTED WRITE "NO ADDITIONAL TEST" ON THE FILTER PAPER. |
| 362 | PREPARE EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES ONLY FOR THE TEST(S) FOR WHICH CONSENT HAS BEEN OBTAINED AND PROCEED WITH THE TEST(S). |  |  |  |
| 363 | RECORD HAEMO- <br> GLOBIN LEVEL <br> HERE AND IN ANAEMIA PAMPHLET |  |  |  |
| 364 | BAR CODE LABEL |  |  |  |
| 365 | GO BACK TO 300 IN NEXT COLUMN OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE OR IN THE FIRST COLUMN OF AN ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE; IF NO MORE WOMEN, GO TO 400. |  |  |  |

BLOOD PRESSURE AND BLOOD GLUCOSE FOR MEN AGE 35-64

|  | HOUSEHOLD SELECTED FOR M | URVEY? YES $\ldots \ldots . . \begin{gathered}1 \\ \\ \\ \end{gathered}$ | NO | END |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | CHECK COLUMN 23 IN HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE. RECORD THE LINE NUMBER AND NAME OF ALL ELIGIBLE MEN AGE 35-64 FOR BLOOD GLUCOSE AND BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS. <br> IF THERE ARE MORE THAN THREE MEN, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S). |  |  |  |
|  |  | MAN 1 | MAN 2 | MAN 3 |
| 401 | LINE NUMBER FROM COLUMN 23 <br> NAME FROM COLUMN 2. | LINE <br> NUMBER ....... <br> NAME $\qquad$ | LINE $\qquad$ <br> NAME $\qquad$ | LINE NUMBER . . . . . . . . . <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| 402 | Now I am going to ask you to participate in several physical measurements or tests. I will explain each measurement or test before starting the procedure. You will be free to say yes or no to each one. Before taking the measurements, I am going to ask a few questions about yourself. |  |  |  |
| 403 | AGE <br> How old were you at your last birthday? | YEARS ..... $\square$ | YEARS ..... $\quad \square$ | YEARS |
| 404 | MARITAL STATUS <br> What is your current marital status? | CURRENTLY IN UNION $\ldots$. 1  <br> DIVORCED/SEPARATED  $\ldots$ 2 <br> WIDOWED ........... 3   <br> NEVER MARRIED/    <br> NEVER IN UNION $\ldots . .$. 4  | CURRENTLY IN UNION $\ldots$. 1 <br> DIVORCED/SEPARATED $\ldots$ 2 <br> WIDOWED ............  3 <br> NEVER MARRIED/   <br> NEVER IN UNION $\ldots .$. 4 | CURRENTLY IN UNION $\ldots$. 1  <br> DIVORCED/SEPARATED  . 2 <br> WIDOWED $\ldots \ldots . . . .$.  3  <br> NEVER MARRIED/    <br> NEVER IN UNION $\ldots .$. 4  |
| 405 | EDUCATION <br> Have you ever attended school? |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { YES } & \text {. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ \text { NO } & 1 \\ & \end{array}$ | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . NO TO 407) (GO TO |
| 406 | What is the highest level of school you attended: primary, secondary, or higher? |  |  |  |
| 407 | WORK <br> Are you currently working? |  |  |  |
| 408 | What is your occupation, that is what is the kind of work you mainly do? |  |  |  |
| 409 | ASK CONSENT FOR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT <br> I would like to measure your blood pressure. This will be done three times during the interview and it will take about ten minutes for each measurement. This is a harmless procedure. It is used to find out if a person has high blood pressure. If it is not treated, high blood pressure may eventually cause serious damage to the heart and may lead to stroke and death. <br> The results of this blood pressure measurement will be given to you after the measurement process is completed for further follow up if necessary. I will explain the meaning of your blood pressure numbers. If your blood pressure is high, we will suggest that you consult a health facility or doctor since we cannot provide any further testing or treatment during the survey. The result will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. <br> You can say yes or no to having the blood pressure measurement now. You can also decide at anytime not to participate in the blood pressure measures. <br> Do you have any questions about the blood pressure measurement so far? If you have any questions about the procedure at any time, please ask me. For more information, you may also contact the person(s) on the card that was given out at the beginning. <br> Will you undergo the blood pressure measurements? |  |  |  |
| 410 | CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME. <br> (IF 'NOT PRESENT' MAKE <br> 2 MORE CALL BACKS <br> TO FIND THE RESPONDENT) | GRANTED . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> REFUSED <br> (SIGN AND GO TO 417) <br> SIGN <br> RESP. NOT PRESENT <br> (GO TO 465) |  |  |







WEIGHT, HEIGHT, HAEMOGLOBIN MEASUREMENT AND HIV TESTING FOR MEN AGE 15-64


|  |  | MAN 1 | MAN 2 | MAN 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NAME FROM COLUMN 2 | NAME | NAME | NAME |
| 447 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE AND <br> SIGN <br> YOUR NAME. | (IF REFUSED, GO TO 449) | (IF REFUSED, GO TO 449) |  |
| 449 | AGE: CHECK COLUMN 7. | $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { 15-17 YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ 18-64 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ & \text { (GO TO 453) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}15-17 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ 18-64 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ & \text { (GO TO 453) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}15-17 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ 18-64 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ & (\text { GO TO 453) }\end{array}$ |
| 450 | MARITAL STATUS: CHECK COLUMN 8. |  |  | CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNION) $\ldots$. <br> OTHER <br>  <br>  |
| 451 | ASK CONSENT FOR DBS COLLECTION FROM PARENT/ OTHER ADULT IDENTIFIED IN 343 AS RESPONSIBLE FOR <br> NEVER IN UNION MEN AGE 15-17. | As part of the survey we also are asking people all over the country to take an HIV test. HIV is the virus that causes AIDS. AIDS is a very serious illness. The HIV test is being done to determine the HIV prevalence in Namibia. <br> For the HIV test, we need a few (more) drops of blood from a finger. The equipment used to take the blood is clean and completely safe. It has never been used before and will be thrown away after each test. No names will be attached so we will not be able to tell you the test results. No one else will be able to know (NAME OF ADOLESCENT)'s test results either. If (NAME OF ADOLESCENT) wants to know her HIV status, I can provide a list of [nearby] facilities offering counseling and testing for HIV. I will also give her a voucher for free services that can be used at any of these facilities. <br> You can say yes to the test for (NAME OF ADOLESCENT), or you can say no. It is up to you to decide. <br> Do you have any questions? If you have any questions about the procedure at any time, please ask me. For more information, you may also contact the person(s) on the card that was given out at the beginning. <br> Will you allow (NAME OF ADOLESCENT) to take the HIV test? |  |  |
| 452 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE AND <br> SIGN <br> YOUR NAME. |  | GRANTED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> PARENT/OTHER RESPONSIBLE <br> ADULT REFUSED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br>  <br>  <br> (SIGN) <br> (IF REFUSED, GO TO 462) | GRANTED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$PARENT/OTHER RESPONSIBLE <br> ADULT REFUSED $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$$\frac{1}{}$(IF REFUSED, GO TO 462) |
| 453 | ASK CONSENT <br> FOR <br> DBS COLLECTION <br> FROM <br> RESPONDENT. | As part of the survey we also are asking pe very serious illness. The HIV test is being don <br> For the HIV test, we need a few (more) dro safe. It has never been used before and wil you the test results. No one else will be able you with a list of [nearby] facilities offering for your partner if you want) that you can us <br> You can say yes or no to the test. It is up to <br> Do you have any questions? If you have any also contact the person(s) on the card that Will you take the HIV test? | ple all over the country to take an HIV test. HIV ne to determine the HIV prevalence in Namib <br> s of blood from a finger. The equipment used be thrown away after each test. No names w to know your test results either. If you want to unseling and testing for HIV. I will also give at any of these facilities. <br> you to decide. <br> questions about the procedure at any time, p as given out at the beginning. | is the virus that causes AIDS. AIDS is a <br> take the blood is clean and completely be attached so we will not be able to tell now whether you have HIV, I can provide a voucher for free services for you (and <br> ase ask me. For more information, you may |
| 454 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE, SIGN <br> YOUR NAME, AND <br> ENTER YOUR <br> INTERVIEWER <br> NUMBER. |  | (IF REFUSED, GO TO 462) | (IF REFUSED, GO TO 462) |


|  |  | MAN 1 | MAN 2 | MAN 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NAME FROM COLUMN 2 | NAME | NAME | NAME |
|  |  | MAN 1 | MAN 2 | MAN 3 |
|  | NAME FROM COLUMN 2 | NAME | NAME | NAME |
| 455 | AGE: CHECK COLUMN 7. | $\begin{array}{rc}15-17 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 18-64 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \\ & \text { (GO TO 459) }\end{array}$ | 15-17 YEARS $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> $18-64$ YEARS $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br>   <br>  (GO TO 459) | $\begin{array}{rrr}15-17 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ 18-64 \text { YEARS } & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & & (\text { GO TO 459) }\end{array}$ |
| 456 | MARITAL STATUS: CHECK COLUMN 8. | CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNION) ..... 1 OTHER $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ (GO TO 459) |  |  |
| 457 | ASK CONSENT FOR <br> ADDITIONAL <br> TESTING FROM <br> PARENT/OTHER <br> ADULT <br> IDENTIFIED IN 443 <br> AS RESPONSIBLE <br> FOR <br> NEVER IN UNION <br> MEN AGE 15-17. | We ask you to allow the Ministry of Health and Social Services to store part of the blood sample at the laboratory for additional tests or research. <br> You can say yes or no to storing the blood of (NAME OF ADOLESCENT) for additional testing. The blood sample will not have any name or other data attached that could identify (NAME OF ADOLESCENT). You do not have to agree. If you do not want the blood sample stored for additional testing (NAME OF ADOLESCENT) can still participate in the HIV testing in this survey. Will you allow us to keep the blood sample stored for additional testing? |  |  |
| 458 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE AND <br> SIGN <br> YOUR NAME. |  |  |  |
| 459 | ASK CONSENT FOR ADDITIONAL TESTING FROM RESPONDENT. | We ask you to allow the Ministry of Health and Social Services to store part of the blood sample at the laboratory for additional tests or research. <br> You can say yes or no to storing your blood for additional testing. The blood sample will not have any name or other data attached that could identify you. You do not have to agree. If you do not want the blood sample stored for additional testing, you can still participate in the HIV testing in this survey. Will you allow us to keep the blood sample stored for additional testing? |  |  |
| 460 | CIRCLE THE <br> APPROPRIATE <br> CODE AND <br> SIGN <br> YOUR NAME. |  |  |  |
| 461 | ADDItional tests | CHECK 458 AND 460: <br> IF CONSENT HAS NOT BEEN GRANTED WRITE "NO ADDITIONAL TEST" ON THE FILTER PAPER. | CHECK 458 AND 460: <br> IF CONSENT HAS NOT BEEN GRANTED WRITE "NO ADDITIONAL TEST" ON THE FILTER PAPER. | CHECK 458 AND 460: <br> IF CONSENT HAS NOT BEEN GRANTED WRITE "NO ADDITIONAL TEST" ON THE FILTER PAPER. |
| 462 | PREPARE EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES ONLY FOR THE TEST(S) FOR WHICH CONSENT HAS BEEN OBTAINED AND PROCEED WITH THE TEST(S). |  |  |  |
| 463 | RECORD HAEMO- <br> GLOBIN LEVEL <br> HERE AND IN <br> ANAEMIA PAMPHLET |  |  |  |
| 464 | bar code label |  |  |  |
| 465 | GO BACK TO 400 IN NEXT COLUMN OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE OR IN THE FIRST COLUMN OF AN ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE; IF NO MORE MEN, END INTERVIEW. |  |  |  |

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES


## INFORMED CONSENT

Hello. My name is $\qquad$ . I am working with the Ministry of Health and Social Services. We are conducting a survey about health all over Namibia. The information we collect will help the government to plan health services. Your household was selected for the survey. The questions usually take about 30 to 60 minutes. All of the answers you give will be confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. You don't have to be in the survey, but we hope you will agree to answer the questions since your views are important. If I ask you any question you don't want to answer, just let me know and I will go on to the next question or you can stop the interview at any time.

In case you need more information about the survey, you may contact the person listed on the card that has already been given to your household.
Do you have any questions? May I begin the interview now?
SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWER: $\qquad$ DATE: $\qquad$



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | Now I would like you to read this sentence to me. <br> SHOW CARD TO RESPONDENT. <br> IF RESPONDENT CANNOT READ WHOLE SENTENCE, PROBE: Can you read any part of the sentence to me? |  |  |
| 108A | Have you ever participated in a literacy program or any other program that involves learning to read or write (not including primary school)? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 109 | CHECK 108: <br> CODE '2', '3' <br> CODE '1' OR '5' <br> OR '4' CIRCLED $\square$ CIRCLED |  | $\rightarrow 111$ |
| 110 | Do you read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? |  |  |
| 111 | Do you listen to the radio at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? | AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK ...... 1 <br> LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK $\ldots .$. 2 <br> NOT AT ALL $\quad . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. 3  |  |
| 112 | Do you watch television at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? | AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK . . . . . . . . 1 <br> LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK $\ldots . .$. 2 <br> NOT AT ALL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3  |  |
| 113 | What is your religion? |  |  |
| 114 | What is the main language spoken in your home? |  |  |
| 115 | In the last 12 months, how many times have you been away from home for one or more nights? | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ <br> NONE | $\rightarrow$ 201A |
| 116 | In the last 12 months, have you been away from home for more than one month at a time? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |

SECTION 2. REPRODUCTION

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201A | CHECK COVER PAGE: <br> HOUSEHOLD SELECTED <br> HOUSEHOLD NOT SELECTE FOR MAN'S SURVEY FOR MAN'S SURVE |  | $\rightarrow 201$ |
| 201B | CHECK 103: <br> WOMAN AGE 15-49 <br> WOMAN AGE 50- |  | $\rightarrow 601$ |
| 201 | Now I would like to ask about all the births you have had during your life. Have you ever given birth? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 206$ |
| 202 | Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are now living with you? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 204$ |
| 203 | How many sons live with you? <br> And how many daughters live with you? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | SONS AT HOME <br> DAUGHTERS AT HOME |  |
| 204 | Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are alive but do not live with you? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 206$ |
| 205 | How many sons are alive but do not live with you? <br> And how many daughters are alive but do not live with you? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | SONS ELSEWHERE <br> DAUGHTERS ELSEWHERE |  |
| 206 | Have you ever given birth to a boy or girl who was born alive but later died? <br> IF NO, PROBE: Any baby who cried or showed signs of life but did not survive? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 208$ |
| 207 | How many boys have died? <br> And how many girls have died? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | BOYS DEAD <br> GIRLS DEAD |  |
| 208 | SUM ANSWERS TO 203, 205, AND 207, AND ENTER TOTAL. IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | TOTAL BIRTHS . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 209 | CHECK 208: <br> Just to make sure that I have this right: you have had in TOTAL $\qquad$ births during your life. Is that correct? <br> PROBE AND <br> YES CORRECT <br> 201-208 AS NECESSARY. |  |  |
| 210 | CHECK 208: <br> ONE OR MORE <br> NO BIRTHS BIRTHS |  | $\longrightarrow 226$ |

211 Now I would like to record the names of all your births, whether still alive or not, starting with the first one you had.
RECORD NAMES OF ALL THE BIRTHS IN 212. RECORD TWINS/TRIPLETS/MULTIPLES ON SEPARATE ROWS.
(IF THERE ARE MORE THAN 12 BIRTHS, USE AN ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE, STARTING WITH THE SECOND ROW).




| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 238 | When did your last menstrual period start? <br> (DATE, IF GIVEN) |  <br> IN MENOPAUSE/ |  |
| 239 | From one menstrual period to the next, are there certain days when a woman is more likely to become pregnant? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 301$ |
| 240 | Is this time just before her period begins, during her period, right after her period has ended, or halfway between two periods? |  |  |

