

DHS WORKING PAPERS

Spousal Agreement on Waiting Time to Next Birth in Sub-Saharan Africa

Tesfayi Gebreselassie

Vinod Mishra

2007 No. 35

DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH RESEARCH

November 2007

This document was produced for review by the United States Agency for International Development.

The *DHS Working Papers* series is an unreviewed and unedited prepublication series of papers reporting on research in progress based on Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) data. This research was carried out with support provided by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) through the MEASURE DHS project (#GPO-C-00-03-00002-00). The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

MEASURE DHS assists countries worldwide in the collection and use of data to monitor and evaluate population, health, and nutrition programs. Additional information about the MEASURE DHS project can be obtained by contacting Macro International Inc., Demographic and Health Research Division, 11785 Beltsville Drive, Suite 300, Calverton, MD 20705 (telephone: 301-572-0200; fax: 301-572-0999; e-mail: reports@macrointernational.com; internet: www.measuredhs.com).



Spousal Agreement on Waiting Time to Next Birth in Sub-Saharan Africa

Tesfayi Gebreselassie Vinod Mishra

Macro International Inc.

November 2007

Corresponding author: Tesfayi Gebreselassie, Macro International Inc., 3 Corporate Square, Suite 370, Atlanta, GA 30329, USA; Phone: 404-321-3211; Fax: 404-321-3688; Email: tesfayi.gebreselassi@macrointernational.com.

ABSTRACT

Decision-making regarding fertility and family planning involves a complex process of discussion and negotiation by married couples. This study investigates how various social, demographic, and economic factors influence spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. We also explore how the practice of polygyny in the society affects spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth.

The study uses nationally-representative samples of matched cohabiting couples included in 14 recent Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) in sub-Saharan Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Mali, and Chad from west and central Africa; and Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda, Rwanda, Malawi, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, Zambia, and Namibia from eastern and southern Africa), conducted during 1999 to 2004. We compare reported waiting time to next birth by the husband and the wife to measure spousal agreement or disagreement. Couples where the difference is within ± 2 months are defined as having agreement on waiting time to next birth. We examine the influence of selected social, economic, and demographic characteristics of couples on spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth, using binary logistic regression.

We find that in sub-Saharan Africa spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is associated with wanting the next child sooner. When the spouses disagree on waiting time to next birth, the wives want to wait longer than their husbands in most cases. Additionally, we find that the demographic factors are the primary determinants of spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth, not the socioeconomic factors. The strongest predictor of waiting time to next birth is infecundability. In most countries, cohabiting couples with fewer children and couples with infecund wives are more likely to agree on waiting time to next birth. Wife's age is also positively associated with spousal agreement. Effects of socioeconomic factors, such as education, employment, and wealth status are generally weak and inconsistent. The separate analysis of pooled data for the low and high polygyny countries also shows strong effects of demographic factors, not socioeconomic.

The findings highlight some of the challenges in developing programs to promote spousal communication and birth spacing and underscore the need for the programs to be gender-sensitive.

INTRODUCTION

Various studies have demonstrated that longer birth intervals are better for the health of the mother and the child (Rutstein, 2005; DaVanzo et al., 2004; Conde-Agudelo and Belizan, 2000). Recently, the WHO technical consultation group on birth spacing agreed that after a live birth a mother should wait at least 24 months before attempting the next pregnancy (WHO, 2006). However, the consultation group also noted that it is up to the individuals and couples to make their own choices for the timing of the next pregnancy.

Decision-making regarding fertility and family planning involves a complex process of discussion and negotiation by married couples. Decisions may be influenced by the attitudes and intentions of one or both spouses. Yet, discussion on waiting time to next birth between spouses remains uncommon. A study in Uganda by Blanc and others (1996) found relatively little evidence of negotiation about spacing births than about stopping childbearing altogether. Overall, only 39 percent of men and 31 percent of women ever discussed the timing of the next birth with their spouse, compared with 47 percent of men and 45 percent of women who discussed having no more children (Blanc et al., 1996).

In sub-Saharan Africa, women have a widespread desire to have longer birth intervals than they currently have (Blanc et al., 1996; Rafalimanana and Westoff, 2001). Studies that investigated reproductive preferences in relation to actual reproductive behavior in sub-Saharan Africa have found that birth spacing preferences influence actual birth intervals and fertility in some but not all countries (Rafalimanana and Westoff, 2001). If realized, the desire to avoid short birth intervals may translate into benefits for the lives of women and children. DaVanzo and others (2003) used longitudinal data from Malaysia and found that couples where both partners wanted another child tended to have a subsequent child sooner, i.e., had a shorter waiting time to next birth, than couples where the partners disagreed on wanting another child.

Previous research has shown that women's education, number of living children, women's work status, and household wealth affect reproductive preferences and behaviors (Bhargava, 2007; Rafalimanana and Westoff, 2001; Fapohunda and Poukouta, 1997). More specifically, the number of living children has been shown to influence waiting time to next birth (Rafalimanana and Westoff, 2001). Differences in age and educational attainment between the husband and the wife are measures of relative power that have also been shown to affect reproductive preferences and behaviors (Wolff et al., 2000; Beegle et al., 2001; Barbieri and Hertrich, 2005).