SECTION 3. CONTRACEPTION

| 301 | Now I would like to talk about family planning - the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy. <br> Have you ever heard of (METHOD)? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | Female Sterilization. PROBE: Women can have an operation to avoid having any more children. |  |  |
| 02 | Male Sterilization. PROBE: Men can have an operation to avoid having any more children. |  |  |
| 03 | IUD. PROBE: Women can have a loop or coil placed inside them by a doctor or a nurse. |  |  |
| 04 | Injectables. PROBE: Women can have an injection by a health provider that stops them from becoming pregnant for one or more months. |  |  |
| 05 | Implants. PROBE: Women can have one or more small rods placed in their upper arm by a doctor or nurse which can prevent pregnancy for one or more years. |  |  |
| 06 | Pill. PROBE: Women can take a pill every day to avoid becoming pregnant. |  |  |
| 07 | Contraceptive Patch (Evra). PROBE: Women can have a transdermal patch applied to their skin that releases synthetic estrogen and progestin hormones to prevent pregnancy. |  |  |
| 08 | Condom. PROBE: Men can put a rubber sheath on their penis before sexual intercourse. |  |  |
| 09 | Female Condom. PROBE: Women can place a sheath in their vagina before sexual intercourse. |  |  |
| 10 | Lactational Amenorrhea Method (LAM). |  |  |
| 11 | Rhythm Method. PROBE: To avoid pregnancy, women do not have sexual intercourse on the days of the month they think they can get pregnant. |  |  |
| 12 | Withdrawal. PROBE: Men can be careful and pull out before climax or ejaculation. |  |  |
| 13 | Emergency Contraception. PROBE: As an emergency measure, within three days after they have unprotected sexual intercourse, women can take special pills to prevent pregnancy. |  |  |
| 14 | Have you heard of any other ways or methods that women or men can use to avoid pregnancy? |  |  |
| 302 | CHECK 226: <br> NOT PREGNANT PREGNANT OR UNSURE |  | $\longrightarrow 311$ |
| 303 | Are you currently doing something or using any method to delay or avoid getting pregnant? |  | $\longrightarrow 311$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 304 | Which method are you using? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. <br> IF MORE THAN ONE METHOD MENTIONED, FOLLOW SKIP INSTRUCTION FOR HIGHEST METHOD IN LIST. |  |  |
| 305 | What is the brand name of the pills you are using? <br> IF DON'T KNOW THE BRAND, ASK TO SEE THE PACKAGE. |  | $\rightarrow 308 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| 306 | What is the brand name of the condoms you are using? <br> IF DON'T KNOW THE BRAND, ASK TO SEE THE PACKAGE. |  | $\rightarrow \rightarrow 308 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| 307 | In what facility did the sterilization take place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) | ```PUBLIC SECTOR GOVT. HOSPITAL ................ }1 GOVT. HEALTH CENTER . ...... 12 OTHER PUBLIC SECTOR (SPECIFY) PRIVATE HOSPITAL ............ 21 PRIVATE CLINIC ................. }2 PRIVATE DOCTOR'S OFFICE ..... . 23 OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR``` $\qquad$ ```None \\ OTHER ``` $\qquad$ ```NoneNone ``` $\qquad$ ```None ``` |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIE | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In what month and year was the sterilization performed? <br> Since what month and year have you been using (CURRENT METHOD) without stopping? <br> PROBE: For how long have you been using (CURRENT METHOD) now without stopping? | MONTH <br> YEAR |  |
| 309 | CHECK 308/308A, 215 AND 231: <br> ANY BIRTH OR PREGNANCY TERMINATION AFTER MONTH AND YEAR OF START OF USE OF CONTRACEPTION IN 308/308A <br> GO BACK TO 308/308A, PROBE AND RECORD MONTH AND YEA USE OF CURRENT METHOD (MUST BE AFTER LAST BIRTH OR | YES <br> AT START OF CONTINUOUS REGNANCY TERMINATION). |  |
| 310 | CHECK 308/308A: <br> YEAR IS 2008 OR LATER <br> ENTER CODE FOR METHOD USED IN MONTH OF INTERVIEW IN THE CALENDAR AND IN EACH MONTH BACK TO THE DATE STARTED USING. | EAR IS 2007 OR EARLIER <br> ENTER CODE FOR METHO INTERVIEW IN THE CALEN EACH MONTH BACK TO JA <br> N SKIP TO | NTH OF |
| 311 | I would like to ask you some questions about the times you or your partner may have used a method to avoid getting pregnant during the last few years. <br> USE CALENDAR TO PROBE FOR EARLIER PERIODS OF USE AND NONUSE, STARTING WITH MOST RECENT USE, BACK TO JANUARY 2008. <br> USE NAMES OF CHILDREN, DATES OF BIRTH, AND PERIODS OF PREGNANCY AS REFERENCE POINTS. <br> IN COLUMN 1, ENTER METHOD USE CODE OR '0' FOR NONUSE IN EACH BLANK MONTH. <br> ILLUSTRATIVE QUESTIONS: <br> * When was the last time you used a method? Which method was that? <br> * When did you start using that method? How long after the birth of (NAME)? <br> * How long did you use the method then? <br> IN COLUMN 2, ENTER CODES FOR DISCONTINUATION NEXT TO THE LAST MONTH OF USE. NUMBER OF CODES IN COLUMN 2 MUST BE SAME AS NUMBER OF INTERRUPTIONS OF METHOD USE IN COLUMN 1. <br> ASK WHY SHE STOPPED USING THE METHOD. IF A PREGNANCY FOLLOWED, ASK WHETHER SHE BECAME PREGNANT UNINTENTIONALLY WHILE USING THE METHOD OR DELIBERATELY STOPPED TO GET PREGNANT. <br> ILLUSTRATIVE QUESTIONS: <br> * Why did you stop using the (METHOD)? Did you become pregnant while using (METHOD), or did you stop to get pregnant, or did you stop for some other reason? <br> * IF DELIBERATELY STOPPED TO BECOME PREGNANT, ASK: How many months did it take you to get pregnant after you stopped using (METHOD)? AND ENTER '0' IN EACH SUCH MONTH IN COLUMN 1. |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 312 | CHECK THE CALENDAR FOR USE OF ANY CONTRACEPTIVE M <br> NO METHOD USED <br> ANY METHOD USED $\square$ | HOD IN ANY MONTH | 31 |
| 313 | Have you ever used anything or tried in any way to delay or avoid getting pregnant? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow 324$ |
| 314 | CHECK 304: <br> CIRCLE METHOD CODE: <br> IF MORE THAN ONE METHOD CODE CIRCLED IN 304, CIRCLE CODE FOR HIGHEST METHOD IN LIST. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \longrightarrow 324 \\ & \longrightarrow 317 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \longrightarrow 326 \end{aligned}$ <br> 315A <br> 326 |
| 315 | You first started using (CURRENT METHOD) in (DATE FROM 308/308A). Where did you get it at that time? | ```PUBLIC SECTOR GOVT. HOSPITAL . . . . . . . . . . . . . }1 GOVT. HEALTH CENTER . . . .... 12 GVT. PRIMARY HEALTH CARE CLINIC . . . . . . . . . }1 OUTREACH POINT . . . . . . . . . . . . }1 FIELDWORKER/COMMUNITY HEALTH CARE PROVIDER . ........... 15 OTHER PUBLIC SECTORNone``` |  |
| 315A | Where did you learn how to use the rhythm/lactational amenorrhea method? | PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL <br> PRIVATE CLINIC . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 22 <br> PHARMACY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 23 <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 24 <br> OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL <br> SECTOR $\qquad$ 26 |  |
|  | PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) | OTHER SOURCE SHOP . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 316 | CHECK 304: <br> CIRCLE METHOD CODE: <br> IF MORE THAN ONE METHOD CODE CIRCLED IN 304, CIRCLE CODE FOR HIGHEST METHOD IN LIST. |  |  |
| 317 | At that time, were you told about side effects or problems you might have with the method? <br> When you got sterilized, were you told about side effects or problems you might have with the method? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 319$ |
| 318 | Were you ever told by a health or family planning worker about side effects or problems you might have with the method? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 320$ |
| 319 | Were you told what to do if you experienced side effects or problems? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 320 | CHECK 317: | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 322$ |
| 321 | Were you ever told by a health or family planning worker about other methods of family planning that you could use? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 322 | CHECK 304: <br> CIRCLE METHOD CODE: <br> IF MORE THAN ONE METHOD CODE CIRCLED IN 304, CIRCLE CODE FOR HIGHEST METHOD IN LIST. | FEMALE STERILIZATION $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ 01 <br> MALE STERILIZATION $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ . | 326 |


| No. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 323 | Where did you obtain (CURRENT METHOD) the last time? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  | $\rightarrow 326$ |
| 324 | Do you know of a place where you can obtain a method of family planning? |  | $\rightarrow 326$ |
| 325 | Where is that? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 326 | In the last 12 months, were you visited by a fieldworker/community health worker/health promoter who talked to you about family planning? | YES ................................ . . 1 <br> NO ............................... 2 |  |
| 327 | In the last 12 months, have you visited a health facility for care for yourself (or your children)? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\rightarrow 401$ |
| 328 | Did any staff member at the health facility speak to you about family planning methods? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . . |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 410 | Where did you receive antenatal care for this pregnancy? <br> Anywhere else? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE <br> SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. | ```HOME YOUR HOME ... A OTHER HOME . . . B PUBLIC SECTOR GOVT. HOSPITAL C GOVT. HEALTH CENTER ..... D GOVT. HEALTH CARE CLINIC .. E OUTREACH POINT ..... F OTHER PUBLIC SECTOR - G (SPECIFY) PRIVATE MED. SECTOR PVT. HOSPITAL .. H PVT. CLINIC..... I OTHER PRIVATE MED. SECTOR``` $\qquad$ <br> ```(SPECIFY) \\ OTHER``` $\qquad$ <br> ```(SPECIFY)``` |  |  |
| 410A | Did your husband/partner attend (any of) your antenatal care visit(s) for this pregnancy? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |  |
| 411 | How many months pregnant were you when you first received antenatal care for this pregnancy? | MONTHS . . . $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW 98 |  |  |
| 412 | How many times did you receive antenatal care during this pregnancy? | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |  |  |
| 413 | As part of your antenatal care during this pregnancy, were any of the following done at least once: <br> Was your blood pressure measured? <br> Did you give a urine sample? <br> Did you give a blood sample? |   YES NO <br>     <br>     <br> BP $\ldots \ldots$ 1 2 <br> URINE $\ldots .$. 1 2  <br> BLOOD $\ldots$ 1 2 |  |  |
| 414 | During (any of) your antenatal care visit(s), were you told about things to look out for that might suggest problems with the pregnancy? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> NO $\ldots \ldots . .$. 2  <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . . 8  |  |  |
| 415 | During this pregnancy, were you given an injection in the arm to prevent the baby from getting tetanus, that is, convulsions after birth? |  |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 416 | During this pregnancy, how many times did you get a tetanus injection? | TIMES $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $8$ |  |  |
| 417 | CHECK 416: |  |  |  |
| 418 | At any time before this pregnancy, did you receive any tetanus injections? |  |  |  |
| 419 | Before this pregnancy, how many times did you receive a tetanus injection? <br> IF 7 OR MORE TIMES, RECORD '7'. | TIMES $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW |  |  |
| 420 | How many years ago did you receive the last tetanus injection before this pregnancy? | YEARS AGO |  |  |
| 421 | During this pregnancy, were you given or did you buy any iron tablets? <br> SHOW TABLETS. |  |  |  |
| 422 | During the whole pregnancy, for how many days did you take the tablets? <br> IF ANSWER IS NOT NUMERIC, PROBE FOR APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF DAYS. | DAYS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW ... 998 |  |  |
| 423 | During this pregnancy, did you take any drug for intestinal worms? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> NO $\ldots \ldots . .$. 2  <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . . . 8  |  |  |
| 424 | During this pregnancy, did you take any drugs to keep you from getting malaria? |  |  |  |
| 425 | What drugs did you take? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. <br> IF TYPE OF DRUG IS NOT DETERMINED, SHOW TYPICAL ANTIMALARIAL DRUGS TO RESPONDENT. | SP/FANSIDAR ..... A <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . . . . Z |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 426 | CHECK 425: <br> SP/FANSIDAR TAKEN FOR MALARIA PREVENTION. |  |  |  |
| 427 | How many times did you take (SP/Fansidar) during this pregnancy? | TIMES .... $\square$ |  |  |
| 428 | CHECK 409: <br> ANTENATAL CARE FROM HEALTH PERSONNEL DURING THIS PREGNANCY | CODE 'A', OTHER 'B' OR 'C' CIRCLED <br> (SKIP TO 430) |  |  |
| 429 | Did you get the (SP/Fansidar) during any antenatal care visit, during another visit to a health facility or from another source? | ANTENATAL VISIT ... 1 ANOTHER FACILITY VISIT ....... OTHER SOURCE |  |  |
| 430 | When (NAME) was born, was he/she very large, larger than average, average, smaller than average, or very small? | VERY LARGE . . . . 1  <br> LARGER THAN   <br> AVERAGE .... 2  <br> AVERAGE ....... 3  <br> SMALLER THAN   <br> AVERAGE $\ldots .$. 4 <br> VERY SMALL $\ldots$. 5 <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots .$. 8 | VERY LARGE . . . . 1  <br> LARGER THAN   <br> AVERAGE . . . . 2  <br> AVERAGE . . . . . . 3  <br> SMALLER THAN   <br> AVERAGE . . . . 4  <br> VERY SMALL $4 . .$. 5 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8  |  |
| 431 | Was (NAME) weighed at birth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ \text { (SKIP TO 433) } \end{array} \\ & \text { DON'T KNOW . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ \\ \text { (SKIP TO 433) } \\ \text { DON'T KNOW . . . . } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { (SKIP TO 433) } \\ & \text { (SIP } \\ & \text { DON'T KNOW . . . . } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 432 | How much did (NAME) weigh? <br> RECORD WEIGHT IN KILOGRAMS FROM HEALTH CARD, IF AVAILABLE. | KG FROM CARD <br> 1 $\square$ <br> KG FROM RECALL <br> 2 $\square$ $\square$ <br> 99998 | KG FROM CARD <br> 1 <br> KG FROM RECALL | KG FROM CARD <br> 1 <br> KG FROM RECALL <br> 2 $\square$ $\square$ |
| 433 | Who assisted with the delivery of (NAME)? <br> Anyone else? <br> PROBE FOR THE TYPE(S) OF PERSON(S) AND RECORD ALL MENTIONED. <br> IF RESPONDENT SAYS NO ONE ASSISTED, PROBE TO DETERMINE WHETHER ANY ADULTS WERE PRESENT AT THE DELIVERY. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HEALTH PERSONNEL } \\ & \text { DOCTOR . . . A A } \\ & \text { NURSE/MIDWIFE } \quad \text { B } \\ & \text { OTHER PERSON } \\ & \text { TRADITIONAL BIRTH } \\ & \text { ATTENDANT .. C } \\ & \text { RELATIVE/FRIEND D } \\ & \text { OTHER } \\ & \frac{\mathrm{X}}{\text { NO ONE ASSISTED }} \begin{array}{l} \text { (SPECIFY) } \end{array} \\ & \text { Y } \end{aligned}$ |  |




| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 444 | Who checked on (NAME)'s health at that time? <br> PROBE FOR MOST QUALIFIED PERSON. | HEALTH PERSONNEL DOCTOR ....... 11 NURSE/MIDWIFE 12 <br> OTHER PERSON TRADITIONAL BIRTH ATTENDANT 21 COMMUNITY HEALTH CARE PROVID . . 22 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) |  |  |
| 445 | Where did this first check of (NAME) take place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE AND CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE <br> IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE <br> NAME OF THE PLACE. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) |  |  |  |
| 446 | In the first two months after delivery, did you receive a vitamin A dose like this? <br> SHOW CAPSULE. |  |  |  |
| 447 | Has your menstrual period returned since the birth of (NAME)? |  |  |  |
| 448 | Did your period return between the birth of (NAME) and your next pregnancy? |  |  | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . NO . . . . . . . NO (SKIP TO 452) |
| 449 | For how many months after the birth of (NAME) did you not have a period? | MONTHS . . . <br> DON'T KNOW | MONTHS ... <br> DON'T KNOW | MONTHS ... <br> DON'T KNOW |
| 450 | CHECK 226: <br> IS RESPONDENT PREGNANT? | NOT <br> PREGNANT <br> PREG- <br> OR <br> NANT <br> UNSURE <br> (SKIP TO 452) |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 451 | Have you had sexual intercourse since the birth of (NAME)? |  |  |  |
| 452 | For how many months after the birth of (NAME) did you not have sexual intercourse? | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 98 | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW 98 |
| 453 | Did you ever breastfeed (NAME)? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { (SKIP TO 455) } \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 454 | CHECK 404: <br> IS CHILD LIVING? |  |  |  |
| 455 | How long after birth did you first put (NAME) to the breast? <br> IF LESS THAN 1 HOUR, RECORD '00' HOURS. IF LESS THAN 24 HOURS, RECORD HOURS. OTHERWISE, RECORD DAYS. | IMMEDIATELY ... 000 <br> HOURS 1 <br> DAYS |  |  |
| 456 | In the first three days after delivery, was (NAME) given anything to drink other than breast milk? |  |  |  |
| 457 | What was (NAME) given to drink? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL LIQUIDS <br> MENTIONED. |  |  |  |
| 458 | CHECK 404: IS CHILD LIVING? |  |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 459 | Are you still breastfeeding (NAME)? |  |  |  |
| 460 | Did (NAME) drink anything from a bottle with a nipple yesterday or last night? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> DON'T KNOW ................. 8 |  | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO .................... 2 <br> DON'T KNOW ..... 8 |
| 461 |  | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 501. | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 501. | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT-TO-LAST COLUMN OF NEW QUESTIONNAIRE; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 501. |