Spousal discussion and negotiation about reproductive preferences and choices occur within specified social contexts. Social norms and values are known to influence individual reproductive preferences and behaviors, such as spacing of births, stopping childbearing, and practicing contraception (Pollak and Watkins, 1993). Marriage as a social institution has also been shown to influence reproductive preferences and behaviors. A number of studies have examined the impact of polygyny on contraceptive use and fertility behavior at the individual level (Hogan et al., 1999; Nyblade and Menken, 1993), but Ezeh (1997) argued that polygyny is not only an individual-level variable but also a contextual variable.

Previous research has demonstrated the value of using couples' data to better understand reproductive preferences and behaviors in developing countries (Becker, 1996; Dodoo, 1993; Greene and Biddlecom, 2000). In this study, we use matched couples' data from recent Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) in 14 sub-Saharan African countries to explore how various social, demographic, and economic factors influence spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. We investigate how infecundability and spousal education and age differences, as well as economic well-being, influence spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. We also explore how polygyny, as an institution of marriage and cultural norms, affects spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth.

DATA AND METHODS

The data are from 14 recent Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) in sub-Saharan Africa, namely Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Mali, and Chad from west and central Africa; and Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda, Rwanda, Malawi, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, Zambia, and Namibia from eastern and southern Africa. The earliest survey included in this report is the Zimbabwe DHS (completed in 1999) and the latest is the Chad DHS (completed in 2004). In each country, the survey interviewed adult women age 15-49 and adult men age 15-59 (15-54 in Kenya, Uganda, Malawi, and Zimbabwe; 15-64 in Benin). Women and men were interviewed using similar questionnaires. Appendix Table A1 provides information about the survey year; numbers of currently married women, men, and matched couples; and proportion of couples in polygynous union for each country.

In this study, a woman is defined as infecund if she was in a stable union (married only once), was sexually active (had sex in the last 12 months), did not use any contraceptive method, and did not have a child birth in the last five years.

We measure spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth using matched information from each spouse's response to the question, "How long would you like to wait from now before the birth of another child?" This question was asked if the respondent indicated that she/he intends to have (a/another) child in the future. For women or men who responded "as soon as possible," a value of 9 months is imputed. Using the difference in the duration of waiting time to next birth, in months, a three-category variable is generated: 1) both husband and wife indicating similar waiting time to next birth, i.e. if the difference is within the range of -2 to +2 months; 2) the husband wanting longer duration of waiting time if the difference is more than 2 months; and 3) the wife wanting longer duration of waiting time if the difference is smaller than -2 months. The first category represents spousal agreement, while the latter two represent disagreement between the partners.

We examine the influence of key social, economic, and demographic characteristics of couples on spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth, using binary logistic regression. The characteristics included: urban/rural residence, wife's education, husband's education, spousal education difference, wife's age, husband's age, spousal age difference, wife's employment, husband's employment, type of marital union, number of living children, fecundity, and household wealth status. Further details on these variables are provided in Table 3. Separate logistic regressions are run for each country. Namibia was excluded from the multivariate analysis due to small numbers of matched couples with information on waiting time to next birth.

One of the caveats of the matched couples' data is that for polygynous unions multiple wives are matched with one husband to form multiple couples. However, the questions in the men's questionnaire did not ask the husband about each wife. This raises the concern regarding statistical independence of responses from a polygynous husband (Speizer and Yates, 1998; Bankole and Singh, 1998). To address this, we randomly selected a wife from each polygynous husband to form only one representative couple from a polygynous union. We compared spousal agreement (or disagreement) on waiting time to next birth between the two sets of couples, one with multiple couples in a polygynous union and the second with one representative couple with a randomly selected wife, but found no significant differences between the two sets (Appendix Table A2). The analysis presented in this paper uses the first set with multiple couples representing polygynous unions.

To explore the role of polygyny as an institution that affects waiting time to next birth at an aggregate level, we pooled data separately for six countries with a relatively high prevalence of polygyny (20 percent or higher) and for the remaining eight countries with a lower prevalence of polygyny (less than 20 percent). We compared spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth between the two polygyny groups, and examined whether the various demographic and socioeconomic factors affected spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth differently in the two groups of countries. When analyzing the pooled data from each of the two groups of countries, we created new sets of weights that accounted for both the survey sampling weights and the size of the population of each country.

RESULTS

Considering couples in which both partners want to have another child, the proportion wanting similar waiting time to next birth ranges from 18 percent in Namibia to 47 percent in Zambia (Table 1). Only about a third of couples in most countries reported that both partners have similar waiting time to next birth. In 8 of the 14 countries (Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Mali, Chad, Ethiopia, Kenya, and Namibia), a higher proportion of wives want longer wait time to next birth compared with their husbands. On the other hand, in Uganda, Rwanda, Malawi, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, and Zambia – all in eastern and southern Africa – a higher proportion of husbands want longer waiting time than their wives.

Namibia stands out as having only 18 percent of couples where both partners agree on the waiting time to next birth, and where a much greater proportion of wives want to wait longer (57 percent) than their husbands (25 percent).

Table 1. Spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth by country									
	Agreement	Disagre	ement	<u> </u>					
	Both want similar waiting time	Husband wants to wait longer	Wife wants to wait longer	Number of couples					
West and Central Africa									
Benin	37.6	28.1	34.3	925					
Burkina Faso	33.4	25.0	41.7	1,400					
Ghana	37.3	25.0	37.6	1,074					
Mali	35.8	27.9	36.3	1,358					
Chad	34.0	30.8	35.2	580					
Eastern and Southern Africa									
Ethiopia	32.5	29.8	37.7	693					
Kenya	33.0	31.2	35.7	601					
Uganda	38.5	34.6	26.9	495					
Rwanda	39.4	34.8	25.8	625					
Malawi	41.4	30.8	27.8	738					
Mozambique	35.4	34.0	30.6	809					
Zimbabwe	35.8	32.2	32.0	371					
Zambia	46.8	33.8	19.5	574					
Namibia	18.0	25.1	56.9	154					

Table 2 presents the median waiting time to next birth for couples by whether both spouses want similar waiting time, the husband wants to wait longer, or the wife wants to wait longer. Since waiting time can be affected by age, we also present the median waiting time to next birth by the age of the wife. In most countries, when both partners agree, the median waiting time to next birth is 24 month or shorter; the shortest waiting time is 16 months in Mali and Mozambique. However, when the husband and the wife disagree on waiting time to next birth,

the median waiting time tends to be longer in all countries. In 8 of the 14 countries, when the spouses disagree, the wives want to wait longer for next birth than their husbands. In the remaining 6 countries, the wives and husbands have similar median waiting times irrespective of which partner wants to wait longer. Younger wives prefer longer waiting time to next birth (24-37 months) than older wives (14-25 months) in all but three countries—Chad, Uganda, and Zambia—where the median waiting time to next birth is 24 months in both age groups.

Table 2. Median waiting time to next bage of the wife	oirth (in month	s) by spou	sal agreer	nent and	d by						
	Agreement Disagreement Wife's age										
	Both want similar waiting time	Husband wants to wait longer	Wife wants to wait longer	15-34	35-49						
West and Central Africa											
Benin	24	36	36	25	16						
Burkina Faso	24	39	48	36	25						
Ghana	20	48	49	37	26						
Mali	16	36	36	24	14						
Chad	24	25	36	24	24						
Eastern and Southern Africa											
Ethiopia	19	37	40	36	19						
Kenya	24	37	48	31	17						
Uganda	24	36	36	24	24						
Rwanda	25	37	37	36	25						
Malawi	24	36	37	27	24						
Mozambique	16	24	36	24	15						
Zimbabwe	18	43	49	36	18						
Zambia	19	28	36	24	24						
Namibia	23	27	36	26	18						

Differentials in Spousal Agreement on Waiting Time to Next Birth

Table 3 presents the social, economic, and demographic differentials in the percentage of couples in which both spouses agree to have similar waiting time to next birth. In 11 of the 14 countries, the percentage of couples in which both spouses want similar waiting time to next birth is higher in urban areas compared with rural areas.

In Ghana, Uganda, Malawi, and Mozambique, the percentage of couples in which both partners want similar waiting time to next birth increases with increasing level of wife's education. In contrast, in Chad, Ethiopia, Zambia, and Namibia, the percentage of couples with both spouses wanting similar waiting time to next birth is higher when the wife has no formal education. In Chad, for example, in 35 percent of the couples where the wife has no education both partners want similar waiting time to next birth, compared with 23 percent of the couples where the wife has secondary or higher education. In most cases, there is no clear relationship between husband's education and spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. In Burkina Faso, Kenya, Uganda, Malawi, and Mozambique, spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is higher when a wife is more educated than her husband, whereas in Benin, Ghana, Rwanda, and Namibia, it is higher when a husband is more educated than his wife.

In all countries, except Burkina Faso and Malawi, spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is higher among couples with wives age 35-49 than among those with wives age 15-34. However, there is no clear relationship between husband's age and spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. In 9 of the 14 countries, spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is greater when the age gap between the spouses is shorter.

There is no clear relationship between work status of the wife or the husband and spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. Both for the wife's and husband's work status, working for cash is positively associated with spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth in only 6 of the 14 countries. In 8 of the 14 countries, spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is greater among monogamous couples than among polygynous couples. Namibia stands out as a country where spousal agreement on waiting time is much greater among polygynous couples than among monogamous couples.