SECTION 5. CHILD IMMUNIZATION, HEALTH AND NUTRITION


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 508 | Has (NAME) had any vaccinations that are not recorded on this card, including vaccinations given in a national immunization day campaign? <br> RECORD 'YES' ONLY IF THE RESPONDENT MENTIONS AT LEAST ONE OF THE VACCINATIONS IN 506 THAT ARE NOT RECORDED AS HAVING BEEN GIVEN. |  |  | YES................. 1 <br> (PROBE FOR <br> VACCINATIONS AND <br> WRITE '66' IN THE <br> CORRESPONDING <br> DAY COLUMN IN 506) <br> (SKIP TO 511) <br> NO <br>  <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |
| 509 | Did (NAME) ever have any vaccinations to prevent him/her from getting diseases, including vaccinations received in a national immunization day campaign? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text { (SKIP TO } 511) \end{array} \\ & \text { DON'T KNOW } \ldots \ldots \end{aligned}$ | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { (SKIP TO } 511)\end{aligned}$ DON'T KNOW $\ldots$. | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> (SKIP TO 511$)$  <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots \ldots$   |
| 510 | Please tell me if (NAME) had any of the following vaccinations: <br> A BCG vaccination against tuberculosis, that is, an injection in the arm or shoulder that usually causes a scar? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> NO .................. 2 <br> DON'T KNOW ..... 8 | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO .................... 2 <br> DON'T KNOW ..... 8 | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO ...................... 2 <br> DON'T KNOW ..... 8 |
| 510B | Polio vaccine, that is, drops in the mouth? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> (SKIP TO 510E) <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$. 8 | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> (SKIP TO 510E)  <br> DON'T KNOW .... 8 |  |
| 510C | Was the first polio vaccine given in the first two weeks after birth or later? | FIRST 2 WEEKS ... 1 LATER . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIRST } 2 \text { WEEKS ... } 1 \\ & \text { LATER . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | FIRST 2 WEEKS ... 1 LATER . . . . . . . . . . 2 |
| 510D | How many times was the polio vaccine given? | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ | NUMBER OF TIMES |
| 510E | A DPT/Pentavalent vaccination, that is, an injection given in the thigh or buttocks, sometimes at the same time as polio drops? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> (SKIP TO 510G)  <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$. 8 | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> SKIP TO 510G) 4 <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$. 8 |  |
| 510F | How many times was the DPT/Pentavalent vaccination given? | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { NUMBER } & & \square \\ \text { OF TIMES } & \ldots . . & \square\end{array}$ |
| 510G | A measles injection or an MMR injection - that is, a shot in the arm at the age of 9 months or older - to prevent him/her from getting measles? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ 1 <br> NO .................. 2  <br> DON'T KNOW ..... 8  |  | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ 1 <br> NO .................. 2  <br> DON'T KNOW ..... 8  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 511 | Within the last six months, was (NAME) given a vitamin A dose like this? <br> SHOW CAPSULE. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . 2 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 |
| 513 | Was (NAME) given any medication for intestinal worms in the last six months? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots \ldots$ <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . . . . 8 |  | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots .$. 2 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . . . 8 |
| 514 | Has (NAME) had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks? |  |  |  |
| 515 | Was there any blood in the stools? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . 2 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . 2 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . 2 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 |
| 516 | Now I would like to know how much (NAME) was given to drink during the diarrhoea (including breastmilk). <br> Was he/she given less than usual to drink, about the same amount, or more than usual to drink? <br> IF LESS, PROBE: Was he/she given much less than usual to drink or somewhat less? | MUCH LESS $\ldots . .$. 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . 4 <br> NOTHING TO DRINK 5 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | MUCH LESS ..... 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . 4 <br> NOTHING TO DRINK 5 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | MUCH LESS $\ldots . .$. 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . 4 <br> NOTHING TO DRINK 5 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 |
| 517 | When (NAME) had diarrhoea, was he/she given less than usual to eat, about the same amount, more than usual, or nothing to eat? <br> IF LESS, PROBE: Was he/she given much less than usual to eat or somewhat less? | MUCH LESS $\ldots . .$. 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> STOPPED FOOD 5 <br> NEVER GAVE FOOD 6 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | MUCH LESS . . . . 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> STOPPED FOOD 5 <br> NEVER GAVE FOOD 6 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | MUCH LESS $\ldots . .$. 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> STOPPED FOOD 5 <br> NEVER GAVE FOOD 6 <br> DON'T KNOW ..... 8 |
| 518 | Did you seek advice or treatment for the diarrhoea from any source? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 519 | Where did you seek advice or treatment? <br> Anywhere else? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE <br> IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |  |
| 520 | CHECK 519: |  |  |  |
| 521 | Where did you first seek advice or treatment? <br> USE LETTER CODE FROM 519. | FIRST PLACE . . $\square$ | FIRST PLACE . . | FIRST PLACE . . $\square$ |
| 522 | Was he/she given any of the following to drink at any time since he/she started having the diarrhoea: <br> a) A fluid made from a special packet called ORS? <br> b) Salt-sugar homemade solution? |  YES NO DK <br>     <br> FLUID FROM    <br> ORS PKT 1 2 8 <br> HOMEMADE    <br> SOLUTION 1 2 8 |  YES NO DK <br> FLUID FROM    <br> ORS PKT 1 2 8 <br> HOMEMADE    <br> SOLUTION 1 2 8    | YES NO DK <br> FLUID FROM ORS PKT 1428 <br> HOMEMADE <br> SOLUTION 1228 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 523 | Was anything (else) given to treat the diarrhoea? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> (SKIP TO 525)  <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots .$. 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 1 \\ & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \begin{array}{r} \text { (SKIP TO } 525) \end{array} \\ & \text { DON'T KNOW } \ldots \ldots 8 \end{aligned}$ | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> (SKIP TO 525$)$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots \ldots$ 1 |
| 524 | What (else) was given to treat the diarrhoea? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL TREATMENTS GIVEN. |  |  |  |
| 525 | Has (NAME) been ill with a fever at any time in the last 2 weeks? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> (SKIP TO 527$)$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots \ldots$ 8 | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> (SKIP TO 527) 4 <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots \ldots$ 8 | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> $\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { (SKIP TO } 527) & 4 \\ \text { DON'T KNOW } \ldots \ldots & 8\end{array}\right)$  |
| 526 | At any time during the illness, did (NAME) have blood taken from his/her finger or heel for testing for malaria? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots \ldots$ 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . \\ \text { NO . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 527 | Has (NAME) had an illness with a cough at any time in the last 2 weeks? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> (SKIP TO 530$)$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$. 8 |  |  |
| 528 | When (NAME) had an illness with a cough, did he/she breathe faster than usual with short, rapid breaths or have difficulty breathing? |  |  | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> (SKIP TO 531$)$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots \ldots$ 8 |
| 529 | Was the fast or difficult breathing due to a problem in the chest or to a blocked or runny nose? |  |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 530 | CHECK 525: <br> HAD FEVER? | NO OR DK <br> (GO BACK TO 503 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 553) | NO OR DK <br> (GO BACK TO 503 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 553) |  |
| 531 | Now I would like to know how much (NAME) was given to drink (including breastmilk) during the illness with a (fever/cough). <br> Was he/she given less than usual to drink, about the same amount, or more than usual to drink? <br> IF LESS, PROBE: Was he/she given much less than usual to drink or somewhat less? | MUCH LESS $\ldots . .$. 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> NOTHING TO DRINK 5 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | MUCH LESS $\ldots .$. 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> NOTHING TO DRINK 5 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | MUCH LESS ..... 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> NOTHING TO DRINK 5 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 |
| 532 | When (NAME) had a (fever/cough), was he/she given less than usual to eat, about the same amount, more than usual, or nothing to eat? <br> IF LESS, PROBE: Was he/she given much less than usual to eat or somewhat less? | MUCH LESS . . . . 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> STOPPED FOOD 5 <br> NEVER GAVE FOOD 6 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 | MUCH LESS $\ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> STOPPED FOOD 5 <br> NEVER GAVE FOOD 6 <br> DON'T KNOW ..... 8 | MUCH LESS ..... 1 <br> SOMEWHAT LESS 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME 3 <br> MORE . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> STOPPED FOOD 5 <br> NEVER GAVE FOOD 6 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . 8 |
| 533 | Did you seek advice or treatment for the illness from any source? |  |  |  |
| 534 | Where did you seek advice or treatment? <br> Anywhere else? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. | ```PUBLIC SECTOR GOVT HOSPITAL A GOVT HEALTH CENTER ..... B GOVT HEALTH CARE CLINIC .. C OUTREACH POINT ........ D OTHER PUBLIC SECTOR E (SPECIFY) PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR PVT. HOSPITAL . . F PVT. CLINIC...... . G PHARMACY ... H PVT DOCTOR ... I OTHER PRIVATE MED. SECTOR``` $\qquad$ ```NoneNone ``` $\qquad$ <br> ```XNone``` |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 535 | CHECK 534: |  |  |  |
| 536 | Where did you first seek advice or treatment? <br> USE LETTER CODE FROM 534. | FIRST PLACE . . $\square$ | FIRST PLACE . . $\square$ | FIRST PLACE |
| 537 | At any time during the illness, did (NAME) take any medications for the illness? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> (GO BACK TO 503  <br> IN NEXT COLUMN;  <br> OR, IF NO MORE  <br> BIRTHS, GO TO 553)  <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots \ldots$  | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> (GO BACK TO 503  <br> IN NEXT COLUMN;  <br> OR, IF NO MORE  <br> BIRTHS, GO TO 553)  <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots \ldots$  |  |
| 538 | What medications did (NAME) take? <br> Any other medications? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | ANTIMALARIALS QUININE ....... A ARTEMETHER LUMEFANTRINE B OTHER ANTIMALARIAL $\qquad$ <br> ANTIBIOTICS <br> PILL/SYRUP ... D <br> INJECTION ... E <br> OTHER MEDICATIONS ASPIRIN ....... F ACETAMINOPHEN ... G IBUPROFEN ... I <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X (SPECIFY) DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | ANTIMALARIALS QUININE ....... A ARTEMETHER LUMEFANTRINE B OTHER ANTI- $\qquad$ <br> ANTIBIOTICS <br> PILL/SYRUP ... D <br> INJECTION ... E <br> OTHER MEDICATIONS ASPIRIN ....... F ACETA- <br> MINOPHEN ... G <br> IBUPROFEN ... I $\qquad$ | ANTIMALARIALS QUININE ....... A ARTEMETHER LUMEFANTRINE B OTHER ANTI- <br> MALARIAL $\qquad$ <br> ANTIBIOTICS <br> PILL/SYRUP ... D <br> INJECTION ... E <br> OTHER MEDICATIONS ASPIRIN ........ F ACETA- MINOPHEN ... G IBUPROFEN ... I <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x |
| 539 | CHECK 538: <br> ANY CODE A-C CIRCLED? | YES $\begin{array}{rr}\text { Y }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| 546 | CHECK 538: <br> QUININE ('A') GIVEN |  |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 547 | How long after the fever started did (NAME) first take quinine? | SAME DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 0  <br> NEXT DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 1  <br> TWO DAYS AFTER   <br> FEVER ....... 2  <br> THREE OR MORE   <br> DAYS AFTER   <br> FEVER ......   <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$ 8 | SAME DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 0 <br> NEXT DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 1 <br> TWO DAYS AFTER  <br> FEVER ...... 2 <br> THREE OR MORE  <br> DAYS AFTER  <br> FEVER ...... 3 <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$. 8 | SAME DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 0  <br> NEXT DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 1  <br> TWO DAYS AFTER   <br> FEVER $\ldots \ldots .$. 2  <br> THREE OR MORE   <br> DAYS AFTER   <br> FEVER ...... 3  <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$. 8 |
| 548 | CHECK 538: <br> ARTEMETHER LUMEFANTRINE <br> ('B') GIVEN |  |  |  |
| 549 | How long after the fever started did (NAME) first take Artemether Lumefantrine (AL)? | SAME DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 0 <br> NEXT DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 1 <br> TWO DAYS AFTER  <br> FEVER $\ldots \ldots$. 2 <br> THREE OR MORE  <br> DAYS AFTER  <br> FEVER ...... 3 <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$. 8 | SAME DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 0  <br> NEXT DAY $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1  <br> TWO DAYS AFTER   <br> FEVER . . . . . 2  <br> THREE OR MORE   <br> DAYS AFTER   <br> FEVER .....   <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$ 8 | SAME DAY $\ldots \ldots$. NEXT DAY $\ldots \ldots$. TWO DAYS AFTER FEVER $\ldots \ldots$. THREE OR MORE DAYS AFTER FEVER $\ldots \ldots .$. DON'T KNOW |
| 550 | CHECK 538: <br> OTHER ANTIMALARIAL ('C') GIVEN |  |  |  |
| 551 | How long after the fever started did (NAME) first take (OTHER ANTIMALARIAL)? | SAME DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 0 <br> NEXT DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 1 <br> TWO DAYS AFTER  <br> FEVER $\ldots \ldots$. 2 <br> THREE OR MORE  <br> DAYS AFTER  <br> FEVER ....... 3 <br> DON'T KNOW  |  | SAME DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 0  <br> NEXT DAY $\ldots \ldots$. 1  <br> TWO DAYS AFTER   <br> FEVER ...... 2  <br> THREE OR MORE   <br> DAYS AFTER   <br> FEVER ...... 3  <br> DON'T KNOW $\ldots$ 8 |
| 552 |  | GO BACK TO 503 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 553. | GO BACK TO 503 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 553. | GO TO 503 IN NEXT-TO-LAST COLUMN OF NEW QUESTIONNAIRE; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 553. |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 553 | CHECK 215 AND 218, ALL ROWS: <br> NUMBER OF CHILDREN BORN IN 2008 OR LATER LIVING WITH <br> ONE OR MORE NONE <br> RECORD NAME OF YOUNGEST CHILD LIVING WITH HER AND CONTINUE WITH 554 <br> (NAME) | HE RESPONDENT | $\rightarrow 556$ |
| 554 | The last time (NAME FROM 553) passed stools, what was done to dispose of the stools? | CHILD USED TOILET OR LATRINE . . . 01 PUT/RINSED <br> INTO TOILET OR LATRINE . . . . . . . 02 PUT/RINSED <br> INTO DRAIN OR DITCH .......... 03 <br> THROWN INTO GARBAGE .......... 04 <br> BURIED .............................. . . 05 <br> LEFT IN THE OPEN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 06 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 555 | CHECK 522(a), ALL COLUMNS: <br> NO CHILD <br> ANY CHIL <br> RECEIVED FLUID RECEIVED <br> FROM ORS PACKET FROM O | FLUID $\square$ PACKET | - 557 |
| 556 | Have you ever heard of a special product called ORS you can get for the treatment of diarrhea? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 557 | CHECK 215 AND 218, ALL ROWS: <br> NUMBER OF CHILDREN BORN IN 2011 OR LATER LIVING WITH <br> ONE OR MORE <br> RECORD NAME OF YOUNGEST CHILD LIVING WITH HER AND CONTINUE WITH 558 | HE RESPONDENT | $\rightarrow 601$ |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SECTION 6. MARRIAGE AND SEXUAL ACTIVITY