In most countries, spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is lower among couples with more living children, with the notable exception of Rwanda. In all countries, spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is greater among couples where the wife was infecund. There is no clear relationship between household wealth status and spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. In about half of the countries spousal agreement is greater among couples living in wealthier households, and in the other half it is greater among couples in the poorer households.

		West a	nd Central	Africa					East	ern and S	outhern Africa			
Characteristics	Benin	Burkina	Ghana	Mali	Chad	Ethiopia	Kenya	Uganda	Rwanda	Malawi	Mozambique	Zimbabwe	Zambia	Namibia
Residence														
Urban	42.8	36.5	44.4	33.9	28.5	33.3	38.3	44.8	37.8	32.6	33.3	33.6	35.6	20.2
Rural	35.3	33.0	34.0	36.2	34.9	32.4	31.3	33.7	34.3	30.5	34.2	31.5	33.1	16.7
Wife's education														
No education	37.5	32.6	33.0	36.2	35.3	33.9	33.4	30.9	39.3	21.8	31.8	39.8	36.0	23.5
Primary	34.5	38.9	37.6	33.0	29.1	30.5	31.5	35.9	32.6	33.1	36.0	30.5	33.5	20.1
Secondarv+	48.8	38.0	43.9	34.5	22.6	19.1	36.6	36.8	35.2	39.2	42.4	32.8	33.1	14.2
Husband's education														
No education	36.2	34.3	30.4	36.3	35.0	35.1	35.6	42.4	33.5	33.9	33.7	47.3	33.2	3.4
Primary	36.4	25.8	36.4	36.4	36.1	28.9	32.7	33.1	36.6	29.5	33.3	26.8	31.8	24.9
Secondarv+	44.9	34.9	43.2	31.9	24.5	32.5	32.6	36.3	30.5	33.2	38.9	34.1	36.9	17.9
Spousal education difference		0110		01.0		02.0	02.0	00.0	0010	00.2	00.0	• • • •	0010	
Same education	37.5	33.8	31.5	36.0	35.2	35.4	31.2	31.1	31.9	30.2	31.4	36.4	36.5	82
Wife more educated	29.6	41.4	37.2	36.2	35.8	22.6	35.9	45.9	34.7	34.0	36.4	27.3	21.3	18.2
Husband more educated	39.5	27.5	42.6	35.3	31.4	30.0	32.4	31.9	35.8	29.8	34.9	31.7	36.6	24.7
Wife's age	00.0			00.0	•	0010	02	0110	0010	20.0	0 110	••••	00.0	
15-34	37.2	34 1	36.4	33.6	33.3	29.5	30.7	34.0	33.9	31.3	33.2	30.3	32.3	15.2
35-49	40.7	28.7	41 7	47.0	37.8	48.6	60.1	44.3	41.4	24.7	37.9	57.9	48.3	28.0
Husband's age														
15-34	37.3	38.5	36 1	38 7	36.9	28.4	30.3	34.3	32.6	30.9	32.3	29.7	30.3	17 7
35-44	37.7	26.7	40.8	30.0	28.5	33.3	36.6	38.2	38.0	33.0	33.5	35.9	42.4	20.1
45+	38.1	33.9	33.7	40.8	34.7	44.5	45.9	24.0	44.5	20.4	43.0	48.9	45.9	10.5
Spousal age difference		00.0			•					_0	1010	10.0	1010	
(husband older)														
< 5 years/wife older	35.5	36.4	38.9	37.2	35.6	33.4	37.2	36.0	32.5	30.4	31.7	33.1	33.7	18.8
5 years and over	39.2	32.2	35.9	35.4	33.1	32.0	29.4	32.7	38.6	31.3	36.5	31.1	33.8	16.0
Wife's employment	00.2	02.2	00.0	00.1		02.0	_0	02	00.0	0110	0010	•	0010	
Not working	45 7	33.4	38.1	35.6	34.7	31.5	30.5	29.8	24 7	33.0	37.8	30.4	29.0	19 1
Working for cash	36.4	33.4	37.2	35.9	33.8	33.2	35.1	35.9	36.2	29.2	32.9	33.8	36.9	13.6
Husband's employment			0	00.0	00.0	00.2		0010	00.2		02.0	00.0	0010	10.0
Not working	24.9	34 7	47 5	34 5	42 1	29.8	34 5	24.9	35.9	23.9	27.9	32.8	42.9	18.8
Working for cash	37.8	33.0	37.2	36.1	33.8	32.6	32.9	37.8	34.1	33.6	35.6	31.9	32.6	17.4
Type of marital union	01.0	00.0	0	00.1	00.0	02.0	02.0	0110	• …	00.0	0010	0110	02.0	
Monogamous	42.2	37.4	40.0	39 7	34.0	32.6	33 4	34.6	34.8	30.8	34.4	32.6	33.8	16.8
Polygynous	30.1	27.7	27.6	29.1	34.0	31.2	29.5	34.9	34.8	30.7	32.4	26.9	33.3	34.5
No. of living children						• · · =								
0	52.6	59.9	65.8	55.6	51.6	47 6	48 1	57 0	497	42.8	47.3	40 1	46 4	17 1
1-2	38.7	32.1	34.9	35.5	30.8	26.7	31.1	34.3	32.0	29.3	30.3	32.0	31.7	17.3
3-4	34.0	24.8	30.7	30.5	35.7	30.1	27.6	28.4	28.8	22.6	32.2	24.6	32.7	26.3
5+	25.2	22.5	28.5	28.3	30.9	40.5	23.3	26.9	52.9	29.8	31.5	66	26.2	3.0
Fecundity ¹			20.0	20.0	00.0		20.0	2010	02.0	20.0	0.110	0.0		0.0
Fecund	34 5	31.8	35.0	32.9	317	31.4	29.6	33.6	32.8	29.3	33.4	29.5	30.7	15 5
Infecund	62.8	58.4	55.0	60.4	41 1	63.4	66.8	39.2	77.4	53.1	34.7	55.5	69.6	30.5
Household wealth status ²	02.0	00.1	00.0	00.1		00.1	00.0	00.2		00.1	01.7	00.0	00.0	00.0
Poor	38.2	38.0	32.3	34 7	32.5	30.2	29.7	33.5	37.0	27 2	27.6	34 7	33.2	19 1
Middle	29.6	30.1	32.6	42.2	34.6	31.1	28.6	33.3	31.8	31.5	43.3	26.8	37.4	17.9
Rich	41 9	29.3	47.8	33.8	35.1	36.5	38.1	37.5	34.8	34.1	39.5	32.0	31.5	16.9
	-1.5	20.0		00.0	00.1	00.0	00.1	01.0	0 7.0	07.1	00.0	02.0	01.0	10.0
Number of couples	925	1,400	1,074	1,358	580	693	601	495	625	738	809	371	574	154

¹ A woman is defined as infecund if she was in a stable union (married only once), was sexually active (had sex in the last 12 months), did not use any contraceptive method, and did not have a childbirth in the last five years. ² Household wealth status is measured by an index based on household ownership of durable assets (Rutstein and Johnson, 2004).

Multivariate Analyses

With other factors controlled, urban/rural residence has no significant association with spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth in any of the 13 countries included in the multivariate analysis (Table 4). Wife's education and education gap between the husband and wife also do not have any significant associations, except in Malawi where wife's education is significantly positively associated with the likelihood of both partners wanting similar waiting time to next birth.

Independent of other factors, wife's age is significantly positively associated with spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth in 8 of the 13 countries: Ghana, Mali, Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, and Zambia. The association is particularly strong in Ethiopia (aOR=3.03; p<0.01), Zambia (aOR=3.86; p<0.01), and Zimbabwe (aOR=5.66; p<0.01), where couples with wives age 35-49 are 3-6 times more likely to have spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth than couples with wives age 15-34. The age gap between the partners has no significant relationship with spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth in any of the 13 countries.

In most countries, employment status of the wife or that of the husband has no significant association with spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. In the case of the wife's employment, working for cash is significantly positively associated with spousal agreement in only Rwanda and Uganda. In the case of the husband's employment, working for cash is significantly positively associated with spousal agreement in only Malawi and significantly negatively in Zambia.

In Benin, Ghana, and Mali, spouses in polygynous marriages are less likely to agree on waiting time to next birth than those in monogamous marriages. The number of living children has a significant relationship with spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth in 7 of the 13 countries (Benin, Burkina Faso, Mali, Ethiopia, Uganda, Zimbabwe, and Zambia). In these countries, spousal agreement is less common among couples with more living children.

Infecundibility is strongly negatively associated with spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth in 9 of the 13 countries. With other factors controlled, the spousal agreement was 2-7 times more common in couples where the wife was infecund. In the remaining four countries (Chad, Uganda, Mozambique, and Zimbabwe) the association between infecundability and spousal agreement is positive but not statistically significant.

The relationship between household wealth status and spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is positive and significant in Ghana, Mali, Ethiopia, and Mozambique, but negative and significant in Burkina Faso.