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 601 | Are you currently married or living together with a man as if married? | YES, CURRENTLY MARRIED $\ldots . .$. 1 <br> YES, LIVING WITH A MAN . . . . . . . 2 <br> NO, NOT IN UNION . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 604$ |
| 602 | Have you ever been married or lived together with a man as if married? | YES, FORMERLY MARRIED $\ldots . .$. 1  <br> YES, LIVED WITH A MAN $\ldots$ . .. <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2   | $\rightarrow 612$ |
| 603 | What is your marital status now: are you widowed, divorced, or separated? | WIDOWED . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 DIVORCED . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 | $\longrightarrow 609$ |
| 604 | Is your (husband/partner) living with you now or is he staying elsewhere? | LIVING WITH HER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 STAYING ELSEWHERE . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 605 | RECORD THE HUSBAND'S/PARTNER'S NAME AND LINE NUMBER FROM THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE. IF HE IS NOT LISTED IN THE HOUSEHOLD, RECORD ' 00 '. | NAME <br> LINE NO. |  |
| 606 | Does your (husband/partner) have other wives or does he live with other women as if married? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 609$ |
| 607 | Including yourself, in total, how many wives or live-in partners does he have? | TOTAL NUMBER OF WIVES AND LIVE-IN PARTNERS $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW |  |
| 608 | Are you the first, second, ... wife? | RANK . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 609 | Have you been married or lived with a man only once or more than once? | ONLY ONCE $\quad . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~$ |  |
| 610 | CHECK 609: <br> In what month and year did you start living with your (husband/partner)? <br> MARRIED/ <br> LIVED WITH A MAN MORE THAN ONCE <br> Now I would like to ask about your first (husband/partner). In what month and year did you start living with him? | MONTH <br> DON'T KNOW MONTH $\qquad$ <br> YEAR <br> DON'T KNOW YEAR <br> 9998 | $\longrightarrow 612$ |
| 611 | How old were you when you first started living with him? | AGE |  |
| 612 | CHECK FOR THE PRESENCE OF OTHERS. BEFORE CONTINUIN | MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO ENSURE PRIVA |  |
| 613 | Now I would like to ask some questions about sexual activity in order to gain a better understanding of some important life issues. <br> How old were you when you had sexual intercourse for the very first time? | NEVER HAD SEXUAL <br> INTERCOURSE ..................... 00 <br> AGE IN YEARS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> FIRST TIME WHEN STARTED <br> LIVING WITH (FIRST) <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER ............... . 95 | $\longrightarrow 628$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 614 | Now I would like to ask you some questions about your recent sexual activity. Let me assure you again that your answers are completely confidential and will not be told to anyone. If we should come to any question that you don't want to answer, just let me know and we will go to the next question. |  |  |  |
| 615 | When was the last time you had sexual intercourse? <br> IF LESS THAN 12 MONTHS, ANSWER MUST BE RECORDED IN DAYS, WEEKS OR MONTHS. <br> IF 12 MONTHS (ONE YEAR) OR MORE, ANSWER MUST BE RECORDED IN YEARS. | DAYS AGO $\ldots . . . . .$. 1   <br> WEEKS AGO $\ldots . . .$. 2  <br>     <br> MONTHS AGO $\ldots .$. 3  <br> YEARS AGO $\ldots . . .$. 4  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow 627$ |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 627 | In total, with how many different people have you had sexual intercourse in your lifetime? <br> IF NON-NUMERIC ANSWER, PROBE TO GET AN ESTIMATE. <br> IF NUMBER OF PARTNERS IS 95 OR MORE, WRITE '95'. | NUMBER OF PARTNERS IN LIFETIME DON'T KNOW |  |
| 628 | PRESENCE OF OTHERS DURING THIS SECTION |   YES NO <br> CHILDREN $<10$ $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 2 <br> MALE ADULTS $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 1 2 <br> FEMALE ADULTS $\ldots \ldots \ldots .$. 1 2 |  |
| 629 | Do you know of a place where a person can get condoms? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 632$ |
| 630 | Where is that? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 631 | If you wanted to, could you yourself get a condom? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 632 | Do you know of a place where a person can get female condoms? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\rightarrow 701 \mathrm{~A}$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 633 | Where is that? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. <br> (NAME OF PLACE(S)) |  |  |
| 634 | If you wanted to, could you yourself get a female condom? | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> DON'T KNOW/UNSURE $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |

SECTION 7. FERTILITY PREFERENCES


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 709 | CHECK 704: | NOT MARRIED ..................... A <br> FERTILITY-RELATED REASONS <br> NOT HAVING SEX . . . . . . ......... B <br> INFREQUENT SEX . . . . . . . . . . . . . C <br> MENOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOMY D <br> CAN'T GET PREGNANT . . . . ..... E <br> NOT MENSTRUATED SINCE <br> LAST BIRTH ................... F <br> BREASTFEEDING ................. G <br> UP TO GOD/FATALISTIC . . . . . . . . . H <br> OPPOSITION TO USE <br> RESPONDENT OPPOSED ....... I <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER OPPOSED. . . J <br> OTHERS OPPOSED .............. K <br> RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION ....... L <br> LACK OF KNOWLEDGE <br> KNOWS NO METHOD . . . . . . . . . . . . M <br> KNOWS NO SOURCE . . . . . . . . . . . . N <br> METHOD-RELATED REASONS <br> SIDE EFFECTS/HEALTH <br> CONCERNS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . O <br> LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR ..... P <br> COSTS TOO MUCH .............. Q <br> PREFERRED METHOD <br> NOT AVAILABLE . . . . . . . . . . . . . R <br> NO METHOD AVAILABLE ....... S <br> INCONVENIENT TO USE ....... T <br> INTERFERES WITH BODY'S <br> NORMAL PROCESSES ....... U <br> OTHER |  |
| 710 | CHECK 303: USING A CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD? <br> NOT <br> ASKED NOT CURRENTLY USING $\square$ CUR | YES, TLY USING | $\rightarrow 712$ |
| 711 | Do you think you will use a contraceptive method to delay or avoid pregnancy at any time in the future? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 712 | CHECK 216: <br> HAS LIVING CHILDREN <br> If you could go back to the time you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be? <br> NO LIVING CHILDREN <br> If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be? <br> PROBE FOR A NUMERIC RESPONSE. |  | $\longrightarrow 714$ $\rightarrow 714$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |  |  |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 713 | How many of these children would you like to be boys, how many would you like to be girls and for how many would it not matter if it's a boy or a girl? | NUMBER <br> OTHER | BOYS | ECIFY) | EITHER $\qquad$ 96 |  |
| 714 | In the last few months have you: <br> Heard about family planning on the radio? <br> Seen anything about family planning on the television? <br> Read about family planning in a newspaper or magazine? | RADIO.. TELEVISI NEWSPAP | OR | GAZINE | $\begin{array}{ccc}  & \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ \ldots & 1 & 2 \\ \ldots & 1 & 2 \\ \ldots & 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |  |
| 716 | CHECK 601: |  |  |  |  | $\rightarrow 801$ |
| 717 |  |  |  |  |  | $\longrightarrow 720$ |
| 718 | Would you say that using contraception is mainly your decision, mainly your (husband's/partner's) decision, or did you both decide together? | MAINLY R MAINLY H JOINT DE OTHER | SPONDE BAND/PA SION | TT <br> ARTNER <br> PECIFY) | $\begin{array}{ll} \ldots . . & 1 \\ \ldots . & 2 \\ \ldots . & 3 \\ & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 719 | CHECK 304: <br> NEITHER <br> HE OR SHE <br> STERILIZED STERILIZED |  |  |  |  | $\rightarrow 801$ |
| 720 | Does your (husband/partner) want the same number of children that you want, or does he want more or fewer than you want? | SAME NU MORE CHIL FEWER C DON'T KN | BR <br> DREN <br> LDREN <br> W .... |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \ldots & . \\ \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots & 2 \\ \ldots & \\ \ldots & 3 \\ \ldots & 8 \end{array}$ |  |

SECTION 8. HUSBAND'S BACKGROUND AND WOMAN'S WORK

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 801 |  | NEVER MARRIED AND NEVER $\square$ LIVED WITH A MAN |
| 802 | How old was your (husband/partner) on his last birthday? | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS |
| 803 | Did your (last) (husband/partner) ever attend school? | YES <br> NO |
| 804 | What was the highest level of school he attended: primary, secondary, or higher? | PRIMARY <br> SECONDARY <br> HIGHER <br> DON'T KNOW |
| 805 | What was the highest (grade/year) he completed at that level? <br> IF COMPLETED LESS THAN ONE YEAR AT THAT LEVEL, RECORD '00'. | GRADE/YEAR <br> DON'T KNOW |
| 806 | CHECK 801: |  |
| 807 | Aside from your own housework, have you done any work in the last seven days? | YES NO |
| 808 | As you know, some women take up jobs for which they are paid in cash or kind. Others sell things, have a small business or work on the family farm or in the family business. <br> In the last seven days, have you done any of these things or any other work? | YES <br> NO |
| 809 | Although you did not work in the last seven days, do you have any job or business from which you were absent for leave, illness, vacation, maternity leave, or any other such reason? | YES <br> NO |
| 810 | Have you done any work in the last 12 months? | YES <br> NO |
| 811 | What is your occupation, that is, what kind of work do you mainly do? |  |
| 812 | Do you do this work for a member of your family, for someone else, or are you self-employed? | FOR FAMILY MEMBER FOR SOMEONE ELSE SELF-EMPLOYED |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 813 | Do you usually work throughout the year, or do you work seasonally, or only once in a while? | THROUGHOUT THE YEAR . . . . . . . . 1 <br> SEASONALLYIPART OF THE YEAR 2 <br> ONCE IN A WHILE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |
| 814 | Are you paid in cash or kind for this work or are you not paid at all? | CASH ONLY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> CASH AND KIND . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> IN KIND ONLY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 |  |
| 815 | CHECK 601: <br> CURRENTLY <br> MARRIED/LIVING <br> NOT IN UNION <br> WITH A MAN |  | $\rightarrow 823$ |
| 816 | CHECK 814: <br> CODE 1 OR 2 <br> CIRCLED <br> OTHER |  | $\rightarrow 819$ |
| 817 | Who usually decides how the money you earn will be used: you, your (husband/partner), or you and your (husband/partner) jointly? |  |  |
| 818 | Would you say that the money that you earn is more than what your (husband/partner) earns, less than what he earns, or about the same? | MORE THAN HIM . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> LESS THAN HIM . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> ABOUT THE SAME . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER HAS  <br> NO EARNINGS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | $\rightarrow 820$ |
| 819 | Who usually decides how your (husband's/partner's) earnings will be used: you, your (husband/partner), or you and your (husband/partner) jointly? |  |  |
| 820 | Who usually makes decisions about health care for yourself: you, your (husband/partner), you and your (husband/partner) jointly, or someone else? |  |  |
| 821 | Who usually makes decisions about making major household purchases? |  |  |
| 822 | Who usually makes decisions about visits to your family or relatives? | RESPONDENT.....................$~$ 1  <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER . . . . . . . . . . . 2  <br> RESPONDENT AND   <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER JOINTLY $\ldots$ 3 <br> SOMEONE ELSE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4  <br> OTHER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 823 | Do you own this or any other house either alone or jointly with someone else? | ALONE ONLY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> JOINTLY ONLY . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 824 | Do you own any land either alone or jointly with someone else? |  |  |
| 825 | PRESENCE OF OTHERS AT THIS POINT (PRESENT AND LISTENING, PRESENT BUT NOT LISTENING, OR NOT PRESENT) |   PRES./ PRES./ NOT  <br>    LISTEN. NOT <br> LISTEN. PRES. |  |
| 826 | In your opinion, is a husband justified in hitting or beating his wife in the following situations: <br> If she goes out without telling him? <br> If she neglects the children? <br> If she argues with him? <br> If she refuses to have sex with him? <br> If she burns the food? <br> If she is friendly with other men? |  YES NO DK  <br> GOES OUT . . . . . . . . 1 2 8  <br> NEGL. CHILDREN $\ldots$ 1 2 8 <br> ARGUES . . . . . . . . 1 2 8  <br> REFUSES SEX $\ldots \ldots$. 1 2 8  <br> BURNS FOOD . . . . . 1 2 8  <br> FRIENDLY WITH MEN . . 1 2 8  |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 915 | Were you offered a test for HIV as part of your antenatal care? |  |  |
| 916 | Were you tested for HIV as part of your antenatal care? |  | $\rightarrow$ 919A |
| 917 | Where was the test done? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 918 | Did you get the results of the test? |  | $\rightarrow$ 919A |
| 918A | Will you be willing to share the results with me? |  | $\rightarrow 918 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 918B | What was your HIV test result? |  |  |
| 918C | All women are supposed to receive counseling before and after being tested. Before and after you were tested, did you receive counseling? |  |  |
| 918D | Have you disclosed your result to your partner? |  | $\rightarrow 919 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 919A | Was your partner tested for HIV during any of the ANC visits for your last birth? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> DON'T KNOW . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 919B | CHECK 916 TESTED DURING ANC: <br> YES <br> NO $\square$ |  | $\rightarrow 920$ |
| 919C | CHECK 918, 918A, AND 918B FOR HIV TEST RESULTS: NEGATIVE/ $\square$ POSITIVE NO RESULT $\square$ |  | $\rightarrow$ 923D |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 920 | CHECK 434 FOR LAST BIRTH: <br> ANY CODE <br> OTHER <br> 21-36 CIRCLED |  | $\rightarrow$ 923D |
| 921 | Between the time you went for delivery but before (NAME) was born, were you offered a test for HIV? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 922 | Were you tested for HIV at that time? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow$ 923D |
| 923 | Did you get the results of the test? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow$ 923D |
| 923A | Will you be willing to share the results with me? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 923 C$ |
| 923B | What was your HIV test result? |  |  |
| 923C | Have you disclosed your result to your partner? |  |  |
| 923D | Was (NAME) tested for HIV during the first 8 weeks of his/her life? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow$ 923F |
| 923E | Was (NAME) tested for HIV during the first 18 months of his/her life? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 923 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 923F | Was (NAME) tested for HIV more than once during the first 18 months of his/her life? |  |  |
| 923G | Did you get the results of the (last) HIV test for (NAME)? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow 923 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 923H | Will you be willing to share the results with me? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 923 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 9231 | What was (NAME)'S HIV test result? |  | $\longrightarrow 923 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 923J | CHECK 216 LAST ROW: IS CHILD LIVING? <br> LIVING | EAD | $\rightarrow$ 923M |
| 923L | Is (NAME) currently taking ARVs daily? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 923M | CHECK 916 AND 922: WOMAN TESTED FOR HIV $\begin{array}{r} 916=\text { YES } \\ \text { OR } 922=\text { YES } \end{array}$ | HER | $\longrightarrow 926$ |
| 924 | Have you been tested for HIV since that time you were tested during your pregnancy? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 927$ |
| 925 | How many months ago was your most recent HIV test? | MONTHS AGO <br> TWO OR MORE YEARS | $\rightarrow 928 \mathrm{E}$ |
| 926 | Have you ever been tested to see if you have HIV? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 930$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 927 | How many months ago was your most recent HIV test? | MONTHS AGO $\square$ <br> TWO OR MORE YEARS |  |
| 927A | Where was the test done? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 928 | Did you get the results of the test? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow$ 928E |
| 928A | Will you be willing to share the results with me? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow$ 928C |
| 928B | What was your HIV test result? | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { POSITIVE } & \text {. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } & 1 \\ \text { NEGATIVE . . . . . . . . } \end{array}$ |  |
| 928C | All women are supposed to receive counseling before and after being tested. Before and after you were tested, did you receive counseling? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 928D | Have you disclosed your result to your partner? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> NO . . . . . .  |  |
| 928E | Did you receive HIV counseling and testing individually or as a couple? | INDIVIDUAL COUPLE | $\longrightarrow 928 \mathrm{H}$ |
| 928F | Would you consider HIV counseling and testing as a couple in the future? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 928 \mathrm{H}$ |
| 928G | What is the main reason you would not consider HIV counseling and testing as a couple in the future? | PARTNER REFUSES DISTANCE TO SERVICE DELIVERY . . 2 NO TIME $\qquad$ SERVICE DELIVERY HOURS $\qquad$ 3 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 (SPECIFY) |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 928H | CHECK 918B, 923B, and 928B: HIV TEST RESULT <br> ANY "POSITIVE" <br> ALL ARE "N TEST RESULT | ATIVE" BLANK | 932 |
| 9281 | Are you currently taking ARVs daily? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 932$ |
| 928 J | What is the main reason for not taking ARVs daily? | TRANSPORTATION COST ........ 1 RELIGIOUS REASONS ............... 2 FOOD/NUTRITIONAL ISSUES ........ 3 SIDE EFFECTS ...................... 4 FEAR OF BEING SEEN AT ARV CLINIC 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 (SPECIFY) |  |
| 930 | Do you know of a place where people can go to get tested for HIV? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 932$ |
| 931 | Where is that? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 932 | Would you buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor if you knew that this person had HIV? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 933 | If a member of your family got infected with HIV, would you want it to remain a secret or not? | YES, REMAIN A SECRET $\ldots . . . .$. 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2  <br> DK/NOT SURE/DEPENDS $\ldots . . .$. 8 |  |
| 934 | If a member of your family became sick with AIDS, would you be willing to care for her or him in your own household? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 935 | In your opinion, if a female teacher has HIV but is not sick, should she be allowed to continue teaching in the school? | SHOULD BE ALLOWED . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED . . . . . 2 <br> DK/NOT SURE/DEPENDS . . . . . . . 8 |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 936 | Should children age 12-14 be taught about using a condom to avoid getting AIDS? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 937 |  | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 938 | CHECK 613: <br> HAS HAD SEXUAL <br> NEVER HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE INTERCOURSE |  | $\rightarrow 946$ |
| 939 | CHECK 937: HEARD ABOUT OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED YES | FECTIONS? <br> NO | $\rightarrow 941$ |
| 940 | Now I would like to ask you some questions about your health in the last 12 months. During the last 12 months, have you had a disease which you got through sexual contact? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 941 | Sometimes women experience a bad-smelling abnormal genital discharge. <br> During the last 12 months, have you had a bad-smelling abnormal genital discharge? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 942 | Sometimes women have a genital sore or ulcer. During the last 12 months, have you had a genital sore or ulcer? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 943 | CHECK 940, 941, AND 942: <br> HAS HAD AN <br> HAS NOT HAD AN INFECTION INFECTION OR (ANY 'YES') DOES NOT KNOW |  | $\rightarrow 946$ |
| 944 | The last time you had (PROBLEM FROM 940/941/942), did you seek any kind of advice or treatment? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 946$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 945 | Where did you go? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 946 | If a wife knows her husband has a disease that she can get during sexual intercourse, is she justified in asking that they use a condom when they have sex? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 947 | Is a wife justified in refusing to have sex with her husband when she knows he has sex with other women? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 948 | CHECK 601: <br> CURRENTLY MARRIED/ <br> LIVING WITH A MAN <br> NOT IN UNION |  | 1000A |
| 949 | Can you say no to your (husband/partner) if you do not want to have sexual intercourse? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 950 | Could you ask your (husband/partner) to use a condom if you wanted him to? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000A | Have you ever heard of an illness called tuberculosis or TB? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 NO . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 1001$ |
| 1000B | How does tuberculosis spread from one person to another? <br> PROBE: Any other ways? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | THROUGH THE AIR WHEN COUGHING OR SNEEZING . . . . . A <br> THROUGH SHARING UTENSILS... B <br> THROUGH TOUCHING A PERSON <br> WITH TB......................... C <br> THROUGH FOOD . . . . . . . . . . . . . D <br> THROUGH SEXUAL CONTAC . . . . . E <br> THROUGH MOSQUITO BITE؟ . . . . . F <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> DON'T KNOW . |  |
| 1000C | What symptoms will a person with tuberculosis or TB have? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 1000D | Can tuberculosis be cured? |  |  |
| 1000E | If a member of your family got tuberculosis, would you want it to remain a secret or not? | ```YES, REMAIN A SECRET . . . . . . . . . 1 NO ........................... 2 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE/ DEPENDSNone``` |  |
| 1001 | Now I would like to ask you some other questions relating to health matters. Have you had an injection for any reason in the last 12 months? <br> IF YES: How many injections have you had? <br> IF NUMBER OF INJECTIONS IS 90 OR MORE, OR DAILY FOR 3 MONTHS OR MORE, RECORD ' 90 '. <br> IF NON-NUMERIC ANSWER, PROBE TO GET AN ESTIMATE | NUMBER OF INJECTION $\square$ <br> NONE $\qquad$ 00 | $\longrightarrow 1004$ |
| 1002 | Among these injections, how many were administered by a doctor, a nurse, a pharmacist, a dentist, or any other health worker? <br> IF NUMBER OF INJECTIONS IS 90 OR MORE, OR DAILY FOR 3 MONTHS OR MORE, RECORD ' 90 '. <br> IF NON-NUMERIC ANSWER, PROBE TO GET AN ESTIMATE. | NUMBER OF INJECTION <br> NONE $\qquad$ 00 | $\rightarrow 1004$ |
| 1003 | The last time you got an injection from a health worker, did he/she take the syringe and needle from a new, unopened package? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 DON'T KNOU................ . 8 |  |
| 1004 | Do you currently smoke cigarettes? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\longrightarrow 1006$ |
| 1005 | In the last 24 hours, how many cigarettes did you smoke? | NUMBER OF CIGARETTE $\square$ |  |
| 1006 | Do you currently smoke or use any (other) type of tobacco? | YES .................................................. 2 | $\rightarrow$ 1007C |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1007 | What (other) type of tobacco do you currently smoke or use? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 1007A | Do you use or smoke tobacco products daily? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow$ 1007C |
| 1007B | How old were you when first started using any tobacco products daily? | AGE IN YEARS |  |
| 1007C | Have you ever consumed an alcoholic drink, such as beer, wine, spirits, or other home-brewed liquor? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow 1008$ |
| 1007F | Have you consumed an alcoholic drink during the past two weeks? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow 1008$ |
| 1007G | During the past two weeks, on how many days did you have at least one alcoholic drink? | NUMBER OF DAY $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE . . . . . . . . . 98 | $\longrightarrow 1008$ |
| 1007H | During the past two weeks, when you consumed alcohol, on average, how many bottles/glasses/tots of alcohol did you have per day? | NUMBER OF DRINK $\square$ DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE . . . . . . . . . 98 |  |
| 1008 | Many different factors can prevent women from getting medical advice or treatment for themselves. When you are sick and want to get medical advice or treatment, is each of the following a big problem or not? <br> Getting permission to go to the doctor? <br> Getting money needed for advice or treatment? <br> The distance to the health facility? <br> Not wanting to go alone? |  |  |
| 1009 | Are you covered by any health insurance? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow$ 1010A |
| 1010 | What type of health insurance are you covered by? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | HEALTH INSURANCE THROUGH EMPLOYEF . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A SOCIAL SECURIT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . B OTHER PRIVATELY PURCHASED COMMERCIAL HEALTH INSURANI C OTHER $\qquad$ X (SPECIFY) |  |
| 1010A | Now I am going to ask you some questions about physical activity. Are you involved in exercise that causes an increase in your heart rate for at least 10 minutes continuously? <br> IF YES, ASK: <br> At work? <br> During other physical activities? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1010B | In the last 7 days, on how many days did you do exercise that lasted for at least 10 minutes each time? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '0' | NUMBER OF DAYS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE . $\qquad$ 8 |  |
| 1010E | Now I would like to ask you about liquids and foods that you consume. <br> How many glasses of water do you drink in one day on averag IF 'NONE' RECORD '00' | NUMBER OF GLASSES |  |
| 1010F | In a typical week, on how many days do you eat fruits, such as apples, pears, oranges, bananas, mangoes, etc.? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '0' | NUMBER OF DAYS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE . $\qquad$ | $\rightarrow 1010 \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1010G | On a day when you eat fruits, how many times do you eat on average? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '00' | NUMBER OF TIMES <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE $\qquad$ |  |
| 1010H | In a typical week, on how many days do you eat vegetables, such as tomatoes, carrots, cabbage, dark green leafy vegetables (e.g. spinach) pumpkin, squash, etc.? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '0' | NUMBER OF DAYS <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE $\qquad$ | $\rightarrow 1010 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 10101 | On a day when you eat vegetables, how many times do you eat on average? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '00' | NUMBER OF TIMES <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE |  |
| 1010M | In the past 30 days, when you were seated in a vehicle either as a driver or passenger, have you used a seatbelt always, sometimes or never? | ALWAYS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 SOMETIME . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 NEVER . . . . . . . . 3 HAVE NOT BEEN IN VEHICLE IN PAST 30 DAYS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 NO SEATBELT IN CAR . . . . . . . . . . 8 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 1010N | Now I would like to ask you about women's health. Have you ever heard of cervical cancer? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow$ 1010Q |
| 10100 | Have you ever had a test or exam to see if you have cervical cancer? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | $\longrightarrow 1010 Q$ |
| 1010P | What type of exam did you have to see if you have cervical cancer? | PAP SMEAR ........................ A VISUAL INSPECTION <br> WITH ACETIC ACID . . . . . . . . . . . B DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE . . . . . . . . . . X |  |
| 1010Q | Have you ever examined your breasts to detect or check for breast cancer? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 1010R | Has a doctor or other health professional examined your breasts to detect or check for breast cancer? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 1010S | Now I would like to ask some questions about mental health. Are there times when you see or hear things that are actually not there? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 1010T | In the past 12 months, have you ever felt seriously worthless, hopeless, or wished you were dead? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1010 \cup$ | In the past two weeks, have you felt that you had little interest or pleasure in doing things? <br> IF YES, ASK: How many days did you feel this way? |  |  |
| 1010V | In the past two weeks, have you felt very low in energy, been in a bad mood, or been sad all the time? <br> IF YES, ASK: How many days did you feel this way? |  |  |
|  | CHECK 1010S, 1010T, 1010U, AND 1010V: <br> NO/DK/NOT SURE TO ALL |  | $\rightarrow$ 1101A |
| 1010x | Did you seek any medical care? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ |  |

SECTION 11. MATERNAL MORTALITY


| 1104 | What was the name given to your oldest (next oldest) brother or sister? | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1105 | Is (NAME) male or female? | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { MALE } & 1 \\ \text { FEMALE } & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { MALE } & 1 \\ \text { FEMALE } & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { MALE } & 1 \\ \text { FEMALE } & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { MALE } & 1 \\ \text { FEMALE } & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { MALE } & 1 \\ \text { FEMALE } & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { MALE } & 1 \\ \text { FEMALE } & 2 \end{array}$ |
| 1106 | Is (NAME) still alive? | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . & 2 \\ \text { GO TO } & 1108 \\ \text { DK } & \ldots & 8 \\ \text { GO TO } & 8 \end{array}\right]$ | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . & 2 \\ \text { GO TO 1108 } \\ \text { DK } & \ldots & 8 \\ \text { GO TO } & (9) & 4 \end{array}\right]$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { YES } \ldots c \\ \text { NO } \ldots . \\ \text { GO TO } \\ \text { 1108」 } \\ \text { DK } \ldots \\ \text { GO TO } \\ \text { GO } \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { YES } \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ \text { GO TO } & 1108 \\ \text { DK } & \ldots & 8 \\ \text { GO TO (12) }\end{array}\right]$ | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } \ldots . & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ \text { GO TO } & 1108 \\ \text { DK } & \ldots & 8 \\ \text { GO TO } & (13) \end{array}\right]$ |
| 1107 | How old is (NAME)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1108 | How many years ago did (NAME) die? |  |  |  |  |  | $1$ |
| 1109 | How old was (NAME) when he/she died? | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO (8) | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO (9) | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO (10) | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO (11) | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO (12) | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO (13) |
| 1110 | Was (NAME) pregnant when she died? | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { YES ... } \\ \text { GO TO } 1113 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES ... } \\ \text { GO TO } 1113 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . . \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ... } \\ & \text { GO TO 1113 } \\ & \text { NO } \ldots . \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { YES ... } \\ \text { GO TO } 1113 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . . \end{array}\right]$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES ... } & 1 \\ \text { GO TO } 1113 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . & 2 \end{array}\right]$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { YES ... } \\ \text { GO TO } 1113 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . \end{array}\right]$ |
| 1111 | Did (NAME) die during childbirth? | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { YES ... } \\ \text { GO TO } 1113 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES ... } \\ \text { GO TO } \\ \text { 1113 } \\ \text { NO } \end{gathered} \ldots{ }^{2} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 1113 \\ & \text { NO } \ldots . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES ... } \\ \text { GO TO } \\ \text { GO } \\ \text { NO } \end{gathered} \ldots$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES ... } & 1 \\ \text { GO TO } & 1113 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \end{array}\right]$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES ... } & 1 \\ \text { GO TO } & 1113 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \end{array}\right]$ |
| 1112 | Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES ... } & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES ... } & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES ... } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & . . & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES ... } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES ... } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \end{array}$ |
| 1113 | How many live born children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IF NO MORE BROTHERS OR SISTERS, GO TO 1201A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1209 | Have you ever hit, slapped, kicked, or done anything else to physically hurt your (last) (husband/partner) at times when he was not already beating or physically hurting you? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 1211$ |
| 1210 | In the last 12 months, how often have you done this to your (last) (husband/partner): often, only sometimes, or not at all? | OFTEN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> SOMETIMES 2 <br> NOT AT ALL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |
| 1211 | Does (did) your (last) (husband/partner) drink alcohol? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 1213$ |
| 1212 | How often does (did) he get drunk: often, only sometimes, or never? |  |  |
| 1213 | Are (Were) you afraid of your (last) (husband/partner): most of the time, sometimes, or never? | MOST OF THE TIME AFRAID . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> SOMETIMES AFRAID . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> NEVER AFRAID . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |
| 1214 | CHECK 609: <br> MARRIED MORE <br> MARRIED ONLY THAN ONCE <br> ONCE |  | $\rightarrow 1216$ |
| 1215 | A So far we have been talking about the behavior of your (current/last) (husband/partner). Now I want to ask you about the behavior of any previous (husband/partner). <br> a) Did any previous (husband/partner) ever hit, slap, kick, or do anything else to hurt you physically? <br> b) Did any previous (husband/partner) physically force you to have intercourse or perform any other sexual acts against your will? | B How long ago did this last happen? |  |
| 1216 | CHECK 601 AND 602:EVER MARRIED/EVER <br> LIVED WITH A MANFrom the time you were 15 years <br> Fron <br> old has anyone other than <br> (your/any) (husband/partner) hit <br> LIVED WITH A MAN <br> you, slapped you, kicked you, or <br> done anything else to hurt you <br> physically?$\quad$From the time you were 15 <br> years old has anyone hit you, <br> slapped you, kicked you, or <br> done anything else to hurt you <br> physically? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 1219$ |
| 1217 | Who has hurt you in this way? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | MOTHER/STEP-MOTHER ............... A <br> FATHER/STEP-FATHER .................. . B <br> SISTER/BROTHER ........................ C <br> DAUGHTER/SON ........................ D <br> OTHER RELATIVE ......................... E <br> CURRENT BOYFRIEND .................. F <br> FORMER BOYFRIEND ................. G <br> MOTHER-IN-LAW ....................... H <br> FATHER-IN-LAW ........................... . <br> OTHER IN-LAW .......................... J <br> TEACHER .............................. K <br> EMPLOYER/SOMEONE AT WORK ..... L <br> POLICE/SOLDIER ...................... M <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1218 | In the last 12 months, how often has (this person/have these persons) physically hurt you: often, only sometimes, or not at all? |  |  |
| 1219 |  |  | $\longrightarrow 1222$ |
| 1220 | Has any one ever hit, slapped, kicked, or done anything else to hurt you physically while you were pregnant? |  | $\rightarrow 1222$ |
| 1221 | Who has done any of these things to physically hurt you while you were pregnant? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 1222 | CHECK 601 AND 602: <br> EVER MARRIED/EVER <br> NEVER MARRIED/NEVER <br> LIVED WITH A MAN <br> LIVED WITH A MAN |  | 12228 |
| 1222A | Now I want to ask you about things that may have been done to you by someone other than (your/any) (husband/partner). <br> At any time in your life, as a child or as an adult, has anyone ever forced you in any way to have sexual intercourse or perform any other sexual acts when you did not want to? |  |  |
| 1222B | At any time in your life, as a child or as an adult, has anyone ever forced you in any way to have sexual intercourse or perform any other sexual acts when you did not want to? |  |  |
| 1223 | Who was the person who was forcing you the first time this happened? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1224 | CHECK 601 AND 602: <br> EVER MARRIED/EVER <br> LIVED WITH A MAN <br> In the last 12 months, has anyone other than (your/any) (husband/partner) physically forced you to have sexual intercourse when you did not want to? <br> NEVER MARRIED/NEVER $\square$ LIVED WITH A MAN <br> In the last 12 months has anyone physically forced you to have sexual intercourse when you did not want to? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 1225$ |
| 1224A | CHECK 1205A (h-j) and 1215A(b) <br> AT LEAST ONE $\square$ NOT A 'YES' SINGLE 'YES' $\square$ |  | $\rightarrow 1226$ |
| 1225 | CHECK 601 AND 602: <br> EVER MARRIED/EVER <br> LIVED WITH A MAN <br> How old were you the first time you were forced to have sexual intercourse or perform any other sexual acts by anyone, including (your/any) husband/partner? <br> NEVER MARRIED/NEVER LIVED WITH A MAN <br> How old were you the first time you were forced to have sexual intercourse or perform any other sexual acts? | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS <br> DON'T KNOW |  |
| 1226 | CHECK 1205A (a-j), 1215A (a,b), 1216, 1220, 1222A, AND 1222B: <br> AT LEAST ONE NOT A SINGLE 'YES' <br> 'YES' |  | $\rightarrow 1230$ |
| 1227 | Thinking about what you yourself have experienced among the different things we have been talking about, have you ever tried to seek help? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow 1229$ |
| 1228 | From whom have you sought help? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | OWN FAMILY . . . . .................... A HUSBAND'S/PARTNER'S FAMILY ..... B CURRENT/FORMER <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER . . . . . . . . . . . . . C CURRENT/FORMER BOYFRIEND ..... D <br> FRIEND ................................ E <br> NEIGHBOR . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . F <br> RELIGIOUS LEADER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . G <br> DOCTOR/MEDICAL PERSONNEL ..... H <br> POLICE ................................... I <br> LAWYER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . J <br> SOCIAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION . . . . . K <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 1229 | Have you ever told any one about this? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 1230 | As far as you know, did your father ever beat your mother? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS |  | ODING CATEGORIES |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THANK THE RESPONDENT FOR HER COOPERATION AND REASSURE HER ABOUT THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF HER ANSWERS. FILL OUT THE QUESTIONS BELOW WITH REFERENCE TO THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE MODULE ONLY. PROVIDE LIST OF REFERRAL PLACES TO RESPONDENT. |  |  |  |  |
| 1231 | DID YOU HAVE TO INTERRUPT THE INTERVIEW BECAUSE SOME ADULT WAS TRYING TO LISTEN, OR CAME INTO THE ROOM, OR INTERFERED IN ANY OTHER WAY? | HUSBAND <br> OTHER MALE ADULT FEMALE ADULT | YES YES, MORE <br> ONCE THAN ONCE <br> 1 2 <br> 1 2 <br> 1 2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NO} \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1232 | INTERVIEWER'S COMMENTS / EXPLANATION FOR NOT COMPLETING THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE MODULE |  |  |  |  |
| 1233 | RECORD THE TIME. | HOURS <br> MINUTES |  |  |  |