Table 4. Odds ratios from binary logistic regressions predicting spousal agreement on similar waiting time to next birth													
	West and Central Africa								Eastern ar	d Southerr	Africa		
	Benin	Burkina	Ghana	Mali	Chad	Ethiopia	Kenya	Uganda	Rwanda	Malawi	Mozambique	Zimbabwe	Zambia
Residence													
Rural	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Urban	1.10	1.44	0.97	0.76	0.78	0.95	1.13	1.54	1.30	1.19	0.75	1.22	0.95
Wife's education													
No education	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Primary	0.80	1.39	0.85	0.90	0.71	0.81	0.72	1.09	0.77	1.57*	0.94	0.89	0.94
Secondary+	0.91	1.39	1.05	0.96	0.67	0.53	0.52	0.57	0.83	1.97	0.96	0.97	1.03
Spousal age difference													
Same education	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Wife more educated	0.75	1.07	0.83	1.24	1.69	0.74	1.14	1.62	1.43	0.98	0.92	0.73	0.56
Husband more educated	0.99	0.80	1.02	0.92	0.92	0.87	0.84	0.98	1.33	0.90	1.03	0.84	0.97
Wife's age													
15-34	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
35-49	1.43	1.09	1.59*	1.81**	1.35	3.03**	2.56**	2.31*	1.13	1.11	1.61*	5.66**	3.86**
Spousal age difference													
< 5 years/wife older	1 00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00
5 years and over	1.00	0.01	0.04	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.81	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Wife's employment	1.20	0.91	0.94	1.00	0.90	1.00	0.90	0.01	1.50	1.07	1.10	1.01	1.00
Not working	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Working for cash	0.81	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.70	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.87	0.72	1.00	1.00
Husband's omnlovmont	0.01	1.52	0.33	1.00	0.75	1.10	1.27	1.07	1.70	0.07	0.72	1.10	1.57
Not working	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00
Working for cash	2.00	0.03	0.80	0.82	1.00	1.00	0.56	1.00	0.80	1.00	1.00	0.85	0.58*
Type of marital union	2.09	0.95	0.00	0.02	1.54	1.00	0.50	1.50	0.09	1.45	1.25	0.05	0.56
Monogamous	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Polygynous	0.50**	0.70	0.63*	0.60**	0.72	1.00	0.65	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.78	0.03	0.88
No. of living childron	0.55	0.75	0.00	0.03	0.72	1.00	0.00	1.15	0.05	1.20	0.70	0.35	0.00
	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00
3_1	0.78	0.54**	0.72	0.72*	0.82	0.78	0.66	0.56*	0.72	0.60	0.08	0.33*	0.82
5-	0.70	0.04	0.72	0.72	0.02	0.70	0.00	0.50	2 32	0.05	0.90	0.00*	0.02
Fecundity	0.51	0.41	0.00	0.47	0.04	0.50	0.40	0.55	2.52	0.05	0.90	0.09	0.27
Feculary	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Infocund	2.50*	2 82**	2 20**	2.95**	1.00	3 26**	2.86**	1.00	6.80**	2.66*	1.00	2.20	6.76**
Household wealth status	2.59	2.02	2.29	2.05	1.57	5.20	2.00	1.50	0.09	2.00	1.55	2.20	0.70
Poor	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Middle	0.75	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.76	1.00	1.00	0.72	0.91
Dich	0.73	0.00	1.00	1.04	1.14	1.40	1.10	0.90	0.70	0.97	1.70	0.72	1.05
RICH	1.51	0.01	1.75	1.10	1.15	2.15	1.50	1.50	0.79	0.07	1.70	0.02	1.05
Number of couples	920	1,400	1,074	1,335	577	691	601	495	620	737	808	370	571
Loglikelihood	-582.18	-847.58	-669.64	-830.74	-360.50	-432.37	-368.18	-309.53	-381.00	-443.77	-513.16	-218.12	-342.33
Significance level: * p < 0.05; ** p <	.01												

Waiting Time to Next Birth by Polygyny Groups

Table 5 presents multivariate analysis of pooled data for two groups of countries based on the prevalence of polygyny. Independent of other factors, only wife's age and infecundibility are significant determinants of spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth in both countries with low prevalence of polygyny and countries with high prevalence of polygyny. In the low polygyny group of countries, the likelihood of spousal agreement is about twice as high among couples with wives age 35-49 as among those with wives age 15-34 (aOR=1.96; p < 0.01), and in the high polygyny group of countries it is one-anda-half times as high among couples with wives age 35-49 as among those with wives age 15-34 (aOR=1.50; p < 0.01). Similarly, in both the low and high polygyny groups, the likelihood of spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is more than twice as high among couples with infecund wives as among those with fecund wives (aOR=2.41; p < 0.01in the low polygyny group and aOR=2.12; p < 0.01 in the high polygyny group).

In the high polygyny group, type of marital union and number of living children are also significantly associated with the likelihood of spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. In this Table 5. Odds ratios from binary logitistic regression predicting spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth by polygyny groups

	Polyayny aroup					
	Low	High				
D · · ·	2011					
Residence	4.00	1.00				
Rural	1.00	1.00				
Urban	0.97	1.12				
Wife's education	4.00	1.00				
No education	1.00	1.00				
Primary	0.97	0.93				
Secondary+	0.78	0.90				
Spousal education difference						
Same education	1.00	1.00				
Wife more educated	0.90	1.34				
Husband more educated	0.93	0.97				
Wife's age						
15-34	1.00	1.00				
35-49	1.96**	1.50**				
Spousal age difference						
(husband older)						
< 5 years/wife older	1.00	1.00				
5 years and over	0.96	0.93				
Wife's employment						
Not working	1.00	1.00				
Working for cash	1.13	1.10				
Husband's employment						
Not working	1.00	1.00				
Working for cash	1.01	1.25				
Type of marital union						
Monogamous	1.00	1.00				
Polygynous	0.83	0.76**				
No. of living children						
≤2	1.00	1.00				
3-4	0.80	0.65**				
5+	0.85	0.52**				
Fecundity						
Fecund	1.00	1.00				
Infecund	2.41**	2.12**				
Household wealth status						
Poor	1.00	1.00				
Middle	1.27	0.99				
Rich	1.49	0.99				
Number of couples	4,550	5,801				
Loglikelihood	-2,812.6	-3,671.8				
Significance level: * p < 0.05; **	p < .01					

group, spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is less common among couples in polygynous marriages and among those with a higher number of living children. None of the other factors have any significant association with spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth in either polygyny group.