INSTRUCTIONS:
ONLY ONE CODE SHOULD APPEAR IN ANY BOX. COLUMN 1 REQUIRES A CODE IN EVERY MONTH.

INFORMATION TO BE CODED FOR EACH COLUMN
COLUMN 1: BIRTHS, PREGNANCIES, CONTRACEPTIVE USE
B BIRTHS
P PREGNANCIES
T TERMINATIONS
0 NO METHOD
1 FEMALE STERILIZATION
2 MALE STERILIZATION
3 IUD
4 INJECTABLES
5 IMPLANTS
6 PILL
7 CONTRACEPTIVE PATCH
8 CONDOM
9 FEMALE CONDOM
10 DIAPHRAGM
J FOAM OR JELLY
K LACTATIONAL AMENORRHEA METHOD
L RHYTHM METHOD
M WITHDRAWAL
X OTHER MODERN METHOD
Y OTHER TRADITIONAL METHOD
COLUMN 2: DISCONTINUATION OF CONTRACEPTIVE USE
0 INFREQUENT SEX/HUSBAND AWAY
1 BECAME PREGNANT WHILE USING
2 WANTED TO BECOME PREGNANT
3 HUSBAND/PARTNER DISAPPROVED
4 WANTED MORE EFFECTIVE METHOD
5 SIDE EFFECTS/HEALTH CONCERNS
6 LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR
7 COSTS TOO MUCH
8 INCONVENIENT TO USE
F UP TO GOD/FATALISTIC
A DIFFICULT TO GET PREGNANT/MENOPAUSAL
D MARITAL DISSOLUTION/SEPARATION
X OTHER $\qquad$
z DON'T KNOW


## TO BE FILLED IN AFTER COMPLETING INTERVIEW

COMMENTS ABOUT RESPONDENT:

COMMENTS ON SPECIFIC QUESTIONS:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

ANY OTHER COMMENTS:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS

NAME OF SUPERVISOR:
DATE: $\qquad$

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS

NAME OF EDITOR: $\qquad$ DATE: $\qquad$



| LANGUAGE OF QUESTIONNAIRE: | $\mathbf{3}$ | LANGUAGE OF RESPONDENT: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW** | $\square$ | TRANSLATOR USED <br> $(Y E S=1 ; ~ N O=2) ~$ |


| LANGUAGE** CODES: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 AFRIKAANS | 3 ENGLISH | 5 RUKWANGALI | 7 OSHIWAMBO |
| 2 DAMARA/NAMA | 4 OTJIHERERO | 6 SILOZI | 8 OTHER |



Hello. My name is $\qquad$ . I am working with the Ministry of Health and Social Services. We are conducting a survey about health all over Namibia. The information we collect will help the government to plan health services. Your household was selected for the survey. The questions usually take about 20 minutes. All of the answers you give will be confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. You don't have to be in the survey, but we hope you will agree to answer the questions since your views are important. If I ask you any question you don't want to answer, just let me know and I will go on to the next question or you can stop the interview at any time.

In case you need more information about the survey, you may contact the person listed on the card that has already been given to your household.
Do you have any questions? May I begin the interview now?



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 | CHECK 105: <br> PRIMARY SECONDARY OR HIGHER |  | $\rightarrow 110$ |
| 108 | Now I would like you to read this sentence to me. <br> SHOW CARD TO RESPONDENT. <br> IF RESPONDENT CANNOT READ WHOLE SENTENCE, PROBE: Can you read any part of the sentence to me? |  |  |
| 108A | Have you ever participated in a literacy program or any other program that involves learning to read or write (not including primary school)? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 109 | CHECK 108: $\begin{array}{r} \text { CODE '2', '3' } \\ \text { OR '4' } \\ \text { CIRCLED } \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r} \text { CODE '1' OR '5' } \\ \text { CIRCLED } \end{array}$ |  | $\rightarrow 111$ |
| 110 | Do you read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? | AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK . . . . . . . . 1  <br> LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK $\ldots . .$. 2 <br> NOT AT ALL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3  |  |
| 111 | Do you listen to the radio at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? | AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK . . . . . . . . 1  <br> LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK . . . . . 2 <br> NOT AT ALL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3  |  |
| 112 | Do you watch television at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? | AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK $\ldots . . .$. 1 <br> LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK $\ldots . .$. 2 <br> NOT AT ALL $\quad . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. 3  |  |
| 113 | What is your religion? | ROMAN CATHOLIC . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> PROTESTANT/ANGLICAN $\ldots \ldots$ 2 <br> ELCIN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST $\ldots \ldots$. 4 <br> NO RELIGION . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 <br> OTHER 6 |  |
| 114 | What is the main language spoken in your home? |  |  |
| 115 | In the last 12 months, how many times have you been away from home for one or more nights? | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ NONE | $\rightarrow$ 201A |
| 116 | In the last 12 months, have you been away from home for more than one month at a time? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . |  |

SECTION 2. REPRODUCTION

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201A | CHECK 103: <br> MAN AGE 15-49 <br> MAN AGE 50-6 |  | $\rightarrow 401$ |
| 201 | Now I would like to ask about any children you have had during your life. I am interested in all of the children that are biologically yours, even if they are not legally yours or do not have your last name. <br> Have you ever fathered any children with any woman? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\longrightarrow 206$ |
| 202 | Do you have any sons or daughters that you have fathered who are now living with you? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | $\longrightarrow 204$ |
| 203 | How many sons live with you? <br> And how many daughters live with you? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | SONS AT HOME <br> DAUGHTERS AT HOME |  |
| 204 | Do you have any sons or daughters that you have fathered who are alive but do not live with you? | YES <br> NO | $\longrightarrow 206$ |
| 205 | How many sons are alive but do not live with you? <br> And how many daughters are alive but do not live with you? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | SONS ELSEWHERE <br> DAUGHTERS ELSEWHERE... |  |
| 206 | Have you ever fathered a son or a daughter who was born alive but later died? <br> IF NO, PROBE: Any baby who cried or showed signs of life but did not survive? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $208$ |
| 207 | How many boys have died? <br> And how many girls have died? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | BOYS DEAD <br> GIRLS DEAD |  |
| 208 | SUM ANSWERS TO 203, 205, AND 207, AND ENTER TOTAL. IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | TOTAL CHILDREN |  |
| 209 | CHECK 208: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IAD } \\ & \text { REN } \end{aligned} \square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \longrightarrow 212 \\ & \longrightarrow 301 \end{aligned}$ |
| 210 | Did all of the children you have fathered have the same biological mother? | YES <br> NO | $\longrightarrow 212$ |
| 211 | In all, how many women have you fathered children with? | NUMBER OF WOMEN . . . . . . |  |
| 212 | How old were you when your (first) child was born? | AGE IN YEARS |  |
| 213 | CHECK 203 AND 205: <br> AT LEAST ONE LIVING CHILD | NG $\square$ <br> EN | $\longrightarrow 301$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 214 | How old is your (youngest) child? | AGE IN YEARS |  |
| 215 | CHECK 214: <br> (YOUNGEST) CHILD $\square$ OTHER $\square$ <br> IS AGE 0-2 YEARS |  | $\rightarrow 301$ |
| 216 | What is the name of your (youngest) child? <br> WRITE NAME OF (YOUNGEST) CHILD <br> (NAME OF (YOUNGEST) CHILD) |  |  |
| 217 | When (NAME)'s mother was pregnant with (NAME), did she have any antenatal check-ups? |  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 219$ |
| 218 | Were you ever present during any of those antenatal check-ups? | PRESENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NOT PRESENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 219$ |
| 218A | Were you tested for HIV in any of the antenatal check-ups you attended when your wife was pregnant with (NAME)? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 219 | Was (NAME) born in a hospital or health facility? |  | $\longrightarrow 220$ |
| 219A | What was the main reason why (NAME)'s mother did not deliver in a hospital or health facility? |  |  |
| 220 | When a child has diarrhea, how much should he or she be given to drink: more than usual, about the same as usual, less than usual, or nothing to drink at all? |  |  |

SECTION 3. CONTRACEPTION

| 301 | Now I would like to talk about family planning - the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy. <br> Have you ever heard of (METHOD)? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | Female Sterilization. PROBE: Women can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 02 | Male Sterilization. PROBE: Men can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 03 | IUD. PROBE: Women can have a loop or coil placed inside them by a doctor or a nurse. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $1$ |
| 04 | Injectables. PROBE: Women can have an injection by a health provider that stops them from becoming pregnant for one or more months. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 05 | Implants. PROBE: Women can have one or more small rods placed in their upper arm by a doctor or nurse which can prevent pregnancy for one or more years. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 06 | Pill. PROBE: Women can take a pill every day to avoid becoming pregnant. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $1$ |
| 07 | Contraceptive Patch (Evra). PROBE: Women can have a transdermal patch applied to their skin that releases synthetic estrogen and progestin hormones to prevent pregnancy. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $1$ $2$ |
| 08 | Condom. PROBE: Men can put a rubber sheath on their penis before sexual intercourse. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $1$ |
| 09 | Female Condom. PROBE: Women can place a sheath in their vagina before sexual intercourse. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Lactational Amenorrhea Method (LAM). | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Rhythm Method. PROBE: To avoid pregnancy, women do not have sexual intercourse on the days of the month they think they can get pregnant. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Withdrawal. PROBE: Men can be careful and pull out before climax or ejaculation. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 13 | Emergency Contraception. PROBE: As an emergency measure, within three days after they have unprotected sexual intercourse, women can take special pills to prevent pregnancy. | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 14 | Have you heard of any other ways or methods that women or men can use to avoid pregnancy? | (SPECIFY)YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $2$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 302 | In the last few months have you: <br> Heard about family planning on the radio? <br> Seen anything about family planning on the television? <br> Read about family planning in a newspaper or magazine? |  |  |
| 303 | In the last few months, have you discussed family planning with a health worker or health professional? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 304 | Now I would like to ask you about a woman's risk of pregnancy. <br> From one menstrual period to the next, are there certain days when a woman is more likely to become pregnant when she has sexual relations? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 306$ |
| 305 | Is this time just before her period begins, during her period, right after her period has ended, or halfway between two periods? | JUST BEFORE HER <br> PERIOD BEGINS DURING HER PERIOD RIGHT AFTER HER <br> PERIOD HAS ENDED <br> halfway between <br> TWO PERIODS OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW |  |
| 306 | I will now read you some statements about contraception. Please tell me if you agree or disagree with each one. <br> a) Contraception is a woman's business and a man should not have to worry about it. <br> b) Women who use contraception may become promiscuous. |   DIS- <br> AGREE AGREE DK  |  |
| 307 | CHECK 301 (08): KNOWS MALE CONDOM <br> YES <br> NO $\square$ |  | 311 |
| 308 | Do you know of a place where a person can get condoms? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow 311$ |
| 309 | Where is that? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVT. HOSPITAL <br> GOVT. HEALTH CENTER <br> GVT. PRIMARY <br> HEALTH CARE CLINIC. <br> OUTREACH POINT <br> MOBILE CLINIC <br> FIELDWORKER/COMMUNITY HEALTH CARE PROVIDER <br> OTHER PUBLIC <br> SECTOR <br> PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL <br> PRIVATE CLINIC <br> PHARMACY <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR <br> OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL <br> SECTOR $\qquad$ <br> OTHER SOURCE <br> SHOP $\qquad$ <br> CHURCH $\qquad$ <br> FRIEND/RELATIVE SCHOOL $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 310 | If you wanted to, could you yourself get a condom? |  |  |
| 311 | CHECK 301 (09): KNOWS FEMALE CONDOM <br> YES $\square$ NO $\square$ |  | $\rightarrow 401$ |
| 312 | Do you know of a place where a person can get female condoms? |  | $\rightarrow 401$ |
| 313 | Where is that? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 314 | If you wanted to, could you yourself get a female condom? |  |  |