DISCUSSION

We find that in sub-Saharan Africa spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is associated with wanting the next child sooner. When the spouses disagree on waiting time to next birth, the wives want to wait longer than their husbands in most cases. Additionally, we find that the demographic factors are the primary determinants of spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth, not the socioeconomic factors. The strongest predictor of waiting time to next birth is infecundability. Couples with fewer children and couples with infecund wives are more likely to agree on waiting time to next birth. Wife's age, on the other hand, is positively associated with spousal agreement. Effects of socioeconomic factors, such as education, employment, and wealth status on spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth are generally weak and inconsistent.

The separate analysis of pooled data for the low and high polygyny countries also shows strong effects of demographic factors, not socioeconomic, on spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth. The effects of wife's age and infecundability are stronger in countries with a low prevalence of polygyny, whereas the effects of type of marital union and number of living children are stronger in countries with a high prevalence of polygyny.

There are several limitations of our analysis that should be kept in mind when interpreting these findings. First, our analysis is based on reported birth spacing preferences, not actual behaviors. Reported preferences are subject to rationalization bias. Another limitation is that the couples where spouses disagree on wanting another child are excluded from the analysis. This may result in some selection bias to the extent there was misreporting on desire for another child. Finally, our analysis is based on cross-sectional data, so our results only show an association and do not imply any causality.

Despite these limitations, our findings have important implications. Our finding that spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is associated with wanting shorter birth intervals, not longer intervals, poses a challenge for fertility and family planning programs attempting to promote spousal discussion and mutual agreement and to increase birth spacing. Our finding that demographic factors, such as wife's age, infecundability, and number of living children, are more strongly associated with spousal agreement than socioeconomic factors also poses a challenge to the extent that demographic factors are more difficult to modify. However, a positive association between having fewer children and spousal agreement on waiting time to next birth is consistent with family planning program objectives of reducing fertility. Our finding that husbands are generally more likely than wives to want a child sooner calls for the programs to be gender-sensitive.

REFERENCES

Bankole, A. and S. Singh. 1998. Couples' fertility and contraceptive decision-making in developing countries: Hearing the man's voice. International Family Planning Perspectives 24(1): 15-24.

Barbieri, M. and V. Hertrich. 2005. Age difference between spouses and contraceptive practice in sub-Saharan Africa. *Population* (English edition) 60(5/6): 617-654.

Bhargava, A. 2007. Desired family size, family planning and fertility in Ethiopia. Journal of Biosocial Science 39(3): 376-381.

Becker, S. 1996. Couples and Reproductive Health: A review of couple studies. Studies in Family Planning 27(6): 291-306.

Beegle, K., E. Frankenberg, and D. Thomas. 2001. Bargaining power within couples and use of prenatal and delivery care in Indonesia." Online Working Paper Series, University of California, LA. Available at http://repositories.cdlib.org/ccpr/olwp/CCPR-002-01.

Blanc, A.K., B. Wolff, A.J. Gage, A.C. Ezeh, S. Neema, and J. Ssekamatte-Ssebioba. 1996. Negotiating reproductive outcomes in Uganda. Calverton, Maryland, Macro International and Institute of Statistics and Applied Economics, Makerere University, 1996.

Conde-Agudelo, A. and J.M. Belizan. 2000. Maternal morbidity and mortality associated with interpregnancy interval: Cross sectional study. British Medical Journal 321(7271): 1255-1259.

DaVanzo, J., C.E. Peterson, and N.R. Rose. 2003. How well do desired fertility measures for wives and husbands predict subsequent fertility? Evidence from Malaysia" RAND, Labor and Population Program, Working Paper Series 03-16.

DaVanzo, J., A. Razzaque, M. Rahman., L. Hale, K. Ahmed, M.A. Khan, G. Mostafa, and K. Gausia. 2004. The effects of birth spacing on infant and child mortality, pregnancy outcomes, and maternal morbidity and mortality in Matlab, Bangladesh. Rand Working Paper, WR-198. Santa Monica, California: Rand.

Dodoo, F.N. 1993. A couple analysis of micro level supply/demand factors in fertility regulation. Population Research and Policy Review 12: 93-101.

Ezeh, A.C. 1997. Polygyny and reproductive behavior in sub-Saharan Africa: A contextual analysis preferences and behavior: How men and women compare. Demography 34(3): 355-368.

Fapohunda, B. M. and P.V. Poukouta. 1997. Trends and differentials in desired family size in Kenya. African Population Studies 12(1): 1-21.

Greene, M.E. and A.E. Biddlecom. 2000. Absent and problematic men: Demographic accounts of male reproductive roles. *Population and Development Review* 26(1): 81-115.

Hogan, D., B. Berhanu, and A. Hailemariam. 1999. Household organization, women's autonomy, and contraceptivre behavior in southern Ethiopia. *Studies in Family Planning* 30(4): 302-314.

Nyblade, L. and J. Menken. 1993. Husband-wife communication: Mediating the relationship of polygyny through contraceptive attitudes and use. Proceedings of the IUSSP General Conference, Montreal. August 1993. Liege: IUSSP. Vol. 1: 109-120.

Pollak, R. A. and S.C. Watkins. 1993. Cultural and economic approaches to fertility: Proper marriage or mésalliance?" Population and Development Review 19(3): 467-496.

Rafalimanana, H. and C.F. Westoff. 2001. Gap between preferred and actual birth intervals in sub-Saharan Africa: Implications for fertility and child health. Calverton, Maryland, Macro International, DHS Analytical Studies No. 2. p. 21.

Rutstein, S. O. 2005. Effects of preceding birth intervals on neonatal, infant and under-five years mortality and nutritional status in developing countries: evidence from the demographic and health surveys. International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics 89: S7-S24.

Speizer, I.S. and A.J. Yates. 1998. Polygyny and African couple research. Population Research and Policy Review 17(6): 551-570.

Westoff, C.F. 1990. Reproductive intentions and fertility rates. International Family Planning Perspectives 16(3): 84-89, 96.

WHO. 2006. Report of a WHO Technical Consultation on birth spacing. Geneva, Switzerland, June 13-15, 2005.

Wolff, B., A.K. Blanc, and A.J. Gage. 2000. Who decides: women's status and negotiation of sex in Uganda. Culture, Health and Sexuality. 3(1): 1-19.

APPENDIX

Table A1: DHS surveys by country and year, and prevalence of polygyny									
	Sample characteristics								
Country	Survey	Currently married	Currently married	Matched	Percent matched couples relative to currently married	Percent polygynous			
	ycai	wonnen	men	coupies	women	mannage			
West and Central Africa	2004	4 507	4 007	4 000	05.4	40.4			
Benin Durking Free	2001	4,587	1,607	1,609	35.1	42.1			
Burkina Faso	2003	9,537	1,973	2,340	24.5	47.9			
Gnana	2003	3,694	2,720	2,133	57.7	22.0			
Mail	2001	10,697	2,138	2,191	20.5	41.1			
Cildu Footore and Couthore Africa	2004	4,415	1,065	924	20.9	34.1			
Eastern and Southern Africa	2000	0.000	4 400	4 074	10.0	44.0			
Ethiopia	2000	9,380	1,433	1,271	13.0	11.3			
Malawi	2003	4,070	1,000	1,430	29.3	11.7			
Mazambigua	2000	9,301	1,903	1,077	17.9	10.4			
Bwanda	2003	0,377	1,700	1,435	17.1	19.2			
Rwallua Uganda	2000	4,091	1,302	1,150	23.0	20.5			
Zimbabwo	2000/01	4,903	1,107	944	19.5	20.5			
Zambia	2001/02	3,333 1 731	1,203	907 1 120	23.0	9.0			
Namihia	2001/02	2 827	1,249	805	28.5	30			
namilla	2000	2,021	1,104	000	20.5	5.9			

Table A2. Percentage of couples in which both spouses agree on waiting time to next birth									
		All couples		A randomly selected wife					
Wait time to next birth	Both want similar waiting time	Husband wants to wait longer	Wife wants to wait longer	Both want similar waiting time	Husband wants to wait longer	Wife wants to wait longer			
West and Central Africa									
Benin	37.6	28.1	34.3	33.4	28.1	38.5			
Burkina Faso	33.4	25.0	41.7	40.7	24.4	34.9			
Ghana	37.3	25.0	37.6	37.0	25.8	37.2			
Mali	35.8	27.9	36.3	35.6	28.4	36.0			
Chad	34.0	30.8	35.2	37.3	30.5	32.2			
Eastern and Southern Africa									
Ethiopia	32.5	29.8	37.7	37.6	29.9	32.5			
Kenya	33.0	31.2	35.7	36.1	31.3	32.6			
Uganda	38.5	34.6	26.9	38.1	27.5	34.4			
Rwanda	39.4	34.8	25.8	39.4	25.9	34.7			
Malawi	41.4	30.8	27.8	42.3	27.8	29.9			
Mozambique	35.4	34.0	30.6	35.5	30.7	33.9			
Zimbabwe	35.8	32.2	32.0	36.4	31.7	31.9			
Zambia	46.8	33.8	19.5	47.4	20.3	32.3			
Namibia	57.2	24.9	17.9	57.2	25.1	17.6			