SECTION 4. MARRIAGE AND SEXUAL ACTIVITY

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |  |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401 | Are you currently married or living together with a woman as if married? | YES, CURRENTLY MARRIED . . . . . . . 1  <br> YES, LIVING WITH A WOMAN ... 2 <br> NO, NOT IN UNION . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 404$ |
| 402 | Have you ever been married or lived together with a woman as if married? | YES, FORMERLY MARRIED . . . . . . 1 <br> YES, LIVED WITH A WOMAN 1  <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2  |  |  | $\rightarrow 413$ |
| 403 | What is your marital status now: are you widowed, divorced, or separated? | WIDOWED . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> DIVORCED . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |  | $410$ |
| 404 | Is your (wife/partner) living with you now or is she staying elsewhere? | LIVING WITH HIM . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1STAYING ELSEWHERE . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |
| 405 | Do you have other wives or do you live with other women as if married? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES (MORE THAN ONE) . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO (ONLY ONE) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\rightarrow 407$ |
| 406 | Altogether, how many wives or live-in partners do you have? | TOTAL NUMBER OF WIVES AND LIVE-IN PARTNERS |  |  |  |
| 407 | CHECK 405: <br> ONE WIFE/ <br> PARTNER <br> Please tell me the name of (your wife/the woman you are living with as if married). <br> MORE THAN ONE WIFE/ PARTNER <br> Please tell me the name of each of your wives or each woman you are living with as if married. <br> RECORD THE NAME AND THE LINE NUMBER FROM THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE FOR EACH WIFE AND LIVE-IN PARTNER. <br> IF A WOMAN IS NOT LISTED IN THE HOUSEHOLD, RECORD '00'. <br> ASK 408 FOR EACH PERSON. | LINE$\qquad$$\qquad$$\qquad$ |  | 408 <br> How old was (NAME) on her last birthday? <br> AGE |  |
| 409 | CHECK 407: <br> MORE THAN <br> ONE WIFE/ <br> ONE WIFE/ <br> PARTNER |  |  |  | $\rightarrow 411 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| 410 | Have you been married or lived with a woman only once or more than once? | $\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { ONLY ONCE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } & 1 \\ \text { MORE THAN ONCE } & 2\end{array}$ |  |  | $\rightarrow 411 \mathrm{~A}$ |




|  |  | LAST SEXUAL PARTNER | SECOND-TO-LAST SEXUAL PARTNER | THIRD-TO-LAST SEXUAL PARTNER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 425 | How old is this person? | AGE OF PARTNER <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | AGE OF PARTNER $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | AGE OF PARTNER <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |
| 426 | Apart from (this person/these two people), have you had sexual intercourse with any other person in the last 12 months? |  | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ (GO BACK TO $417 \ldots$ IN NEXT COLUMN) NO . . . . . . . . . (SKIP TO 428) |  |
| 427 | In total, with how many different people have you had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months? <br> IF NON-NUMERIC ANSWER, PROBE TO GET AN ESTIMATE. <br> IF NUMBER OF PARTNERS IS 95 OR MORE, WRITE '95'. |  |  | NUMBER OF <br> PARTNERS <br> LAST 12 <br> MONTHS . . . <br> DON'T KNOW ... 98 |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 437 | From where did you obtain the condom the last time? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 438 | The last time you had sex did you or your partner use any method (other than a condom) to avoid or prevent a pregnancy? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 NO . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow 501 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| 439 | What method did you or your partner use? <br> PROBE: <br> Did you or your partner use any other method to prevent pregnancy? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |  |  |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 509 | CHECK 203 AND 205: <br> HAS LIVING CHILDREN NO LIVING CHILDREN <br> If you could go back to the <br> If you could choose exactly the time you did not have any number of children to have in children and could choose your whole life, how many would exactly the number of children that be? to have in your whole life, how many would that be? <br> PROBE FOR A NUMERIC RESPONSE. | NONE .. <br> NUMBER <br> OTHER |  | ECIFY) | $96$ |  |
| 510 | How many of these children would you like to be boys, how many would you like to be girls and for how many would it not matter if it's a boy or a girl? | NUMBER OTHER | BOYS $\square$ | GIRLS $\square$ <br> ECIFY) | $\qquad$ 96 |  |

SECTION 6. EMPLOYMENT AND GENDER ROLES

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 601 | Have you done any work in the last seven days? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 604$ |
| 602 | Although you did not work in the last seven days, do you have any job or business from which you were absent for leave, illness, vacation, or any other such reason? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 604$ |
| 603 | Have you done any work in the last 12 months? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 607$ |
| 604 | What is your occupation, that is, what kind of work do you mainly do? |  |  |
| 605 | Do you usually work throughout the year, or do you work seasonally, or only once in a while? | THROUGHOUT THE YEAR . . . . . . . . 1 <br> SEASONALLY/PART OF THE YEAR 2 <br> ONCE IN A WHILE $\ldots . . . . . . . . . .$. 3 |  |
| 606 | Are you paid in cash or kind for this work or are you not paid at all? |  |  |
| 607 | CHECK 401: <br> CURRENTLY MARRIED OR <br> NOT CURRENTLY LIVING WITH A PARTNER NOT LIVING WITH A P | RRIED <br> AND $\square$ <br> RTNER | $\rightarrow 612$ |
| 608 | CHECK 606: <br> CODE 1 OR 2 OTHER $\square$ <br> CIRCLED |  | $\rightarrow 610$ |
| 609 | Who usually decides how the money you earn will be used: you, your (wife/partner), or you and your (wife/partner) jointly? |  |  |
| 610 | Who usually makes decisions about health care for yourself: you, your (wife/partner), you and your (wife/partner) jointly, or someone else? |  |  |
| 611 | Who usually makes decisions about making major household purchases? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 612 | Do you own this or any other house either alone or jointly with someone else? | ALONE ONLY JOINTLY ONLY BOTH ALONE AND JOINTLY DOES NOT OWN | $\begin{array}{cc} \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ \ldots . & 3 \\ \ldots . & 4 \end{array}$ |  |
| 613 | Do you own any land either alone or jointly with someone else? | ALONE ONLY JOINTLY ONLY BOTH ALONE AND JOINTLY DOES NOT OWN | $\begin{array}{cc} \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ \ldots . & 3 \\ \ldots \ldots & 4 \end{array}$ |  |
| 614 | In your opinion, is a husband justified in hitting or beating his wife in the following situations: <br> If she goes out without telling him? <br> If she neglects the children? <br> If she argues with him? <br> If she refuses to have sex with him? <br> If she burns the food? <br> If she is friendly with other men? |   YES <br> GOES OUT . . . . . . . 1  <br> NEGL. CHILDREN $\ldots$ 1 <br> ARGUES . . ......... 1  <br> REFUSES SEX $\ldots .$. 1 <br> BURNS FOOD ....... 1  <br> FRIENDLY WITH MEN . . 1  | NO DK <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 |  |


| SECTION 7. HIVIAIDS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |  | SKIP |
| 701 | Now I would like to talk about something else. Have you ever heard of HIVIAIDS? | YES <br> NO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\longrightarrow 723$ |
| 702 | Can people reduce their chance of getting HIV by having just one uninfected sex partner who has no other sex partners? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 703 | Can people get HIV from mosquito bites? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 704 | Can people reduce their chance of getting HIV by using a condom every time they have sex? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 705 | Can people get HIV by sharing food with a person who has AIDS? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 706 | Can people get HIV because of witchcraft or other supernatural means? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 707 | Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have HIV? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 708 | Can HIV be transmitted from a mother to her baby: <br> During pregnancy? <br> During delivery? <br> By breastfeeding? |   YES NO <br> DURING PREG. . . . . 1 2  <br> DURING DELIVERY . . 1 2  <br> BREASTFEEDING $\ldots$ 1 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DK } \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 709 | CHECK 708: <br> AT LEAST <br> ONE 'YES' | R |  | $\rightarrow 711$ |
| 710 | Are there any special drugs that a doctor or a nurse can give to a woman infected with HIV to reduce the risk of transmission to the baby? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 711 | CHECK FOR PRESENCE OF OTHERS. BEFORE CONTINUING, | KE EVERY EFFORT TO ENSURE PRIV |  |  |
| 712 | Have you ever been tested to see if you have HIV? | YES <br> NO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\longrightarrow 716$ |
| 713 | How many months ago was your most recent HIV test? | MONTHS AGO <br> TWO OR MORE YEARS | $\begin{gathered} \\ \hline \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 714 | Did you get the results of the test? | YES <br> NO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\longrightarrow 715$ |
| 714A | Will you be willing to share the results with me? | YES <br> NO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow 714 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 714B | What was your HIV test result? | POSITIVE <br> NEGATIVE |  |  |
| 714C | All men are supposed to receive counseling before and after being tested. Before and after you were tested, did you receive counseling? | YES <br> NO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 714D | Have you disclosed your result to your partner? | YES <br> NO <br> NO PARTNER | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 715 | Where was the test done? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 715A | Did you receive HIV counseling and testing individually or as a couple? | INDIVIDUAL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 715 \mathrm{D}$ |
| 715B | Would you consider HIV counseling and testing as a couple in the future? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow$ 715D |
| 715C | What is the main reason you would not consider HIV counseling and testing as a couple in the future? |  |  |
| 715D | CHECK 714B: HIV TEST RESULT | ATIVE BLANK | $\rightarrow 718$ |
| 715E | Are you currently taking ARVs daily? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\rightarrow 718$ |
| 715F | What is the main reason for not taking ARVs daily? | $\begin{array}{lrr}\text { TRANSPORTATION COS . . . . . . . . . } & 1 \\ \text { RELIGIOUS REASONS } \ldots \ldots \ldots . & 2 \\ \text { FOOD/NUTRITIONAL ISSUES ....... } & 3 \\ \text { SIDE EFFECTS } & \ldots . . . . & 4 \\ \text { FEAR OF BEING SEEN AT ARV CLINIC } & 5 \\ \text { OTHER } & \\ & \end{array}$ | $\rightarrow 718$ |
| 716 | Do you know of a place where people can go to get tested for HIV? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 718$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 717 | Where is that? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 718 | Would you buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor if you knew that this person had HIV? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 719 | If a member of your family got infected with HIV, would you want it to remain a secret or not? |  |  |
| 720 | If a member of your family became sick with AIDS, would you be willing to care for her or him in your own household? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 721 | In your opinion, if a female teacher has HIV but is not sick, should she be allowed to continue teaching in the school? | SHOULD BE ALLOWED . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 722 | Should children age 12-14 be taught about using a condom to avoid getting AIDS? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NO . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 723 |  | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 724 | CHECK 414: <br> HAS HAD SEXUAL <br> HAS NOT HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE INTERCOURSE |  | $\rightarrow 732$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 725 | CHECK 723: HEARD ABOUT OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED YES | FECTIONS? <br> NO | $\rightarrow 727$ |
| 726 | Now I would like to ask you some questions about your health in the last 12 months. During the last 12 months, have you had a disease which you got through sexual contact? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 727 | Sometimes men experience an abnormal discharge from their penis. <br> During the last 12 months, have you had an abnormal discharge from your penis? |  |  |
| 728 | Sometimes men have a sore or ulcer near their penis. During the last 12 months, have you had a sore or ulcer near your penis? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 729 | CHECK 726, 727, AND 728: <br> HAS HAD AN <br> HAS NOT HAD AN INFECTION INFECTION OR (ANY 'YES') DOES NOT KNOW |  | $\rightarrow 732$ |
| 730 | The last time you had (PROBLEM FROM 726/727/728), did you seek any kind of advice or treatment? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 732$ |
| 731 | Where did you go? <br> Any other place? <br> PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF SOURCE. <br> IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. |  |  |
| 732 | If a wife knows her husband has a disease that she can get during sexual intercourse, is she justified in asking that they use a condom when they have sex? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 NO . . . . . . . . |  |
| 733 | Is a wife justified in refusing to have sex with her husband when she knows her husband has sex with other women? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 801 | Now I would like to ask you some other questions relating to health matters. Some men are circumcised, that is, the foreskin is completely removed from the penis. Are you circumcised? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 804 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| 802 | How old were you when you got circumcised? | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS $\square$ <br> DURING CHILDHOOD (<5 YEARS) DON'T KNOW |  |
| 803 | Who did the circumcision? | TRADITIONAL PRACTITIONER/ FAMILY/FRIEND HEALTH WORKER/PROFESSIONAL OTHER DON'T KNOW |  |
| 804 | Where was it done? | HEALTH FACILITY <br> HOME OF A HEALTH WORKER/ PROFESSIONAL CIRCUMCISION DONE AT HOME RITUAL SITE OTHER HOME/PLACE DON'T KNOW |  |
| 804A | If you had a baby boy, would you have him circumcised? | YES <br> NO DON'T KNOW |  |
| 804B | Are there any benefits to male circumcision? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 804 \mathrm{D}$ |
| 804C | What are the benefits of male circumcision? RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | RECOMMENDED BY TRADITION/ <br> RELIGION <br> GOOD FOR HEALTH/HYGIENE <br> PROTECTS AGAINST GETTING HIV <br> PROTECTS AGAINST GETTING STD؟ <br> INCREASE SEXUAL <br> SATISFACTION <br> EASIER TO PUT ON CONDON . . . . . . . <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW |  |
| 804D | Have you ever heard of an illness called tuberculosis or TB? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow 805$ |
| 804E | How does tuberculosis spread from one person to another? <br> PROBE: Any other ways? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | THROUGH THE AIR WHEN COUGHING OR SNEEZING THROUGH SHARING UTENSILS. THROUGH TOUCHING A PERSON WITH TB <br> THROUGH FOOD <br> THROUGH SEXUAL CONTACT <br> THROUGH MOSQUITO BITES . <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 804F | What symptoms will a person with tuberculosis or TB have? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 804G | Can tuberculosis be cured? |  |  |
| 804H | If a member of your family got tuberculosis, would you want it to remain a secret or not? |  |  |
| 805 | Have you had an injection for any reason in the last 12 months? <br> IF YES: How many injections have you had? <br> IF NUMBER OF INJECTIONS IS 90 OR MORE, <br> OR DAILY FOR 3 MONTHS OR MORE, RECORD ' 90 '. <br> IF NON-NUMERIC ANSWER, PROBE TO GET AN ESTIMATE. | NUMBER OF INJECTIONS <br> NONE <br> 00 | $\rightarrow 808$ |
| 806 | Among these injections, how many were administered by a doctor, a nurse, a pharmacist, a dentist, or any other health worker? <br> IF NUMBER OF INJECTIONS IS 90 OR MORE, OR DAILY FOR 3 MONTHS OR MORE, RECORD ' 90 '. IF NON-NUMERIC ANSWER, PROBE TO GET AN ESTIMATE. | NUMBER OF INJECTIONS <br> NONE ............................... 00 | $\rightarrow 808$ |
| 807 | The last time you got an injection from a health worker, did he/she take the syringe and needle from a new, unopened package? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 808 | Do you currently smoke cigarettes? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 810$ |
| 809 | In the last 24 hours, how many cigarettes did you smoke? | NUMBER OF CIGARETTES |  |
| 810 | Do you currently smoke or use any (other) type of tobacco? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 811 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 811 | What (other) type of tobacco do you currently smoke or use? RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 811A | Do you use or smoke tobacco products daily? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 811 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 811B | How old were you when first started using any tobacco products daily? | AGE IN YEARS . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |  |
| 811C | Have you ever consumed an alcoholic drink, such as beer, wine, spirits, or other home-brewed liquor? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow 812$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 811F | Have you consumed an alcoholic drink during the past two weeks? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow 812$ |
| 811G | During the past two weeks, on how many days did you have at least one alcoholic drink? | NUMBER OF DAYS <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE |  |
| 811H | During the past two weeks, when you consumed alcohol, on average, how many bottles/glasses/tots of alcohol did you have per day? | NUMBER OF DRINKS DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE |  |
| 812 | Are you covered by any health insurance? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\longrightarrow$ 813A |
| 813 | What type of health insurance are you covered by? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | HEALTH INSURANCE THROUGH <br> EMPLOYER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A <br> SOCIAL SECURITY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . B <br> OTHER PRIVATELY PURCHASED <br> COMMERCIAL HEALTH INSURANCE C <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 813A | Are you involved in exercise that causes an increase in your heart rate for at least 10 minutes continuously? <br> IF YES, ASK: <br> At work? <br> During other physical activities? | NO $\ldots . .$. $\ldots . .$. $\ldots . .$. ... 1 <br> YES AT WORK $\ldots .$. $\ldots . .$. $\ldots$ 2  <br> YES OTHER PHYSICAL ACTIVITY. .... 3    |  |
| 813B | In the last 7 days, on how many days did you do exercise that lasted for at least 10 minutes each time? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '0' | NUMBER OF DAYS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE |  |
| 813E | Now I would like to ask you about liquids and foods that you consume. <br> How many glasses of water do you drink in one day on average? IF 'NONE' RECORD '00' | NUMBER OF GLASSES $\quad$  <br>   |  |
| 813F | In a typical week, on how many days do you eat fruits, such as apples, pears, oranges, bananas, mangoes, etc.? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '0' | NUMBER OF DAYS <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE | $\rightarrow 813 \mathrm{H}$ |
| 813G | On a day when you eat fruits, how many times do you eat on average? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '0' | NUMBER OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE $\qquad$ $8$ |  |
| 813H | In a typical week, on how many days do you eat vegetables, such as tomatoes, carrots, cabbage, dark green leafy vegetables (e.g. spinach) pumpkin, squash, etc.? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '0' | NUMBER OF DAYS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE | $\rightarrow 813 \mathrm{M}$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIE |  | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8131 | On a day when you eat vegetables, how many times do you eat on average? <br> IF 'NONE' RECORD '0' | NUMBER OF TIMES <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE |  |  |
| 813M | In the past 30 days, when you were seated in a vehicle either as a driver or passenger, have you used a seatbelt always, sometimes or never? | ALL THE TIME SOMETIME NEVER HAVE NOT BEEN IN VEHICLE IN PAST 30 DAYS NO SEATBELT IN CAR DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 813N | CHECK 103: <br> MAN AGE 40-64 <br> MAN AGE 15- |  |  | 813Q |
| 8130 | Have you ever heard of prostate cancer? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\rightarrow 813 \mathrm{Q}$ |
| 813P | Have you ever had a test or exam to see if you have prostate cancer? | YES <br> NO <br> DON'T KNOW | 1 2 8 |  |
| 813Q | Now I would like to ask some questions about mental health. Are there times when you see or hear things that are actually not there? | YES <br> NO |  |  |
| 813R | In the past 12 months, have you ever felt seriously worthless, hopeless, or wished you were dead? | YES NO |  |  |
| 813S | In the past two weeks, have you felt that you had little interest or pleasure in doing things? <br> IF YES, ASK: How many days did you feel this way? | NUMBER OF DAYS. . . . . . . . . 1 NO <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE |  |  |
| 813 T | In the past two weeks, have you felt very low in energy, been in a bad mood, or been sad all the time? <br> IF YES, ASK: How many days did you feel this way? | NUMBER OF DAYS. . . . . . . . 1 NO <br> DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \\ & \hline 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 8134 | CHECK 813Q, 813R, 813S, AND 813T: <br> YES TO ANY <br> NO/DK/NOT SURE TO ALL |  |  | $\rightarrow$ 901A |
| 813V | Did you seek any medical care? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

SECTION 9. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE


READ TO THE RESPONDENT
Now I would like to ask you questions about some other important aspects of a man's life. You may find some of these questions very personal. However, your answers are crucial for helping to understand the condition of men in Namibia. Let me assure you that your answers are completely confidential and will not be told to anyone and no one else in your household will know that you were asked these questions.


903 First, I am going to ask you about some situations which happen to some men. Please tell me if these apply to your relationship with your (last) (wife/partner)?
a) She (is/was) jealous or angry if you (talk/talked) to other women?
b) She frequently (accuses/accused) you of being unfaithful?
c) She (does/did) not permit you to meet your male friends?
d) She (tries/tried) to limit your contact with your family?
e) She (insists/insisted) on knowing where you (are/were) at all times?
f) She doesn't trust you with money/finances?

Now I need to ask some more questions about your relationship with your (last) (wife/partner).

A Did your (last) (wife/partner) ever:
B How often did this happen during the last 12 months: often, only sometimes, or not at all?
a) say or do something to humiliate you in front of others?
b) threaten to hurt or harm you or someone you care about?
c) insult you or make you feel bad about yourself?

|  |  | SOME- | NOT IN LAST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EVER | OFTEN | TIMES | 12 MONTHS |


| YES | $1 \longrightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \downarrow \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| YES | $1 \longrightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| NO | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \downarrow \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| YES | $1 \longrightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| NO | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \downarrow \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 910 | In the last 12 months, how often have you done this to your (last) (wife/partner): often, only sometimes, or not at all? |  |  |
| 911 | Does (did) your (last) (wife/partner) drink alcohol? |  | $\rightarrow 913$ |
| 912 | How often does (did) she get drunk: often, only sometimes, or never? | OFTEN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> SOMETIMES 2 <br> NEVER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |
| 913 | Are (Were) you afraid of your (last) (wife/partner): most of the time, sometimes, or never? | MOST OF THE TIME AFRAID $\ldots . . . .$. 1 <br> SOMETIMES AFRAID . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> NEVER AFRAID . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |
| 914 | CHECK 410: <br> MARRIED MORE $\square$ MARRIED ONLY THAN ONCE ONCE $\square$ |  | $\longrightarrow 916$ |
| 915 | A So far we have been talking about the behavior of your (current/last) (wife/partner). Now I want to ask you about the behavior of any previous (wife/partner). <br> a) Did any previous (wife/partner) ever hit, slap, kick, or do anything else to hurt you physically? <br> b) Did any previous (wife/partner) physically force you to have intercourse or perform any other sexual acts against your will? | B How long ago did this last happen? |  |
| 916 | CHECK 401 AND 402: <br> EVER MARRIED/EVER LIVED WITH A WOMAN From the time you were 15 years old has anyone other than (your/any) (wife/partner) hit you, slapped you, kicked you, or done anything else to hurt you physically? <br> NEVER MARRIED/NEVER LIVED WITH A WOMAN <br> From the time you were 15 years old has anyone hit you, slapped you, kicked you, or done anything else to hurt you physically? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 | $\xrightarrow{\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 922}$ |
| 917 | Who has hurt you in this way? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | MOTHER/STEP-MOTHER ............... A <br> FATHER/STEP-FATHER .................. . B <br> SISTER/BROTHER ......................... C <br> DAUGHTER/SON ......................... D <br> OTHER RELATIVE ......................... E <br> CURRENT GIRLFRIEND .................. F <br> FORMER GIRLFRIEND . ................. G <br> MOTHER-IN-LAW ........................ H <br> FATHER-IN-LAW ........................... . . I <br> OTHER IN-LAW ........................... J <br> TEACHER ................................ K <br> EMPLOYER/SOMEONE AT WORK ..... L <br> POLICE/SOLDIER ....................... M <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X |  |
| 918 | In the last 12 months, how often has (this person/have these persons) physically hurt you: often, only sometimes, or not at all? | OFTEN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> SOMETIMES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 922 | CHECK 401 AND 402: <br> EVER MARRIED/EVER LIVED WITH A WOMAN $\square$ <br> NEVER MARRIED/NEVER <br> LIVED WITH A WOMAN |  | $\rightarrow$ 922B |
| 922A | Now I want to ask you about things that may have been done to you by someone other than (your/any) (wife/partner). <br> At any time in your life, as a child or as an adult, has anyone ever forced you in any way to have sexual intercourse or perform any other sexual acts when you did not want to? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 NO . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |
| 922B | At any time in your life, as a child or as an adult, has anyone ever forced you in any way to have sexual intercourse or perform any other sexual acts when you did not want to? |  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 926$ |
| 923 | Who was the person who was forcing you the first time this happened? |  |  |
| 924 | CHECK 401 AND 402: <br> EVER MARRIED/EVER <br> LIVED WITH A WOMAN <br> In the last 12 months, has anyone other than (your/any) (wife/partner) physically forced you to have sexual intercourse when you did not want to? <br> NEVER MARRIED/NEVER $\square$ LIVED WITH A WOMAN <br> In the last 12 months has anyone physically forced you to have sexual intercourse when you did not want to? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\longrightarrow 925$ |
| 924A | CHECK 905A (h-j) and 915A(b) <br> AT LEAST ONE NOT A <br> 'YES' SINGLE 'YES' |  | $\rightarrow 926$ |
| 925 | CHECK 401 AND 402: <br> EVER MARRIED/EVER <br> LIVED WITH A WOMAN <br> How old were you the first time you were forced to have sexual intercourse or perform any other sexual acts by anyone, including (your/any) wife/partner? <br> NEVER MARRIED/NEVER LIVED WITH A WOMAN <br> How old were you the first time you were forced to have sexual intercourse or perform any other sexual acts? | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS <br> DON'T KNOW |  |
| 926 | CHECK 905A (a-j), 915A (a,b), 916, 922A, AND 922B: <br> AT LEAST ONE NOT A SINGLE <br> 'YES' <br> 'YES' |  | $\rightarrow 930$ |



## TO BE FILLED IN AFTER COMPLETING INTERVIEW

COMMENTS ABOUT RESPONDENT:

COMMENTS ON SPECIFIC QUESTIONS:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

ANY OTHER COMMENTS:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS

NAME OF SUPERVISOR:
DATE: $\qquad$

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS

NAME OF EDITOR: $\qquad$ DATE: $\qquad$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Households interviewed/households occupied
    ${ }^{2}$ Respondents interviewed/eligible respondents
    ${ }^{3}$ In 50 percent of selected households

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The categorisation into improved and non-improved categories follows that proposed by the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation (WHO and UNICEF, 2012a).

[^2]:    Note: Table is based on de jure household members (i.e., usual residents). Total includes 1 child with missing information on sex.
    ${ }^{1}$ Includes children with father dead, mother dead, both dead, and one parent dead but missing information on the survival status of the other parent

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for men who read a newspaper at least once a week are not shown due to a problem in the data entry programme. The responses from men with a secondary education or higher were not entered, resulting in a gross underestimate of men's exposure to this type of media.

[^4]:    Note: If more than one method is used, only the most effective method is considered in this tabulation.
    LAM = Lactational amenorrhoea method

[^5]:    Note: If more than one method is used, only the most effective method is considered in this tabulation.
    LAM = Lactational amenorrhoea method

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ There was an error in the 2006-07 Namibia DHS Final Report in the percentage of women age $15-49$ with an unmet need for family planning. The percentage of all women age 15-49 with an unmet need for family planning was actually 9 percent, with unmet need for spacing at 4 percent and unmet need for limiting at 5 percent. Corresponding figures for currently married women were 21 percent, 9 percent, and 12 percent, and corresponding figures for women who were not currently married were 3.2 percent, 1.7 percent, and 1.5 percent. Data on unmet need on the DHS Programme website (http://dhsprogramme.com) compare unmet need among currently married women calculated according to the new definition and the previous definition. The two percentages are very similar ( 20.5 percent and 20.6 percent, respectively).

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The imputation procedure is based on the assumption that the reported birth order of the siblings in the birth history is correct. The first step is to calculate birth dates. For each living sibling with a reported age and for each dead sibling with complete information on both age at death and year of death, the birth date is calculated. For a sibling missing these data, a birth date is imputed within the range defined by the birth dates of the bracketing siblings. In the case of living siblings, an age is calculated from the imputed birth date. In the case of dead siblings, if either age at death or year of death is reported, that information is combined with the birth date to produce missing information. If both pieces of information are missing, the age at death is imputed. This imputation is based on the distribution of the ages at death for those whose year of death is unreported but whose age at death is reported.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes women who received a checkup after 41 days

[^9]:    Note: Information was obtained from the vaccination card or if there was no written record, from the mother. For children whose information is based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life is assumed to be the same as for children with a written record of vaccinations.
    ${ }^{1}$ Pentavalent vaccinations include DPT, Hepatitis B (HepB) and Haemophilus influenza type b (HiB)
    ${ }^{2}$ Polio 0 is the polio vaccination given at birth.
    ${ }^{3}$ BCG, measles and three doses each of DPT and polio vaccine (excluding polio vaccine given at birth)

[^10]:    Note: ORT includes fluid prepared from oral rehydration salt (ORS) packets, pre-packaged ORS fluid, and recommended home fluids (RHF). Total includes 15 children with missing information on type of diarrhoea. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes pharmacy, shop, and traditional practitioner

[^11]:    unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
    ${ }^{1}$ Continued feeding practices includes children who were given more, the same as usual, or somewhat less food during the diarrhoea episode.

[^12]:    Note: Total includes 4 children with missing information on toilet facility.
    ${ }^{1}$ Children's stools are considered to be disposed of safely if the child used a toilet or latrine, if the faecal matter was put/rinsed into a toilet or latrine, or if it was buried.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Table 2.2 for definition of categories.
    ${ }^{3}$ Facilities that would be considered improved if they were not shared by 2 or more households

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the earlier NDHS surveys, this information was collected for the youngest children under age 3 who were living with their mother at the time of the survey.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the first 2 months after delivery of last birth
    ${ }^{2}$ Excludes women in households where salt was not tested

[^15]:    Note: Table is based on women who stayed in the household the night before the interview. Total includes 1 case for which information on education is missing. Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed
    ${ }^{1}$ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is (1) a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment (LLIN), (2) a pretreated net obtained within the past 12 months, or (3) a net that has been soaked with insecticide within the past 12 months.
    ${ }^{2}$ Indoor residual spraying (IRS) is limited to spraying conducted by a government, private, or non-governmental organisation.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for women are not shown due to the small number of cases.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{www}$. who.int/nmh/countries/nam_en.pdf?ua=1

[^18]:    
    Note: Total includes 7 men with missing information on education.
    ${ }^{1}$ An individual was classified as having hypertension if he/she had
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Body mass index is expressed as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).

[^19]:    ${ }^{2}$ Percentages may not add up to 100 percent due to rounding.
    ${ }^{3}$ http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs312/en/
    ${ }^{4}$ http://www.who.int/nmh/countries/nam_en.pdf?ua=1

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2007/pr26/en/

[^21]:    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/road_safety_status/2013/en/

[^22]:    ${ }^{3}$ http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/

[^23]:    ${ }^{4}$ http://www.who.int/topics/mental_health

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Refers to women who had ever seen or heard things that are actually not there; who, in the past 12 months, had ever felt seriously worthless, hopeless, or wished to be dead; who, in the past 2 weeks, felt that they had little interest or pleasure in doing things; or who, in the past 2 weeks, felt low in energy, had been in a bad mood, or had been sad all of the time

[^25]:    Note: Total includes 3 men with missing information on employment

[^26]:    1 'Skilled provider' includes doctor, nurse, midwife, or auxiliary nurse/midwife

[^27]:    Note: Women can report more than one source from which they sought help. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
    ELCIN = Evangelical Lutheran Church in Namibia

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes all dried blood samples (DBSs) tested at the lab and for which there was a result, i.e., positive, negative, or indeterminate. Indeterminate means that the sample went through the entire algorithm, but the final result was inconclusive.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes 1) other results of blood collection (e.g., technical problem in the field), 2) lost specimens, 3) noncorresponding bar codes, and 4 ) other lab results such as blood not tested for technical reason and not enough blood to complete the algorithm.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes all dried blood samples (DBSs) tested at the lab and for which there is a result, i.e., positive, negative, or indeterminate. Indeterminate means that the sample went through the entire algorithm, but the final result was inconclusive.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes 1) other results of blood collection (e.g., technical problem in the field), 2) lost specimens, 3) noncorresponding bar codes, and 4) other lab results, such as blood not tested for technical reason and not enough blood to complete the algorithm.
    ${ }^{3}$ A respondent is considered to have had concurrent partners if he or she had overlapping sexual partnerships with two or more people during the 12 months before the survey. (Respondents with concurrent partners included polygynous men who had overlapping sexual partnerships with two or more wives.)

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ The mortality rates are calculated for last $0-4$ years for the national sample, and last $0-9$ years for regional samples.

[^31]:    na = Not applicable
    ${ }^{1}$ Both year and month of birth given
    ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{Bm} / \mathrm{Bf}) \times 100$, where Bm and Bf are the numbers of male and female births, respectively
    ${ }^{3}[2 B x /(B x-1+B x+1)] \times 100$, where $B x$ is the number of births in calendar year $x$

[^32]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes deaths under one month reported in days
    ${ }^{1}$ Under one month

